

# A NOTE ON THE FILTERED DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

ZEBAO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We generalize the decomposition of Deligne-Illusie to the simplicial setting, and prove that the simplicial decomposition theorem preserves the diagonal filtration. As a remark, we provide a mod  $p$  proof for a vanishing theorem in characteristic zero. As an application, we give a positive characteristic analogue of Deligne's theorem on the mixed Hodge structure attached to a complex algebraic variety.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. The theory of Deligne-Illusie	4
2.2. Simplicial schemes	7
2.3. The weight filtration	11
2.4. The spectral sequence	11
3. Filtered decomposition theorem	12
3.1. The mixed structure of $\varphi_X$	12
3.2. $\varphi_{X_\bullet}$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism	15
4. Proof of Theorem 1.6	15
4.1. de Rham, Higgs-de Rham, Higgs Čech complexes	16
4.2. The image of $\rho_{\text{MHS}}$	16
4.3. The functoriality of $\rho_{\text{MHS}}$	16
5. Proof of Theorem 1.7	18
5.1. The image of $\rho_{\text{dR}}$	18
5.2. The functoriality of $\rho_{\text{dR}}$	22
6. Further discussion	23
6.1. Kashiwara's weight filtration	23
6.2. Generalized decomposition theorems	23
References	25

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and let  $X$  be a smooth  $k$ -scheme equipped with a normal crossing divisor (NCD)  $D \subset X$ . Assume that  $(X, D)$  is  $W_2(k)$ -liftable. Then Deligne-Illusie [DI, Thm. 2.1] (see also [IL02, Thm. 5.1], [EV, Thm. 10.16]) showed the following cohomological Hodge decomposition in positive characteristic:

**Theorem 1.1** (Deligne-Illusie). *Notation and assumption as above. Then*

$$\tau_{<p} F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i] \text{ in } D(X').$$

There are various extensions of Theorem 1.1, such as Ogus-Vologodsky [OV, Cor. 2.27], Schepler [Sche, Thm. 5.7]. Recently, Illusie kindly informed us that he has generalized Theorem 1.1 to a lci scheme over  $k$  by the derived de Rham complex. We extend Theorem 1.1 to a filtered version in the simplicial setting, following the spirit of Deligne-Illusie [DI]. Let  $\tilde{X}_\bullet$  be a smooth separated simplicial  $W_2(k)$ -scheme of finite type, which equipped with a simplicial normal crossing divisor  $\tilde{D}_\bullet \subset \tilde{X}_\bullet$  relative to  $W_2(k)$ . set  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)/k$  to be the closed fiber of  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet)/W_2(k)$ . Assume that the relative Frobenius  $F_{X_\bullet/k}$  is locally simplicial log liftable (see Definition 2.16). Then we prove a simplicial version of Theorem 1.1:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Notation and assumption as above. Then*

$$\tau_{<p} F_{X_\bullet/k*} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'_\bullet/k}^i(\log D'_\bullet)[-i] \text{ in } D(X'_\bullet).$$

As shown in complex Hodge theory, one can define the de Rham diagonal filtration  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$  on the logarithmic de Rham complex  $\Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)$  (see [DI, (7.1.6.1)], [CEGT, Def. 3.4.19]) in positive characteristic. On the other hand, one can use the similar way to construct a Higgs diagonal filtration on  $\bigoplus_i \Omega_{X'_\bullet/k}^i(\log D'_\bullet)[-i]$ , denoted by  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}$ . They are related as follows:

$$F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}, j} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet) = \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}, j} \bigoplus_i \Omega_{X'_\bullet/k}^i(\log D'_\bullet)[-i].$$

Observing that the left (resp. right) hand side of (1.2.1) inherits a filtration from  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$  (resp.  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}$ ), again denoted by  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$  (resp.  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}$ ). We prove a filtered version of Theorem 1.2, which can be regarded as a cohomological mixed Hodge decomposition in positive characteristic:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Notation and assumption as above. We have filtered isomorphism in  $D(X')$  (see Definition 3.8):*

$$(\tau_{<p} F_{X_\bullet/k*} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet), \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}) \cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'_\bullet/k}^i(\log D'_\bullet)[-i], \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}} \right).$$

As a remark of Theorem 1.3, we give a mod  $p$  proof for

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p$ ,  $D \subset X$  a NCD,  $L$  an ample line bundle on  $X$ . Then for any  $l$ , we have:*

$$\mathbb{H}^i(X, W_l \Omega_{X/K}^j(\log D) \otimes L) = 0 \quad \text{for } i + j > \dim(X).$$

Note that if  $D$  is a simple normal crossing divisor (SNCD), then the above theorem is an easy consequence of Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem (see [DI, Thm. 6.10]).

One application of Theorem 1.3 is a positive characteristic analogue of

**Theorem 1.5** (Deligne [D3, Thm. 8.2.2]). *The cohomology of complex algebraic varieties is endowed with a mixed Hodge structure (MHS) functorial with respect to algebraic maps.*

We may ask what the positive characteristic analogue of a Hodge structure is. In this paper, we take the candidate to be the Fontaine-Laffaille module (see Definition 2.7). Set  $\text{MF}(k)$  to be the abelian category of Fontaine-Laffaille modules,  $\text{FMF}(k)$  the abelian category of filtered Fontaine-Laffaille modules. Denote by  $\text{Sch}_{W_2(k)\bullet, \log}^{\text{sp, lsll}}$  the category of some smooth proper simplicial schemes  $\tilde{X}_\bullet$  over  $W_2(k)$  equipped with a relative simplicial NCD  $\tilde{D}_\bullet \subset \tilde{X}_\bullet$  (see Definition 2.22).

**Theorem 1.6.** *For any  $m \geq 0$ , there is a natural functor*

$$(1.6.1) \quad \rho_{\text{MHS}} : \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)\bullet, \log}^{\text{sp, lsll}} \rightarrow \text{FMF}(k),$$

such that

$$\rho_{\text{MHS}}(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet) = (\mathbb{H}^m(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)), \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}})$$

for  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)\bullet, \log}^{\text{sp, lsll}}$  with closed fiber  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)/k$ .

The other application concerns the bifiltered complex

$$(\Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet), \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}, \text{Fil})$$

for  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)\bullet, \log}^{\text{sp, lsll}}$  with closed fiber  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)/k$ . Let  $\pi_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  be the structural morphism of  $X_\bullet$ . Note that the similar bifiltered complex of (1.6.2) over  $\mathbb{C}$  is a cohomological mixed Hodge complex, which is shown by [D3, Thm. 8.1.15]. According to the basic properties of a cohomological mixed Hodge complex, we expect that: (i) the spectral sequence of

$$(R(\pi_\bullet)_* \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet), \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}})$$

starting from  $E_1$  page takes values in  $\text{MF}(k)$ ; (ii) that spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_2$ . The following Theorem 1.7 gives an affirmative answer for (i). Unfortunately, the proof of (ii) is beyond my ability, since I do not know how to characterize the purity of a Fontaine-Laffaille module as the purity of a Hodge structure. Denote by

$$\{E_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), d_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}\}_{r \geq 1}, \{E_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), d_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}\}_{r \geq 1}$$

the spectral sequence of (1.6.2) and

$$(R(\pi_\bullet)_* \bigoplus_i \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^i(\log D_\bullet)[-i], \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}})$$

starting from  $E_1$ -page, respectively. Set  $E_{r \geq 1}/\text{MF}(k)$ ,  $E_{r \geq 1}/\text{Vec}_k$  to be the abelian categories of spectral sequences starting from  $E_1$ -page over  $\text{MF}(k)$ ,  $\text{Vec}_k$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)_\bullet, \log}^{\text{sp}, \text{lsl}}$  with closed fiber  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)/k$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \{E_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), d_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}\}_{r \geq 1} &\in E_{r \geq 1}/\text{MF}(k), \\ \{E_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), d_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}\}_{r \geq 1} &\in E_{r \geq 1}/\text{Vec}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (1.7.1) induces the following functors:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\text{dR}} &: \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)_\bullet, \log}^{\text{sp}, \text{lsl}} \rightarrow E_{r \geq 1}/\text{MF}(k), \\ \rho_{\text{Hig}} &: \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)_\bullet, \log}^{\text{sp}, \text{lsl}} \rightarrow E_{r \geq 1}/\text{Vec}_k. \end{aligned}$$

The above functors are related by

$$\rho_{\text{Hig}} = F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} \circ \rho_{\text{dR}},$$

where  $F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} : E_{r \geq 1}/\text{MF}(k) \rightarrow E_{r \geq 1}/\text{Vec}_k$  is the natural functor.

The above theorem shows that (1.6.2) is a positive characteristic analogue of a cohomological mixed Hodge complex. There is a phenomenon different from the classical Hodge theory in positive characteristic. Based on the proof of Theorem 1.5, Theorem 5.1 should be derived as a consequence of Theorem 1.7, but in fact it is a direct conclusion of Theorem 1.3.

Finally, we propose the following conjecture, which is regarded as a positive characteristic analogue of [CEGT, Cor. 8.3.28]:

**Conjecture 1.8.** Notation and assumption as in Theorem 1.1. Let  $(E', \theta')$  be a nilpotent logarithmic Higgs sheaf of level  $\leq l$  on  $(X', D')/k$ , and let  $(H, \nabla) = C^{-1}(E', \theta')$  on  $(X, D)/k$ . Then:

- (i) Kashiwara's weight filtration [CEGT, Def. 8.3.26] applies to  $\Omega^*(H, \nabla)$  and  $\Omega^*(E, \theta)$ , denoted by  $W_{\text{dR}}, W_{\text{Hig}}$ , respectively;
- (ii) we have the following filtered isomorphism in  $D(X')$ :

$$(\tau_{< p-l} F_{X_\bullet/k} \Omega^*(H, \nabla), W_{\text{dR}}) \cong (\tau_{< p-l} \Omega^*(E', \theta'), W_{\text{Hig}}).$$

The evidence used to support the above conjecture is summarized as follows: [Sche, Thm. 5.7], [SZ, Thm. 3.1] and Theorem 1.3. For more details, readers may refer to §6. We would like to remind readers that the above conjecture can be generalized to the simplicial setting.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. The theory of Deligne-Illusie.** For this section, readers may refer to [DI, IL02], [EV, §8-10]. Let  $k$  be a perfect field of positive characteristic  $p$ ,  $X$  a smooth proper variety over  $k$  of dimension  $n$ ,  $D \subset X$  normal crossing divisor. Assume and fix a  $W_2(k)$ -lifting  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})$  of  $(X, D)$ . Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{F_{X/k}} & X' & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X/k}} & X \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Spec}(k) & \xrightarrow{F_k} & \text{Spec}(k), \end{array}$$

where the right square is cartesian,  $F_k$  and  $F_X = \pi_{X/k} \circ F_{X/k}$  are the Frobenius endomorphisms of  $\text{Spec}(k)$  and  $X$  respectively. Note that we may take  $X' = X$

as abstract schemes and  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})$  induces a  $W_2(k)$ -lifting  $(\tilde{X}', \tilde{D}')$  of  $(X', D' = \pi_{X/k}^* D)$ . Choose an open affine covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$  of  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_\alpha\}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \{\tilde{U}_\alpha\}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}' = \{\tilde{U}'_\alpha\}$  be the corresponding coverings of  $X', \tilde{X}, \tilde{X}'$  respectively. By affineness, there exists a family  $\{\tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k} : \tilde{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{U}'_\alpha\}$  of log liftings such that  $F_{U_\alpha/k} = \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k} \times_{W_2(k)} k$  and  $\tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}^* \tilde{D}' = p\tilde{D}$  for any  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say the family  $\{U_\alpha, \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}\}$  constructed as above is a D-I atlas for  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k)$ .

Choose and fix such an atlas. Then we have the following:

**Lemma 2.2** (Deligne-Illusie). *Notation as above. Then there are two families*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\zeta_{\alpha_0} : \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D')|_{U'_{\alpha_0}} \rightarrow F_* \Omega_{X/k}(\log D)|_{U_{\alpha_0}}\}, \\ & \{h_{\alpha_0\alpha_1} : \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D')|_{U'_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}} \rightarrow F_* \mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}}\}, \end{aligned}$$

such that

- (i)  $\zeta_{\alpha_1} - \zeta_{\alpha_0} = dh_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}$ ;
- (ii) (cocycle condition)  $h_{\alpha_0\alpha_1} + h_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} = h_{\alpha_0\alpha_2}$ .

By the above lemma, Deligne-Illusie proved Theorem 1.1. The trick for showing Theorem 1.1 can be illustrated by the following diagram of quasi-isomorphisms:

$$(2.2.1) \quad \tau_{<p} F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D) \xrightarrow{\iota} \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p} F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \varphi_X \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')[-i], \end{array}$$

where  $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p} F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))$  is the single complex associated to the double complex  $\check{\mathcal{C}}^*(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p} F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))$ ,  $\tau_{<p}$  a truncation,  $\iota$  the natural augmentation, and  $\varphi$  a quasi-isomorphism. Recall that

**Construction 2.3.** The morphism  $\varphi_X$  in (2.2.1) is constructed as follows:

- $\varphi_X^0$  is clear;
- $\varphi_X^i = (\varphi_X^1)^{\cup i} \delta_i$  for  $0 < i < p$ , where  $\delta_i$  is the standard section of the natural projection  $\Omega_{X'/k}^{\otimes i}(\log D') \rightarrow \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D')$ ;
- $\varphi_X^1 = \varphi_X(0, 1) \oplus \varphi_X(1, 0)$ , where

$$\varphi_X(0, 1) : \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D') \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}^0(\mathcal{U}', F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}(\log D))$$

is given by  $\varphi_X(0, 1)(\omega) = \{\zeta_\alpha(\omega)\}$ , and

$$\varphi_X(1, 0) : \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D') \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}^1(\mathcal{U}', F_{X/k*} \mathcal{O}_X)$$

is given by  $\varphi_X(1, 0)(\omega) = \{h_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}(\omega)\}$ .

Another less elegant construction of  $\varphi$  is necessary for later use, which has been given by [SZ, (3.1.2)] in a much more general form. Let

$$\tilde{\varphi}^i = \bigoplus_{r+s=i} \tilde{\varphi}(r, s) : \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D') \rightarrow \bigoplus_{r+s=i} \check{\mathcal{C}}^r(\mathcal{U}', F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D))$$

be the  $i$ -th component of  $\varphi$ . Furthermore, for any  $(r, s)$ -th component  $\tilde{\varphi}(r, s)$  of  $\tilde{\varphi}^i$ , we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r, s) = \prod_{\underline{\alpha}=(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r)} \tilde{\varphi}(r, s)_{\underline{\alpha}} : \Omega_{X'/k}^i(\log D) \rightarrow \prod_{\underline{\alpha}} j_{U'_{\underline{\alpha}}} F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D)|_{U'_{\underline{\alpha}}},$$

where  $U'_{\underline{\alpha}} = U'_{\alpha_0} \cap \dots \cap U'_{\alpha_r}$  and  $j_{U'_{\underline{\alpha}}} : U'_{\underline{\alpha}} \hookrightarrow X'$  is the natural inclusion. To construct  $\tilde{\varphi}$ , it is enough to construct each  $\tilde{\varphi}(r, s)_{\underline{\alpha}}$ . Note that  $\tilde{\varphi}(0, 0)_{\alpha_0}$  is clear.

**Construction 2.4.** Let  $r, s \geq 0$  satisfy  $0 < r + s \leq n < p$  and  $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r)$ . Set

$$(2.4.1) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(r, s)_{\underline{\alpha}} = \sum_{\underline{p} \in P(r, s)} \zeta_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{p}} h_{\underline{\alpha}} \delta_{r+s},$$

where

- $P(r, s) = \{(s_0, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1} : s_0 + \dots + s_r = s\}$ ;
- $\zeta_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{p}} h_{\underline{\alpha}} : \Omega_{X'/k}^{r+s}(\log D) \rightarrow j_{U'_{\underline{\alpha}}} F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D)|_{U'_{\underline{\alpha}}}$ . Let  $\underline{p} = (s_0, \dots, s_r) \in P(r, s)$  and

$$\omega_{i_1}^0, \dots, \omega_{i_{s_0}}^0, \dots, \omega_{i_1}^r, \dots, \omega_{i_{s_r}}^r, \omega_{i_1}, \dots, \omega_{i_r} \in \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D),$$

then  $\zeta_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{p}} h_{\underline{\alpha}}(\omega_{i_1}^0 \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_{i_{s_0}}^0 \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_{i_1}^r \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_{i_{s_r}}^r \otimes \omega_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_{i_r})$  is equal to

$$h_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}(\omega_{i_1}) \cdots h_{\alpha_{r-1} \alpha_r}(\omega_{i_r}) \zeta_{\alpha_0}(\omega_{i_1}^0) \wedge \dots \wedge \zeta_{\alpha_0}(\omega_{i_{s_0}}^0) \wedge \dots \wedge \zeta_{\alpha_r}(\omega_{i_1}^r) \wedge \dots \wedge \zeta_{\alpha_r}(\omega_{i_{s_r}}^r);$$

**Lemma 2.5.**  $\varphi_X = \tilde{\varphi}$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\varphi_X^0 = \tilde{\varphi}^0, \varphi_X^1 = \tilde{\varphi}^1$ . For  $i > 0$ , it is enough expand  $(\varphi_X(0, 1) \oplus \varphi_X(1, 0))^{\cup i} \delta_i$ , then direct computation shows that  $\varphi_X^i = \tilde{\varphi}^i$ . We remaind the readers that  $(\varphi_X(0, 1) \oplus \varphi_X(1, 0))^{\cup i} \neq \sum_{\underline{p} \in P(r, s)} \zeta_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{p}} h_{\underline{\alpha}}$  in general for  $i > 1$ .  $\square$

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.6.** *Notation as in Theorem 1.1, and assume  $\dim(X) < p$ . Then the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence of  $(X, D)/k$*

$$(2.6.1) \quad E_1^{i,j} = H^j(X, \Omega_{X/k}^i(\log D)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{i+j}(X, \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))$$

*degenerates at  $E_1$ .*

Recall that if  $M$  is a compact Kähler manifold, then the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence of  $M$  degenerates at  $E_1$ . Moreover, by a Kähler metric on  $M$ , one can establish a Hodge decomposition (see e.g. [GH, page 116]):

$$(2.6.2) \quad \mathbb{H}^m(X, \Omega_{M/\mathbb{C}}^*) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=m} H^j(X, \Omega_{M/\mathbb{C}}^i).$$

In positive characteristic, we shall use the quasi-isomorphism  $\varphi$  to establish an analogue of Hodge decomposition (2.6.2):

$$(2.6.3) \quad \psi : F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} \mathbb{H}^m(X, \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)) \cong \mathbb{H}^m(X, \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)).$$

The above isomorphism suggests us to make the following:

**Definition 2.7** (Faltings [Fa, page 31], Fontaine-Laffaille [FL]). We say a triple  $(V, \text{Fil}, \psi)$  is a Fontaine-Laffaille module <sup>1</sup> over  $k$ , if  $V$  is a finite dimension vector space over  $k$ ,  $\text{Fil}$  a decreasing filtration on  $V$ ,  $\psi : F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} V \cong V$  an isomorphism. A morphism between two Fontaine-Laffaille modules

$$f : (V_1, \text{Fil}_1, \psi_1) \rightarrow (V_2, \text{Fil}_2, \psi_2)$$

is a filtration-preserving  $k$ -linear map  $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  which fits into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & V_2 \\ \psi_1 \uparrow & & \uparrow \psi_2 \\ F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_1} V_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} f} & F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}_2} V_2. \end{array}$$

Denote by  $\text{MF}(k)$  the category of Fontaine-Laffaille modules.

It is well-known that the category of Hodge structures is an abelian category and the morphisms are strict, i.e., if  $f : (H_1, \text{Fil}_1) \rightarrow (H_2, \text{Fil}_2)$  is a morphism of Hodge structures, then

$$f(\text{Fil}^i H_1) = f(H_1) \cap \text{Fil}^i H_2.$$

For the category  $\text{MF}(k)$ , we have the similar properties:

**Lemma 2.8** ([Fa, 2.1]).  $\text{MF}(k)$  is an abelian category and the morphisms in  $\text{MF}(k)$  are strict.

The above lemma shows why we take the Fontaine-Laffaille module as the positive characteristic analog of Hodge structure.

**2.2. Simplicial schemes.** For this subsection, readers may refer to [CEGT, §3.4.2.1], [Ols, §2.4]. Firstly, we introduce the simplicial category below.

**Definition 2.9.** The simplicial category  $\Delta$  is defined as follows:

- Objects:  $\Delta_q = \{0, \dots, q\}$ ,  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;  
 Morphisms:  $f : \Delta_q \rightarrow \Delta_{q'}$  is a morphism in  $\Delta$  if it is a strictly increasing map, i.e.  $f(i) < f(j)$  for any  $i < j$  and  $q \leq q'$ .

Let  $S$  be a noetherian scheme, set  $\text{Sch}_{S, \log}^s$  to be the category of smooth noetherian  $S$ -scheme together with a NCD relative to  $S$ . Note that a morphism  $f : (Y, E) \rightarrow (X, D)$  in  $\text{Sch}_{S, \log}^s$  is an  $S$ -morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  subjects to  $f^{-1}D \subset E$ .

**Definition 2.10.** A smooth simplicial scheme over  $S$  with a simplicial NCD relative to  $S$  is a contravariant functor  $T$  from  $\Delta$  to  $\text{Sch}_{S, \log}^s$  such that  $T(\Delta_q) = \emptyset$  for  $q \gg 0$ . Denote by  $\text{Sch}_{S, \log}^s$  the corresponding category. We always rewrite  $T$  by the symbols  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), (Y_\bullet, E_\bullet), \dots$  (or  $X_\bullet, Y_\bullet, \dots$  if  $D_i = \emptyset, E_i = \emptyset, \dots$  for any  $i \geq 0$ ). Moreover, we set

$$(X_q, D_q) := (X_\bullet, D_\bullet)(\Delta_q) \in \text{Sch}_{S, \log}^s.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>It is a special case of Fontaine-Faltings module.

**Remark 2.11.** There are two inclusions

$$(2.11.1) \quad \text{In}_S^1, \text{In}_S^2 : \text{Sch}_{S, \log}^s \rightarrow \text{Sch}_{S_\bullet, \log}^s,$$

where  $\text{In}_S^1(X, D)(\Delta_q) = \emptyset$  for  $q > 0$  and  $\text{In}_S^1(X, D)(\Delta_0) = (X, D)$ ;  $\text{In}_S^2(X, D)(\Delta_q) = (X, D)$  for all  $q \geq 0$  and  $\text{In}_S^2(X, D)(f) = \text{Id}_X$  for any morphism  $f$  in  $\Delta$ . In particular, let  $\pi_q : (X_q, D_q) \rightarrow (S, \emptyset)$  be the structural morphism, then it is easy to see that all the  $\pi_q$ 's induce a morphism  $\pi_\bullet : (X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \rightarrow \text{In}_S^2(S, \emptyset)$ . We say  $\pi_\bullet$  is the structural morphism of  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)$ .

The theory of Deligne-Illusie can be easily generalized to the simplicial case.

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{S_\bullet, \log}^s$ .

(i) A simplicial sheaf of abelian groups  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$  on  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)$  consisting of the following data:

- A family of sheaves  $\mathcal{F}_i$  on  $X_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots$ );
- For any morphism  $f : \Delta_q \rightarrow \Delta_{q'}$  in  $\Delta$ , there is a morphism

$$\mathcal{F}_\bullet(f) : \mathcal{F}_q \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{F}_{q'}$$

such that we have the compatibility  $f_*\mathcal{F}_\bullet(f') \circ \mathcal{F}_\bullet(f) = \mathcal{F}_\bullet(f' \circ f)$  for any another  $f' : \Delta_{q'} \rightarrow \Delta_{q''}$ .

(ii) A morphism  $\alpha_\bullet : \mathcal{F}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\bullet$  between two simplicial sheaves of abelian groups consisting of the following data:

- For each  $q \geq 0$ , there is a morphism  $\alpha_q : \mathcal{F}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_q$ ;
- For any  $f : \Delta_q \rightarrow \Delta_{q'}$ , we have the following compatibility:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_q & \xrightarrow{\alpha_q} & \mathcal{G}_q \\ \mathcal{F}_\bullet(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{G}_\bullet(f) \\ f_*\mathcal{F}_{q'} & \xrightarrow{f_*\alpha_{q'}} & f_*\mathcal{G}_{q'}. \end{array}$$

**Remark 2.13.** (i) Note that the category of simplicial sheaves of abelian groups on  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{S_\bullet, \log}^s$  is an abelian category. Denote it by  $\text{Ab}(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)$ . (ii) Let  $\alpha_\bullet^* : \mathcal{F}_\bullet^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\bullet^*$  be a morphism in  $C(\text{Ab}(X_\bullet, D_\bullet))$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $\alpha_\bullet^*$  is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if  $\alpha_i^* : \mathcal{F}_i^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_i^*$  is a quasi-isomorphism of sheaves of abelian groups on  $X_i$  for any  $i \geq 0$ .

**Example 2.14** (Normal crossing spaces). (i) Let  $X$  be a smooth noetherian scheme over  $S$  and  $D = \sum_{i \in I} D_i$  a simple normal crossing divisor on  $X$ , where  $I = \{0, \dots, m\}$  for some  $m \geq 0$  and each  $D_i$  is a smooth component of  $D$ . Set

$$X_q = \sqcup_{J \subset I, |J|=q+1} D_J, \quad q \geq 0, \quad D_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} D_j.$$

Note that a morphism  $f : \Delta_q \rightarrow \Delta_{q'}$  in  $\Delta$  induces a morphism from  $X_{q'}$  to  $X_q$ . In fact, for any  $J' = \{i_0, \dots, i_{q'}\}$  with  $i_0 < \dots < i_{q'}$ , we can construct a subset  $J = \{i_{f(0)}, \dots, i_{f(q)}\} \subset J'$ . Using the natural inclusion  $D_{J'} \subset D_J$ , there is a morphism  $X_\bullet(f) : X_{q'} \rightarrow X_q$ . Consequently, we obtain a simplicial  $S$ -scheme  $X_\bullet$ . In particular, if  $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $X_\bullet$  is the hypercovering of  $D$ . (ii) Furthermore, for any  $I' \subset I$ , we use  $D' = \sum_{i \in I'} D_i$  to construct a simplicial  $S$ -scheme  $X'_\bullet$  as in (i) and then use  $D - D'$  to attach a simplicial divisor on  $X'_\bullet$ .



**Definition 2.15.** We say the family  $\mathcal{U}_\bullet = \{(U_{\alpha,\bullet}, D_{\alpha,\bullet}) \in \text{Sch}_{S_\bullet, \log}^s\}_\alpha$  is a simplicial open covering of  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{S_\bullet, \log}^s$  if, for any  $q \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_q = \{(U_{\alpha,q}, D_{\alpha,q})\}_\alpha$  is an open covering of  $(X_q, D_q)$ .

Now set  $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ . Let  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)_\bullet, \log}^s$  with closed fiber  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{k_\bullet, \log}^s$ . Similarly, we can consider  $(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), (\tilde{X}'_\bullet, \tilde{D}'_\bullet)$  and the simplicial relative Frobenius  $F_{X_\bullet/k}$ .

**Definition 2.16.** We say the simplicial relative Frobenius  $F_{X_\bullet/k}$  is locally simplicial log  $W_2(k)$ -liftable, if there is a simplicial open covering  $\{(U_{\alpha,\bullet}, D_{\alpha,\bullet}) \in \text{Sch}_{k_\bullet, \log}^s\}_\alpha$  of  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)$  such that, for any  $\alpha$ ,  $F_{U_{\alpha,\bullet}/k}$  is the closed fiber of a simplicial  $W_2(k)$ -morphism  $\tilde{F}_{U_{\alpha,\bullet}/k} : (\tilde{U}_{\alpha,\bullet}, \tilde{D}_{\alpha,\bullet}) \rightarrow (\tilde{U}'_{\alpha,\bullet}, \tilde{D}'_{\alpha,\bullet})$  with  $\tilde{F}_{X_\bullet/k}^* \tilde{D}'_{\alpha,\bullet} = p\tilde{D}_{\alpha,\bullet}$ .

**Remark 2.17.** (i) For a general  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet)$ ,  $F_{X_\bullet/k}$  may not be locally simplicial log  $W_2(k)$ -liftable. If  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet)$  is support on some  $q \geq 0$ , then  $F_{X_\bullet/k}$  is locally simplicial  $W_2(k)$ -liftable. (ii) If  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet)$  is constructed as in Example 2.14, then  $F_{X_\bullet/k}$  is locally simplicial log  $W_2(k)$ -liftable.

Note that the simplicial de Rham complex  $\Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)$  can be naturally defined. Similar to Theorem 1.1, we have the following

**Theorem 2.18** (Simplicial decomposition theorem). *Notation as above. Assume  $F_{X_\bullet/k}$  is locally simplicial log  $W_2(k)$ -liftable. Then*

$$(2.18.1) \quad \tau_{<p} F_{X_\bullet/k*} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'_\bullet/k}^i(\log D'_\bullet)[-i] \text{ in } D(X'_\bullet).$$

*Proof.* Using the simplicial D-I atlas  $\{U_{x,\alpha}, \tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k}\}_{x \in I, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  for  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet)/W_2(k)$  constructed as in Lemma 2.19 below, then this theorem follows from the following diagram of quasi-isomorphisms:

$$(2.18.2) \quad \tau_{<p} F_{X_\bullet/k*} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\iota_\bullet} \check{C}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p} F_{X_\bullet/k*} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet))$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \varphi_{X_\bullet} \uparrow \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'_\bullet/k}^i(\log D'_\bullet)[-i], \end{array}$$

where  $\iota_\bullet$  is the natural augmentation, and  $\varphi_{X_\bullet}$  is constructed by the D-I atlas  $\{U_{x,\alpha}, \tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k}\}_{x \in I_q, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.19.** *Notation and assumption as in Theorem 2.18. Then there exists a set  $\mathcal{A}$ , such that one can attach each pair  $(x \in X_q, \alpha \in \mathcal{A})$  a new pair  $(U_{x,\alpha}, \tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k})$  with the following properties:*

- $U_{x,\alpha}$  is either an empty set or an open affine neighborhood of  $x$  and  $x \in \cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_{x,\alpha}$ ;
- $\tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k}$  is a log  $W_2(k)$ -lifting of  $F_{U_{x,\alpha}/k}$ ;
- $\delta_{q-1,i}(U_{x,\alpha}) \subset U_{y,\alpha}, y = \delta_{q-1,i}(x)$  ( $\delta_{q-1,i}$  is given in Definition 2.20);

- Set  $\tilde{D}_{x,\alpha} = \tilde{U}_{x,\alpha} \cap \tilde{D}_q$ ,  $\tilde{D}'_{x,\alpha} = \tilde{U}'_{x,\alpha} \cap \tilde{D}'_q$  and  $\tilde{D}_{y,\alpha} = \tilde{U}_{y,\alpha} \cap \tilde{D}_{q-1}$ ,  $\tilde{D}'_{y,\alpha} = \tilde{U}'_{y,\alpha} \cap \tilde{D}'_{q-1}$ . Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(2.19.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{U}_{x,\alpha}, \tilde{D}_{x,\alpha}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k}} & (\tilde{U}'_{x,\alpha}, \tilde{D}'_{x,\alpha}) \\ \delta_{q-1,i} \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{q-1,i} \\ (\tilde{U}_{y,\alpha}, \tilde{D}_{y,\alpha}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_{U_{y,\alpha}/k}} & (\tilde{U}'_{y,\alpha}, \tilde{D}'_{y,\alpha}). \end{array}$$

Therefore, we can construct a family

$$(2.19.2) \quad \{U_{x,\alpha}, \tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k}\}_{x \in I_q, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}, \quad I = \sqcup_{q \geq 0} I_q, \quad I_q \subset X_q$$

such that  $\{U_{x,\alpha}\}_{x \in I_q, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is an open affine covering of  $X_q$  and  $\delta_{q-1,i}(I_q) \subset I_{q-1}$ . We say such a family is a simplicial  $D$ - $I$  atlas for  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet)/W_2(k)$ .

*Proof.* We construct the assignment claimed in this lemma by induction on  $q$  increasingly. Choose a simplicial covering  $\{U_{\alpha,\bullet}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  of  $X_\bullet$  with simplicial log liftings  $\{\tilde{F}_{U_{\alpha,\bullet}/k}\}$ . Consider the  $q = 0$  case first. Take  $x \in X_0$ . If  $x \in U_{\alpha,0}$ , then we choose an open affine neighborhood  $U_{x,\alpha}$  of  $x$  in  $U_{\alpha,0}$ , and then set  $\tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k} = \tilde{F}_{U_{\alpha,0}/k}|_{\tilde{U}_{x,\alpha}}$ . Otherwise, we set  $U_{x,\alpha} = \emptyset$ . Assume the assignment has been constructed for  $q \leq q_0$ . Take  $x \in X_{q_0+1}$ . If  $x \in U_{\alpha,q_0+1}$ , then we choose an open affine neighborhood  $U_{x,\alpha}$  of  $x$  in  $U_{\alpha,q_0+1}$ , such that  $\delta_{q_0,i}(U_{x,\alpha}) \subset U_{\delta_{q_0,i}(x),\alpha}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq q_0 + 1$ . Take  $\tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k} = \tilde{F}_{U_{\alpha,q_0+1}/k}|_{\tilde{U}_{x,\alpha}}$ . This completes the induction. The family (2.19.2) can be constructed by induction on  $q$  decreasingly. Note that the induction is valid, since  $X_q = \emptyset$  for  $q \gg 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 2.20** ([CEGT, §3.4.2.5]). (i) Let  $\pi_\bullet : (X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \rightarrow (Y_\bullet, E_\bullet)$  be a morphism in  $\text{Sch}_{S_\bullet, \log}^s$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^*$  be a complex of simplicial sheaves of abelian groups on  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)$ . Then we define

$$(2.20.1) \quad R(\pi_\bullet)_\bullet \mathcal{F}_\bullet^* := (\pi_\bullet)_* \mathcal{I}_\bullet^*,$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_\bullet^*$  is an acyclic resolution of  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^*$  (for example, we can take  $\mathcal{I}_\bullet^*$  to be the Godement resolution of  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^*$ ).

(ii) Assume  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet) = \text{In}_S^2(X, D)$  for some  $(X, D) \in \text{Sch}_{S, \log}^s$ . In this case, we say  $\mathcal{F}_\bullet^*$  is a complex of simplicial abelian groups on  $(X, D)$ . For any  $q \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq q + 1$ , let  $\delta_{q,i} : \Delta_q \rightarrow \Delta_{q+1}$  be a morphism in  $\Delta$  such that  $\delta_{q,i}(\Delta_q) = \Delta_{q+1} - \{i\}$ . Then we define the simple complex  $s\mathcal{F}_\bullet^*$  on  $(X, D)$  as follows:

$$(2.20.2) \quad (s\mathcal{F}_\bullet^*)^m := \bigoplus_{q+j=m} \mathcal{F}_q^j, \quad d_{s\mathcal{F}_\bullet^*}|_{\mathcal{F}_q^j} = (d_{\mathcal{F}_q^*} + (-1)^j \sum_{i=0}^{q+1} (-1)^i \mathcal{F}_\bullet^*(\delta_{q,i}))|_{\mathcal{F}_q^j}.$$

(iii) Assume  $(Y_\bullet, E_\bullet) = \text{In}_S^2(Y, E)$  for some  $(Y, E) \in \text{Sch}_{S, \log}^s$ . Then we define

$$(2.20.3) \quad R(\pi_\bullet)_* \mathcal{F}_\bullet^* := s(\pi_\bullet)_* \mathcal{I}_\bullet^*,$$

which is a complex on  $(Y, E)$ . In particular, if  $(Y, E) = (S, \emptyset)$  and  $\pi_\bullet$  is the structural morphism of  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)$ , then we set

$$(2.20.4) \quad \mathbb{H}^m(X_\bullet, \mathcal{F}_\bullet^*) := H^m(\Gamma(S, R(\pi_\bullet)_* \mathcal{F}_\bullet^*)).$$

As an easy consequence of Theorem 2.18, we have the following

**Corollary 2.21** (Simplicial  $E_1$ -degeneration). *Notation and assumption as in Theorem 2.18. Additionally assume that each  $X_i$  is proper over  $k$  of dimension  $< p$ . Then the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence of  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)/k$*

$$(2.21.1) \quad E_1^{i,j} = \mathbb{H}^{i+j}(X_\bullet, \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}^i \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{i+j}(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet))$$

*degenerates at rank one.*

**Definition 2.22.** Let  $\mathrm{Sch}_{W_2(k)_\bullet, \log}^{\mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{lsll}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Sch}_{W_2(k)_\bullet, \log}^s$  determined by the assumptions described in Theorem 2.18 and Corollary 2.21.

Using Theorem 2.21 and the explicit construction of  $\varphi_{X_\bullet}$ , we can endow the  $k$ -vector space  $\mathbb{H}^m(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet))$  with a Fontaine-Laffaille module structure:

$$(2.22.1) \quad \psi : F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}} \mathbb{H}^m(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)) \cong \mathbb{H}^m(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)).$$

**2.3. The weight filtration.** Firstly, we take  $(X, D) \in \mathrm{Sch}_{S, \log}^s$ . Recall that besides the decreasing Hodge filtration  $\mathrm{Fil}$  on the logarithmic de Rham complex  $\Omega_{X/S}^*(\log D)$ , there is an another increasing weight filtration  $W_{\mathrm{dR}}$  on it.

**Definition 2.23** ([CEGT, §3.4.1.2]). There is an increasing filtration  $W_{\mathrm{dR}}$  on  $\Omega_{X/S}^*(\log D)$  defined as follows:

$$W_{\mathrm{dR}, i} \Omega_{X/S}^*(\log D) = \Omega_{X/S}^i(\log D) \wedge \Omega_{X/S}^*[-i] \subset \Omega_{X/S}^*(\log D).$$

Next, we take  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \in \mathrm{Sch}_{S_\bullet, \log}^s$ . Consider the logarithmic de Rham complex  $\Omega_{X_\bullet/S}^*(\log D_\bullet)$  on  $X_\bullet$ .

**Definition 2.24** ([DI, (7.1.6.1)], [CEGT, Def. 3.4.19]). We define an increasing filtration  $\delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{dR}}$  on  $\Omega_{X_\bullet/S}^*(\log D_\bullet)$  as follows:

$$(2.24.1) \quad \delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{dR}, i} \Omega_{X_q/S}^*(\log D_q) := W_{\mathrm{dR}, i+q} \Omega_{X_q/S}^*(\log D_q).$$

**Remark 2.25.** (i) It is easy to see that  $W_{\mathrm{dR}}$  is compatible with  $\delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{dR}}$  under the functor  $\mathrm{In}_S^1$ . (ii) Since  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}} \Omega_{X_\bullet/S}^*(\log D_\bullet) = \bigoplus_i \Omega_{X_\bullet/S}^i(\log D_\bullet)[-i]$ , then the functor  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}$  maps  $\delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{dR}}$  to an increasing filtration on  $\bigoplus_i \Omega_{X_\bullet/S}^i(\log D_\bullet)[-i]$ , denoted by  $\delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{Hig}}$ . More concretely,  $\delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{dR}} = \delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{Hig}}$  on  $\Omega_{X_\bullet/S}^i(\log D_\bullet)$  for any  $i$ .

**2.4. The spectral sequence.** Let  $K^*$  be a complex of abelian groups, and  $F$  a finite decreasing filtration on  $K^*$ .

**Definition 2.26** ([GH, page 440]). (i) The spectral sequence of  $K^*$  associated to  $F$  is defined as follows:

$$E_r^{i,j} = Z_r^{i,j} / B_r^{i,j}, \quad Z_r^{i,j} = \{x \in F^i K^{i+j} : dx \in F^{i+r} K^{i+j+1}\}$$

and  $B_r^{i,j} = dF^i l^{i-r+1} K^{i+j-1} \cap F^i K^{i+j} + \{x \in F^{i+1} K^{i+j} : dx \in F^{i+r} K^{i+j+1}\}$ . Note that we can take  $r = \infty$ .

(ii) Let  $W$  be another finite increasing filtration on  $K^*$ . Set  $\tilde{W}^i = W_{-i}$ , Then we define the spectral sequence of  $K^*$  associated to  $W$  by the spectral sequence of  $K^*$  associated to  $\tilde{W}$ .

## 3. FILTERED DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

**3.1. The mixed structure of  $\varphi_X$ .** Take  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k), \log}^s$  and assume that  $\tilde{D} = \sum_{i \in I} \tilde{D}_i$ , where each  $\tilde{D}_i$  is a smooth component of  $\tilde{D}$ . Choose a D-I atlas  $\{U_\alpha, \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha/k}\}$  for  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k)$ . Then we obtain a quasi-isomorphism constructed by Deligne-Illusie:

$$(3.0.1) \quad \varphi_X : \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^q(\log D')[-q] \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p} F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)).$$

Note that the weight filtration  $W_{\text{dR}}$  on  $\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$  induces a weight filtration on the complex  $\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D))$ , again denoted by  $W_{\text{dR}}$ . One can check that  $\varphi_X$  is a filtered morphism with respect to the weight filtration on both sides. For any  $J \subset I$ , set  $\tilde{D}_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} \tilde{D}_j$ , then  $(\tilde{D}_J, \sum_{i \in I-J} \tilde{D}_i \cap \tilde{D}_J) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k), \log}^s$ . By restriction, there is a D-I atlas  $\{U_\alpha \cap D_J, \tilde{F}_{U_\alpha \cap D_J/k}\}$  for  $(\tilde{D}_J, \sum_{i \in I-J} \tilde{D}_i \cap \tilde{D}_J)$ . Hence, we obtain a quasi-isomorphism  $\varphi_{D_J}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} W_l \varphi_X &: W_{\text{Hig}, l} \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^q(\log D')[-q] \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p} F_{X/k*} W_{\text{dR}, l} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)) \\ \text{Gr}_l^W \varphi_X &: \text{Gr}_l^{W_{\text{Hig}}} \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^q(\log D')[-q] \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', \text{Gr}_l^{W_{\text{dR}}} \tau_{<p} F_{X/k*} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)) \end{aligned}$$

be morphisms induced by  $\varphi_X$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Put an order on  $I$ . Then for any  $0 \leq l \leq q$  and  $J \subset I, |J| = l$ , we define a residue map

$$(3.1.1) \quad \text{Res}_{D_J} : W_l \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{D_J/k}^{q-l}$$

as follows. Let  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$  with  $j_1 < \dots < j_l$ , and let  $\omega \in W_l \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D)$ . Locally, we can write

$$\omega = d \log t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d \log t_l \wedge \omega' + \eta,$$

where  $t_1, \dots, t_l$  are local defining functions of  $D_{j_1}, \dots, D_{j_l}$  and  $\omega' \in \Omega_{X/k}^{q-l}, \eta \in \sum_{j \in J} \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D - D_j)$ . Then we locally define

$$\text{Res}_{D_J}(\omega) = \omega'|_{D_J} \in \Omega_{D_J/k}^{q-l}.$$

The following lemma reveals the relation between  $\varphi_X$  and  $\varphi_{D_J}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that  $\dim(X) < p$ . Then we have the following decompositions:*

- (i)  $\text{Gr}_l^W \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D) \cong \bigoplus_J \Omega_{D_J/k}^*[-l];$
- (ii)  $\text{Gr}_l^W \bigoplus_q \Omega_{X'/k}^q(\log D')[-q] \cong \bigoplus_{q, |J|=l} \Omega_{D_J/k}^q[-q-l];$
- (iii)  $\text{Gr}_l^W \varphi_X = \bigoplus_{|J|=l} i_{D_J^*} \varphi_{D_J}[-l].$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\text{Res}_{D_J}$  induces a morphism

$$\text{Res}_{D_J} : \text{Gr}_{|J|}^W \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{D_J}^{q-|J|}.$$

Moreover, one can check that

$$\bigoplus_{J \subset I, |J|=l} \text{Res}_{D_J} : Gr_l^W \Omega_{X/k}^q(\log D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{J \subset I, |J|=l} \Omega_{D_J/k}^{q-l}$$

is an isomorphism, from which (i) follows. (ii) can be checked similarly.

Let us prove (iii). When  $l = 0$ , there is nothing to prove. Assume  $l > 0$ . Choose any  $i \in I$  and let  $t_i$  be a local definition function of  $D_i$ . Take  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{r+s} \in \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D' - D'_i)$  such that  $\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{r+s} \in W_l \Omega_{X'/k}(\log D')$ . Let  $\tau$  be a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, r+s\}$ , then we put

$$\otimes_{\tau} \omega = \text{sgn}(\tau) \omega_{\tau(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_{\tau(r+s)}.$$

For any given  $\underline{p} \in P(r, s)$ , we rewrite

$$\tau = (\tau_1^0, \dots, \tau_{s_0}^0, \dots, \tau_1^r, \dots, \tau_{s_r}^r, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_r).$$

Let  $0 \leq k \leq r, 0 \leq q \leq s_k + 1$ , then we set  $\otimes_{\tau, \underline{p}}^{k, q} \omega$  to be the tensor obtained by inserting  $d \log t_1$  into  $\otimes_{\tau} \omega$  as follows:

$$(-1)^{s_0 + \dots + s_{k-1} + q} \text{sgn}(\tau) \dots \otimes \omega_{\tau_q^k} \otimes d \log t_1 \otimes \omega_{\tau_{q+1}^k} \otimes \dots.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\delta_{r+s+1}(d \log t_1 \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{r+s}) = \sum_{\tau, k, q} \otimes_{\tau, \underline{p}}^{k, q} \omega.$$

Let us compute the image of  $d \log t_1 \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{r+s}$  under  $\varphi_X(r, s)_{\underline{\alpha}}$  modulo  $W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_X(r, s+1)_{\underline{\alpha}}(d \log t_i \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{r+s}) \bmod W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D) \\ \equiv & \frac{1}{(r+s+1)!} \sum_{\underline{p}} \sum_{\tau, k, q} \zeta_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{p}(k)} h_{\alpha}(F^* \otimes_{\tau, \underline{p}}^{k, q} \omega) \bmod W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D) \\ \equiv & \frac{1}{(r+s+1)!} \sum_{\underline{p}, \tau} \sum_k (s_k + 1) d \log t_i \wedge \zeta_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{p}} h_{\alpha}(F^* \otimes_{\tau} \omega) \bmod W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D) \\ \equiv & d \log t_i \wedge \frac{1}{(r+s)!} \sum_{\underline{p}, \tau} \zeta_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{p}} h_{\alpha}(F^* \otimes_{\tau} \omega) \bmod W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D). \end{aligned}$$

Now we assume  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{r+s} \in \Omega_{X'/k}$ . Let  $t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l}$  be local definition functions of  $l$  smooth components of  $D$ , say  $D_{i_1}, \dots, D_{i_l}$  respectively. Then use the above computation  $l$  times, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_X(r, s+l)_{\underline{\alpha}}(d \log t_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d \log t_{i_l} \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{r+s}) \bmod W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D) \\ \equiv & d \log t_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d \log t_{i_l} \wedge \frac{1}{(r+s)!} \sum_{\underline{p}, \tau} \zeta_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{p}} h_{\alpha}(F^* \otimes_{\tau} \omega) \bmod W_{l-1} \Omega_{X/k}^s(\log D), \end{aligned}$$

from which (iii) follows. This completes the proof.  $\square$

When  $\dim(X) \geq p$ , the following observation is necessary.

**Lemma 3.3.** *The natural morphism*

$$(3.3.1) \quad \mu : \frac{W_{\text{dR}, l} \tau_{< p} F_{X/k} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)}{W_{\text{dR}, l-1} \tau_{< p} F_{X/k} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)} \rightarrow \tau_{< p} \text{Gr}_l^{W_{\text{dR}}} F_{X/k} \Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

*Proof.* It is enough to check that  $\mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\mu)$  is an isomorphism. Since the problem is local, we may assume that  $X$  admits a global coordinate system  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  such that  $D = (t_1 \cdots t_r = 0)$ . A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{p-1}\left(\frac{W_{\mathrm{dR},l}\tau_{<p}F_{X/k^*}\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)}{W_{\mathrm{dR},l-1}\tau_{<p}F_{X/k^*}\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)}\right) \\ &= \frac{\{x \in F_{X/k^*}W_{\mathrm{dR},l}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D): dx=0\}}{\{x \in F_{X/k^*}W_{\mathrm{dR},l-1}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D): dx=0\} + dW_{\mathrm{dR},l}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-2}(\log D)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\tau_{<p}\mathrm{Gr}_l^{W_{\mathrm{dR}}}F_{X/k^*}\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)) \\ &= \frac{\{x \in F_{X/k^*}W_{\mathrm{dR},l}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D): dx \in F_{X/k^*}W_{\mathrm{dR},l-1}\Omega_{X/k}^p(\log D)\}}{F_{X/k^*}W_{\mathrm{dR},l-1}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D) + dF_{X/k^*}W_{\mathrm{dR},l}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-2}(\log D)}. \end{aligned}$$

The injectivity of  $\mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\mu)$  is clear. Let us show the surjectivity of  $\mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\mu)$ . For any  $\emptyset \neq J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \subset I$  with  $j_1 < \dots < j_s$ , write

$$t_J = \prod_{j \in J} t_j, \quad d_J \log t = d \log t_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \log t_{j_s}.$$

Let  $x \in F_{X/k^*}W_{\mathrm{dR},l}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D)$ , then it can be locally expressed as the following form:

$$x = \sum_{J \subset I, |J|=l} d_J \log t \wedge x_J + x', \quad \beta_J \in \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1-l}, \quad x' \in W_{\mathrm{dR},l-1}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D).$$

Assume that  $dx \in W_{\mathrm{dR},l-1}\Omega_{X/k}^p(\log D)$ . One can check that  $d_{D_J/k}x|_{D_J} = 0$ . By Cartier isomorphism [EV, Thm. 9.14], we locally have:

$$x_J|_{D_J} = \sum_{K \subset I-J, |K|=p-1-l} (\lambda_K^p t_K^p d_K \log t)|_{D_J} + d_{D_J/k}x'_J|_{D_J}, \quad \lambda_K \in \mathcal{O}_X, \quad x'_J \in \Omega_{X/k}^{p-1-l}.$$

Consequently, we locally have:

$$(3.3.2) \quad x = \sum \lambda_K^p d_J \log t \wedge t_K^p d_K \log t + dx'' + x''',$$

where  $x'' \in W_{\mathrm{dR},l}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-2}(\log D)$ ,  $x''' \in W_{\mathrm{dR},l-1}\Omega_{X/k}^{p-1}(\log D)$ . It is easy to see that the surjectivity of  $\mathcal{H}^{p-1}(\mu)$  follows from (3.3.2), this completes the proof.  $\square$

Note that (3.3.1) induces a quasi-isomorphism between Čech resolutions:

$$\check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', \frac{W_{\mathrm{dR},l}\tau_{<p}F_{X/k^*}\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)}{W_{\mathrm{dR},l-1}\tau_{<p}F_{X/k^*}\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)}) \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}', \tau_{<p}\mathrm{Gr}_l^{W_{\mathrm{dR}}}F_{X/k^*}\Omega_{X/k}^*(\log D)),$$

again denoted by  $\mu$ . For any  $J \subset I$ , let

$$\varphi_{D_J,l} : \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-l-1} \Omega_{X'/k}^q(\log D')[-q] \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\{U'_\alpha \cap D'_J\}, \tau_{<p-l}F_{D_J/k^*}\Omega_{D_J/k}^*).$$

When the condition  $\dim(X) < p$  is removed in Lemma 3.2, then (iii) is modified by the following easily checked lemma:

**Lemma 3.4.**  $\mu \circ \mathrm{Gr}_l^W \varphi = \bigoplus_{J \subset I} i_{D'_J*} \varphi_{D_J,l}[-l]$ .

Combing Lemma 3.2 (iii), Lemma 3.4 with 5-lemma, we have:

**Proposition 3.5.**  $\varphi_X$  is a filtered quasi-isomorphism.

**3.2.  $\varphi_{X_\bullet}$  is a filtered quasi-isomorphism.** Consider the general situation:  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)_\bullet, \log}^s$ , where each  $\tilde{X}_q$  is separated over  $W_2(k)$  of dimension  $< p$ . Pick a D-I atlas  $\{U_{x,\alpha}, \tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k}\}_{x \in I, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  for  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet)/W_2(k)$  as in Lemma 2.19. Then we obtain a quasi-isomorphism:

$$(3.5.1) \quad \varphi_{X_\bullet} : \bigoplus_i \Omega_{X'_\bullet/k}^i(\log D'_\bullet)[-i] \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}'_\bullet, \tau_{<p} F_{X_\bullet/k} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)).$$

Note that  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}$  (resp.  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$ ) induces a filtration on the left (resp. right) hand side of (3.5.1), again denoted by  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}$  (resp.  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$ ). It is easy to check that  $\varphi_{X_\bullet}$  is a filtered morphism with respect to  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}, \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$  on two sides, respectively. The following proposition is the foundation of this paper.

**Proposition 3.6.**  $\varphi_{X_\bullet}$  is a filtered quasi-isomorphism with respect to  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}$  and  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$  on two sides, respectively.

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $W_l \varphi_{X_q}$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Since the problem is étale local, we may assume that  $D_q$  is a SNCD on  $X_q$ . This is the statement of Proposition 3.5.  $\square$

Using the above proposition, we have the following filtered vanishing theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $k$ ,  $D \subset X$  a NCD,  $L$  an ample line bundle on  $X$ . Assume  $\dim(X) < p$ . Then for any  $l$ , we have:

$$(3.7.1) \quad \mathbb{H}^j(X, W_l \Omega_{X/k}^i(\log D) \otimes L) = 0 \text{ for } i + j > \dim(X).$$

Note that when  $D$  is a SNCD on  $X$ , the above lemma is an easy consequence of Raynaud vanishing theorem [IL02, Thm. 5.8]. Combing the above theorem with spreading-out technical (see [IL02, §6]), Theorem 1.4 follows.

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $A$  be an abelian category, and let  $D(A)$  be the corresponding derived category. We say two filtered complex  $(K_1^*, F_1), (K_2^*, F_1)$  over  $A$  are filtered isomorphic in  $D(A)$  (or simply write as  $(K_1^*, F_1) \cong (K_2^*, F_2)$  in  $D(A)$ ), if there exist a filtered complex  $(K_3^*, F_3)$  over  $A$  and a diagram of filtered quasi-isomorphisms:

$$(3.8.1) \quad (K_1^*, F_1) \longrightarrow (K_3^*, F_3) \longleftarrow (K_2^*, F_2).$$

As an easy consequence of Proposition 3.6, Theorem 1.3 follows.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

This section aims at the proof of Theorem 1.6. Let  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)_\bullet, \log}^{\text{sp, lsl}}$  with closed fiber  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{k_\bullet, \log}^s$ . Choose a D-I atlas  $\{U_{x,\alpha}, \tilde{F}_{U_{x,\alpha}/k}\}_{x \in I, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  for  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet)/W_2(k)$ , and set  $\mathcal{U}_\bullet = \{U_{x,\alpha}\}_{x \in I, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{U}_q = \{U_{x,\alpha}\}_{x \in I_q, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ .

#### 4.1. de Rham, Higgs-de Rham, Higgs Čech complexes. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), \text{dR}}^* &:= \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)), & K_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), \text{dR}}^* &:= s\Gamma_\bullet(X_\bullet, \mathcal{K}_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), \text{dR}}^*); \\
\Omega_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}}^* &:= \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}'_\bullet, F_{X_\bullet/k} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)), & (s\Gamma_\bullet(X'_\bullet, \Omega_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}}^*) &= K_{X_\bullet, \text{dR}}^*); \\
\mathcal{K}_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}} &:= \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}'_\bullet, \Omega_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}}^*), & K_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}} &:= s\Gamma_\bullet(X'_\bullet, \mathcal{K}_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}}^*); \\
\mathcal{K}_{(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), \text{Hig}} &:= \check{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{U}'_\bullet, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} \Omega_{X'_\bullet/k}^*(\log D'_\bullet)), & K_{(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), \text{Hig}} &:= s\Gamma_\bullet(X'_\bullet, \mathcal{K}_{(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), \text{Hig}}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that any one of

$\mathcal{K}_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), \text{dR}}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_{(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), \text{Hig}}$ ,  $K_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), \text{dR}}^*$ ,  $K_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}}$ ,  $K_{(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), \text{Hig}}$  carries a filtration, which is induced by the diagonal filtration  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$  or  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}$  on  $\Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)$  or  $\bigoplus_i \Omega_{X'_\bullet/k}^i(\log D'_\bullet)[-i]$ , again denoted by  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$  or  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}$ , respectively. Denote by

$$\{E_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), d_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}\}, \{E_{r, H\text{-dR}}^{-i, j}(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), d_{r, H\text{-dR}}^{-i, j}\}, \{E_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), d_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}\}$$

the spectral sequences of

$(K_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), \text{dR}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}})$ ,  $(K_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}})$ ,  $(K_{(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), \text{Hig}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}})$ , respectively. If  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet)$  is clear, then we abbreviate

$$K_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), \text{dR}}^*, E_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), \text{ etc}$$

by  $\mathcal{K}_{\text{dR}}^*$ ,  $E_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$ , etc, respectively.

**4.2. The image of  $\rho_{\text{MHS}}$ .** As an easy consequence of Proposition 3.6, we have the following:

**Lemma 4.1.**  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}, i} \varphi_{X_\bullet}$  induces a Fontaine-Laffaille module structure on

$$\mathbb{H}^{-i+j}(X_\bullet, \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}, i} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)).$$

The natural map

$$(4.1.1) \quad \mathbb{H}^{-i+j}(X_\bullet, \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}, i} \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-i+j}(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet))$$

is a morphism in  $\text{MF}(k)$ . In particular, (4.1.1) defines an increasing filtration on  $\mathbb{H}^{-i+j}(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet))$  over  $\text{MF}(k)$ , again denoted by  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}$ .

**4.3. The functoriality of  $\rho_{\text{MHS}}$ .** Let  $\tilde{f}_\bullet : (\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet) \rightarrow (\tilde{Y}_\bullet, \tilde{E}_\bullet)$  be a morphism in the category  $\text{Sch}_{W_2(k), \bullet, \log}^{\text{sp}, \text{lsll}}$ , and let  $f_\bullet : (X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \rightarrow (Y_\bullet, E_\bullet)$  be the closed fiber of  $\tilde{f}_\bullet$  in  $\text{Sch}_{k_\bullet, \log}^s$ . Choosing the following D-I atlases

$$\{U_{x, \alpha}, \tilde{F}_{U_{x, \alpha}/k}\}_{x \in I, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}, \{V_{y, \beta}, \tilde{F}_{V_{y, \beta}/k}\}_{y \in J, \beta \in \mathcal{B}}$$

for  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet)/W_2(k)$ ,  $(\tilde{Y}_\bullet, \tilde{E}_\bullet)/W_2(k)$  respectively, and set

$$\mathcal{U}_\bullet := \{U_{x, \alpha}\}_{x \in I, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}}, \quad \mathcal{V}_\bullet := \{V_{y, \beta}\}_{y \in J, \beta \in \mathcal{B}}.$$

Assume that there exists a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $(f_\bullet(x), g(\alpha)) \in J \times \mathcal{B}$  for any pair  $(x, \alpha) \in I \times \mathcal{A}$ . Using  $\mathcal{U}_\bullet, \mathcal{V}_\bullet$ , we construct  $\Omega_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H\text{-dR}}^*$ ,  $\Omega_{(Y_\bullet, E_\bullet), H\text{-dR}}^*$ , etc, respectively as in §3.1. Note that the filtered pullback

$$(4.1.2) \quad f_\bullet^* : (\Omega_{Y_\bullet/k}^*(\log E_\bullet), \delta(W, L)) \rightarrow f_{\bullet*}(\Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet), \delta(W, L))$$



together with  $g$  induce a morphism of filtered complexes

$$(4.1.3) \quad f_{\bullet}^* : (\Omega_{(Y_{\bullet}, E_{\bullet}), H-dR}^*, \delta(W, L)_{dR}) \rightarrow f'_{\bullet*}(\Omega_{(X_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet}), H-dR}^*, \delta(W, L)_{dR}).$$

Consider the following not necessarily commutative diagram:

$$(4.1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\Omega^*(\mathcal{O}_{Y'_{\bullet}}, 0), \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}) & \xrightarrow{f'_{\bullet*}} & f'_{\bullet*}(\Omega^*(\mathcal{O}_{X'_{\bullet}}, 0), \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}) \\ \downarrow \varphi_{Y_{\bullet}} & & \downarrow \varphi_{X_{\bullet}} \\ (\Omega_{(Y_{\bullet}, E_{\bullet}), H-dR}^*, \delta(W, L)_{dR}) & \xrightarrow{f_{\bullet*}} & f'_{\bullet*}(\Omega_{(X_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet}), H-dR}^*, \delta(W, L)_{dR}), \end{array}$$

where  $\Omega^*(\mathcal{O}_{Y'_{\bullet}}, 0) := \bigoplus_i \Omega_{Y'_{\bullet}/k}^i(\log E'_{\bullet})[-i]$ . The following Lemma shows that the above diagram is commutative up to a filtered homotopy.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Consider the diagram (4.1.4).*

- (i) *When  $i = 0$ , it is commutative.*
- (ii) *When  $0 < i < p$ , there exists a morphism of filtered simplicial sheaves*

$$(4.2.1) \quad \eta_{i, \bullet} : (\Omega_{Y'_{\bullet}/k}^{\otimes i}(\log E'_{\bullet}), \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}) \rightarrow f'_{\bullet*}(\Omega_{(X_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet}), H-dR}^{i-1}, \delta(W, L)_{dR})$$

such that

$$(4.2.2) \quad f_{\bullet}^* \varphi_{Y_{\bullet}}^i - \varphi_{X_{\bullet}}^i f'_{\bullet*} = d_{\Omega_{(X_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet}), H-dR}^*} \eta_{i, \bullet},$$

where  $\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}, j} \Omega_{Y'_{\bullet}/k}^{\otimes i}(\log E'_{\bullet}) = (\delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}, j} \Omega_{Y'_{\bullet}/k}(\log E'_{\bullet}))^{\otimes i}$ .

*Proof.* (i) is obvious. Let us prove (ii). Consider the  $i = 1$  case first. Choose any  $(x, \alpha) \in I_q \times \mathcal{A}$ , set  $(y, \beta) = (f_q(x), g(\alpha)) \in J \times \beta$ . Note that the following diagram

$$(4.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U}_{x, \alpha} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_{U_{x, \alpha}/k}} & \tilde{U}'_{x, \alpha} \\ \downarrow \tilde{f}_q & & \downarrow \tilde{f}'_q \\ \tilde{V}_{y, \beta} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_{V_{y, \beta}/k}} & \tilde{V}'_{y, \beta} \end{array}$$

is not commutative at the level of schemes, but it is commutative at the level of topological spaces. Hence we can consider the difference

$$(4.2.4) \quad \tilde{f}_q^* \tilde{F}_{V_{y, \beta}/k} - \tilde{F}_{U_{x, \alpha}/k} \tilde{f}'_q : \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}'_{y, \beta}} \rightarrow p(f'_q F_{U_{x, \alpha}/k})_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}'_{x, \beta}},$$

which induces a morphism

$$(4.2.5) \quad \eta_{1, q}^{x, \alpha} := (\tilde{f}_q^* \tilde{F}_{V_{y, \beta}/k} - \tilde{F}_{U_{x, \alpha}/k} \tilde{f}'_q) / p : \Omega_{Y'_q/k}(\log D'_q) \rightarrow f'_{q*}(F_{U_{x, \alpha}/k} \mathcal{O}_{U_{x, \beta}}).$$

One can check that the family  $\{\eta_{1, q}^{x, \alpha}\}_{q, x, \alpha}$  induces the claimed morphism of filtered simplicial sheaves in (4.2.1) when  $i = 1$ . Proceeding to the  $i > 1$  case. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\bullet}^* \varphi_{Y_{\bullet}}^i &= (f_{\bullet}^* \varphi_{Y_{\bullet}}^1)^{\cup i} = (\varphi_{X_{\bullet}}^1 f'_{\bullet*} + d_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{X_{\bullet}}} \eta_{1, \bullet})^{\cup i} \\ &= \varphi_{X_{\bullet}}^i f'_{\bullet*} + d_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{X_{\bullet}, dR}} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j (\varphi_{X_{\bullet}}^1 f'_{\bullet*})^{\cup j} \cup \eta_{1, \bullet} \cup (f_{\bullet}^* \varphi_{Y_{\bullet}}^1)^{\cup (i-j-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote  $(\varphi_{X_\bullet}^1 f'^*)^{\cup 0} = (f'_* \varphi_{Y_\bullet}^1)^{\cup 0} = 1$ . Therefore,

$$(4.2.6) \quad \eta_{i,\bullet} := \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j (\varphi_{X_\bullet}^1 f'^*)^{\cup j} \cup \eta_{1,\bullet} \cup (f'_* \varphi_{Y_\bullet}^1)^{\cup(i-j-1)}$$

is the claimed morphism in (4.2.1). This completes the proof.  $\square$

As an application of Lemma 4.2, we have the following

**Corollary 4.3.** *The pullback*

$f'_* : (\mathbb{H}^m(Y_\bullet, \Omega_{Y_\bullet/k}^*(\log E_\bullet)), \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}^m(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet)), \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}})$   
is a morphism in  $\text{FMF}(k)$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 4.2 shows that the following diagram

$$(4.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (K_{(Y'_\bullet, E'_\bullet), \text{Hig}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}) & \xrightarrow{f'^*} & (K_{(X'_\bullet, D'_\bullet), \text{Hig}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}) \\ \downarrow \varphi_{Y_\bullet} & & \downarrow \varphi_{X_\bullet} \\ (K_{(Y_\bullet, E_\bullet), H-\text{dR}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}) & \xrightarrow{f'_*} & (K_{(X_\bullet, D_\bullet), H-\text{dR}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}}) \end{array}$$

is commutative up to a filtered homotopy, from which this corollary follows.  $\square$

Combining Lemma 4.1 with Corollary 4.3.1, Theorem 1.6 follows.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

This section aims at the proof of Theorem 1.7. Note that the functor  $\rho_{\text{Hig}}$  is clear, hence it is enough to study the functor  $\rho_{\text{dR}}$ .

**5.1. The image of  $\rho_{\text{dR}}$ .** The following theorem characterizes the image of  $\rho_{\text{dR}}$  clearly.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(\tilde{X}_\bullet, \tilde{D}_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{W_2(k)_\bullet, \log}^{\text{sp, lsl}}$  with closed fiber  $(X_\bullet, D_\bullet) \in \text{Sch}_{k_\bullet, \log}$ .*

- (i) *There is a natural Fontaine-Laffaille module structure on each  $E_{1, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$ , and  $d_{1, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$  is a morphism lies in  $\text{MF}(k)$  for any  $i, j$ . Moreover, the functor  $F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}$  sends  $E_{1, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}, d_{1, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$  to  $E_{1, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}, d_{1, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}$ , respectively.*
- (ii) *For any  $i, j$  and  $r > 1$ ,  $E_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$  has a Fontaine-Laffaille module structure induced by  $E_{r-1, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$ , and  $d_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$  is a morphism lies in  $\text{MF}(k)$ . Moreover, the functor  $F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}$  sends  $E_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}, d_{r, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$  to  $E_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}, d_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}$ , respectively.*
- (iii)  *$E_{\infty, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$  is a subquotient of  $\mathbb{H}^{-i+j}(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet/k}^*(\log D_\bullet))$  in the abelian category  $\text{MF}(k)$ , and the functor  $F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}$  sends  $E_{\infty, \text{dR}}^{-i, j}$  to  $E_{\infty, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}$ .*

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is given in §5.1.1-5.1.3.

**Remark 5.2.** Recall that in the abelian category of Hodge structures, any morphism from a Hodge structure of higher weight to a Hodge structure of lower weight must be zero. That is the essential reason for the  $E_2$ -degeneration associated to a mixed Hodge complex. Unfortunately, for a Fontaine-Laffaille module, we do not know how to define its weight.

5.1.1. *Deligne's two filtrations lemma.* At first, it is necessary to review the Deligne's two filtration lemma.

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $K^*$  be a complex of abelian groups,  $W$  an increasing biregular filtration and  $F$  a decreasing biregular filtration on  $K$ . Then there are three increasing filtrations  $F_d, F_{d^*}, F_{rec}$  on  ${}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*)$ , which are defined as follows.

- $F_d^l {}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*) := \text{Im}({}_W E_r^{i,j}(F^l K^*) \rightarrow {}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*))$ .
- Dually, we define  $F_{d^*}^l {}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*) := \text{Ker}({}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*) \rightarrow {}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*/F^l K^*))$ .
- It is easy to check that  $F_d = F_{d^*}$  on  ${}_W E_0^{i,j}(K^*)$ . Then we define the recurrent filtration  $F_{rec}$  on  ${}_W E_r^{i,j}$  by induction on  $r$ . Set  $F_{rec} = F_d = F_{d^*}$  on  ${}_W E_0^{i,j}(K^*)$ . Assume  $F_{rec}$  is defined on  ${}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*)$ . Since  ${}_W E_{r+1}^{i,j}(K^*)$  is a subquotient of  ${}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*)$ , then it induces a filtration  $F_{rec}$  on  ${}_W E_{r+1}^{i,j}(K^*)$ .

**Lemma 5.4** (Deligne's two filtrations lemma). *Notation and assumption as in Definition 5.3.*

- (i) *The differential  $d_{K^*}$  is strict with respect to the filtration  $F$  if and only if the spectral sequence of  $(K^*, F)$  degenerates at rank one.*
- (ii) *Let  $r_0 \geq 0$ . Assume  ${}_W d_r^{i,j}$  is strictly compatible with  $F_{rec}$  for any  $i, j$  and  $r < r_0$ . Then we have the following exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow {}_W E_r^{i,j}(F^l K^*) \rightarrow {}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*) \rightarrow {}_W E_r^{i,j}(K^*/F^l K^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

5.1.2. *Generalized Fontaine-Laffaille module.* Since  $E_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$  ( $r \geq 1$ ) is a subquotient of  $E_{1,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$ , we can consider the lifting  $L_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$  of  $E_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$  to  $E_{1,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$ . Similarly, we can consider  $L_{r,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j}$ .

**Lemma 5.5.**  $\varphi_{X_\bullet}$  induces a Fontaine-Laffaille module structure on  $E_{1,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$ . Moreover, the functor  $F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}$  sends  $E_{1,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$  to  $E_{1,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j}$  respectively.

*Proof.* Recall that  $E_{1,\text{dR}}^{-i,j} = H^{-i+j}(\text{Gr}_i^{\delta(W,L)_{\text{dR}}} K_{\text{dR}}^*)$ . By Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 5.4 (i), the spectral sequence of  $(\text{Gr}_i^{\delta(W,L)_{\text{dR}}} K_{\text{dR}}^*, \text{Fil})$  degenerates at  $E_1$ , hence  $d_{0,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$  is strictly compatible with  $\text{Fil}$ . Then by Lemma 5.4 (ii), we get  $(\text{Fil} :=) \text{Fil}_{d_{K_{\text{dR}}^*}} = \text{Fil}_{\text{rec}} = \text{Fil}_{d_{K_{\text{dR}}^*}}^*$  on  $E_{1,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$ . By the  $E_1$ -degeneration mentioned before and  $F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} K_{\text{dR}}^* = K_{\text{Hig}}^*$ , we have  $F_k^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} E_{1,\text{dR}}^{-i,j} = E_{1,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j}$ .  $\square$

Next, we will show that  $\psi : E_{1,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j} \rightarrow E_{1,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$  can be lifted to a multi-valued map

$$(5.5.1) \quad \psi_r^{-i,j} : Z_{r,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j} \dashrightarrow Z_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}$$

for any  $r > 0$ . Note that  $\varphi_{X_\bullet} : (K_{\text{Hig}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\text{Hig}}) \rightarrow (K_{H-\text{dR}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR}})$  is a quasi-isomorphism of filtered complexes by Proposition 3.6. Moreover, one can check that

$$\varphi_{X_\bullet}(Z_{r,\text{Hig}}^{-i,j}) \subset Z_{r,H-\text{dR}}^{-i,j} \supset \iota_\bullet(Z_{r,\text{dR}}^{-i,j}).$$

The following lemma is necessary for us.

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $x \in \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR},i} K_{H-\text{dR}}^{j-i}$  with  $d_{K_{H-\text{dR}}^*} x \in \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR},i-r} K_{H-\text{dR}}^{j-r+1}$ ,  $r > 0$ . Then there exist  $x' \in \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR},i} K_{H-\text{dR}}^{j-i-1}$ ,  $y \in \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR},i} K_{\text{dR}}^{j-i}$  such that*

$$x - d_{K_{H-\text{dR}}^*} x' - \iota(y) \in \delta(W, L)_{\text{dR},i-r} K_{H-\text{dR}}^{j-i}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $K_{q,H-dR}^* := \check{C}(\mathcal{U}'_q, \Omega_{(X_q, D_q), H-dR}^*)$ ,  $\Omega_{q,H-dR}^* := \Omega_{(X_q, D_q), H-dR}^*$  and

$$K_{q,dR}^* = \check{C}(\mathcal{U}'_q, \Omega_{X_q/k}^*(\log D_q)) = \Gamma(X'_q, \Omega_{(X_q, D_q), H-dR}^*).$$

Since  $\mathcal{U}'_q$  is a finite open affine covering of  $X'_q$ , we know that  $\Omega_{q,H-dR}^*$  is a complex of acyclic quasi-coherent sheaves on  $X'_q$ . Moreover, we have

$$\frac{\delta(W, L)_{dR, i} K_{q,H-dR}^*}{\delta(W, L)_{dR, i-r} K_{q,H-dR}^*} = \check{C}(\mathcal{U}'_q, F_{X/k^*} \frac{\delta(W, L)_{dR, i} \Omega_{q,H-dR}^*}{\delta(W, L)_{dR, i-r} \Omega_{q,H-dR}^*}).$$

Hence, the Tic-Tac-Toe technical (see the last paragraph of [Bott, page 136]) applies to the left hand side of the above equality. For any  $z \in K_{H-dR}^m$ , we write

$$z = \sum_{q \geq 0} z_q, \quad z_q \in K_{q,H-dR}^{m-q}.$$

Using the fact  $d_{K_{0,H-dR}^*} x_0 = 0$  and the Tic-Tac-Toe technical, we get

$$x_0 = d_{K_{0,H-dR}^*} x'_0 + \iota_0(y_0), \quad x'_0 \in K_{0,H-dR}^{j-i-1}, \quad y_0 \in K_{dR}^{j-i}.$$

Replace  $x$  by  $x - d_{K_{H-dR}^*} x' - \iota_0(y_0)$ , then  $x_0 = 0$  and  $d_{K_{1,H-dR}^*} x_1 = 0$ . Again by the Tic-Tac-Toe technical, we get

$$x_1 = d_{K_{1,H-dR}^*} x'_1 + \iota_1(y_1), \quad x'_1 \in K_{1,H-dR}^{j-i-1}, \quad y_1 \in K_{dR}^{j-i}.$$

Running this kind of argument many times, finally we have

$$x = d_{K_{H-dR}^*} x' + \iota_\bullet(y), \quad x' = \sum_{q \geq 0} x'_q \in K_{H-dR}^{j-i-1}, \quad y = \sum_{q \geq 0} y_q \in K_{dR}^{j-i}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Using lemma 5.6, we get the following conclusion: for any  $x \in Z_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j}$ , there exist  $x' \in \delta(W, L)_{dR, i} K_{H-dR}^{j-i-1}$ ,  $y \in \delta(W, L)_{dR, i} K_{dR}^{j-i}$ , such that

$$(5.6.1) \quad \varphi_X(x) - d_{K_{H-dR}^*} x' - \iota(y) \in \delta(W, L)_{dR, i-r} K_{H-dR}^{-i+j}.$$

**Definition 5.7.** We define the multi-valued map (5.5.1) as follows:

$$(x, y) \in \psi_r^{-i, j} \subset Z_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j} \times Z_{r, dR}^{-i, j}$$

if and only if  $(x, y)$  satisfies (5.6.1) for some  $z \in W_{i-r} K_{H-dR}^{-i+j-1}$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** *The following two diagrams are commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j} & \longrightarrow & E_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j} & & Z_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i, j} & \xrightarrow{d_{K_{\text{Hig}}^*}} & Z_{r, \text{Hig}}^{-i+r, j-r+1} \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi \\ Z_{r, dR}^{-i, j} & \longrightarrow & E_{r, dR}^{-i, j} & & Z_{r, dR}^{-i, j} & \xrightarrow{d_{K_{dR}^*}} & Z_{r, dR}^{-i+r, j-r+1} \end{array}.$$

*The commutativity of the second diagram is understood as follows: for any  $(x, y) \in \psi_r^{-i, j}$ , we have  $(d_{K_{\text{Hig}}^*} x, d_{K_{dR}^*} y) \in \psi^{-i+r, j-r+1}$ .*

**Remark 5.9.** One can check that the natural map  $F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}} Z_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} \rightarrow Z_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}$  is an isomorphism. Combing this fact with Lemma 5.8, we call  $(Z_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}, \mathrm{Fil}, \psi_r^{-i,j})$  a generalized Fontaine-Laffaille module.

5.1.3. *Fontaine-Laffaille diagram.* Consider the following diagram:

$$(5.9.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} & \xrightarrow{d_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{K^*}} & Z_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ E_{1,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} & \longleftarrow & L_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} & & L_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} & \longrightarrow & E_{1,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} & \xrightarrow{d_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}} & E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} & & \end{array},$$

denoted by  $D_{r,\mathrm{dR}}$ . Similarly, denote by  $D_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}$  the following diagram:

$$(5.9.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j} & \xrightarrow{d_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{K^*}} & Z_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ E_{1,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j} & \longleftarrow & L_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j} & & L_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} & \longrightarrow & E_{1,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & E_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j} & \xrightarrow{d_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}} & E_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} & & \end{array}.$$

**Lemma 5.10.** For any  $i, j$  and a fixed  $r$ , assume that  $L_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  is a subobject of  $E_{1,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  in  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$  with  $F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}} L_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} = L_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}$ , and  $E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  a quotient object of  $L_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  in  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$  with  $F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}} E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} = E_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}$ . Combing this assumption with (5.5.1), we obtain the following morphism of diagrams:

$$(5.10.1) \quad \psi : D_{r,\mathrm{Hig}} \dashrightarrow D_{r,\mathrm{dR}}.$$

*Proof.* The morphism of vertexes (5.10.1) becomes a morphism of diagrams if and only if all the squares in (5.10.1) are commutative. By the assumption and Lemma 5.8, we conclude that all the squares in (5.10.1) are commutative but the following one:

$$(5.10.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j} & \xrightarrow{d_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}} & E_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi \\ E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} & \xrightarrow{d_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}} & E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i+r,j-r+1} \end{array}.$$

On the other hand, the composite of  $Z_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j} \rightarrow L_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j} \rightarrow E_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}$  is surjective, hence the commutativity of other squares force (5.10.2) to be commutative. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following two corollaries of Lemma 5.10 imply Theorem 5.1.

**Corollary 5.11.**  $d_{1,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  is a morphism in  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$ .

*Proof.* Lemmas 5.5, 5.8 show that the assumption in 5.10 holds for  $r = 1$ , hence this corollary follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.12.** Assume that  $(\mathrm{Fil} :=) \mathrm{Fil}_{d_{K_{\mathrm{dR}}^*}} = \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{rec}} = \mathrm{Fil}_{d_{K_{\mathrm{dR}}^*}}$  on  $E_{s,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  and which is a subquotient of  $E_{1,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} \in \mathrm{MF}(k)$ ,  $d_{s,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  is a morphism in  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$  and the functor  $F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}$  sends  $d_{s,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  to  $d_{s,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}$  for any  $i, j$  and  $1 \leq s < r$ . Then the three filtrations coincide on  $E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  and which is a subquotient of  $E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} \in \mathrm{MF}(k)$ ,  $d_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  is a morphism in  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$  and the functor  $F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}$  sends  $d_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  to  $d_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}$  for any  $i, j$ .

*Proof.* In the proof of Lemma 5.5, we have shown that  $d_{0,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  is strictly compatible with  $\mathrm{Fil}$ . the assumption in this corollary shows that  $d_{s,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  is strictly compatible with  $\mathrm{Fil}$  for  $1 \leq s < r$ . Then by Lemma 5.4 (ii), the three filtrations coincide on  $E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$ . Since  $F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}$  is an exact functor on the abelian category  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$ , hence it sends  $E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}, L_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  to  $E_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}, L_{r,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j}$ , respectively. Note that all the discussions take place over  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$ , hence  $E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  is a subquotient of  $E_{1,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  and  $L_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  is a subobject of  $E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  in  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$ . Consequently, we have checked that the assumption in Lemma 5.10 holds for  $r$ , from which our corollary follows. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, we can give the proof of Theorem 5.1.

*Proof.* (i) follows from Lemma 5.5 and Corollary 5.11. (ii) can be checked by induction on  $r$  and using Corollary 5.12. Let us prove (iii). Let  $\psi^{\mathrm{rec}} : E_{\infty,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j} \rightarrow E_{\infty,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  be the isomorphism associated to  $E_{\infty,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} \in \mathrm{MF}(k)$  as a subquotient object of  $E_{1,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j} \in \mathrm{MF}(k)$ . Lemma 4.1.1 shows that  $H^{-i+j}(K_{\mathrm{dR}}^*) \in \mathrm{MF}(k)$  induces a Fontaine-Laffaille module structure on  $E_{\infty,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$ , denote by  $\psi^{\mathrm{nai}} : E_{\infty,\mathrm{Hig}}^{-i,j} \rightarrow E_{\infty,\mathrm{dR}}^{-i,j}$  the corresponding isomorphism. It is easy to see that (iii) is equivalent to  $\psi^{\mathrm{rec}} = \psi^{\mathrm{nai}}$ , which follows from Lemma 5.8. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**5.2. The functoriality of  $\rho_{\mathrm{dR}}$ .** Observe that  $f_{\bullet}^*$  induces a morphism of filtered complexes:

$$(5.12.1) \quad f_{\bullet}^* : (K_{(Y_{\bullet}, E_{\bullet}), \mathrm{dR}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{dR}}) \rightarrow (K_{(X_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet}), \mathrm{dR}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{dR}}).$$

Hence,  $f_{\bullet}^*$  induces a morphism from the spectral sequence of  $(K_{(Y_{\bullet}, E_{\bullet}), \mathrm{dR}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{dR}})$  to the spectral sequence of  $(K_{(X_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet}), \mathrm{dR}}^*, \delta(W, L)_{\mathrm{dR}})$  in  $E_{r \geq 1} / \mathrm{Vec}_k$ . Furthermore, the following lemma show that this morphism lies in  $E_{r \geq 1} / \mathrm{MF}(k)$ .

**Corollary 5.13.** For any  $i, j$  and  $r > 0$ , the pullback

$$(5.13.1) \quad f_{\bullet}^* : E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{i,j}(Y_{\bullet}, E_{\bullet}) \rightarrow E_{r,\mathrm{dR}}^{i,j}(X_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet})$$

is a morphism in  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$ .

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4.2, we know the following diagram

$$(5.13.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}_i^{\delta(W,L)\mathrm{Hig}} K_{(Y',E'),\mathrm{Hig}}^* & \xrightarrow{f'^*} & \mathrm{Gr}_i^{\delta(W,L)\mathrm{Hig}} K_{(X',D'),\mathrm{Hig}}^* \\ \downarrow \varphi_{Y'} & & \downarrow \varphi_{X'} \\ \mathrm{Gr}_i^{\delta(W,L)\mathrm{dR}} K_{(Y,E'),H\text{-dR}}^* & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathrm{Gr}_i^{\delta(W,L)\mathrm{dR}} K_{(X,D'),H\text{-dR}}^* \end{array}$$

is commutative up to a homotopy. Therefore, (5.13.1) lies in  $\mathrm{MF}(k)$  when  $r = 1$ . The  $r > 1$  case can be checked by induction on  $r$ .  $\square$

Combine Theorem 5.1 with Corollary 5.13.1, theorem 1.7 follows.

## 6. FURTHER DISCUSSION

**6.1. Kashiwara's weight filtration.** For this subsection, readers may refer to [CEGT, §8]. Let  $X$  be a compact kähler manifold,  $D \subset X$  a NCD,  $\mathbb{V}$  a polarized variation of Hodge structures (PVHS) on  $X - D$  with unipotent monodromy along  $D$ . Let  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \mathrm{Fil})$  be the de Rham bundle on  $X - D$  associated to  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\nabla}, \overline{\mathrm{Fil}})$  the Deligne extension of  $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \mathrm{Fil})$  on  $X$ . Write  $\Omega^*(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\nabla})$  to be the de Rham complex associated to  $(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\nabla})$ . The filtration  $\overline{\mathrm{Fil}}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  induces a filtration on  $\Omega^*(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\nabla})$ , again denoted by  $\overline{\mathrm{Fil}}$ . Kashiwara's work [Ka] shows that we can define a weight filtration  $W$  on  $\Omega^*(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\nabla})$  such that  $(\Omega^*(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\nabla}), W, \overline{\mathrm{Fil}})$  is a cohomological mixed Hodge complex. This shows that  $\mathbb{H}^m(X - D, \mathbb{V})$  admits a mixed Hodge structure. In particular, if we take  $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{C}_{X-D}$ , then Kashiwara's weight filtration coincides with Deligne's weight filtration. Let  $D = \sum_{i \in I} D_i$ ,  $D_J = \cap_{j \in J} D_j$ ,  $J \subset I$ . Recall that one of the most important property of  $W$  is the following decomposition:

$$(6.0.1) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_k^W \Omega^*(\overline{\mathcal{V}}, \overline{\nabla}) = \bigoplus_{J \subset I} i_{D_J}^* \Omega_{\mathrm{int}}^*(\overline{\mathcal{V}}_J, \overline{\nabla}_J)[-|J|],$$

where  $i_{D_J} : D_J \hookrightarrow X$  is the natural inclusion,  $(\overline{\mathcal{V}}_J, \overline{\nabla}_J, \overline{\mathrm{Fil}}_J)$  the Deligne extension associated to some PVHS  $\mathbb{V}_J$  on  $D_J - \sum_{i \in I-J} D_J \cap D_i$ ,  $\Omega_{\mathrm{int}}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}_J, \overline{\nabla}_J) \subset \Omega^*(\overline{\mathcal{V}}_J, \overline{\nabla}_J)$  the intersection subcomplex. Note that  $(\Omega_{\mathrm{int}}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}_J, \overline{\nabla}_J), \overline{\mathrm{Fil}}_J)$  is a cohomological Hodge complex, which induces a pure Hodge structure on  $\mathrm{IH}^m(D_J, \mathbb{V}_J)$ . The above decomposition can be easily checked when  $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{Q}_{X-D}$ .

**6.2. Generalized decomposition theorems.** Notation and assumption as in §3.1.

**Definition 6.1.** A Higgs sheaf on  $(X, D)/k$  is a pair  $(E, \theta)$ , where  $E$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and

$$\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_{X/k}(\log D)$$

is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear morphism subject to  $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$ . Denote by  $\Omega^*(E, \nabla)$  the Higgs complex of  $(E, \theta)$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\theta \wedge} E \otimes \Omega_{X/k}(\log D) \xrightarrow{\theta \wedge} E \otimes \Omega_{X/k}^2(\log D) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

We say  $(E, \theta)$  is nilpotent of level  $\leq l$ , if  $\theta(\partial_1) \cdots \theta(\partial_{l+1}) = 0$  for any  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_{l+1} \in T_{X/k}(-\log D)$ .

For a logarithmic flat module  $(H, \nabla)$  on  $(X, D)/k$ , denote by  $\Omega^*(H, \nabla)$  its de Rham complex.

**Theorem 6.2** ([Sche, Thm. 5.7], [SZ, Thm. 3.1]). *Notation as above. Let  $(E', \theta')$  be a Higgs module on  $(X', D')/k$  of nilpotent level  $\leq l$ , and let  $(H, \nabla) = C_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})}^{-1}(E', \theta')$  be a flat module on  $(X, D)/k$ . Then*

$$(6.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_{<p-l} F_{X/k} \Omega^*(H, \nabla) &\cong \tau_{<p-l} \Omega^*(E', \theta') \text{ in } D(X'), \\ \tau_{<p-l} F_{X/k} \Omega_{\text{int}}^*(H, \nabla) &\cong \tau_{<p-l} \Omega_{\text{int}}^*(E', \theta') \text{ in } D(X'). \end{aligned}$$

In Sheng-Zhang [SZ], we found that the 0-th piece of Kashiwara's weight filtration for a PVHS applies to  $\Omega^*(H, \nabla), \Omega^*(E', \theta')$ , respectively. In fact, they are exactly the intersection subcomplexes [SZ, §2]:

$$(6.2.2) \quad \Omega_{\text{int}}^*(H, \nabla) \subset \Omega^*(H, \nabla), \quad \Omega_{\text{int}}^*(E', \theta') \subset \Omega^*(E', \theta').$$

Hence, it is natural to ask:

**Question 6.3.** Is it possible to apply the whole Kashiwara's weight filtration to  $\Omega^*(H, \nabla), \Omega^*(E', \theta')$ , respectively.

Suppose that the answer of the above question is positive. Denote by  $W_{\text{dR}}, W_{\text{Hig}}$  the corresponding filtrations, respectively. Combing Theorem 1.3 with Theorem 6.2, we hope that:

**Conjecture 6.4.** Notation and assumption as in Theorem 6.2. We have the following filtered isomorphism in  $D(X')$ :

$$(6.4.1) \quad (\tau_{<p-l} F_{X/k} \Omega^*(H, \nabla), W_{\text{dR}}) \cong (\tau_{<p-l} \Omega^*(E', \theta'), W_{\text{Hig}}).$$

To prove the above conjecture, we may try to establish a more general version of Lemma 3.2:

**Conjecture 6.5.** Notation and assumption as in Theorem 6.2.

- (i)  $\text{Gr}_m^{W_{\text{dR}}} \Omega^*(H, \nabla) = \bigoplus_{J \subset I} i_{D_J} \Omega_{\text{int}}^*(H_{m,J}, \nabla_{m,J})[-|J|]$ , where  $(H_{m,J}, \nabla_{m,J})$  is a flat module over  $(D_J, \sum_{i \in I-J} D_i \cap D_J)/k$ .
- (ii)  $\text{Gr}_m^{W_{\text{Hig}}} \Omega^*(E', \theta') = \bigoplus_{J \subset I} i_{D'_J} \Omega_{\text{int}}^*(E'_{m,J}, \theta'_{m,J})[-|J|]$ , where  $(E'_{m,J}, \theta'_{m,J})$  is a nilpotent Higgs module over  $(D'_J, \sum_{i \in I-J} D'_i \cap D'_J)/k$ .
- (iii)  $C_{(\tilde{D}_J, \sum_{i \in I-J} \tilde{D}_i \cap \tilde{D}_J)}^{-1}(E'_{m,J}, \theta'_{m,J}) = (H_{m,J}, \nabla_{m,J})$ , where  $C_{(\tilde{D}_J, \sum_{i \in I-J} \tilde{D}_i \cap \tilde{D}_J)}^{-1}$  is the inverse Cartier transform constructed in [LSYZ, Appendix].
- (iv)  $\text{Gr}_m^{W_{\text{dR}}} \varphi_{E'} = \bigoplus_{J \subset I} i_{D'_J} \varphi_{E'_{m,J}}[-|J|]$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{E'} : \tau_{<p-l} \Omega^*(E', \theta') &\rightarrow \tau_{<p-l} \check{\mathcal{C}}(\{U'_\alpha\}, F_{X/k} \Omega^*(H, \nabla)), \\ \varphi_{E'_{m,J}} : \tau_{<p-l-|J|} \Omega^*(E'_{m,J}, \theta'_{m,J}) &\rightarrow \tau_{<p-l-|J|} \check{\mathcal{C}}(\{D'_J \cap U'_\alpha\}, F_{D_J/k} \Omega^*(H_{m,J}, \nabla_{m,J})) \end{aligned}$$

are the quasi-isomorphisms constructed in [SZ, (3.1.2)].

In particular, if  $(H, \nabla)$  comes from an one-periodic bundle  $(H, \nabla, \text{Fil})$  (see Definition 6.6 below), then we may expect that:

$$(6.5.1) \quad \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}} W_{\text{dR}, i} \Omega^*(H, \nabla) = W_{\text{Hig}, i} \Omega^* \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}(H, \nabla).$$

**Definition 6.6** ([LSZ, Def. 1.1]). An one periodic bundle over  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k)$  is a triple  $(H, \nabla, \text{Fil})$  which satisfies the following conditions:



- $H$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of finite rank;
- $\nabla : H \rightarrow H \otimes \Omega_{X/k}(\log D)$  is a flat logarithmic connection;
- (Griffiths transversality)  $\nabla(\mathrm{Fil}^l H) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{l-1} H \otimes \Omega_{X/k}(\log D)$ ;
- $\exists \Phi : C_{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})}^{-1} F_k^* \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{Fil}}(H, \nabla) \cong (H, \nabla)$ ,

**Remark 6.7.**  $(H, \nabla, \mathrm{Fil}, \Phi)$  is a Fontaine-Faltings module over  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})/W_2(k)$ , see [Fa, page 33 (d)].

It is easy to see that Conjecture 6.4 is an easy consequence of Conjecture 6.5.

Finally, I would like to remind readers that all the above discussions could be generalized to the simplicial setting.

## REFERENCES

- [Bott] R. Bott, Loring W. Tu, Differential forms in algebraic geometry
- [CEGT] E. Cattani, F. El Zein, P. Griffiths, L.-D. Tráng, Hodge theory, Mathematical Notes 49, Princeton University Press, 2014.
- [D3] Deligne, Théorie de Hodge III, Publ. Math. IHES 40, 1975, 6-77.
- [DI] P. Deligne, L. Illusie, Relèvements modulo  $p^2$  et décomposition du complexe de de Rham, Invent. Math. 89 (1987), 247-270.
- [EV] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, Lectures on vanishing theorems. DMV Seminar, 20. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [Fa] G. Faltings, Crystalline cohomology and  $p$ -adic Galois-representations, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), 25-80, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.
- [FFR] S. Felton, M. Filip, H. Ruddat, Smoothing toroidal crossing spaces, arxiv.org:1908.11235v1, 2019.
- [FL] J.-M. Fontaine, G. Laffaille, Construction de représentation  $p$ -adiques, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 15 (1982), 547-608.
- [GH] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, Principles of algebraic geometry, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1978.
- [Har] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, GTM 52, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [IL90] L. Illusie, Réduction semistable et décomposition de complexes de de Rham à coefficients, Duke Math. J., vol. 60, 1990, no. 1, 139-185.
- [IL02] L. Illusie, Frobenius and Hodge degeneration, Introduction to Hodge theory, SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs, vol 8., 96-145, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.
- [Ka] M. Kashiwara, A study of variation of mixed Hodge structure, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University 22: 991-1024, 1986.
- [LSYZ] G.-T. Lan, M. Sheng, Y.-H. Yang, K. Zuo, Uniformization of  $p$ -adic curves via Higgs-de Rham flows. Journal für angewandte und reine Mathematik, Vol.747, pp 63-108, 2019.
- [LSZ14] G.-T. Lan, M. Sheng, K. Zuo, Nonabelian Hodge theory in positive characteristic via exponential twisting, Mathematical Research Letter, Vol. 22, Pages 859-879, 2015.
- [LSZ] G.-T. Lan, M. Sheng, K. Zuo, Semistable Higgs bundles, periodic Higgs bundles and representations of algebraic fundamental groups, Journal of European Mathematical Society, Vol.21, pp 3053-3112, 2019.
- [OV] A. Ogus, V. Vologodsky, Nonabelian Hodge theory in characteristic  $p$ , Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes études Sci. 106 (2007), 1-138.
- [Ols] M. Olsson, Algebraic spaces and stacks, Providence, Rhode Island: American mathematical Society, 2016.
- [Sche] D. Schepler, Logarithmic nonabelian Hodge theory in characteristic  $p$ . arXiv:0802.1977v1, 2008.

- [Schm] W. Schmid, Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping, *Inventiones Math.* 22, 211-319, 1973.
- [SZ] M. Sheng, Z. Zhang, On the decomposition theorem for intersection de Rham complexes, arXiv:1904.06651, 2019.
- [Zuc] S. Zucker, Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients:  $L_2$  cohomology in the Poincaré metric, *Ann. of Math.* 109, 1979, 415-476.

*Email address:* zzb2@mail.ustc.edu.cn

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH