

ON THE SPLITTING PRINCIPLE OF BENIAMINO SEGRE

CAMILLA FELISETTI AND CLAUDIO FONTANARI

ABSTRACT. We state and prove in modern terms a Splitting Principle first claimed by Beniamino Segre in 1938, which should be regarded as a strong form of the classical Principle of Connectedness.

1. INTRODUCTION

The memoir [S38], appeared in the *Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata* in 1938, is the last paper published in Italy by Beniamino Segre before the end of Second World War, as a consequence of the odious racial laws promulgated by the Italian Fascist Regime in that same year.

The title *Un teorema fondamentale della geometria sulle superficie algebriche ed il principio di spezzamento* alludes to a Splitting Principle stated there for the first time (albeit with a sloppy formulation and an incomplete proof). Francesco Severi, who praised that work as the best one of his former student (see [BC98], p. 233), extensively reconsidered it in his treatise [S59], published in 1959.

Such Splitting Principle should be regarded as a strong form of the classical Principle of Connectedness, attributed by Segre to Enriques and by Severi to Noether, stating that if the general member of a flat family $\{X_t\}$ of closed subschemes of \mathbb{P}^k parameterized by an irreducible curve T of finite type is connected, then X_t is connected for all $t \in T$ (see for instance [H77], III, Exercise 11.4 on p. 281, or [S09], Proposition 6.5).

In modern terms, we state it as follows (see [S38], p. 111, and [S59], pp. 81-82):

Theorem 1.1 (Splitting Principle). *Let $\{E\}$ be an algebraic system of nodal curves on a smooth surface F of geometric genus p_g . Suppose that the general element E of $\{E\}$ is irreducible and that a special element E_0 of $\{E\}$ splits as $E_0 = C + D$ with C, D irreducible. Set $\Gamma := C \cap D$ and let c be the cardinality of Γ . Write $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2$ where Γ_1 is the set of points which are limits of nodes of curves in $\{E\}$ and Γ_2 are the connecting points between C and D originated by the splitting $E_0 = C + D$. Assume that $|D|_D$ is non-empty and C is sufficiently general with respect to D , in particular that $|C(-\Gamma_1)|$ has no base points on D . If c_i is the cardinality of Γ_i then we have $c_2 \geq p_g + 1$, unless the points in Γ_2 are linearly dependent with respect to K_F .*

The assumptions that all curves in $\{E\}$ are nodal and that C is general with respect to D are both missing from Segre's statement in [S38] and are added by Severi in [S59], p. 81. We point out that the splitting of Γ into the disjoint union of Γ_1 and Γ_2 is well-defined only if E_0 is assumed to have double points as singularities (hence it is implicit in Segre's argument, see in particular [S38], §10, p. 122: “*I punti di Γ si potranno allora distinguere in due categorie, secondoche provengono o meno come limiti da punti doppi di E , ossia rispettivamente a seconda che non risultano oppure risultano punti di collegamento tra C e D ; denotiamo ordinatamente con Γ_1, Γ_2 i gruppi costituiti dai punti del primo o del secondo tipo, (...) talchè sarà $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$ ”). Furthermore, Severi's statement in [S59], p. 81, assumes that the curve D is *ordinaria*, which in particular implies that the characteristic series $E|_D$ is complete on D . Severi in [S59], p. 197, comments: “(...) abbiamo stabilito nel n. 23 il notevole principio di spezzamento*

This research project was partially supported by GNSAGA of INdAM and by PRIN 2017 “Moduli Theory and Birational Classification”.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14-03, 14D05, 14D06.

Keywords and phrases. Splitting principle, Connectedness principle, Algebraic system, Flat family, Nodal curve.

di B. Segre contenuto nella Memoria degli Annali di Matematica, 1938, però sotto l'ipotesi, qui aggiunta, che una componente del limite della curva che tende a spezzarsi sia una curva ordinaria irriducibile (appartenente cioè totalmente ad un sistema irriducibile avente su di essa la serie caratteristica completa). Siccome questo principio fa entrare in giuoco soltanto il genere geometrico p_g della superficie F e non l'irregolarità, è ragionevole supporre (n. 23) che il suo fondamento topologico sia in relazione soltanto ai cicli bidimensionali della riemanniana di F ; epperò, siccome esso è vero, qualunque sia p_g , sopra le superficie regolari, e per $p_g = 0$ su ogni superficie irregolare, apparisce naturale di supporre che il principio stesso sia vero sempre." Finally, in [S59], p. 81, the curve D is assumed to be nonsingular ("priva di nodi"), but our modern proof shows that also this assumption is unnecessary. Indeed, the main ingredients are Riemann-Roch theorem, Serre duality and adjunction formula, which hold also in the singular case up to replacing the canonical bundle K_D with the dualizing sheaf ω_D (see for instance [BHPV04], p. 62). We are going to apply these formulas to restrictions to D of divisors on the smooth surface F , hence to Cartier divisors on the nodal curve D .

2. THE PROOFS

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a couple of crucial remarks.

Lemma 2.1. *We have $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. If $\Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ then all nodes of $E_0 = C + D$ belong to Γ_1 , i.e. they are limits of nodes of E . Hence by [T76], Théorème 1 on p. 73, locally around E_0 we may resolve simultaneously all singularities of E . In this way we would obtain a family of smooth connected curves degenerating to a disconnected one, contradicting the classical Principle of Connectedness (see for instance [H77], III, Exercice 11.4 on p. 281). \square

Lemma 2.2. *There is at least one point $P \in \Gamma_2$ which is not a base point of the complete linear series $|E_{0|D} - \Gamma_1|$ on D .*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that

$$(1) \quad h^0(D, E_{0|D} - \Gamma_1) = h^0(D, E_{0|D} - \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2) = h^0(D, E_{0|D} - \Gamma).$$

On the other hand, since $E_0 = C + D$ we have $|E_{0|D} - \Gamma_1| \supseteq |C|_D - \Gamma_1| + |D|_D$. Moreover, since $|C|_D - \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.1 and $|C(-\Gamma_1)|$ has no base points on D by assumption, we have $h^0(D, |C|_D - \Gamma_1) \geq 2$. Hence we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(D, E_{0|D} - \Gamma_1) &\geq h^0(D, |C|_D - \Gamma_1) + h^0(D, D|_D) - 1 \geq h^0(D, D|_D) + 1 \\ &= h^0(D, E_{0|D} - C|_D) + 1 = h^0(D, E_{0|D} - \Gamma) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (1), so the claim is established. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We follow Segre's approach in [S38]. Let $d := h^1(D, D|_D)$. We have two possibilities: (i) $c_2 \geq d + 1$ or (ii) $c_2 \leq d$.

(i) Suppose $c_2 \geq d + 1$. Let $i := h^2(F, D)$. We first prove that

$$(2) \quad d \geq p_g - i.$$

Indeed, by adjunction $K_{F|D} = \omega_D - D|_D$ and by Serre duality

$$d = h^1(D, D|_D) = h^0(D, \omega_D - D|_D) = h^0(D, K_{F|D}).$$

The short exact sequence on F

$$0 \rightarrow K_F(-D) \rightarrow K_F \rightarrow K_{F|D} \rightarrow 0$$

yields a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(K_F(-D)) \rightarrow H^0(K_F) \rightarrow H^0(K_{F|D}) \rightarrow \dots$$

hence $p_g \leq i + d$.

If $i = 0$, we immediately get $c_2 \geq d + 1 \geq p_g + 1$. If instead $i > 0$, then the points in Γ_2 are dependent with respect to K_F , i.e. $h^0(F, K_F(-\Gamma_2)) > p_g - c_2$. Indeed, on the one hand by (2) we have

$$(3) \quad p_g - c_2 \leq p_g - d - 1 \leq p_g - p_g + i - 1 = i - 1.$$

On the other hand, since any global section of K_F which vanishes on D vanishes in particular on Γ_2 , we have

$$h^0(F, K_F(-\Gamma_2)) \geq h^0(F, K_F(-D)) = h^2(F, D) = i.$$

By (3) we conclude that $h^0(F, K_F(-\Gamma_2)) \geq i > p_g - c_2$.

(ii) Suppose $c_2 \leq d$. Let $P \in \Gamma_2$ and set $\Gamma_2^* := \Gamma_2 \setminus P$.¹ Observe first that the linear series

$$|D|_D + \Gamma_2^*|$$

on D is special. In fact, $h^1(D, D|_D + \Gamma_2^*) = h^0(D, \omega_D - D|_D - \Gamma_2^*) \geq h^0(D, \omega_D - D|_D) - c_2 + 1 = h^1(D, D|_D) - c_2 + 1 = d - c_2 + 1 \geq 1$. In particular, by adjunction we have that $H^0(D, \omega_D - D|_D - \Gamma_2^*) \cong H^0(D, K_{F|_D} - \Gamma_2^*)$ is non-zero.

We are going to prove that the natural inclusion

$$H^0(F, K_F - \Gamma_2) \subseteq H^0(F, K_F - \Gamma_2^*)$$

is an isomorphism for some choice of $P \in \Gamma_2$, i.e. that the points in Γ_2 are dependent with respect to K_F .

Indeed, by Lemma 2.2, there exists at least one $P \in \Gamma_2$ such that the complete linear series

$$|E_{0|D} - \Gamma_1| = |C|_D + D|_D - \Gamma_1| = |D|_D + \Gamma - \Gamma_1| = |D|_D + \Gamma_2|$$

on D does not admit P as a base point.

On the other hand, by the Riemann-Roch theorem

$$h^0(D|_D + \Gamma_2) = h^1(D|_D + \Gamma_2) + \deg(D|_D + \Gamma_2) + 1 - p_a(D)$$

$$h^0(D|_D + \Gamma_2^*) = h^1(D|_D + \Gamma_2^*) + \deg(D|_D + \Gamma_2) - 1 + 1 - p_a(D).$$

Since $h^0(D|_D + \Gamma_2^*) = h^0(D|_D + \Gamma_2) - 1$ then $h^1(D|_D + \Gamma_2) = h^1(D|_D + \Gamma_2^*)$ and by Serre duality

$$(4) \quad h^0(D, \omega_D - D|_D - \Gamma_2^*) = h^0(D, \omega_D - D|_D - \Gamma_2).$$

Suppose now by contradiction that the inclusion $H^0(F, K_F - \Gamma_2) \subseteq H^0(F, K_F - \Gamma_2^*)$ is strict, i.e. that there exists an effective divisor A in $\mathbb{P}H^0(F, K_F - \Gamma_2^*)$ not passing through P . Note that $A \cap D \neq D$, since $P \in D \setminus A$.

Now, if Γ_2^* is not empty, then $A \cap D \neq \emptyset$, since $\emptyset \neq \Gamma_2^* \subset A \cap D$, and $A|_D$ is a nontrivial effective divisor on D lying in $\mathbb{P}H^0(D, K_{F|_D} - \Gamma_2^*) \setminus \mathbb{P}H^0(D, K_{F|_D} - \Gamma_2)$, contradicting (4).

The same conclusion holds if Γ_2^* is empty but $A \cap D \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, if Γ_2^* is empty and $A|_D = 0$, then $K_{F|_D} \cong \mathcal{O}_D$ and by adjunction we have $\omega_D = D|_D$. Hence (4) implies

$$1 = h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D) = h^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(-P)) = 0$$

and this contradiction ends the proof. □

¹Note that Γ_2 is non empty by Lemma 2.1, but Γ_2^* might be.

REFERENCES

- [BHPV04] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2004).
- [BC98] A. Brigaglia, C. Ciliberto, *Geometria algebrica*, in: *La matematica italiana dopo l'unità*, Marcos Y Marcos, Milano (1998), 185–320.
- [H77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag New York (1977).
- [S38] B. Segre, *Un teorema fondamentale della geometria sulle superficie algebriche ed il principio di spezzamento*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 17 (1938), no. 1, 107–126.
- [S09] E. Sernesi, *A smoothing criterion for families of curves*, preprint February 2009, available online at <http://www.mat.uniroma3.it/users/sernesi/smoothcrit.pdf>.
- [S59] F. Severi, *Geometria dei sistemi algebrici sopra una superficie e sopra una varietà. algebrica*. Edizioni Cremonese, Roma 1959.
- [T76] B. Teissier, *Résolution simultanée. I. II.*, in: *Séminaire sur les singularités des surfaces (Cent. Math. Éc. Polytech., Palaiseau 1976–77)*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 777*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1980), 71–146.

Camilla Felisetti
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Trento
Via Sommarive 14
38123 Trento, Italy.
E-mail: camilla.felisetti@unitn.it

Claudio Fontanari
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Trento
Via Sommarive 14
38123 Trento, Italy.
E-mail: claudio.fontanari@unitn.it