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#### Abstract

We define the elementary equivalence of matrices, and we prove that if two germs of matrices with polynomial entries are elementary equivalent then they define the same determinantal singularity. Using the elementary equivalence and Newton polyhedra, we compute the Euler obstruction of isolated determinantal singularities and we present a condition which guarantees the Whitney equisingularity of a family of isolated determinantal singularities.
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## 1. Introduction

Matrices, their properties and objects related to them have applications in many fields. In Mathematics, specially in Commutative Algebra, Algebraic Geometry and Singularity Theory, an important class of varieties is defined through matrices $A \in M_{n, k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{m}\right)$ and zeros of $s \times s$ minors, with
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$s \leq \min \{n, k\}$. When such variety satisfies a certain codimension's condition is called determinantal variety.

Determinantal varieties have been widely studied by researchers on Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry (see [BrHe98, BrVe98]). In Singularity Theory there are countless articles with the purpose of studying those varieties. To mention some of then, we can quote Ebeling and Gusein-Zade [EbGZo9], Frühbis-Krüger and Neumer [FKNeio], NuñoBallesteros, Oréfice-Okamoto and Tomazella [NBOOT13] and Zach [ZAc2o].

One of the reasons which makes determinantal varieties such an important class of varieties is that they are considered as a natural generalization of complete intersection, motivating many authors to investigate which properties from complete intersections are also true for determinantal varieties. In this direction, we can quote [NnOOT18], which is dedicated to study the Whitney equisingularity of families of isolated determinantal singularities (IDS). The concept of Whitney equisingularity is strongly related to the polar multiplicities, for many important classes of spaces. For instance, in [Gaf93, Gaf96], Gaffney showed that a family of isolated complete intersection singularities (ICIS) $\left\{\left(X_{t}, 0\right)\right\}$ of any dimension $d$ is Whitney equisingular if, and only if, the polar multiplicities $m_{i}\left(X_{t}, 0\right)$, $i=0, \ldots, d$ are constant on the family.

The polar multiplicities $m_{i}(X, 0)$, for $i=0, \ldots, d-1$ are defined for any variety $(X, 0)$ (see [LêTe81]), however $m_{d}(X, 0)$ was defined, initially, only for ICIS in [Gaf93]. In [NnToo8], Nuño-Ballesteros and Tomazella defined the top polar multiplicity for a space curve $X$, as the Milnor number of a generic linear projection, and proved that a family of space curves $\left\{\left(X_{t}, 0\right)\right\}$ is Whitney equisingular if, and only if, $m_{1}\left(X_{t}, 0\right)$ is constant on $t$. Also using topological and geometric information from generic linear projections, Pereira and Ruas [RuPe14] and Nuño-Ballesteros, Oréfice-Okamoto and Tomazella [NBOOT13] defined the top polar multiplicity $m_{d}(X, 0)$ for an IDS X . In [NnOOT18], the authors proved that a family of $\operatorname{IDS}\left\{\left(X_{t}, 0\right)\right\}$ is Whitney equisingular if, and only if, $m_{i}\left(X_{t}, 0\right), i=0, \ldots, d$, is constant on t.

Given $X$ an IDS, the top polar multiplicity $m_{d}(X, 0)$ is related to the local Euler obstruction of $X$. In fact, in [NBOOTi3, pg. 486], the authors proved

$$
m_{d}(X, 0)=v(X, 0)+(-1)^{d-1} \operatorname{Eu}_{X}(0)+1
$$

where $v(X, 0)$ is the vanishing Euler characteristic of $X$ and $\operatorname{Eu}_{X}(0)$ is the local Euler obstruction at 0 of $X$.

The local Euler obstruction at a point of an equidimensional reduced algebraic variety $X$, was defined by MacPherson [MAC74]. It is one of the main ingredients of MacPherson's proof of the Deligne and Grothendieck's
conjecture concerning about the existence of characteristic classes for complex algebraic varieties. An equivalent definition was given in [BrSc81] by Brasselet and Schwartz, using stratified vector fields. Lê and Teissier [LÊTe81] related the local Euler obstruction of $X$ with its polar multiplicities. More precisely, if $X$ is a germ at zero of a reduced analytic space in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{m}}$ of dimension d , then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E u_{X}(0)=\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{\mathrm{k}} m_{k}(X) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{k}(X)$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$-polar multiplicity of $X$ at zero.
Although they are important, the invariants mentioned above are not easily computed using their definition. Recently, the Euler obstruction of determinantal varieties has been studied in [GGJR19], where the authors presented formulas for the Euler obstruction of generic determinantal varieties. They also presented formulas for this invariant in the case of essentially isolated determinantal singularity (EIDS). Those formulas for EIDS are given in terms of the Euler obstruction of generic determinantal varieties and also in terms of the Euler characteristic of Milnor fibers.

In [Esto7], Esterov presented a formula for the multiplicity of a determinantal variety $X$ obtained by minors of a matrix $A$ such that $\widetilde{\Delta}_{i, j}=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \backslash \Delta_{i, j}$ is bounded, for all $(i, j)$, where $\Delta_{i, j}$ is the Newton polyhedron of the entry $(i, j)$ of $A$.

In this work, we apply elementary operations on $A$, to obtain a matrix $\widetilde{A}$ with $\widetilde{\Delta}_{i, j}=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \backslash \Delta_{i, j}$ bounded for all entries. To do so, we define the elementary equivalence of matrices, and we prove that if two germs of matrices with polynomial entries are elementary equivalent then they define the same determinantal singularity. Therefore, we use the information given by the Newton polyhedra to compute the Euler obstruction of an IDS. Moreover, we present a condition which guarantees the Whitney equisingularity of a family of isolated determinantal singularities.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some background material concerning about the Euler obstruction, determinantal singularities and Newton polyhedra, which will be used in the entire work. In Section 3, we present one of the key concepts for the development of this work, the definition of elementary equivalence of matrices. We prove that if two germs of matrices with polynomial entries are elementary equivalent then they define the same determinantal singularity. This result have a significant importance on our work, since it permits to look at the Newton polyhedron of a matrix instead of looking at each entry, which heavily simplifies the computations and it expands the range of matrices, where the results can be used. As a consequence of these results
and definitions we present a simpler formula for the multiplicity of a determinantal variety based on Esterov's result (see [Esto7]). In Section 4, we also use Esterov's results and the elementary equivalence of matrices to present formulae for the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fiber and the Euler obstruction of a determinantal singularity in terms of its Newton polyhedron. In Section 5, we stablish a condition for a family $\left\{\left(X_{t}, 0\right)\right\}$ of isolated determinantal singularities be Whitney equisingular. In Section 6, we give some applications. Under some hypothesis we prove that a family $\left\{f_{t}:\left(X_{t}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{t \in D}$ of functions with isolated singularity at the origin defined on IDS is Whitney equisingular, where $\mathrm{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a neighborhood of 0 . Lastly, we present families $\left\{f_{t}:\left(X_{t}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{t \in D}$ and $\left\{g_{t}:\left(X_{t}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{t \in D}$ for which the number of Morse critical points on the top stratum of the Milnor fiber of $f_{t}$ appearing in a morsefication of $g_{t}$ is constant.

## 2. Preliminaries notions and results

In this section, we will briefly present the concepts and results necessaries to establish our results. Let $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ be a d-dimensional germ of a reduced equidimensional analytic variety on an open set $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{m}}$. Consider $\mathcal{V}=\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{q}$ a Whitney stratification of U adapted to X and assume that $V_{0}=\{0\}$ is a stratum. We choose a representative $X$ small enough of $(X, 0)$ such that 0 belongs to the closure of all strata. We also assume that the strata $V_{0}, \ldots, V_{q}$ are connected, the analytic sets $\overline{V_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{V_{q}}$ are reduced and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{reg}}$.
2.1. Local Euler obstruction and applications. The Euler obstruction at a point $x \in X$, denoted by $E u_{X}(x)$, was defined by MacPherson [Mac74]. On his definition, MacPherson uses 1 -forms and Nash modification. An equivalent definition of the Euler obstruction was given by Brasselet and Schwartz in the context of index of vector fields [BrSc81]. Roughly, Eux (x) is the obstruction to extend a continuous stratified radial vector field in a neighbourhood of $x$ on $X$ for a non zero section of the Nash bundle over the Nash modification of X.

Using Dubson and Kato's ideas, Brasselet, Lê and Seade [BLSoo] proved a Lefschetz type formula for $\operatorname{Eu}_{x}(0)$, that is, the autors related $\operatorname{Eu}_{x}(0)$ with the topology of the Milnor fiber of a generic linear function $l$ with respect to $X$. Such formula shows that the local Euler obstruction, considered as a constructible function, satisfies the Euler condition relative to generic linear functions.

Theorem 2.1 ([BLSoo]). Let ( $\mathrm{X}, 0$ ) be an equidimensional reduced algebraic variety and $\mathcal{V}=\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\mathrm{q}}$ a Whitney stratification of X , then for each generic linear
form $l$, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that for any $\varepsilon$ with $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ and $t_{0} \neq 0$ sufficiently small, the Euler obstruction of $(\mathrm{X}, 0)$ is equal to

$$
\operatorname{Eux}_{x}(0)=\sum_{i=0}^{q} \chi\left(V_{i} \cap B_{\varepsilon} \cap l^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Eu}_{x}\left(V_{i}\right),
$$

where $\chi(\cdot)$ is the Euler characteristic, $\operatorname{Eu}_{x}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is the Euler obstruction of X at a point of $V_{i}, i=0, \ldots, q$ and $0<\left|\mathrm{t}_{0}\right| \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$.

A natural sequence of results can be found in [BMPSo4], where the authors try to understand, what is the "obstacle", in order that the local Euler obstruction satisfies the Euler condition relatively to an analytic function $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with isolated singularity at 0 . This is the role of the invariant called Euler obstruction of a function, which is denoted by $\operatorname{Eu}_{f, X}(0)$. For this invariant there is also a Lefschetz type formula. Such formula compares the local Euler obstruction to the Euler obstruction of a function.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function with isolated singularity at 0 . For $0<|\delta| \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$ we have:

$$
E u_{X}(0)-E u_{f, X}(0)=\sum_{i=0}^{q} \chi\left(V_{i} \cap X \cap B_{\epsilon}(0) \cap f^{-1}(\delta)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Eu}_{X}\left(V_{i}\right),
$$

where $0<|\delta| \ll \epsilon \ll 1$.
Dutertre and Grulha [DUGJ14] continue this study of topological properties of functions defined on complex analytic varieties. Given an analytic function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, whose singular set is not necessarily isolated, they defined an invariant called Brasselet number, which is denoted by $\mathrm{B}_{f, X}(0)$. If $f$ has a stratified isolated singularity at the origin, by Theorem 2.2, we have $B_{f, X}(0)=E u_{X}(0)-E u_{f, X}(0)$. Furthermore, when $f$ is linear and generic $B_{f, x}(0)$ is equal to $E u_{x}(0)$, consequently, this invariant can be seen as a generalization of the Euler obstruction. Moreover, the Brasselet number has a Lê-Greuel type formula, which relates this invariant with the number of Morse critical points (see [DuGJ14, Theorem 4.4]).
2.2. Determinantal singularities. Consider $M_{n, k}$ the set of complex matrices of size $n \times k$ and $M_{n, k}^{s}$ the subset consisting of the matrices with rank less than $s$, where $0<s \leq n \leq k$ are integer numbers. The set $M_{n, k}^{s}$ is an irreducible variety of $M_{n, k}$ with codimension ( $n-s+1$ ) $(k-s+1)$ and it is called generic determinantal variety, and $M_{n, k}^{s-1}$ is its singular set.

Let $A:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ be a holomorphic map germ defined by $A(x)=\left(a_{i j}\right)(x)$ with $a_{i j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the ring of holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{m}}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Definition 2.3. Let $([A], 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ be the germ of the variety defined by $[A]=A^{-1}\left(M_{n, k}^{s}\right)$. We say that $[A]$ is a determinantal variety of type $(n, k ; s)$ in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{m}}, 0\right)$ if its dimension is equal to

$$
m-(n-s+1)(k-s+1) .
$$

The analytical structure of $[A]$ is the one defined by $A$ and $M_{n, k}^{s}$ i.e., given by the minors of size $s$ of $A(x)$. There are $C_{s}^{n} \cdot C_{s}^{k}$ minors of size $s$ in $A$, where $C_{j}^{i}$ is the combination of $i$ elements taken $j$ by $j$. Then $[A]$ is defined by the $C_{s}^{n} \cdot C_{s}^{k}$ equations $\operatorname{det} M_{l}(x)=0$, where $M_{l}(x)$ is a minor of size $s$ in $A(x)$ for $l=1, \ldots, C_{s}^{n} \cdot C_{s}^{k}$. Consequently,

$$
[A]=\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}^{m}: \operatorname{det} M_{l}(x)=0, l=1, \ldots, C_{s}^{n} \cdot C_{s}^{k}\right\} .
$$

When $s=1,[\mathcal{A}]$ is a complete intersection variety.
Definition 2.4. Let $([A], 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ be a determinantal variety of type $(n, k ; s)$ satisfying the condition

$$
s=1 \text { or } m<(n-s-2)(k-s-2) .
$$

The variety $[\mathcal{A}]$ is said to be an isolated determinantal singularity (IDS) if $[\mathcal{A}]$ is smooth at $x$ and rank $A(x)=s-1$ for all $x \neq 0$ in a neighbourhood of the origin.

In the following, we present the definition of vanishing Euler characteristic, for more details on this invariant see [NBOOT13, Definition 3.2]. We denote by $A_{B}: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow M_{n, k}$ the map germ defined by $A_{B}(x)=A(x)+B$, where $B \in M_{n, k}$ is a constant matrix.
Definition 2.5. The vanishing Euler characteristic of an IDS $[\mathcal{A}]$, denoted by $v([A], 0)$, is defined as

$$
v([A], 0)=(-1)^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\chi\left(\left[A_{B}\right]\right)-1\right)
$$

where $B \in M_{n, k}$ is a matrix such that $\left[A_{B}\right]$ is a determinantal smoothing of $[A]$, $\chi(\cdot)$ denotes the Euler characteristic and $\mathrm{d}=\operatorname{dim}[\mathrm{A}]$.

When $[A]$ has codimension 2 the vanishing Euler characteristic coincides with the Milnor number defined by Pereira and Ruas in [RuPe14]. Moreover, the vanishing Euler characteristic has a Lê-Greuel type formula expressing $v([A], 0)$ in terms of the top polar multiplicity and the vanishing Euler characteristic of a generic section, i.e., the following equality holds

$$
m_{d}([A], 0)=v([A], 0)+v\left(\left[A^{p}\right], 0\right),
$$

where $p: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a generic linear function, $\left[A^{p}\right]=[A] \cap p^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathrm{d}=\operatorname{dim}[A]$.

In [NNOOT18], the authors proved that a good family $\left\{\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)\right\}$ of IDS of any dimension $d$ is Whitney equisingular if, and only if, all the polar multiplicities $\mathfrak{m}_{i}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right), i=0, \ldots, d$ are constant on the family.

Let $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{m}}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a holomorphic function germ such that $\left.f\right|_{[A]}:[A] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has isolated singularity at the origin. In general, the fiber $[A] \cap f^{-1}(0)$ is not a determinantal variety. Even though, the authors define in [NBOOT13] an invariant which provides geometrical and topological information of the Milnor fiber of $f$. In the following we present the definition of this invariant.

Given $(b, B) \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \times M_{n, k}$, we consider the function $\left.f_{b}\right|_{\left[A_{B}\right]}:\left[A_{B}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
f_{b}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)+b_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+b_{m} x_{m} .
$$

Definition 2.6. The vanishing Euler characteristic of the fiber $\left(\left[A^{f}\right], 0\right)$ is defined by

$$
v\left(\left[A^{f}\right], 0\right)=(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}[A]-1}\left(\chi\left(\left[A_{B}\right] \cap f_{b}^{-1}(c)\right)-1\right)
$$

where $\left[A^{f}\right]=[A] \cap f^{-1}(0),(b, B, c) \in \mathbb{C} \times M_{n, k} \times \mathbb{C}$ are such that $\left[A_{B}\right]$ is smooth, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}}{\left.\mid A_{B}\right]}$ is a Morse function and c is a regular value of $\left.\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{b}}\right|_{\left[A_{B}\right]}$.
2.3. Newton polyhedra and mixed volume. The Newton polyhedra of polynomial functions are important objects which can be very useful to compute some invariants, such as Milnor number, Euler obstruction, multiplicities, among others. For instance, in the case of functions defined on complete intersection singularities, the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fiber have been computed in terms of Newton polyhedra [Kou76, Var76, Ока9о, Ока97]. In [Esto7] Esterov extends some of these computations to determinantal singularities.

The monomial $x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{m}^{a_{m}}$ is denoted by $x^{a}$, where $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$. We denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$, the positive orthant of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. A subset $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$ is called a Newton polyhedron when there exists some $\mathrm{P} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{m}$ such that $\Delta$ is the convex hull of the set $\left\{p+v: p \in P\right.$ and $\left.v \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right\}$. In this case, $\Delta$ is said to be the Newton polyhedron determined by $P$.

Definition 2.7. If $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a germ of a polynomial function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{\mathrm{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{m}}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{a}}$, then the support of f is $\operatorname{supp}(\mathrm{f}):=\left\{\mathrm{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathfrak{m}} \mid \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{a}} \neq 0\right\}$. The Newton polyhedron of $\mathrm{f} \Delta_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the Newton polyhedron determined by $\operatorname{supp}(\mathrm{f})$. The coefficient $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is said to be a leading coefficient of f , if a is contained in a bounded face $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}}$. The leading coefficients of a matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ are the leading coefficients of each entry $a_{i j}$.

We denote by $f^{l}$ the lowest order non-zero l-quasihomogeneous component of $f$, where $l=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right)$ is a collection of positive weights, assigned to the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right):\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{m}}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}, 0\right)$ be a germ of a matrix with polynomial entries. The leading coefficients of $A$ are said to be in general position,
if, for every collection $l$ of positive weights and every subset $\mathcal{I} \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the set of all points $x \in(\mathbb{C} \backslash 0)^{m}$, such that the matrix

$$
\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{l}}(x)\right)_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathcal{I}, \mathfrak{j} \in\{1, \ldots, k\}}
$$

is degenerate, has the maximal possible codimension $k-|\mathcal{I}|+1$.
If the leading coefficients of $A$ are in general position, then the set of all $x \in(\mathbb{C} \backslash 0)^{m}$ such that, the rank of the matrix

$$
\left(a_{i j}^{l}(x)\right)_{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}}
$$

is less than $\mathfrak{n}$, is a determinantal set, since it has codimension $k-n+1$.
Definition 2.9. The leading coefficients of $A$ are said to be in strong general position, if, for every collection $l$ of positive weights, the polynomial matrix $\left(a_{i j}^{l}\right)$ defines a nonsingular determinantal set in $(\mathbb{C} \backslash 0)^{m}$. In this case, the leading coefficients of a germ a 1-form $w$ are said to be in general position with respect to $A$, if, for every collection $l$ of positive weights, the restriction of $w^{l}$ to the determinantal set, defined by the matrix $\left(a_{i j}^{l}(x)\right)$ in $(\mathbb{C} \backslash 0)^{m}$, has no zeros.

The set of all convex bounded polyhedra in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{M}$. The mixed volume is defined in [GKZo8, Definition 2.6] as the unique symmetric multilinear function

$$
\mathrm{MV}: \underbrace{\mathcal{M} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathrm{~m}!}
$$

which satisfies $\operatorname{MV}(\Delta, \ldots, \Delta)=\operatorname{Vol}(\Delta)$ for every polyhedron $\Delta \in \mathcal{M}$, where $\operatorname{Vol}(\Delta)$ is the usual Euclidean volume of $\Delta$. More explicitly, we have

$$
\operatorname{MV}\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{r=1}^{m}(-1)^{m-r} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{r} \leq m} \operatorname{Vol}\left(\Delta_{i_{1}}+\cdots+\Delta_{i_{r}}\right)
$$

where $\Delta_{i_{1}}+\cdots+\Delta_{i_{r}}$ is the Minkowiski sum of the sets $\Delta_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \Delta_{i_{r}}$.
For brevity, we denote the mixed volume

$$
\operatorname{MV}(\underbrace{\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{1}}_{a_{1}}, \ldots, \underbrace{\Delta_{r}, \ldots, \Delta_{r}}_{a_{r}})=\Delta_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots \Delta_{r}^{a_{r}} .
$$

## 3. Elementary equivalent matrices

In this section we present the elementary equivalence of matrices. We are interest in germs of matrices with polynomial entries, $A:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$, where the Newton polyhedron, $\Delta_{i, j}$, of each entry is such that $\widetilde{\Delta}_{i, j}=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \backslash \Delta_{i, j}$ is bounded. In general, a germ $A$ does not satisfy this condition. However, using elementary operations on $A$, we may find another germ where its entries have Newton polyhedra with complementary bounded on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$.

Example 3.1. Let $A:\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2,3}, 0\right)$ be the germ given by the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x & y & z \\
y & z & w
\end{array}\right]
$$

None of the entries of $A$ have Newton polyhedron $\Delta_{i, j}$, with $\widetilde{\Delta}_{i, j}$ bounded. Through a series of elementary operations on $A$, we obtain the germ

$$
\widetilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x+y+z+w & x+2 y+z+2 w & 2 x+2 y+z+w \\
x+2 y+2 z+w & x+3 y+2 z+3 w & 2 x+4 y+2 z+w
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The Newton polyhedron $\Delta_{i, j}$ of all entries of $\widetilde{A}$ have $\widetilde{\Delta}_{i, j}$ bounded, furthermore, all $\Delta_{i, j}$ are the same.

As we will see throughout this section, these germs define the same determinantal singularity.

Given a germ of matrix $A$ we show that the variety $[\mathcal{A}]$ is preserved by the following elementary operations between lines and columns of $A$.
(i) $L_{i} \leftrightarrow L_{i}+L_{j}\left(C_{i} \leftrightarrow C_{i}+C_{j}\right)$, which means replacing the $i^{\text {th }}$ line (column) by the sum between the $i^{\text {th }}$ and $\mathfrak{j}^{\text {th }}$ lines (columns) of $A$;
(ii) $L_{i} \leftrightarrow L_{j}\left(C_{i} \leftrightarrow C_{j}\right)$, which means changing the $i^{\text {th }}$ by the $j^{\text {th }}$ line (column) of $A$ and vice versa;
(iii) $L_{i} \leftrightarrow h \cdot L_{i}\left(C_{i} \leftrightarrow h \cdot C_{i}\right)$, which is replacing the $i^{\text {th }}$ line (column) by the product between this line (column) and a constant $h \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$.
Therefore, we present a series of results in order to prove this assertion.
Proposition 3.2. Let $A$ and $\widetilde{A}$ be matrices of size $n \times k$ such that $\widetilde{A}$ is obtained from A by an elementary operation over lines of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $M$ be a minor of $A$ of size $s$ and $\widetilde{M}$ the corresponding minor on the matrix $\widetilde{A}$. If this elementary operation is

1) $L_{i} \leftrightarrow L_{i}+L_{j}$ and
i) $\widetilde{M}$ contains the $i^{\text {th }}$ and it does not contain the $j^{\text {th }}$ corresponding lines of $\widetilde{A}$, then $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}=\operatorname{det} M+\operatorname{det} M^{(i \leftrightarrow j)}$, where $M^{(i \leftrightarrow j)}$ is the corresponding minor of the matrix $\mathrm{A}^{(\mathfrak{i} \leftrightarrow j)}$, which is obtained from A by the elementary operation $L_{i} \leftrightarrow L_{j}$;
ii) otherwise, $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}=\operatorname{det} M$.
2) $L_{i} \leftrightarrow L_{j}$ and
i) $\widetilde{M}$ contains the $i^{\text {th }}$ and it does not contain the $j^{\text {th }}$ corresponding lines of $\widetilde{A}$, then $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}=\operatorname{det} M^{(i \hookleftarrow j)}$;
ii) $\widetilde{M}$ contains both the $\mathfrak{i}^{\text {th }}$ and $j^{\text {th }}$ corresponding lines of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, then $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}=$ $\operatorname{det} M$;
iii) otherwise, $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}=\operatorname{det} M$.
3) $L_{i} \leftrightarrow h \cdot L_{i}$ and
i) $\widetilde{M}$ contains the $i^{\text {th }}$ corresponding line of $\widetilde{A}$, then $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}=h \cdot \operatorname{det} M$;
ii) otherwise, $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}=\operatorname{det} M$.

Proof. We will give special attention to the case 1-i), the other cases follow directly from the properties of determinants. Consider

$$
\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{i 1} & a_{i 2} & \cdots & a_{i k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n k}
\end{array}\right]}_{A} \xrightarrow{L_{i} \leftrightarrow L_{i}+L_{j}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{i 1}+a_{j 1} & a_{i 2}+a_{j 2} & \cdots & a_{i k}+a_{j k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n k}
\end{array}\right]}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} .
$$

Since $\widetilde{M}$ contains the $\mathfrak{i}^{\text {th }}$ line and it does not contain the $\mathfrak{j}^{\text {th }}$ line of $\widetilde{A}$, we suppose, without loss of generality, that the minor $M$ is formed by the first $s$ lines and columns of $A$. Then we use the Laplace expansion over the $i^{\text {th }}$ line of $\widetilde{M}$, obtaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M} & =\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i} 1}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j} 1}\right) \cdot \Delta_{\mathrm{i} 1}+\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i} 2}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j} 2}\right) \cdot \Delta_{\mathrm{i} 2}+\cdots+\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{is}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{js}}\right) \cdot \Delta_{\mathrm{is}} \\
& =\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i} 1} \cdot \Delta_{\mathrm{i} 1}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i} 2} \cdot \Delta_{\mathrm{i} 2}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{is}} \cdot \Delta_{\mathrm{is}}\right) \\
& +\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j} 1} \cdot \Delta_{\mathrm{i} 1}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j} 2} \cdot \Delta_{\mathrm{i} 2}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{js}} \cdot \Delta_{\mathrm{is}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first part of the above sum is equal to $\operatorname{det} M$ and the second part is equal to $\operatorname{det} M^{(i \leftrightarrow j)}$. Hence $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}=\operatorname{det} M+\operatorname{det} M^{(i \leftrightarrow j)}$.
Remark 3.3. For each minor $M^{(i \leftrightarrow j)}$ of the matrix $A^{(i \leftrightarrow j)}$ there is minors $M^{i j}$ and $\widetilde{M}^{i j}$ on the matrices $A$ and $\widetilde{A}$, respectively, which have the same lines as $M^{(i \leftrightarrow j)}$, since $M^{i j}$ and $\widetilde{M}^{i j}$ do not contain the $\mathfrak{i}^{\text {th }}$ corresponding line. Furthermore, the order of the lines of these minors might be different, which might change the sign of its determinant, then $\operatorname{det} M^{(i \leftarrow j)}= \pm \operatorname{det} M^{i j}=$ $\pm \operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}^{i j}$.

Since a matrix has rank less than $s$ if, and only if, all its minors of size $s$ has determinant equal to zero, we are going to use Proposition 3.2, in order to prove that determinantal varieties are invariant trough elementary operations over lines and columns on the matrix which defines it.
Proposition 3.4. Let $A, \widetilde{A}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ be germs of matrices such that $\widetilde{A}$ is obtained from A by a finite number of elementary operations between lines and columns. Then $[\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}]=[\mathcal{A}]$.

Proof. We are going to prove the result for the elementary operations between the lines of $A$. For the elementary operations on the columns of $A$ the result follows from the property of determinants $\operatorname{det} M=\operatorname{det} M^{\top}$, where $M^{\top}$ is the transpose of the matrix $M$.

Let $M_{l}, l=1, \ldots, C_{s}^{k} \cdot C_{s}^{n}$ be the minors of size $s$ of the matrix $A$ and $\left\{\operatorname{det} M_{l_{1}}, \ldots, \operatorname{det} M_{l_{p}}\right\} \subset\left\{\operatorname{det} M_{l} \mid l=1, \ldots, C_{s}^{k} \cdot C_{s}^{n}\right\}$ be a maximal linearly independent subset. Then $[A]=V\left(I_{A}\right)$, where $I_{A}=\left\langle M_{l_{1}}, \ldots, M_{l_{p}}\right\rangle$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ denotes the variety given by the zeros of the ideal $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$.

Firstly, let $\widetilde{A}$ be a matrix obtained from $A$ by a elementary operation of the type $L_{i} \leftrightarrow L_{i}+L_{j}$. Then $[\widetilde{A}]=V\left(I_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}\right)$, where $I_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}=\left\langle\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}_{l}\right| l=1, \ldots, C_{k}^{n}$. $\left.C_{s}^{k}\right\rangle$. By Proposition 3.2, $I_{\tilde{A}} \subset I_{A}$ and for each $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{l}} \in I_{A}$ we have

- $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}_{l}=\operatorname{det} M_{l}$ or
- $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}_{l}=\operatorname{det} M_{l} \pm \operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}_{l}^{i j}$, by Remark 3.3.

In both cases, $M_{\imath} \in I_{\tilde{A}}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathrm{I}_{\tilde{A}}$ and $[\widetilde{A}]=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\tilde{A}}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=[\mathcal{A}]$.
Secondly, if $\widetilde{A}$ is obtained from $A$ trough one of the elementary operations of type $L_{i} \leftrightarrow L_{j}$ or $L_{i} \leftrightarrow h \cdot L_{i}$, we also have $V\left(I_{\tilde{A}}\right)=V\left(I_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$, since $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}_{l}= \pm \operatorname{det} M_{l}$ on the first one and $\operatorname{det} \widetilde{M}_{l}=h \cdot \operatorname{det} M_{l}$ on the second one, these do not change the zeros of the ideal $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$.

Lastly, since the result is valid for each elementary operation, the result is still valid if we obtain $\widetilde{A}$ by $A$ trough a finite number of elementary operations.

Motivated by Propositions 3.2 and 3.4 we introduce the following concept.
Definition 3.5. The germs of matrices $A, \widetilde{A}$ are said to be elementary equivalent if they can be obtained from each other using the elementary operations.

By Proposition 3.4, if the germs $A, \widetilde{A}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ with polynomial entries are elementary equivalent, then $[\mathcal{A}]=[\widetilde{A}]$. Additionally, the varieties $[A]$ and $[\widetilde{A}]$ do not necessarily need to be determinantal.

Let $[A],[\widetilde{A}]$ be IDS defined by the elementary equivalent matrices $A, \widetilde{A}$ : $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{m}}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$, respectively. The elementary operations needed to obtain $[\widetilde{A}]$ from $[A]$, do not change its smoothing.
Proposition 3.6. Let $A, \widetilde{A}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ be germs of elementary equivalent matrices, then $[\mathrm{A}]$ and $[\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}]$ have the same smoothing and, consequently,

$$
v([A], 0)=v([\widetilde{A}], 0) .
$$

Proof. Since $A$ and $\widetilde{A}$ are elementary equivalent, then $\widetilde{A}$ is obtained from $A$ by a finite number of elementary operations. Let $B \in M_{n, k}$ be a matrix such that $\left[A_{B}\right]$ is a smoothing of $[A]$, where $A_{B}: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow M_{n, k}$ is defined by $A_{B}(x)=A(x)+B$. If we apply the same elementary operations in the matrix $B$, we obtain a matrix $\widetilde{B}$ such that $\left[A_{B}\right]=\left[\widetilde{A}_{\widetilde{B}}\right]$, since it is the same as applying these elementary operations on $A(x)+B$. Thus

$$
v([A], 0)=(-1)^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\chi\left(\left[A_{B}\right]\right)-1\right)=(-1)^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\chi\left(\left[\widetilde{A}_{\widetilde{B}}\right]\right)-1\right)=v([\widetilde{A}], 0) .
$$

Using the concept of elementary equivalence we are able to define the Newton polyhedron of a matrix $A$.

Definition 3.7. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right):\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ be a germ of a matrix with polynomial entries. Consider the set $\mathcal{B}=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\}$, where $f_{s}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ for $s=1, \ldots, r$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is linearly independent and for each entry $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{i j}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ there is $b_{i j}^{1}, \ldots, b_{i j}^{r} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a_{i j}=\sum_{s=1}^{r} b_{i j, s} f_{s}$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is called a basis for the germ $A$;

Consider a basis $\mathcal{B}$ for the germ $A$. There always is a germ $\widetilde{A}=\left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{i j}\right)$ elementary equivalent to $A$, with $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{i j}=\sum_{s=1}^{r} \widetilde{b}_{i j, s} f_{s}$ for all $\mathfrak{i}=1, \ldots, n$ and $j=1, \ldots, k$, where $\widetilde{b}_{\mathfrak{i} j, s} \neq 0$ for all $s=1, \ldots, r$. If for the obtained germ there is a $\widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ij}, s_{0}}=0$ we can apply another elementary operation between convenient lines (or columns) such that the new coefficient $\widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathfrak{i} j, s_{1}} \neq 0$.
Definition 3.8. In the situation described above, the germ $\widetilde{A}$ is said to be elementary equivalent to $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$.

If $S \subset \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$, we denote by

$$
\operatorname{supp}(S):=\bigcup_{f \in S} \operatorname{supp}(f)
$$

In this case, the Newton polyhedron of $S$ is the Newton polyhedron of $\operatorname{supp}(S)$. For a germ of a matrix $A$ we denote $\operatorname{supp}(A):=\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{B})$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis for $A$.

Definition 3.9. The Newton polyhedron of a matrix $A$ is the Newton polyhedron of supp ( $\mathcal{A}$ ). The Newton polyhedron of $A$ is elementary bounded if there is a germ $\widetilde{A}$ elementary equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}$ such that its Newton polyhedron has complementary bounded on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\mathrm{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{r}}\right\}$ be a basis for a germ $A$ and $\widetilde{A}$ be elementary equivalent to $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$. The Newton polyhedra of all the $\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{ij}}(x)$ 's are equal to the Newton polyhedron of the function $f_{1}(x)+\cdots+f_{r}(x)$. On the other hand, this Newton polyhedron is equal to the Newton polyhedron of the basis $\mathcal{B}$, which is the Newton polyhedron of $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{B})=\operatorname{supp}(A)$.

We are interested in using these concepts together with the definitions given in Section 2, to explicit some invariants of determinantal varieties in terms of the Newton polyhedron of the matrix which defines the germ. To do so, we first look at the effects of the elementary equivalence of matrices on the definition of general position (see Definition 2.8).

If the leading coefficients of a germ $A$ are in general position, then not all germs $\widetilde{A}$ elementary equivalent to $A$ are also such that the leading coefficients of $\widetilde{A}$ are in general position. In addition, if the leading coefficients of $A$ are not in general position there might be a germ $\widetilde{A}$ elementary equivalent to $A$ with the leading coefficients in general position (see Example 3.10).

Example 3.10. Let $A:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2,2}, 0\right)$ be the germ given by the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x & y \\
y & x
\end{array}\right]
$$

The germ

$$
\widetilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x+y & x+y \\
x+2 y & 2 x+y
\end{array}\right]
$$

is elementary equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}=\{x, y\}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis for both germs $A$ and $\widetilde{A}$. The leading coefficients of $A$ are in general position, while the leading coefficients of $\widetilde{A}$ are not in general position. Also, the germ

$$
\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 x+3 y & 3 x+2 y \\
x+2 y & 2 x+y
\end{array}\right]
$$

is elementary equivalent to $\widetilde{A}$ and the leading coefficients of $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$ are in general position.

Motivated by this example, we present the next definition.
Definition 3.11. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right):\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ be a germ of a matrix with polynomial entries and $\mathcal{B}$ a basis for $\mathcal{A}$. The leading coefficients of $\mathcal{A}$ are said to be in good general position if there is a germ $\widetilde{A}$ elementary equivalent to $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ such that the leading coefficients of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ are in general position.

Example 3.12. In Example 3.1, $\widetilde{A}$ is elementary equivalent to $A$ with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}=\{x, y, z, w\}$ and the leading coefficients of $\widetilde{A}$ are in general position. Then, the leading coefficients of $A$ are in good general position. Furthermore, the Newton polyhedron of $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{4} \backslash L$, where $L$ is the $4^{-}$ dimensional standard simplex.

The concept of elementary equivalence of matrices improve one of the results given by [EsTo7], once it simplifies and expands the range of matrices where the formula may be applied.

Theorem 3.13. Let $\Delta$ be the Newton polyhedron of the polynomial matrix $A=$ $\left(a_{i j}\right):\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ and $[A]=A^{-1}\left(M_{n, k}^{n}\right)$. If $\Delta$ is elementary bounded
and the leading coefficients of A are in good general position, then A defines the germ of a determinantal singularity $[\mathcal{A}]$, whose multiplicity is

$$
\mathfrak{m}([A], 0)=C_{k-n+1}^{k} \cdot m!\cdot \widetilde{\Delta}^{k-n+1} L^{m-k+n-1}
$$

where $L$ denotes the standard m-dimensional simplex and $\widetilde{\Delta}=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \backslash \Delta$.
Proof. In [Esto7, Theorem 1.21], it is proved that $[\mathrm{A}]$ is a determinantal singularity and it has multiplicity equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}([A], 0)=\sum_{0<j_{0}<\cdots<j_{k-n} \leq k} m!\cdot \widetilde{\Delta}_{j_{0}}^{1} \cdots \widetilde{\Delta}_{j_{k-n}}^{1} L^{m-k+n-1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{j}$ is the Newton polyhedron of the function $a_{i j}: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis for the germ $A$ and $\widetilde{A}$ be a germ elementary equivalent to $A$, with respect to $\mathcal{B}$. Since $[A]=[\widetilde{A}]$, we can look at each entry of the matrix $\widetilde{A}$. As we observed before, the Newton polyhedron of each entry of $\widetilde{A}$ is equal to $\Delta$. Thus, by Equation (3.1), the multiplicity of $[\mathcal{A}]=[\widetilde{A}]$ is
$m([A], 0)=\sum_{0<j_{0}<\cdots<j_{k-n} \leq k} m!\cdot \widetilde{\Delta}^{k-n+1} L^{m-k+n-1}=C_{k-n+1}^{k} \cdot m!\cdot \widetilde{\Delta}^{k-n+1} L^{m-k+n-1}$.

In the direction of presenting invariants given in terms of Newton polyhedra related to matrices we can quote [BAo4, BAFSo2]. In [BAo4] is defined a Newton polyhedron of a module $M$ and, since a module can be written on a matrix form using its generators, this Newton polyhedron might be seen as the Newton polyhedron of such matrix. Then the author presents a condition of non-degeneracy for this matrix and a formulae for the Bushbaum-Rim multiplicity of the ideal given by the maximal minors of this matrix in terms of its Newton polyhedron. In [BAFSo2], the authors defined a system of functions on a given Newton polyhedron. This system may also be written in a matrix and they presented a condition of non-degeneracy for such matrix.

In the proof of the previous theorem the concept of elementary equivalence is essential, permitting us to compute the multiplicity of $[A]$ using only one polyhedron instead of $k$, which makes the computation much easier. Next we exemplify the importance of elementary equivalent matrices to our results.

Example 3.14. Consider $A$ the germ given in Example 3.1. The Newton polyhedron $\Delta$ of $A$ is elementary bounded, the leading coefficients of $A$ are in good general position with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}=\{x, y, z, w\}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}=\mathrm{L}$. By Theorem 3.13, $[\mathcal{A}]$ is a determinantal singularity and its multiplicity is

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2}^{3} \cdot 4!\cdot \mathrm{L}^{4}=\mathrm{C}_{2}^{3}=3
$$

If we denote by $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ and $\Delta_{3}$ the Newton polyhedra of the entries of the first line of $A$, none of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{1}, \widetilde{\Delta}_{2}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_{3}$ are bounded and Theorem 3.13 can not be applied. However, there is a germ $\widetilde{A}$ elementary equivalent to $A$ with the Newton polyhedra of all entries equal to $\Delta$ and $\Delta$ bounded, which implies that $\Delta$ is elementary bounded. Not only we can apply the Theorem 3.13 on $\widetilde{A}$ but the formula given on this theorem is much simpler.

Given a determinantal variety, if its polar varieties (see [LêTe81]) also are determinantal then Theorem 3.13 can be applied to compute its polar multiplicities and, consequently, its Euler obstruction.

Example 3.15. Consider the cusp deformation $V=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{3} \mid y^{2}-x^{3}-\right.$ $\left.x^{2} z^{2}=0\right\}$.


Figure 1. The cusp deformation.
Its polar varieties are

- $\mathrm{P}_{0}(\mathrm{~V})=\mathrm{V}$;
- $P_{1}(V)=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{3} \mid x+z^{2}=0\right.$ and $\left.y=0\right\}$.

Let $A:\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2,2}, 0\right)$ be the germ given by the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
y & x+z^{2} \\
x^{2} & y
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $B:\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{1,2}, 0\right)$ be the germ given by the matrix

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
y & x+z^{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then $P_{0}(V)=[A]$ and $P_{1}(V)=[B]$. In this case $\mathcal{A}=\left\{x, x^{2}, y, z^{2}\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\left\{x, y, z^{2}\right\}$ is a basis for $B$. Applying a series of elementary
operations on $A$ and $B$ we obtain the germs

$$
\widetilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 x+x^{2}+3 y+2 z^{2} & 4 x+x^{2}+4 y+4 z^{2} \\
x+x^{2}+2 y+z^{2} & 2 x+x^{2}+3 y+2 z^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x+y+z^{2} & 2 x+y+2 z^{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then $\widetilde{A}$ is elementary equivalent to $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ is elementary equivalent to $B$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$. Since, both $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ are in general position, the germs $A$ and $B$ are in good general position. Now, the Newton polyhedra of both the matrices $A$ and $B$ are equal $\Delta$, and $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is bounded (see Figure 2), which implies that $\Delta$ is elementary bounded. Then, by


Figure 2. The Newton polyhedron of $A$ and $B$.
Theorem 3.13

- $m_{0}(V)=m([A], 0)=C_{1}^{2} \cdot 3!\cdot \widetilde{\Delta}^{1} L^{2}=2 ;$
- $\mathfrak{m}_{1}(V)=m([B], 0)=C_{2}^{2} \cdot 3!\cdot \widetilde{\Delta}^{2} L^{1}=1$.

Lastly, by Eq. (1.1)

$$
\operatorname{Eu}_{V}(0)=m_{0}(V)-m_{1}(V)=2-1=1
$$

## 4. Euler obstruction of isolated determinantal singularities

Let $f:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a polynomial function and $[A]$ be a germ of an IDS in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. The Milnor fiber of $f$ restrict to $[\mathcal{A}]$ is $F_{0}:=[\mathcal{A}] \cap B_{\varepsilon} \cap f^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)$, where $B_{\varepsilon}$ is a ball with center at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ and radius $\varepsilon>0$ and $\left|t_{0}\right| \neq 0$ is small enough. In [Esto7] is presented a formula to compute the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fiber given in terms of the Newton polyhedron
of $f$ and in terms of the Newton polyhedra of the entries of $A$. Using the results from the previous section, we present a simpler formula for this invariant.

From now on we are going to assume the case where $s=n$, i.e., the case where $[A]=A^{-1}\left(M_{n, k}^{n}\right)$. For a set $I \subset\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $\mathbb{R}^{I}$ be a coordinate plane given by the equations $x_{i}=0$, for $i \notin \mathrm{I}$. For a polyhedron $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$, we denote by $\widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathrm{I}}$ the intersection $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{I}} \cap \widetilde{\Delta}$, where $\widetilde{\Delta}=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \backslash \Delta$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Delta$ be the Newton polyhedron of a polynomial matrix $A=$ $\left(a_{i j}\right):\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$. Suppose that $\Delta$ is elementary bounded and $m \leq$ $2(k-n+2)$.
i) If the leading coefficients of $A$ are in strong general position, then the determinantal singularity $[\mathcal{A}]$ is smooth outside the origin.
ii) If the Newton polyhedron $\Delta_{f} \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$ of a germ $\mathrm{f}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ intersects all coordinate axes, and the leading coefficients of df are in general position with respect to $A$, then the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fiber of $\mathrm{f}_{[\mathrm{A}]}$ is given by

$$
\chi\left(F_{0}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{q}=k-n+1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{a=0 \\ \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II} \mid \geq \mathrm{q}}}^{|\mathrm{I}|-\mathrm{q}}(-1)^{|\mathrm{I}|+\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{II} \mid+\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{a}-2}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{k}-1} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \int_{\mathrm{q}}^{|\mathrm{I}|-\mathrm{a}} \cdot|\mathrm{I}|!\cdot\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{|\mathrm{I}|-a},
$$

$$
\text { where } I \subset\{1, \ldots, m\} \text { and } \Gamma_{s}^{t}=\sum_{i_{s-1}=1}^{t+1} \sum_{i_{s-2}=1}^{i_{s-1}} \cdots \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i_{3}} \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{i_{2}} 1 .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis for the germ $\mathcal{A}$ and let $\widetilde{A}$ be a germ elementary equivalent to $\mathcal{A}$, with respect to $\mathcal{B}$. Firstly, by Proposition 3.2 and Definition 2.9, if the leading coefficients of $A$ are in strong general position (respectively, the leading coefficients of df are in general position with respect to $[A]$ ), then the leading coefficients of $\widetilde{A}$ are in strong general position (respectively, the leading coefficients of $\mathrm{d} f$ are in general position with respect to $[\widetilde{A}])$, because $[A] \cap(\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})^{m}=[\widetilde{A}] \cap(\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})^{m}$.

Esterov[Esto7, Theorem 1.23] showed that, the determinantal singularity [ $A$ ] is smooth outside the origin and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{\substack{a_{j}, \ldots, a_{j_{q}} \in \mathbb{N} \\
a_{j_{1}}+\cdots+a_{j_{q}}=I \mid-a}}|I|!\cdot\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{f}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{j}_{1}}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}_{j_{1}}} \cdots\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{j}_{q}}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\Delta$ is the Newton polyhedron of the matrix $A$, then $\Delta=\Delta_{1}=\cdots=\Delta_{k}$. Denoting the number of combinations for the sum $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}_{1}}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{q}}}=|\mathrm{I}|-\mathrm{a}$ by $\Gamma_{q}^{\mathrm{II}-\mathrm{a}}$, we have

We assume $C_{n}^{k}=0$ for $k \notin\{0, \ldots n\}$. Then, all terms in this sum are equal to zero, except the terms with $|\mathrm{I}|-\mathrm{a} \geq \mathrm{q}>\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}$. Hence,

$$
\chi\left(F_{0}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}+1}^{\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{c} \subset\{1, \ldots,, \mathrm{~m}\} \\|I| \geq \mathrm{q}\}}} \sum_{\mathrm{a}=0}^{|\mathrm{I}|-\mathrm{q}}(-1)^{\mid \mathrm{II}+\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{n}} C_{|I|+\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{a}-2}^{n+\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{k}-1} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{k}} \cdot \Gamma_{\mathrm{q}}^{|\mathrm{I}|-a} \cdot|\mathrm{I}|!\cdot\left(\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\mathrm{I} \mid-\mathrm{a}} .
$$

As a corollary of Theorem 4.1, we compute the Euler obstruction of a determinantal variety with isolated singularity.

Let $[A] \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be an IDS. Since $[A]$ has isolated singularity at the origin, the partition

$$
\mathcal{V}=\{\{0\},[\mathcal{A}] \backslash\{0\}\}
$$

is a Whitney stratification of $[A]$. Thus, if $l:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a generic linear form, by Theorem 2.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Eu}_{[A]}(0) & =\chi\left(\{0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon} \cap \mathrm{l}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Eu}_{[A]}(\{0\}) \\
& +\chi\left(([A] \backslash\{0\}) \cap \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon} \cap \mathrm{l}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)\right) \cdot \mathrm{Eu}_{[\mathcal{A}]}([\mathcal{A}] \backslash\{0\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, as $t_{0} \neq 0$, then $\{0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon} \cap l^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)=\emptyset$. Therefore,

$$
\chi\left(\{0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon} \cap l^{-1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Moreover, the stratum $[A] \backslash\{0\}$ is the smooth part of $[A]$, then $\mathrm{Eu}_{[\mathcal{A}]}([A] \backslash$ $\{0\})=1$. Consequently,

$$
\operatorname{Eu}_{[A]}(0)=\chi\left([A] \backslash\{0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon} \cap \mathrm{l}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

Hence, using Theorem 4.1, we have the following result.
Corollary 4.2. Consider $A:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ a matrix with Newton polyhedron $\Delta$ elementary bounded and $\mathrm{m} \leq 2(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}+2)$. If the generic linear form $l:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ has Newton polyhedron which intersects all coordinate axis and the leading coefficients of $l$ are in general position with respect to $A$, then
where $\Gamma_{s}^{t}=\sum_{i_{s-1}=1}^{t+1} \sum_{i_{s-2}=1}^{i_{s-1}} \cdots \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i_{3}} \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{i_{2}} 1$ and $L$ is the standard m-dimensional simplex.
As an application of Corollary 4.2, in the following example, we compute the Euler obstruction of an ICIS in terms of a single polyhedron.

Example 4.3. Let $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ be an ICIS defined by the polynomial functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$, where $f_{i}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Since $X$ is an ICIS, $X$ is also a determinantal singularity given by $X=[A]$, where $A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}f_{1} & \cdots & f_{k}\end{array}\right]$. Let $\Delta$ be the Newton polyhedron of $A$ and $l:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow$ $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ a generic linear form. If $\Delta$ is elementary bounded, the Newton polyhedron of $l$ intersects all coordinate axis and the leading coefficients of $l$ are in general position with respect to $A$, then the Euler obstruction of $X$ is

$$
E u_{X}(0)=\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{I} \subset\{1, \ldots, m\} \\|I| \geq k}} \sum_{a=0}^{|\mathrm{I}|-\mathrm{k}}(-1)^{|\mathrm{I}|+k+1} \cdot(|\mathrm{I}|+k-a-2) \cdot \Gamma_{k}^{|\mathrm{I}|-\mathrm{a}} \cdot|\mathrm{II}|!\cdot\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\widetilde{\Delta}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{|\mathrm{II}|-\mathrm{a}},
$$

where $\Gamma_{s}^{t}=\sum_{i_{s-1}=1}^{t+1} \sum_{i_{s}-2=1}^{i_{s-1}} \cdots \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{i_{3}} \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{i_{2}} 1$ and $L$ is the standard m-dimensional simplex.

## 5. Whitney equisingularity

In this section, we use the information given by the Newton polyhedra and Euler obstruction to present conditions which guarantee the Whitney equisingularity of a family of isolated determinantal singularities.

Before we present one of the most important results of this work, we recall some notions about determinantal deformations. Consider a map germ $\mathcal{A}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ such that $\mathcal{A}(x, 0)=A(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$. If $\left[A_{t}\right]$ is determinantal for all $t, \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a determinantal deformation of $[A]$. If we fix a small enough representative $A: B_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$, where $B_{\varepsilon}$ is the open ball centered at the origin with radius $\varepsilon>0$, then we set $A_{t}(x):=\mathcal{A}(x, t)$ and $\left[A_{t}\right]=A_{t}^{-1}\left(M_{n, k}^{s}\right)$.

If $\left[A_{t}\right]$ is a determinantal deformation of $\left(\left[A_{0}\right], 0\right)$ as above, we say that:
(i) $\left[A_{t}\right]$ is origin preserving if $0 \in S\left(\left[A_{t}\right]\right)$, for all $t$ in $D$, where $S\left(\left[A_{t}\right]\right)$ denotes the singular set of $\left[A_{t}\right]$ and $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a disc around the origin. Then $\left\{\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ is called a 1-parameter family of IDS;
(ii) $\left\{\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{t \in D}$ is a good family if there exists $\varepsilon>0$ with $S\left(\left[A_{t}\right]\right)=\{0\}$ on $B_{\varepsilon}$, for all $t$ in $D$;
(iii) $\left\{\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ is Whitney equisingular if it is a good family and $\{[A] \backslash T, T\}$ satisfies the Whitney conditions, where $T=\{0\} \times D$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $[A]$ be a d-dimensional determinantal singularity, defined by the germ of a matrix $A:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ with polynomial entries, Newton polyhedron $\Delta$ and $m \leq 2(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{n}+2)$. Let $\left\{\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$, be a determinantal family, with $A_{0}=A$. If the Newton polyhedron of $A_{t}$ is $\Delta, \Delta$ is elementary bounded and the leading coefficients of $A_{\mathrm{t}}$ are in strong general position, for all $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}$, then the family $\left\{\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ is Whitney equisingular.
Proof. Firstly, since $\Delta$ is elementary bounded, $m \leq 2(k-n+2)$ and the leading coefficients of $A_{t}$ are in strong general position, then $\left[A_{t}\right]$ is smooth outside the origin, consequently $\left\{\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ is a good family. Moreover, $\chi\left(\left[A_{t}\right]\right)=1$, once $\left[A_{t}\right]$ is contractible. By $\left[\mathrm{NNOOT}_{1} 8\right.$, Corollary 4.3], $v\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)$ is constant on $t$, i.e., $v\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)=v\left(\left[A_{0}\right], 0\right)$, for all $t \in D$. To compute the top polar multiplicity $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)$, we use $\left[\mathrm{NBOOT}_{13}\right.$, Theorem 4.3 and Definition 4.4] to obtain

$$
m_{d}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)=v\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)+v\left(\left[A_{t}\right] \cap H_{t}, 0\right)
$$

where $H_{t} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ is a generic hyperplane for all $t \in D$. Furthermore, as $H_{t}$ is a generic hyperplane and $\left[\mathcal{A}_{t}\right]$ is smooth outside the origin, $\left[\lambda_{t}\right] \cap H_{t}$ is a (d-1)-dimensional determinantal singularity which is smooth outside the origin as well, thus $v\left(\left[A_{t}\right] \cap H_{t}, 0\right)=v\left(\left[A_{0}\right] \cap H_{0}, 0\right)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right) & =v\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)+v\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right] \cap \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}, 0\right) \\
& \left.=v\left(A_{0}\right], 0\right)+v\left(\left[A_{0}\right] \cap H_{0}, 0\right)  \tag{5.1}\\
& =\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\left[A_{0}\right], 0\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, the polar multiplicities $m_{j}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right), j=0, \ldots, d-2$, can be described using generic hyperplanes. In fact, from [GGJRi9, Lemma 2.6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{d-l}\left(\left[A_{t}\right] \cap H_{t}^{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{t}^{l}, 0\right)=m_{d-l}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{t}^{1}, \ldots, H_{t}^{l}$ are generic hyperplanes for all $t \in D$. Since,

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}^{m-l}}\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right] \cap H_{\mathrm{t}}^{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{\mathrm{t}}^{l}=\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{l},
$$

by Eq. (5.1) and (5.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{d-l}\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right) & =m_{\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{l}}\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right] \cap \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{L}}, 0\right) \\
& =\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{l}}\left(\left[A_{0}\right] \cap \mathrm{H}_{0}^{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathrm{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{l}}, 0\right) \\
& =\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{l}}\left(\left[A_{0}\right], 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $l=2, \ldots, d$.
Lastly, for the polar multiplicity $m_{d-1}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)$ we use the following NuñoBallesteros, Oréfice-Okamoto and Tomazella's formula [NBOOT13, pg. 486].

$$
\mathrm{Eu}_{\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right]}(0)=(-1)^{\mathrm{d}-1} v\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right] \cap \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}, 0\right)+1
$$

Once, $v\left(\left[A_{t}\right] \cap H_{t}, 0\right)=v\left(\left[A_{0}\right] \cap H_{t}, 0\right)$, we have

$$
\mathrm{Eu}_{\left[A_{t}\right]}(0)=\mathrm{Eu}_{\left[A_{0}\right]}(0)
$$

Moreover, by Eq. (1.1)

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{k} m_{k}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)=\operatorname{Eu}_{\left[A_{t}\right]}(0)=\operatorname{Eu}_{\left[A_{0}\right]}(0)=\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{k} m_{k}\left(\left[A_{0}\right], 0\right) .
$$

In addition, $m_{j}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)=m_{j}\left(\left[A_{0}\right], 0\right), j=0,1, \ldots, d-2$, then

$$
m_{d-1}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)=m_{d-1}\left(\left[A_{0}\right], 0\right)
$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{j}}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{j}}\left(\left[A_{0}\right], 0\right)$, for all $0 \leq \mathfrak{j} \leq \mathrm{d}$. Hence, by $\left[\mathrm{NNOOT}_{18} 8\right.$, Theorem 5.3], $\left\{\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ is Whitney equisingular.

Using Theorem 5.1, Example 3.1 and the elements from Section 3 we present an example of a Whitney equisingular family.

Example 5.2. Let $\left\{\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{t \in D}$ be the family of 2-dimensional determinantal singularities defined by the germ $A_{t}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2,3}, 0\right)$, with

$$
A_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
w & y & x+t x^{2} \\
z & w & y
\end{array}\right]
$$

For each $t$ an elementary equivalent matrix to $A_{t}$ is
$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}x+t x^{2}+y+z+w & x+t x^{2}+2 y+z+2 w & 2 x+2 t x^{2}+2 y+z+w \\ x+t x^{2}+2 y+2 z+w & x+t x^{2}+3 t+2 z+3 w & 2 x+2 t x^{2}+4 y+2 z+w\end{array}\right]$ which we denote by $\tilde{A}_{t}$. A basis for the germ $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ is $\mathcal{B}_{t}=\left\{x, t x^{2}, y, z, w\right\}$, the Newton polyhedron $\Delta_{t}$ of the matrix $A_{t}$ is equal to the Newton polyhedron of the function $f_{t}(x, y, z, w)=x+t x^{2}+y+z+w$. The basis $\mathcal{B}_{t}$, depends on $t$, indeed $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ does not contain a term $x^{2}$. However, $\Delta_{t}=\Delta_{0}$ for all $t \in D$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the 4-dimensional standard simplex. By Theorem 5.1, $\left\{\left(\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ is Whitney equisingular, once $\Delta_{0}$ is elementary bounded, $4 \leq 2(3-2+2)=6$ and the leading coefficients of $A_{t}$ are in strong general position.

## 6. Applications

Let $[A]$ be a determinantal singularity defined by the matrix $A:\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ and $f:([A], 0) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a function with isolated singularity at the origin. We denote by $\left[\mathcal{A}^{f}\right]$ the intersection $[\mathcal{A}] \cap f^{-1}(0)$. Once $f^{-1}(0)$ is a hypersurface, $\operatorname{dim}\left[\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}\right]=\operatorname{dim}[A]-1$. In general $\left[A^{\dagger}\right]$ is not determinantal, since the variety $[A] \cap f^{-1}(0)$ is not always given by a matrix $A^{f} \in M_{n, k}$. When there exists such matrix, $\left[A^{\dagger}\right] \subset \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$ is said to be a determinantal fiber. If $\left[A^{f}\right]$ is a determinantal fiber then $\left[A^{f}\right] \subset \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$ is determinantal.
6.1. Whitney equisingularity for family of functions. In [CNBOOT20], the authors proved that a good family of functions $\left\{f_{t}:\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{t \in D}$ defined on a family $\left\{\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)\right\}$ of IDS is Whitney equisingular if, and only if, all the polar multiplicities $m_{i}\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right), \mathfrak{i}=0, \ldots, d$ and $m_{i}\left(\left[A_{t}^{f_{t}}\right], 0\right), \mathfrak{i}=$ $0, \ldots, d-1$ are constant on the family, provided that $\left[A_{t}^{f_{t}}\right]$ is a determinantal fiber for all $t \in D$.

Let $\mathcal{A}:\left(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{n, k}, 0\right)$ be a determinantal deformation of $[A]$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F: \quad([\mathcal{A}], 0) & \rightarrow \\
(t, x) & \mapsto F(t, x):=\left(t, f_{t}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

be an unfolding of $f$. Then, we say that:
(i) $F$ is origin preserving if $0 \in\left[A_{t}\right]$ and $f_{t}(0)=0$ for all $t$ small enough. Then $F$ is a 1-parameter family of map germs $\left\{f_{t}:\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right) \rightarrow\right.$ $(\mathbb{C}, 0)\}_{t \in \mathrm{D}}$, where D is an open disc around the origin;
(ii) $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}:\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ is a good family if there is a representative defined in $D \times U$, such that $\left[A_{t}\right] \backslash 0$ is smooth and $f_{t}$ is regular on $\left[A_{t}\right] \backslash 0$, for any $t \in D$, where $D$ and $U$ are neighbourhoods of the origin in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{m}$, respectively;
(iii) $\left\{f_{t}:\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ is Whitney equisingular if it is a good family and there is a representative as in item (ii) which admits a regular stratification ${ }^{1}$ given by $\mathcal{V}=\left\{[\mathcal{A}] \backslash \mathrm{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{~T}), \mathrm{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{~T}) \backslash S, S\right\}$ in the source and $\mathcal{V}^{\prime}=\{(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}\}$ in the target, where $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{D} \times 0 \subset$ $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{D} \times 0 \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$.
Now we assume $f$ being a germ of function smooth outside the origin. Suppose that the Newton polyhedra $\Delta$ of $A$ is elementary bounded, the Newton polyhedron $\Delta_{f}$ of the function $f$ has $\widetilde{\Delta}_{f}$ bounded and $m \leq 2(k-$ $n+2)$. Let $\left\{\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{t \in D}$ be a determinantal family, with $A_{0}=A$ and $F$ : $([\mathcal{A}], 0) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$ an unfolding, with $\mathrm{f}_{0}=\mathrm{f}$.

Corollary 6.1. If $f_{t}$ is smooth outside the origin, the Newton polyhedron of $A_{t}$ and $f_{t}$ are independent of $t$, the leading coefficients of $A_{t}$ are in strong general position and $\left[\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}}\right]$ is a determinantal fiber, for all $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}$, then the family $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}\right.$ : $\left.\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{t \in \mathrm{D}}$ is Whitney equisingular.

Proof. Since $\left[A^{f_{t}}\right]$ is a determinantal fiber, for all $t \in D$, by [CNBOOT2o, Theorem 5.3], the family $\left\{f_{t}:\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{t \in D}$ is Whitney equisingular if, and only if, the families $\left\{\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathbb{C}}$ and $\left\{\left(\left[A_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ are Whitney equisingular. By Theorem 5.1, the family $\left\{\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{t \in D}$ is Whitney equisingular. Furthermore, $f_{t}$ is smooth outside the origin and the leading

[^0]coefficients of $A_{t}$ are in strong general position, then the leading coefficients of $A_{t}^{f_{t}}$ are also in strong general position. Lastly, once $\Delta$ is elementary bounded and $\widetilde{\Delta}_{f}$ is bounded, $\Delta^{f_{t}}$ is elementary bounded, where $\Delta^{f_{t}}$ is the Newton polyhedron of $A^{f_{t}}$, for all $t \in D$. By Theorem 5.1, the family $\left\{\left(\left[A_{t}^{f_{t}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{t \in \mathrm{D}}$ is Whitney equisingular. Consequently, the family $\left\{f_{t}:\left(\left[A_{t}\right], 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{t \in D}$ is Whitney equisingular.
6.2. Constancy of Morse points. In [ANNOOT16] is presented a formula relating the local Euler obstruction of $f$ to the vanishing Euler characteristic of the fiber $\left[A^{f}\right]$, where $f:([A], 0) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is an analytic function germ with isolated singularity on an IDS.

Using the results of [ANNOOT16, DuGJi4] and the hypothesis that $\left[A^{f}\right]$ is a determinantal fiber, we establish a Lê-Greuel type formula for germs of functions $f, g:[A] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with stratified isolated singularity.

To present the next result it is necessary the following definition.
Definition 6.2. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a good stratification ${ }^{2}$ of $X$ relative to $f$. We say that $\mathrm{g}:(\mathrm{X}, 0) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is prepolar with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ at the origin if the origin is a stratified singularity of g .

Corollary 6.3. Let $[\mathcal{A}]$ be a d-dimensional IDS, $f:([A], 0) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a function with isolated stratified singularity at the origin, $g:([A], 0) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a prepolar function with respect to a good stratification $\mathcal{V}$ of $[\mathcal{A}]$ relative to $f$ at 0 . Suppose that $\left[A^{g}\right]$ is a determinantal fiber, then

$$
v\left([A] \cap f^{-1}(0)\right)+v\left(\left[A^{g}\right] \cap f^{-1}(0)\right)=n_{\text {reg }},
$$

where $\mathrm{n}_{\text {reg }}$ is the number of Morse points which appear in a stratified morsification of f in a small neighbourhood of 0 .

Proof. Since $[A]$ is an IDS, $\left[A^{g}\right]$ is a determinantal fiber and $g$ is a prepolar function with respect to a good stratification $\mathcal{V}$ of $[A]$ relative to $f$ at 0 , both $[\mathcal{A}]$ and $\left[\mathcal{A}^{9}\right]$ are IDS. Thus, by [ANNOOT16, Proposition 3.7], the following equations hold

$$
\begin{align*}
v\left([A] \cap f^{-1}(0)\right) & =(-1)^{\mathrm{d}-1}\left[\left(X\left([A] \cap f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \cap B_{\varepsilon}\right)-1\right],\right.  \tag{6.1}\\
v\left(\left[\mathcal{A}^{g}\right] \cap \mathrm{f}^{-1}(0)\right) & =(-1)^{\mathrm{d}-2}\left[\left(X\left(\left[\mathcal{A}^{g}\right] \cap \mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \cap B_{\varepsilon}\right)-1\right] .\right. \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, adding Eq. (6.1), (6.2) and applying [DuGJ14, Theorem 4.4]

$$
(-1)^{\mathrm{d}-1}\left[\left(\chi\left([A] \cap \mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \cap \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right)-\left(\chi\left(\left[\mathcal{A}^{9}\right] \cap \mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \cap \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]=\mathrm{n}_{\text {reg }} .\right.\right.
$$

Therefore,

$$
v\left([A] \cap f^{-1}(0)\right)+v\left(\left[A^{g}\right] \cap f^{-1}(0)\right)=(-1)^{d-1}(-1)^{d-1} n_{\text {reg }}=n_{\text {reg }} .
$$

[^1]Corollary 6.4. Under the assumptions of Corollary 6.3, suppose that the Newton polyhedra $\Delta_{f}, \Delta$ and $\Delta^{g}$ of the function $f$, the matrix $\mathcal{A}$ and the matrix $\mathcal{A}^{g}$, respectively, have $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{f}}, \widetilde{\Delta}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}^{g}$ bounded, and the leading coefficients of df are in general position with respect to $A$ and $A^{9}$, respectively. Then, $n_{\text {reg }}$ is given in terms of mixed volumes of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{f}, \widetilde{\Delta}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}^{g}$.

Proof. It follows directly from Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 6.3.
As a consequence of this result, it is possible to guarantee that $n_{\text {reg }}$ is constant on families.

Corollary 6.5. Under the assumptions of Corollaries 6.3 and 6.4, suppose that for all $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}$, the leading coefficients of $\mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{t}}$ are in general position with respect to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $A_{t}^{g}$, respectively. If the Newton polyhedra of $f_{t}, g_{t}$ and $A_{t}$ are independent of t , where $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{t}}:\left(\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ and $\left\{\left(\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{t}}\right], 0\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}}$ are families of functions and IDS, respectively, then $\mathrm{n}_{\text {reg }}$ is constant for $\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{D}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ By a regular stratification, we mean a Whitney stratification where F satisfies the Thom condition.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The concept of good stratification may be found on [MAs96].

