

Probing low-energy Lorentz violation from high-energy modified dispersion in dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates

Zehua Tian^{1,2,3,*} and Jiangfeng Du^{1,2,3,†}

¹*Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale and Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*

²*CAS Key Laboratory of Microscale Magnetic Resonance, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*

³*Synergetic Innovation Center of Quantum Information and Quantum Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*

We theoretically propose an experimentally viable scheme to use an impurity atom in a dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), in order to probe analogue low-energy Lorentz violation from the modified dispersion at high energies as suggested by quantum theories of gravity. We show that the density fluctuations in the dipolar BEC possess a Lorentz-violating Bogoliubov spectrum $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = c_0|\mathbf{k}|f(c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*)$, with recovery of approximate Lorentz invariance (LI) at energy scales much below M_* . When f is adjusted to dip below unity somewhere, the impurity, analogously dipole coupled to the density fluctuations, experiences analogue drastic Lorentz violation at arbitrarily low energies, reproducing the same responds of Unruh-DeWitt detector to Lorentz-violating quantum fields. Being a fundamentally quantum mechanical device, our quantum fluid platform provides an experimentally realizable test field to verify whether the effective low energy theory can reveal unexpected imprints of the theory's high energy structure, in quantum field theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lorentz invariance (LI) is one of the fundamental symmetries of relativity, however, and may be challenged at sufficiently high energies suggested by many theories of quantum gravity [1]. As a phenomenal embodiment of quantum gravity, any discovery of Lorentz violation thus would be an important signal of beyond standard model physics. Testing LI has recently given rise to widespread interest in diverse physical areas, spanning atomic physics, nuclear physics, high-energy physics, relativity, and astrophysics, see Ref. [2–5], and references therein.

In the LI violation theory, there may be an explicit energy scale, such as the Planck energy M_* , which characterizes the violation and at energies much below which the LI is preserved approximately. The Planck energy, about 10^{19} GeV, is much larger than any currently experimentally accessible energy scales, e.g., 10^{11} GeV for the trans-GZK cosmic rays that is the highest known energy of particles [4]. In this regard, direct observation of Planck scale Lorentz violation seems impossible in any experiments. Fortunately, strong Planck scale Lorentz violation could yield a small amount of violation at much lower energies, and thus one can expect that the effective low-energy theory, for example in quantum field theory, can reveal unexpected imprints of the theory's high-energy structure [4, 6, 7].

Recently, it has been found that the transition behavior of low-energy Unruh-DeWitt detectors [8–13] is ultra-sensitive to high-energy effects, such as polymer quantum field theories [14–17]. It has also been shown that the attainable sensitivity to nonlocal field theories is expected to outperform that of LHC experiments by many orders of magnitude [18]. Therefore, this feature of Unruh-DeWitt detectors may have highly potential application for the falsifiability of theoretical proposals, e.g., quantum gravity [16–18]. However, there is still no concrete experimental setup as a precursor to verify whether the Unruh-DeWitt detector indeed will work as expected before its future realistic application.

In this paper, we aim at closing this gap and propose to study the relevant physics with an experimentally accessible platform consisting of a dipolar BEC [19] and an immersed impurity [20, 21]. From the perspective of analog, the density fluctuations in the dipolar BEC possessing a roton spectrum [22–26], due to the dipole-dipole interaction (DDI) between atoms (see below for a detailed discussion), are modeled as Lorentz-violating quantum fields. The impurity, analogously dipole coupled to the density fluctuations in the condensate, is modeled as an Unruh-DeWitt detector coupling to the Lorentz-violating quantum fields. This specific quantum simulator in principle allows us to test a possible manifestation of the effects caused by high energy structure in the low-energy quantum detection—drastic low-energy Lorentz violation in the impurity modeled as Unruh-DeWitt detector—as anticipated in Ref. [16].

Our paper is constructed as follows. In Sec. II we simply introduce our model—dipolar Bose-Einstein con-

* tianzh@ustc.edu.cn

† djf@ustc.edu.cn

densate, and show how the Lorentz-violating quantum fields are simulated with the density fluctuation of the condensate. In Sec. III we show how to simulate the Unruh-DeWitt detector with an impurity immersed in the dipolar BEC. In Sec. IV we study the spontaneous excitation of the detector with inertial trajectory. Experimental feasibility of the relevant simulation within the current technologies of dipolar BEC is discussed in Sec. V. Finally, a summary of the main results of our work is present in Sec. VI.

II. SIMULATING LORENTZ-VIOLATING QUANTUM FIELDS IN DIPOLAR BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATE

Let us begin with an interacting Bose gas comprising atoms or molecules of mass m , whose Lagrangian density is given by ($\hbar = 1$)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{2}(\Psi^* \partial_t \Psi - \partial_t \Psi^* \Psi) - \frac{1}{2m} |\nabla \Psi|^2 - V_{\text{ext}} |\Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\Psi|^2 \int d^3 \mathbf{R}' V_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') |\Psi(\mathbf{R}')|^2, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{r}, z)$ are spatial three-dimensional coordinates. The system is trapped by an external potential of the form $V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{R}) = m\omega^2 \mathbf{r}^2/2 + m\omega_z^2 z^2/2$. We will assume that over the whole time evolution the gas is strongly confined in the z direction, with aspect ratio $\kappa = \omega_z/\omega \gg 1$. The two-body interaction contains two terms

$$V_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') = g_c \delta^3(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') + V_{\text{dd}}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}'), \quad (2)$$

where g_c is the contact interaction coupling, and

$$V_{\text{dd}}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') = \frac{3g_d}{4\pi} \frac{[1 - 3(z - z')^2/|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}'|^2]}{|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}'|^3} \quad (3)$$

describes the dipolar interaction with coupling constant g_d . Here the dipoles have been assumed to be polarized along the z direction (or perpendicular to the x - y plane) by an external field. In addition, g_c and g_d can be controlled as required in the experiment. Let us note that it is the interaction between atoms or molecules (including both the contact and dipolar interaction) that results in the Lorentz-violating quasiparticle spectrum, similar to the case in Ref. [27], as shown in the following. To guarantee the stability in the DDI-dominated regime [25], we impose that the system remains sufficiently close to the quasi-two-dimensional (quasi-2D) regime during the whole physical process considered. We thus assume that in the z direction, the condensate density has a Gaussian form, $\rho_z(z) = (\pi d_z^2)^{-1/2} \exp[-z^2/d_z^2]$, with $d_z = \sqrt{1/m\omega_z}$. Integrating out the z dependence, we can obtain the effective quasi-2D interaction, $V_{\text{int}}^{2\text{D}}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \int dz dz' V_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') \rho_z(z) \rho_z(z')$ [24].

In the 2D case, we decompose the 2D field operator as

$$\hat{\psi} = \psi_0(1 + \hat{\phi}) \quad (4)$$

with $\psi_0 = \sqrt{\rho_0} e^{i\theta_0}$, where $|\psi_0(\mathbf{r})|^2 = \rho_0 \simeq \text{const}$ represents the 2D condensate density, and $\hat{\phi}$ describes the perturbations (excitations) on the top of the condensate. The Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation for the fluctuation field $\hat{\phi}$ reads [23, 28]

$$i\partial_t \hat{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2m} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2 \hat{\phi} + \rho_0 \int d^2 \mathbf{r}' V_{\text{int}, 0}^{2\text{D}}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \times [\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}') + \hat{\phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}')], \quad (5)$$

where the condensate has been assumed to be static, i.e., $\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \theta_0 = 0$. By solving Eq. (5), we can write the density fluctuations in Heisenberg representation as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \hat{\rho}(t, \mathbf{r}) &\simeq \rho_0 (\hat{\phi} + \hat{\phi}^\dagger) \\ &= \sqrt{\rho_0} \int [d\mathbf{k}/(2\pi)^2] (u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}}) \times [\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \\ &\quad + \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger(t) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}], \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the Bogoliubov quasiparticle operators $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t}$ satisfy the usual Bose commutation rules $[\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] = (2\pi)^2 \delta^2(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$. Bogoliubov parameters are given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mathbf{k}} &= (\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}} + 2\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k}}})/2(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k}})^{1/4}, \\ v_{\mathbf{k}} &= (\sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}} - \sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}} + 2\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k}}})/2(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k}})^{1/4}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and the quasiparticle frequency $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k}}}$ with $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}} = k^2/2m$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k}} = \rho_0 V_{\text{int}, 0}^{2\text{D}}(k)$ [23]. Here $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ and the Fourier transformation of the effective quasi-2D interaction is given by [25]

$$V_{\text{int}, 0}^{2\text{D}}(k) = g_0^{\text{eff}} \left(1 - \frac{3R}{2} k d_z w\left[\frac{k d_z}{\sqrt{2}}\right]\right), \quad (8)$$

with $w[x] = \exp[x^2](1 - \text{erf}[x])$, an effective contact coupling $g_0^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} d_z} (g_c + 2g_d)$, and the dimensionless ratio is defined as

$$R = \sqrt{\pi/2}/(1 + g_c/2g_d). \quad (9)$$

Note that the parameter R could be tunable via Feshbach resonance [29, 30] and rotating polarizing field [31]. It ranges from $R = 0$ (when $g_d/g_c \rightarrow 0$, i.e., contact dominance), to $R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$ (when $g_d/g_c \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., DDI dominance).

The density fluctuations shown in Eq. (6) closely resemble a Lorentz-violating scalar field with an explicit dispersion relation given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} &= c_0 |\mathbf{k}| \sqrt{1 - \frac{3R}{2} \sqrt{A} \frac{c_0 |\mathbf{k}|}{M_*} w\left[\sqrt{\frac{A}{2}} \frac{c_0 |\mathbf{k}|}{M_*}\right] + \frac{1}{4} \frac{c_0^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2}{M_*^2}} \\ &= c_0 |\mathbf{k}| f(c_0 |\mathbf{k}|/M_*), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$




FIG. 1. (Color online) The dimensionless function f shown in (10) as a function of $c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*$. LI is the Lorentz invariant case where $f = 1$. $R = 0$ denotes the contact interaction case, where f is independent of A . For DDI dominance, $R = \sqrt{\pi}/2$, f dips below 1 for an interval of $c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*$. Note that f becomes negative when $A > A_c = 3.4454$, which means the spectrum of quasiparticle becomes unstable.

where $c_0 = \sqrt{g_0^{\text{eff}} \rho_0 / m}$ is the speed of sound, another dimensionless parameter

$$A = g_0^{\text{eff}} \rho_0 / \omega_z \quad (11)$$

represents the effective chemical potential as measured relative to the transverse trapping, and

$$M_* = mc_0^2 \quad (12)$$

is the analog energy scale of Lorentz violation. In the DDI dominance regime A should be assumed to be not larger than the critical value $A_c = 3.4454$, since beyond which the spectrum of quasiparticle becomes unstable [23–25]. Therefore, to keep the stability of the spectrum of quasiparticle in the DDI dominance regime, $A \leq A_c$ will be taken throughout the whole paper and this condition requires that the frequency of the confinement along the z -direction satisfies $\omega_z \geq \frac{2mg_0^2\rho_0^2}{\pi A_c^2}$. The dispersion relation (10) is approximately Lorentz invariant ($f(c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*) \simeq 1$) for $c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_* \ll 1$. By appropriately setting the relevant parameters A and R , the dispersion could be analogously superluminal ($f(c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*) > 1$) and subluminal ($f(c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*) < 1$). In Fig. 1, we plot the function $f(c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*)$ shown in (10) to see how the LI is violated in the dispersion. For the DDI dominance, $R = \sqrt{\pi}/2$, the analogous subluminal spectrum develops a roton minimum for sufficiently large A , and the LI is strongly broken near $c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_* \simeq 0.9$ [23]. Alternatively, $f(c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*)$ could dip below 1 for an interval of $c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*$. This feature will be very helpful for us to investigate in quantum field theory, how to reveal unexpected imprints of the theory's high energy structure with well-tested low energy detection in the following.




FIG. 2. (Color online) Schematic of the moving (with speed parameter v) impurity with effective internal frequency Ω immersed in a quasi-2D dipolar condensate (in purple). Here the dipoles of atoms or molecules have been assumed to be polarized along the z direction or perpendicular to the x - y plane (in blue).

III. UNRUH-DEWITT DETECTOR MODEL IN DIPOLAR BEC

In quantum field theory, usually the field is probed with a linearly coupled two-level (1 and 2) Unruh-DeWitt detector [8–13]. This detector model captures the essential features of the light-matter interaction when angular momentum interchange is negligible [32, 33]. Inspired by the seminal atomic quantum dot idea introduced in Refs. [20, 21], we model an impurity consisting of a two-level (1 and 2) atom as the Unruh-DeWitt detector, and assume the impurity is immersed in the quasi-2D dipolar BEC discussed above (see Fig. 2). The impurity's motion is assumed to be externally imposed by a tightly confining and relatively moving trap potential, so that we can focus only on its internal degrees of freedom.

In this detector model, a monochromatic external electromagnetic field at frequency ω_L , which is close to resonance with the $1 \rightarrow 2$ transition $\omega_L \simeq \omega_{21}$, is applied to illuminate the impurity, and correspondingly the Rabi frequency is Ω . We can write the system Hamiltonian in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) = & H_C + H_A(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq 0} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ & + \omega_{21} |2\rangle\langle 2| - \left(\frac{\Omega}{2} e^{-i\omega_L t} |2\rangle\langle 1| + \text{H.c.} \right) \\ & + \sum_s g_s \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}_A(t)) |s\rangle\langle s|, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the last term is the collisional coupling between the impurity and Bose gas. $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}_A) = \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_A) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r}_A)$ denotes the field density operator of the atomic Bose gas, and $\mathbf{r}_A(t)$ is the time-dependent impurity position. g_s are the interaction constant between the impurity in $s = 1, 2$ state and the condensate. In the rotating frame, the detector's Hamiltonian including its interaction with the

Bose gas can be rewritten as

$$H_A(t) = \omega_{21}|2\rangle\langle 2| - \frac{1}{2}\omega_L(|2\rangle\langle 2| - |1\rangle\langle 1|) - \frac{1}{2}\Omega(|2\rangle\langle 1| + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_s g_s \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}_A(t))|s\rangle\langle s|. \quad (14)$$

Then, using the rotated $|g, e\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(|1\rangle \pm |2\rangle)$ basis and defining $g_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(g_1 \pm g_2)$, we can further rewrite the Hamiltonian (14) as

$$H_A(t) = \frac{\Omega}{2}\sigma_z + \frac{\delta}{2}\sigma_x + \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}_A(t))[g_+ + g_-\sigma_x], \quad (15)$$

where σ_z and σ_x are the conventional Pauli matrices, and $\delta = \omega_L - \omega_{21}$ is the detuning. In this rotated basis, the Rabi frequency Ω determines the splitting between the $|g, e\rangle$ states, while the detuning δ gives a coupling term.

Let us note the last term of the above Hamiltonian (15) denotes the interaction between the impurity and Bose gas. This interaction contains two terms: the first one proportional to g_+ is similar to the reminiscent coupling of a charged particle to an electric field, while the other resembles a standard electric-dipole coupling mediated by a coupling constant g_- . By suitably choosing the internal atomic states and properly tuning the interaction constants (e.g., via Feshbach resonances [29, 30]), the first term could be cancelled as a result of $g_+ = 0$ [34–36], behaving like the analog charge neutrality. Furthermore, the atomic density operator $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r})$, as shown above, can be split into its average value ρ_0 and small fluctuations $\delta\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r})$ in (6). With the suitable detuning δ between driving frequency and the impurity's internal level space, one can exactly compensate the coupling to the average density, $\delta/2 + g_-\rho_0 = 0$ [37, 38]. Under all these assumptions, the impurity's Hamiltonian including the its interaction with the condensate can finally be written as

$$H_A(t) = \frac{\Omega}{2}\sigma_z + g_-\sigma_x\delta\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}_A). \quad (16)$$

The coupling of the impurity to the condensate in the Hamiltonian (16) has the analogous form $g_-\sigma_x\delta\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}_A)$ of a two-level atom dipole coupled to the quantum Lorentz-violating scalar field at its position \mathbf{r}_A .

IV. SPONTANEOUS EXCITATION OF INERTIAL DETECTOR

Consider the above impurity detector with the Hamiltonian given in (16), we assume that it is pointlike and moves on the worldline $(t(\tau), \mathbf{r}(\tau))$, where τ is the proper time. In the detector's frame, the coupling between the impurity and the density fluctuations of the condensate (or Bogoliubov field) in the interaction picture can be rewritten as

$$H_{int}(\tau) = g_-(e^{i\Omega\tau}\sigma_+ + e^{-i\Omega\tau}\sigma_-)\delta\hat{\rho}(t(\tau), \mathbf{r}_A(\tau)). \quad (17)$$

We take the initial state of joint system (the impurity and the density fluctuations) before the interaction to be $|0\rangle \otimes |g\rangle$. We pay attention to the probability for the detector to be excited, i.e., at state $|e\rangle$, as a result of the interaction. Since here the coupling constant g_- is treated as a small parameter, it enables this probability to be calculated to first order in perturbation theory in g_- [10–13, 39–41],

$$P(\Omega) = |\langle \Psi | e | i g_- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau (e^{i\Omega\tau}\sigma_+ + e^{-i\Omega\tau}\sigma_-) \otimes \delta\hat{\rho}(t(\tau), \mathbf{r}_A(\tau)) | g \rangle |^2 \\ = g_-^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau'' e^{-i\Omega(\tau' - \tau'')} W(\tau', \tau'') \quad (18)$$

where $|\Psi\rangle$ is quantum state of the Bogoliubov field satisfying $|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| + |0\rangle\langle 0| = \mathbf{1}$, and $W(\tau', \tau'') = \langle 0 | \delta\hat{\rho}(t(\tau'), \mathbf{r}_A(\tau')) \delta\hat{\rho}(t(\tau''), \mathbf{r}_A(\tau'')) | 0 \rangle$ denotes the Wightman function of the Bogoliubov field. We can consider situations in which the Wightman function is stationary, i.e., $W(\tau', \tau'') = W(\tau' - \tau'')$. It implies that the correlations between two events depend only on the difference of times between them. Then we may convert $P(\Omega)$ into the transition rate per unit time, as done in Refs. [39–41]. After some straight transformations and calculations [39–41], the transition rate per unit time finally is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = g_-^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds e^{-i\Omega s} W(s, 0), \quad (19)$$

where $s = \tau' - \tau''$. We will use Eq. (19) in the following study.

We consider an inertial detector with the trajectory given by $(t(\tau), \mathbf{r}(\tau)) = (\tau, c_0\tau \tanh \beta, 0)$, where $c_0 \tanh \beta$ denotes the velocity with respect to the distinguished inertial frame, and for simplicity is set to be positive. Note that the detector's velocity has been assumed to be smaller than the sound speed, c_0 . Assuming both the impurity and the Bogoliubov field initially in the ground state and working in the frame comoving with the impurity, we obtain the detector's transition rate by substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (19),

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \int d\mathbf{k} \frac{\rho_0 g_-^2}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} e^{-i(\Omega + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - c_0 k_x \tanh \beta)s} \\ = \frac{\rho_0 M_* g_-^2}{(2\pi)^3 c_0^{-2}} \int_0^{\infty} dg \frac{g^2}{f(g)} \\ \times \frac{\Theta(g \tanh \beta - |\Omega/M_* + gf(g)|)}{\sqrt{g^2 \tanh^2 \beta - (\Omega/M_* + gf(g))^2}}, \quad (20)$$

where $g = c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*$, Ω denotes the effective energy space between the impurity's two levels, and Θ is the Heaviside function. In the low-speed limit, i.e., when $\beta \ll 1$, we




FIG. 3. (Color online) Transition rate shown in (20) for excitations ($\Omega/M_* > 0$) vs β for different effective chemical potential A , in units of $\frac{\rho_0 M_* g^2}{(2\pi)^3 c_0^{-2}}$. Here we assume that the DDI dominance case ($R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$) is valid.

have $\tanh \beta \approx \beta$, then the effective-energy expression in Eq. (20) $\Omega + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - c_0 k_x \tanh \beta$ is approximate to $\Omega + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} - c_0 k_x \beta$, and the detector's transition rate could be given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega) = \frac{\rho_0 M_* g^2}{(2\pi)^3 c_0^{-2}} \int_0^\infty dg \frac{g^2}{f(g)} \times \frac{\Theta(g\beta - |\Omega/M_* + gf(g)|)}{\sqrt{g^2 \beta^2 - (\Omega/M_* + gf(g))^2}}. \quad (21)$$

In Eq. (20) the crucial issue, resulting from the definition of Heaviside function, is the behavior of the argument of Θ : Under what condition is the argument of Θ positive for at least some interval of g ? If $f(g) \geq 1$ for all g , the argument of Θ clearly is always negative for all positive Ω , and thus the corresponding transition rate $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ vanishes. The vanishing transition rate suggests the detector does not become spontaneously excited. Note that $f(g) = 1$ corresponds to the usual massless scalar field (Lorentz-invariant field) case, thus the Lorentz-invariant vacuum field induces no spontaneous excitations in the inertial detector [10].

An interesting case is when $f(g)$ dips somewhere below unity [16, 17]. Concretely, we assume $f_c = \inf f$, and $0 < f_c < 1$ (see more details in Fig. 1). In this scenario, the detector behaves quite differently for rapidities below and above the critical value $\beta_c = \operatorname{arctanh}(f_c)$. When $0 < \beta < \beta_c$, we see from the argument of Θ in (20) that $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ vanishes for $\Omega > 0$. It implies that the detector remains unexcited. This result is consistent with that for the uniformly moving detector coupled to a massless scalar field [10]. However, when $\beta > \beta_c$, the argument of Θ in (20) keeps positive for $0 < \Omega/M_* < \sup_{g \geq 0} g[\tanh \beta - f(g)]$, and thus $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ does not vanish. It suggests that the detector gets spontaneously excited, at arbitrarily small positive Ω . This

result is quite different from that of the uniformly moving detector coupled to the massless scalar field, which never gets spontaneously excited [10]. Besides, when $\Omega < 0$, no matter the rapidities are below or above the critical value, the detector has a nonvanishing deexcitation rate that depends on the rapidities.

We give a brief summary here: The Bogoliubov spectrum in (10) violates Lorentz invariance, but is approximately Lorentz invariant as $c_0 |\mathbf{k}|/M_*$ approaches to zero. Furthermore, its smooth positive-valued function f could dip somewhere below unity, satisfying $0 < f_c < 1$ with $f_c = \inf f$. Then, the broken LI, strongly at the energy scale M_* , may induce the inertial Unruh DeWitt detector with rapidity $\beta > \beta_c = \operatorname{arctanh}(f_c)$ in the preferred frame to experience spontaneous excitations and deexcitations at arbitrarily low $|\Omega|$. The anticipation in Ref. [16] could be verified in the analogue gravity system proposed here.

In Fig. 3, we take the dispersion relation (10) in the DDI domination regime ($R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$) and plot the transition rate $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ in (20), as a function of β . Clearly, the transition rate remains vanishing in the beginning, then suddenly becomes a maximal value when the rapidity β exceeds the critical β_c , and decays with the increase of the rapidity. Moreover, in the DDI domination regime how the critical rapidity β_c changes with the effective chemical potential A is shown in Fig. 4. Since f_c in our setup could approach to zero when working in the DDI dominance regime for sufficiently large A (see Fig. 1), correspondingly, the critical rapidity $\beta_c = \operatorname{arctanh}(f_c)$ beyond which the detector gets spontaneously excited approaches to zero as well. It suggests that even for a quite small rapidity, one can still observe the spontaneous excitations of inertial detector as a result of the broken LI of quantum fields. This ultralow-speed demand could




FIG. 4. (Color online) The critical rapidity β_c as a function of the effective chemical potential A for the DDI dominance case ($R = \sqrt{\pi/2}$).

reduce the experimental challenge.

V. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

So far, the experimental realization of magnetic DDI-dominated condensate [19] has been reported with different atoms [42–44]. Besides, the realization of BEC made up of molecules with permanent electric dipoles [45] is now at the forefront of ongoing research; cf., e.g., Refs. [46–50]. As a result of the DDI between atoms, the excitation spectrum of dipolar BEC displays a deviation from the Lorentz invariant one, and even displays a roton minimum when the BEC is in the DDI-dominated regime [22–26]. Experimental observation of roton modes in ultracold dipolar quantum gases has been reported recently [51–54]. The density fluctuations in the dipolar BEC, as shown above, thus could furnish a quantum simulation of the quantum field with broken LI, within current experimental reach. In addition, great advances in high-precision measurements of correlation functions [55–58] pave the way to explore the possible extraordinary propagation of quantum field due to the broken LI.

The hybrid systems [59], consisting of trapped ions and ultracold atomic systems, has recently emerged as a new platform for fundamental research in quantum physics. In experiment, a trapped single ion [60] and single electron [61] coupled to a BEC have been implemented. Moreover, the impurities’ dynamics can be used to probe the density profile of BEC [62–65]. By designing the external trap potential which tightly confines the impurity and moves uniformly, one can impose the comoving motion on the impurity. A quasiparticle formed by a mobile impurity interacting with a surrounding BEC, was observed in two parallel experiments [66, 67], using different physical systems and techniques. Thus, it is possible in principle, to design a hybrid system combining a impurity and a dipolar BEC, in order to explore the dynamics of the impurity with the roton modes due to DDI

between atoms. This proposed cold atom setup could be as the quantum simulator of the broken LI physics, as discussed above.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we have proposed a cold atom setup where an external potential trapped impurity immersed in a dipolar BEC and coupled to its density fluctuations serves as a quantum simulator of a two-level atom dipole coupled to the quantum scalar field with broken LI. We have shown that the Bogoliubov spectrum in the dipolar BEC deviates from the Lorentz invariant one, and the deviation could be tunable via Feshbach resonance and rotating polarizing field. Due to the broken LI, the inertial impurity modeled as a Unruh-DeWitt detector can be spontaneously excited when its rapidity exceeds the criticality $\beta_c = \text{arctanh}(f_c)$. Interestingly, the critical rapidity in our scenario can be controlled and even can approach to zero if the ratio between the atomic contact interaction and DDI is appropriate. Therefore, our proposal can experimentally demonstrate that the effective low energy theory can reveal unexpected imprints of the theory’s high energy structure, in quantum field theory.

Note that the detector initially prepared at its ground state could get excited when its velocity exceeds the critical one as discussed above, and the critical velocity is smaller than the speed of sound as a consequence of the spectrum function $f(c_0|\mathbf{k}|/M_*) < 1$. During the excitation process the moving detector could also simultaneously create the excitations (or quasiparticles) in the dipolar BEC, which have the spectrum as shown in Eq. (10). Therefore, one can also indirectly observe the created quasiparticles in the dipolar BEC by checking if the detector becomes excited, or by measuring the energy of the detector with the similar experimental methods in Refs. [66, 67].

Our proposed quantum fluid platform has potential as a quantum simulator [68] of quantum field theories: the tunability of the impurity’s motion, of the sound speed, of the Bogoliubov spectrum form, of the condensate’s dynamics and geometry, allows us in the experimentally accessible regime, to explore open questions concerning Unruh effect [69], and why its robustness to high energy modifications of the dispersion relation [70] behaves differently from that of its equivalence principle dual—Hawking effect [71]. In addition, the impurity could also be used as a detector to explore the intricate many-body correlations between analogue high-energy quasiparticles in the dipolar BEC [23] due to the DDI between atoms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFA0306600), the CAS (Grants No. GJJSTD20170001 and No. QYZDY-SSW-SLH004), and Anhui Initiative in Quantum Infor-

mation Technologies (Grant No. AHY050000). ZT was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11905218, and the CAS Key Laboratory for Research in Galaxies and Cosmology, Chinese Academy of Science (No. 18010203).

-
- [1] Giovanni Amelino-Camelia, “Quantum-Spacetime Phenomenology,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **16**, 5 (2013), [arXiv:0806.0339 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0806.0339).
- [2] Don Colladay and V. Alan Kostelecký, “CPT violation and the standard model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 6760–6774 (1997).
- [3] D. Colladay and V. Alan Kostelecký, “Lorentz-violating extension of the standard model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 116002 (1998).
- [4] David Mattingly, “Modern tests of Lorentz invariance,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **8**, 5 (2005), [arXiv:gr-qc/0502097](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0502097).
- [5] V. Alan Kostelecký and Neil Russell, “Data tables for lorentz and *cpt* violation,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 11–31 (2011).
- [6] John Collins, Alejandro Perez, Daniel Sudarsky, Luis Urrutia, and Héctor Vucetich, “Lorentz invariance and quantum gravity: An additional fine-tuning problem?” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 191301 (2004).
- [7] Joseph Polchinski, “Comment on ‘small lorentz violations in quantum gravity: do they lead to unacceptably large effects?’,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **29**, 088001 (2012).
- [8] W. G. Unruh, “Notes on black-hole evaporation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 870–892 (1976).
- [9] B. Dewitt, *General relativity: an Einstein centenary survey* (Cambridge University Press, 1979).
- [10] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 1982).
- [11] Shin Takagi, “Vacuum Noise and Stress Induced by Uniform Acceleration: Hawking-Unruh Effect in Rindler Manifold of Arbitrary Dimension,” *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement* **88**, 1–142 (1986) <https://academic.oup.com/ptps/article-pdf/doi/10.1143/PTP.88.1/5461884/88-1.pdf>.
- [12] Luís C. B. Crispino, Atsushi Higuchi, and George E. A. Matsas, “The unruh effect and its applications,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 787–838 (2008).
- [13] B L Hu, Shih-Yuin Lin, and Jorma Louko, “Relativistic quantum information in detectors–field interactions,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **29**, 224005 (2012).
- [14] Nirmalya Kajuri, “Polymer quantization predicts radiation in inertial frames,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **33**, 055007 (2016).
- [15] Nirmalya Kajuri and Gopal Sardar, “Low energy lorentz violation in polymer quantization revisited,” *Physics Letters B* **776**, 412 – 416 (2018).
- [16] Viqar Husain and Jorma Louko, “Low energy lorentz violation from modified dispersion at high energies,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061301 (2016).
- [17] Jorma Louko and Samuel D. Upton, “Low-energy lorentz violation from high-energy modified dispersion in inertial and circular motion,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 025008 (2018).
- [18] Alessio Belenchia, Dionigi M. T. Benincasa, Eduardo Martín-Martínez, and Mehdi Saravani, “Low energy signatures of nonlocal field theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 061902 (2016).
- [19] M.A. Baranov, “Theoretical progress in many-body physics with ultracold dipolar gases,” *Physics Reports* **464**, 71 – 111 (2008).
- [20] A. Recati, P. O. Fedichev, W. Zwerger, J. von Delft, and P. Zoller, “Atomic quantum dots coupled to a reservoir of a superfluid bose-einstein condensate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 040404 (2005).
- [21] Petr O. Fedichev and Uwe R. Fischer, “Gibbons-hawking effect in the sonic de sitter space-time of an expanding bose-einstein-condensed gas,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 240407 (2003).
- [22] Shai Ronen, Daniele C. E. Bortolotti, and John L. Bohn, “Radial and angular rotons in trapped dipolar gases,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 030406 (2007).
- [23] Zehua Tian, Seok-Yeong Chä, and Uwe R. Fischer, “Roton entanglement in quenched dipolar bose-einstein condensates,” *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 063611 (2018).
- [24] Seok-Yeong Chä and Uwe R. Fischer, “Probing the scale invariance of the inflationary power spectrum in expanding quasi-two-dimensional dipolar condensates,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 130404 (2017).
- [25] Uwe R. Fischer, “Stability of quasi-two-dimensional bose-einstein condensates with dominant dipole-dipole interactions,” *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 031602 (2006).
- [26] L. Santos, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and M. Lewenstein, “Roton-maxon spectrum and stability of trapped dipolar bose-einstein condensates,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 250403 (2003).
- [27] Benjamin R. Edwards and V. Alan Kostelecký, “Riemannian geometry and lorentz-violating scalar fields,” *Physics Letters B* **786**, 319 – 326 (2018).
- [28] Y. Castin, “Bose-Einstein Condensates in Atomic Gases: Simple Theoretical Results,” in *Coherent atomic matter waves*, Les Houches Session LXXII, edited by R. Kaiser, C. Westbrook, and F. David (Springer, Berlin, 2001).
- [29] Ph. Courteille, R. S. Freeland, D. J. Heinzen, F. A. van Abeelen, and B. J. Verhaar, “Observation of a feshbach resonance in cold atom scattering,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 69–72 (1998).
- [30] S. Inouye, M. R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H. J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, and W. Ketterle, “Observation of feshbach resonances in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Nature* **392**, 151–154 (1998).
- [31] Stefano Giovanazzi, Axel Görlitz, and Tilman Pfau, “Tuning the dipolar interaction in quantum gases,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 130401 (2002).

- [32] Eduardo Martín-Martínez, Miguel Montero, and Marco del Rey, “Wavepacket detection with the unrüh-dewitt model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 064038 (2013).
- [33] Álvaro M. Alhambra, Achim Kempf, and Eduardo Martín-Martínez, “Casimir forces on atoms in optical cavities,” *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 033835 (2014).
- [34] M. Zaccanti, C. D’Errico, F. Ferlaino, G. Roati, M. Inguscio, and G. Modugno, “Control of the interaction in a fermi-bose mixture,” *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 041605 (2006).
- [35] Andrea Simoni, Matteo Zaccanti, Chiara D’Errico, Marco Fattori, Giacomo Roati, Massimo Inguscio, and Giovanni Modugno, “Near-threshold model for ultracold krb dimers from interisotope feshbach spectroscopy,” *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 052705 (2008).
- [36] Cheng Chin, Rudolf Grimm, Paul Julienne, and Eite Tiesinga, “Feshbach resonances in ultracold gases,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1225–1286 (2010).
- [37] Jamir Marino, Alessio Recati, and Iacopo Carusotto, “Casimir forces and quantum friction from ginzburg radiation in atomic bose-einstein condensates,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 045301 (2017).
- [38] Jamir Marino, Gabriel Menezes, and Iacopo Carusotto, “Zero-point excitation of a circularly moving detector in an atomic condensate and phonon laser dynamical instabilities,” (2020), [arXiv:2001.08646 \[cond-mat.quant-gas\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.08646).
- [39] Jorma Louko and Alejandro Satz, “Transition rate of the unrüh–DeWitt detector in curved spacetime,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **25**, 055012 (2008).
- [40] Alejandro Satz, “Then again, how often does the unrüh–DeWitt detector click if we switch it carefully?” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **24**, 1719–1731 (2007).
- [41] Sebastian Schlicht, “Considerations on the unrüh effect: causality and regularization,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **21**, 4647–4660 (2004).
- [42] Thierry Lahaye, Tobias Koch, Bernd Fröhlich, Marco Fattori, Jonas Metz, Axel Griesmaier, Stefano Giovanazzi, and Tilman Pfau, “Strong dipolar effects in a quantum ferrofluid,” *Nature* **448**, 672–675 (2007).
- [43] K. Aikawa, A. Frisch, M. Mark, S. Baier, A. Rietzler, R. Grimm, and F. Ferlaino, “Bose-Einstein Condensation of Erbium,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 210401 (2012).
- [44] Mingwu Lu, Nathaniel Q. Burdick, Seo Ho Youn, and Benjamin L. Lev, “Strongly Dipolar Bose-Einstein Condensate of Dysprosium,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 190401 (2011).
- [45] Goulven Quémener and Paul S. Julienne, “Ultracold Molecules under Control!” *Chemical Reviews* **112**, 4949–5011 (2012).
- [46] Jee Woo Park, Sebastian A. Will, and Martin W. Zwierlein, “Ultracold dipolar gas of fermionic $^{23}\text{Na}^{40}\text{K}$ molecules in their absolute ground state,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 205302 (2015).
- [47] Mingyang Guo, Bing Zhu, Bo Lu, Xin Ye, Fudong Wang, Romain Vexiau, Nadia Bouloufa-Maafa, Goulven Quémener, Olivier Dulieu, and Dajun Wang, “Creation of an ultracold gas of ground-state dipolar $^{23}\text{Na}^{87}\text{Rb}$ molecules,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 205303 (2016).
- [48] Timur M. Rvachov, Hyungmok Son, Ariel T. Sommer, Sepehr Ebadi, Juliana J. Park, Martin W. Zwierlein, Wolfgang Ketterle, and Alan O. Jamison, “Long-lived ultracold molecules with electric and magnetic dipole moments,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 143001 (2017).
- [49] Luigi De Marco, Giacomo Valtolina, Kyle Matsuda, William G. Tobias, Jacob P. Covey, and Jun Ye, “A degenerate fermi gas of polar molecules,” *Science* **363**, 853–856 (2019), <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/363/6429/853.full.pdf>.
- [50] Hyungmok Son, Juliana J. Park, Wolfgang Ketterle, and Alan O. Jamison, “Collisional cooling of ultracold molecules,” *Nature* **580**, 197–200 (2020).
- [51] L. Chomaz, R. M. W. van Bijnen, D. Petter, G. Faraoni, S. Baier, J. H. Becher, M. J. Mark, F. Wächtler, L. Santos, and F. Ferlaino, “Observation of roton mode population in a dipolar quantum gas,” *Nature Physics* **14**, 442–446 (2018).
- [52] Holger Kadau, Matthias Schmitt, Matthias Wenzel, Clarissa Wink, Thomas Maier, Igor Ferrier-Barbut, and Tilman Pfau, “Observing the Rostensweig instability of a quantum ferrofluid,” *Nature* **530**, 194–197 (2016).
- [53] G. Natale, R. M. W. van Bijnen, A. Patscheider, D. Petter, M. J. Mark, L. Chomaz, and F. Ferlaino, “Excitation spectrum of a trapped dipolar supersolid and its experimental evidence,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 050402 (2019).
- [54] D. Petter, G. Natale, R. M. W. van Bijnen, A. Patscheider, M. J. Mark, L. Chomaz, and F. Ferlaino, “Probing the roton excitation spectrum of a stable dipolar bose gas,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 183401 (2019).
- [55] Chen-Lung Hung, Victor Gurarie, and Cheng Chin, “From cosmology to cold atoms: observation of sakharov oscillations in a quenched atomic superfluid,” *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **341**, 1213–1215 (2013).
- [56] SS Hodgman, RG Dall, AG Manning, K G H Baldwin, and AG Truscott, “Direct measurement of long-range third-order coherence in bose-einstein condensates,” *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **331**, 1046–1049 (2011).
- [57] Jeff Steinhauer, “Observation of quantum hawking radiation and its entanglement in an analogue black hole,” *Nature Physics* **12**, 959–965 (2016).
- [58] Juan Ramón Muñoz de Nova, Katrine Golubkov, Victor I. Kolobov, and Jeff Steinhauer, “Observation of thermal hawking radiation and its temperature in an analogue black hole,” *Nature* **569**, 688–691 (2019).
- [59] Michał Tomza, Krzysztof Jachymski, Rene Gerritsma, Antonio Negretti, Tommaso Calarco, Zbigniew Idziaszek, and Paul S. Julienne, “Cold hybrid ion-atom systems,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 035001 (2019).
- [60] Christoph Zipkes, Stefan Palzer, Carlo Sias, and Michael Köhl, “A trapped single ion inside a Bose-Einstein condensate,” *Nature (London)* **464**, 388–391 (2010), [arXiv:1002.3304 \[cond-mat.quant-gas\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1002.3304).
- [61] Jonathan B. Balewski, Alexander T. Krupp, Anita Gaj, David Peter, Hans Peter Büchler, Robert Löw, Sebastian Hofferberth, and Tilman Pfau, “Coupling a single electron to a Bose-Einstein condensate,” *Nature (London)* **502**, 664–667 (2013), [arXiv:1306.5181 \[physics.atom-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.5181).
- [62] Stefan Schmid, Arne Härter, and Johannes Hecker Denschlag, “Dynamics of a cold trapped ion in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 133202 (2010).
- [63] R. Scelle, T. Rentrop, A. Trautmann, T. Schuster, and M. K. Oberthaler, “Motional coherence of fermions immersed in a bose gas,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 070401 (2013).
- [64] Nicolas Spethmann, Farina Kindermann, Shincy John, Claudia Weber, Dieter Meschede, and Artur Widera, “Dynamics of single neutral impurity atoms immersed in

- an ultracold gas,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 235301 (2012).
- [65] Felix Schmidt, Daniel Mayer, Quentin Bouton, Daniel Adam, Tobias Lausch, Nicolas Spethmann, and Artur Widera, “Quantum spin dynamics of individual neutral impurities coupled to a bose-einstein condensate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 130403 (2018).
- [66] Ming-Guang Hu, Michael J. Van de Graaff, Dhruv Kedar, John P. Corson, Eric A. Cornell, and Deborah S. Jin, “Bose polarons in the strongly interacting regime,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 055301 (2016).
- [67] Nils B. Jørgensen, Lars Wacker, Kristoffer T. Skalmstang, Meera M. Parish, Jesper Levinsen, Rasmus S. Christensen, Georg M. Bruun, and Jan J. Arlt, “Observation of attractive and repulsive polarons in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 055302 (2016).
- [68] I. M. Georgescu, S. Ashhab, and Franco Nori, “Quantum simulation,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 153–185 (2014).
- [69] A. Retzker, J. I. Cirac, M. B. Plenio, and B. Reznik, “Methods for detecting acceleration radiation in a bose-einstein condensate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 110402 (2008).
- [70] Raúl Carballo-Rubio, Luis J. Garay, Eduardo Martín-Martínez, and José de Ramón, “Unruh effect without thermality,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 041601 (2019).
- [71] W. G. Unruh, “Sonic analogue of black holes and the effects of high frequencies on black hole evaporation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 2827–2838 (1995).