Orderenergetic, hypoenergetic and equienergetic graphs resulting from some graph operations

Jahfar T K [∗]and Chithra A V †

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Calicut, Kerala, India-673601

Abstract

A graph G is said to be orderenergetic, if its energy equal to its order and it is said to be hypoenergetic if its energy less than its order. Two non-isomorphic graphs of same order are said to be equienergetic if their energies are equal. In this paper, we construct some new families of orderenergetic graphs, hypoenergetic graphs, equienergetic graphs, equiorderenergetic graphs and equihypoenergetic graphs.

AMS classification: 05C50

Keywords: orderenergetic graphs, equienergetic graphs, hypoenergetic graphs, equiorderenergetic graphs, equihypoenergetic graphs.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider simple undirected graphs. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph of order p and size q with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_p\}$ and edge set $E(G)$ ${e_1, e_2, ..., e_q}$. The adjacency matrix $A(G) = [a_{ij}]$ of the graph G is a square symmetric matrix of order p whose $(i, j)^{th}$ entry is defined by

$$
a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are adjacent,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

The eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_p$ of the graph G are defined as the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix $A(G)$. If $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_t$ are the distinct eigenvalues of G, then the spectrum of G can be written as $spec(G) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & ... & \lambda_t \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \end{pmatrix}$ m_1 m_2 ... m_t \setminus , where m_j indicates the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ_j , $1 \leq j \leq t$ of G. The energy [\[7\]](#page-9-0) of the graph G is defined as $\varepsilon(G) = \sum$ p $i=1$ $|\lambda_i|$. More results on graph energy are reported in [\[2,](#page-9-1)7].

[∗] jahfartk@gmail.com

[†] chithra@nitc.ac.in

Two non-isomorphic graphs are said to be cospetral if they have the same spectrum, otherwise they are known as non-cospectral. Two non-isomorphic graphs of the same order are said to be equienergetic if they have the same energy [\[12\]](#page-9-2). A graph of order p is said to be hyperenergetic if its energy is greater than $2(p-1)$, otherwise graph is non hyperenergetic. Graphs of order p with energy equal to p is called orderenergetic graphs [\[1\]](#page-9-3). The number of graphs whose energy equal to its order are relatively small. So we are trying to find new families of orderenergetic graphs. The orderenergetic graphs are studied in [\[1\]](#page-9-3).

The spectrum of complete bipartite graph $K_{p,p}$ is

$$
spec(K_{p,p})=\begin{pmatrix}-p & 0 & p\\1 & 2p-2 & 1\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then $\varepsilon(K_{p,p}) = 2p$, so $K_{p,p}$ is orderenergetic for every p. So our interest is to find the orderenergetic graphs other than $K_{p,p}$. In 2007, I.Gutman et al. [\[10\]](#page-9-4) introduced the definition of hypoenergetic graphs. A graph is said to be hypoenergetic if its energy is less than its order, otherwise it is said to be non hypoenergetic. The properties of hypoenergetic graphs are discussed in detail $[6, 9, 10]$ $[6, 9, 10]$ $[6, 9, 10]$. In the chemical literature there are many graphs for which the energy exceeds the order of graphs. In 1973, England and Ruedenberg published a paper [\[5\]](#page-9-7) in which they asked "why does the graph energy exceed the number of vertices?". In 2007, Gutman [\[8\]](#page-9-8) had proved that if the graph G is regular of any non-zero degree, then G is non hypoenergetic. The orderenergetic and hypoenergetic graphs have several applications in theoretical chemistry. A graph is said to be integral if all of its eigenvalues are integers. The aim of this paper is to construct new families of orderenergetic, hypoenergetic and equienergetic graphs using some graph operations.

The complement graph \overline{G} of G is a graph with vertex set same as that of G and two vertices in \overline{G} are adjacent only if they are not adjacent in G. We shall use the following notations throughout this paper, C_p , K_p , P_m and $K_{r,s}$ denotes cycle on p vertices, complete graph on p vertices, path on m vertices and complete bipartite graph on $r+s$ vertices respectively. The symbols I_m and J_m will stands for the identity matrix of order m and $m \times m$ matrix with all entries are ones respectively.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state some previously known results that will be needed in the subsequent sections. In Section 3, we construct some orderenergetic graphs. In Section 4, some new families of hypoenergetic graphs are presented. In Section 5, an infinite family of equienergetic, equiorderenergetic and equihypoenergetic graphs are given.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the concepts of the *m*-splitting graph, the *m*-shadow graph and the m-duplicate graph of a graph and list some previously established results.

Definition 2.1. [\[4\]](#page-9-9) The Kronecker product of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is a graph $G_1 \times G_2$ with vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ and the vertices (x_1, x_2) and (y_1, y_2) are adjacent if and only if (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) are edges in G_1 and G_2 respectively.

Definition 2.2. [\[4\]](#page-9-9) Let $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $B \in M_{p \times q}(\mathbb{R})$ be two matrices of order $m \times n$ and $p \times q$ respectively. Then the Kronecker product of A and B is defined as follows

$$
A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & a_{13}B & \dots & a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & a_{23}B & \dots & a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & a_{m3}B & \dots & a_{mn}B \end{bmatrix}
$$

.

.

Proposition 2.1. [\[4\]](#page-9-9) Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ be two matrices of order n. Let λ be an eigenvalue of matrix A with corresponding eigenvector x and μ be an eigenvalue of matrix B with corresponding eigenvector y, then $\lambda \mu$ is an eigenvalue of $A \otimes B$ with corresponding eigenvector $x \otimes y$.

Lemma 2.1. [\[3\]](#page-9-10). If G_1 and G_2 are any two graphs, then $\varepsilon(G_1 \times G_2) = \varepsilon(G_1)\varepsilon(G_2)$.

Definition 2.3. [\[3\]](#page-9-10). The join of graphs G_1 and G_2 , $G_1 \vee G_2$ is obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by joining every vertex of G_1 with every vertex of G_2 .

Proposition 2.2. [\[4\]](#page-9-9). If G_1 is a r_1 -regular graph with n_1 vertices and G_2 is a r_2 regular graph with n_2 vertices, then the characteristic polynomial of $G_1 \vee G_2$ is given by

$$
\phi(G_1 \vee G_2, x) = \frac{\phi(G_1, x)\phi(G_2, x)}{(x - r_1)(x - r_2)}[(x - r_1)(x - r_2) - n_1n_2].
$$

Definition 2.4. [\[15\]](#page-9-11) Let G be a (p,q) graph. Then the m-splitting graph of a graph G , $spl_m(G)$ is obtained by adding to each vertex v of G new m vertices say, $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m$ such that v_i , $1 \le i \le m$ is adjacent to each vertex that is adjacent to v in G. The adjacency matrix of m-splitting graph of G is

$$
A(spl_m(G)) = \begin{bmatrix} A(G) & A(G) & A(G) & \dots & A(G) \\ A(G) & O & O & \dots & O \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A(G) & O & O & \dots & O \end{bmatrix}_{(m+1)p}
$$

Proposition 2.3. [\[15\]](#page-9-11) Let G be a (p,q) graph. Then the energy of m-splitting graph **Proposition 2.3.** [15] Let G be a $\{f\}$ of G is, $\varepsilon(\text{spl}_m(G)) = \sqrt{1+4m}\varepsilon(G)$.

Definition 2.5. [\[15\]](#page-9-11) Let G be a (p,q) graph. Then the m-shadow graph $D_m(G)$ of a connected graph G is obtained by taking m copies of G say, $G_1, G_2, ..., G_m$ then join each vertex u in G_i to the neighbors of the corresponding vertex v in $G_j, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$ $j \leq m$. The adjacency matrix of m-shadow graph of G is $A(D_m(G)) = J_m \otimes A(G)$. Note that the number of vertices in $D_m(G)$ is pm.

If $m = 2$, then the graph $D_2(G)$ is called shadow graph of G.

Lemma 2.2. [\[15\]](#page-9-11) Let G be any graph. Then $\varepsilon(D_m(G)) = m\varepsilon(G)$.

Definition 2.6. [\[13\]](#page-9-12). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a (p, q) graph with vertex set V and edge set E. Let W be a set such that $V \cap W = \emptyset$, $|V| = |W|$ and $f: V \to W$ be bijective (for $a \in V$ we write $f(a)$ as a' for convenience). A duplicate graph of G is $D(G) = (V_1, E_1)$, where the vertex set $V_1 = V \cup W$ and the edge set E_1 of $D(G)$ is defined as, the edge ab is in E if and only if both ab' and a'b are in E_1 . In general m-duplicate graph $D^m(G)$ is defined as $D^m(G) = D^{m-1}(D(G))$. Note that the m-duplicate graph has 2^mp vertices and 2^mq edges.

Note 1. [\[11\]](#page-9-13) Energy of the duplicate graph $D(G)$, $\varepsilon(D(G)) = 2\varepsilon(G)$.

3 Construction of orderenergetic graphs

In this section, it is possible to construct an infinite family of orderenergetic graphs from the given orderenergetic graphs. Let G and H be orderenergetic graphs, then $G \cup H$ is orderenergetic. For example, the graph $K_{p,p} \cup mK_2$ is orderenergetic, but this graph is not connected.

The following theorems give some new methods to construct an infinite family of connected orderenergetic graphs.

Theorem 3.1. Let G be a connected orderenergetic graph of order p . Then the mshadow graph, $D_m(G)$ is a connected orderenergetic graph.

Proof. Since G is orderenergetic, by Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-0) $\varepsilon(D_m(G)) = m\varepsilon(G) = mp$. So $D_m(G)$ is orderenergetic. Also the m-shadow graph of a connected graph is connected. \Box

Remark 3.1. Let G be an orderenergetic graph. Then the m-shadow graph of a duplicate graph, $D_m(D(G))$ is orderenergetic.

Theorem 3.2. Let G be an r-regular orderenergetic graph of order p. Then $G \vee \overline{K_n}$ is orderenergetic if and only if $n = 4p - 2r$.

Proof. Let $r = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_p$ be the eigenvalues of G. Since G is orderenergetic, we have,

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{p} |\lambda_i| = p - r.
$$

From Proposition [2.2,](#page-2-1) the characteristic polynomial of $G \vee \overline{K_n}$ is given by

$$
\phi(G \vee \overline{K_n}, x) = x^{n-1}(x - \lambda_2)(x - \lambda_3)...(x - \lambda_p)(x^2 - rx - np).
$$

Let α and β be the roots of the equation $x^2 - rx - np = 0$. It is easy to observe that α and β are of opposite sign. Without loss of generality we assume that $\alpha > 0$ and β < 0, then $\alpha + \beta = r$, $\alpha\beta = -np$. Thus the spectrum of $G \vee \overline{K_n}$ is

$$
spec(G \vee \overline{K_n}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \dots & \lambda_p & \alpha & \beta \\ n-1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Hence

$$
\varepsilon(G \vee \overline{K_n}) = \sum_{i=2}^p |\lambda_i| + |\alpha| + |\beta| = \sum_{i=2}^p |\lambda_i| + \alpha - \beta.
$$

If $G \vee \overline{K_n}$ is order
energetic, then

.

$$
\varepsilon(G \vee \overline{K_n}) = p + n \Longleftrightarrow p - r + \alpha - \beta = p + n
$$

$$
\Longleftrightarrow \alpha - \beta = n + r
$$

Also $\alpha + \beta = r$ and $\alpha - \beta = n + r$ implies that $\alpha = \frac{n+2r}{2}$ $\frac{+2r}{2}$ and $\beta = -\frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2}$.

$$
\alpha \beta = -np \Longleftrightarrow \alpha \beta = \frac{-n^2 - 2nr}{4}
$$

$$
\Longleftrightarrow -np = \frac{-n^2 - 2nr}{4}
$$

$$
\Longleftrightarrow 4np = n^2 + 2nr
$$

$$
\Longleftrightarrow n = 4p - 2r.
$$

Example 3.1. Let $G = C_4$. Then $G \vee \overline{K_{12}}$ is orderenergetic.

$$
spec(G) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

$$
spec(G \vee \overline{K_{12}}) = \begin{pmatrix} -6 & -2 & 0 & 8 \\ 1 & 1 & 13 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

 $\varepsilon(G \vee \overline{K_{12}}) = 16$ and order of $G \vee \overline{K_{12}}$ is 16. Example 3.2. Consider $K_2 \vee \overline{K_6}$,

Figure 1: Graph $K_2 \vee \overline{K_6}$

$$
spec(K_2 \vee \overline{K_6}) = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -1 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

 $\varepsilon(K_2 \vee \overline{K_6}) = 8$ and order of $K_2 \vee \overline{K_6}$ is 8.

Theorem 3.3. Let G be an orderenergetic graph with p vertices. Then the 2-splitting graph of G , $spl_2(G)$ is orderenergetic.

In [\[14\]](#page-9-14), D.Stevanovic introduced the graph superpath $SP(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$ obtained by replacing each vertex v_i of the path P_m with totally disconnected graph K_{a_i} . Two vertices $u \in \overline{K}_{a_i}$ and $w \in \overline{K}_{a_j}$ are adjacent in $SP(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$ if v_i and v_j are adjacent in P_m , $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$. The order of $SP(4, 1, 3, 2, 2, 3, 1, 4)$ is $m(m + 1)$.

For example, the superpath $SP(4, 1, 3, 2, 2, 3, 1, 4)$.

Figure 2: Graph SP(4, 1, 3, 2, 2, 3, 1, 4).

Note that the maximum degree \triangle of $SP(m, 1, m-1, 2, ...2, m-1, 1, m)$ is $2m-1$.

Theorem 3.4. [\[14\]](#page-9-14) The superpath $SP(m, 1, m-1, 2, ..., 2, m-1, 1, m)$ is integral for each natural number m. Its spectrum consists of the simple eigenvalues $\pm m, \pm (m -$ 1), $\pm(m-2), \ldots, \pm 1$ and the eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity $m(m-1)$.

From this theorem, we can say that the eigenvalues of $SP(m, 1, m-1, 2, ..., 2, m-1)$ $1, 1, m$ are consecutive integers $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots, \pm m$.

Corollary 3.5. The energy of $SP(m, 1, m-1, 2, ... 2, m-1, 1, m)$ is $m(m + 1)$.

The following corollary gives the existence of orderenergetic graph of maximum degree $2m - 1$.

Corollary 3.6. The graph $SP(m, 1, m-1, 2, ...2, m-1, 1, m)$ is orderenergetic for every m.

Observation 1. The graph $SP(m, 1, m-1, 2, ..., 2, m-1, 1, m)$ is a graph with least maximum degree in the collection of all orderenergetic graphs having $m^2 + m$ vertices.

Observation 2. Let G be an orderenergetic graph. Then G is an integral graph.

4 Hypoenergetic graphs

In 2007, I.Gutman et al. [\[10\]](#page-9-4) introduced the definition of hypoenergetic graphs. In this section, we present some techniques for constructing sequence of hypoenergetic graphs.

Proposition 4.1. Kronecker product of two hypoenergetic graphs is hypoenergetic.

Proof. Let G_1 and G_2 be two hypoenergetic graphs with order n_1 and n_2 respectively. Then $\varepsilon(G_1) < n_1$ and $\varepsilon(G_2) < n_2$. By Lemma 2.1, $\varepsilon(G_1 \times G_2) = \varepsilon(G_1)\varepsilon(G_2) < n_1n_2$. Thus Kronecker product of G_1 and G_2 , $G_1 \times G_2$ is hypoenergetic graph. \Box

The following theorem enable us to construct infinitely many hypoenergetic graphs.

Proposition 4.2. Let G_1 be an orderenergetic graph and G_2 be a hypoenergetic graph. Then Kronecker product of G_1 and G_2 , $G_1 \times G_2$ is hypoenergetic graph.

Proof. Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with order n_1 and n_2 respectively. Since G_1 is orderenergetic, $\varepsilon(G_1) = n_1$ and G_2 is hypoenergetic, $\varepsilon(G_2) < n_2$. By Lemma 2.1, $\varepsilon(G_1 \times G_2) = \varepsilon(G_1)\varepsilon(G_2) < n_1n_2.$

Example 4.1. Let $G = K_{p,p} \times K_{1,3}$. Then $|V(K_{p,p} \times K_{1,3})| = 8p$ and $\varepsilon(K_{p,p} \times K_{1,3}) =$ $4\sqrt{3}p < 8p$. So $K_{p,p} \times K_{1,3}$ is hypoenergetic for every p.

Proposition 4.3. Let G be a hypoenergetic graph of order p. Then the m-shadow graph of G, $D_m(G)$ is hypoenergetic for every m.

Example 4.2. Let $G = K_{r,s} r \neq s$. Then $|V(K_{r,s})| = r+s$ and $\varepsilon(D_m(K_{r,s})) = 2m$ √ $\overline{rs}<$ $m(r + s) = |V(D_m(K_{r,s}))|$.

Remark 4.1. Let G be a hypoenergetic graph. Then the m-shadow graph of duplicate graph, $D_m(D(G))$ is hypoenergetic.

Proposition 4.4. Let G be a hypoenergetic graph of order p. Then the m-splitting graph of G, $spl_m(G)$ is hypoenergetic for $m > 2$.

Proof. Since G is a hypoenergetic graph, $\varepsilon(G) < p$. Also $|V(spl_m(G))| = p(m+1)$. As $m > 2$,

$$
m(m-2) > 0 \Longrightarrow (m+1)^2 > 1 + 4m
$$

$$
\Longrightarrow \sqrt{1 + 4m\varepsilon}(G) < p(m+1)
$$

$$
\Longrightarrow \varepsilon(spl_m(G)) < p(m+1).
$$

Thus $spl_m(G)$ is hypoenergetic graph.

Let G be a graph of order p and we denote $G_r^s = K_{r,s} \times G, r, s \in N$.

It is very interesting to construct hypoenergetic graphs from non hypoenergetic graphs.

The following theorem describes a construction of hypoenergetic graphs from the complete graph.

Theorem 4.1. Let $G = K_p$ be a complete graph on p vertices and $m \geq 14$. Then the $graph G_1^m$ is hypoenergetic.

Proof. The energy of complete graph is $2(p-1)$ and $|V(G_1^m)| = p(1+m)$. As $m \geq 14$,

$$
m(m-14) + 1 > 0 \Longrightarrow m^2 + 2m + 1 > 16m
$$

\n
$$
\Longrightarrow 4\sqrt{m} < (m+1)
$$

\n
$$
\Longrightarrow 4\sqrt{m}(p-1) < p(m+1)
$$

\n
$$
\Longrightarrow 2\sqrt{m}\varepsilon(K_p) < p(m+1)
$$

\n
$$
\Longrightarrow \varepsilon(G_1^m) < p(1+m).
$$
 (4.0.1)

 \Box

Thus G_1^m is hypoenergetic whenever $m \geq 14$.

Remark 4.2. The graph $G = K_p$ is non hypoenergetic but G_1^m is hypoenergetic for $m \geq 14$.

Since $4\sqrt{m}$ > $(m+1)$ for $m < 14$, so inequality $(4.0.1)$ is satisfied for every $p \leq k$, where $k = \frac{4\sqrt{m}}{4\sqrt{m} - (m)}$ $\frac{4\sqrt{m}}{4\sqrt{m}-(m+1)}$, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is floor of x. Thus G_1^m , $(m < 14)$ is hypoenergetic whenever $p \leq k$.

Corollary 4.2. Let G be any non hyperenergetic graph. Then G_1^m is hypoenergetic for every $m \geq 14$.

5 Equienergetic graphs

In this section, we construct some new pairs of equienergetic graphs.

Proposition 5.1. Let G be a (p,q) graph. Then the graphs $D_m(D(G))$ and $D_{2m}(G)$ are non-cospectral equienergetic graphs.

Proposition 5.2. Let G be a (p,q) graph and $D^m(G)$ be the m-duplicate graph of G. Then $\varepsilon(D^m(G)) = 2^m \varepsilon(G)$.

Proposition 5.3. The graphs $D^m(G)$ and $D_{2^m}(G)$ are non-cospectral equienergetic graphs for all m.

Proposition 5.4. Let G be a simple (p, q) graph. Then G is integral if and only if its $m\text{-}duplicate$ graph $D^m(G)$ is integral.

The following propositions describes the class of equiorderenergetic graphs.

Proposition 5.5. Let G be an orderenergetic graph of order p . Then the the graphs $spl_2(G)$ and $D_3(G)$ are equiorderenergetic graphs.

Figure 3: Graph $SP(2, 1, 1, 2)$.

Figure 4: Graph $D_3(SP(2, 1, 1, 2))$. Figure 5: Graph $spl_2(SP(2, 1, 1, 2))$.

The graphs $D_3(SP(2, 1, 1, 2))$ and $spl_2(SP(2, 1, 1, 2))$ are equiorderenergetic graphs. **Proposition 5.6.** Let G be a hypoenergetic graph. Then the graphs $spl_2(G)$ and $D_3(G)$ are equihypoenergetic graphs.

Conclusion In this paper, we construct some family of orderenergetic graphs from the known orderenergetic graphs. Also, some new families of hypoenergetic graphs are derived by using some graph operations. Moreover, the problem for constructing equienergetic graphs are discussed. In addition to that a new class of equiorderenergetic and equihypoenergetic graphs are obtained.

References

- [1] S. Akbari, M. Ghahremani, I. Gutman, and F. Koorepazan-Moftakhar, Orderenergetic graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 84 (2020), 325–334.
- [2] R. Balakrishnan, The energy of a graph, Linear Algebra and its Applications 387 (2004), 287–295.
- [3] Rangaswami Balakrishnan and Kanna Ranganathan, A textbook of graph theory, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [4] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, et al., Spectra of graphs-theory and applications, vol. 10, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [5] W. England and K. Ruedenberg, Why is the delocalization energy negative and why is it proportional to the number of. pi. electrons, Journal of the American Chemical Society 95 (1973), 8769–8775.
- [6] I Gutman, Hyperenergetic and hypoenergetic graphs, Selected Topics on Applications of Graph Spectra, Math. Inst., Belgrade, 113–135.
- [7] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, Ber. Math.-Statist. Sekt. Forsch. Graz 103 $(1978), 1-22.$
- [8] I. Gutman, S. Z Firoozabadi, Jose A de la P, and J Rada, On the energy of regular graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 57 (2007), 435–442.
- [9] I. Gutman, X. Li, Y. Shi, and J. Zhang, Hypoenergetic trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 60 (2008), 415–426.
- [10] I. Gutman and S. Radenković, *Hypoenergetic molecular graphs*, Indian journal of chemistry 46A (2007), 1733–1736.
- [11] G Indulal and A Vijayakumar, On a pair of equienergetic graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 55 (2006), 83–90.
- [12] Harishchandra S Ramane, Hanumappa B Walikar, Siddani Bhaskara Rao, B Devadas Acharya, I Gutman, PR Hampiholi, and Sudhir R Jog, Equienergetic graphs, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics 26 (2004), 5–13.
- [13] E. Sampathkumar, On duplicate graphs, J. Indian Math. Soc 37 (1973), 285–293.
- [14] D. Stevanović, N. Milosavljević, and D. Vukičević, A few examples and counter examples in spectral graph theory, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 40 (2020), 637–662.
- [15] S. K. Vaidya and K. M. Popat, Energy of m-splitting and m-shadow graphs, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences 102 (2017), 1571–1578.