COLON STRUCTURE OF ASSOCIATED PRIMES OF MONOMIAL IDEALS

SIDDHI BALU AMBHORE AND INDRANATH SENGUPTA

ABSTRACT. We find an explicit expression of the associated primes of monomial ideals as a colon.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important result in Commutative Algebra states that an associated prime ideal P of a monomial ideal I can be expressed as P = (I: v), for a monomial v; see [5]. This paper provides an explicit form of the monomial v, for any given monomial ideal I and an associated prime ideal P, using an irredundant irreducible decomposition of I. We study the uniqueness of such a v, and prove conditions for its uniqueness. We treat the cases of squarefree monomial ideals and ideals of Borel type separately to show that v appears in a much simpler form. Another interesting class of monomial ideals which has been investigated closely is the class of edge ideals associated with clutters and simple finite graphs. R.H. Villarreal has drawn our attention to the notion of v-number of an ideal I (see [6]), which is nicely related to the monomial v that is our object of study. This work can not be extended directly for binomial ideals for the reason that a binomial irreducible decomposition for binomial ideals may not always exist; see [7].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring in n variable over the field K. A product $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$, with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, is called a *monomial*. If $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ is a monomial, then we write $u = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$, with $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$. The *n*-tuple $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is called the *index* of monomial u

The second author is the corresponding author; supported by the MATRICS research grant MTR/2018/000420, sponsored by the SERB, Government of India.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 13F55, 13P99.

Key words and phrases. Monomial ideals, associated primes.

This paper is the outcome of the research work carried out by the first author at IIT Gandhinagar, first as a student of M.Sc., then as a research intern in *e-SRIP* 2020, and finally as a *Sabarmati Bridge Fellow*. This work has been done under the supervision of the second author. Both the authors gratefully acknowledge the encouragement and support received from IIT Gandhinagar.

and it is denoted as index(u). Let us define $floor(u) := \{i \mid x_i \mid u\}$. The monomial $\prod_{i \in floor(u)} x_i$ is called the *base* of *u*, denoted by base(u). Let *u* be

a monomial. Let $\nu_i(u)$ denote the highest power of x_i in u, if x_i divides u.

Definition 1 ([5]). A presentation of an ideal I as $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} Q_i$ of ideals Q_i is called *irredundant* if none of the ideals Q_i can be omitted in this presentation.

Proposition 2.1 ([5]). Each monomial ideal has a unique minimal monomial set of generators. More precisely, let G denote the set of monomials in I which are minimal with respect to divisibility. Then G is the unique minimal set of monomial generators.

We denote the unique minimal set of monomial generators of the monomial ideal I by G(I).

Theorem 2.2 ([5]). Let $I \subset S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a monomial ideal. Then $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^m Q_i$, where each Q_i is generated by pure powers of the variables. In other words, each Q_i is of the form $\langle x_{i_1}^{a_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}^{a_k} \rangle$. Moreover, an irredundant presentation of this form is unique.

Definition 2 ([2]). Let I be an ideal of S. A set of irreducible ideals $\{Q_i\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ is called an *irredundant irreducible decomposition* (in short IID) of I, if $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} Q_i$ and $Q_i \not\supseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} Q_j$, for all i.

Proposition 2.3 ([5]). The irreducible ideal $\langle x_{i_1}^{a_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}^{a_k} \rangle$ is $\langle x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k} \rangle$ -primary.

Corollary 2.4 ([5]). Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal, and let $P \in Ass(I)$. Then there exists a monomial v such that P = (I : v).

Corollary 2.5 ([5]). If I is squarefree monomial ideal the,

 $I = \bigcap_{P \in Min(I)} P,$

where Min(I) is set of minimal prime ideals of I.

Theorem 2.6 ([1]). Let A be a ring. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be a decomposible ideal and let $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{q}_i$ be a minimal primary decomposition of \mathfrak{a} . Let $\mathfrak{p}_i = r(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Then the \mathfrak{p}_i are precisely the prime ideals which occur in the set of ideals $r(\mathfrak{a}: x)$ $(x \in A)$, and hence are independent of the particular decomposition of \mathfrak{a} .

3. THE COLON STRUCTURE

Theorem 3.1. Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal, with irredundant irreducible decomposition $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} Q_i$ and $P = \langle x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k} \rangle \in Ass(I)$. Let $Q = \langle x_{i_1}^{a_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}^{a_k} \rangle$ be the *P*-primary component of *I* in the irredundant irreducible decomposition of *I*. Then, we can write P = (I : v), for

(3.1)
$$v = x_{i_1}^{a_1-1} x_{i_2}^{a_2-1} \dots x_{i_k}^{a_k-1} x_{s_1}^{b_1} \dots x_{s_{n-k}}^{b_{n-k}}$$

where $s_j \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$, all s_j 's are distinct and $b_j \ge \max\{\nu_j(u) \mid u \in G(I)\}$, for all $j \in [n - k]$.

Proof. We need to prove that P = (I: v), where v is as given in equation (3.1). We prove that $P \subset (I: v)$ and $(I: v) \subset P$.

Let $p \in P$. We need to prove that $p \in (I: v)$, i.e., $pv \in I$. Since, $p \in P$ implies $p = x_{i_1}h_1 + \cdots + x_{i_k}h_k$, where $h_i \in S$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, then

$$pv = (x_{i_1}h_1 + \dots + x_{i_k}h_k)v = \sum_{j=1}^k x_{i_j}h_jv.$$

We need to show that $pv \in I$, i.e., $pv \in Q_i$, for all $i \in [r]$. To prove this we make cases based on the set of generators of Q_i 's.

Case (i). Let the set of generators of Q_i contain $x_{s_j}^{t_j}$, for some $t_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$. Notice that $t_j \leq b_j$, as $b_j \geq \max\{\nu_j(u) \mid u \in G(I)\}$. Therefore, $x_{s_j}^{t_j}|v$, which implies $x_{s_j}^{t_j}|pv$, and hence $pv \in Q_i$.

Case (ii). Let the set of generators of Q_i does not contain any power of x_{s_j} . This implies that the set of generators of Q_i contains pure powers of $\{x_{i_m}\}_{m\in F}$, where $F \subset [k]$. If $Q_i = Q$, then we can clearly see that $x_{i_j}h_jv \in Q$, as $x_{i_j}^{a_j}|x_{i_j}h_jv$, for all $j \in [k]$. Hence, $\sum_{j=1}^k x_{i_j}h_jv = pv \in Q$. If $Q_i \neq Q$, then we must notice that atleast one of x_{i_m} must have a power strictly less than a_m , otherwise, if all the powers of x_{i_m} are greater than or equal to a_m , then we get that $Q_i \cap Q = Q_i$, implying that we can omit Q from the irredundant irreducible decomposition of I, which is a contradiction. Hence, the exists $j \in F$, such that $x_{i_j}^{c_j} \in G(Q_i)$, with $c_j < a_j$. Thus, we get $x_{i_j}^{c_j}|v$. Therefore, we get that $pv \in Q_i$.

Therefore, we get that $pv \in Q_i$, for all $i \in [r]$, which implies $pv \in \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i = I$. Hence, we get $p \in (I:v)$. Thus, $p \in P$ implies that $p \in (I:v)$, i.e., $P \subset (I:v)$.

Let $f \in (I: v)$, we want to prove that $f \in P$. Since $f \in (I: v)$ implies $fv \in I$, we can always write $f = \sum_{u \in supp(f)} a_u u$, where $a_u \in K$. Let $u \in supp(f)$. We assume that no x_{i_j} divides u. Since, I is a monomial ideal and we have $fv \in I$, therefore $uv \in I$, i.e.,

$$u \cdot (x_{i_1}^{a_1-1} x_{i_2}^{a_2-1} \dots x_{i_k}^{a_k-1} x_{s_1}^{b_1} \dots x_{s_{n-k}}^{b_{n-k}}) \in I.$$

Since, $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} Q_i$, we get $I \subset Q_i$, for all $i \in [r]$. In particular, $I \subset Q$. Thus, we get that $u \cdot (x_{i_1}^{a_1-1} x_{i_2}^{a_2-1} \dots x_{i_k}^{a_k-1} x_{s_1}^{b_1} \dots x_{s_{n-k}}^{b_{n-k}}) \in Q = \langle x_{i_1}^{a_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}^{a_k} \rangle$, which is a contradiction, as power of x_{i_j} in uv is strictly less than a_j for all $j \in [k]$. Hence, our assumption is wrong. Therefore, there exists $j \in [k]$, such that $x_{i_j} | u$. This implies that $u \in \langle x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} \rangle = P$, which implies that $u \in P$, for all $u \in supp(f)$, which gives $f \in P$. Hence, $f \in (I : v)$ implies $f \in P$. Therefore, $(I : v) \subset P$.

Example 3.2. Let $S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Let $I = \langle x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3, x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3, x_1^3 x_2 x_3^3 \rangle$ or $I = \langle x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^5, x_2^2 x_1^4 x_3^5, x_1^2 x_3^4 x_2^5, x_2^2 x_3^4 x_1^5, x_3^2 x_1^4 x_2^5, x_3^2 x_2^4 x_1^5 \rangle$. Note that, the indices of all the generators have a particular form for both the examples. It is $\{(1,3,3), (3,3,1), (3,1,3)\}$ for the first example and

$$\{(2,4,5), (4,2,5), (2,4,5), (5,2,4), (4,5,2), (5,4,2)\}$$

for the second example. We now show that if we rewrite the exponents then we can have a better description of v.

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring over the field K. For a fixed $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_k$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define the monomial ideal I as follows:

 $I = \langle x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_k}^{a_k} \colon 1 \leqslant i_p \leqslant n; i_p \neq i_q \text{ whenever } p \neq q; 1 \leqslant p, q \leqslant k \rangle.$

Suppose there are r different a_i 's. Then we can write a_i 's as

$$a_{1} = \dots = a_{k_{2}-1} < a_{k_{2}} = \dots = a_{k_{3}-1}$$

$$< a_{k_{3}} = \dots = a_{k_{4}-1}$$

$$< a_{k_{4}} = \dots = a_{k_{5}-1}$$

$$< \vdots$$

$$< a_{k_{n}} = \dots = a_{k}.$$

For the sake of consistency, we denote $a_1 = a_{k_1}$. A primary decomposition (similar to the irredundant irreducible decomposition) of I is

$$I = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\prime} \left(\bigcap_{\substack{i_p \in [n], i_p \neq i_q, \forall p \neq q, \\ p, q \in [n-k+k_j]}} \langle x_{i_1}^{a_{k_j}}, \dots, x_{i_{n-k+k_j}}^{a_{k_j}} \rangle \right),$$

with the set of associated prime ideals of I given by

$$Ass(I) = \{ \langle x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-k+k_j}} \rangle | i_p \in [n], i_p \neq i_q, \forall p \neq q; p, q \in [n-k+k_j], j \in [r] \}$$

Corollary 3.3. Let S be the polynomial ring and I be the monomial ideal defined as above. Then, for any $P = \langle x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{n-k+k_i}} \rangle \in Ass(I)$, where

 $j \in [r]$, we have $\langle x_{i_1}^{a_{k_j}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n-k+k_j}}^{a_{k_j}} \rangle$ as a *P*-primary component in the primary decomposition of I and we can write P = (I: v), for

$$v = x_{i_1}^{a_{k_j}-1} x_{i_2}^{a_{k_j}-1} \cdots x_{i_{n-k+k_j}}^{a_{k_j}-1} x_{s_1}^{b_1} \dots x_{s_{k-k_j}}^{b_{k-k_j}},$$

where $s_t \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-k+k_j}\}$, all s_t 's are distinct and $b_t \ge a_{k_j+t}$, for all $t \in [k-k_j]$.

Proof. Let $P \in Ass(I)$, then $P = \langle x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_{n-k+k_j}} \rangle$ for some $j \in [r]$, and we have $Q = \langle x_{i_1}^{a_{k_j}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n-k+k_j}}^{a_{k_j}} \rangle$ as a P-primary component. We need to show that P = (I: v), where $v = x_{i_1}^{a_{k_j-1}} x_{i_2}^{a_{k_j-1}} \cdots x_{i_{n-k+k_j}}^{a_{k_j-1}} x_{s_1}^{b_1} \ldots x_{s_{k-k_j}}^{b_{k-k_j}}$, such that $s_t \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-k+k_j}\}$, all s_t 's are distinct and $b_t \ge a_{k_j+t}$ for $t \in [k-k_j]$. We prove that $P \subset (I: v)$ and $(I: v) \subset P$.

Let $p \in P$, then $p = \sum_{t=1}^{n-k+k_j} x_{i_t} f_t$, where $f_t \in S$. We need to show $pv \in I$. We have

$$pv = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{n-k+k_j} x_{i_t} f_t\right) v = \sum_{t=1}^{n-k+k_j} (x_{i_t} f_t v)$$

It is enough to prove that $x_{i_t} f_t v \in I$, for all $t \in [n - k + k_i]$.

Consider,

$$x_{i_t}f_tv = x_{i_1}^{a_{k_j-1}} \cdots x_{i_{t-1}}^{a_{k_j-1}} x_{i_{t+1}}^{a_{k_j-1}} \cdots x_{i_{n-k+k_j}}^{a_{k_j-1}} x_{i_t}^{a_{k_j}} x_{s_1}^{b_1} \cdots x_{s_{k-k_j}}^{b_{k-k_j}} f_t.$$

Now we choose $(k_j - 1)$ variables out of $(n - k + k_j - 1)$ variables, which is possible since $n \ge k$. Thus, we can have a monomial

$$u = x_{p_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{p_{k_j-1}}^{a_{k_j-1}} x_{i_t}^{a_{k_j}} x_{s_1}^{a_{k_j+1}} \cdots x_{s_{k-k_j}}^{a_k} \in I,$$

where $p_m \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_{t-1}, i_{t+1}, \ldots, i_{n-k+k_j}\}$ and $m \in [k_j - 1]$. Clearly, $u|x_{i_t}f_t v$, which gives us that $x_{i_t}f_t v \in I$. Since $t \in [r]$ is arbitrary, we get that $x_{i_t}f_t v \in I$, for all $t \in [r]$. Thus we get that $pv \in I$, i.e., $P \subset (I : v)$.

Let $f \in (I: v)$. We need to show that $f \in P$. We have $fv \in I$, therefore if we take $u \in supp(f)$ then $uv \in I$ as I is an monomial ideal. Suppose, none of x_{i_j} divides u, for all $j \in [n - k + k_j]$. We have $uv \in I$ implies that $uv \in \langle x_{i_1}^{a_{k_j}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n-k+k_j}}^{a_{k_j}} \rangle$, and therefore

$$ux_{i_1}^{a_{k_j-1}}x_{i_2}^{a_{k_j-1}}\cdots x_{i_{n-k+k_j}}^{a_{k_j-1}}x_{s_1}^{b_1}\dots x_{s_{k-k_j}}^{b_{k-k_j}} \in \langle x_{i_1}^{a_{k_j}},\dots, x_{i_{n-k+k_j}}^{a_{k_j}} \rangle$$

which is contradiction. Thus, there must exist $j \in [n - k + k_j]$, such that $x_{i_j}|u$. This gives that $u \in \langle x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_{n-k+k_j}} \rangle = P$. Since $u \in supp(f)$

is arbitrary, we get $u \in P$, for all $u \in supp(f)$. Hence, $f \in P$. Thus, we get $(I: v) \subset P$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal and $P = \langle x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k} \rangle \in Ass(I)$. If $v = x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{a_k} x_{i_{k+1}}^{a_{k+1}} \cdots x_{i_n}^{a_n}$ be such that P = (I: v), then, there exists Q in irredundant irreducible decomposition of I such that $Q = \langle x_{i_1}^{a_1+1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}^{a_k+1} \rangle$.

Proof. Let $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} Q_i$ be the irredundant irreducible decomposition of I. Given that $P = (I: v) = ((\bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i): v) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r (Q_i: v)$. Since, P is an irreducible ideal, there exists Q in an irredundant irreducible decomposition of I, such that P = Q: v. Since, Q is an irreducible ideal, we have Q = $\langle x_t^{b_t} | t \in F \subset [n] \rangle$. By theorem 2.6 applied to Q, we get $F = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$. Thus, we get $Q = \langle x_{i_1}^{b_{i_1}}, \ldots, x_{i_k}^{b_{i_k}} \rangle$. For simplicity we write $b_{i_j} = b_j$. Thus, we have $Q = \langle x_{i_1}^{b_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}^{b_k} \rangle$. Now we show that $b_j = a_j + 1$, for all $j \in [k]$. Suppose there exist $j \in [k]$ such that $b_j \neq a_j + 1$. Then, $b_j < a_j + 1$ or $b_j > a_j + 1$. If $b_j < a_j + 1$, then $b_j \leq a_j$. This gives $x_{i_j}^{b_j} | x_{i_j}^{a_j}$, which implies that $x_{i_i}^{b_j}|v$. Thus $v \in Q$, which implies that Q: v = S, which is not true. Hence, our assumption $b_j < a_j + 1$ is wrong. Therefore, we must have $b_j \not\leq a_j + 1$. Now, suppose that $b_j > a_j + 1$. Since P = (Q: v), we have $x_{i_j}v \in Q$, therefore $x_{i_t}^{b_t}|x_{i_j}v$. If $t \neq j$, then $x_{i_t}^{b_t}|x_{i_j}v$ implies $x_{i_t}^{b_t}|v$. Again, this gives $v \in Q$, which implies that (Q: v) = S, which is not true. Hence t = j. Thus, we get $x_{i_j}^{b_j} | x_{i_j} v$, that is $x_{i_j}^{b_j} | x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_j}^{a_j+1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{a_k}$. This implies that b < j > 1. implies that $b_j \leq a_j + 1$, which contradicts our assumption that $b_j > a_j + 1$. Hence, $b_j \not\ge a_j + 1$. Therefore, $\nexists j \in [k]$, such that $b_j \ne a_j + 1$. Therefore, $b_i = a_i + 1$ for all $j \in [k]$.

We can use 3.4 to prove the following result for squarefree monomial ideals.

Proposition 3.5. Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal in S and let $P = \langle x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k} \rangle$ belong to Ass(I). Let P = (I: v), for some monomial v. Then, the powers of x_{i_j} 's in v are zero, i.e., v is of the form $v = x_{s_1}^{b_1} \cdots x_{s_{n-k}}^{b_{n-k}}$, where $s_j \in [n] \setminus \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ and $s_p \neq s_q$ whenever $p \neq q$.

Proof. Let $v = x_{i_1}^{c_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{c_k} x_{s_1}^{b_1} \cdots x_{s_{n-k}}^{b_{n-k}}$, such that P = I : v. If $c_i = 0$, for all $i \in [k]$, then we are done. Suppose, there exist $j \in [k]$ such that $c_j \neq 0$. This implies $c_j \ge 1$. Then by Proposition 3.4, there exist $Q = \langle x_{i_1}^{c_1+1}, \ldots, x_{i_j}^{c_j+1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}^{c_k+1} \rangle$ in an irredundant irreducible decomposition of I. Note that $c_j \ge 1$ implies that $c_j + 1 \ge 2$, which is not true as Q is an ideal in an irredundant irreducible decomposition of squarefree monomial ideal I. Hence, our assumption is wrong. Therefore, $c_i = 0$ for all $i \in [k]$.

4. IDEALS CORROSPONDING TO GRAPHS AND CLUTTERS

We set the notations and definitions for clutters as given in [6]. Let $S = K[t_1, \ldots, t_s] = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_d$ be the standard graded polynomial ring over a field K. Let \mathscr{C} be a clutter with vertex set $V(\mathscr{C}) = \{t_1, \ldots, t_s\}$, that is, \mathscr{C} is a family of subsets of $V(\mathscr{C})$, called edges, none of which is included in another. The set of edges of \mathscr{C} is denoted by $E(\mathscr{C})$. The edge ideal of \mathscr{C} , denoted $I(\mathscr{C})$, is the ideal of S generated by all squarefree monomials $t_e = \prod_{t_i \in e} t_i$, such that $e \in E(\mathscr{C})$. A subset A of the vertex set $V(\mathscr{C})$ is called a stable set of vertices of \mathscr{C} if A does not contain any edge of \mathscr{C} . The neighbour set of A, denoted $N_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$, is the set of all vertices t_i such that $\{t_i\} \cup A$ contains an edge of \mathscr{C} . The set $\mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{C}}$ denotes the family of all maximal stable sets of \mathscr{C} and $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{C}}$ denotes the family of all stable sets A of \mathscr{C} whose neighbor set $N_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$ is a minimal vertex cover of \mathscr{C} .

Lemma 4.1 ([6]). Let $I = I(\mathscr{C})$ be the edge ideal of a clutter \mathscr{C} . The following hold:

- (i) If $A \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $t_A = \prod_{t_i \in A} t_i$, then $(I : t_A) = (N_{\mathscr{C}}(A))$.
- (ii) If A is stable and $N_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$ is a vertex cover, then $N_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$ is a minimal vertex cover.
- (iii) If $(I: f) = \mathfrak{p}$ for some $f \in S_d$ and some $\mathfrak{p} \in Ass(I)$, then there is $A \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{C}}$ with $|A| \leq d$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = (N_{\mathscr{C}}(A))$ and $(I: t_A) = (N_{\mathscr{C}}(A))$.
- (iv) If $A \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{C}}$, then $N_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = V(\mathscr{C}) \setminus A$ and $(I : t_A) = (N_{\mathscr{C}}(A))$.

Proposition 4.2 ([9]). Let C be a set of vertices of a clutter \mathscr{C} and let \mathfrak{p} be the face ideal of $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated by C. The following are equivalent:

- (1) C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathscr{C} .
- (2) \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime of $I(\mathscr{C})$.
- (3) $V(\mathscr{C})\setminus C$ is a maximal face of $\Delta_{\mathscr{C}}$, where $\Delta_{\mathscr{C}}$ is the simplicial complex whose faces are the independent vertex sets of \mathscr{C} .

Proposition 4.3. Let $I = I(\mathscr{C})$ be the edge ideal of a clutter \mathscr{C} . Let $\mathfrak{p} \in Ass(I)$ corresponding to $A \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathscr{C}}$, with $\mathfrak{p} = \langle N_{\mathscr{C}}(A) \rangle$. Then t_A forms the base for v, such that $\mathfrak{p} = (I : v)$.

Proof. We know that edge ideal is a squarefree monomial ideal. Then, by Corollary 2.5, $\mathfrak{p} \in Ass(I)$ implies \mathfrak{p} is minimal prime ideal of I. Thus, by Proposition 4.2, we get a corresponding minimal vertex cover of \mathscr{C} for \mathfrak{p} . The proof follows by Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 3.5.

The simple finite graphs are the examples of clutters. Hence, proposition 4.3 also gives us the base for the v corresponding to $P \in Ass(I(\mathscr{G}))$, where $I(\mathscr{G})$ is a graph ideal (or edge ideal) corresponding to a simple finite graph \mathscr{G} .

7

5. Ideals of Borel type

Definition 3 ([5]). A monomial ideal $I \subset S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is of *Borel* type if $I: x_i^{\infty} = I: \langle x_1, \ldots, x_i \rangle^{\infty}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Theorem 5.1 ([5]). Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) I is of Borel type.
- (2) For each monomial $u \in I$ and all integers i, j, s, with $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ and s > 0, such that $x_i^s | u$, there exists an integer $t \ge 0$ such that $x_i^t(u/x_i^s) \in I$.
- (3) For each monomial $u \in I$ and all integers i, j with $1 \leq j < i \leq n$, there exists an integer $t \geq 0$ such that $x_j^t(u/x_i^{\nu_i(u)}) \in I$.
- (4) If $P \in Ass(I)$, then $P = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_j \rangle$ for some j.

Proposition 5.2. Let $I \subset S$ be monomial ideal of Borel type with irredundant irreducible decomposition $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} Q_i$. Then, for $P \in Ass(I)$, P will be of the form $P = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle$. Let $Q = \langle x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_k^{a_k} \rangle$ be the P-primary component of I in the irredundant irreducible decomposition of I. Then, for k < n, we can write P = (I : v), where

(5.1)
$$v = x_1^{a_1 - 1} x_2^{a_2 - 1} \dots x_k^{a_k - 1} x_{k+1}^{b_{k+1}}$$

and $b_{k+1} \ge \max\{\nu_{k+1}(u) \mid u \in G(I)\}.$

Proof. We need to prove that P = (I: v), where v is as given in equation (5.1). We now show that $P \subset (I: v)$ and $(I: v) \subset P$.

Let $p \in P$. We need to prove that $p \in I : v$, i.e., for any $f \in \langle v \rangle$, $pf \in I$. Let $f \in \langle v \rangle$, this implies f = gv for some $g \in S$. Since, $p \in P$ implies $p = x_1h_1 + \cdots + x_kh_k$, where $h_i \in S, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$, we get

$$pf = (x_1h_1 + \dots + x_kh_k)vg = \sum_{j=1}^k x_jh_jvg.$$

We need to show that $pf \in I$ i.e. $pf \in Q_i$, for all $i \in [r]$. To prove this we make cases based on the set of generators of Q_i 's.

Case (i). Let us assume that the set of generators of Q_i contains $x_s^{t_s}$, for some $t_s \in \mathbb{N}$ and s > k. As Q_i contains x_s^t as one of its generators with s > k, hence from Theorem 5.1, we get that Q_i is of the form $\langle x_1^{t_1}, \ldots, x_k^{t_k}, x_{k+1}^{t_{k+1}}, \ldots, x_s^{t_s}, \ldots \rangle$. Note that $t_{k+1} \leq b_{k+1}$, as $b_{k+1} \geq \max\{\nu_{k+1}(u) \mid u \in G(I)\}$. Therefore, $x_{k+1}^{t_{k+1}}|v$, which implies that $x_{k+1}^{t_{k+1}}|pvg$. Thus we get that $x_{k+1}^{t_{k+1}}|pf$. Hence, $pf \in Q_i$.

Case (ii). Let us assume that the set of generators of Q_i does not contain any power of x_s with s > k. This implies that set of generator of Q_i contains

pure powers of $\{x_m\}_{m\in F}$, where $F = \{1, \ldots, l\}$ for some $l \leq k$. If $Q_i = Q$, then we can clearly see that $x_j h_j vg \in Q$, as $x_j^{a_j} | x_j h_j vg$ for all $j \in [k]$. Hence, $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j h_j vg = pf \in Q$. If $Q_i \neq Q$, then atleast one of x_m must have power strictly less than a_m , otherwise if all the powers of x_m are greater than or equal to a_m , then we get that $Q_i \cap Q = Q_i$. This implies that we can omit Q from the irredundant irreducible decomposition of I, which is contradiction. Hence, there exists $j \in F$ such that $x_j^{c_j} \in G(Q_i)$, with $c_j < a_j$. We get $x_j^{c_j} | v$, which implies that $x_j^{c_j} | pvg$ and therefore $x_j^{c_j} | pf$. This gives us $pf \in Q_i$, and hence $pf \in \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i = I$. Since $f \in \langle v \rangle$ is arbitrary, we have $pf \in I$, for all $f \in \langle v \rangle$. Hence, we get $p \in (I : v)$.

Now, let $f \in (I: v)$. We want to prove that $f \in P$. Since $f \in (I: v)$, this implies that $fg \in I$, for all $g \in \langle v \rangle$. In particular, $fv \in I$. We can always write $f = \sum_{u \in \text{supp}(f)} a_u u$, where $a_u \in K$. Let $u \in \text{supp}(f)$ and let us assume that none of x_j divides u. I is a monomial ideal and we have $fv \in I$, therefore we get $uv \in I$, i.e., $u \cdot (x_1^{a_1-1}x_2^{a_2-1} \dots x_k^{a_k-1}x_{k+1}^{b_{k+1}}) \in I$. Since $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i$, we get $I \subset Q_i$, for all $i \in [r]$. In particular, $I \subset Q$. Thus, we get that $u \cdot (x_1^{a_1-1}x_2^{a_2-1} \dots x_k^{a_k-1}x_{k+1}^{b_{k+1}}) \in Q = \langle x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_k^{a_k} \rangle$, which is a contradiction, as power of x_j in uv is strictly less than a_j for all $j \in [k]$. Hence, our assumption is wrong. Therefore, $\exists j \in [k]$ such that $x_j | u$. This implies that $u \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = P$, i.e., $u \in P$, for all $u \in \text{supp}(f)$. Therefore, $f \in P$.

Note that if k = n, i.e., if $P = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$, then for the *P*-primary component $Q = \langle x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n} \rangle$ we have $v = x_1^{a_1-1} \cdots x_n^{a_n-1}$, and we do not have to take care of any further variables in v.

6. UNIQUENESS OF v

It is easy to see that v need not be unique, as if we have x_{s_j} appearing with the power b_j , we can have infinitely many v with power of x_{s_j} greater than b_j and those should work as well. We can ask for uniqueness of v in terms of the base and powers of variables dividing v. However, we have observed that such uniqueness is not possible in the general case. Let us first see an example to show that the uniqueness of base for v fails. We have used *Macaulay2* for understanding such examples.

Example 6.1. Let $S = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_6]$ and $I = \langle x_1 x_3^5, x_2^4 x_5^3, x_2^4 x_4^4, x_1^5 x_4^2, x_1 x_6^8 \rangle$ be an monomial ideal in S. Then, $P = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in \text{Ass}(I)$. For P, we can have $v = x_3^5 x_4^4$ or $x_3^5 x_5^3$ or $x_6^8 x_4^4$ or $x_6^8 x_5^3$.

```
i1 : S = QQ[x_1..x_6]
```

o1 = S

```
o1 : PolynomialRing
i2 : I = monomialIdeal(x_1*x_3^5,x_1*x_6^8,x_2*x_5^3,x_2*x_4^4,x_1^5*x_4^2)
                 5 5 2 4
                              3
                                  8
o2 = monomialIdeal (x x , x x , x x , x x , x x )
               1 3
                   14 24 25 16
o2 : MonomialIdeal of S
i3 : associatedPrimes I
o3 = \{monomialIdeal (x , x ), monomialIdeal (x , x , x ), monomialIdeal (x , x ,
         1 2 1 4 5 1 2
    _____
                                                       _____
   x , x ), monomialIdeal (x , x , x , x ), monomialIdeal (x , x , x , x ),
    36
           2 3 4 6 3 4 5 6
    _____
                                ------
                                                        _____
   monomialIdeal (x , x , x , x , x )
               1 3 4 5 6
o3 : List
i4 : I : x_3^5*x_4^4
o4 = monomialIdeal (x , x )
               1 2
o4 : MonomialIdeal of S
i5 : I : x_3^5*x_5^3
o5 = monomialIdeal (x , x )
               1 2
o5 : MonomialIdeal of S
i6 : I : x_6^8*x_4^4
o6 = monomialIdeal (x , x )
               1 2
o6 : MonomialIdeal of S
i7 : I : x_6^8*x_5^3
o7 = monomialIdeal (x , x )
               1 2
o7 : MonomialIdeal of S
```

10

11

This example shows that the bases of these v's are different. Therefore, we cannot have uniqueness of v in terms of its base. This example also illustrates that we cannot find the minimum powers of the variables in v, such that all the powers greater than that power gives us P = (I: v) and no power less than that gives P = (I: v). Take $P = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in Ass(I)$. For P, we can have $v = x_3^5 x_4^4$, such that P = (I: v). Here, power of x_5 is 0, as we can write $v = x_3^5 x_4^4 = x_3^5 x_4^4 x_5^6$. Moreover, we can see that 2 > 0 as power of x_5 does not work if we consider the monomial $x_3^5 x_5^2$. We do not get the expected output, as can be seen by the following computation.

The example shows that we cannot have the uniqueness of v, in terms of base or the powers of the variables occurring in v. However, the following corollary gives us information about the nature of P and I when v is unique.

Proposition 6.2. Let $I \subset S = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$ be a monomial ideal and $P \in Ass(I)$. The monomial v is unique for P such that P = (I: v) if and only if $P = \langle x_1, ..., x_n \rangle$ and P has unique P-primary component in irredundant irreducible decomposition of I.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $P = \langle x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k} \rangle$, for some $1 \leq k < n$. Let $Q = \langle x_{i_1}^{a_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}^{a_k} \rangle$ be a *P*-primary component in irredundant irreducible decomposition of *I*. Then, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$v = x_{i_1}^{a_1-1} x_{i_2}^{a_2-1} \dots x_{i_k}^{a_k-1} x_{s_1}^{b_1} \dots x_{s_{n-k}}^{b_{n-k}},$$

and b_j 's are as defined in Theorem 3.1. We can have infinite choices for b_j 's, which give us infinitely many v's, which is not possible. Hence, our supposition is wrong. Therefore, we get $P = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$. Now, suppose $P = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ does not have a unique *P*-primary component in the irredundant irreducible decomposition of *I*. It is enough to consider the case when *P* has two *P*-primary components in the irredundant irreducible decomposition, say $Q_1 = \langle x_1^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x_n^{\alpha_n} \rangle$ and $Q_2 = \langle x_1^{\beta_1}, \ldots, x_n^{\beta_n} \rangle$. Then, $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Theorem 3.1, we can have $v = x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n-1}$ and $v' = x_1^{\beta_1-1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n-1}$, corresponding to Q_1 and Q_2 respectively, such that P = (I: v) and P = (I: v'). Note that $v \neq v'$ as $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, we get two different monomials v and

v' such that P = (I: v) and P = (I: v'), which contradicts the given condition. Thus, P must have a unique P-primary component in irredundant irreducible decomposition.

(\Leftarrow) Let $P = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ and let $Q = \langle x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n} \rangle$ be the unique P-primary component in the irredundant irreducible decomposition $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i$. By Theorem 3.1, we can have $v = x_1^{a_1-1} \cdots x_n^{a_n-1}$, such that P = (I: v). Suppose that there exists $v' = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$, such that $v' \neq v$ and P = (I: v'). Since, P = (I: v'), by Proposition 3.4, we must have a $Q' = \langle x_1^{b_1+1}, \ldots, x_n^{b_n+1} \rangle$ in an irredundant irreducible decomposition of I. We observe that $v' \neq v$ implies $b_j \neq a_j + 1$ for some $j \in [n]$, therefore, $Q \neq Q'$. Thus, we get two P-primary components in an irredundant irreducible decomposition of I, which contradicts the given condition. Hence, v is unique such that P = (I: v).

7. Macaulay2 Function for Obtaining v

Theorem 3.1 gives us an explicit algorithm to find the v for a monomial ideal I and its associated prime ideal P, such that P = (I: v). We have created the following function in *Macaulay2*, which can be used to compute a v for any given monomial ideal I and an associated prime ideal P. This asks for an associated prime ideal P and the P-primary component in the irredundant irreducible decomposition, in order to find the v. Note that, the input ideal must be defined as a monomial ideal, as the function irreducibleDecomposition in *Macaulay2* is defined only for the monomial ideals.

```
Macaulay2, version 1.12.0.1
with packages: ConwayPolynomials, Elimination, IntegralClosure, InverseSystems,
              LLLBases, PrimaryDecomposition, ReesAlgebra, TangentCone
i1 : v = I -> (a = associatedPrimes I;
        a = associatedPrimes I;
        if (#a == 1)
         then (<< "The associated prime ideal of given ideal is "<<ideal(a_0)<<endl ;
            P = ideal(a_0)
         else (<< "The associated prime ideals of given ideal are as follows : "<< endl;
         for i from 0 to (#a -1) do << "P_"<<i<<" = "<<a_i<<" ; "<< endl;
         e = read "Please enter the index number of P for which you want to find v : ";
         P = ideal(a_(value(e))));
         l = flatten entries gens I;
        k = #1;
        h = flatten for i from 0 to (k -1) list exponents (l_i);
         t = for j from 0 to ((#gens S)-1) list (for i from 0 to (k-1) list h_i_j);
         d = for i from 0 to (#t -1) list (max t_i);
         b = irreducibleDecomposition I;
         p = for i from 0 to (#b -1) list (if radical(b_i) != P then continue; b_i);
         if (#p == 1)
```

COLON STRUCTURE OF ASSOCIATED PRIMES OF MONOMIAL IDEALS 13

```
then (<< "The "<<P<<"-primary component in IID is "<<ideal(p_0)<<endl;
             q = ideal(p_0)
         else (<< "The "<<P<<"-primary components of in IID are : "<< endl;
         for i from 0 to (#p -1) do << "Q_"<<i<<" = "<<p_i<<" ; "<< endl;
         r = read "Please enter the index number of Q for which you want to find v : ";
         t = value r;
         q = ideal(p_(t)));
         w = (1 = flatten entries gens q;
              k = #1;
              h = flatten for i from 0 to (k - 1) list exponents (l_i);
              sum h);
         f = for i from 0 to (\#w -1) list (
             if w_i != 0 then ((ring q)_i)^(w_i -1)
              else ((ring q)_i) (d_i + (random ZZ)));
         product f)
o1 = v
ol : FunctionClosure
i2 : S = QQ[a..h]
o2 = S
o2 : PolynomialRing
i3 : I = monomialIdeal(a<sup>4</sup>,b<sup>7</sup>,c<sup>5</sup>,a<sup>3</sup>*d<sup>2</sup>,b<sup>4</sup>*d<sup>2</sup>,c*d<sup>2</sup>,d<sup>5</sup>,d<sup>2</sup>*h<sup>2</sup>,a*h<sup>8</sup>)
                       4 7 5 3 2 4 2 2 5 2 2
                                                                  8
o3 = monomialIdeal (a, b, c, ad, bd, c*d, d, dh, a*h)
o3 : MonomialIdeal of S
i4 : v(I)
The associated prime ideals of given ideal are as follows :
P_0 = monomialIdeal (a, b, c, d);
P_1 = monomialIdeal (a, b, c, d, h);
Please enter the index number of P for which you want to find v : 0
                                                                      5
                                                                            2
                                                                   7
The ideal (a, b, c, d)-primary component in IID is ideal (a, b , c , d )
      6 4 4 5 1 5
o4 = b c d \star f g h
o4 : S
i5 : I : oo
o5 = monomialIdeal (a, b, c, d)
o5 : MonomialIdeal of S
i6 : v(I)
```

14

```
The associated prime ideals of given ideal are as follows :
P_0 = monomialIdeal (a, b, c, d);
P_1 = monomialIdeal (a, b, c, d, h);
Please enter the index number of P for which you want to find v : 1
The ideal (a, b, c, d, h)-primary components of in IID are :
                     4 7 5 2
                                    8
Q_0 = monomialIdeal (a, b, c, d, h);
                     3
                       4
                                5
                                   2
Q_1 = monomialIdeal (a, b, c, d, h);
Please enter the index number of Q for which you want to find v : 1
     2 3 4 4 2 6
o6 = abdefgh
06 : S
i7 : I : oo
o7 = monomialIdeal (a, b, c, d, h)
o7 : MonomialIdeal of S
```

REFERENCES

- [1] Atiyah, M., MacDonald, I. *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass (1969).
- [2] Fortunaa, E., Giannia, P., Tragerb, B. *Irreducible decomposition of polynomial ideals*, Journal of Symbolic Computation, Elsevier Ltd (2005).
- [3] Grayson, D., Stillman, M. *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, available at www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.
- [4] Guo, J., Wu, T. *Monomial ideals under ideal operations*, Communications in Algebra vol. 43:11, 4745-4762, Informa UK Ltd (2015).
- [5] Herzog, J., Hibi, T. *Monomial ideals*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 260. Springer, London (2011).
- [6] Jaramillo, D., Villarreal, R. H. *The v-number of edge ideals*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, vol. 177, 105310, 0097-3165, (2021).
- [7] Kahle, T., Miller, E., O'Neill, C. Irreducible Decomposition of Binomial Ideals, Compositio Math., vol. 152, 1319-1332 (2016).
- [8] Miller, E., Sturmfels, B. Combinatorial Commutative Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 227. Springer, USA (2000).
- [9] Villarreal, R. H. Monomial Algebras, second edition, CRC Press, Mexico (2001).

Discipline of Mathematics, IIT Gandhinagar, Palaj, Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382355, INDIA.

Email address: siddhi.ambhore@iitgn.ac.in

Discipline of Mathematics, IIT Gandhinagar, Palaj, Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382355, INDIA.

Email address: indranathsg@iitgn.ac.in