

# ON THE CHVÁTAL-JANSON CONJECTURE

LUCIO BARABESI, LUCA PRATELLI, AND PIETRO RIGO

ABSTRACT. In a recent paper, Svante Janson has considered a conjecture suggested by Vašek Chvátal dealing with the probability that a binomial random variable with parameters  $n$  and  $m/n$  - where  $m$  is an integer - exceeds its expectation  $m$ . Albeit Janson has provided a proof of this conjecture for large  $n$ , we show that the result actually holds for each  $n \geq 2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

By assuming that  $B(n, m/n)$  denotes a binomial random variable with parameters  $n$  and  $m/n$ , Janson (2021) introduces the following conjecture suggested by Vašek Chvátal in a personal communication.

**Conjecture 1** (Chvátal). *For any fixed  $n \geq 2$ , as  $m$  ranges over  $\{0, \dots, n\}$ , the probability  $q_m := P(B(n, m/n) \leq m)$  is the smallest when  $m = \llbracket 2n/3 \rrbracket$  where  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  represents the nearest integer function.*

It is worth noting that the conjecture may have interesting applications, since the probability that a binomial random variable exceeds its expected value has generally an important role in the machine learning literature (see e.g. Doerr, 2018, Greenberg and Mohri, 2014, Vapnik, 1998). Such a probability has even a connection with an equation given by Ramanujan, as emphasized by Jogdeo and Samuels (1968). For further results on the topic, see Pelekis and Ramon (2016), Slud (1977).

Janson (2021) has proven that, for large  $n$ , Conjecture 1 actually holds and the probabilities  $q_m$  have a unique minimum. More precisely, Janson (2021) provides the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *There exists a  $n_0$  such that for each  $n_0 \geq n$ : i)  $q_m$  is minimum for  $m = \llbracket 2n/3 \rrbracket$  and ii)  $q_m \geq q_{m+1}$  if and only if  $m + \frac{1}{2} < 2n/3$ .*

However, Janson (2021) remarks that, even if it is possible in principle computing an explicit value for  $n_0$  in the proof of Theorem 1 and numerically checking the statement for  $n < n_0$ , such an issue is not practically feasible. Actually, Janson (2021, Remark 1.5) wishes for a general proof of Theorem 1.

In the present contribution, we give a plain proof of Theorem 1 for each  $n \geq 2$ . The proof is achieved by means of different methods with respect to those adopted by Janson (2021), which are actually based on the version for integer-valued random variables of the asymptotic Edgeworth expansion for probabilities in the central limit theorem - as proposed by Esseen (1945). Indeed, our proof shares similarities

---

*Key words and phrases.* Binomial distribution, Binomial tail probability, Bernoulli inequality.

with the approach introduced by Rigollet and Tong (2011, Appendix B) for assessing that  $q_m \geq q_{m+1}$  for  $0 \leq m < n/2$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(U_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be  $n$  independent copies of a Uniform random variable on  $[0, 1]$ . If  $(U_{(i)})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  represent the order statistics corresponding to  $(U_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ , it obviously holds

$$q_m = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{U_i \leq m/n\}} \leq m\right) = P(U_{(m+1)} > m/n). \quad (1)$$

On the basis of (1), for each  $m \leq n-1$  it follows that

$$q_m = (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} \int_{m/n}^1 x^m (1-x)^{n-m-1} dx, \quad (2)$$

since the probability density function  $f_{m+1}$  of  $U_{(m+1)}$  is given by

$$f_{m+1}(x) = (m+1) \binom{n}{m+1} x^m (1-x)^{n-m-1} I_{[0,1]}(x)$$

(see e.g. Feller, 1971, Section I.7).

**Lemma 1.** *Let us assume that  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \leq n-2$ . Then*

$$q_m \geq q_{m+1} \iff \int_{m/n}^{(m+1)/n} x^{m+1} (1-x)^{n-m-2} dx \geq b_m,$$

where  $b_m = \frac{(m/n)^{m+1} (1-m/n)^{n-m-1}}{n-m-1}$ . In addition,  $q_m \geq q_{m+1}$  is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 (1+t/m)^{m+1} (1-t/(n-m))^{n-m-2} dt \geq \frac{n-m}{n-m-1}, \quad (3)$$

or to

$$\int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{m+1}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{v}{n-m-1}\right)^{n-m-1} dv \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* On the basis of (2) and by using the definition of the binomial coefficient, it follows that  $q_m \geq q_{m+1}$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{m+1}{n-m-1} \int_{m/n}^1 x^m (1-x)^{n-m-1} dx \geq \int_{(m+1)/n}^1 x^{m+1} (1-x)^{n-m-2} dx.$$

Integrating by part the left-hand side of the previous inequality, the expression reduces to

$$-b_m + \int_{m/n}^1 x^{m+1} (1-x)^{n-m-2} dx \geq \int_{(m+1)/n}^1 x^{m+1} (1-x)^{n-m-2} dx$$

and the main result follows. As to (3), from the main result and by means of the substitution  $x = m/n + t/n$ , it reads

$$\int_0^1 (m/n + t/n)^{m+1} (1 - m/n - t/n)^{n-m-2} dt \geq n b_m,$$

which provides (3) by suitably dividing both sides by  $(m/n)^{m+1}(1 - m/n)^{n-m-2}$ . As to (4), by multiplying both sides of (3) by  $(n - m - 1)/(n - m)$  and integrating by parts the corresponding left-hand side, it reads

$$\int_0^1 \left(1 + \frac{t}{m}\right)^m \left(1 - \frac{t}{n-m}\right)^{n-m-1} dt \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-m}\right)^{n-m-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^m.$$

By dividing both sides of the previous inequality by the quantity in the right-hand side, the expression reduces to

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{t+m}{1+m}\right)^m \left(\frac{n-m-t}{n-m-1}\right)^{n-m-1} dt \geq 1,$$

which readily provides (4) by considering the transformation  $t = 1 - v$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *For a given  $n \geq 3$ , let  $m$  be an integer in  $[1, n - 2]$ . For each  $v \in ]0, 1[$ , the function  $g_v$  defined on  $[1, n - 2]$  and such that*

$$g_v(m) = \left(1 - \frac{v}{m+1}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{v}{n-m-1}\right)^{n-m-1}$$

*is decreasing. Moreover, the function*

$$h : m \mapsto \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{m+1}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{v}{n-m-1}\right)^{n-m-1} dv$$

*is decreasing on  $[1, n - 2]$ .*

*Proof.* For a given  $v \in ]0, 1[$  and by denoting with  $x$  a real number in  $[1, n - 2]$ , it suffices to prove that  $g'_v(x) < 0$ . Since

$$g'_v(x) = g_v(x) \left[ \log\left(1 - \frac{v}{x+1}\right) + \frac{\frac{vx}{(x+1)^2}}{1 - \frac{v}{x+1}} - \log\left(1 + \frac{v}{n-x-1}\right) + \frac{\frac{v}{n-x-1}}{1 + \frac{v}{n-x-1}} \right],$$

it holds

$$g'_v(x) < 0 \iff \frac{\frac{vx}{(x+1)^2}}{1 - \frac{v}{x+1}} + \frac{\frac{v}{n-x-1}}{1 + \frac{v}{n-x-1}} < \log\left(\frac{1 + \frac{v}{n-x-1}}{1 - \frac{v}{x+1}}\right).$$

In addition, since

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{1 + \frac{v}{n-x-1}}{1 - \frac{v}{x+1}}\right) &= \log\left[\left(1 + \frac{v}{n-x-1}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\frac{v}{x+1}}{1 - \frac{v}{x+1}}\right)\right] \\ &= \log\left(1 + \frac{v}{n-x-1}\right) + \log\left(1 + \frac{\frac{v}{x+1}}{1 - \frac{v}{x+1}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

in order to prove that  $g'_v(x) < 0$  it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\frac{v}{n-x-1}}{1 + \frac{v}{n-x-1}} < \log\left(1 + \frac{v}{n-x-1}\right) \quad (5)$$

and

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{\frac{v}{x+1}}{1 - \frac{v}{x+1}}\right) > \frac{\frac{vx}{(x+1)^2}}{1 - \frac{v}{x+1}}. \quad (6)$$

By assuming that  $c = \frac{v}{n-x-1}$ , inequality (5) follows from  $\log(1 + c) > c/(1 + c)$ , which holds for each  $c > 0$ , while inequality (6) is equivalent to

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{v}{x+1-v}\right) - \frac{v}{x+1-v} + \frac{v}{(x+1)(x+1-v)} > 0$$

which, by assuming that  $c = v/(x + 1 - v)$ , reduces to

$$\log(1 + c) - c + \frac{c^2}{v(c + 1)} > 0. \quad (7)$$

Inequality (7) holds since

$$\log(1 + c) - c + \frac{c^2}{v(c + 1)} \geq \log(1 + c) - c + \frac{c^2}{c + 1} = \log(1 + c) - \frac{c}{c + 1}$$

and Lemma is proven.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THE CHVÁTAL-JANSON CONJECTURE

In this section we provide a proof of Theorem 1 for  $n \geq 2$ . On the basis of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, for a given  $n = 3s + r$ , where  $s \geq 1$  and  $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , it suffices to prove that for  $r = 0$  it holds

$$\int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s}\right)^{2s-1} \left(1 + \frac{v}{s}\right)^s dv \geq 1 > \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s+1}\right)^{2s} \left(1 + \frac{v}{s-1}\right)^{s-1} dv, \quad (8)$$

while for  $r = 1, 2$  it holds

$$\int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s+1}\right)^{2s} \left(1 + \frac{v}{s+r-1}\right)^{s+r-1} dv \geq 1 \quad (9)$$

and

$$1 > \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s+2}\right)^{2s+1} \left(1 + \frac{v}{s+r-2}\right)^{s+r-2} dv. \quad (10)$$

By considering the inequalities (8) (i.e. when  $r = 0$ ) and by applying the Bernoulli inequality  $(1 + c)^s \geq 1 + sc$  which holds for each  $c > -1$ , it follows that the first inequality in (8) is true for each  $s \geq 1$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s}\right)^{2s-1} \left(1 + \frac{v}{s}\right)^s dv &= \int_0^1 \left[\left(1 - \frac{v}{2s}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{v}{s}\right)\right]^s \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s}\right)^{-1} dv \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[1 - \frac{3v^2}{4s^2} + \frac{v^3}{4s^3}\right]^s \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s}\right)^{-1} dv \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{3v^2}{4s} + \frac{v^3}{4s^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s}\right)^{-1} dv \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{3v^2}{4s} + \frac{v^3}{4s^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{v}{2s} + \frac{v^2}{4s^2}\right) dv \\ &= 1 + \frac{5}{96s^2} - \frac{1}{80s^3} + \frac{1}{96s^4} > 1. \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality in the previous expression follows from  $(1 - c)^{-1} > 1 + c + c^2$ , which holds for each  $c \in ]0, 1[$ . In turn for  $r = 0$ , as to the second inequality in (8) and by assuming that  $I_s = \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s+1}\right)^{2s} \left(1 + \frac{v}{s-1}\right)^{s-1} dv$ , it reads

$$\begin{aligned}
I_s &= \int_0^1 \left[ \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s+1}\right)^{2s} \left(1 + \frac{v}{s-1}\right)^s \left(1 + \frac{v}{s-1}\right)^{-1} \right] dv \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{2s+1}{2s+1-v} \exp \left( (2s+1) \log \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s+1}\right) + (s-1) \log \left(1 + \frac{v}{s-1}\right) \right) dv \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{2s+1}{2s+1-v} \exp \left( \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{v^k}{k} \left( \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(s-1)^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{(2s+1)^{k-1}} \right) \right) dv \\
&< \int_0^1 \frac{2s+1}{2s+1-v} \exp \left( \sum_{k=2}^3 \frac{v^k}{k} \left( \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(s-1)^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{(2s+1)^{k-1}} \right) \right) dv,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{v^k}{k} \left( \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(s-1)^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{(2s+1)^{k-1}} \right) < 0$ . By adopting the notation

$$\gamma(s, v) = \sum_{k=2}^3 \frac{v^k}{k} \left( \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(s-1)^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{(2s+1)^{k-1}} \right),$$

it should be remarked that

$$\gamma(s, v) = -\frac{3v^2s}{2(s-1)(2s+1)} + \frac{v^3s(s+2)}{(s-1)^2(2s+1)^2} < 0$$

for each  $s \geq 2$  and  $v \in ]0, 1]$ . Since  $\exp(c) < 1 + c + \frac{c^2}{2}$  for  $c < 0$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_s &< \int_0^1 \frac{2s+1}{2s+1-v} \exp(\gamma(s, v)) dv \\
&< \int_0^1 \frac{2s+1}{2s+1-v} \left( 1 + \gamma(s, v) + \frac{\gamma(s, v)^2}{2} \right) dv.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2s+1}{2s+1-v} &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{v}{2s+1}} = 1 + \frac{v}{2s+1} + \frac{v^2}{(2s+1)^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{v}{2s+1}} \\
&\leq 1 + \frac{v}{2s+1} + \frac{5v^2}{4(2s+1)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

it also follows

$$I_s < \int_0^1 \left( 1 + \frac{v}{2s+1} + \frac{5v^2}{4(2s+1)^2} \right) \left( 1 + \gamma(s, v) + \frac{\gamma(s, v)^2}{2} \right) dv.$$

By computing the integral and by means of tedious algebraic manipulations, it holds

$$I_s < 1 + \frac{1 + 3s(-11s^3 + (s+4)^2)}{(s-1)^4(2s+1)^6} + \frac{s^6(29 + 7s - 15s^2/2)}{(s-1)^4(2s+1)^6}.$$

Since the numerators of the two fractions in the previous expressions are negative for  $s \geq 3$ , it holds that  $I_s < 1$  for  $s \geq 3$ . Finally, by direct computation it also follows that  $I_2 < 1$  and hence Theorem 1 holds true for  $r = 0$ . As to (9), i.e. when  $r = 1, 2$ , let us assume that

$$J_r = \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{v}{2s+1}\right)^{2s} \left(1 + \frac{v}{s+r-1}\right)^{s+r-1} dv.$$

By remarking that for each  $s$  it holds  $(1 + \frac{v}{s})^s \leq (1 + \frac{v}{s+1})^{s+1}$ , it follows  $J_1 \leq J_2$ . Hence, in order to prove (9) it suffices to show  $J_1 \geq 1$ . It holds

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_0^1 (1 - \frac{v}{2s+1})^{2s} (1 + \frac{v}{s})^s dv \\ &= \int_0^1 [(1 - \frac{v}{2s+1})^2 (1 + \frac{v}{s})]^s dv \\ &= \int_0^1 [(1 - \frac{2v}{2s+1} + \frac{v^2}{(2s+1)^2}) (1 + \frac{v}{s})]^s dv \\ &= \int_0^1 [(1 + \frac{v(1-2v)}{s(2s+1)} + \frac{v^2}{(2s+1)^2}) (1 + \frac{v}{s})]^s dv. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Bernoulli inequality, it reads

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\geq \int_0^1 (1 + \frac{v(1-2v)}{2s+1} + \frac{sv^2}{(2s+1)^2}) (1 + \frac{v}{s}) dv \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{6(2s+1)} + \frac{s}{3(2s+1)^2} + \frac{1}{4(2s+1)^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{12(2s+1)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which obviously implies (9). Finally, we prove (10). By adopting the notation

$$H_r = \int_0^1 (1 - \frac{v}{2s+2})^{2s+1} (1 + \frac{v}{s+r-2})^{s+r-2} dv,$$

since for each  $v$  it holds  $(1 + \frac{v}{s-1})^{s-1} \leq (1 + \frac{v}{s})^s$ , it also follows that  $H_1 \leq H_2$  and hence it suffices to show the case  $H_2 < 1$ . To this aim, similarly to the the proof of the inequality  $I_s < 1$ , it reads

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= \int_0^1 (1 - \frac{v}{2s+2})^{2s+1} (1 + \frac{v}{s})^s dv \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{2s+2}{2s+2-v} \exp((2s+2) \log(1 - \frac{v}{2s+2}) + s \log(1 + \frac{v}{s})) dv \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{2s+2}{2s+2-v} \exp(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{v^k}{k} (\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{s^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{(2s+2)^{k-1}})) dv \\ &< \int_0^1 \frac{2s+2}{2s+2-v} \exp(\sum_{k=2}^3 \frac{v^k}{k} (\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{s^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{(2s+2)^{k-1}})) dv, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{v^k}{k} (\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{s^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{(2s+2)^{k-1}}) < 0$ . By assuming that

$$\lambda(s, v) = \sum_{k=2}^3 \frac{v^k}{k} (\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{s^{k-1}} - \frac{1}{(2s+2)^{k-1}}),$$

it should be noticed that  $\lambda(s, v) < 0$  for each  $s \geq 2$  and  $v \in ]0, 1[$ . By considering the inequality  $\exp(c) < 1 + c + \frac{c^2}{2}$  for  $c < 0$ , it follows

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &< \int_0^1 \frac{2s+2}{2s+2-v} \exp(\lambda(s, v)) dv \\ &< \int_0^1 \frac{2s+2}{2s+2-v} \left(1 + \lambda(s, v) + \frac{\lambda(s, v)^2}{2}\right) dv \\ &< \int_0^1 \left(1 + \frac{v}{2s+2} + \frac{6v^2}{5(2s+2)^2}\right) \left(1 + \lambda(s, v) + \frac{\lambda(s, v)^2}{2}\right) dv, \end{aligned}$$

since it holds  $\frac{2s+2}{2s+2-v} < 1 + \frac{v}{2s+2} + \frac{6v^2}{5(2s+2)^2}$  for each  $s \geq 2$  and  $v \in ]0, 1[$ . By evaluating the previous integral and by suitable algebraic manipulations, the following inequality holds for  $s \geq 2$

$$H_2 < 1 + \frac{-2s^5 - 9(s^4 + s^3) + 8s^2 + 22s + 18}{64s(s+1)^6}.$$

The right-hand side of the previous inequality is obviously less than 1. Moreover, a direct computation provides  $H_2 < 1$  for  $s = 1$ . Therefore, Theorem 1 is proven.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Doerr Benjamin, (2018) An elementary analysis of the probability that a binomial random variable exceeds its expectation, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 139, 67-74.
- [2] Esseen C.G., (1945) Fourier analysis of distribution functions. A mathematical study of the Laplace-Gaussian law, *Acta Mathematica*, 77, 1-125.
- [3] Feller (1971)
- [4] Greenberg, Spencer, Mohri, Mehryar, (2014) Tight lower bound on the probability of a binomial exceeding its expectation, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 86, 91-98,
- [5] Svante Janson, (2021) On the probability that a binomial variable is at most its expectation, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 171, 109020.
- [6] Jogdeo, K. and Samuels, S.M., (1968) Monotone convergence of binomial probabilities and a generalization of Ramanujan's equation, *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 39, 1191-1195.
- [7] Pelekis C. and Ramon J., (2016) A lower bound on the probability that a binomial random variable is exceeding its mean, *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 119, 305-309.
- [8] Rigollet Philippe, Tong Xin, (2011) Neyman–pearson classification, convexity and stochastic constraints, *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 12, 2831-2855.
- [9] Slud, E.V., (1977) Distribution inequalities for the binomial law, *Annals of Probability*, 5, 404-412.
- [10] Vapnik V.N., (1998) *Statistical Learning Theory*, Wiley, New York.

LUCIO BARABESI, DIPARTIMENTO DI ECONOMIA POLITICA E STATISTICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI SIENA,  
VIA SAN FRANCESCO 7, 53100 SIENA, ITALY  
Email address: [lucio.barabesi@unisi.it](mailto:lucio.barabesi@unisi.it)

LUCA PRATELLI, ACCADEMIA NAVALE, VIALE ITALIA 72, 57100 LIVORNO, ITALY  
Email address: [pratel@mail.dm.unipi.it](mailto:pratel@mail.dm.unipi.it)

PIETRO RIGO (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR), DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE STATISTICHE "P. FORTUNATI", UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA, VIA DELLE BELLE ARTI 41, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY  
Email address: [pietro.rigo@unibo.it](mailto:pietro.rigo@unibo.it)