

Compact Packings are not always the Densest

Thomas Fernique*

Daria Pchelina†

A *disc packing* is a union of disjoint interior discs in the Euclidean plane. It is said to be *compact* if its *contact graph*, i.e., the graph which connects the center of adjacent discs, is triangulated.

There is only one compact packing by unit discs, called the *hexagonal compact packing*. It is proven in [FT43] to have maximal density among all the packings by unit discs.

There are exactly 9 values $r < 1$ which allow compact packings by discs of sizes 1 and r (where the two disc sizes appear) [Ken06]. In each case the maximal density has been proven to be reached for a periodic compact packing [BF20] (see also [Hep00, Hep03, Ken04]).

There are exactly 164 values $s < r < 1$ which allow compact packings by discs of sizes 1, r and s (where the three disc sizes appear) [FHS20]. However, the maximal density is *not* always reached for a compact packing. A simple counterexample is depicted on Fig. 1, left. In this case, the smallest discs can be inserted in each holes between two large and one medium discs, yielding a noncompact packing which is more dense. This counterexample can be ruled out by considering only *saturated* packings, that is, such that no further disc can be added. In [CGSY18], it has been conjectured that if the densest compact packing is saturated, then the maximal density is reached for a compact packing.




Figure 1: Three ternary compact packings numbered 28, 110 and 53 in [FHS20].

Unfortunately, the packing depicted in Fig 1, middle, yields a new counterexample: it is saturated but the discs can form a noncompact packing which is more dense. Let us give details. The discs have size 1, $r \approx 0.779$ and $s \approx 0.497$, where r and s are roots of, respectively:

$$9x^8 + 12x^7 - 242x^6 + 436x^5 + 665x^4 - 2680x^3 + 2680x^2 - 1056x + 144,$$

$$9x^8 - 120x^7 - 380x^6 + 2056x^5 + 12846x^4 - 29672x^3 + 15220x^2 - 2088x + 81.$$

The point is that the ratio $q := s/r \approx 0.6378$ is very close to one of the 9 ratios which allow a compact packing by two sizes of discs (namely $r_1 \approx 0.6375$). It actually allows an “almost compact” packing, see Fig. 2.

*LIPN, Univ. Paris Nord & CNRS, 93430 Villetaneuse, France.

†LIPN, Univ. Paris Nord & CNRS, 93430 Villetaneuse, France.




Figure 2: Fundamental domain of a noncompact packing by the small and medium discs of the compact packing depicted in Fig. 1, middle.

In Fig. 2, a cartesian coordinate system is depicted and discs have been numbered. Disc 0 is centered in $(-q, 0)$, disc 1 in $(q, 0)$ and disc 2 in $(0, \sqrt{2q+1})$. A computation shows that disc 3 has Y-coordinate:

$$\frac{2q\sqrt{q(q+2)} + (q^2 + 2q - 1)\sqrt{2q+1}}{q^2 + 2q + 1} > 1.0007.$$

Further computations (see joined SageMath code `110.sage` for full details) shows that the density of this packing is greater than 0.9105, while the density of the packing depicted in Fig. 2, middle, is less than 0.9104. This yields the claimed counterexample. It uses only two of the three disc sizes, but it is easy to remove a positive but small enough proportion of discs so that the density is still larger than the one of the packing depicted in Fig. 2, middle, but the removed discs create holes large enough to contain each a large disc of radius 1.

To conclude, let us mention the rightmost packing in Fig. 1. This one has indeed been proven in [Fer19] to maximize the density. The maximal density of many of the 164 cases is still open. For which ones the conjecture stated in [CGSY18] holds?

References

- [BF20] N. Bédaride and Th. Fernique. Density of binary disc packings: The 9 compact packings. preprint, arXiv:2002.07168, 2020.
- [CGSY18] R. Connelly, S. Gortler, E. Solomonides, and M. Yampolskaya. Circle packings, triangulations, and rigidity. Oral presentation at the conference for the 60th birthday of Thomas C. Hales, 2018.
- [Fer19] Th. Fernique. A Densest ternary circle packing in the plane. arxiv:1912.02297, 2019.
- [FHS20] Th. Fernique, A. Hashemi, and O. Sizova. Compact packings of the plane with three sizes of discs. *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 2020.
- [FT43] L. Fejes Tóth. Über die dichteste Kugellagerung. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 48:676–684, 1943.
- [Hep00] A Heppes. On the densest packing of discs of radius 1 and 0.414.... *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 36:433–454, 2000.
- [Hep03] A. Heppes. Some densest two-size disc packings in the plane. *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 30:241–262, 2003.
- [Ken04] T. Kennedy. A densest compact planar packing with two sizes of discs. preprint, arxiv:0412418, 2004.
- [Ken06] T. Kennedy. Compact packings of the plane with two sizes of discs. *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 35:255–267, 2006.