

ON ROW-FACTORIZATION MATRICES AND GENERIC IDEALS

OM PRAKASH BHARDWAJ, KRITI GOEL, AND INDRANATH SENGUPTA

ABSTRACT. Let H be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by an almost arithmetic sequence. We give a complete description of the row-factorization (RF) matrices associated with the pseudo-Frobenius elements of H . RF-matrices have a close connection with the defining ideal of the semigroup ring associated to H . We use the information from RF-matrices to give a characterization of the generic nature of the defining ideal. When H has embedding dimension 3, we prove that under suitable assumptions, the defining ideal is minimally generated by RF-relations. We also consider the generic nature of the defining ideal of gluing of two numerical semigroups and conclude that such an ideal is never generic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Numerical semigroups and semigroup rings are widely studied objects in the literature (see [11]). Recently, A. Moscariello [6] introduced a new tool associated with the pseudo-Frobenius elements of the numerical semigroup, namely, row-factorization (RF) matrices, to explore the properties of semigroup rings. Moscariello used this object to investigate the type of almost symmetric semigroups of embedding dimension four and prove the conjecture given by T. Numata in [7], which states that the type of an almost symmetric semigroup of embedding dimension four is at most three. The connection between the RF-matrices and numerical semigroup rings is further studied by K. Eto, J. Herzog and K.-i. Watanabe in ([1], [2], [3], [5]), where they explore the set theoretic complete intersection property, minimal free resolution, generic property, etc for the defining ideal of certain monomial curves.

Let k be a field and $H = \langle m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by $\{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p\}$. For an indeterminate t over k , the associated semigroup ring of H is a subring of $k[t]$, defined as $k[H] = k[t^{m_0}, \dots, t^{m_p}]$. The ring $k[H]$ can be represented as a quotient of a polynomial ring using a canonical surjection $\pi : k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_p] \rightarrow k[H]$ given by $\pi(x_i) = t^{m_i}$, for all i . The kernel of this k -algebra homomorphism π , say $I(H)$, is a prime ideal (called toric ideal) and is the defining ideal of $k[H]$. If a toric ideal has a minimal generating set consisting of binomials with full support, then it is called generic. In [1], Eto proved that the defining ideals of almost Gorenstein monomial curves are not generic if their embedding dimension is greater than three.

In this paper, we consider the numerical semigroups generated by an almost arithmetic sequence. In particular, we have $H = \langle m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p, n \rangle$ such that $m_i = m_0 + id$ for $i = 0, \dots, p$, $d > 0$ and $\gcd(m_0, n, d) = 1$. The aim of this paper is to characterize the

The second author is supported by the Early Career Fellowship at IIT Gandhinagar.

The third author is the corresponding author; supported by the MATRICS research grant MTR/2018/000420, sponsored by the SERB, Government of India.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M14, 20M25, 13A02.

Keywords: Almost arithmetic numerical semigroup, pseudo-Frobenius elements, row-factorization matrix, generic toric ideal.

generic nature of the defining ideal of the semigroup H using the information from the row-factorization matrices. This, in the first place, requires knowledge of the pseudo-Frobenius elements of H . For this, we refer to the computations in [8], where the authors give an explicit description of pseudo-Frobenius elements for the numerical semigroups of this class.

We now summarize the contents of the paper. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions, along with the definition of RF-matrices. We also recall some results from the papers [8] and [9], which are used in the further sections. In Sections 3 and 4, we give a computation of the RF-matrices. The rows of RF-matrices are known to produce binomials in the ideal $I(H)$. These binomials are called RF-relations. However, $I(H)$ may not always be minimally generated by RF-relations. In Proposition 4.11, we prove that if H has embedding dimension 3, then under suitable assumptions, $I(H)$ is minimally generated by RF-relations. Section 5 contains the main result of the paper (Theorem 5.6), where we characterize the generic nature of the defining ideal of a numerical semigroup minimally generated by an almost arithmetic sequence. We use [1, Theorem 1] to give this characterization. Using Eto's result, we also prove that the defining ideal of a gluing of two numerical semigroups is never generic. Consequently, defining ideal of the semigroup ring defined by a complete intersection numerical semigroup is never generic.

A numerical semigroup H of type 1 is called symmetric. It is known that $k[H]$ is Gorenstein if and only if H is a symmetric. In section 6, we identify the cases in which a numerical semigroup H , minimally generated by an almost arithmetic sequence, is symmetric. We end the section by computing the RF-matrices in each of these cases. The authors continue to work further on these matrices as we believe that the structure of these matrices may help in shedding more light about the Gorenstein nature of such numerical semigroups.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} be the sets of integers and non-negative integers respectively. Let $p > 0$ and $m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p) = 1$ then

$$\langle m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^p a_i m_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i \right\}$$

is called the numerical semigroup generated by m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p .

Definition 2.1. *Let H be a numerical semigroup generated by a sequence m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p of positive integers. Then the set $\text{Ap}(H, m_0) = \{h \in H \mid h - m_0 \notin H\}$ is called the Apéry set of H with respect to m_0 .*

Definition 2.2. *Let H be a numerical semigroup. An element $f \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus H$ is called a pseudo-Frobenius number if $f + h \in H$ for all $h \in H \setminus \{0\}$. We will denote the set of pseudo-Frobenius numbers of H by $\text{PF}(H)$.*

Note that if $f \in \text{PF}(H)$, then $f + m_i \in H$ for all $i \in [0, p]$. Hence, for all $i \in [0, p]$, there exist $a_{i0}, a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ip} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f + m_i = \sum_{j=0}^p a_{ij} m_j.$$

In the above expression, $a_{ii} = 0$. Because if $a_{ii} > 0$, then it would imply $f \in H$. We now recall the notion of row factorization matrix (RF-matrix) given by A. Moscariello in [6].

Definition 2.3. Let H be a numerical semigroup generated by m_0, \dots, m_p and $f \in \text{PF}(H)$. We say that $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{p+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ is an RF-matrix for f if for all $i \in [0, p]$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^p a_{ij} m_j = f,$$

where $a_{ii} = -1$ for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$, and $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$ if $i \neq j$ and for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$. For $f \in \text{PF}(H)$, we will denote an RF-matrix of f by $\text{RF}(f)$.

Observe that for a given $f \in \text{PF}(H)$, $\text{RF}(f)$ may not be not unique. A factorization of $f + m_i$ gives the $(i + 1)^{\text{th}}$ row of an RF-matrix for f . Thus, if $f + m_i$ does not have unique factorization in H , then the matrix $\text{RF}(f)$ is not unique. Nevertheless, $\text{RF}(f)$ will be the notation for one of the possible RF-matrices of f .

Assume that the sequence m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p is a strictly increasing arithmetic sequence of positive integers and n is a positive integer such that $\gcd(m_0, \dots, m_p, n) = 1$. Also, assume that $\{m_0, \dots, m_p, n\}$ is a minimal generating set for the numerical semigroup H . The following result was proved by D.P. Patil and B. Singh in [9].

Lemma 2.4 ([9, Lemma 3.1,3.2]). Let d be a positive integer such that $m_i = m_0 + id$ for all $0 \leq i \leq p$. Let n be an arbitrary positive integer with $\gcd(m_0, d, n) = 1$. Let $H' = \sum_{i=0}^p \mathbb{N}m_i$ and $H = H' + \mathbb{N}n$. For $t \in \mathbb{N}$, let $q_t \in \mathbb{Z}, r_t \in [1, p]$ and $g_t \in H'$ defined by $t = q_t p + r_t$ and $g_t = q_t m_p + m_{r_t}$.

- (1) Let $u = \min\{t \in \mathbb{N} \mid g_t \notin \text{Ap}(H, m_0)\}$ and $v = \min\{b \geq 1 \mid bn \in H'\}$. Then there exist unique integers $z \in [0, u - 1], w \in [0, v - 1], \lambda \geq 1$, and $\mu \geq 0$ such that
 - (i) $g_u = \lambda m_0 + wn$;
 - (ii) $vn = \mu m_0 + g_z$;
 - (iii) $g_{u-z} + (v - w)n = \nu m_0$. Moreover, $\nu = \lambda + \mu + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon = 1$ or 0 according as $r_{u-z} < r_u$ or $r_{u-z} \geq r_u$.
- (2) $g_s + g_t = \epsilon m_0 + g_{s+t}$ with $\epsilon = 1$ or 0 according as $r_s + r_t \leq p$ or $r_s + r_t > p$.

In addition to the above notation, set $r = r_u, r' = r_{u-z}, q = q_u, q' = q_{u-z}$, and $W = [u - z, u - 1] \times [v - w, v - 1]$. Note that if $w = 0$ or $z = 0$, then W is empty.

Lemma 2.5 ([8, Lemma 2.4(5)]). With the above notation

- (1) $u \geq p + 1, q \geq 1$ and $q' \in [0, q]$.
- (2) $r_z = \begin{cases} r - r' & \text{if } r > r', \\ p + r - r' & \text{if } r \leq r'. \end{cases}$
- (3) If $q' = q$ and $z \neq 0$ then $r' < r$ and $r \geq 2$.
- (4) If $\mu = 0$ then $z > p$ and $q' < q$.
- (5) If $\lambda = 1$ then $w \neq 0$.
- (6) If $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 0$ then $r' < r$ and $r \geq 2$.

In this article, we aim to describe the structure of RF-matrices for pseudo-Frobenius numbers of a numerical semigroup H defined by an almost arithmetic sequence, using the above results. We discuss the cases $W = \emptyset$ and $W \neq \emptyset$ separately. We use the above results throughout the paper without repeated reference.

3. THE CASE $W = \emptyset$

Throughout this section we will assume that $W = \emptyset$ and $H = \langle m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p, n \rangle$; where $m_i = m_0 + id$ for $i \in [1, p]$ and $\gcd(m_0, n, d) = 1$.

Let $\gamma_k = g_{(q-1)p+r+k-1} + (v-1)n - m_0$, for $k \in [1, p]$. In this case, using [8, Proposition 3.3], it follows that the set of pseudo-Frobenius numbers

$$\text{PF}(H) = \begin{cases} \{\gamma_k \mid k \in [1, p]\} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \{\gamma_k \mid k \in [p-r+2, p]\} & \text{if } r \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We find the factorizations of $\gamma_k + m_0, \gamma_k + m_j$ for $j \in [1, p]$, and $\gamma_k + n$ in H . From these factorizations, we form an RF-matrix for γ_k .

Proposition 3.1. *Let $r = 1$ and $\lambda > 1$. Then for every element $\gamma_k \in \text{PF}(H)$, $k \in [1, p]$, there exists an RF-matrix of the following type*

$$\text{RF}(\gamma_k) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w+v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda-2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ a_{p+2,1} & a_{p+2,2} & a_{p+2,3} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{p+2,p+1} & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{(0)} \\ R_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p-k)} \\ R_{(p-k+1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p)} \\ R_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

- (1) $R_{(0)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (2) For all $j \in [1, p-k]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k+j)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k+j)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (3) For all $j \in [p-k+1, p]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k+j-p-1)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k+j-p-1)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (4) If $\mu \geq 1$, then $(a_{p+2,1}, a_{p+2,2}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+1}, -1) = (\mu-1, 0, \dots, 0, q+q_z-1, -1)$ and $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k)}, \dots, a^{(r_z)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k)} = a^{(r_z)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero. Otherwise, when $\mu = 0$, $(a_{p+2,1}, a_{p+2,2}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+1}, -1) = (\lambda-2, 0, \dots, 0, q_z-p, -1)$ and $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k-1)}, \dots, a^{(r_z-p)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k-1)} = a^{(r_z-p)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. Since $r = 1$, $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_k \mid k \in [1, p]\}$ where $\gamma_k = g_{(q-1)p+k} + (v-1)n - m_0$.

$$(1) \gamma_k + m_0 = g_{(q-1)p+k} + (v-1)n = (q-1)m_p + m_k + (v-1)n.$$

(2) If $j \in [1, p-k]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k + m_j &= g_{(q-1)p+k} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = g_{(q-1)p+k} + g_j + (v-1)n - m_0 \\ &= m_0 + g_{(q-1)p+k+j} + (v-1)n - m_0 \\ &= (q-1)m_p + m_{k+j} + (v-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $j = p - k + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k + m_j &= g_{(q-1)p+k} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_{p-k+1} \\ &= (q-1)m_p + m_k + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_{p-k+1} \\ &= (q-1)m_p + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_p + m_1 \\ &= g_u + (v-1)n - m_0 = (\lambda-1)m_0 + (w+v-1)n.\end{aligned}$$

If $j > p - k + 1$ and $\lambda > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k + m_j &= g_{(q-1)p+k} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = (q-1)m_p + m_k + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j \\ &= qm_p + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_{k+j-p} \\ &= g_u + (v-1)n - 2m_0 + m_{k+j-p-1} \\ &= (\lambda-2)m_0 + m_{k+j-p-1} + (w+v-1)n.\end{aligned}$$

(4) If $\mu > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k + n &= g_{(q-1)p+k} + vn - m_0 = g_{(q-1)p+k} + (\mu-1)m_0 + g_z \\ &= (q-1)m_p + m_k + (\mu-1)m_0 + q_z m_p + m_{r_z} \\ &= (\mu-1)m_0 + m_k + m_{r_z} + (q+q_z-1)m_p.\end{aligned}$$

If $\mu = 0$, then $z > p$. Hence $w = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k + n &= g_{(q-1)p+k} + vn - m_0 = g_{(q-1)p+k} - m_0 + g_z = g_{(q-1)p+k} - m_0 + g_p + g_{z-p} \\ &= g_{qp+k} - m_0 + g_{z-p} \\ &= qm_p + m_k - m_0 + g_{z-p} \\ &= g_u + m_{k-1} - 2m_0 + g_{z-p} \\ &= (\lambda-2)m_0 + m_{k-1} + q_{z-p}m_p + m_{r_{z-p}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let $r = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$. Then for every element γ_k of $\text{PF}(H)$, $k \in [1, p]$, there exists an RF-matrix of the following type*

$$\text{RF}(\gamma_k) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-1 \\ \mu-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & w-1 \\ \mu-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & q-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{(0)} \\ R_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p-k)} \\ R_{(p-k+1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p)} \\ R_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

- (1) $R_{(0)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (2) For all $j \in [1, p-k]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k+j)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k+j)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (3) For all $j \in [p-k+1, p]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k+j-p-1)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ having $a^{(k+j-p-1)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.

(4) $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. For (1) and (2), see the proof of Proposition 3.1.

(3) Since $\lambda = 1$ and $r = 1$, using lemma 2.5 we get $w, \mu \neq 0$. Also, $W = \emptyset$ implies that $z = 0$ and hence $\nu = \mu + 1$. Put $\ell = k + j - p$. Since $k + j \geq p + 1$, we get $\ell \in [1, p]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k + m_j &= g_{(q-1)p+k} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = g_{(u+l-1)} + (v-1)n - m_0 \\ &= g_{(u+l-1)} + \nu m_0 - g_u + (w-1)n - m_0 \\ &= (\nu-2)m_0 + m_{\ell-1} + (w-1)n \\ &= (\mu-1)m_0 + m_{k+j-p-1} + (w-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Since $z = 0$, we have $g_z = 0$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k + n &= g_{(q-1)p+k} + \nu n - m_0 = g_{(q-1)p+k} + (\mu-1)m_0 \\ &= (q-1)m_p + m_k + (\mu-1)m_0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose $p = 1$. Then $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_1\}$ and there exists an RF-matrix of γ_1 of either of the following type*

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & u-1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ \mu-1 & u+z-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & u-1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & z-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} -1 & u-1 & v-1 \\ \mu & -1 & w-1 \\ \mu-1 & u-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since $p = 1$, we get $r_t = 1$, $q_t = t - 1$ for all t and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_1\}$. Therefore, we have $k = 1$. Let $\lambda > 1$. Using Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 + m_0 &= g_q + (v-1)n = (u-1)m_1 + (v-1)n, \\ \gamma_1 + m_1 &= (\lambda-1)m_0 + (w+v-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu > 0$, then

$$\gamma_1 + n = (\mu-1)m_0 + (q+q_z+1)m_1 = (\mu-1)m_0 + (u+z-1)m_1.$$

If $\mu = 0$, then $\gamma_1 + n = (\lambda-1)m_0 + (q_{z-1}+1)m_1 = (\lambda-1)m_0 + (z-1)m_1$.

Let $\lambda = 1$. Using Proposition 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 + m_0 &= (u-1)m_1 + (v-1)n, \\ \gamma_1 + m_1 &= \mu m_0 + (w-1)n, \\ \gamma_1 + n &= (\mu-1)m_0 + qm_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the above possible three matrices. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $r \geq 2$. Then for every element γ_k of $\text{PF}(H)$, there exists an RF-matrix of the following type*

$$\text{RF}(\gamma_k) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w+v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ a_{p+2,1} & a_{p+2,2} & a_{p+2,3} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{p+2,p+1} & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{(0)} \\ R_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(e)} \\ R_{(e+1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p)} \\ R_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $e \in [1, p-1]$ such that $r+k+e=2p+1$ and

- (1) $R_{(0)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(p-e)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(p-e)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (2) For all $j \in [1, e]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(p-e+j)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(p-e+j)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (3) For all $j \in [e+1, p]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k+j-p-1)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k+j-p-1)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (4) If $\mu \geq 1$ then $(a_{p+2,1}, a_{p+2,2}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+1}, -1) = (\mu-1, 0, \dots, 0, q+q_z, -1)$ and $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(p-e)}, \dots, a^{(r_z)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(p-e)} = a^{(r_z)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero. Otherwise, when $\mu = 0$ then $(a_{p+2,1}, a_{p+2,2}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+1}, -1) = (\lambda-1, 0, \dots, 0, q_{z-p}, -1)$ and $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k-1)}, \dots, a^{(r_z-p)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k-1)} = a^{(r_z-p)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. Since $r \geq 2$, $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_k \mid k \in [p-r+2, p]\}$. Therefore,

$$(1) \quad \gamma_k + m_0 = g_{(q-1)p+r+k-1} + (v-1)n = qm_p + m_{p-e} + (v-1)n.$$

(2) If $j \in [1, e]$, then $r+k+j-p-1 \leq p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k + m_j &= g_{(q-1)p+r+k-1} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = m_0 + qm_p + m_{p-e+j} + (v-1)n - m_0 \\ &= qm_p + m_{p-e+j} + (v-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $j \in [e+1, p]$, then $r+k+j-p-1 > p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k + m_j &= g_{(q-1)p+r+k-1} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = g_{u-p+j+k-1} - (v-1)n - m_0 \\ &= g_u - m_0 + g_{j+k-p-1} - (v-1)n \\ &= (\lambda-1)m_0 + wn + m_{k+j-p-1} + (v-1)n \\ &= (\lambda-1)m_0 + m_{k+j-p-1} + (w+v-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

(4) If $\mu \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k + n &= g_{(q-1)p+r+k-1} + (v-1)n - m_0 + n = qm_p + m_{p-e} + (\mu-1)m_0 + g_z \\ &= qm_p + m_{p-e} + (\mu-1)m_0 + q_z m_p + m_{r_z} \\ &= (\mu-1)m_0 + m_{p-e} + m_{r_z} + (q+q_z)m_p. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu = 0$, then $z > p$. Hence $w = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k + n &= g_{(q-1)p+r+k-1} + (v-1)n - m_0 + n = g_{u-p+k-1} + vn - m_0 \\ &= g_u + g_{z-p} + g_{k-1} - m_0 \\ &= (\lambda-1)m_0 + m_{k-1} + g_{z-p} \\ &= (\lambda-1)m_0 + m_{k-1} + q_{z-p}m_p + m_{r_{z-p}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.5. Let $H = \langle m_0 = 14, m_1 = 17, m_2 = 20, m_3 = 23, m_4 = 26, n = 21 \rangle$. Then $H' = \langle 14, 17, 20, 23, 26 \rangle$ and $p = 4$. The Apéry set of H with respect to 14 is

$$Ap(H, 14) = \{0, 17, 20, 21, 23, 26, 38, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 64, 64\}.$$

The smallest i such that $g_i \notin Ap(H, 14)$ is $g_7 (= 49)$, hence $u = 7$ and $q = 1, r = 3$. Since $21 \notin H'$ but $42 \in H'$, hence $v = 2$. Also, since $49 = 21 + 2 \times 14$, $42 = 3 \times 14$, we get $w = 1, z = 0, \lambda = 2, \mu = 3, r' = 3, q' = 1, \nu = 5$. Since $r \geq 2$, we get $PF(H) = \{\gamma_3, \gamma_4\} = \{50, 53\}$. We have by 3.4

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3 + m_0 &= m_1 + m_4 + n, & \gamma_3 + m_1 &= m_2 + m_4 + n, & \gamma_3 + m_2 &= m_3 + m_4 + n, \\ \gamma_3 + m_3 &= 2m_4 + n, & \gamma_3 + m_4 &= m_0 + m_2 + 2n, & \gamma_3 + n &= 2m_0 + m_1 + m_4. \end{aligned}$$

Now, We can write an RF-matrix for $\gamma_3 = 50$ from these expressions,

$$RF(\gamma_3) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_4 + m_0 &= m_2 + m_4 + n, & \gamma_4 + m_1 &= m_3 + m_4 + n, & \gamma_4 + m_2 &= 2m_4 + n, \\ \gamma_4 + m_3 &= m_0 + m_2 + 2n, & \gamma_4 + m_4 &= m_0 + m_3 + 2n, & \gamma_4 + n &= 2m_0 + m_2 + m_4. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can write an RF-matrix for $\gamma_4 = 53$ from these expressions,

$$RF(\gamma_4) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Corollary 3.6. Let $r = 2$. Then H is symmetric and there exists an $RF(H)$ of the following type

$$\text{RF}(H) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & q & v-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & q+1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ a_{p+2,1} & a_{p+2,2} & a_{p+2,3} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{p+2,p+1} & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

If $\mu > 0$, then $(a_{p+2,1}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+1}, -1) = (\mu-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0, q+q_z, -1) + (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(r_z)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(r_z)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.

Otherwise, when $\mu = 0$, then

$$(a_{p+2,1}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+1}, -1) = (\lambda-1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, q_{z-p}, -1) + (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(r_z-p)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$$

such that $a^{(r_z-p)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. Since $r = 2$, we get $k = p$. Therefore, $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_p\}$. Hence, H is symmetric.

Since $k = p$ and $r = 2$ then $r + k + j - p - 1 > p$ only for $j = p$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_p + m_0 &= g_{qp+1} + (v-1)n = qm_p + m_1 + (v-1)n, \\ \gamma_p + m_j &= m_{j+1} + qm_p + (v-1)n, \text{ for all } j \in [1, p-1], \\ \gamma_p + m_p &= (\lambda-1)m_0 + m_{p-1} + (w+v-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu > 1$, then $\gamma_p + n = (\mu-1)m_0 + m_1 + m_{r_z} + (q+q_z)m_p$, and

if $\mu = 0$, then $\gamma_p + n = (\lambda-1)m_0 + m_{p-1} + m_{r_z-p} + (q_{z-p})m_p$. Hence the result follows. \square

Example 3.7. Let $H = \langle m_0 = 10, m_1 = 19, m_2 = 28, m_3 = 37, n = 35 \rangle$. Then, $p = 3, u = 5, v = 2, w = 1, z = 0, \lambda = 3, \mu = 7, \nu = 10, r = 2, q = 1$. Since $z = 0$ and $r = 2$, therefore $W = \phi$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_3\} = \{81\}$.

$$\text{RF}(H) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. THE CASE $W \neq \emptyset$

Throughout this section we assume $W \neq \emptyset$ and $H = \langle m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p, n \rangle$, where $m_i = m_0 + id$ for $i \in [1, p]$ and $\text{gcd}(m_0, n, d) = 1$.

In this case, there are two types of pseudo-Frobenius numbers. We denote them by $\text{PF}_1(H)$ and $\text{PF}_2(H)$.

- Let $\alpha_i = g_{(q'-1)p+i} + (v-1)n - m_0$. Then $\text{PF}_1(H) \subset \{\alpha_i; i \in I\}$, where

$$I = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } r' = 1 \text{ and } q' = 0, \\ [1, p] & \text{if } r' = 1 \text{ and } q' > 0, \\ [p+1, p+r'-1] & \text{if } r' \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

- Let $\beta_j = g_{(q-1)p+r+j-1} + (v-w-1)n - m_0$ for $j \in [1, p]$. Then,

$$\text{PF}_2(H) \subset \begin{cases} \{\beta_j; j \in [1, p]\} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \{\beta_j; j \in [p-r+2, p]\} & \text{if } r \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

For the complete description of $\text{PF}_1(H)$ and $\text{PF}_2(H)$, see [8, Proposition 4.6, 4.7] and [8, Proposition 5.6, 5.7, 5.8, 5.9].

4.1. Structure of RF-matrices for pseudo-Frobenius numbers in $\text{PF}_1(H)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $r' = 1, \mu > 0$ and $q' = 0$. Then $\text{PF}_1(H) = \{\alpha_p\}$ and there exists an $\text{RF}(\alpha_p)$ of the following type*

$$\text{RF}(\alpha_p) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & v-1 \\ \nu-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & w-1 \\ \nu-2 & 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & w-1 \\ \nu-2 & 0 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & w-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \nu-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & -1 & w-1 \\ a_{p+2,1} & a_{p+2,2} & a_{p+2,3} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{p+2,p+1} & a_{p+2,p+2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $(a_{p+2,1}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+2}) = (\mu-1, 0, \dots, 0, q_z, -1) + (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(r_z)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(r_z)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(i)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. Since $r' = 1$ and $q' = 0$, we get $i = p$ and by [8, Proposition 4.6], we get $\text{PF}_1(H) = \{\alpha_p\}$. Also, $u - z = q'p + r' = 1$.

(i) $\alpha_p + m_0 = g_0 + (v-1)n = (v-1)n$.

(ii) For $j \in [1, p]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p + m_j &= (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = (v-w)n + (w-1)n - m_0 + m_j \\ &= \nu m_0 - g_{(u-z)} + (w-1)n - m_0 + m_j \\ &= (\nu-2)m_0 + m_{j-1} + (w-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $\alpha_p + n = (v-1)n - m_0 + n = (\mu-1)m_0 + g_z = (\mu-1)m_0 + q_z m_p + m_{r_z}$.

Hence, the result follows. \square

Example 4.2. *Let $H = \langle m_0 = 11, m_1 = 13, m_2 = 15, m_3 = 17, m_4 = 19, n = 21 \rangle$. Then, $p = 4, u = 5, v = 3, w = 1, z = 4, \lambda = 1, \mu = 4, \nu = 5, r = 1, r' = 1, q = 1, q' = 0$. Since*

$r' = 1, q' = 0$, we get $\text{PF}_1(H) = \{\alpha_4\} = \{31\}$ and

$$\text{RF}(31) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $r' = 1, \mu > 0$ and $q' > 0$. Then for each $\alpha_i \in \text{PF}_1(H)$, $i \in [1, p]$, there exists an $\text{RF}(\alpha_i)$ of the following type*

$$\text{RF}(\alpha_i) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q' - 1 & v - 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q' - 1 & v - 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q' - 1 & v - 1 \\ \nu - 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w - 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \nu - 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & w - 1 \\ \mu - 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & q' + q_z - 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{(0)} \\ R_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p-i)} \\ R_{(p-i+1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p)} \\ R_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

- (1) $R_{(0)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(i)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(i)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (2) For all $j \in [1, p - i]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(i+j)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(i+j)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (3) For all $j \in [p - i + 1, p]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(i+j-p-1)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(i+j-p-1)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (4) $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(i)}, \dots, a^{(r_z)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(r_z)}, a^{(i)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. Since $\mu > 0$ and $q' > 0$, we have $\text{PF}_1(H) = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in [1, p]\}$.

$$(1) \alpha_i + m_0 = g_{(q'-1)p+i} + (v-1)n = (q'-1)m_p + m_i + (v-1)n.$$

(2) If $j \in [1, p - i]$ then $i + j \leq p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i + m_j &= g_{(q'-1)p+i} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = (q'-1)m_p + m_i + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j \\ &= (q'-1)m_p + m_{i+j} + (v-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $j \in [p - i + 1, p]$ then $i + j > p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i + m_j &= g_{(q'-1)p+i} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = g_{(q'-1)p+i+j} + (v-1)n - m_0 \\ &= g_{u-z-r'-p+i+j} + (v-w)n + (w-1)n - m_0 \\ &= g_{i+j-p-1} + (\nu-2)m_0 + (w-1)n \\ &= (\nu-2)m_0 + m_{i+j-p-1} + (w-1)n \end{aligned}$$

(4) Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i + n &= g_{(q'-1)p+i} + vn - m_0 = g_{(q'-1)p+i} + \mu m_0 + g_z - m_0 \\ &= (q' + q_z - 1)m_p + (\mu - 1)m_0 + m_i + m_{r_z}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that if $p = 1$ and $\text{PF}_1(H) \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{PF}_1(H) = \{\alpha_1\}$.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose $p = 1$ and $\text{PF}_1(H) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of α_1 of the following type*

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & u - z - 1 & v - 1 \\ \nu - 1 & -1 & w - 1 \\ \mu - 1 & u - 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & v - 1 \\ \nu - 1 & -1 & w - 1 \\ \mu - 1 & z & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since $p = 1$, we get $r_t = 1, q_t = t - 1$ for all t . Now use Proposition 4.1 and 4.3 to get desired RF-matrices according as $q' > 0$ or $q' = 0$. □

Proposition 4.5. *Let $r' = 1, \mu = 0, q' > 0$ and $r \geq 2$. Then for every element of $\text{PF}_1(H) = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in [1, p - r + 1]\}$, there exists an $\text{RF}(\alpha_i)$ of the following type*

$$\text{RF}(\alpha_i) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q' - 1 & v - 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q' - 1 & v - 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q' - 1 & v - 1 \\ \nu - 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w - 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \nu - 2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & w - 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q - 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{(0)} \\ R_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p-i)} \\ R_{(p-i+1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p)} \\ R_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

- (1) $R_{(0)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(i)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(i)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (2) For all $j \in [1, p - i]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(i+j)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(i+j)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (3) For all $j \in [p - i + 1, p]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(i+j-p-1)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(i+j-p-1)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (4) $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(r+i-1)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(r+i-1)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. For (1),(2) and (3), see the proof of Proposition 4.3

(4) Since $r \geq 2$ and $r' = 1$ then $r_z = r - 1$. Also we have $i \in [1, p - r + 1]$ and hence $i + r_z \leq p$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i + n &= g_{(q'-1)p+i} + vn - m_0 = g_{(q'-1)p+i} + g_z - m_0 \\ &= g_{u-z-p+i-1} + g_z - m_0 \\ &= g_{u-p+i-1} = q_{u-p+i-1}m_p + m_{r_{u-p+i-1}} \\ &= (q - 1)m_p + m_{r+i-1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.6. *Let $r' \geq 2$. Then for each $\alpha_i \in \text{PF}_1(H)$, there exists an $\text{RF}(\alpha_i)$ of the following type*

$$\text{RF}(\alpha_i) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q' & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q' & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q' & v-1 \\ \nu-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \nu-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & w-1 \\ a_{p+2,1} & a_{p+2,2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{p+2,p+1} & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{(0)} \\ R_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(2p-i)} \\ R_{(2p-i+1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p)} \\ R_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\theta_i \in [1, r' - 1]$ such that $i = p + \theta_i$.

- (1) $R_{(0)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(\theta_i)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(\theta_i)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (2) For all $j \in [1, 2p - i]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(\theta_i+j)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(\theta_i+j)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (3) For all $j \in [2p - i + 1, p]$, $R_{(j)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(\theta_i+j-r')}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(\theta_i+j-r')} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.
- (4) If $\mu > 0$, then $(a_{p+2,1}, a_{p+2,2}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+1}, -1) = (\mu-1, 0, \dots, 0, q'+q_z, -1)$ and $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(\theta_i)}, \dots, a^{(r_z)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(r_z)}, a^{(\theta_i)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero. Otherwise, if $\mu = 0$ then $(a_{p+2,1}, a_{p+2,2}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+1}, -1) = (0, \dots, 0, q'+q_z, -1)$ and $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(\theta_i+r_z)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(\theta_i+r_z)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. Since $r' \geq 2$, we have $\text{PF}_1(H) \subseteq \{\alpha_i \mid i \in [p+1, p+r'-1]\}$.

$$(1) \alpha_i + m_0 = g_{(q'-1)p+i} + (v-1)n = g_{q'p+\theta_i} + (v-1)n = q'm_p + m_{\theta_i} + (v-1)n.$$

(2) If $j \in [1, 2p - i]$, then $i + j \leq 2p$, and hence $\theta_i + j \leq p$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i + m_j &= g_{(q'-1)p+i} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = g_{q'p+\theta_i} + (v-1)n - m_0 + g_j \\ &= g_{q'p+\theta_i+j} + (v-1)n \\ &= q'm_p + m_{\theta_i+j} + (v-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $j \in [2p - i + 1, p]$, then $i + j > 2p$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i + m_j &= g_{q'p-p+i} + (v-1)n - m_0 + m_j = g_{u-z-r'-p+i} + g_j + (v-w)n + (w-1)n - m_0 \\ &= g_{u-z} + g_{i+j-p-r'} + (v-w)n + (w-1)n - m_0 \\ &= (\nu-1)m_0 + m_{i+j-p-r'} + (w-1)n. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Assume $\mu > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i + n &= g_{(q'-1)p+i} + \nu n - m_0 = g_{(q'-1)p+i} + (\mu-1)m_0 + g_z \\ &= g_{q'p+\theta_i} + (\mu-1)m_0 + g_z \\ &= (\mu-1)m_0 + m_{r_z} + m_{\theta_i} + (q_z + q')m_p. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu = 0$, then $vn = g_z$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i + n &= g_{(q'-1)p+i} + vn - m_0 = g_{q'p+\theta_i} + g_z - m_0 \\ &= q'm_p + q_z m_p + m_{\theta_i} + m_{r_z} - m_0.\end{aligned}$$

Since we have $r_z + \theta_i \leq p$, this implies $\alpha_i + n = (q' + q_z)m_p + m_{\theta_i+r_z}$. □

4.2. Structure of RF-matrices for pseudo-Frobenius numbers in $\text{PF}_2(H)$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $r = 1$ and $\lambda > 1$. Then for each $\beta_j \in \text{PF}_2(H)$, $j \in [1, p]$, there exists an $\text{RF}(\beta_j)$ of the following type*

$$\text{RF}(\beta_j) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-w-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-w-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda-2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & v-1 \\ \nu-\epsilon & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q_{z+j-p-1} & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{(0)} \\ R_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p-j)} \\ R_{(p-j+1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p)} \\ R_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\epsilon = 2$ or 1 according as $r' + r_{z-p+j-1} \leq p$ or $r' + r_{z-p+j-1} > p$ and

- (1) $R_{(0)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(j)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(j)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.
- (2) For all $k \in [1, p-j]$, $R_{(k)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(j+k)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(j+k)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.
- (3) For all $k \in [p-j+1, p]$, $R_{(k)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k+j-p-1)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k+j-p-1)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.
- (4) $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(r_{z+j-p-1})}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(r_{z+j-p-1})} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. Since $r = 1$, $\beta_j = g_{(q-1)p+j} + (v-w-1)n - m_0$.

$$(1) \beta_j + m_0 = (q-1)m_p + m_j + (v-w-1)n$$

(2) If $k \in [1, p-j]$, then $k+j \leq p$. Therefore

$$\beta_j + m_k = g_{(q-1)p+j} + (v-w-1)n - m_0 + m_k = (q-1)m_p + m_{j+k} + (v-w-1)n.$$

(3) If $k \in [p-j+1, p]$, then $k+j \geq p+1$. Also given $\lambda > 1$, if $k+j > p+1$ then

$$\beta_j + m_k = (\lambda-2)m_0 + g_{j+k-p-1} + (v-1)n = (\lambda-2)m_0 + m_{j+k-p-1} + (v-1)n.$$

If $k+j = p+1$, then $\beta_j + m_k = (\lambda-1)m_0 + (v-1)n$.

(4) We consider two subcases $z \geq p$ and $z < p$.

(a) Assume $z \geq p$.

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_j + n &= g_{(q-1)p+j} + (v-w-1)n - m_0 + n = g_{(q-1)p+j} + (\nu-1)m_0 - g_{u-z} \\ &= g_{u-z+z-p+j-1} + (\nu-1)m_0 - g_{u-z}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $z \geq p$, we have $z - p + j - 1 \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\beta_j + n = g_{z-p+j-1} - \epsilon m_0 + (\nu - 1)m_0 = (\nu - 1)m_0 - \epsilon m_0 + m_{r_{z+j-p-1}} + q_{z-p+j-1}m_p$$

with $\epsilon = 1$ or 0 according as $r' + r_{z-p+j-1} \leq p$ or $r' + r_{z-p+j-1} > p$.

(b) If $z < p$, then $z = r_z = p + r - r' = p + 1 - r'$. Also in this case $\text{PF}_2(H) = \{\beta_j \mid j \in [r', p]\}$ (see [8, Proposition 5.7]). Since $j \geq r' = p - z + 1$ we get $z - p + j - 1 \geq 0$, hence we are done by subcase(a). \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let $r = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$. Then for each $\beta_j \in \text{PF}_2(H)$, $j \in [1, p]$, there exists an $\text{RF}(\beta_j)$ of the following type*

$$\text{RF}(\beta_j) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-w-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-w-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q-1 & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & v-1 \\ \nu-2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & w-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \nu-2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & w-1 \\ \nu-\epsilon & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q_{z+j-p-1} & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{(0)} \\ R_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p-j)} \\ 0 \\ R_{(p-j+2)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p)} \\ R_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\epsilon = 2$ or 1 according as $r' + r_{z-p+j-1} \leq p$ or $r' + r_{z-p+j-1} > p$ and

- (1) $R_{(0)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(j)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(j)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.
- (2) For all $k \in [1, p-j]$, $R_{(k)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(j+k)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(j+k)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.
- (3) For all $k \in [p-j+2, p]$, $R_{(k)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(k+j-p-2)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(k+j-p-2)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.
- (4) $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(r_{z+j-p-1})}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(r_{z+j-p-1})} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. Same as 4.7 except when $j+k \geq p+2$.

If $j+k = p+2$, then $\beta_j + m_k = (\nu-1)m_0 + (w-1)n$. If $j+k > p+2$, then

$$\beta_j + m_k = (\nu-2)m_0 + g_{j+k-p-2} + (w-1)n.$$

Hence the result follows. \square

Note that if $p = 1$ then $\text{PF}_2(H) = \{\beta_1\}$.

Corollary 4.9. *Suppose $p = 1$. Then there exists an RF -matrix of β_1 of the following type*

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & u-1 & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-1 & -1 & v-1 \\ \nu-1 & z-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Use Proposition 4.7 and 4.8. \square

Let H be a numerical semigroup generated by a sequence m_1, \dots, m_e . Let k be a field. The semigroup ring $k[H]$ of H is a k -subalgebra of the polynomial ring $k[t]$. In other words, $k[H] = k[t^{m_1}, t^{m_2}, \dots, t^{m_e}]$. Set $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_e]$ and define a map $\pi : R \rightarrow k[H]$ given by

$\pi(x_i) = t^{m_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, e$. Set $\deg x_i = m_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, e$. Observe that R is a graded ring and that π is a degree preserving surjective k -algebra homomorphism. We say kernel of π is defining ideal of $k[H]$ denoted by $I(H)$.

For a vector $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we let b^+ denote the vector whose i -th entry is b_i if $b_i > 0$, and is zero otherwise, and we let $b^- = b^+ - b$. Then $b = b^+ - b^-$ with $b^+, b^- \in \mathbb{N}^n$. For a pseudo-Frobenius element f , the rows of $\text{RF}(f)$ produce binomials in $I(H)$.

Definition 4.10. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be the row vectors of $\text{RF}(f)$, for some pseudo-Frobenius element f , and set $a_{(ij)} = a_i - a_j$ for all i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then $\phi_{ij} = \mathbf{x}^{a_{(ij)}} - \mathbf{x}^{\bar{a}_{(ij)}} \in I(H)$ for all $i < j$. We call ϕ_{ij} an **RF(f)-relation**. We call a binomial relation $\phi \in I(H)$ an **RF-relation** if it is an **RF(f)-relation** for some $f \in \text{PF}(H)$.

Proposition 4.11. If $p = 1$ and $\mu > 0$, then $I(H)$ is minimally generated by **RF(β_1)-relations**.

Proof. Since $p = 1$ and $\mu > 0$, by [8, Proposition 4.6, 5.6] we have $\text{PF}_1(H) = \{\alpha_1\}$ and $\text{PF}_2(H) = \{\beta_1\}$. Therefore, we get $\text{PF}(H) = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}$ and H is not symmetric. We have by Herzog [4], if $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ and H is not symmetric then there exist positive integers a, b, c and a', b', c' such that $I(H)$ is minimally generated by $\phi_1 = x_1^{a+a'} - x_2^{b'} x_3^c$, $\phi_2 = x_2^{b+b'} - x_1^a x_3^{c'}$ and $\phi_3 = x_3^{c+c'} - x_2^b x_1^{a'}$, that is, $(a+a')n_1 = b'n_2 + cn_3$, $(b+b')n_2 = an_1 + c'n_3$, $(c+c')n_3 = a'n_1 + bn_2$.

Let a_1, a_2, a_3 be the row vectors of

$$\text{RF}(\beta_1) := \begin{bmatrix} -1 & u-1 & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-1 & -1 & v-1 \\ \nu-1 & z-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

then as in the notation of Definition 4.10, we have the **RF(β_1)-relations**: $\phi_{12} = x_2^u - x_1^\lambda x_3^w$, $\phi_{13} = x_1^\nu - x_2^{u-z} x_3^{v-w}$ and $\phi_{23} = x_3^v - x_1^\mu x_2^z$. Also we have, $\nu m_0 = (\lambda + \mu)m_0 = g_{u-z} + (v-w)n = (u-z)m_1 + (v-w)n$, $u m_1 = g_u = \lambda m_0 + w n$ and $v n = \mu m_0 + g_z = \mu m_0 + z m_1$. Put $a = \lambda, a' = \mu, c = v - w, c' = w, b = z, b' = u - z$, we get the minimal generating set of **RF(β_1)-relations**. \square

Proposition 4.12. Let $r \geq 2$. Then for each $\beta_j \in \text{PF}_2(H)$, $j \in [p - r + 2, p]$, there exists an **RF(β_j)** of the following type

$$\text{RF}(\beta_j) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q & v-w-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q & v-w-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & v-1 \\ \nu-\epsilon & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & q_{z+j-p-1} & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} R_{(0)} \\ R_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(e)} \\ R_{(e+1)} \\ \vdots \\ R_{(p)} \\ R_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $e \in [1, p-1]$ such that $r + j + e = 2p + 1$ and $\epsilon = 2$ or 1 according as $r' + r_{z-p+j-1} \leq p$ or $r' + r_{z-p+j-1} > p$, and

- (1) $R_{(0)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(p-e)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(p-e)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.
- (2) For all $k \in [1, e]$, $R_{(k)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(p-e+k)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(p-e+k)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.

- (3) For all $k \in [e+1, p]$, $R_{(k)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(j+k-p-1)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(j+k-p-1)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.
- (4) $R_{(n)} = (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(r_z+j-p-1)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ such that $a^{(r_z+j-p-1)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(k)}$'s equal to zero.

Proof. Use similar arguments as in Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 4.7. \square

5. GENERIC TORIC IDEALS

Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over the field k . We say that a binomial ideal is **generic** if it has a minimal generating set containing binomials of full support (i.e each variable should appear in each binomial of generating set) see [10].

Theorem 5.1. [1, Theorem 1]. *Let H be a numerical semigroup. Then $I(H)$ is generic if and only if for each $f \in \text{PF}(H)$, $\text{RF}(f) = (a_{i,j})$ is unique and $a_{i,j} \neq a_{i',j}$ if $i \neq i'$.*

Example 5.2. *Let $H = \langle 5, 6, 7 \rangle$ then $\text{PF}(H) = \{8, 9\}$ and*

$$\text{RF}(8) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{RF}(9) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\text{RF}(8)$ and $\text{RF}(9)$ are unique RF-matrices. Hence by Theorem 5.1 $I(H)$ is generic. On the other hand $I(H) = (x_2^2 - x_1x_3, x_1^4 - x_2x_3^2, x_1^3x_2 - x_3^3)$, hence generic.

Definition 5.3. [11] *Let H_1 and H_2 be two numerical semigroups minimally generated by m_0, \dots, m_{e_1} and m'_0, \dots, m'_{e_2} respectively. Let $\alpha_1 \in H_2 \setminus \{m'_0, \dots, m'_{e_2}\}$ and $\alpha_2 \in H_1 \setminus \{m_0, \dots, m_{e_1}\}$ be such that $\gcd(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 1$. We say that*

$$H = \langle \alpha_1 m_0, \dots, \alpha_1 m_{e_1}, \alpha_2 m'_0, \dots, \alpha_2 m'_{e_2} \rangle$$

is a **gluing** of H_1 and H_2 .

Theorem 5.4. *Let $H_1 = \langle m_0, \dots, m_{e_1} \rangle$ and $H_2 = \langle m'_0, \dots, m'_{e_2} \rangle$ be two numerical semigroups such that $e_2 \geq 1$ and H be the gluing of H_1 and H_2 . Then $I(H)$ is never generic.*

Proof. Let $H = \alpha_1 H_1 + \alpha_2 H_2$, where $\alpha_1 \in H_2 \setminus \{m'_0, \dots, m'_{e_2}\}$ and $\alpha_2 \in H_1 \setminus \{m_0, \dots, m_{e_1}\}$ such that $\gcd(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 1$. We will show that there exists an RF-matrix for some $f \in \text{PF}(H)$ such that $a_{ij} = a_{i'j}$ for some $i \neq i'$. Let $f_1 \in \text{PF}(H_1)$ and $f_2 \in \text{PF}(H_2)$. Define $g = \alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2$. Then $g \in \text{PF}(H)$ (see [12, Theorem 2.4]). Since $f_1 \in \text{PF}(H_1)$, we get $f_1 + \alpha_2 \in H_1$. Therefore there exist $a_0, \dots, a_{e_1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $f_1 + \alpha_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{e_1} a_i m_i$. Similarly, for $f_2 + \alpha_1$, there exist $a'_0, \dots, a'_{e_2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $f_2 + \alpha_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{e_2} a'_i m'_i$. Let $\text{RF}(f_1)$ be an RF-matrix of f_1 and $\text{RF}(f_2)$ be an RF-matrix of f_2 . Then,

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & & & a'_0 & \cdots & a'_{e_2} \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & a'_0 & \cdots & a'_{e_2} \\ \hline a_0 & \cdots & a_{e_1} & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ a_0 & \cdots & a_{e_1} & & & \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \text{RF}(f_2) \\ \\ \end{array}$$

defines an RF-matrix for g . Since $a_{1,j} = a_{2,j} = \dots = a_{e_1+1,j}$ for each $j \in [e_1 + 2, e_1 + e_2 + 2]$, and $a_{e_1+2,j} = a_{e_1+3,j} = \dots = a_{e_1+e_2+2,j}$ for each $j \in [1, e_1 + 1]$. Then by Theorem 5.1, we conclude that $I(H)$ is not generic. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let $e \geq 2$ and $H = \langle m_0, m_1, \dots, m_e \rangle$ be a complete intersection numerical semigroup. Then $I(H)$ is not generic.*

Proof. By [11, Theorem 9.10], we have that a numerical semigroup (other than \mathbb{N}) is a complete intersection if and only if it is a gluing of two complete intersection numerical semigroups. Now using Theorem 5.4, we are done. \square

Theorem 5.6. *Let H be a numerical semigroup minimally generated by an almost arithmetic sequence i.e $H = \langle m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p, n \rangle$; where $m_i = m_0 + id$ for $i \in [1, p]$ and $\gcd(m_0, n, d) = 1$.*

- (1.) *If $p = 0$, then $I(H)$ is generic.*
- (2.) *If $p = 1$, and if $W \neq \emptyset, \mu > 0$ then $I(H)$ is generic, otherwise never generic.*
- (3.) *If $p > 1$, then $I(H)$ is never generic.*

Proof. (1.) It is obvious.

(2.) If $W \neq \emptyset, \mu > 0$ then by Proposition 4.11, we conclude that $I(H)$ is generic.

If $W \neq \emptyset, \mu = 0$ then $\lambda = \nu$ and by Corollary 4.9 and Theorem 5.1 we get $I(H)$ is not generic.

If $W = \emptyset$ then by Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 5.1 we get $I(H)$ is not generic.

(3.) In the Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 4.1, 4.3, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.12, we have seen that for each $f \in \text{PF}(H)$ there exists an RF-matrix $\text{RF}(f) = (a_{i,j})$ such that $a_{i,p+2} = a_{i',p+2}$ for some $i \neq i'$. Hence by Theorem 5.1, $I(H)$ is not generic. \square

6. RF-MATRICES AND SYMMETRIC NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

Let $H = \langle m_0, m_1, \dots, m_p, n \rangle$; where $m_i = m_0 + id$ for $i \in [1, p]$ and $\gcd(m_0, n, d) = 1$. First we list down all the cases such that $\text{type}(H)=1$.

Case-1: $W = \emptyset$. In this case, if $r = 1$, then H is of type 1 only if $p = 1$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_1\}$. If $r \geq 2$, then H is of type 1 only if $r = 2$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_p\}$.

Case-2: $W \neq \emptyset$. If $p = 1$ then H is of type 1 only if $\mu = 0$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\beta_1\}$.

Suppose $p \geq 2$. The only cases in which H is of type 1, are the following:

- (i) $r = 1, r' = 2, \lambda = 1, z < p$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\alpha_{p+1}\}$.
- (ii.) $r' = 1, r = 2, \mu = 0, q' = 0$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\beta_p\}$.
- (iii.) $r = 2, r' = 2, \mu = 0$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\beta_p\}$.

We now consider each possibility separately.

Case-1: $W = \emptyset$.

If $r = 1$, then H is of type 1 only if $p = 1$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_1\}$.

Suppose $p = 1$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of γ_1 of the following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & u-1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ \mu-1 & u+z-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & u-1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & z-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -1 & u-1 & v-1 \\ \mu & -1 & w-1 \\ \mu-1 & u-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $r \geq 2$, then H is of type 1 only if $r = 2$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\gamma_p\}$.

Suppose $r = 2$ and $p = 2$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of γ_2 of the following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & q & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & q+1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 2 & q_{z-2} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if } \mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{z-2} = 1.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & q & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & q+1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 1 & q_{z-2}+1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if } \mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{z-2} = 2.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & q & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & q+1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ \mu-1 & 2 & q+q_z & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if } \mu > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_z = 1.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & q & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & q+1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ \mu-1 & 1 & q+q_z+1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if } \mu > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_z = 2.$$

Suppose $r = 2$ and $p > 2$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of γ_p of the following type

$$\text{RF}(\gamma_p) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & q & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & q & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 1 & q & v-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & q+1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & w+v-1 \\ a_{p+2,1} & a_{p+2,2} & a_{p+2,3} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{p+2,p+1} & a_{p+2,p+2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $(a_{p+2,1}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+2}) = (\mu-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0, q+q_z, -1) + (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(r_z)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ having $a^{(r_z)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero. Or $(a_{p+2,1}, \dots, a_{p+2,p+2}) = (\lambda-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0, q_{z-p}, -1) + (a^{(0)}, \dots, a^{(r_z-p)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$ having $a^{(r_z-p)} = 1$ and all other $a^{(j)}$'s equal to zero according to $\mu > 0$ or $\mu = 0$ respectively.

Case-2: $W \neq \emptyset$.

If $p = 1$, then H is of type 1 only if $\mu = 0$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\beta_1\}$.

Suppose $p = 1$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of β_1 of the following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & u-1 & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-1 & -1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & z-1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose $p \geq 2$. The only cases in which H is of type 1, are the following:

- (i) $r = 1, r' = 2, \lambda = 1, z < p$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\alpha_{p+1}\}$.
- (ii) $r' = 1, r = 2, \mu = 0, q' = 0$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\beta_p\}$.
- (iii) $r = 2, r' = 2, \mu = 0$ and $\text{PF}(H) = \{\beta_p\}$.

Consider subcase (i): We have $\text{PF}(H) = \{\alpha_{p+1}\}$ and $r' = 2, r = 1$ implies $r_z = p - 1$. Since $z < p$ and $r_z = p - 1$ this implies $z = p - 1$ and hence $q_z = 0$. Also, $\lambda = 1$ and $r' > r$ implies $\mu \neq 0$.

Suppose $p = 2$. Then there exist an RF-matrix of α_3 of the following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & q' & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & q'+1 & v-1 \\ \nu-1 & 1 & -1 & w-1 \\ \mu-1 & 2 & q' & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose $p > 2$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of α_{p+1} of the following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & q' & v-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & q' & v-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & q'+1 & v-1 \\ \nu-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -1 & w-1 \\ \mu-1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & q' & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider subcase (ii): We have $\text{PF}(H) = \{\beta_p\}$ and $r = 2, r' = 1$ implies $r_z = 1$. Hence $r_{z-1} = p$ and $q_{z-1} = q_z - 1$. Also $r' + r_{z-1} = p + 1 > p$ implies $\epsilon = 1$. Since $q' = 0$, this implies $u - z = r' = 1$, this implies $z = u - 1 = qp + 1$ and hence $q_z = q$. Also since $\mu = 0$ and $r' < r$, we get $\nu = \lambda + 1$.

Suppose $p = 2$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of β_2 of the following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & q & v-w-1 \\ 0 & -1 & q+1 & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 1 & -1 & v-1 \\ \lambda & 0 & q & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose $p > 2$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of β_p of the following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & q & v-w-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & q & v-w-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & q+1 & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -1 & v-1 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & q & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider subcase (iii): We have $\text{PF}(H) = \{\beta_p\}$ and $r = 2, r' = 2$ implies $r_z = p$. Hence $r_{z-1} = p - 1$ and $q_{z-1} = q_z$. Also $r' + r_{z-1} = p + 1 > p$ implies $\epsilon = 1$. Also $\mu = 0$ and $r = r'$, this implies $\nu = \lambda$.

Suppose $p = 2$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of β_2 of the following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & q & v-w-1 \\ 0 & -1 & q+1 & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 1 & -1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 1 & q_z & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose $p > 2$. Then there exists an RF-matrix of β_p of the following type

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & q & v-w-1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & q & v-w-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & q+1 & v-w-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -1 & v-1 \\ \lambda-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & q_z & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Kazufumi Eto. Generic toric ideals and row-factorization matrices in numerical semigroups. *In: Barucci V., Chapman S., D’Anna M., Fröberg R. (eds) Numerical Semigroups, Springer INdAM Series 40*, pages 83–91. [1](#), [2](#), [17](#)
- [2] Kazufumi Eto. On row-factorization matrices. *Journal of Algebra, Number Theory: Advances and Applications*, 17(2). [1](#)
- [3] Kazufumi Eto. Almost Gorenstein monomial curves in affine four space. *J. Algebra*, 488:362–387, 2017. [1](#)
- [4] Jürgen Herzog. Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings. *Manuscripta Math.*, 3:175–193, 1970. [16](#)
- [5] Jürgen Herzog and Kei-ichi Watanabe. Almost symmetric numerical semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*, 98(3):589–630, 2019. [1](#)
- [6] Alessio Moscariello. On the type of an almost Gorenstein monomial curve. *J. Algebra*, 456:266–277, 2016. [1](#), [2](#)
- [7] T. Numata. Almost symmetric numerical semigroups generated by four elements. *Proc. Inst. Nat. Sci., Nihon Univ.*, 48:197–207, 2013. [1](#)
- [8] D. P. Patil and I. Sengupta. Minimal set of generators for the derivation module of certain monomial curves. *Comm. Algebra*, 27(11):5619–5631, 1999. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [10](#), [15](#), [16](#)
- [9] Dilip P. Patil and Balwant Singh. Generators for the derivation modules and the relation ideals of certain curves. *Manuscripta Math.*, 68(3):327–335, 1990. [2](#), [3](#)

- [10] Irena Peeva and Bernd Sturmfels. Generic lattice ideals. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11(2):363–373, 1998. [17](#)
- [11] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García-Sánchez. *Numerical semigroups*, volume 20 of *Developments in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2009. [1](#), [17](#), [18](#)
- [12] Joydip Saha and Indranath Sengupta. Derivation modules for sum and gluing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.01611*, pages 1–10, 2020. [17](#)

IIT GANDHINAGAR, PALAJ, GANDHINAGAR, GUJARAT-382355 INDIA
Email address: om.prakash@iitgn.ac.in

IIT GANDHINAGAR, PALAJ, GANDHINAGAR, GUJARAT-382355 INDIA
Email address: kriti.g@iitgn.ac.in

IIT GANDHINAGAR, PALAJ, GANDHINAGAR, GUJARAT-382355 INDIA
Email address: indranathsg@iitgn.ac.in