

MAXIMAL ORTHOGONAL GRASSMANNIANS OF QUADRATIC FORMS OF DIMENSIONS UP TO 22

SANGHOON BAEK

ABSTRACT. Let X be a connected component of the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of a generic n -dimensional quadratic form q with trivial Clifford invariant. Consider the canonical epimorphism ϕ from the Chow ring of X to the associated graded ring of the coniveau filtration on the Grothendieck ring of X . In [6] Karpenko proved that ϕ is an isomorphism for all $n \leq 12$ (conjecturally for all n). Recently, in [10] Yagita showed that ϕ is not an isomorphism for $n = 17, 18$. In the present paper, together with Yagita's results for $n = 17, 18$, we show that the map ϕ is not an isomorphism for all $13 \leq n \leq 22$. In particular, the case $n = 13$ gives the smallest dimensional maximal orthogonal grassmannian whose two graded rings are not isomorphic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the Grothendieck ring $K(X)$ of a smooth variety X and its filtration by codimension of support of coherent sheaves:

$$0 = K(X)^{(\dim(X)+1)} \subset K(X)^{(\dim(X))} \subset \dots \subset K(X)^{(1)} \subset K(X)^{(0)} = K(X),$$

called the topological filtration or the coniveau filtration. The associated graded ring is denoted by $GK(X)$, i.e.,

$$GK(X) = \bigoplus K(X)^{(i/i+1)}, \text{ where } K(X)^{(i/i+1)} := K(X)^{(i)}/K(X)^{(i+1)}.$$

Let $\text{CH}(X)$ denote the Chow ring of X . Then, there is a canonical graded ring epimorphism:

$$(1) \quad \phi : \text{CH}(X) \rightarrow GK(X)$$

mapping the class of a closed subvariety of X of codimension i in $\text{CH}^i(X)$ to the class of its structure sheaf in the quotient $K(X)^{(i/i+1)}$. The morphism ϕ commutes with Chern classes. Moreover, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, the kernel of $\phi^i : \text{CH}^i(X) \rightarrow K(X)^{(i/i+1)}$ is annihilated by multiplication by $(i-1)!$.

Let G be a split semisimple group over a field k and let E be a generic G -torsor over k , i.e., E is the generic fiber of the quotient map $\mathbf{GL}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n)/G$ induced by the embedding $G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(n)$ for some $n \geq 1$. For the variety of Borel subgroups $X = E/B$, twisted by E (i.e., E/B is a twisted form of G/B , where $B \subset G$ is a Borel subgroup), Karpenko conjectured that the morphism ϕ is an isomorphism in [4], where he confirmed the conjecture for a simple group G of type A or C . Indeed, by [4, Lemma 4.2] this conjecture is equivalent to the same statement, after replacing

the Borel subgroup B by a special parabolic subgroup P of G (i.e., any P -torsor over any field extension of k is trivial). Moreover, in [3, Theorem 1.2] he showed that the conjecture holds for a wider class of groups G including special orthogonal groups and the exceptional groups of types G_2 , F_4 , and simply connected E_6 .

Let $G = \mathbf{Spin}(n)$ be the simply connected group of type B or D . Since a generic G -torsor E gives a generic quadratic form q of dimension n with trivial discriminant and Clifford invariant (i.e., the Brauer class of the even Clifford algebra of q), we may identify $X = E/P$ for a maximal parabolic subgroup P of G with a connected component of the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of q . Moreover, if n is even, then a connected component of the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of q can be identified with the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of any non-degenerate $(n-1)$ -dimensional subform of q [1, Proposition 85.2]. Hence, for spin groups it suffices to consider the case where n is odd. In [6, Theorem 3.1] Karpenko proved that the conjecture holds for $G = \mathbf{Spin}(n)$ for any $n \leq 12$. Recently, Yagita showed that $G = \mathbf{Spin}(17)$ provides a counter-example on the conjecture by Karpenko [10]. Later, Karpenko extended Yagita's counter-example to base fields of arbitrary characteristic [2]. However, as mentioned in [2, §1. Introduction] the cases of $\mathbf{Spin}(13)$ and $\mathbf{Spin}(15)$ remain open.

In the present paper, we prove that Karpenko's conjecture does not hold for $G = \mathbf{Spin}(n)$ for all $13 \leq n \leq 16$ and $19 \leq n \leq 22$, i.e., combined with Yagita's results for $n = 17, 18$, we show

Theorem 1.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic different from 2 and let X be a connected component of the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of a generic quadratic form q over k of trivial Clifford invariant. Then, for all $13 \leq \dim(q) \leq 22$ the canonical epimorphism $\phi : \mathrm{CH}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GK}(X)$ is not an isomorphism.*

In particular, Theorem 1.1 provides the smallest dimensional maximal orthogonal grassmannian X of a generic quadratic form of trivial Clifford invariant such that two rings $\mathrm{CH}(X)$ and $\mathrm{GK}(X)$ are not isomorphic. It would be interesting to know whether the upper bound 22 in Theorem 1.1 can be removed.

For the proof of our main theorem, we provide certain elements which are not divisible by 2 in the Chow groups of the maximal orthogonal grassmannians, whereas their images under ϕ are divisible by 2 in the associated graded rings, following the approach of [2].

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA1901-02.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we shall collect some basic results on the Chow and the Grothendieck rings of maximal orthogonal grassmannians. For details and the general theory we refer the reader to [1], [2] and [9].

2.1. Chow ring of maximal orthogonal grassmannians. For an integer $n \geq 1$, let q be a generic $(2n+1)$ -dimensional quadratic form over a field k of trivial Clifford

invariant corresponding to a generic $\mathbf{Spin}(2n+1)$ -torsor. Let X_n denote the variety of n -dimensional totally isotropic subspaces (i.e., the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of q). Then, $\dim(X_n) = n(n+1)/2$. Let $\text{ind}(X_n)$ be the index of X_n , i.e., the greatest common divisor of the degrees of closed points on X_n . Then, the index $\text{ind}(X_n)$ coincides with the torsion index of $\mathbf{Spin}(2n+1)$, which is determined by Totaro in [8]. In particular, we have

$$(2) \quad \text{ind}(X_6) = 2^2, \quad \text{ind}(X_7) = 2^3, \quad \text{ind}(X_9) = 2^4, \quad \text{ind}(X_{10}) = 2^5.$$

Let \bar{X}_n be the base change of X_n to an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k and let \bar{Y}_n be the base change of the quadric Y_n of q to \bar{k} . Consider the projective bundle $\pi : P \rightarrow \bar{X}_n$ associated with the tautological vector bundle on \bar{X}_n and the projection $\pi' : P \rightarrow \bar{Y}_n$. Let l_{n-i} be the class of a projective $(n-i)$ -dimensional subspace on \bar{Y} in $\text{CH}(\bar{Y})$ and let $e_i \in \text{CH}^i(\bar{X}_n)$ be the image of l_{n-i} under the composition of the pullback of π' and the push-forward of π . Then, the Chow group $\text{CH}(\bar{X}_n)$ is free with basis given by the products $\prod_{i \in I} e_i$, where I runs over the subsets of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. In particular, both groups $\text{CH}^{\dim(\bar{X}_n)}(\bar{X}_n)$ and $\text{CH}^{\dim(\bar{X}_n)-1}(\bar{X}_n)$ are cyclic generated by

$$(3) \quad p := \prod_{i=1}^n e_i \quad \text{and} \quad l := \prod_{i=2}^n e_i$$

respectively.

The Chow ring $\text{CH}(\bar{X}_n)$ is generated by e_1, \dots, e_n with relations

$$(4) \quad e_i^2 - 2e_{i-1}e_{i+1} + 2e_{i-2}e_{i+2} - \dots + (-1)^{i-1}2e_1e_{2i-1} + (-1)^i e_{2i} = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$. In particular, for $n \leq 10$ we have the following relations:

$$(5) \quad e_1^2 = e_2, \quad e_1^4 = 2e_1e_3 - e_4,$$

$$(6) \quad e_1^8 \equiv 2(e_3e_5 - e_2e_6 + e_1e_7) - e_8, \quad e_1^{16} \equiv 2(e_7e_9 - e_6e_{10}) \pmod{2^2},$$

where $e_i = 0$ for $i > n$.

Let c_i be the Chern class of the dual of rank n tautological vector bundle on X_n . As the Clifford invariant of q is trivial, by [1, Exercise 88.14] there is an element $e \in \text{CH}^1(X_n)$ with $c_1 = 2e$. Consider the restriction map

$$\text{res} : \text{CH}(X_n) \rightarrow \text{CH}(\bar{X}_n).$$

Since the map res commutes with Chern classes, by [1, Proposition 86.13] we have $\text{res}(c_i) = 2e_i$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $\text{res}(e) = e_1$.

For a smooth variety X over a field k of characteristic different from 2, we consider the Steenrod operation $S : \text{Ch}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(X)$ of cohomological type, where $\text{Ch}(X) := \text{CH}(X)/2\text{CH}(X)$ denotes modulo 2 Chow ring of X . For any $i \geq 0$, we write $S^i : \text{Ch}^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Ch}^{*+i}(X)$ for the i th component of S . The image of an element $x \in \text{CH}(X)$ under the map $\text{CH}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(X)$ will be denoted by \bar{x} .

Lemma 2.1. [2, Proposition 3.1] *Let \bar{c}_i be the image of the Chern class of the dual of rank n tautological vector bundle on X_n in $\text{Ch}(X_n)$. Then,*

$$S(\bar{c}_i) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{i-1}{j} \bar{c}_{i+j}.$$

In particular, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(7) \quad S(\bar{c}_2) = \bar{c}_2 + \bar{c}_3, \quad S(\bar{c}_3) = \bar{c}_3 + \bar{c}_5, \quad S(\bar{c}_4) = \bar{c}_4 + \bar{c}_5 + \bar{c}_6 + \bar{c}_7,$$

$$(8) \quad S(\bar{c}_6) = \bar{c}_6 + \bar{c}_7 + \bar{c}_{10} + \bar{c}_{11}, \quad S(\bar{c}_7) = \bar{c}_7 + \bar{c}_9 + \bar{c}_{11} + \bar{c}_{13}, \quad S(\bar{e}) = \bar{e} + \bar{e}^2,$$

where $\bar{c}_i = 0$ for $i > n$.

2.2. Grothendieck ring of maximal orthogonal grassmannians. For a smooth variety X , let $\tilde{K}(X)$ denote the (extended) Rees ring of the Grothendieck ring $K(X)$ with respect to the topological filtration of $K(X)$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{K}(X) = \bigoplus \tilde{K}(X)^{(i)}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{K}(X)^{(i)} = K(X)^{(i)}t^{-i},$$

where $K(X)^{(i)} = K(X)$ for all $i \leq 0$. We view $\tilde{K}(X)$ as a subring of the Laurent polynomial ring $K(X)[t, t^{-1}]$. Then, we have $GK(X) = \tilde{K}(X)/t\tilde{K}(X)$. Let $I(X)$ denote the ideal of $\tilde{K}(X)$ generated by t and 2. Then, we also have $\tilde{K}(X)/I(X) = GK(X)/2GK(X)$. To avoid a minus sign we sometimes write u instead of t^{-1} .

Let X_n be the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of a generic quadratic form of dimension $2n + 1$ with trivial Clifford invariant as in Section 2.1. we shall write $c_i \in K(X_n)^{(i)}$ for the K -theoretic Chern class of the dual of the tautological vector bundle on X_n and also write $e_i \in K(\bar{X}_n)^{(i)}$ for the image of $l_{n-i} \in K(\bar{Y}_n)^{(n+i-1)}$ under the composition $\pi_* \circ (\pi')^*$, as defined in Section 2.1. As the canonical epimorphism ϕ in (1) commutes with Chern classes, we have $\phi(c_i) = c_i$ and $\phi(e_i) = e_i$ modulo $K(X_n)^{(i+1)}$ and $K(\bar{X}_n)^{(i+1)}$, respectively. Hence, the relations (4) hold in $K(\bar{X}_n)$. We shall write p and l for the classes of $\prod_{i=1}^n e_i$ and $\prod_{i=2}^n e_i$ in $K(\bar{X}_n)^{(\dim(\bar{X}_n))}$ and $K(\bar{X}_n)^{(\dim(\bar{X}_n)-1)}$, respectively. In particular, as $K(\bar{X}_n)^{(\dim(\bar{X}_n))} = \text{CH}^{\dim(\bar{X}_n)}(\bar{X}_n)$ we get

$$(9) \quad K(\bar{X}_n)^{\binom{n^2+n}{2}} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot p \quad \text{and} \quad K(\bar{X}_n)^{\binom{n^2+n-1}{2}} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot p \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot l.$$

Since the Clifford invariant of q is trivial, by [7], [5, Lemma 4.1] we can identify $K(X_n)$ with $K(\bar{X}_n)$. In addition, the following relations hold:

$$K(X_n)^{(1)} = K(\bar{X}_n)^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad K(X_n)^{(i)} \subset K(\bar{X}_n)^{(i)}$$

for any $i \geq 2$. We write $e \in K(X_n)^{(1)}$ for the element $e_1 \in K(\bar{X}_n)^{(1)}$. Moreover, a restriction-corestriction argument shows that $\text{ind}(X_n)K(\bar{X}_n) \subset K(X_n)$, thus

$$(10) \quad \text{ind}(X_n)\tilde{K}(\bar{X}_n) \subset \tilde{K}(X_n)$$

for any $n \geq 1$. We shall need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. [6, Lemma 4.1] *For any $i \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$2e_i + e_{i+1} \in K(X_n)^{(i)} \quad \text{and} \quad 2e_i + e_{i+1} = c_i \quad \text{in} \quad K(X_n)^{(i/i+1)}.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let k be a field of characteristic different 2 and let q be a generic quadratic form over k of trivial Clifford invariant such that $13 \leq \dim(q) \leq 22$. Let X be a connected component of the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of q . As explained in Section 1, it's enough to consider $X = X_n$ for $6 \leq n \leq 10$, where X_n is the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of q with $\dim(q) = 2n + 1$. Since the statement is proven for $n = 8$ in [10] and [2], we prove the statement for $n = 6, 7, 9, 10$. We first show that there are certain elements in $\text{CH}(X_n)$, not divisible by 2:

Proposition 3.1. *The following elements*

$$c_4e^{15} \in \text{CH}(X_6), \quad c_4c_7e^{15} \in \text{CH}(X_7), \quad c_2c_3c_6e^{31} \in \text{CH}(X_9), \quad c_2c_3c_6c_{10}e^{31} \in \text{CH}(X_{10})$$

are not divisible by 2.

Proof. Consider the following four elements

$$\begin{aligned} A_6 &= (c_4 + c_5 + c_6)(e + e^2)^{15} \in \text{CH}(X_6), \\ A_7 &= (c_4 + c_5 + c_6 + c_7)c_7(e + e^2)^{15} \in \text{CH}(X_7), \\ A_9 &= (c_2 + c_3)(c_3 + c_5)(c_6 + c_7)(e + e^2)^{31} \in \text{CH}(X_9), \\ A_{10} &= (c_2 + c_3)(c_3 + c_5)(c_6 + c_7 + c_{10})c_{10}(e + e^2)^{31} \in \text{CH}(X_{10}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (7), (8) they are integral representatives of $S(\bar{c}_4\bar{e}^{15})$, $S(\bar{c}_4\bar{c}_7\bar{e}^{15})$, $S(\bar{c}_2\bar{c}_3\bar{c}_6\bar{e}^{31})$, $S(\bar{c}_2\bar{c}_3\bar{c}_6\bar{c}_{10}\bar{e}^{31})$, respectively.

For $n = 6, 7, 9, 10$, the $\dim(X_n)$ th degree homogeneous parts $A_n[\dim(X_n)]$ of A_n are the integral representatives of $S^2(\bar{c}_4\bar{e}^{15})$, $S^2(\bar{c}_4\bar{c}_7\bar{e}^{15})$, $S^3(\bar{c}_2\bar{c}_3\bar{c}_6\bar{e}^{31})$, and $S^3(\bar{c}_2\bar{c}_3\bar{c}_6\bar{c}_{10}\bar{e}^{31})$, respectively. Let $\deg : \text{CH}(X_n)^{\dim(X_n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ denote the degree homomorphism induced by the structure morphism $X_n \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$. Then, by Lemma 3.2 below, the image of $A_n[\dim(X_n)]$ is an odd integer under the degree map dividing by $\text{ind}(X_n)$,

$$\frac{\deg}{\text{ind}(X_n)} : \text{CH}(X_n)^{\dim(X_n)} \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{CH}(\bar{X}_n)^{\dim(X_n)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z},$$

i.e., the images of $S^2(\bar{c}_4\bar{e}^{15})$, $S^2(\bar{c}_4\bar{c}_7\bar{e}^{15})$, $S^3(\bar{c}_2\bar{c}_3\bar{c}_6\bar{e}^{31})$, and $S^3(\bar{c}_2\bar{c}_3\bar{c}_6\bar{c}_{10}\bar{e}^{31})$ under the map $\frac{\deg}{\text{ind}(X_n)}$ are nonzero in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, the statement follows. \square

Lemma 3.2. *With the above notations, for $n = 6, 7, 9, 10$ the following holds:*

$$\text{res}(A_n[\dim(X_n)]) \equiv \text{ind}(X_n) \cdot p \pmod{2 \text{ind}(X_n)},$$

where p denotes the class of a rational point in (3).

Proof. First, observe that by (6) the following relations hold: $e_1^{16} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for both \bar{X}_6 and \bar{X}_7 , and $e_1^8 \equiv e_8 \pmod{2}$ for both \bar{X}_9 and \bar{X}_{10} . We will prove the statement case by case.

Case n=6: As $A_6[21] = c_4e^{17} + c_5e^{16} + c_6e^{15}$ and $e_6^2 = 0$, by (5), (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}(A_6[21]) &= 2e_4e_1 \cdot e_1^{16} + 2e_5 \cdot e_1^{16} + 2e_6 \cdot e_1^{1+2+4+8} \\ &\equiv 2^2e_6e_1e_2(2e_1e_3 - e_4)(e_3e_5 - e_2e_6) \equiv 2^2e_1 \cdots e_6 \pmod{2^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Case n=7: As $A_7[28] = c_4c_7e^{17} + c_5c_7e^{16} + c_6c_7e^{15} + c_7^2e^{14}$, $e_6^2 = 2e_5e_7$, and $e_7^2 = 0$, by (5), (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}(A_7[28]) &\equiv \text{res}(c_6c_7e^{1+2+4+8}) \equiv 2^3e_6e_7e_1e_2(2e_1e_3 - e_4)(e_3e_5 - e_2e_6 + e_1e_7) \\ &\equiv 2^3e_1 \cdots e_7 + 2^3e_1e_2^2e_4e_7 \cdot e_6^2 \equiv 2^3e_1 \cdots e_7 \pmod{2^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Case n=9: Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_9[45] &= (e^{16})^2(c_3c_3c_6e + c_3c_3c_7 + c_2c_3c_6e^2 + c_2c_3e_7e + c_2c_5c_6) \\ &\quad + c_3c_5c_6e^{31} + c_3c_5c_7e^{30} + c_2c_5c_7e^{31} \end{aligned}$$

and $(e_1^{16})^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^2}$ by (6), we obtain

$$(11) \quad \text{res}(A_9[45]) \equiv 2^3(e_3e_5e_6e_1^{31} + e_3e_5e_7 \cdot e_1^{16} \cdot e_1^{14} + e_2e_5e_7 \cdot e_1^{16} \cdot e_1^{15}) \pmod{2^5}.$$

As $e_7^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $e_1^{16} \equiv 2e_7e_9 \pmod{2^2}$ by (4), (6), it follows from(11) that

$$\text{res}(A_9[45]) \equiv 2^3e_3e_5e_6 \cdot e_1^{1+2+4+8} \cdot e_1^{16} \equiv 2^3e_3e_5e_6 \cdot e_1e_2e_4e_8 \cdot 2e_7e_9 \pmod{2^5}.$$

Case n=10: As $A_{10}[55] = c_{10}A_9[45] + c_{10}^2(c_2c_3e^{30} + c_2c_5e^{28} + c_3^2e^{29} + c_3c_5e^{27})$ and $e_{10}^2 = 0$, by the previous case $n = 9$ and (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}(A_{10}[55]) &\equiv 2^4e_3e_5e_6e_{10} \cdot e_1^{1+2+4+8} \cdot e_1^{16} \equiv 2^4e_3e_5e_6e_{10} \cdot e_1e_2e_4e_8 \cdot (2e_7e_9 - 2e_6e_{10}) \\ &\equiv 2^4e_3e_5e_6e_{10} \cdot e_1e_2e_4e_8 \cdot 2e_7e_9 \pmod{2^6}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2) the statement follows. \square

Now we show that the images of elements given in Proposition 3.1 under the map $\phi : \text{CH}(X_n) \rightarrow \text{GK}(X_n)$ are divisible by 2, which completes the proof of our theorem.

Proposition 3.3. *The classes of the following elements*

$c_4e^{15} \in K(X_6)$, $c_4c_7e^{15} \in K(X_7)$, $c_2c_3c_6e^{31} \in K(X_9)$, $c_2c_3c_6c_{10}e^{31} \in K(X_{10})$
are divisible by 2 in the quotients $K(X_6)^{(19/20)}$, $K(X_7)^{(26/27)}$, $K(X_9)^{(42/43)}$, $K(X_{10})^{(52/53)}$, respectively.

Proof. Consider the following four elements

$B_6 = (2e_4 + e_5)e_1^{15}$, $B_7 = 2e_7B_6$, $B_9 = (2e_2 + e_3)(2e_3 + e_4)(2e_6 + e_7)e_1^{31}$, $B_{10} = 2e_{10}B_9$
in $K(\bar{X}_6)$, $K(\bar{X}_7)$, $K(\bar{X}_9)$, $K(\bar{X}_{10})$, respectively. Then, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$B_6 = c_4e^{15}, \quad B_7 = c_4c_7e^{15}, \quad B_9 = c_2c_3c_6e^{31}, \quad B_{10} = c_2c_3c_6c_{10}e^{31}$$

in $K(X_6)^{(19/20)}$, $K(X_7)^{(26/27)}$, $K(X_9)^{(42/43)}$, $K(X_{10})^{(52/53)}$, respectively. Hence, it suffices to show that

$$B_6u^{19} \in I(X_6), \quad B_7u^{26} \in I(X_7), \quad B_9u^{42} \in I(X_9), \quad B_{10}u^{52} \in I(X_{10}),$$

where $u = t^{-1}$.

Since $e_4^2 \equiv e_5^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for both \bar{X}_6 and \bar{X}_7 , by (5), (6) the following relations hold modulo 2^2 :

$$e_4 e_1^{1+2+4+8} \equiv 2e_4^2 e_1 e_2 (e_3 e_5 - e_2 e_6) \equiv 0, \quad e_5 e_1^{1+2+4+8} \equiv 2e_5 e_1 e_2 e_4 (e_3 e_5 - e_2 e_6) \equiv 0$$

for \bar{X}_6 and

$$e_4 e_7 e_1^{15} \equiv 2e_4^2 e_7 e_1 e_2 (e_3 e_5 - e_2 e_6 + e_1 e_7) \equiv 0, \quad e_5 e_7 e_1^{15} \equiv 2e_5 e_7 e_1 e_2 e_4 (e_3 e_5 - e_2 e_6 + e_1 e_7) \equiv 0$$

for \bar{X}_7 , thus $B_6 u^{19} \in I(\bar{X}_6)^3$ and $B_7 u^{26} \in I(\bar{X}_7)^4$, i.e.,

$$B_6 u^{19} \in \sum_{i=0}^2 (2^{3-i} t^i) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_6)^{(19+i)} \quad \text{and} \quad B_7 u^{26} \in \sum_{i=0}^2 (2^{4-i} t^i) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_7)^{(26+i)}.$$

Hence, by (2), (10), we may assume that

$$B_6 u^{19} \in (2t^2) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_6)^{(21)} \quad \text{and} \quad B_7 u^{26} \in (2^2 t^2) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_7)^{(28)}.$$

By (9) with $n = 6, 7$, we may further assume that B_6 and B_7 are integer multiples of $2p$ and $2^2 p$, respectively. Therefore, it follows by Lemma 3.4 (i) that $B_6 u^{19} \in I(X_6)$ and $B_7 u^{26} \in I(X_7)$.

Now we expand the element B_9 as follows:

$$(12) \quad [2^3(e_2 e_3 e_6) + 2^2(e_2 e_3 e_7 + e_2 e_4 e_6 + e_3 e_4 e_6) + 2(e_2 e_4 e_7 + e_3^2 e_7 + e_3 e_4 e_6) + (e_3 e_4 e_7)] \cdot e_1^{31}.$$

It follows by (5), (6) that

$$(13) \quad e_1^{16} \equiv 2e_7 e_9 \pmod{2^2}, \quad e_1^{14} \equiv e_2 e_4 e_8, \quad e_1^4 \equiv e_2^2 \equiv e_4, \quad e_4^2 \equiv e_8, \quad e_8^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Since $e_7^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for \bar{X}_9 , by (13) we see that each term in the round brackets of the equation (12) multiplied by e_1^{31} is divisible by 2^2 . Observe that $B_{10} = 2e_{10} B_9$. Since $e_7^2 \equiv e_{10}^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and the relations in (13) hold for \bar{X}_{10} after replacing the first one $e_1^{16} \equiv 2e_7 e_9 \pmod{2^2}$ by $e_1^{16} \equiv 2(e_7 e_9 - e_6 e_{10}) \pmod{2^2}$, each term in the round brackets of equation (12) multiplied by $e_1^{31} \cdot e_{10}$ is also divisible by 2^2 . Therefore, we have $B_9 u^{42} \in I(\bar{X}_9)^5$ and $B_{10} u^{52} \in I(\bar{X}_{10})^6$, thus by (2), (10), we may assume that

$$B_9 u^{42} \in (2^3 t^2) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_9)^{(44)} + (2^2 t^3) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_9)^{(45)}, \quad B_{10} u^{52} \in (2^4 t^2) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_{10})^{(54)} + (2^3 t^3) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_{10})^{(55)}.$$

By (9) with $n = 9, 10$, we can further assume that $B_9 = (2^3 l)a + (2^2 p)b$ and $B_{10} = (2^4 l)c + (2^3 p)d$ for some integers a, b, c, d . Therefore, by Lemma 3.4 (ii) we conclude that $B_9 u^{42} \in I(X_9)$ and $B_{10} u^{52} \in I(X_{10})$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *With the above notations, the following holds:*

- (i) $(2p)u^{19} \in I(X_6)$, $(2^2 p)u^{26} \in I(X_7)$,
- (ii) $(2^3 l)u^{42}$, $(2^2 p)u^{42} \in I(X_9)$ and $(2^4 l)u^{52}$, $(2^3 p)u^{52} \in I(X_{10})$.

Proof. (i) Consider the following elements

$$C_6 = (2e_5 + e_6)e_1^{14} \in K(\bar{X}_6), \quad C_7 = 2(2e_5 + e_6)e_7 e_1^{14} \in K(\bar{X}_7).$$

Then, by Lemma 2.2 $C_6 \in K(X_6)$ and $C_7 \in K(X_7)$. Since $e_6^2 \equiv e_7^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for both \bar{X}_6 and \bar{X}_7 , by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 the following relations hold modulo 2^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} e_5 e_1^{14} &\equiv 0, & e_6 e_1^{14} &\equiv 2e_6 e_2 e_4 (e_3 e_5 - e_2 e_6) \equiv 2e_6 e_2 e_4 e_3 e_5 = 2l, \\ e_5 e_7 e_1^{14} &\equiv 0, & e_6 e_7 e_1^{14} &\equiv 2e_6 e_7 e_2 e_4 (e_3 e_5 - e_2 e_6 + e_1 e_7) \equiv 2e_6 e_7 e_2 e_4 e_3 e_5 = 2l \end{aligned}$$

for \bar{X}_6 and \bar{X}_7 , respectively, thus, we obtain

$$(14) \quad (C_6 - 2l)u^{19} \in \sum_{i=0}^2 (2^{3-i}t^i) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_6)^{(19+i)}, \quad (C_7 - 2^2l)u^{26} \in \sum_{i=0}^2 (2^{4-i}t^i) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_7)^{(26+i)}.$$

By (2), (10), we have

$$2^3 \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_6)^{(19)} + (2^2t) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_6)^{(20)} \subset I(X_6), \quad 2^4 \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_7)^{(26)} + (2^3t) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_7)^{(27)} \subset I(X_7).$$

Since $e_1 C_6 u^{19} = t(e_1 C_6 u^{20}) \in I(X_6)$ and $e_1 C_7 u^{26} = t(e_1 C_7 u^{27}) \in I(X_7)$, the statement (i) follows by multiplying the elements in (14) by e_1 .

(ii) Similarly, consider the following elements

$C_9 = (2e_2 + e_3)(2e_4 + e_5)(2e_6 + e_7)e_1^{30}$, $C_{10} = 2(2e_2 + e_3)(2e_4 + e_5)(2e_6 + e_7)e_{10}e_1^{30}$ in $K(\bar{X}_9)$ and $K(\bar{X}_{10})$, respectively. By Lemma 2.2, they are contained in $K(X_9)$ and $K(X_{10})$, respectively. Write C_9 as follows:

$$(15) \quad [2^3(e_2 e_4 e_6) + 2^2(e_2 e_4 e_7 + e_2 e_5 e_6 + e_3 e_4 e_6) + 2(e_2 e_5 e_7 + e_3 e_4 e_7 + e_3 e_5 e_6) + (e_3 e_5 e_7)] \cdot e_1^{30}.$$

By (13), the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 shows that

$$e_3 e_5 e_6 e_1^{2+4+8+16} \equiv e_3 e_5 e_6 e_2 e_4 e_8 (2e_7 e_9) = 2l \pmod{2^2}$$

and each remaining term in the round brackets of the equation (15) multiplied by e_1^{30} is divisible by 2^2 , thus we have

$$(16) \quad (C_9 - 2^2l)u^{42} \in \sum_{i=0}^3 (2^{5-i}t^i) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_9)^{(42+i)}.$$

By (2), (10), both groups $2^5 \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_9)^{(42)} + (2^4t) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_9)^{(43)}$ and $(2^4t^2) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_9)^{(44)}$ are contained in $I(X_9)$. As $e_1 C_9 u^{42} = t(e_1 C_9 u^{43}) \in I(X_9)$, it follows from (16) and (9) with $n = 9$ that

$$(17) \quad (2^2p + 2^3ap)u^{42} \in I(X_9) \quad \text{and} \quad (2^3l + 2^3bp)u^{42} \in I(X_9)$$

for some integers a and b , by multiplying the element in (16) by e_1 and 2 , respectively. As $(2^3p)u^{42} = e_1 \cdot (2^3l + 2^3bp)u^{42} \in I(X_9)$, the statement (ii) for X_9 follows by (17).

Since $C_{10} = 2e_{10}C_9$, by the same argument as above we obtain

$$(C_{10} - 2^3l)u^{52} \in \sum_{i=0}^3 (2^{6-i}t^i) \tilde{K}(\bar{X}_{10})^{(52+i)},$$

thus we get

$$(18) \quad (2^3p + 2^4cp)u^{52} \in I(X_{10}) \quad \text{and} \quad (2^4l + 2^4dp)u^{52} \in I(X_{10})$$

for some integers c and d , by multiplying the element $(C_{10} - 2^3l)u^{52}$ by e_1 and 2, respectively. Again, since $(2^4p)u^{52} = e_1 \cdot (2^4l + 2^4dp)u^{52} \in I(X_{10})$, by (18) the proof of (ii) is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Elman, N. Karpenko and A. Merkurjev, *The Algebraic and Geometric Theory of Quadratic Forms*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 56 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008).
- [2] N. A. Karpenko, *A counter-example by Yagita*, Internat. J. Math. **31**(3) (2020) 2050025.
- [3] N. A. Karpenko, *Chow ring of generic flag varieties*, Math. Nachr. **290**(16) (2017) 2641–2647.
- [4] N. A. Karpenko, *Chow ring of generically twisted varieties of complete flags*, Adv. Math. **306** (2017) 789–806.
- [5] N. A. Karpenko, *Around 16-dimensional quadratic forms in I_q^3* , Math. Z. **285**(1-2) (2017) 433–444.
- [6] N. A. Karpenko, *On generic flag varieties of Spin(11) and Spin(12)*, Manuscripta Math. **157** (2018) 13–21.
- [7] I. A. Panin, *On the algebraic K-theory of twisted flag varieties*, K-Theory **8**(6) (1994) 541–585.
- [8] B. Totaro, *The torsion index of the spin groups*, Duke Math. J. **129**(2) (2005) 249–290.
- [9] A. Vishik, *On the Chow groups of quadratic Grassmannians*, Doc. Math. **10** (2005) 111–130 (electronic).
- [10] N. Yagita, *The gamma filtrations for the spin groups*, Kodai Math. J. **44**(1) (2021) 137–165.

(Sanghoon Baek) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KAIST, 291 DAEHAK-RO, YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON 305-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: sanghoonbaek@kaist.ac.kr

URL: <http://mathsci.kaist.ac.kr/~sbaek/>