

TRACES FOR FACTORIZATION HOMOLOGY IN DIMENSION 1

DAVID AYALA & JOHN FRANCIS

ABSTRACT. We construct a circle-invariant trace from the factorization homology over the circle

$$\text{trace}: \int_{S^1}^{\alpha} \underline{\text{End}}(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{1}$$

associated to a dualizable object $V \in \mathfrak{X}$ in a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. This proves a conjecture of Toën–Vezzosi [TV1] on existence of circle-invariant traces. Underlying our construction is a calculation of the factorization homology over the circle of the walking adjunction in terms of the paracyclic category of Getzler–Jones [GJ]:

$$\int_{S^1} \text{Adj} \simeq \Delta_{\circ}^{\heartsuit}.$$

This calculation exhibits a form of Poincaré duality for 1-dimensional factorization homology.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Index of notation	6
1. Factorization homology of the walking adjunction	6
1.1. Factorization homology of the walking monad and comonad	7
1.2. Factorization homology of the walking adjunction	8
2. Traces	14
2.1. \mathbb{T} -invariant trace	15
2.2. A proof of a conjecture of Toën–Vezzosi	18
Appendix A. Recollections: the paracyclic category and adjunctions	19
A.1. The paracyclic category	19
A.2. The walking monad and comonad	21
A.3. The walking adjunction	22
A.4. The walking dual	23
Appendix B. Factorization homology	25
B.1. Recollections of factorization homology	25
B.2. Comparison between the α and β versions of factorization homology	27
References	29

INTRODUCTION

In this work, we generalize foundational algebraic results concerning traces and Hochschild homology established for chain complexes or spectra to symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. Our tool

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13D03. Secondary 57K99, 18N10, 18N60.

Key words and phrases. Factorization homology. Traces. Hochschild homology. Negative cyclic homology. Adjunctions. The paracyclic category.

DA was supported by the National Science Foundation under awards 1812055 and 1945639. JF was supported by the National Science Foundation under award 1812057. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140, while the authors were in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2020 semester.

is the theory of factorization homology, developed in [AFR1], through which we apply the geometry of 1-dimensional manifolds to obtain these results in algebra.

Classical situation. We briefly recall these classical results. Let \mathbb{k} be a commutative ring.¹ For each perfect \mathbb{k} -module V , **trace** of \mathbb{k} -linear endomorphisms of V defines a \mathbb{k} -linear map

$$\text{trace}: \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}(V) \xleftarrow{\simeq} V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathbb{k},$$

where V^{\vee} is the \mathbb{k} -linear dual of V . This trace map respects composition of \mathbb{k} -linear endomorphisms of V in that there is a canonical identification $\text{trace}(\varphi \circ \psi) \simeq \text{trace}(\psi \circ \varphi)$ in \mathbb{k} . More generally, **trace** is *cyclically invariant*: there is a canonical identification in \mathbb{k} :

$$\text{trace}(\varphi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_r) \simeq \text{trace}(\varphi_2 \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_r \circ \varphi_1).$$

The defining property of **Hochschild homology** is just so that this cyclic invariance of **trace** organizes as the first of the following factorizations among \mathbb{k} -modules:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}(V) & \longrightarrow & \text{HH}(\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}(V)) & \xrightarrow{\text{HH(inclusion)}} & \text{HH}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}) & \xleftarrow[\text{(Morita invariance)}]{\simeq} & \text{HH}(\mathbb{k}) \\ & \searrow \text{trace} & \text{---} \text{trace} & & \downarrow \text{trace} & & \\ & & & & \mathbb{k} & & \end{array}$$

Morita invariance of Hochschild homology grants the further factorization through the Hochschild homology of the (derived) \mathbb{k} -linear category of perfect \mathbb{k} -modules, as well as its identification with the Hochschild homology of \mathbb{k} . An advantage of this extension of **trace** to $\text{HH}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is that there is a canonical \mathbb{k} -linear map from the \mathbb{k} -homology of the moduli space of perfect \mathbb{k} -modules:

$$(2) \quad \text{H}(\text{Obj}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}); \mathbb{k}) \longrightarrow \text{HH}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \text{HH}(\mathbb{k}).$$

This map offers a composite \mathbb{k} -linear map:

$$(3) \quad \text{H}(\text{Obj}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}); \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{(2)} \text{HH}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}) \xrightarrow[\text{(1)}]{\text{trace}} \mathbb{k} \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}),$$

which, by construction, evaluates as

$$V \longmapsto \text{trace}(\text{id}_V) \simeq (\mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{\text{unit}} \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}(V) \xleftarrow{\simeq} V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathbb{k}),$$

the trace of the identity, also known as the *dimension* of V .

Classical \mathbb{T} -invariance. In these classical terms, we now recall invariance of **trace**, in (1), with respect to the circle group \mathbb{T} . Namely, there is a canonical \mathbb{T} -**action** on Hochschild homology. As such, the \mathbb{k} -linear map (2) canonically factors through the (derived) \mathbb{T} -**invariants**:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{HH}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}})^{\mathbb{T}} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \text{HC}^{-}(\mathbb{k}) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{H}(\text{Obj}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}); \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{(2)} & \text{HH}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}) & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \text{HH}(\mathbb{k}), \end{array}$$

where the (derived) \mathbb{T} -invariants of $\text{HH}(\mathbb{k})$ is the *negative cyclic homology* of \mathbb{k} . Meanwhile, the construction of the \mathbb{k} -linear trace map (1) is canonically \mathbb{T} -invariant with respect to the trivial \mathbb{T} -action on $\mathbb{k} \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k})$. In particular, there is a \mathbb{k} -linear map between (derived) \mathbb{T} -invariants:

$$(4) \quad \text{HC}^{-}(\mathbb{k}) \simeq \text{HH}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}})^{\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\text{trace}^{\mathbb{T}}} \mathbb{k}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathbb{k}^{\text{hBT}} \simeq \mathbb{k}[c],$$

¹In this discussion, everything is derived: we work in the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{k}}, \otimes_{\mathbb{k}})$, which can be presented as an ∞ -categorical localization of a category of chain complexes over \mathbb{k} and derived tensor product over \mathbb{k} , localized on quasi-isomorphisms. So, for instance, by the *homology* $\text{H}(X; \mathbb{k})$ of a space X , we mean the object in $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{k}}$ presented by \mathbb{k} -valued chains on X ; by *Hochschild homology* HH , we mean Hochschild chains.

where the codomain is the cohomology of $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{T}$ with coefficients in \mathbb{k} , which is a polynomial ring over \mathbb{k} on a generator c in degree -2 . There results a lift of (3):

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{HH}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}})^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\text{trace}^{\mathbb{T}}} \text{End}_{\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}}(\mathbb{k})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{T}} \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{H}(\text{Obj}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}); \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{(2)} \text{HH}(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}) & \xrightarrow{(1)} \text{trace} \text{End}_{\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{k}}}(\mathbb{k}), \end{array} \quad V \mapsto \left(\mathbb{k} \xrightarrow{\text{unit}} V^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V \simeq V \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathbb{k} \right).$$

Generalization. As **Theorem 2.6** of this article, we prove the following generalization. Let \mathfrak{X} be a rigid symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, i.e., a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category in which every object is dualizable. We construct a \mathbb{T} -invariant trace map to its endomorphisms of its symmetric monoidal unit $\mathbb{1} \in \mathfrak{X}$ from its *factorization homology* over the circle (i.e., its Hochschild homology):

$$(6) \quad \text{HH}(\mathfrak{X}) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{\text{trace}} \text{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1}).$$

This \mathbb{T} -invariant morphism (6) results a diagram among spaces:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{X} \right)^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\text{trace}^{\mathbb{T}}} \text{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{T}} \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}) & \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{(6)} \text{trace} \text{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1}), \end{array} \quad V \mapsto \left(\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\eta} V^{\vee} \otimes V \simeq V \otimes V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{1} \right),$$

for which, we show, the bottom horizontal composite evaluates as indicated, where V^{\vee} is the dual of V , and η and ϵ are the respective unit and the counit for this duality.

Conjecture of Toën–Vezzosi. As a consequence of the construction of (7), we prove Conjectures 4.1 and 5.1 of Toën–Vezzosi [TV1], which assert that trace maps have canonical \mathbb{T} -invariant refinements for dualizable objects in general symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories: see **Corollary 2.9**. Specifically, we extend the bottom horizontal trace map (7) along $\text{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{constant}} \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{S}^1} =: L\text{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})$:

$$(8) \quad \text{trace}: L\mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})$$

Toën and Vezzosi later showed in [TV2] that their Conjectures 4.1 and 5.1 of [TV1] are consequences of the 1-dimensional cobordism hypothesis; see also [St]. The logic of this work is, however, independent of the cobordism hypothesis: we construct the trace maps (7) and (8) using factorization homology. In a sequel [AF6], we prove the 1-dimensional cobordism hypothesis using the trace methods developed here.

Cobordism hypothesis. Recall, after Baez–Dolan [BaDo] and Hopkins–Lurie [Lu3], that the 1-dimensional cobordism hypothesis asserts that a dualizable object $V \in \mathfrak{X}$ determines a 1-dimensional TQFT, Z_V . Now, identifying the values of Z_V on cobordisms that are disjoint unions of disks is a relatively simple matter using dualizability data of V (e.g., its dual V^{\vee} , the unit $\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\eta} V^{\vee} \otimes V$, and the counit $V \otimes V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{1}$). Furthermore, identifying the value $Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1) \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})$ can be achieved by witnessing \mathbb{S}^1 as a composition (in $\text{Bord}_1^{\text{fr}}$) of such disk-cobordisms. For instance, witnessing \mathbb{S}^1 as a union of two hemispherical 1-disks determines an identification

$$\left(\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1)} \mathbb{1} \right) \simeq \left(\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\eta} V^{\vee} \otimes V \simeq V \otimes V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{1} \right).$$

In this way, one can easily identify all values of the sought TQFT Z_V , via *combinatorial presentations* of 1-manifolds as gluings of disjoint unions of 1-disks along boundary 0-spheres. The **key difficulty**

in proving the 1-dimensional cobordism hypothesis is to verify coherent compatibilities among each value of Z_V determined by a combinatorial presentation. This can be succinctly summarized as, for instance, the problem of constructing the requisite action $\text{Diff}^{\text{fr}}(\mathbb{S}^1) \curvearrowright Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Indeed, while a choice of a combinatorial presentation of \mathbb{S}^1 supplies a natural action of a finite cyclic group $C_r \curvearrowright Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1)$, this action does not obviously extend as an action of the circle group $\mathbb{T} \simeq \text{Diff}^{\text{fr}}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Such an action $\mathbb{T} \curvearrowright Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a map between spaces,

$$(9) \quad \langle \mathbb{T} \curvearrowright Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1) \rangle : \text{BT} \xrightarrow{\langle \mathbb{T} \curvearrowright \mathbb{S}^1 \rangle} \text{End}_{\text{Bord}_1^{\text{fr}}}(\emptyset) \xrightarrow{Z_V} \text{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1}),$$

which is a non-trivial homotopy-theoretic datum. For instance, as demonstrated in (5) after (4), for any commutative ring \mathbb{k} , it determines an element $c_{2d} \in \text{H}_{2d}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1}); \mathbb{k})$ in each even-degree homology group. Each combinatorial definition of trace, and hence of the value $Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1) := \text{trace}(\text{id}_V) \simeq \epsilon \circ \eta$, only determines the degree-0 element. **Theorem 2.6** constructs this map (9) by supplying diagram (7), thereby resolving the key difficulty mentioned above.

Constructing the \mathbb{T} -invariant trace. We now explain how factorization homology is used to prove **Theorem 2.6**, and supply diagram (7). We frame this discussion in terms of the aforementioned key difficulty: to coherently identify each value of $Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1)$ obtained from a combinatorial presentation of \mathbb{S}^1 .

A combinatorial presentation D of \mathbb{S}^1 determines a non-empty cyclically-ordered finite set $\text{sk}_0(D)$, whose group of automorphisms is a cyclic group $C_{|\text{sk}_0(D)|}$ of order the cardinality of $\text{sk}_0(D)$; two combinatorial presentations D and E are equivalent if and only if their cyclic sets $\text{sk}_0(D) \cong \text{sk}_0(E)$ are isomorphic. The collection of combinatorial presentations D of \mathbb{S}^1 thusly organizes as the moduli space $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)) := \coprod_{r>0} \text{BC}_r$. Consider the ∞ -categorical suspension,

$$\mathfrak{S}(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1))) := \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1))^{\heartsuit} \coprod_{\text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1))} \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1))^{\spadesuit},$$

which is the ∞ -category resulting from adjoining two cone-points $\pm\infty$ to $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1))$ with, for each point $(D \text{ of } \mathbb{S}^1) \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1))$, unique morphisms $-\infty \xrightarrow{!} (D \text{ of } \mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{!} +\infty$. Assigning to each combinatorial presentation D of \mathbb{S}^1 its associated value of $Z_V(D \text{ of } \mathbb{S}^1)$ with its evident $C_{|\text{sk}_0(D)|}$ -invariance defines a functor that carries the cone-points to the symmetric monoidal unit $\mathbb{1} \in \mathfrak{X}$:

$$(10) \quad Z_V(- \text{ of } \mathbb{S}^1) : \mathfrak{S}(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1))) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X},$$

$$\left(-\infty \xrightarrow{!} (D \text{ of } \mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{!} +\infty \right) \longmapsto \left(\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\eta^{\otimes \text{sk}_0(D)}} (V^{\vee} \otimes V)^{\otimes \text{sk}_0(D)} \simeq (V \otimes V^{\vee})^{\otimes \pi_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \text{sk}_0(D))} \xrightarrow{\epsilon^{\otimes \pi_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \text{sk}_0(D))}} \mathbb{1} \right).$$

Here, the central identification cyclically reassociates the iterated tensor product, which is thereafter naturally indexed by the *Poincaré dual* of $(D \text{ of } \mathbb{S}^1)$ which is the result of exchanging the 0- and 1-dimensional strata of D .

Consider combinatorial moves among combinatorial presentations of \mathbb{S}^1 generated by identifying two cyclically-adjacent elements in $\text{sk}_0(D)$, or by introducing a new cyclically arranged element to $\text{sk}_0(D)$. These moves generate morphisms in an ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ (introduced in (46)), whose moduli space of objects is $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1))$. A first key technical feature of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is that its classifying space $\text{B}\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \simeq *$ is contractible (see **Corollary B.10**). Connectedness of this classifying space implies any two combinatorial presentations of \mathbb{S}^1 are related by combinatorial moves; contractibility implies there is an essentially unique way to relate two. This technical feature implies $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^{\heartsuit}$ is the result of freely adjoining both an initial object and a final object (see **Observation A.10**). So the key difficulty highlighted above is resolved upon constructing a \mathbb{T} -invariant extension of (10)

among ∞ -categories:

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S}(\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1))) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes \mathrm{sk}_0(-)} (V^\vee \otimes V)^{\otimes \mathrm{sk}_0(-)} \simeq (V \otimes V^\vee)^{\otimes \pi_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \mathrm{sk}_0(-))} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes \pi_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \mathrm{sk}_0(-))} \mathbb{1}} & \mathfrak{X} \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \\ \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^\heartsuit & & \end{array}$$

Such a factorization is adjoint to the bottom horizontal functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{\langle \mathbb{T} \curvearrowright Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1) \rangle} & \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})^{\heartsuit \mathrm{BT}} \\ \text{localization} \uparrow & \dashrightarrow \exists! & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) & \xrightarrow{\text{(11)'s filler}} & \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1}) . \\ & & \langle Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1) \rangle \end{array}$$

The aforementioned key technical feature of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ that its classifying space is contractible (see **Corollary B.10**) grants a unique diagonal filler – this achieves the construction of a point $Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})$ that is manifestly compatible with all combinatorially presented values. Furthermore, the \mathbb{T} -invariance of such a factorization in (11) is a further top horizontal lift – this achieves the sought canonical action $\mathbb{T} \curvearrowright Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

It is an extension (11) that factorization homology constructs. Specifically, the dualizable object $V \in \mathfrak{X}$ is selected by a monoidal functor $\mathrm{Dual} \xrightarrow{\langle V \text{ (with dual)} \rangle} \mathfrak{X}$ from the *walking dual* (see Definition A.22). Applying the α -version of factorization homology over \mathbb{S}^1 to this *monoidal* functor $\langle V \rangle$ supplies functors among $(\infty, 1)$ -categories,

$$(12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathrm{Dual} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle V \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathfrak{X} ,$$

in which the second functor exploits that the monoidal structure on \mathfrak{X} is restricted from its symmetric monoidal structure (see (26)). **Corollary 1.2** supplies a canonical functor

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^\heartsuit \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathrm{Dual}$$

that carries $\pm\infty$ to $\mathbb{1} \in \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathrm{Dual}$. Composing (12) and (13) results in a composite \mathbb{T} -equivariant functor

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^\heartsuit \xrightarrow{(13)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathrm{Dual} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle V \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathfrak{X} ,$$

which is the sought \mathbb{T} -invariant factorization (11). Now, provided \mathfrak{X} admits geometric realizations, then the colimit

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V) := \mathrm{colim} \left(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^\heartsuit \xrightarrow{(14)|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^\heartsuit}} \mathfrak{X} \right)$$

exists (see **Theorem 2.6**). As such, the functor (14) is precisely the datum of a \mathbb{T} -invariant *trace* morphism in \mathfrak{X} :

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{trace}} \mathbb{1} .$$

Furthermore, the endomorphism $Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1)$ constructed above is the composition

$$Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1): \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{unit}} \mathrm{HH}(\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{trace}} \mathbb{1} ,$$

which makes no reference to a combinatorial presentation of \mathbb{S}^1 , and it is manifestly \mathbb{T} -invariant (see **Theorem 2.6**).

Index of notation. We employ the following notational conventions in this paper.

- **Spaces** is the ∞ -category of spaces (i.e., the ∞ -categorical localization of the category of Kan complexes, localized on homotopy equivalences).
- For $n \geq 0$, the ∞ -category \mathbf{Cat}_n is that of (∞, n) -categories, where it is understood that $\mathbf{Cat}_0 = \mathbf{Spaces}$. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, there is an understood fully-faithful functor $\mathbf{Cat}_k \subset \mathbf{Cat}_n$.
- Let X be a space, regarded as an ∞ -groupoid. Let $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ be an object in an ∞ -category. The **cotensor** of Z by X is the limit $Z^{\pitchfork X} := \lim(X \xrightarrow{!} * \xrightarrow{\langle Z \rangle} \mathcal{K})$ of the constant functor at Z from X .
- $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}$ is the **circle group**. For $X \in \mathcal{K}$, the object in \mathcal{K} of \mathbb{T} -**modules** in X is $X^{\pitchfork \mathbb{B}\mathbb{T}}$. So, for $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}_n$, the (∞, n) -category of \mathbb{T} -modules in \mathcal{C} is $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\pitchfork \mathbb{B}\mathbb{T}}$.
- For \mathcal{K} an ∞ -category, the ∞ -category $\mathcal{K}^{\triangleleft}$ is the result of freely adjoining an initial object to \mathcal{K} , and the ∞ -category $\mathcal{K}^{\triangleright}$ is the result of freely adjoining a final object to \mathcal{K} . The ∞ -category $\mathcal{K}^{\triangleleft \triangleright}$ is $(\mathcal{K}^{\triangleleft})^{\triangleright} \simeq (\mathcal{K}^{\triangleright})^{\triangleleft}$.
- Let \mathfrak{X} be a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category. The object $\mathbb{1} \in \mathfrak{X}$ is its symmetric monoidal unit. The category-object internal to $(\infty, 1)$ -categories $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X}$ is the deloop of the underlying monoidal ∞ -category \mathfrak{X} . Specifically, as a simplicial-category, $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X} = \mathbf{Bar}_\bullet(\mathfrak{X})$ is the bar-construction.

1. FACTORIZATION HOMOLOGY OF THE WALKING ADJUNCTION

The main technical result of this section is the calculation of $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathbf{Adj}$, the factorization homology over the circle of the walking adjunction. We state this calculation, Theorem 1.1, below; we prove it at the conclusion of §1.2. See Appendix §A for definitions of the paracyclic category $\mathbf{\Delta}_\circ$, the walking dual \mathbf{Dual} , and the walking adjunction \mathbf{Adj} .

Theorem 1.1. *There is a canonical equivalence between cospans of $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}$ -module- ∞ -categories:*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbf{End}_{\mathbf{Adj}}(-) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathbf{Adj} \longleftarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbf{End}_{\mathbf{Adj}}(+) \right) \simeq \left(\mathbf{\Delta}_\circ^{\triangleleft} \longrightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}_\circ^{\triangleleft \triangleright} \longleftarrow (\mathbf{\Delta}_\circ^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright} \right).$$

In particular, there are canonical $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathbf{Adj} &\simeq \mathbf{\Delta}_\circ^{\triangleleft \triangleright} \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbf{End}_{\mathbf{Adj}}(-) &\simeq \mathbf{\Delta}_\circ^{\triangleleft} \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbf{End}_{\mathbf{Adj}}(+) &\simeq (\mathbf{\Delta}_\circ^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright}, \end{aligned}$$

compatible with respect to the contravariant automorphism $\mathbf{\Delta}_\circ^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathbf{\Delta}_\circ$ of Observation A.3.

The next result makes reference to the monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category \mathbf{Dual} of Definition A.22, as well as the \mathbb{T} -module- $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ of (46).

Corollary 1.2. *There is a canonical \mathbb{T} -equivariant functor between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories,*

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^{\triangleleft \triangleright} \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbf{Dual},$$

that carries both cone-points to $\mathbb{1} \in \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbf{Dual}$.

Proof. The \mathbb{T} -equivariant functor is the composition of the following \mathbb{T} -equivariant functors, explained below. We explain the following sequence of \mathbb{T} -equivariant functors, the composite of which

is that of the corollary:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^{\triangleleft} &\xrightarrow[\text{Cor B.9}]{\simeq} \Delta_{\cup}^{\triangleleft} \\
&\xrightarrow[\text{Thm 1.1}]{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \text{Adj} \\
&\xrightarrow[\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (43)]{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B} \text{Dual} \\
&\xrightarrow[\text{Prop B.12}]{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \text{Dual} .
\end{aligned}$$

The first functor is Corollary B.9, which identifies $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and Δ_{\cup} . The second functor is Theorem 1.1. The third functor is factorization homology applied to the quotient functor (43). The fourth functor is Proposition B.12, which identifies the α -version of factorization homology with the β -version of factorization homology.

□

1.1. Factorization homology of the walking monad and comonad. See Appendix §A for definition of the walking monad \mathbf{O} . The goal of this section is to identify the values of α -factorization homology of the monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories \mathbf{O} and \mathbf{O}^{op} . These values will be $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

Observation 1.3. For each framed 1-manifold M , consider the composite functor among $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

$$\text{Disk}_{1/M}^{\text{fr}} \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \text{Disk}_1^{\text{fr}} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \text{Sets} .$$

- (1) In the case that $M = \mathbb{R}^1$, the linear order on $\pi_0(U)$ inherited from an embedding $U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ determines a lift of this composite functor to $\mathbf{O} \simeq (\Delta)^{\triangleleft}$. This lift is an equivalence between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

$$(15) \quad \text{Disk}_{1/\mathbb{R}^1}^{\text{fr}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{O} \simeq (\Delta)^{\triangleleft} , \quad (U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1) \mapsto \pi_0(U) .$$

- (2) For the case that $M = \mathbb{S}^1$, consider the universal covering space $\mathbb{R}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \mathbb{S}^1$. The linear order on $\pi_0(\text{exp}^{-1}U)$ inherited from an embedding $\text{exp}^{-1}(U) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$, together with the $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \mathbb{Z}$ -action by deck-transformations, determines a lift of this composite functor to $\Delta_{\cup}^{\triangleleft}$. This lift is an equivalence between $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}$ -module- $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

$$(16) \quad \text{Disk}_{1/\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{fr}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Delta_{\cup}^{\triangleleft} , \quad (U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1) \mapsto \pi_0(\text{exp}^{-1}U) .$$

Lemma 1.4. For each compact solidly 1-framed stratified space M , there are canonical equivalences between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

$$\int_M \mathfrak{B} \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \simeq \text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M \mathfrak{B} \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \simeq (\text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}} .$$

Proof. Theorem A.18 gives equivalences $\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+)^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathbf{O} \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-)$ of monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. Therefore, by taking opposites of categories, each of the equivalences in the statement of this lemma implies the other. We are therefore reduced to establishing a canonical equivalence between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories: $\int_M \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{O} \simeq \text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}}$. Through Proposition B.12, which identifies α -factorization homology as an instance of β -factorization homology, it is sufficient to show that, for all (finitary) framed 1-manifolds $M' \in \text{Mfld}_1^{\text{fr}}$, there is a canonical equivalence between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

$$\int_{M'}^{\alpha} \mathbf{O} \simeq \text{Disk}_{1/M'}^{\text{fr}} .$$

Consider the functor

$$(17) \quad \text{Disk}_{1/-}^{\text{fr}}: \text{Mfld}_1^{\text{fr}} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}_1 , \quad M' \mapsto \text{Disk}_{1/M'}^{\text{fr}} .$$

The above equivalence is implied by establishing an equivalence between functors from $\mathcal{Mfld}_1^{\text{fr}}$ to Cat_1 :

$$\int_{-}^{\alpha} \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{D}\text{isk}_{1/-}^{\text{fr}} .$$

Observation 1.3(1) gives that these two functors evaluate identically on \mathbb{R}^1 .

For each finite-fold disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{j \in J} M'_j$ of finitary framed 1-manifolds, the functor

$$\mathcal{D}\text{isk}_{1/\bigsqcup_{j \in J} M'_j}^{\text{fr}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{D}\text{isk}_{1/M'_j}^{\text{fr}} , \quad \left(U \hookrightarrow \bigsqcup_{j \in J} M'_j \right) \mapsto \left(j \mapsto (U \cap M'_j \hookrightarrow M'_j) \right) ,$$

is an equivalence. In this way, the functor (17) extends as a symmetric monoidal functor, where the symmetric monoidal structure on the domain is disjoint union, and that on the codomain is product. The equivalence (15) thereby extends as an equivalence between monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. Lemma 2.4.1 in [AF5] verifies that this symmetric monoidal functor (17) satisfies the \otimes -excision condition. Theorem 1.2 of [AF1] gives that the canonical natural transformation

$$\int_{-}^{\alpha} \mathcal{O} \underset{(15)}{\simeq} \int_{-}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}\text{isk}_{1/\mathbb{R}^1}^{\text{fr}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D}\text{isk}_{1/-}^{\text{fr}}$$

is an equivalence. □

After Lemma 1.4, Observation 1.3 gives the following.

Corollary 1.5. *There are canonical equivalences between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^1}^{\alpha} \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Delta^{\triangleleft} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^1}^{\alpha} \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\Delta^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright} .$$

There are canonical equivalences of $\mathbb{T} \simeq \text{BZ}$ -module $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Delta_{\circ}^{\triangleleft} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\Delta_{\circ}^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright} .$$

1.2. Factorization homology of the walking adjunction. Note the standard fully-faithful embedding

$$\text{Cat}_2 \subset \text{fCat}_1[\text{Cat}_1] := \text{Fun}^{\text{Segal}}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Cat}_1)$$

into the ∞ -category of those simplicial $(\infty, 1)$ -categories that carry (the opposites of) Segal covering diagrams to limit diagrams. Through this embedding, factorization homology (see §B.1) defines a functor

$$\int : \text{Cat}_2 \subset \text{fCat}_1[\text{Cat}_1] \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \text{Cat}_1) , \quad \mathcal{C} \mapsto \left(M \mapsto \int_M \mathcal{C} \right) .$$

By Lemma B.5, for each $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}_2$, the value of $\int \mathcal{C}$ over $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to the colimit in Cat_1 of the functor:

$$(18) \quad (47): \mathcal{D}(M) \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_2}(\mathcal{C}(-), \mathcal{C})} \text{Cat}_1 .$$

Notation 1.6. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}_2$ be an $(\infty, 2)$ -category. For each compact solidly 1-framed stratified space $M \in \mathcal{M}$, the coCartesian fibration

$$(19) \quad \int_M^{\text{lax}} \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(M)$$

is the unstraightening of the functor (18).

Observation 1.7. Proposition B.4 implies, for each $M \in \mathcal{M}$, that the ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is an ordinary category: the spaces of morphisms between any two objects is a 0-type. Consequently, if \mathcal{C} is ordinary 2-category, then $\int_M^{\text{lax}} \mathcal{C}$ is an ordinary category. In particular, $\int_M^{\text{lax}} \text{Adj}$, and any full ∞ -subcategory of it, is an ordinary category.

Remark 1.8. We can explicitly describe the $(\infty, 1)$ -category introduced in Notation 1.6. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}_2$ be an $(\infty, 2)$ -category. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ be a compact solidly 1-framed stratified space.

- An object in the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\int_M^{\text{ lax}} \mathcal{C}$ is a pair $(D \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} M, \mathfrak{C}(D) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{C})$ consisting of a disk-refinement of M , together with a functor to \mathcal{C} from the free $(\infty, 1)$ -category on the underlying finite directed graph D . (See Observation B.6 for a simple way to record such an ℓ .)
- A morphism in the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\int_M^{\text{ lax}} \mathcal{C}$, from (D_s, ℓ_s) to (D_t, ℓ_t) , is a morphism $D_s \xrightarrow{X} D_t$ in $\mathcal{D}(M)$, together with a 2-cell making the diagram among $(\infty, 2)$ -categories

$$(20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{C}(X) & \\ \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft \\ \mathfrak{C}(D_s) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathfrak{C}(D_t) \\ \searrow \ell_s & & \swarrow \ell_t \\ & \mathcal{C} & \end{array}$$

commute. Specifically, such a 2-cell is a morphism between $(\infty, 2)$ -categories $\mathfrak{C}(D_t) \wr_{\mathcal{C}_1} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{C}$ extending $\mathfrak{C}(D_t) \wr_{\partial \mathcal{C}_1} \xrightarrow{\langle \ell_s \circ \mathfrak{C}(X), \ell_t \rangle} \mathcal{C}$.

- Composition in $\int_M^{\text{ lax}} \mathcal{C}$ is implemented by concatenating such diagrams (20) horizontally, and composing morphisms in $\mathcal{D}(M)$ while composing such 2-cells.
- A morphism in the $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\int_M^{\text{ lax}} \mathcal{C}$, depicted say as (20), is coCartesian over $\mathcal{D}(M)$ if and only if the 2-cell γ in the diagram is invertible, which is to say it is an identification $\ell_s \circ \mathfrak{C}(X) \simeq \ell_t$ between functors from $\mathfrak{C}(D_t)$ to \mathcal{C} . In particular, such a morphism is an equivalence if and only if the morphism X in $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is an equivalence and the 2-cell γ is invertible.

Observation 1.9. For each $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathcal{C} , and each compact solidly 1-framed stratified space $M \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a canonical functor between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories

$$\int_M^{\text{ lax}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{localization}} \text{colim} \left(\mathcal{D}(M) \xrightarrow{(18)} \text{Cat}_1 \right) \xrightarrow[\text{Lem B.5}]{\simeq} \int_M \mathcal{C},$$

which exhibits a localization on the coCartesian morphisms of (19):

$$\left(\int_M^{\text{ lax}} \mathcal{C} \right) \left[\text{cCart}/\mathcal{D}(M)^{-1} \right] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \int_M \mathcal{C}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we analyze the coCartesian fibration $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}} \text{Adj} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Consider the diagram of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories and fully-faithful functors among them:

$$(21) \quad * \xrightarrow{\text{unit}} \mathfrak{B}\mathcal{O} \underset{\text{Thm A.18}}{\simeq} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \hookrightarrow \text{Adj} \hookleftarrow \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \underset{\text{Thm A.18}}{\simeq} \mathfrak{B}\mathcal{O}^{\text{op}} \xleftarrow{\text{cunit}} *.$$

Applying $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}}$ to this diagram (21) results in a diagram of coCartesian fibrations over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and fully-faithful functors among them each that preserves coCartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$:

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}} \text{unit}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \hookrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}} \text{Adj} \hookleftarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \xleftarrow{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}} \text{cunit}} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1).$$

Observation 1.10. Let $(D \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \mathbb{S}^1, \mathfrak{C}(D) \xrightarrow{\ell} \text{Adj}) \in \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}} \text{Adj}$ be an object.

- + : This object lies in $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+)$ if and only if the map between finite sets $\ell_0 : \text{sk}_0(D) = \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{C}(D)) \xrightarrow{\text{Obj}(\ell)} \text{Obj}(\text{Adj}) = \{\pm\}$ is constant at +.
- : This object lies in $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{ lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-)$ if and only if the map between finite sets $\ell_0 : \text{sk}_0(D) = \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{C}(D)) \xrightarrow{\text{Obj}(\ell)} \text{Obj}(\text{Adj}) = \{\pm\}$ is constant at -.

unit : This object lies in the image of the fully-faithful functor $(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \text{unit})$ if and only if the functor $\mathfrak{C}(D) \xrightarrow{\ell} \text{Adj}$ is constant at $-$.

counit : This object lies in the image of the fully-faithful functor $(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \text{counit})$ if and only if the functor $\mathfrak{C}(D) \xrightarrow{\ell} \text{Adj}$ is constant at $+$.

In particular, the canonical functor $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \amalg \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \text{Adj}$ is fully-faithful, which is to say the images of the two fully-faithful cofactors are disjoint.

The description of morphisms in $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{C}$ given in Remark 1.8 implies the following.

Observation 1.11. Each of the following fully-faithful functors in (22), as well as the functor

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \amalg \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \hookrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \text{Adj} ,$$

is a left fibration. In other words, a morphism in $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \text{Adj}$ belongs to one of these full ∞ -subcategories if and only if its source does.

Notation 1.12. Denote the $(\infty, 1)$ -categories over $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \text{Adj} \quad , \quad \mathcal{A}_+ := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \text{Adj} \setminus \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) , \\ \mathcal{A}_- &:= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \text{Adj} \setminus \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \quad , \quad \mathcal{A}_0 := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \text{Adj} \setminus \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \amalg \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) . \end{aligned}$$

Denote the respective $(\infty, 1)$ -subcategories, each that consists of the same objects and those morphisms that are carried into \mathcal{A} as coCartesian morphisms over $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$:

$$\mathcal{A}_0^{\text{cCart}} \subset \mathcal{A}_0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{A}_-^{\text{cCart}} \subset \mathcal{A}_- \quad , \quad \mathcal{A}_+^{\text{cCart}} \subset \mathcal{A}_+ \quad , \quad \mathcal{A}^{\text{cCart}} \subset \mathcal{A} .$$

Remark 1.13. Using Observation 1.10, note that none of the functors $\mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ or $\mathcal{A}_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ are coCartesian fibrations.

Lemma 1.14. *Each of the diagrams among $(\infty, 1)$ -categories,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_+ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_- & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_0^{\text{cCart}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_+^{\text{cCart}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_0^{\text{cCart}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_+^{\text{cCart}} \end{array} ,$$

is a pushout.

Proof. We prove the left square is a pushout first. Recall that the restricted Yoneda functor

$$\text{Cat}_1 \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_1}([\bullet], -)} \text{PShv}(\mathbf{\Delta})$$

is fully-faithful. So the left square is a pushout in Cat_1 if it is carried by this restricted Yoneda functor to a pushout square in $\text{PShv}(\mathbf{\Delta})$. As colimits are detected and created value-wise in a presheaf ∞ -category, it is therefore sufficient to show, for each object $[p] \in \mathbf{\Delta}$, that the square among spaces,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A}_0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A}_+) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A}_-) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A}) \end{array} ,$$

is a pushout. By definition, each of the ∞ -categories $\mathcal{A}_{\pm} \subset \mathcal{A}$ are full ∞ -subcategories of \mathcal{A} , and the ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq \mathcal{A}_- \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_+ \subset \mathcal{A}$ is their intersection in \mathcal{A} , so is also a full ∞ -subcategory of \mathcal{A} . Consequently, the above square of spaces is a pullback square among spaces in which each map is

an inclusion of path-components. Such a square of spaces is a pushout provided the induced map between sets of path-components

$$(23) \quad \pi_0\left(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A}_-)\right) \amalg \pi_0\left(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A}_+)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A})\right)$$

is surjective. So let $[p] \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{A}$ represent a point in the codomain of this map. Inspecting the definitions of \mathcal{A}_\pm , the induced map between sets of path-components

$$\pi_0\left(\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A}_-)\right) \amalg \pi_0\left(\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A}_+)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{A})\right)$$

is surjective. So $\sigma(0) \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to either \mathcal{A}_- or \mathcal{A}_+ . Observation 1.11 implies $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A})$ belongs to either $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A}_-)$ or $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_1}([p], \mathcal{A}_+)$. Therefore (23) is surjective, which completes the proof that the left square in the lemma is a pushout.

The proof that the right square in the lemma is a pushout follows the same logic. \square

Lemma 1.14 has the following immediate consequence, toward our goal of computing $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathrm{Adj}$.

Corollary 1.15. *In the canonical diagram among $(\infty, 1)$ -categories,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_0[(\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{cCart}})^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_+[(\mathcal{A}_+^{\mathrm{cCart}})^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_-[(\mathcal{A}_-^{\mathrm{cCart}})^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}[(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{cCart}})^{-1}] \xrightarrow[\mathrm{Obs\ 1.9}]{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathrm{Adj}, \end{array}$$

the square is a pushout.

The next result supplies means to identify the localizations in the pushout square of Corollary 1.15 above.

Lemma 1.16. *Each of the fully-faithful inclusions over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ admits a left adjoint*

$$\mathcal{A}_+ \xleftarrow[\mathrm{inclusion}]{\dashv} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(+)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_- \xleftarrow[\mathrm{inclusion}]{\dashv} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(-).$$

These restrict as left adjoints

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \xleftarrow[\mathrm{inclusion}]{\dashv} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(+)\setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \xleftarrow[\mathrm{inclusion}]{\dashv} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(-)\setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1).$$

Furthermore, the unit transformation for each of these adjunctions is by coCartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Moreover, each of these left adjoints detects coCartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

Proof. We focus on the existence of, and properties of, the lefthand adjoints. The righthand situation follows by the same logic. We first show that the upper left adjoint exists. For this, we must show, for each object $(D, \ell) \in \mathcal{A}_+$, that the ∞ -undercategory $\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(+)\right)^{(D, \ell)}$ has an initial object.

So fix $(D, \ell) \in \mathcal{A}_+$. Recall that $D \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ref}} \mathbb{S}^1$ is a disk-refinement onto the circle. This refinement is uniquely determined by its resulting non-empty finite subset $\mathrm{sk}_0(D) \subset \mathbb{S}^1$. The functor $\mathfrak{C}(D) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathrm{Adj}$ is uniquely determined by its resulting maps among sets

$$\ell_0: \mathrm{sk}_0(D) = \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{C}(D)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Obj}(\ell)} \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Adj}) = \{\pm\} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_1: \pi_0(D \setminus \mathrm{sk}_0(D)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mor}(\mathfrak{C}(D)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mor}(\ell)} \mathrm{Mor}(\mathrm{Adj})$$

that are source-target compatible – so long as $+$ is one of its values. Consider the morphism $(D, \ell) \rightarrow (D_+, \ell_+)$ in \mathcal{A}_+ that is the coCartesian lift over the refinement morphism $D \rightarrow D_+$ in

$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ that is characterized by the induced diagram among spaces of objects,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{sk}_0(D_+) & \xrightarrow{\ell_+} & \{+\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\ \mathrm{sk}_0(D) & \xrightarrow{\ell_0} & \{\pm\}, \end{array}$$

being a pullback. (The assumption that $+$ is a value of ℓ_0 ensures that $\mathrm{sk}_0(D_+) \neq \emptyset$ is not empty, so D_+ is indeed a disk-refinement of \mathbb{S}^1 .) Because the resulting map $(\ell_+)_0: \mathrm{sk}_0(D_+) \rightarrow \{+\}$ is constant at $+$, Observation 1.10 grants that this object (D_+, ℓ_+) belongs to the image of the fully-faithful functor $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{laX}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(+)$ $\hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_+$ which is the putative right adjoint. So (D_+, ℓ_+) canonically defines an object in the undercategory $\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{laX}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(+)\right)^{(D, \ell) /}$. We will show this object (D_+, ℓ_+) is initial.

So let $(D, \ell) \xrightarrow{(X, \gamma)} (D', \ell')$ be an object in $\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{laX}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(+)\right)^{(D, \ell) /}$. We will show there is a unique morphism $(D_+, \ell_+) \rightarrow (D', \ell')$ in this undercategory. Recall the identification $\mathrm{sk}_0: \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{\Delta}_{\circlearrowleft}^{\mathrm{op}}$ of Corollary B.9. The “surjective followed by injective” factorization system on $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\circlearrowleft}$ determines a “refinement followed by creation” factorization system on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. We can thusly reduce to the case in which the morphism $(D, \ell) \xrightarrow{(X, \gamma)} (D', \ell')$ lies over a refinement morphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. By assumption, the map $\ell'_0: \mathrm{sk}_0(D') \rightarrow \{\pm\}$ is constant at $+$. So there is a commutative diagram among sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{sk}_0(D') & \xrightarrow{\ell'_0} & \{+\} \\ \mathrm{sk}_0(X) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\ \mathrm{sk}_0(D) & \xrightarrow{\ell_0} & \{\pm\} \end{array}$$

in which the left vertical map is an inclusion induced by the given refinement $D \rightarrow D'$. By construction of D_+ , there is a unique factorization $D \rightarrow D_+ \rightarrow D'$ of the given refinement morphism $D \rightarrow D'$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Because the morphism $(D, \ell) \rightarrow (D_+, \ell_+)$ is defined to be coCartesian over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, then there exists a unique factorization $(D, \ell) \rightarrow (D_+, \ell_+) \rightarrow (D', \ell')$ of the given morphism $(D, \ell) \xrightarrow{(X, \gamma)} (D', \ell')$ in \mathcal{A}_+ . This completes the verification of the existence of the sought left adjoint in the upper left display of the lemma. Notice that the unit of this adjunction, $(D, \ell) \rightarrow (D_+, \ell_+)$, is by coCartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

We now show that the left adjoint in the upper left of the display of the lemma restricts as a left adjoint in the bottom left of the display. For this note that an object $(D, \ell) \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to \mathcal{A}_0 if and only if the corresponding map between finite sets $\ell_0: \mathrm{sk}_0(D) \rightarrow \{\pm\}$ is surjective. In particular, the functor $\mathfrak{C}(D) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathrm{Adj}$ is not constant. Now, inspecting the value $(D_+, \ell_+) \in \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{laX}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(+)$ of the left adjoint just constructed, should (D, ℓ) belong to \mathcal{A}_0 then the functor $\ell_+: \mathfrak{C}(D_+) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}(D) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathrm{Adj}$ is not constant. Therefore, this object $(D_+, \ell_+) \in \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{laX}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(+)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{unit}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\mathrm{laX}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathrm{Adj}}(+)$.

It remains to prove the final statement of the lemma. So let $(D, \ell) \xrightarrow{(X, \gamma)} (D', \ell')$ be a morphism in \mathcal{A}_+ . The unit transformation for the adjunction constructed just above places this morphism in a commutative square in \mathcal{A}_+ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (D, \ell) & \xrightarrow{(X, \gamma)} & (D', \ell') \\ \text{unit} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{unit} \\ (D_+, \ell_+) & \xrightarrow{(X_+, \gamma_+)} & (D'_+, \ell'_+). \end{array}$$

We must show that the morphism (X, γ) is coCartesian over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ if and only if the morphism (X_+, γ_+) is coCartesian over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. As noted above, the vertical unit morphisms are coCartesian over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. From a 2-of-3 property for coCartesian morphisms, if the morphism (X, γ) is coCartesian over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, then so is the morphism (X_+, γ_+) . Now suppose the morphism (X, γ) is *not* coCartesian over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. As in Remark 1.8, this is to say that the 2-cell γ is *not* invertible. Using that $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a coCartesian fibration, there is a unique factorization $(D, \ell) \rightarrow (D', \ell'') \rightarrow (D', \ell')$ in \mathcal{A} of the morphism (X, γ) by a coCartesian morphism followed by a morphism over an equivalence in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Because $\mathcal{A}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a fully-faithful right fibration, this factorization lies in \mathcal{A}_+ . So we can reduce to the case in which the given morphism $(D, \ell) \xrightarrow{(X, \gamma)} (D', \ell')$ lies over an equivalence $D \xrightarrow{\sim} D'$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Finally, the explicit description of Adj of Theorem A.18 reveals that the composition functor $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(a, b) \times \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(b, c) \xrightarrow{\circ} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(a, c)$ is conservative. It follows that, if the 2-cell γ of the morphism $(D, \ell) \xrightarrow{(X, \gamma)} (D', \ell')$ is not invertible, then neither is the 2-cell of the morphism $(D_+, \ell_+) \xrightarrow{(X_+, \gamma_+)} (D'_+, \ell'_+)$. As in Remark 1.8, we conclude that the morphism (X_+, γ_+) is *not* coCartesian over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. \square

Corollary 1.17. *The adjunctions of Lemma 1.16 determine canonical equivalences*

$$\mathcal{A}_+[(\mathcal{A}_+)^{-1}] \simeq \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_-[(\mathcal{A}_-)^{-1}] \simeq \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(-)$$

which restrict as equivalences

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+), \setminus * \right) \simeq \mathcal{A}_0[(\mathcal{A}_0)^{-1}] \simeq \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(-), \setminus * \right)$$

Proof. We first establish the top left canonical equivalence; the top right canonical equivalence follows the same logic. Lemma 1.16 implies the adjunctions of that lemma extend as an adjunction in the $(\infty, 2)$ -category $\text{Ar}(\text{Cat}_1)$:

$$(24) \quad \left(\mathcal{A}_+^{\text{cCart}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_+ \right) \xleftarrow{\text{inclusion}} \left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+)^{\text{cCart}} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+), \right) \right)$$

The functor between $(\infty, 2)$ -categories, $\text{Ar}(\text{Cat}_1) \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}) \mapsto \text{BWII}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{C}}} \text{Cat}_1$, carries the above adjunction to an adjunction:

$$(25) \quad \mathcal{A}_+[(\mathcal{A}_+^{\text{cCart}})^{-1}] \xleftarrow{\quad} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+)[\left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+)^{\text{cCart}} \right)^{-1} \right)] \underset{\text{Obs 1.9}}{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+)$$

Lemma 1.16 implies unit and counit 2-morphisms in the adjunction (24) are by coCartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. It follows that the unit and counit 2-morphisms in the adjunction (25) are by equivalences. So the adjunction (25) is an equivalence between ∞ -categories.

We now show that the top left canonical equivalence restricts as the bottom left canonical equivalence; the bottom right canonical equivalence follows the same logic. By the same logic above, there is a canonical equivalence between ∞ -categories:

$$\mathcal{A}_0[(\mathcal{A}_0^{\text{cCart}})^{-1}] \simeq \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+), \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \right) \left[\left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+)^{\text{cCart}} \right), \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \right)^{-1} \right],$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is identified as in (22) with the ∞ -subcategory of $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+)$ in which every 1-stratum is labeled by the identity morphisms of the object $+ \in \text{Adj}$ (see Observation 1.10). Observation 1.11 implies there are no morphisms from objects in the complement $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \underline{\mathfrak{B}}\text{End}_{\text{Adj}}(+), \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ to objects in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$. It follows that the localization of the complement is the complement of

the localizations, which establishes the first equivalence between ∞ -categories:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+)\setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \right) \left[\left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+)\right)^{\text{cCart}} \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \right)^{-1} \right] \simeq \\ & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+)\left[\left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{lax}} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+)\right)^{\text{cCart}} \right)^{-1} \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \left[\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^{-1} \right] \right] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+)\setminus * . \end{aligned}$$

The second equivalence is the canonical functor. It is an equivalence by Observation 1.9, together with contractibility of the ∞ -groupoid-completion $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)\left[\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^{-1}\right] \simeq *$ (see Corollary B.10). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We explain the following diagram among $(\infty, 1)$ -categories, which consolidates the preceding results of this section:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\circlearrowleft} & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\triangleleft} \\ \text{Cor 1.5} \uparrow \simeq & & \text{Cor 1.5} \uparrow \simeq \\ \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \right) \setminus * & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \\ \text{Cor 1.17} \simeq \downarrow & & \text{Cor 1.17} \simeq \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_0[(\mathcal{A}_0^{\text{cCart}})^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A}_+[(\mathcal{A}_+^{\text{cCart}})^{-1}] \\ & \searrow & \searrow \\ & & \mathcal{A}[(\mathcal{A}^{\text{cCart}})^{-1}] \simeq \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \text{Adj} \\ & \swarrow & \swarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_0[(\mathcal{A}_0^{\text{cCart}})^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A}_-[(\mathcal{A}_-^{\text{cCart}})^{-1}] \\ \text{Cor 1.17} \simeq \uparrow & & \text{Cor 1.17} \simeq \uparrow \\ \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+)\right) \setminus * & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+ \\ \text{Cor 1.5} \downarrow \simeq & & \text{Cor 1.5} \downarrow \simeq \\ \Delta_{\circlearrowright}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & (\Delta_{\circlearrowright}^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright} \end{array}$$

Corollary 1.15 states that the inner diamond is a pushout. Corollary 1.5 supplies the equivalences labeled as so, as well as commutativity of the squares in which they participate. Corollary 1.17 supplies the equivalences labeled a so, as well as commutativity of the squares in which they participate. Through the left vertical equivalence $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft} \simeq \Delta_{\circlearrowright}^{\text{op}}$ given by combinatorial Poincaré duality (see Remark A.4), we conclude a canonical identification

$$\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\triangleleft} \coprod_{\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}} \Delta_{\circlearrowright}^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \text{Adj} .$$

Finally, Lemma A.8 supplies an equivalence $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\triangleleft} \coprod_{\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}} \Delta_{\circlearrowright}^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\diamond}$, which completes the proof. \square

2. TRACES

Here we use Theorem 1.1, which identifies the factorization homology over the circle of the walking adjunction, to homotopy-coherently construct a \mathbb{T} -invariant trace map from the Hochschild homology of an endomorphism algebras. This is articulated in Theorem 2.6, whose proof occupies §2.1. In §2.2, we use Theorem 2.6 to prove a conjecture of Toën–Vezzosi.

2.1. \mathbb{T} -invariant trace.

Convention 2.1. In this subsection, \mathfrak{X} is a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

This section assembles results of the previous section to construct *trace* morphisms. Using factorization homology, we obtain, for each dualizable object $V \in \mathfrak{X}$, a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{T}$ -family of endomorphisms of its unit:

$$\left(\mathbb{T} \curvearrowright Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1) \right) \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})^{\text{h}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{T}} \quad (\text{see Remark 2.7}) .$$

Such a value is expected from the 1-dimensional oriented cobordism hypothesis, which predicts a 1-dimensional oriented TQFT determined by V . Constructing this value, with its \mathbb{T} -equivariance, is the most subtle among all values of such a TQFT. This section, which makes no reference to the symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\text{Bord}_1^{\text{fr}}$, thusly serves to present the key conceptual ideas of our proof of the 1-dimensional cobordism hypothesis, which will appear in a forthcoming work [AF6].

We begin by observing how, using factorization homology, an associative (co)algebra naturally determines a diagram in \mathfrak{X} . Using Proposition 5.1 of [AF1] applied to $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{cAlg}(\text{Cat}_1)$, the unique map between spaces $\mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{!} *$ determines a functor

$$(26) \quad \bigotimes_{\mathfrak{X}} : \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{f_1^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X}} \int_*^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \simeq \mathfrak{X} .$$

Observation 2.2.

- (1) Let $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathfrak{X})$ be an associative algebra in \mathfrak{X} . Through the identification $\text{Alg}(\mathfrak{X}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{\varepsilon_1}(\mathbb{O}, \mathfrak{X})$ of Observation A.15, A is a monoidal functor $\mathbb{O} \xrightarrow{\langle A \rangle} \mathfrak{X}$. Applying factorization homology over \mathbb{S}^1 , and concatenating with Observation 1.3(2) and Lemma 1.4, results in a diagram in \mathfrak{X} :

$$(27) \quad \Delta_{\mathbb{O}}^{\triangleleft} \underset{\text{Obs 1.3(2)}}{\simeq} \text{Disk}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{fr}} \underset{\text{Lem 1.4}}{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbb{O} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \langle A \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow[\text{(26)}]{\bigotimes_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathfrak{X} .$$

- (2) Let $C \in \text{cAlg}(\mathfrak{X})$ be an associative coalgebra in \mathfrak{X} . Through the identification $\text{cAlg}(\mathfrak{X}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{\varepsilon_1}(\mathbb{O}^{\text{op}}, \mathfrak{X})$ of Observation A.15, C is a monoidal functor $\mathbb{O}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\langle C \rangle} \mathfrak{X}$. Applying factorization homology over \mathbb{S}^1 , and concatenating with Observation 1.3(2) and Lemma 1.4, results in a diagram in \mathfrak{X} :

$$(28) \quad (\Delta_{\mathbb{O}}^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright} \underset{\text{Obs 1.3(2)}}{\simeq} (\text{Disk}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}} \underset{\text{Lem 1.4}}{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbb{O}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \langle C \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow[\text{(26)}]{\bigotimes_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathfrak{X} .$$

Observation 2.3.

Consider the set-up of Observation 2.2.

- (1) This diagram (27) in \mathfrak{X} is equivalent to that computing the α -version of factorization homology over \mathbb{S}^1 , in the sense of Definition 3.2 of [AF1]:

$$(29) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} A \simeq \text{colim} \left(\Delta_{\mathbb{O}}^{\triangleleft} \underset{\text{Obs 1.3(2)}}{\simeq} \text{Disk}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{fr}} \underset{\text{Lem 1.4}}{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbb{O} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} A} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow[\text{(26)}]{\bigotimes_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathfrak{X} \right) .$$

- (2) This diagram (28) in \mathfrak{X} is equivalent to that computing the α -version of factorization cohomology over \mathbb{S}^1 , in the sense of Definition 2.2.1 of [AF5]:

$$(30) \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{S}^1} C \simeq \lim \left((\Delta_{\mathbb{O}}^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright} \underset{\text{Obs 1.3(2)}}{\simeq} (\text{Disk}_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{fr}})^{\text{op}} \underset{\text{Lem 1.4}}{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbb{O}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} C} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow[\text{(26)}]{\bigotimes_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathfrak{X} \right) .$$

Proposition 2.4.

Consider the set-up of Observation 2.2.

- (1) *Provided the ∞ -category \mathfrak{X} admits geometric realizations, the Hochschild homology $\text{HH}(A) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} A \in \mathfrak{X}$ exists. Furthermore, the unit of A canonically determines a \mathbb{T} -invariant morphism in \mathfrak{X} :*

$$\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\text{unit}_A} \text{HH}(A) .$$

- (2) *Provided the ∞ -category \mathfrak{X} admits totalizations, the coHochschild homology $\mathrm{cHH}(C) := \int_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{S}^1} C \in \mathfrak{X}$ exists. Furthermore, the counit of C canonically determines a \mathbb{T} -invariant morphism in \mathfrak{X} :*

$$\mathrm{cHH}(C) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{counit}_C} \mathbb{1} .$$

Proof. The two statements imply one another, by replacing \mathfrak{X} with $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{op}}$. So it is sufficient to prove the first statement. Observation A.7 supplies a final functor $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbb{O}^{\triangleleft}}$. Therefore, the assumption that \mathfrak{X} admits geometric realizations ensures the colimit of (27) exists. Observation 2.3 implies this colimit of (27) is the Hochschild homology of A . Inspecting the construction of the functor (27) reveals that the cone-point is carried to the symmetric monoidal unit in \mathfrak{X} , and canonical morphism from this value to the colimit is implemented by the unit of A :

$$\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{unit}_A} \mathrm{colim}(\Delta_{\mathbb{O}^{\triangleleft}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}) \underset{\mathrm{Obs} \ 2.3}{\simeq} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} =: \mathrm{HH}(A) .$$

Because the cone-point in $\Delta_{\mathbb{O}^{\triangleleft}}$ is BZ -invariant, this morphism is $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathrm{BZ}$ -invariant. \square

Now, let $V \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{duals}})$ be an object that is dualizable. Denote its dual as $V^{\vee} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{duals}})$. With respect to the right-lax monoidal functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1}, -): \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spaces}$, the the monoid of endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V)$ canonically lifts as an associative algebra in \mathfrak{X} ; with respect to the right-lax monoidal functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{X}}(-, \mathbb{1}): \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spaces}$, the the monoid of endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V)$ canonically lifts as an associative coalgebra in \mathfrak{X} :

$$(31) \quad \underline{\mathrm{End}}(V) := V^{\vee} \otimes V \in \mathrm{Alg}(\mathfrak{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\mathrm{End}}(V) := V \otimes V^{\vee} \in \mathrm{cAlg}(\mathfrak{X}) .$$

This associative algebra structure and associative coalgebra structure are intertwined: they are the associated monad and comonad of an adjunction² in $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X}$, as we now explain.

Recall the identification $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{fCat}_2}(\mathrm{Adj}, \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X}) \simeq \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{duals}})$ of Observation A.24. Through this identification, V is the datum of a functor between category-objects internal to $(\infty, 1)$ -categories: $\mathrm{Adj} \xrightarrow{\langle V \rangle} \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X}$. The resulting composite functors

$$\langle \underline{\mathrm{End}}(V) \rangle: \mathfrak{B}\mathbb{O} \underset{\mathrm{Thm} \ A.18}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{Adj} \xrightarrow{\langle V \rangle} \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \underline{\mathrm{End}}(V) \rangle: \mathfrak{B}\mathbb{O}^{\mathrm{op}} \underset{\mathrm{Thm} \ A.18}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{Adj} \xrightarrow{\langle V \rangle} \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X}$$

select the associative algebra $\underline{\mathrm{End}}(V)$ and the associative coalgebra $\underline{\mathrm{End}}(V)$, respectively.

Observation 2.5. The diagrams (27) and (28) in \mathfrak{X} extend to a $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ Adj-indexed diagram in \mathfrak{X} :

$$(32) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (27): \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}\mathbb{O} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mathrm{Prop} \ B.12} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbb{O} & \xrightarrow{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \langle \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V) \rangle} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \mathrm{sk}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow[\otimes_{\mathfrak{X}}]{(26)} \mathfrak{X} \\ & \searrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathrm{Thm} \ A.18 & & \searrow & \\ & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathrm{Adj} \xrightarrow{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle V \rangle} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mathrm{Prop} \ B.12} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \mathrm{sk}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow[\otimes_{\mathfrak{X}}]{(26)} \mathfrak{X} \\ & \nearrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathrm{Thm} \ A.18 & & \nearrow & \\ (28): \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}\mathbb{O}^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mathrm{Prop} \ B.12} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathbb{O}^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \langle \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V) \rangle} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \mathrm{sk}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow[\otimes_{\mathfrak{X}}]{(26)} \mathfrak{X} \end{array} .$$

Theorem 2.6. *Let $V \in \mathfrak{X}$ be a dualizable object in a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category.*

- (1) *If \mathfrak{X} admits geometric realizations, there exists a \mathbb{T} -invariant **trace** morphism from Hochschild homology of the associative algebra $\underline{\mathrm{End}}(V)$ in \mathfrak{X} :*

$$\mathrm{HH}(\underline{\mathrm{End}}(V)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{trace}} \mathbb{1} .$$

²This implies that $\underline{\mathrm{End}}(V)$ has the structure of a Frobenius algebra.

- (2) If \mathfrak{X} admits totalizations, there exists a \mathbb{T} -invariant **cotrace** morphism from the coHochschild homology of the associative coalgebra $\underline{\text{End}}(V)$ in \mathfrak{X} :

$$\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\text{cotrace}} \text{cHH}(\underline{\text{End}}(V)) .$$

- (3) If \mathfrak{X} admits both geometric realizations and totalizations, then the two resulting composite \mathbb{T} -invariant endomorphisms of $\mathbb{1} \in \mathfrak{X}$ are identical. Namely, the diagram in \mathfrak{X} canonically commutes:

(33)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{HH}(\underline{\text{End}}(V)) & \\
 \text{unit}_{\underline{\text{End}}(V)} \nearrow & & \searrow \text{trace} \\
 \mathbb{1} & & \mathbb{1} \\
 \text{cotrace} \searrow & & \nearrow \text{counit}_{\underline{\text{End}}(V)} \\
 & \text{cHH}(\underline{\text{End}}(V)) &
 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, forgetting \mathbb{T} -invariance, this common composite endomorphism of $\mathbb{1} \in \mathfrak{X}$ is the composite

$$\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\eta} V^\vee \otimes V \simeq V \otimes V^\vee \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{1} ,$$

where η and ϵ are the respective unit and counit of the duality between V and V^\vee .

Proof. Theorem 1.1 identifies the outermost terms in the diagram (32) among ∞ -categories as

(34)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\triangleleft} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{X} \\
 \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\diamond} & \\
 \text{Obs A.3} \nearrow & & \\
 (\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{X}
 \end{array}$$

In this diagram (34), each cone-point is carried to the symmetric monoidal unit $\mathbb{1} \in \mathfrak{X}$. From the universal property of colimits, the upper triangle in this diagram (34) determines a morphism in \mathfrak{X} :

(35)

$$\text{HH}(\underline{\text{End}}(V)) \underset{\text{Obs 2.3}}{\simeq} \text{colim}(\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\triangleleft} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{1} ,$$

provided this colimit exists. Observation A.7 ensures that the colimit of the top functor to \mathfrak{X} exists provided \mathfrak{X} admits geometric realizations. Because the cone-point in $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\triangleright}$ is $\text{B}\mathbb{Z}$ -invariant, this morphism (35) is $\mathbb{T} \simeq \text{B}\mathbb{Z}$ -invariant. This proves Statement (1). Statement (2) is implied by Statement (1), by replacing \mathfrak{X} by \mathfrak{X}^{op} .

It remains to prove Statement (3). Assume \mathfrak{X} admits geometric realizations and totalizations. Then Statements (1) and (2), together with Proposition 2.4, supply the diamond (33) in \mathfrak{X} . By construction of this diamond, both of the composite morphisms $\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\text{trace} \circ \text{unit}} \mathbb{1}$ and $\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\text{cotrace} \circ \text{counit}} \mathbb{1}$ are the value on the unique morphism between cone-points in $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\diamond}$ of the horizontal functor in (34). In particular, these two \mathbb{T} -invariant endomorphisms of $\mathbb{1}$ are canonically identified.

Finally, observe that the unique morphism $c_1 \xrightarrow{\langle -\infty \xrightarrow{!} +\infty \rangle} \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\diamond}$ uniquely factors through any object $\lambda \in \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$. In particular, it uniquely factors through the object $(\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}) \in \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$, which corresponds through Corollary B.9 to the disk-refinement of \mathbb{S}^1 with a single connected 1-dimensional stratum. This object in $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ is carried to $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V) = V \otimes V^\vee \in \mathfrak{X}$. Furthermore, the unique factorization $-\infty \xrightarrow{!} (\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{!} +\infty$ in $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\diamond}$ is carried to

$$\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\eta} V^\vee \otimes V \simeq V \otimes V^\vee \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{1} .$$

□

Remark 2.7. The common \mathbb{T} -invariant of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{1}$ of Theorem 2.6 is the value of a 1-dimensional TQFT Z_V on the circle:

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Bord}_1^{\mathrm{fr}}}(\emptyset)^{\mathrm{hBDiff}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathbb{S}^1)} \ni (\emptyset \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}^1} \emptyset) \xrightarrow{Z_V} (\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{Z_V(\mathbb{S}^1)} \mathbb{1}) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})^{\mathrm{hBT}} .$$

Supplying this TQFT, with this value, is the subject of [AF6].

2.2. A proof of a conjecture of Toën–Vezzosi. We now prove Conjecture 5.1 of [TV1] (which is shown there to imply Conjecture 4.1 of the same article). We first recall some notation.

Notation 2.8.

- (1) The full ∞ -subcategory $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Cat}_1)^{\mathrm{rigid}} \subset \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Cat}_1)$ consists of the *rigid* symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories (i.e., those symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -categories in which each object is dualizable).
- (2) The ∞ -category $\mathrm{Spaces}^{\mathrm{hBT}} = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{BT}, \mathrm{Spaces})$ is that of spaces with a \mathbb{T} -action.
- (3) The *moduli space of objects* functor is

$$\mathrm{Obj}: \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Cat}_1)^{\mathrm{rigid}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spaces} , \quad \mathfrak{X} \mapsto \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}) .$$

- (4) The *free loop* functor is

$$L: \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Cat}_1)^{\mathrm{rigid}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spaces}^{\mathrm{hBT}} , \quad \mathfrak{X} \mapsto \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{hS}^1} = \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})) ,$$

where $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{hS}^1}$ is the moduli space of objects, in \mathfrak{X} , together with an automorphism. Precomposing by the unique map $\mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{!} *$ determines a natural transformation

$$\mathrm{Obj} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{constant}} L$$

in which the domain is regarded as taking in $\mathrm{Spaces}^{\mathrm{hBT}}$ via the functor $\mathrm{Spaces} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{trivial}} \mathrm{Spaces}^{\mathrm{hBT}}$.

- (5) The *categorical based loop* functor is the composition

$$\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{1}): \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Cat}_1)^{\mathrm{rigid}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{1})} \mathrm{Spaces} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{trivial}} \mathrm{Spaces}^{\mathrm{hBT}} , \quad \mathfrak{X} \mapsto \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1}) .$$

So each \mathbb{T} -space $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})$ is endowed with the trivial \mathbb{T} -action.

Corollary 2.9 (Conjecture 5.1 of [TV1]). *There is a natural transformation between functors from $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Cat}_1)^{\mathrm{rigid}}$ to $\mathrm{Spaces}^{\mathrm{hBT}}$,*

$$L \xrightarrow{\mathrm{trace}} \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{1}) ,$$

with the property that the composite natural transformation evaluates as

$$\mathrm{Obj} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{constant}} L \xrightarrow{\mathrm{trace}} \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{1}) , \quad (V \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})) \mapsto \left((\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\eta} V^{\vee} \otimes V \simeq V \otimes V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{1}) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1}) \right) .$$

Proof. Because \mathfrak{X} is rigid, then the monomorphism $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{duals}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})$ is an equivalence. Using this, Observation A.24 supplies a map

$$\mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}) \underset{\mathrm{Obs} \ A.24}{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{rCat}_2}(\mathrm{Adj}, \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X}) .$$

This map is adjoint to a morphism between category-objects internal to $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

$$(36) \quad \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}) \times \mathrm{Adj} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X} .$$

We now explain the following sequence of canonically \mathbb{T} -equivariant functors between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{S}^1} \times c_1 & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times \langle -\infty \xrightarrow{!} +\infty \rangle} & \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{S}^1} \times \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\heartsuit} \\
& \simeq & \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{S}^1} \times \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathrm{Adj} \\
\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{Thm} \ 1.1 & & \\
\leftarrow \simeq & & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}) \times \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathrm{Adj} \\
\mathrm{Prop} \ B.7 & & \\
\leftarrow \simeq & & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X}) \times \mathrm{Adj} \\
\mathrm{Lem} \ B.2 & & \\
\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (36) & \longrightarrow & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{X} \\
& \simeq & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{X} \\
\mathrm{Prop} \ B.12 & & \\
\otimes_{\mathfrak{X}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X} . \\
(26) & &
\end{array}
\tag{37}$$

The first morphism is the product of the identity map on $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{S}^1}$, which is \mathbb{T} -equivariant, and the unique 1-cell between cone-points in $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\heartsuit}$, which is \mathbb{T} -invariant. The second morphism is Theorem 1.1. The third identification is Proposition B.7, which identifies factorization homology of an ∞ -groupoid as a mapping space. The fourth leftward equivalence is Lemma B.2, which states that factorization homology carries products of category-objects to products. The fifth functor is factorization homology over \mathbb{S}^1 applied to (36). The penultimate functor is the identification of Proposition B.12 between the β -version factorization homology and the α -version of factorization homology. The last functor is the functor (26), which is \mathbb{T} -invariant.

The composite \mathbb{T} -invariant functor (37) is, in turn, adjoint to a \mathbb{T} -invariant map

$$\mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{S}^1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_1}(c_1, \mathfrak{X}) .
\tag{38}$$

By inspection, this \mathbb{T} -invariant map canonically fits into a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{S}^1} & \xrightarrow{(38)} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_1}(c_1, \mathfrak{X}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{restriction} \\
* & \xrightarrow{\langle (1, 1) \rangle} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_1}(\partial c_1, \mathfrak{X})
\end{array}$$

By definition of the space $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1})$, such a commutative diagram is precisely a \mathbb{T} -invariant map

$$L\mathfrak{X} := \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{X})^{\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{S}^1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{1}) .
\tag{39}$$

This map (39) is evidently functorial in $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Cat}_1)^{\mathrm{rigid}}$, thereby supplying the sought \mathbb{T} -invariant natural transformation:

$$L \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{1}) .$$

Finally, Theorem 2.6(3) gives that the value on the constant loop at V is $\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon\circ\eta} \mathbb{1}$. \square

APPENDIX A. RECOLLECTIONS: THE PARACYCLIC CATEGORY AND ADJUNCTIONS

A.1. The paracyclic category. We review basic features of paracyclic category $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$, introduced by Getzler and Jones in [GJ]. See also Definition 4.2.1 of [Lu4].

Definition A.1. An object of the *paracyclic category* $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$ is a non-empty linearly ordered set equipped with a left action by the additive group \mathbb{Z} , for which there are finitely many orbits. A

morphism in $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -equivariant order-preserving map. Composition is composition of maps. Identities are identity maps.

Observation A.2. The \mathbb{Z} -actions of each object in $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$ assemble as a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}$ -action on the category $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$. Such an action is, for each $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$, a left \mathbb{Z} -action on $\text{Hom}_{\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}}(\lambda, \lambda')$, such that, for each $\lambda, \lambda', \lambda'' \in \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$, the composition map

$$\text{Hom}_{\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}}(\lambda', \lambda'') \times \text{Hom}_{\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}}(\lambda, \lambda') \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{Hom}_{\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}}(\lambda, \lambda'')$$

is equivariant with respect to the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{+} \mathbb{Z}$. And, indeed, for $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$, take the \mathbb{Z} -action

$$\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \text{Hom}_{\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}}(\lambda, \lambda'), \quad \text{given by} \quad r \cdot f: \lambda \ni \ell \mapsto r \cdot f(\ell) \in \lambda'.$$

The requisite equivariance with respect to the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{+} \mathbb{Z}$ follows from, for each composable pair $\lambda \xrightarrow{f} \lambda' \xrightarrow{g} \lambda''$ of morphisms in $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$, and for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and for each $\ell \in \lambda$, the identity in λ'' :

$$(r \cdot g) \circ (s \cdot f)(\ell) = (r \cdot g)(s \cdot f(\ell)) = s \cdot (r \cdot g)(f(\ell)) = (s + r) \cdot g(f(\ell)) = (s + r) \cdot (g \circ f)(\ell).$$

For the next observation, we reference the category Lin of linearly ordered sets and order-preserving maps between them, as well as the natural lift of the presheaf represented by $[1] \in \text{Lin}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lin}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\text{Lin}}(-, [1])} & \text{Lin} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Sets} & \end{array}$$

(Note: The arrows from Lin^{op} and Lin to Sets are labeled $\text{Hom}_{\text{Lin}}(-, [1])$ and forget respectively.)

Observation A.3. There is a natural lift of the composite horizontal functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\text{Lin}}(-, [1])} & \Delta_{\circlearrowleft} \\ \text{forget} \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \text{forget} \\ \text{Lin}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\text{Lin}}(-, [1])} & \text{Lin} \end{array}$$

which is an equivalence between categories.

Remark A.4. Through the identification $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$ of Corollary B.9, the identification of Observation A.3 exchanges, for each non-trivial stratification of \mathbb{S}^1 , its set of 0-dimensional strata with its set of 1-dimensional strata, each with its inherited cyclic ordering. In this sense, the equivalence of Observation A.3 is a combinatorial implementation of *Poincaré duality* for the circle.

Consider the functor

$$(40) \quad \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}, \quad [p] \mapsto [p]^{\star\mathbb{Z}},$$

whose value on a finite linearly ordered set is its \mathbb{Z} -fold join, as it is endowed its resulting order-preserving \mathbb{Z} -action.

Proposition A.5 (Proposition 4.2.8 of [Lu4]). *The functor $\Delta \xrightarrow{(40)} \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$ is initial.*

As an ∞ -category, Δ^{op} is sifted. Because the property of being sifted is inherited along final functors, Proposition A.5 and Observation A.3 imply the following.

Corollary A.6. *As ∞ -categories, both $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}$ and $\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\text{op}}$ are both sifted and cosifted. In particular, their classifying space are contractible: $\mathbb{B}\Delta_{\circlearrowleft} \simeq * \simeq \mathbb{B}(\Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\text{op}})$.*

Observation A.7. The composite functor

$$\Delta^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{(40)} \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{op}} \underset{\text{Obs A.3}}{\simeq} \Delta_{\mathcal{O}} \hookrightarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\triangleleft},$$

is final: finality of (40) is Proposition A.5; finality of $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} \hookrightarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\triangleleft}$ follows using Quillen's Theorem A from contractibility of $\mathbf{B} \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$, which is Corollary A.6.

The following technical result is used at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1. A proof of this result is a consequence of some simple observations, which reference the main technical lemma in [AF2].

Lemma A.8. *The canonical functor between ∞ -categories,*

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\triangleleft} \amalg_{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}^{\triangleleft \triangleright},$$

is an equivalence.

Observation A.9. Let \mathcal{D} be an ∞ -category. Lemma 1.11 of [AF2] implies the following statements.

- (1) The canonical functor between ∞ -categories,

$$\mathcal{D}^{\triangleleft} \amalg_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}^{\triangleright} \longrightarrow *^{\triangleleft} \amalg_* *^{\triangleright} \xrightarrow{\simeq} *^{\triangleleft \triangleright},$$

is an exponentiable fibration.

- (2) The canonical map among spaces, involving a coend over \mathcal{D} ,

$$\mathbf{B}\mathcal{D} \simeq * \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} * = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{\triangleleft} \amalg_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}^{\triangleright}}(-\infty, \bullet) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{\triangleleft} \amalg_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}^{\triangleright}}(\bullet, +\infty) \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{\triangleleft \triangleright}}(-\infty, +\infty),$$

is an equivalence.

Observation A.10. Let \mathcal{D} be an ∞ -category. By Lemma 1.11 of [AF2], the following statements are equivalent to one another.

- (1) The classifying space $\mathbf{B}\mathcal{D} \simeq *$ is contractible.
(2) The canonical functor between ∞ -categories,

$$\mathcal{D}^{\triangleleft \triangleright} \longrightarrow *^{\triangleleft \triangleright},$$

is an exponentiable fibration.

- (3) The canonical functor over $*^{\triangleleft \triangleright}$,

$$\mathcal{D}^{\triangleleft} \amalg_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}^{\triangleright} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\triangleleft \triangleright},$$

is an equivalence between ∞ -categories.

Proof of Lemma A.8. Using that the classifying space of $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$ is contractible (Corollary A.6), Observation A.10 implies the result. □

A.2. The walking monad and comonad. We review some basic categories of ordered sets and their relations to algebra.

Definition A.11.

- The *walking monad* is the monoidal category \mathbf{O} in which an object is a linearly ordered finite sets, a morphism is an order preserving map, and whose monoidal structure is join of linearly ordered sets. The *walking comonad* is the monoidal category \mathbf{O}^{op} .
- \mathbf{O}_+ is the left \mathbf{O} -module category of linearly ordered finite sets with a maximum, whose morphisms are those order preserving maps that preserve maxima, and whose left \mathbf{O} -module structure is join of linearly ordered sets.
- \mathbf{O}_- is the right \mathbf{O} -module category of linearly ordered finite sets with a minimum, whose morphisms are those order preserving maps that preserve minima, and whose right \mathbf{O} -module structure is join of linearly ordered sets.

- \mathbf{O}_\pm is the category of linearly ordered finite sets with a distinct minimum and maximum, whose morphisms are those order preserving maps that preserve extrema.

Observation A.12. The left \mathbf{O} -module category \mathbf{O}_+ is free on \mathbf{pt} ; the right \mathbf{O} -module category \mathbf{O}_- is free on \mathbf{pt} .

Observation A.13. The canonical inclusion of the non-empty finite linearly ordered sets extends as an equivalence between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories:

$$\Delta^{\triangleleft} \simeq \mathbf{O} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Delta^{\text{op}})^{\triangleright} \simeq \mathbf{O}^{\text{op}} .$$

Reversing linear orders defines an equivalence between \mathbf{O} -module categories,

$$\mathbf{O}_+ \simeq \mathbf{O}_-^{\text{op}} .$$

Observation A.14. The representable simplicial set $\text{Hom}_\Delta(-, [1]) : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ canonically factors through the forgetful functor $\mathbf{O}_\pm \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ as an equivalence between categories:

$$\Delta^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{O}_\pm , \quad [p] \mapsto \text{Hom}_\Delta([p], [1]) .$$

The next observation records the sense in which \mathbf{O} and \mathbf{O}^{op} corepresent associative algebras and associative coalgebras, respectively.

Observation A.15. In the monoidal category \mathbf{O} , the final object $* \in \mathbf{O}$ has a unique associative algebra structure; in the monoidal category \mathbf{O}^{op} , the initial object $* \in \mathbf{O}$ has a unique associative coalgebra structure. For each monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category \mathcal{Y} , the resulting functors

$$\text{Fun}^{\mathcal{E}_1}(\mathbf{O}, \mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Alg}(\mathcal{Y}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Fun}^{\mathcal{E}_1}(\mathbf{O}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{cAlg}(\mathcal{Y}) , \quad F \mapsto F(*) ,$$

are equivalences between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

A.3. The walking adjunction.

Definition A.16. The *walking adjunction* is the $(\infty, 2)$ -category Adj , characterized as receiving a morphism

$$c_1 \xrightarrow{\langle - \xrightarrow{L} + \rangle} \text{Adj} ,$$

with the following universal property:

- (1) $L \in \text{Mor}(\text{Adj})$ admits a right adjoint;
- (2) it is initial with respect to the above property (1).

In other words, for each $(\infty, 2)$ -category \mathcal{C} , the evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_L : \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_2}(\text{Adj}, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})^{L.\text{adj}} , \quad F \mapsto F(L) ,$$

is an equivalence to the subspace of those 1-morphisms in \mathcal{C} that are left adjoints.

Notation A.17.

- The morphism $c_1 \xrightarrow{\langle + \xrightarrow{R} - \rangle} \text{Adj}$ selects the right adjoint to L .
- The morphism $c_2 \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Adj}$ selects the unit $\text{id}_- \xrightarrow{\eta} R \circ L$.
- The morphism $c_2 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \text{Adj}$ selects the counit $L \circ R \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \text{id}_+$.

It is not obvious that such an $(\infty, 2)$ -category exists. The work [RV], after [SS], verifies the existence of Adj , and they identify this $(\infty, 2)$ -category explicitly as a $(2, 2)$ -category.

Theorem A.18 ([RV], [SS]). *The $(\infty, 2)$ -category Adj admits the following explicit description.*

- *Its space of objects is canonically identified as*

$$\text{Obj}(\text{Adj}) \simeq \{-, +\} ,$$

a 0-type with two path-components.

- Its monoidal categories of endomorphisms of each of its two objects are canonically identified as the walking monad and the walking comonad:

$$\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{(\text{R}\circ\text{L})^{\circ I} \leftarrow I} \mathbf{O} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{(\text{L}\circ\text{R})^{\circ I} \leftarrow I} \mathbf{O}^{\text{op}} .$$

- Its $(\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-), \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+))$ -bimodule category of morphisms from $-$ to $+$, and its $(\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-), \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+))$ -bimodule category of morphisms from $+$ to $-$, are respectively

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(-, +) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\text{L}\circ(\text{R}\circ\text{L})^{\circ J} \leftarrow J^{\triangleleft}} \mathbf{O}_- \simeq \mathbf{O}_+^{\text{op}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(+, -) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{(\text{R}\circ\text{L})^{\circ J} \circ \text{R} \leftarrow J^{\triangleright}} \mathbf{O}_+ \simeq \mathbf{O}_-^{\text{op}} .$$

Remark A.19. Biasing the identification $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(+, -) \simeq \mathbf{O}_+$, then the composition functor

$$\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \times \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(+, -) \xrightarrow{\circ} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(+, -)$$

is equivalent to the left action $\mathbf{O} \times \mathbf{O}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{O}_+$. The composition functor

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(+, -) \times \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\text{Adj}}(+, -)$$

factors through the right action

$$\mathbf{O}_+ \times \mathbf{O}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathbf{O}_-^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{O}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}_-^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathbf{O}_+$$

combined with the equivalence $\mathbf{O}_+ \simeq \mathbf{O}_-^{\text{op}}$ of Observation A.13.

Observation A.20. In particular, there are fully-faithful functors between $(\infty, 2)$ -categories,

$$\mathfrak{B}\mathbf{O} \hookrightarrow \text{Adj} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{O}^{\text{op}} ,$$

and the resulting functor from the coproduct

$$\mathfrak{B}\mathbf{O} \amalg \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{O}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Adj}$$

is a monomorphism that is surjective on spaces of objects.

Theorem A.18 lends to a canonical monad and comonad associated to an adjunction, which we record as the following.

Corollary A.21. Let $\text{Adj} \xrightarrow{\langle c_- \xrightarrow{\text{L}} c_+ \rangle} \mathcal{C}$ select a left adjoint 1-morphism in an $(\infty, 2)$ -category. The composite functor

$$(41) \quad \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{O} = \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(-) \xrightarrow[\text{Thm A.18}]{\hookrightarrow} \text{Adj} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

selects an associative algebra in the monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_-)$; the composite functor

$$(42) \quad \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{O}^{\text{op}} = \mathfrak{B}\underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Adj}}(+) \xrightarrow[\text{Thm A.18}]{\hookrightarrow} \text{Adj} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

selects an associative coalgebra in the monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_+)$.

A.4. The walking dual.

Definition A.22. The *walking dual* is the monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category Dual , equipped with an object $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Dual}$, with the following universal property:

- (1) $\mathbf{L} \in \text{Dual}$ admits a right dual;
- (2) it is initial with respect to the above property (1).

In other words, for each monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category \mathcal{V} , evaluation map,

$$\text{ev}_{\mathbf{L}} : \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}_1)}(\text{Dual}, \mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Obj}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{l.dual}} , \quad F \mapsto F(\mathbf{L}) ,$$

is an equivalence to the subspace of those objects in \mathcal{V} that are left duals.

Remark A.23. Here are two ways of establishing the existence of Dual . One way is to observe that the functor

$$\text{Obj}(-)^{\text{l.dual}} : \text{Alg}(\text{Cat}_1) \longrightarrow \text{Spaces}$$

preserves limits and sufficiently filtered colimits. Presentability of $\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}_1)$ thereafter ensures the existence of a corepresenting object. Alternatively,

$$\text{Dual} \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_{\text{Obj}(\text{Adj})}^* \amalg_{\text{Obj}(\text{Adj})} \text{Adj}^*$$

is the monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category of endomorphisms in the pushout

$$* \amalg_{\text{Obj}(\text{Adj})} \text{Adj}$$

of the unique object $* \simeq \text{Obj}\left(* \amalg_{\text{Obj}(\text{Adj})} \text{Adj}\right)$.

Consider the canonical morphism between category-objects internal to $(\infty, 1)$ -categories,

$$(43) \quad \text{Adj} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \text{Dual} ,$$

sending the left adjoint $L \in \text{Mor}(\text{Adj})$ to the left dual $L \in \text{Obj}(\text{Dual})$. This morphism has the following universal property.

Observation A.24. Let \mathcal{V} be a monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category. Each solid diagram among category-objects internal to $(\infty, 1)$ -categories,

$$(43) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Adj} & \xrightarrow{\langle V \text{ (with right dual)} \rangle} & \mathfrak{B}\mathcal{V} \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow \langle V \rangle & \\ \mathfrak{B} \text{Dual} & & \end{array} ,$$

admits a unique filler. In other words, restriction along (43) defines an equivalence between spaces of morphisms

$$\text{Obj}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{l.dual}} \xleftarrow{\simeq} \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}(\text{Cat}_1)}(\text{Dual}, \mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Hom}_{\text{fCat}_2}(\text{Adj}, \mathfrak{B}\mathcal{V}) .$$

Notation A.25. Let $\text{Dual} \xrightarrow{\langle V \rangle} \mathcal{V}$ select an object $V \in \mathcal{V}$ in a monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category that admits a right dual, V^\vee . We denote the associative algebra (42) in \mathcal{V} and the associative coalgebra (42) in \mathcal{V} , respectively, as their underlying objects in \mathcal{V} :

$$\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{alg}}(V) \simeq V^\vee \otimes V \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{coalg}}(V) \simeq V \otimes V^\vee .$$

Should the monoidal structure on \mathcal{V} be restricted from a symmetric monoidal structure, then we simply denote both this associative algebra and this associative coalgebra as

$$V^\vee \otimes V \simeq \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{V}}(V) \simeq V \otimes V^\vee .$$

Remark A.26. Let \mathfrak{X} be a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category. The simultaneous algebra and coalgebra structure on $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V) \in \mathfrak{X}$ are compatible in the sense that $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V)$ has the structure of a Frobenius algebra. This structure is expected because $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V) \in \mathcal{V}$ is the value of a 1-dimensional 1-framed TQFT on the standardly 1-framed 0-sphere, \mathbb{S}^0 .

Observation A.27. Let \mathcal{V} be a monoidal $(\infty, 1)$ -category. The right-lax monoidal functor $\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{1}, -)} \text{Spaces}$ determines a functor between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories of associative algebras $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(\text{Spaces})$ which carries the associative algebra $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{alg}}(V)$ to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(V)$. Dually, the right-lax monoidal functor $\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(-, \mathbf{1})} \text{Spaces}$ determines a functor between $(\infty, 1)$ -categories $\text{cAlg}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}(\text{Spaces})$ which carries the associative coalgebra $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{coalg}}(V)$ to $\text{End}_{\mathcal{V}}(V^\vee)$.

APPENDIX B. FACTORIZATION HOMOLOGY

We first recall some key definitions and constructions concerning factorization homology, as originally developed in [AFR1] and developed further in [AFMR]. These recollections are not intended to be comprehensive, and we refer a reader to those sources for definitions and in-depth discussion. We then supply minor technical results that are used in the body of the article above.

Convention B.1. In this section, \mathcal{V} is an ∞ -category that admits finite limits and geometric realizations.

B.1. Recollections of factorization homology. The ∞ -category of *category-objects internal to \mathcal{V}* is the full ∞ -subcategory

$$\mathrm{fCat}_1[\mathcal{V}] \subset \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{V})$$

consisting of those functors $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{V}$ that satisfy the *Segal conditions*, which is to say that the restriction along the monomorphism $\Delta^{\mathrm{inrt}} \hookrightarrow \Delta$ from the subcategory of *inert* morphisms,

$$(\Delta^{\mathrm{inrt}})^{\mathrm{op}} \hookrightarrow \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{V},$$

carries (the opposites of) colimit diagrams to limit diagrams.³

The ∞ -category $\mathcal{M} := \mathrm{cMfd}_1^{\mathrm{sfr}}$ is that of compact solidly 1-framed stratified spaces. Its full ∞ -subcategory

$$\delta: \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{M}$$

consists of those objects that are *disk-stratified*: each connected stratum is isomorphic to a Euclidean space. Refinement maps between underlying stratified spaces supply a class of morphisms in \mathcal{M} , which are referred to as *refinements*. There is a canonical fully-faithful functor

$$\rho: \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

whose image consists of those objects that are refinements of the solidly 1-framed closed disks \mathbb{D}^1 or \mathbb{D}^0 . *Factorization homology* is right Kan extension along ρ followed by left Kan extension along δ :

$$(44) \quad \int: \mathrm{fCat}_1[\mathcal{V}] \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\delta_!} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V}), \quad \mathcal{C} \mapsto \left(M \mapsto \int_M \mathcal{C} \right).$$

The following result summarizes some key structural features of factorization homology (see [AFMR] for an explanation of its terms).

Theorem B.2 ([AFR1],[AFMR]). *If \mathcal{V} admits finite limits and sifted colimits, then the Kan extensions (44) exist. For each $M \in \mathcal{M}$, the functor $\int_M: \mathrm{fCat}_1[\mathcal{V}] \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ preserves products. For each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{fCat}_1[\mathcal{V}]$, the functor $\int \mathcal{C}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ carries **closed covers** to limit diagrams, and satisfies **excision**.*

Via the standard formula for left Kan extensions, for $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{fCat}_1[\mathcal{V}]$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$, the factorization homology can be identified as a colimit involving the right Kan extension $\rho_*\mathcal{C}$:

$$\int_M \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathrm{colim} \left(\mathcal{D}_{/M} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{forget}} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\rho_*\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{V} \right).$$

We now explain that, in the case that $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{Cat}_n$ for some $n > 0$, the right Kan extension along ρ is given in terms of the restricted Yoneda functor along ρ . This restricted Yoneda functor factors through the fully-faithful embedding of the ∞ -category of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories as complete Segal spaces, and we denote it as

$$\mathfrak{C}: \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_1 \subset \mathrm{PShv}(\Delta), \quad D \mapsto \left([p] \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(D, \rho([p])) \right).$$

³This notation is carried over from [AF3], where it is proved that the ∞ -category $\mathrm{fCat}_1[\mathrm{Spaces}]$ consists of *flagged $(\infty, 1)$ -categories*.

This functor \mathfrak{C} is fully-faithful, with image consisting of those $(\infty, 1)$ -categories that are **free on a finite directed graph**. In light of this characterization of the image of \mathfrak{C} , for $D \in \mathfrak{D}$ and for \mathcal{C} an $(\infty, 1)$ -category, a functor $\mathfrak{C}(D) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{C}$ is precisely the data of

- a map $\mathrm{sk}_0(D) \xrightarrow{\ell_0} \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ from the 0-type of 0-dimensional strata of D to the moduli space of objects of \mathcal{C} ,
- a map $D \setminus \mathrm{sk}_0(D) \xrightarrow{\ell_1} \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ from the 0-type of 1-dimensional strata of D to the moduli space of morphisms of \mathcal{C} ,
- a commutative diagram among spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D \setminus \mathrm{sk}_0(D) & \xrightarrow{\ell_1} & \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow (\mathrm{ev}_s, \mathrm{ev}_t) & & \downarrow (\mathrm{ev}_s, \mathrm{ev}_t) \\ \mathrm{sk}_0(D) \times \mathrm{sk}_0(D) & \xrightarrow{\ell_0 \times \ell_0} & \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{C}). \end{array}$$

Consequently, in the case that $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}$ for some $n > 0$, then, for $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Cat}_n \subset \mathrm{fCat}_1[\mathcal{V}]$ an (∞, n) -category, regarded as a category-object internal to \mathcal{V} , the right Kan extension $\rho_* \mathcal{C}$ evaluates as

$$(45) \quad \rho_* \mathcal{C}: \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}, \quad D \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_n}(\mathfrak{C}(D) \wr \bullet, \mathcal{C}),$$

in where the values are written as presheaves on Cat_{n-1} which are representable, and for $T \in \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}$ the (∞, n) -category $\mathfrak{C}(D) \wr T$ that with maximal $(\infty, 1)$ -subcategory $\mathfrak{C}(D)$, for each pair $x, y \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{C}(D))$ of objects, the $(\infty, n-1)$ -category of 1-morphisms from x to y is $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathfrak{C}(D)) \times T$.

Remark B.3. The functor (44) is the β -version of factorization homology, the second and enhanced version: it pairs compact solidly 1-framed stratified spaces M and category-objects. To distinguish, we denote the first version of factorization homology (see [AF1]) with a superscript “ α ”: it pairs framed 1-manifolds M and associative algebras. Proposition B.12 of the next subsection relates these two versions.

Consider the full ∞ -subcategory

$$(46) \quad \mathfrak{D}(M) \subset \mathfrak{D}_{/M} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{/M}$$

consisting of those morphisms $D \xrightarrow{r} M$ in which r is a refinement and $D \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Proposition B.4 ([AFMR]). *Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ be a compact solidly 1-framed stratified space. The ∞ -category $\mathfrak{D}(M)$ is sifted, and in particular its classifying space $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{D}(M) \simeq *$ is contractible. The ∞ -category $\mathfrak{D}(M)$ is an ordinary category: the space of morphisms in $\mathfrak{D}(M)$ between any two objects is a 0-type.*

This ∞ -category $\mathfrak{D}(M)$ plays an important role in factorization homology, as this result indicates.

Lemma B.5 ([AFMR]). *For each compact solidly 1-framed stratified spaces M , the fully-faithful functor $\mathfrak{D}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{/M}$ is final. In particular, for each category-object \mathcal{C} internal to \mathcal{V} , the canonical morphism in \mathcal{V} ,*

$$\mathrm{colim} \left(\mathfrak{D}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{/M} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{forget}} \mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{\rho_* \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{V} \right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \int_M \mathcal{C},$$

is an equivalence.

After the above synopsis of factorization homology, Lemma B.5 supports the following.

Observation B.6. Consider the case $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}$. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Cat}_n \subset \mathrm{fCat}_1[\mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}]$ be an (∞, n) -category, regarded as a category-object internal to Cat_{n-1} . Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ be a compact solidly 1-framed stratified space. The composite functor in Lemma B.5 is identical to the composite functor

$$(47) \quad \mathfrak{D}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{/M} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{forget}} \mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}_n}(\mathfrak{C}(D) \wr \bullet, \mathcal{C})} \mathrm{Cat}_{n-1}.$$

An object in $\int_M \mathcal{C}$ is represented by a disk-refinement $D \rightarrow M$ and a functor $\mathcal{C}(D) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{C}$. Such a functor ℓ consists of an object $\ell_0(x) \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ for each connected 0-dimensional stratum $x \in \text{sk}_0(D)$, a 1-morphism $(\ell_0(x) \xrightarrow{\ell_1(U)} \ell_0(y)) \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ for each connected 1-dimensional stratum $U \subset D \setminus \text{sk}_0(D)$ whose point-set boundary is $\{x, y\} \subset D$, and where the directionality of the morphism c_U is determined by the 1-framing of U .

Proposition B.7 (1-dimensional nonabelian Poincaré duality). *Let $Z \in \text{Spaces} \subset \text{Cat}_1$ be a space, regarded as an $(\infty, 1)$ -category. The canonical equivalence between functors from \mathcal{M} to Spaces ,*

$$\int_M Z \simeq \text{Map}(M, Z) .$$

Proof. By definition of \mathcal{D} , there is a forgetting solid 1-framing defines a functor $\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \text{cBun}$ to the ∞ -category introduced in [AFR2] that classifies proper constructible bundles. In turn, there is a functor $\text{cBun} \xrightarrow{\text{BExit}} \text{Spaces}^{\text{op}}$ which classifies the fact that, for $X \rightarrow K$ a proper constructible bundle between stratified spaces, the fiber-wise classifying space $\text{B}^{\text{fib}} \text{Exit}(X) \rightarrow \text{Exit}(K)$ is a right fibration. Observe the left commutative diagram among ∞ -categories

$$(48) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \text{Cat}_1 \\ \text{forget} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{B} \\ \text{cBun}^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\text{BExit}} & \text{Spaces}, \end{array} \quad ; \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(M)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \text{Cat}_1 \\ \downarrow ! & & \downarrow \text{B} \\ * & \xrightarrow{\langle M \rangle} & \text{Spaces}, \end{array}$$

which is the functorial identification of $\text{B}\mathcal{C}(D) \simeq \text{BExit}(D) \simeq D$ of the classifying space of $\mathcal{C}(D)$ with the underlying space of D , for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$; the right commutative diagram follows.

This left commutative diagram (48) lends to an identification of the right Kan extension $\rho_* Z$:

$$(49) \quad \rho_* Z \underset{(45)}{\simeq} \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}_1}(\mathcal{C}(-), Z) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Spaces}}(\text{B}\mathcal{C}(-), Z) \underset{\text{left (48)}}{\simeq} \text{Hom}_{\text{Spaces}}(-, Z) = \text{Map}(-, Z) ,$$

in which the middle identification uses that the $(\infty, 1)$ -category Z is an ∞ -groupoid. Therefore, the right commutative diagram (48) lends to an identification of the restriction of $\rho_* Z$,

$$(50) \quad \mathcal{D}(M) \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\rho_* Z} \text{Spaces} , \quad (D \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} M) \mapsto \text{Map}(M, Z) ,$$

as the constant functor at the space $\text{Map}(M, Z)$. So the factorization homology $\int Z$, which is the left Kan extension $\delta_! \rho_* Z$, is identified as

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M Z &\underset{\text{Lem B.5}}{\simeq} \text{colim} \left(\mathcal{D}(M) \xrightarrow{\rho_* Z} \text{Spaces} \right) \\ &\underset{(49)}{\simeq} \text{colim} \left(\mathcal{D}(M) \xrightarrow{\text{Map}(-, Z)} \text{Spaces} \right) \\ &\underset{(50)}{\simeq} \text{colim} \left(\mathcal{D}(M) \xrightarrow{!} * \xrightarrow{\langle \text{Map}(M, Z) \rangle} \text{Spaces} \right) \\ &\simeq \text{B}\mathcal{D}(M) \times \text{Map}(M, Z) \\ &\underset{\text{Prop B.4}}{\xrightarrow{\simeq}} \text{Map}(M, Z) . \end{aligned}$$

□

B.2. Comparison between the α and β versions of factorization homology. On their overlapping domains of definition, the β -version of factorization homology agrees with the α -version of factorization homology. This is articulated in Proposition B.12 below.

Recall the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\text{Mfld}_1^{\text{fr}}$, which is the subject of [AF1]. An object in $\text{Mfld}_1^{\text{fr}}$ is a framed 1-manifolds (without boundary, and finitary). The space of morphisms between

two is the space of framed open embeddings. The symmetric monoidal structure is disjoint union. Assigning to each compact solidly 1-framed stratified space M its 1-dimensional strata defines a symmetric monoidal functor to $\mathcal{M}\text{fld}_1^{\text{fr}}$ from the monoidal ∞ -subcategory $\mathcal{M}^1 \subset \mathcal{M}$ consisting of all objects yet only those morphisms generated by refinements and creation morphisms that are isomorphisms over each 1-dimensional strata:

$$(52) \quad \mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{M}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\text{fld}_1^{\text{fr}}, \quad M \mapsto M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M).$$

In particular, for each $M \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a functor (52) restricts as a functor between ∞ -overcategories:

$$(53) \quad \mathcal{D}(M) \xrightarrow{(52)_!} \mathcal{D}\text{isk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}},$$

which is equivariant with respect the action of $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{M}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{M}\text{fld}_1^{\text{fr}}}(M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M))$.

Observation B.8. The functor (53) is fully-faithful if M is 1-diimensional. The essential image consists of those $U \hookrightarrow M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)$ that are surjective on path-components.

Corollary B.9. *In the case that $M = \mathbb{S}^1$, the functor (53) is fully-faithful and witnesses freely adjoining an initial object:*

$$\left(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)^\triangleleft \right) \underset{(53)}{\simeq} \left(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}\text{isk}_{1/\mathbb{S}^1}^{\text{fr}} \right).$$

In particular, Observation 1.3 lends to a canonical $\mathbb{T} \simeq \text{B}\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant equivalence:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}, \quad (D \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \mathbb{S}^1) \mapsto \exp^{-1}(D \setminus \text{sk}_0(D)).$$

After Corollary A.6, Corollary B.9 immediately gives the following, which is also stated as Proposition B.4 above.

Corollary B.10. *The ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ has the following properties. It is sifted; its classifying space is contractible; it is an ordinary category.*

Remark B.11. Anna Cepek's PhD thesis [Ce] proves a $\mathbb{T} \simeq \text{B}\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant identification $\text{Exit}(\text{Ran}^u(\mathbb{S}^1)) \simeq \Delta_{\circlearrowleft}^{\text{op}}$ of the exit-path ∞ -category of a unital version of the Ran space of \mathbb{S}^1 . The identification of Corollary B.9 is related to this identification of Cepek's, and imports the essential idea demonstrated there of considering $\exp^{-1}(-)$.

In the next result, we consider the Cartesian symmetric monoidal structure on the ∞ -category \mathcal{V} , and we reference the functor

$$\mathfrak{B}: \text{Alg}(\mathcal{V}) \hookrightarrow \text{fCat}_1[\mathcal{V}] \subset \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}), \quad A \mapsto \text{Bar}_{\bullet}(A).$$

Proposition B.12. *There is a canonical identification between functors from $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{V})$ to $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}^1, \mathcal{V})$:*

$$\int_{- \setminus \text{sk}_0(-)}^{\alpha} (-) \simeq \int_{-} \mathfrak{B}(-).$$

In particular, for each associative algebra $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{V})$, there is a canonical \mathbb{T} -equivariant identification

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{\alpha} A \simeq \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \mathfrak{B}A.$$

Proof. Let $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{V})$, and let $M \in \mathcal{M}^1$. By definition, the two forms of factorization homology evaluate as colimits

$$\int_{M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\alpha} A \simeq \text{colim} \left(\mathcal{D}\text{isk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}} \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathcal{D}\text{isk}_1^{\text{fr}} \xrightarrow{A} \mathcal{V} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M \mathfrak{B}A \simeq \text{colim} \left(\mathcal{D}_{/M} \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\rho_* \mathfrak{B}A} \mathcal{V} \right).$$

Observe the canonically commutative diagram among ∞ -categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{D}(M) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{D}/M & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \mathcal{D} \\
 (53) \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \rho_* \mathfrak{B}A \\
 \text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}} & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & \text{Disk}_1^{\text{fr}} & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathcal{V}.
 \end{array}$$

Lemma B.5 states that the top left horizontal functor is final. This finality supplies a canonical morphism in \mathcal{V} :

$$\int_M \mathfrak{B}A \longrightarrow \int_{M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\alpha} A .$$

The functoriality of this morphism in both arguments $A \in \text{Alg}(\mathcal{V})$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}^1$ is evident. The result is established upon showing this morphism is an equivalence. For this, it is enough to show that the functor (53) is final.

Observation B.8 identifies (53) as the inclusion of the full ∞ -subcategory consisting of those $U \hookrightarrow M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)$ that are surjective on path-components. Consider the ∞ -subcategory $\text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}, \text{surj}} \rightarrow \text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}}$ consisting of those $U \hookrightarrow M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)$ that are surjective on path-components, and those morphisms $U \hookrightarrow V \hookrightarrow M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)$ in which $U \hookrightarrow V$ is also surjective on path-components. Note that, via Observation B.8, $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is the smallest full ∞ -subcategory of $\text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}}$ containing the image of $\text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}, \text{surj}}$. By Lemma 2.3.2 of [AF4], the monomorphism $\text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}, \text{surj}} \hookrightarrow \text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}}$ is final. By Quillen’s Theorem A, this implies that if $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}}$ is a full ∞ -subcategory which contains the image of the functor $\text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}, \text{surj}} \rightarrow \text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}}$, then the functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Disk}_{1/M \setminus \text{sk}_0(M)}^{\text{fr}}$ is again final. Setting $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(M)$ implies the result. \square

REFERENCES

- [AF1] Ayala, David; Francis, John. Factorization homology of topological manifolds. *J. Topol.* 8 (2015), no. 4, 1045–1084.
- [AF2] Ayala, David; Francis, John. Fibrations of ∞ -categories. *High. Struct.* 4 (2020), no. 1, 168–265.
- [AF3] Ayala, David; Francis, John. Flagged higher categories. *Topology and quantum theory in interaction*, 137–173, *Contemp. Math.*, 718, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [AF4] Ayala, David; Francis, John. Poincaré/Koszul duality. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 365 (2019), no. 3, 847–933.
- [AF5] Ayala, David; Francis, John. Zero-pointed manifolds. To appear, *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*.
- [AF6] Ayala, David; Francis, John. The 1-dimensional cobordism hypothesis. In preparation.
- [AFMR] Ayala, David; Francis, John; Mazel-Gee, Aaron; Rozenblyum, Nick. Factorization homology of enriched ∞ -categories. Preprint.
- [AFR1] Ayala, David; Francis, John; Rozenblyum, Nick. Factorization homology I: Higher categories. *Adv. Math.* 333 (2018), 1042–1177.
- [AFR2] Ayala, David; Francis, John; Rozenblyum, Nick. A stratified homotopy hypothesis. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 21 (2019), no. 4, 1071–1178.
- [AFR3] Ayala, David; Francis, John; Rozenblyum, Nick. Corrigendum to “Factorization homology I: Higher categories” [*Adv. Math.* 333 (2018) 1042–1177]. *Adv. Math.* 370 (2020), 107217, 7 pp.
- [BaDo] Baez, John; Dolan, James. Higher-dimensional algebra and topological quantum field theory. *J. Math. Phys.* 36 (1995), no. 11, 6073–6105.
- [BeDr] Beilinson, Alexander; Drinfeld, Vladimir. *Chiral algebras*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 51. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [Ce] Cepek, Anna. On configuration categories. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Montana State University. 2019.
- [Co] Connes, Alain. Cohomologie cyclique et foncteurs Ext^n . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 296 (1983), no. 23, 953–958.
- [GJ] Getzler, Ezra; Jones, John. The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 445 (1993), 161–174.
- [Lu1] Lurie, Jacob. *Higher topos theory*. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 170. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. xviii+925 pp.
- [Lu2] Lurie, Jacob. *Higher algebra*. Preprint, 2016.

- [Lu3] Lurie, Jacob. On the classification of topological field theories. Current developments in mathematics, 2008, 129–280, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
- [Lu4] Lurie, Jacob. Rotation invariance in algebraic K -theory. Preprint, 2015.
- [RV] Riehl, Emily; Verity, Dominic Homotopy coherent adjunctions and the formal theory of monads. Adv. Math. 286 (2016), 802–888.
- [Re1] Rezk, Charles. A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), no. 3, 973–1007.
- [SS] Schanuel, Stephen; Street, Ross. The free adjunction. Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques (1986), no. 27, 81–83.
- [St] Steinebrunner, Jan. The space of traces in symmetric monoidal infinity categories. Preprint, 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.11654>
- [TV1] Toën, Bertrand; Vezzosi, Gabriele. Chern character, loop spaces and derived algebraic geometry. Algebraic topology, 331–354, Abel Symp., 4, Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [TV2] Toën, Bertrand; Vezzosi, Gabriele. Caractères de Chern, traces équivariantes et géométrie algébrique dérivée. (French) [Chern characters, equivariant traces and derived algebraic geometry] Selecta Math. (N.S.) 21 (2015), no. 2, 449–554.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BOZEMAN, MT 59717
Email address: david.ayala@montana.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, IL 60208
Email address: jnkf@northwestern.edu