

IMAGES OF LINEAR DERIVATIONS AND LINEAR \mathcal{E} -DERIVATIONS OF $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$

HAIFENG TIAN, XIANKUN DU, HONGYU JIA

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field of characteristic zero. We prove that images of a linear K -derivation and a linear K - \mathcal{E} -derivation of the ring $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ of polynomial in three variables over K are Mathieu-Zhao subspaces, which affirms the LFED conjecture for linear K -derivations and linear K - \mathcal{E} -derivations of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Kernels of derivations of polynomial rings are studied extensively [5, 7]. Images of polynomial derivations have also attracted researchers' attention recently due to its relationship with the Jacobian conjecture [3]. A key problem is to prove that images of some derivations are Mathieu-Zhao subspaces (MZ-subspaces for short). The notion of an MZ-subspace was introduced by Zhao [10] to study the Jacobian conjecture, which was also called a Mathieu subspace in early literature.

Let K denote a field of characteristic zero. The image of a K -derivation of the univariate polynomial algebra $K[x]$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[x]$. This is no longer true for the bivariate polynomial algebra ([3, Example 2.4]). Van den Essen, Wright and Zhao [3] proved that the image of every locally finite K -derivation of $K[x_1, x_2]$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[x_1, x_2]$, and the two-dimensional Jacobian conjecture is restated that $\text{Im } D$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[x_1, x_2]$ for every K -derivation D with divergence zero and $1 \in \text{Im } D$. They asked that if $\text{Im } D$ is an MZ-subspace for each K -derivation D with divergence 0 of $K[x_1, x_2]$. The question was answered negatively by Sun [8]. It is natural to wonder images of which K -derivations of the polynomial algebra $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ are MZ-subspaces. Zhao [12] formulated the LFED Conjecture, which asserts that the images of a locally finite K -derivation and locally finite K - \mathcal{E} -derivation of a K -algebra R are an MZ-subspace.

The LFED conjecture is still far from being solved, though it has been verified for some special algebras [4]. The LFED conjecture for polynomial algebras is especially interesting, but it is proved only for univariate polynomial rings [13, Theorem 1.3]. For the bivariate case, van den Essen, Wright and Zhao [3, Theorem 3.1] proved the conjecture for derivations, and the case of \mathcal{E} -derivations is open. When $n = 3$, only derivations have been considered. Liu and Sun confirmed the LFED conjecture of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ for linear locally nilpotent derivations [6, Theorem 3.4], rank-two locally nilpotent derivations [9, Theorem 3.9] and homogeneous locally nilpotent

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14R15.

Key words and phrases. \mathcal{E} -derivation, linear derivation, LFED conjecture, Mathieu-Zhao subspace.

This work is supported by NSFC (No. 11771176).

derivations [9, Theorem 5.3]. For general polynomial algebras, van den Essen and Sun [2] proved that images of monomial-preserving derivations of are MZ-subspaces.

In this paper we will prove the LFED conjecture for kinds of special locally finite derivations and \mathcal{E} -derivations, the so-called linear derivations and linear \mathcal{E} -derivations, of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. These derivations and \mathcal{E} -derivations can be classified into three types up to conjugation by automorphisms, respectively. Then we check these types one by one. In Section 3, we prove the image of a linear derivation of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is an MZ-subspace (Theorem 3.6). In Section 4, we prove that the image of a linear \mathcal{E} -derivation of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is an MZ-subspace (Theorem 4.14). As a by-product, we get a similar result for the bivariate case (Corollary 4.4).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, let K denote a field of characteristic zero, $K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$, and \mathcal{R} a commutative K -algebra.

A subspace M of \mathcal{R} is called an MZ-subspace of \mathcal{R} if for all $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$, $a^m \in M$ for all positive integers m implies $ab^m \in M$ for all sufficiently large integers m .

Ideals of \mathcal{R} are MZ-subspaces. If M is an MZ-subspace of \mathcal{R} and $1 \in M$, then $M = \mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 2.1. [10, Proposition 2.7] *Let M be a subspace of \mathcal{R} containing an ideal I of \mathcal{R} . Then M is an MZ-subspace if and only if M/I is an MZ-subspace of \mathcal{R}/I .*

Lemma 2.2. [3, Lemma 2.5] *Let L be a field extension of K , and M a K -subspace of \mathcal{R} . If $L \otimes_K M$ is an MZ-subspace of $L \otimes_K \mathcal{R}$, then M is an MZ-subspace of \mathcal{R} .*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\eta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a K -linear mapping, and let L be an extension of K . If $\text{Im}(\text{id} \otimes \eta)$ is an MZ-subspace of $L \otimes_K \mathcal{R}$ then $\text{Im} \eta$ is an MZ-subspace of \mathcal{R} .*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $\text{Im}(\text{id}_K \otimes \eta) = L \otimes_K \text{Im} \eta$. \square

A K -linear mapping $\eta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is said to be locally finite if for each $a \in \mathcal{R}$ the K -subspace spanned by $\eta^i(a)$, $i \geq 0$, is finite dimensional over K .

Denote by $K[X]$ the algebra of polynomials in the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n over K . Let η be a K -derivation or K -endomorphism of $K[X]$. If L is a field extension of K , then $\text{id} \otimes \eta$ is correspondingly a L -derivation or L -endomorphism of the L -algebra $L \otimes_K \mathcal{R}$. If each $\eta(x_i)$ is a linear form of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , then η is called linear. If η is linear, then $\text{id} \otimes \eta$ is linear. Linear K -derivations and linear K -endomorphisms of $K[X]$ are locally finite [7, Example 9.3.1].

For $\alpha, \beta \in K^n$, denote by $\alpha\beta$ the usual inner product of α and β . Let e_i denote the element of K^n with a 1 in the i th coordinate and 0's elsewhere.

Denote by \mathbb{N} the nonnegative integers and \mathbb{N}^* the positive integers. For $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $|\beta| = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i$. Write X^β for $x_1^{\beta_1} x_2^{\beta_2} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n}$, and α^β for $a_1^{\beta_1} a_2^{\beta_2} \cdots a_n^{\beta_n}$ for $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in K^n \setminus \{0\}$, where it is understood that $0^0 = 1$.

Fix a monomial ordering $>$ on $K[X]$ and denote by $\text{LT}(f)$ the leading term of $f \in K[X] \setminus \{0\}$ (see [1]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\eta : K[X] \rightarrow K[X]$ be a K -linear map that is graded according to the standard gradation of $K[X]$. Fix a monomial ordering $>$ on $K[X]$. Suppose*

$$\text{LT}(\eta(X^\beta)) = a_\beta X^\beta, \quad a_\beta \in K^*, \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then $X^\beta \in \text{Im} \eta$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. The proof is by transfinite induction according to $>$. Suppose X^α is the minimum nonconstant monomial. First we have $\eta(X^\alpha) = a_\alpha X^\alpha + a$, where $a \in K[X]$. Since η is a graded linear map, we have $a = 0$ by the minimality of X^α , whence $X^\alpha = a_\alpha^{-1} \eta(X^\alpha) \in \text{Im } \eta$. Given $X^\beta \neq 1$, suppose that $X^\gamma \in \text{Im } \eta$ if $X^\beta > X^\gamma \neq 1$. Then we need to prove $X^\beta \in \text{Im } \delta$. Write $\eta(X^\beta) = a_\beta X^\beta + f$, where f is a homogeneous polynomial and its each term is less than X^β . By induction hypothesis we have $f \in \text{Im } \eta$. Since $a_\beta \neq 0$, we have $X^\beta \in \text{Im } \eta$, as desired. \square

3. IMAGE OF LINEAR K -DERIVATIONS

Denote by $K[X]$ the algebra of polynomials in the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n over K . Let D be a linear K -derivation $K[X]$. Then $DX = XA$ for an $n \times n$ matrix A over K , where $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $DX = (D(x_1), D(x_2), \dots, D(x_n))$. For any linear K -automorphism σ of $K[X]$, $\sigma D \sigma^{-1}$ is still a linear K -derivation of $K[X]$, and the image of D is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$ if and only if the image of $\sigma \eta \sigma^{-1}$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$. There exists a linear K -automorphism σ of $K[X]$ such that $\sigma D \sigma^{-1} X = XJ$, where J is a Jordan matrix similar to A over the algebraic closure of K . Thus we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose K is algebraically closed. Let D be a linear K -derivation of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Then D is conjugate by a linear K -automorphism of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ to one of following derivations:*

- (1) $ax_1 \partial_{x_1} + bx_2 \partial_{x_2} + cx_3 \partial_{x_3}$;
- (2) $ax_1 \partial_{x_1} + (bx_2 + x_3) \partial_{x_2} + bx_3 \partial_{x_3}$;
- (3) $(ax_1 + x_2) \partial_{x_1} + (ax_2 + x_3) \partial_{x_2} + ax_3 \partial_{x_3}$;

where $a, b, c \in K$.

Lemma 3.2. [3, Lemma 3.4] *Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \partial_{x_i}$, where $a_i \in K$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then $\text{Im } D$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_i x_i \partial_{x_i} + (a_{n-1} x_{n-1} + x_n) \partial_{x_{n-1}} + a_{n-1} x_n \partial_{x_n}$, where $a_i \in K$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$). Then $\text{Im } D$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$.*

Proof. We first prove that $\text{Im } D$ contains the ideal (x_n) generated by x_n . Let

$$S = \{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \beta_n > 0\}.$$

It suffices to prove that $X^\beta \in \text{Im } D$ for all $\beta \in S$. Let $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_{n-1})$. Then we have

$$(3.1) \quad D(X^\beta) = \alpha \beta X^\beta + \beta_{n-1} X^{\beta - e_{n-1} + e_n}, \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

For $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in S$, we need to consider the following cases.

If $\alpha \beta = 0$, then $\alpha(\beta + e_{n-1} - e_n) = 0$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$X^\beta = \frac{1}{\beta_{n-1} + 1} D(X^{\beta + e_{n-1} - e_n}).$$

Since $\beta \in S$, we have $\beta + e_{n-1} - e_n \in \mathbb{N}^n$, whence $X^\beta \in \text{Im } D$.

If $\alpha \beta \neq 0$, then from (3.1) we have for any $0 \leq i \leq \beta_{n-1} - 1$,

$$D(X^{\beta - ie_{n-1} + ie_n}) = \alpha \beta X^{\beta - ie_{n-1} + ie_n} + (\beta_{n-1} - i) X^{\beta - (i+1)e_{n-1} + (i+1)e_n},$$

from which it follows that

$$X^{\beta - ie_{n-1} + ie_n} \equiv \frac{i - \beta_{n-1}}{\alpha \beta} X^{\beta - (i+1)e_{n-1} + (i+1)e_n} \pmod{\text{Im } D},$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \beta_{n-1} - 1$. Thus

$$X^\beta \equiv (-1)^{\beta_{n-1}} \frac{\beta_{n-1}!}{(\alpha\beta)^{\beta_{n-1}}} X^{\beta - \beta_{n-1}e_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}e_n} \pmod{\text{Im } D}.$$

By (3.1), we get $D(X^{\beta - \beta_{n-1}e_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}e_n}) = \alpha\beta X^{\beta - \beta_{n-1}e_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}e_n}$. Since $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, we have $X^{\beta - \beta_{n-1}e_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}e_n} \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{Im } D}$. Thus $X^\beta \in \text{Im } D$.

We now prove that $\text{Im } D$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$. To do this, by Lemma 2.1 we only need to show $\text{Im } D/(x_n)$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]/(x_n)$. Note that $K[X]/(x_n) = K[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}]$ is a polynomial ring in the variables y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} . Let \bar{D} be the derivation induced by D in $K[X]/(x_n)$. Then $\bar{D} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i y_i \partial_{y_i}$ and $\text{Im } \bar{D} = \text{Im } D/(x_n)$. By Lemma 3.2, $\text{Im } \bar{D}$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}]$, and so $\text{Im } D/(x_n)$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]/(x_n)$, as desired. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (ax_i + x_{i+1})\partial_{x_i} + ax_n\partial_{x_n}$, where $a \in K^*$. Then $\text{Im } D$ is the ideal of $K[X]$ generated by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .*

Proof. Denote by (X) the ideal generated by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . It is obvious that $\text{Im } D \subseteq (X)$. To prove the opposite inclusion it suffices to prove $X^\beta \in \text{Im } D$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It is clear that D is graded linear map. Take the lexicographical order on $K[X]$ with $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$. Then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\text{LT}(D(X^\beta)) = \text{LT}(a|\beta|X^\beta + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, \beta_i \neq 0} \beta_i X^{\beta - e_i + e_{i+1}}) = a|\beta|X^\beta,$$

and $a|\beta| \neq 0$ since $a \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.4, $X^\beta \in \text{Im } D$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$, as desired. \square

Lemma 3.5. [6, Theorem 3.4] *Let D be a linear locally nilpotent K -derivation of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Then $\text{Im } D$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$.*

Theorem 3.6. *Let D be a linear K -derivation of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Then $\text{Im } D$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, without loss of generality, we assume that K is an algebraically closed field. Then we only need to consider the three derivations in Lemma 3.1. Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 prove the first two cases, respectively. The last case follows from Lemma 3.4 if $a \neq 0$ and Lemma 3.5 if $a = 0$. \square

4. IMAGE OF LINEAR K - \mathcal{E} -DERIVATION OF $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$

Denote by $K[X]$ the algebra of polynomials in the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n over K . Let ϕ be a K -endomorphism of the K -algebra $K[X]$. Then $\text{id} - \phi$ is called a K - \mathcal{E} -derivation of $K[X]$. Furthermore, $\text{id} - \phi$ is called linear if ϕ is linear.

Let ϕ be a linear K -endomorphism of $K[X]$. Then $\phi X = XA$ for an $n \times n$ matrix A over K , where $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\phi X = (\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2), \dots, \phi(x_n))$. Denote by δ the K - \mathcal{E} -derivation $\text{id} - \phi$. For any linear K -automorphism σ of $K[X]$, $\sigma\delta\sigma^{-1} = \text{id} - \sigma\phi\sigma^{-1}$ is still a linear K - \mathcal{E} -derivation of $K[X]$, and the image of δ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$ if and only if the image of $\sigma\delta\sigma^{-1}$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$. There exists a linear K -automorphism σ of $K[X]$ such that $\sigma\phi\sigma^{-1}X = XJ$, where J is a Jordan matrix similar to A over the algebraic closure of K . Thus we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let ϕ be a linear K -endomorphism of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Then ϕ is conjugate by a linear K -automorphism of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ to a K -endomorphism of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ defined by one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $\phi(x_1) = ax_1, \phi(x_2) = bx_2, \phi(x_3) = cx_3;$
- (2) $\phi(x_1) = ax_1, \phi(x_2) = bx_2 + x_3, \phi(x_3) = bx_3;$
- (3) $\phi(x_1) = ax_1 + x_2, \phi(x_2) = ax_2 + x_3, \phi(x_3) = ax_3;$

where $a, b, c \in K$.

Combining [2, Lemma 3.2] and [2, Corollary 3.3] we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\delta = \text{id} - \phi$ be a K - \mathcal{E} -derivation of $K[X]$ and $\phi(x_i) = a_i x_i$ with $a_i \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\text{Im } \delta$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\delta = \text{id} - \phi$ be a K - \mathcal{E} -derivation of $K[X]$, $n \geq 2$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x_i) &= a_i x_i \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ \phi(x_{n-1}) &= a_{n-1} x_{n-1} + x_n, \\ \phi(x_n) &= a_{n-1} x_n \end{aligned}$$

with $a_i \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then

- (1) $\text{Im } \delta$ contains the ideal (x_n) generated by x_n ;
- (2) $\text{Im } \delta$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$.

Proof. (1) Let $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_{n-1})$. For $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \delta(X^\beta) = (1 - \alpha^\beta) X^\beta - \sum_{i=1}^{\beta_{n-1}} \binom{\beta_{n-1}}{i} \alpha^{\beta - i e_{n-1}} X^{\beta - i e_{n-1} + i e_n},$$

where it is understood that the sum is 0 whenever $\beta_{n-1} = 0$. Let

$$S = \{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \beta_n > 0\}.$$

We have to prove that $X^\beta \in \text{Im } \delta$ for any $\beta \in S$. We proceed by induction on $\beta \in S$ according to the graded lexicographical order of \mathbb{N}^n with $e_1 > e_2 > \dots > e_n$. First we have $x_n = (1 - a_{n-1})^{-1} \delta(x_n)$ if $a_{n-1} \neq 1$, and $x_n = -\delta(x_{n-1})$ if $a_{n-1} = 1$. Thus $x_n \in \text{Im } \delta$. Given $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in S$, suppose that $X^\gamma \in \text{Im } \delta$ for all $\gamma \in S$ with $\beta > \gamma$. Then we need to prove $X^\beta \in \text{Im } \delta$. The proof splits two cases.

If $\alpha^\beta \neq 1$, then by (4.1), we have

$$(4.2) \quad X^\beta \equiv \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{\beta_{n-1}} \binom{\beta_{n-1}}{i} \alpha^{\beta - i e_{n-1}} X^{\beta - i e_{n-1} + i e_n} \pmod{\text{Im } \delta}.$$

When $\beta_{n-1} = 0$, $X^\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{Im } \delta}$. When $\beta_{n-1} > 0$, we have $\beta - i e_{n-1} + i e_n \in S$, and $\beta > \beta - i e_{n-1} + i e_n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$. By the induction hypothesis we have $X^\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{Im } \delta}$.

If $\alpha^\beta = 1$, then by (4.1), we have

$$\delta(X^{\beta + e_{n-1} - e_n}) = - \sum_{i=1}^{\beta_{n-1} + 1} \binom{\beta_{n-1} + 1}{i} \alpha^{\beta - (i-1)e_{n-1} - e_n} X^{\beta - (i-1)e_{n-1} + (i-1)e_n}.$$

When $\beta_{n-1} = 0$, we have $X^\beta \equiv -\frac{1}{\alpha^{\beta-e_n}}\delta(X^{\beta+e_{n-1}-e_n}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{Im } \delta}$ since $\beta \in S$. When $\beta_{n-1} > 0$, we have

$$X^\beta \equiv -\frac{1}{(\beta_{n-1}+1)\alpha^{\beta-e_n}} \sum_{i=2}^{\beta_{n-1}+1} \binom{\beta_{n-1}+1}{i} \alpha^{\beta-(i-1)e_{n-1}-e_n} X^{\gamma_i} \pmod{\text{Im } \delta},$$

where $\gamma_i = \beta - (i-1)e_{n-1} + (i-1)e_n$. Since $\gamma_i \in S$ and $\beta > \gamma_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, \beta_{n-1}+1$, by the induction hypothesis we have $X^\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{Im } \delta}$.

(2) We now prove that $\text{Im } \delta$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]$. To do this, by Lemma 2.1, we only need to show $\text{Im } \delta/(x_n)$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]/(x_n)$. Note that $K[X]/(x_n) = K[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}]$ is a polynomial ring in the variables y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1} . Let $\bar{\phi}$ be the K -endomorphism of $K[X]/(x_n)$ induced by ϕ . Then $\bar{\phi}(y_i) = a_i y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $\bar{\delta} = \text{id} - \bar{\phi}$. Then $\text{Im } \bar{\delta} = \text{Im } \delta/(x_n)$. By Lemma 4.2, $\text{Im } \bar{\delta}$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}]$, and so $\text{Im } \delta/(x_n)$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[X]/(x_n)$, as desired. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\delta = \text{id} - \phi$ be a linear K - \mathcal{E} -derivation of $K[x_1, x_2]$. Then $\text{Im } \delta$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[x_1, x_2]$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that K is algebraically closed and ϕ is defined by one of the following conditions:

- (1) $\phi(x_1) = ax_1, \phi(x_2) = bx_2$,
- (2) $\phi(x_1) = ax_1 + x_2, \phi(x_2) = ax_2$,

where $a, b \in K$. Then the two cases follow from Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, respectively. \square

Let D be a locally nilpotent K -derivation of $K[X]$. Then D induces the exponential automorphism $e^D = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^k}{k!}$ of $K[X]$.

From [11, Corollary 2.4] we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let D be a locally nilpotent K -derivations of $K[X]$. Then $\text{Im}(1 - e^D) = \text{Im } D$.*

In what follows, write $\mathcal{R} = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and denote by X the triple (x_1, x_2, x_3) .

For $a \in K^*$ we define a K -endomorphism ϕ_a of \mathcal{R} as follows

$$(4.3) \quad \phi_a(x_1) = ax_1, \phi_a(x_2) = ax_1 + ax_2, \phi_a(x_3) = -\frac{1}{2}ax_1 - ax_2 + ax_3,$$

and denote by δ_a the K - \mathcal{E} -derivation $\text{id} - \delta_a$. Then for any $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \delta_a(X^\beta) = X^\beta - a^{|\beta|} x_1^{\beta_1} (x_1 + x_2)^{\beta_2} \left(-\frac{1}{2}x_1 - x_2 + x_3\right)^{\beta_3}.$$

Consider the standard gradation $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}_i$, where \mathcal{R}_i is the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree i . Given a positive integer m , let $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{i|m} \mathcal{R}_i$ and $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{i \nmid m} \mathcal{R}_i$. Then $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 4.6. *If $a \in K$ is an m th primitive root of unity, then $\delta_a(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Since δ_a is a graded K -linear map of \mathcal{R} , we have $\delta_a(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Now we show the opposite inclusion. It suffices to prove that each monomial in \mathcal{C} is contained in $\text{Im } \delta_a$. If $a = 1$, then $m = 1$ and $\mathcal{C} = 0$. Nothing needs to prove. Suppose $a \neq 1$. We proceed by induction according to the graded lexicographical order on $K[X]$ with $x_3 > x_2 > x_1$. First we have $x_1 = (1-a)^{-1} \delta_a(x_1) \in \text{Im } \delta_a$. Given $X^\beta \in \mathcal{C}$,

suppose that $X^\gamma \in \text{Im } \delta_a$ for all $X^\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ with $X^\beta > X^\gamma$. Then we need to prove $X^\beta \in \text{Im } \delta$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{LT} \left(x_1^{\beta_1} (x_1 + x_2)^{\beta_2} \left(-\frac{1}{2}x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \right)^{\beta_3} \right) \\ &= (\text{LT}(x_1))^{\beta_1} (\text{LT}(x_1 + x_2))^{\beta_2} \left(\text{LT} \left(-\frac{1}{2}x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \right) \right)^{\beta_3} = X^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (4.4) we have $\delta_a(X^\beta) = (1 - a^{|\beta|})X^\beta + f$, where $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and its each term is contained in \mathcal{C} and is less than X^β . By induction hypothesis $f \in \text{Im } \delta_a$. Thus $X^\beta \in \text{Im } \delta_a$, as desired. \square

Lemma 4.7. *If $a \in K$ is an m th primitive root of unity, then $\delta_a(\mathcal{B}) = D(\mathcal{B})$, where $D = x_1\partial_{x_2} - x_2\partial_{x_3}$ is a K -derivation of \mathcal{R} .*

Proof. One can check $e^D = \phi_1$, where ϕ_1 is defined in (4.3) with $a = 1$. Since $\delta_1 = \text{id} - \phi_1$ is a graded K -linear map of \mathcal{R} , we have $\delta_1(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\delta_1(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, whence $\text{Im } \delta_1 = \delta_1(\mathcal{B}) \oplus \delta_1(\mathcal{C})$. It follows that $\delta_1(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Im } \delta_1$. Similarly, $D(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Im } D$. By Lemma 4.5, we have $\delta_1(\mathcal{B}) = D(\mathcal{B})$. From (4.4), we can see that $\delta_a(X^\beta) = \delta_1(X^\beta)$ for all $X^\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, which implies that $\delta_a(\mathcal{B}) = \delta_1(\mathcal{B})$. Thus $\delta_a(\mathcal{B}) = D(\mathcal{B})$. \square

Lemma 4.8. *If $a \in K$ is an m th primitive root of unity, then $\text{Im } \delta_a \supset \text{Im } D$.*

Proof. Since $D(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, we have by Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7,

$$\text{Im } \delta_a = \mathcal{C} \oplus D(\mathcal{B}) \supset D(\mathcal{C}) \oplus D(\mathcal{B}) = \text{Im } D,$$

as desired. \square

Let $\omega = (-1, 0, 1)$. For a nonzero polynomial $f = \sum a_\beta X^\beta \in \mathcal{R}$ we define $\deg_\omega f = \max\{\omega\beta \mid a_\beta \neq 0\}$ and set $\deg_\omega 0 = -\infty$. Then \deg_ω is a degree function on \mathcal{R} .

Lemma 4.9. [6, Lemma 3.2] *Let $D = x_1\partial_{x_2} - x_2\partial_{x_3}$ be a K -derivation of \mathcal{R} . If $\deg_\omega X^\beta < 0$, then $X^\beta \in \text{Im } D$.*

From the proof of [6, Lemma 3.4], we can formulate the following fact.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $D = x_1\partial_{x_2} - x_2\partial_{x_3}$ be a K -derivation of \mathcal{R} . If $f^i \in \text{Im } D$ for all $i \geq 1$, then $\deg_\omega f < 0$.*

Lemma 4.11. *Let $a \in K$ be an m th primitive root of unity. If $\deg_\omega X^\beta < 0$, then $X^\beta \in \text{Im } \delta_a$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.9, $X^\beta \in \text{Im } D$. Then $X^\beta \in \text{Im } \delta_a$ by Lemma 4.8. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Let $a \in K$ be an m th primitive root of unity. If $f^i \in \text{Im } \delta_a$ for all $i \geq 1$, then $\deg_\omega f < 0$.*

Proof. Suppose the lemma were false. Then we could find an $f \in \mathcal{R}$ which satisfies $\deg_\omega f \geq 0$ and $f^i \in \text{Im } \delta_a$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since $a^m = 1$, we have $\delta_a(x_1^{im}) = x_1^{im} - a^{im}x_1^{im} = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, whence

$$(4.5) \quad x_1^{im} \in \ker \delta_a \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1.$$

Let $l = \deg_{\omega} f$. Since $\ker \delta_a$ is a subalgebra of \mathcal{R} and $\text{Im } \delta_a$ is a submodule of \mathcal{R} over $\ker \delta_a$, we have

$$(x_1^{lm} f^m)^i = x_1^{ilm} f^{im} \in \text{Im } \delta_a \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1.$$

Since $\deg_{\omega}(x_1^{lm} f^m) = -lm + lm = 0$, replacing f by $x_1^{lm} f^m$ we can assume that $\deg_{\omega} f = 0$. Write

$$f = f_0 + f_{-1} + f_{-2} + \cdots,$$

where f_j is the ω -homogeneous component of degree j of f . Let $g_i = f^i - f_0^i$. Then $\deg_{\omega} g_i < 0$. By Lemma 4.11, $g_i \in \text{Im } \delta_a$. Thus

$$f_0^i = f^i - g_i \in \text{Im } \delta_a \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1.$$

Then replacing f by f_0 , we may assume that f is ω -homogeneous with $\deg_{\omega} f = 0$. Denote by \bar{f} the highest homogeneous component of f with respect to the ordinary degree. Since $\delta_a(\mathcal{R}_i) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_i$ and $f^i \in \text{Im } \delta_a$ for any $i \geq 1$, we can get $\bar{f}^i \in \text{Im } \delta_a$. Replacing f by \bar{f} , we may assume that f is homogeneous with respect to the ordinary degree. Replacing f by f^m if necessary, we may also assume that $f^i \in \mathcal{B}$ for any $i \geq 1$. Since $\text{Im } \delta_a \cap \mathcal{B} = \delta_a(\mathcal{B})$, we can get that $f^i \in \delta_a(\mathcal{B})$. By Lemma 4.7, $f^i \in D(\mathcal{B})$ for any $i \geq 1$. By Lemma 4.10, $\deg_{\omega} f < 0$, which contradicts $\deg_{\omega} f = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.13. *If $a \in K$ be a m th primitive root of unity, then $\text{Im } \delta_a$ is an MZ-subspace of \mathcal{R} .*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{R}$ is such that $f^i \in \text{Im } \delta_a$ for any $i \geq 1$. Then $\deg_{\omega} f < 0$ by Lemma 4.12. For any $g \in \mathcal{R}$, we have

$$\deg_{\omega} g f^i = \deg_{\omega} g + i \deg_{\omega} f < 0 \quad \text{for all sufficiently large integers } i.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.11 that $g f^i \in \text{Im } \delta_a$ for all sufficiently large integers i . Thus $\text{Im } \delta_a$ is an MZ-subspace of \mathcal{R} . \square

Theorem 4.14. *Let $\delta = \text{id} - \phi$ be a linear K - \mathcal{E} -derivation of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Then $\text{Im } \delta$ is an MZ-subspace of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we assume, without loss of generality, that K is an algebraically closed field. Then we only need to consider the three cases in Lemma 4.1. Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 prove the first two cases. We now prove the last case.

Suppose that a is an m th primitive root of unity. Consider the following matrices

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & a & -\frac{1}{2}a \\ 0 & a & -a \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we see that

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)J, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_a(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)A.$$

Since A is similar to J , δ is conjugate to δ_a by a linear K -automorphism of $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. By Lemma 4.13, $\text{Im } \delta$ is an MZ-subspace.

Suppose a is not a root of unity. Take the lexicographical order on $K[X]$ with $x_1 > x_2 > x_3$. When $a \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LT}(\phi(X^\beta)) &= \text{LT}((ax_1 + x_2)^{\beta_1}(ax_2 + x_3)^{\beta_2}(ax_3)^{\beta_3}) \\ &= (\text{LT}(ax_1 + x_2))^{\beta_1} (\text{LT}(ax_2 + x_3))^{\beta_2} (\text{LT}(ax_3))^{\beta_3} \\ &= a^{|\beta|} X^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{LT}(\delta(X^\beta)) = (1 - a^{|\beta|})X^\beta$ and $1 - a^{|\beta|} \neq 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$, since a is not a root of unity. By Lemma 2.4, $\text{Im } \delta$ is an MZ-subspace. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Cox, J. Little, D. O’Shea, Ideals, varieties, and algorithms. An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. 2nd ed. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [2] A. van den Essen, X. Sun, Monomial preserving derivations and Mathieu-Zhao subspaces. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 222 (2018), no. 10, 3219–3223.
- [3] A. van den Essen, D. Wright, W. Zhao, Images of locally finite derivations of polynomial algebras in two variables. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011), no. 9, 2130–2134.
- [4] A. van den Essen, W. Zhao, On images of locally finite derivations and \mathcal{E} -derivations. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 223 (2019), no. 4, 1689–1698.
- [5] G. Freudenburg, Algebraic theory of locally nilpotent derivations. Second edition. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 136. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, VII. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2017.
- [6] D. Liu, X. Sun, The factorial conjecture and images of locally nilpotent derivations. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 101 (2020), no. 1, 71–79.
- [7] A. Nowicki, Polynomial derivations and their rings of constants. Uniwersytet Mikołaja Kopernika, Toruń, 1994.
- [8] X. Sun, Images of derivations of polynomial algebras with divergence zero. J. Algebra 492 (2017), 414–418.
- [9] X. Sun, D. Liu, Images of locally nilpotent derivations of polynomial algebras in three variables. J. Algebra 569 (2021), 401–415.
- [10] W. Zhao, Mathieu subspaces of associative algebras. J. Algebra 350 (2012), 245–272.
- [11] W. Zhao, Idempotents in intersection of the kernel and the image of locally finite derivations and \mathcal{E} -derivations. Eur. J. Math. 4 (2018), no. 4, 1491–1504.
- [12] W. Zhao, Some open problems on locally finite or locally nilpotent derivations and \mathcal{E} -derivations. Commun. Contemp. Math. 20 (2018), no. 4, 1750056, 25 pp.
- [13] W. Zhao, Images of ideals under derivations and \mathcal{E} -derivations of univariate polynomial algebras over a field of characteristic zero, arXiv:1701.06125.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN 130012, CHINA
Email address: tianhf1010@foxmail.com, duxk@jlu.edu.cn, jiahy1995@163.com