

Thermal effects and scalar modes in the cosmological propagation of gravitational waves

S. Capozziello^{1,2,3}, S. Nojiri^{4,5}, S. D. Odintsov^{6,7,8}

¹ *Dipartimento di Fisica “E. Pancini”, Università di Napoli “Federico II”*

² *INFN Sez. di Napoli, Compl. Univ. di Monte S. Angelo, Edificio G, Via Cinthia, I-80126, Napoli, Italy,*

³ *Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Largo S. Marcellino 10, I-80136, Napoli, Italy,*

⁴ *Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan,*

⁵ *Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the universe, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan,*

⁶ *ICREA, Passeig Luis Companys, 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain,*

⁷ *Institute of Space Sciences (IEEC-CSIC) C. Can Magrans s/n,*

08193 Barcelona, Spain. ⁸ *Laboratory for Theoretical Cosmology,*

Tomsk State University of Control Systems and Radioelectronics (TUSUR), 634050 Tomsk, Russia.

(Dated: August 10, 2021)

We consider thermal effects in the propagation of gravitational waves on a cosmological background. In particular, we consider scalar field cosmologies and study gravitational modes near cosmological singularities. We point out that the contribution of thermal radiation can heavily affect the dynamics of gravitational waves giving enhancement or dissipation effects both at quantum and classical level. These effects are considered both in General Relativity and in modified theories like $F(R)$ gravity which can be easily reduced to scalar-tensor cosmology. The possible detection and disentanglement of standard and scalar gravitational modes on the stochastic background are also discussed.

PACS numbers: 04.30, 04.30.Nk, 04.50.+h, 98.70.Vc

Keywords: gravitational waves; alternative theories of gravity; cosmology.

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent observations of gravitational waves and supermassive black holes can be considered as the main probes of General Relativity (GR) in its fundamental aspects which are: 1) the propagation of space-time perturbations, 2) the existence of singularities. Despite of these undeniable successes, several shortcomings affect GR because the whole phenomenology cannot be addressed in the framework of the Einstein picture. The theory is missing at ultraviolet scales because of the lack of a self-consistent theory of Quantum Gravity, and at infrared scales because it is not capable of encompassing clustering phenomena related to large-scale structure and the observed accelerated expansion of the cosmic fluid. These are generically dubbed as *dark matter* and *dark energy* but, up to now, no particle counterpart has been discovered to address them at fundamental level.

In this perspective, extensions and modifications of GR are considered as a reliable way out of the above problems assuming that gravitational field has not been completely explored.

These extensions come from effective theories on curved spacetimes [1, 2] or as alternative formulations like teleparallel gravity and its related models [3].

A main role to test theories is played by cosmology because phenomena connected to the so called *dark side* can substantially affect structure formation and cosmic dynamics. Their equivalent geometric explanations could be a major step towards a comprehensive theory of gravity at all scales.

Specifically, the expansion of the universe is generated by cosmic fluids or, equivalently, by modified/extended gravity. Because the energy-momentum tensor of any fluid depends on the metric, the propagation of gravitational waves depends on what kind of model generates the expansion of the universe. Starting from this statement, gravitational waves can be a formidable tool to test cosmological models. A detailed discussion on this point is reported, for example, in [4, 5].

In general, dynamical characteristics of gravitational waves can be the features probing a given theory of gravity [6–10]. Specifically, speed, damping, dispersion, and oscillations of gravitational waves could be used to fix and reconstruct interactions into gravitational Lagrangian and then be a sort of roadmap inside the wide forest of competing theories of gravity. For example, further gravitational polarization modes, besides the two standard ones of GR, emerge when further degrees of freedom are considered into the theory [11–14]. In general, as soon as modifications or extensions of GR are taken into account, scalar modes are present into dynamics.

Motivated by these considerations, it is possible to investigate the propagation of gravitational waves in various gravitational models. For example, in $F(T)$ extended teleparallel gravity [15], in domain wall models [16], in scalar-tensor and $F(R)$ gravity theories [17], in Chern-Simons Axion Einstein gravity [18, 19] and in several media as in strong magnetic fields [5] or in viscous fluids [20].

Furthermore, the behavior of gravitational waves can be used to test past and future singularities and then contribute in their classification.

Some previous results should be mentioned in this perspective. For example, in [21, 22], the role of cosmological background is considered in the propagation of gravitational wave. In particular, the gauge invariance and the conformal structure are taken into account in order to fix both cosmological models and interferometric response. In [21], it is pointed out how the amplitude of a propagating gravitational perturbation strictly depends on the cosmological background and it is measurable, in principle, by the Sachs-Wolfe effect of the cosmic microwave background. On the other hand, this feature could constitute a fundamental tool to test modified gravity. In [23], dynamics of gravitational waves in both late and early-time is considered for $F(R)$ gravity while, in [24], the behavior of gravitons in accelerated cosmology is taken into account. The main result of these studies is the indication that gravitons could be both a formidable feature to trace cosmic history from quantum gravity epoch up to late times and an unbiased approach to select cosmological models without imposing any dark component. This research trend could result feasible also at interferometric level. In [25], a detailed study on how cross-correlating astrophysical and cosmological gravitational wave backgrounds with the cosmic microwave is reported. Specifically, in [26], the sensitivity of third-generation interferometers to extra polarizations in the stochastic gravitational wave background is discussed. The feeling is that self-consistent results could be achieved soon matching together the VIRGO-LIGO interferometers, and the forthcoming LISA [28] and Einstein Telescope [29].

Another important issue is connected to thermal effects emerging during the cosmic evolution. As we will discuss in the next section, they are a very general feature related to the radius of the apparent horizon of a given singularity. At cosmological scales, such effects emerge with respect to the Hubble radius and then they can strongly affect the cosmic evolution, in particular, at early epochs or nearby singularities. In other words, thermal effects can dynamically affect the cosmological background and then the evolution of phenomena on it.

In this paper, we want to investigate how thermal effects on various cosmological backgrounds affect the propagation of gravitational waves. In particular, we want to take into account such effects in GR, in modified theories of gravity and in presence of future singularities.

From the above point of view, considering the propagation of gravitational waves can be an important tool to discriminate among theories of gravity. In fact, as we will discuss, gravitational waves are a direct footprint of gravitational degrees of freedom and, if further modes emerge with respect to the two standard of GR, these are an important signature to extend or modify GR. Furthermore, enhancement or dissipation of gravitational waves with thermal effects can probe the global cosmic evolution. In this perspective, a fine analysis of the gravitational stochastic background can be a further testbed for any theory of gravity.

The outline of the paper is the following. In Sec. II, we report a general discussion on thermal effects in cosmology. In particular, we take into account their relevance in classifying cosmological singularities and in generalized cosmologies where a scalar field is present. This last feature can be considered in a wide sense as characterizing extensions/modifications of GR.

Sec. III is devoted to the propagation of gravitational waves in a dynamical cosmological background. Here, we report how thermal radiation can affect the various components of gravitational waves.

Thermal corrections in quantum matter are discussed in Sec. IV. We want to show that such corrections can act both at quantum and classical level, so they have a relevant role also in quantum fluctuations of primordial epochs.

The specific effect of thermal radiation in early universe and nearby singularities is considered in Sec. V. The most relevant feature of this analysis is that thermal corrections can enhance or dissipate gravitational waves according to the signature and strength of the parameter α characterizing the thermal radiation.

Scalar modes in presence of thermal effects are discussed in Sec. VI. In particular, we demonstrate that scalar waves or compressional waves of cosmic fluids have similar effects in the cosmological evolution. According to this consideration, determining if the physical frame of a gravity theory is the Einstein or the Jordan one is crucial.

Sec. VII is devoted to the discussion of results and to the possibility of discriminating among the various contributions of gravitational radiation by the stochastic background. Here we give some qualitative evaluation on the fact that improving the sensitivity of interferometers could be a relevant issue for forthcoming experiments and observations on gravitational waves.

II. THERMAL EFFECTS IN COSMOLOGY

In order to develop our considerations, let us start from a spatially flat Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) universe defined by the metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \sum_{i=1,2,3} (dx^i)^2. \quad (1)$$

Here $a(t)$ is the cosmological scale factor. Let us remind that the FLRW equations in General Relativity (GR), minimally coupled with a generic perfect fluid with pressure p and energy-density ρ can be written as

$$\frac{3}{\kappa^2}H^2 = \rho, \quad -\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(3H^2 + 2\dot{H}\right) = p. \quad (2)$$

Here $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter and κ^2 is the gravitational coupling. When H is large, the temperature of the universe becomes large and we may expect the generation of thermal radiation as in the case of the Hawking radiation. The Hawking temperature T is proportional to the inverse of the radius r_H of the apparent horizon and the radius r_H is proportional to the inverse of the Hubble rate H [27]. Therefore, the temperature T is proportional to the Hubble rate H . As it is well known in statistical physics, the energy-density $\rho_{\text{t-rad}}$ of thermal radiation is proportional to the fourth power of temperature. Then, when H is large enough, we may assume that the energy-density of thermal radiation is given by

$$\rho_{\text{t-rad}} = \alpha H^4, \quad (3)$$

where α is a positive constant. In this situation, the first of the FLRW Eqs. (2) can be modified taking into account the thermal radiation [30, 36], that is,

$$\frac{3}{\kappa^2}H^2 = \tilde{\rho} + \alpha H^4. \quad (4)$$

Here $\tilde{\rho}$ is the energy density of the cosmic fluid together with the thermal radiation (3). The fluid has to satisfy the conservation law,

$$\dot{\tilde{\rho}} + 3H(\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{p}) = 0, \quad (5)$$

coming from the contracted Bianchi identities. Here \tilde{p} is the cosmic fluid pressure, also it considered together with thermal radiation.

Combining the first FLRW Eq. (4) and the conservation law (5), we get the second FLRW equation,

$$-\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(3H^2 + 2\dot{H}\right) = \tilde{p} - \alpha\left(H^4 + \frac{4}{3}H^2\dot{H}\right), \quad (6)$$

where the effective pressure coming from thermal radiation is present.

When we can neglect the contribution of cosmic fluid with respect to the thermal radiation, that is $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{p} = 0$, a non-trivial de Sitter solution is derived where H is a constant,

$$H^2 = H_{\text{crit}}^2 \equiv \frac{3}{\kappa^2\alpha}. \quad (7)$$

If other matter contributions are present, the thermal radiation gives non-trivial effects. Specifically, it is worth stressing that thermal effects affect dynamics of future singularities that we are going to classify below.

A. Thermal effects in future cosmological singularities

It is well known that in the cosmic future, several kinds of space-time singularity can happen. Such singularities have been classified in Ref. [37] (see also [31] and [32]) as follows:

- Type I (“Big Rip”) Ref. [33]: This is a characteristic crushing type singularity, for which as $t \rightarrow t_s$, the scale factor $a(t)$, the total effective pressure p_{eff} and the total effective energy density ρ_{eff} diverge strongly, that is, $a \rightarrow \infty$, $\rho_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \infty$, and $|p_{\text{eff}}| \rightarrow \infty$. This type of singularity is discussed in detail in Refs. [32, 34, 38–49].
- Type II (“sudden”): This type of singularity is milder than the Big Rip scenario, and it is also known as a pressure singularity, firstly studied in Refs. [50, 51], and later developed in [52–63]. Here, only the total effective pressure diverges as $t \rightarrow t_s$, and the total effective energy density and the scale factor remain finite, that is, $a \rightarrow a_s$, $\rho_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \rho_s$ and $|p_{\text{eff}}| \rightarrow \infty$.
- Type III : In this type of singularity, both the total effective pressure and the total effective energy density diverge as $t \rightarrow t_s$, but the scale factor remains finite, that is, $a \rightarrow a_s$, $\rho_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \infty$ and $|p_{\text{eff}}| \rightarrow \infty$. Then Type III singularity is milder than Type I (Big Rip) but stronger than Type II (sudden).

- Type IV : This type of singularity is the mildest from a phenomenological point of view. It was discovered in Ref. [64] and further investigated in [37, 65–71]. In this case, all the aforementioned physical quantities remain finite as $t \rightarrow t_s$, that is, $a \rightarrow a_s$, $\rho_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0$, $|p_{\text{eff}}| \rightarrow 0$, but higher derivatives of the Hubble rate, $H^{(n)}$ ($n \geq 2$) diverge. This singularity may be related with the inflationary era, since the universe may smoothly pass through the singularity without any catastrophic implications on the physical quantities. As it was shown in [73], the graceful exit from the inflationary era may be triggered by this type of soft singularity.

Here, ρ_{eff} and p_{eff} are defined by

$$\rho_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{3}{\kappa^2} H^2, \quad p_{\text{eff}} \equiv -\frac{1}{\kappa^2} (2\dot{H} + 3H^2), \quad (8)$$

and contain all pressures and densities that contribute as sources to the field equations. Then Eqs. (8) show that for Type I and III singularities, H diverges, but for Type II and IV, H is finite. However, in Type II singularity, \dot{H} diverges.

According to the considerations of Ref. [30], the thermal radiation usually makes the singularities *less singular*, that is, the Big Rip (Type I) singularity or the Type III singularity transit to the Type II singularity.

In the case of Big Rip singularity, which may be generated by “phantom fields”, where the energy density ρ behaves as

$$\rho = \rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)}, \quad (9)$$

with $w < -1$, the Hubble rate H evolves as

$$H \propto \frac{1}{t_{\text{Rip}} - t}, \quad (10)$$

and H diverges at $t = t_{\text{Rip}}$, which is the Big Rip singularity. Near the Big Rip, the Hubble rate H becomes large, and therefore the energy density (3) of the thermal radiation becomes dominant. Then the non-trivial solution (7) can be achieved. In such a solution, because H goes to a constant, we might expect that the space-time becomes asymptotically de Sitter but it is not true. Even in the de Sitter space-time, the scale factor $a(t)$ becomes larger and larger as an exponential function of t , then the energy density (9) of phantom fields should dominate finally. The Hubble rate H is, however, already larger than H_{crit} , and then there is no solution in the FLRW equation,

$$\frac{3}{\kappa^2} H^2 = \rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)} + \alpha H^4. \quad (11)$$

This situation tells us that the universe should end up at finite time with some kind of singularity.

By a more quantitative analysis, we find a maximum for the scale factor a , that is

$$a \leq a_{\text{max}} \equiv \left(\frac{9}{4\kappa^4 \alpha \rho_0} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3(1+w)}}, \quad (12)$$

and the Hubble rate H behaves as

$$\begin{aligned} H &\sim \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\alpha\kappa^2}} \mp \frac{\sqrt{-3(1+w)}}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\alpha\kappa^2}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} (t_{\text{max}} - t)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \dot{H} &\sim \mp \frac{\sqrt{-3(1+w)}}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\alpha\kappa^2}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} (t_{\text{max}} - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here we have assumed that $a = a_{\text{max}}$ when $t = t_{\text{max}}$. Then, in the limit $t \rightarrow t_{\text{max}}$, although H is finite, \dot{H} diverges. Therefore the universe ends up with a Type II singularity at $t = t_{\text{max}}$. Similarly, the Type III singularity also relaxes to become the Type II singularity.

B. Thermal effects in scalar-field cosmologies

The above considerations can be extended to more general cosmologies. Let us take into account the contribution to $\tilde{\rho}$ and \tilde{p} of some scalar field η acting as a cosmic fluid. The Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_η of η with a potential $V(\eta)$ has the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}_\eta = -\frac{1}{2}\omega(\eta)\partial_\mu\eta\partial^\mu\eta - V(\eta). \quad (14)$$

In the FLRW universe (1), we can identify η with the cosmological time t . Then the FLRW Eqs. (4) and (6) assume the forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{\kappa^2} H^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \omega(\eta) + V(\eta) + \alpha H^4, \\ -\frac{1}{\kappa^2} (3H^2 + 2\dot{H}) &= \frac{1}{2} \omega(\eta) - V(\eta) - \alpha \left(H^4 + \frac{4}{3} H^2 \dot{H} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

which can be solved with respect to $\omega(\eta)$ and $V(\eta)$ as follows,

$$\omega(\eta) = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \dot{H} + \frac{4}{3} \alpha H^2 \dot{H}, \quad V(\eta) = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} (3H^2 + \dot{H}) - \alpha \left(H^4 + \frac{2}{3} H^2 \dot{H} \right). \quad (16)$$

Therefore because $\eta = t$ and the r.h.s.'s of Eqs. (16) are functions of t , if we choose $\omega(\eta)$ and $V(\eta)$ to satisfy Eq. (16), any cosmology given by $H(t)$ can be realized. In the following, relations (16) will be considered for the propagation of cosmological gravitational waves.

As an example, let us take into account a bouncing universe

$$a(t) = a_0 (t^2 + t_0)^n, \quad (17)$$

where a_0 , t_0 , and n are the model free parameters. When $t < 0$, the universe is contracting, and, at $t = 0$, the universe has a minimal size. Then the universe starts to expand again for $t > 0$. Eq. (17) leads to the following Hubble rate and its first derivative

$$H(t) = \frac{2nt}{t^2 + t_0^2}, \quad \dot{H}(t) = -2n \frac{t^2 - t_0^2}{(t^2 + t_0^2)^2}. \quad (18)$$

Therefore the Ricci scalar is found to be,

$$R(t) = 12H^2 + 6\dot{H} = 12n \left[\frac{(4n-1)t^2 + t_0^2}{(t^2 + t_0^2)^2} \right]. \quad (19)$$

Then Eqs (16) become

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\eta) &= -2n \left(-\frac{2}{\kappa^2} + \frac{16\alpha n^2 t^2}{3(t^2 + t_0^2)^2} \right) \frac{(t^2 - t_0^2)}{(t^2 + t_0^2)^2}, \\ V(\eta) &= \frac{(12n-2n)t^2 + 2nt_0^2}{\kappa^2 (t^2 + t_0^2)^2} - \alpha \frac{4n^2 t^2 ((12n^2 - 4n)t^2 + 4nt_0^2)}{3(t^2 + t_0^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Note that $\frac{16\alpha n^2 t^2}{3(t^2 + t_0^2)^2}$ vanishes at $t = 0$ and $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and the absolute value has the maximum value $\frac{4|\alpha|n^2}{3t_0^2}$ at $t = \pm t_0$

Then, if we choose $\frac{2}{\kappa^2} > \frac{4\alpha n^2}{3t_0^2}$, we find $-\frac{2}{\kappa^2} + \frac{16\alpha n^2 t^2}{3(t^2 + t_0^2)^2} < 0$. Therefore, when $t > t_0$ or $t < -t_0$, the scalar field η is canonical but when $-t_0 < t < t_0$, the scalar field has the wrong kinetic term: it is a phantom or a ghost field. In this example, it is clear that the thermal radiation assumes a key role in determining the evolution of the field and then of the universe.

III. GRAVITATIONAL WAVES IN A DYNAMICAL COSMOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

Let us consider now the propagation of gravitational waves in a dynamical cosmological background where thermal contributions are present. We want to show how these terms affect the evolution of gravitational waves. First, we review the propagation of gravitational waves in a general medium. Gravitational waves are derived as perturbations of Einstein field equations. In the Einstein equations, not only the curvature but also the energy-momentum tensor depends on the metric and therefore the variation of the energy-momentum tensor gives a non-trivial contribution to the propagation of gravitational waves [4, 5, 72].

In general, the perturbed Einstein equations are given by

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{\mu}^{(0)} \nabla^{(0)\rho} \delta g_{\nu\rho} + \nabla_{\nu}^{(0)} \nabla^{(0)\rho} \delta g_{\mu\rho} - \square^{(0)} \delta g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu}^{(0)} \nabla_{\nu}^{(0)} \left(g^{(0)\rho\lambda} \delta g_{\rho\lambda} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2R^{(0)\lambda\rho}{}_{\nu\mu}\delta g_{\lambda\rho} + R^{(0)\rho}{}_{\mu}\delta g_{\rho\nu} + R^{(0)\rho}{}_{\nu}\delta g_{\rho\mu} \\
& + \frac{1}{2}R^{(0)}\delta g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}\left(-\delta g_{\rho\sigma}R^{(0)\rho\sigma} + \nabla^{(0)\rho}\nabla^{(0)\sigma}\delta g_{\rho\sigma} - \square^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\sigma}\delta g_{\rho\sigma}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\delta T_{\text{matter}\mu\nu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Here $\delta T_{\text{matter}\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{\partial T_{\text{matter}\mu\nu}}{\partial g_{\rho\sigma}}\delta g_{\rho\sigma}$. For example, considering the scalar field η in (14), we find

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\omega(\eta)\partial_{\mu}\eta\partial_{\nu}\eta + g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_{\eta}, \tag{22}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\partial T_{\mu\nu}}{\partial g_{\rho\sigma}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{\mu}^{\rho}\delta_{\nu}^{\sigma} + \delta_{\mu}^{\sigma}\delta_{\nu}^{\rho}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}g^{\eta\zeta}\omega(\eta)\partial_{\eta}\eta\partial_{\zeta}\eta - V(\eta)\right) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\omega(\eta)\partial^{\rho}\eta\partial^{\sigma}\eta, \tag{23}$$

which we will use later.

By multiplying the background metric $g^{(0)\mu\nu}$ with (21), we obtain

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(\nabla^{(0)\sigma}\nabla^{(0)\rho}\delta g_{\sigma\rho} - \square^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\lambda}\delta g_{\rho\lambda}\right) + \frac{1}{2}R^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\lambda}\delta g_{\rho\lambda}\right) - 2\delta g_{\rho\sigma}R^{(0)\rho\sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\delta T_{\text{matter}}. \tag{24}$$

We can choose the following gauge condition

$$0 = \nabla^{(0)\mu}\delta g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{25}$$

Then Eq. (21) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(-\square^{(0)}\delta g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu}^{(0)}\nabla_{\nu}^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\lambda}\delta g_{\rho\lambda}\right) - 2R^{(0)\lambda\rho}{}_{\nu\mu}\delta g_{\lambda\rho} + R^{(0)\rho}{}_{\mu}\delta g_{\rho\nu} + R^{(0)\rho}{}_{\nu}\delta g_{\rho\mu}\right)\right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2}R^{(0)}\delta g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}\left(-\delta g_{\rho\sigma}R^{(0)\rho\sigma} - \square^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\sigma}\delta g_{\rho\sigma}\right)\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\delta T_{\text{matter}\mu\nu},
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and Eq. (24) to

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(-\square^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\lambda}\delta g_{\rho\lambda}\right) + \frac{1}{2}R^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\lambda}\delta g_{\rho\lambda}\right) - 2\delta g_{\rho\sigma}R^{(0)\rho\sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\delta T_{\text{matter}}. \tag{27}$$

By assuming the spatially flat FLRW space-time (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{ij}^t &= a^2 H \delta_{ij}, \quad \Gamma_{jt}^i = \Gamma_{tj}^i = H \delta_{ij}^i, \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i, \quad R_{itjt} = -\left(\dot{H} + H^2\right) a^2 \delta_{ij}, \quad R_{ijkl} = a^4 H^2 (\delta_{ik}\delta_{lj} - \delta_{il}\delta_{kj}), \\
R_{tt} &= -3\left(\dot{H} + H^2\right), \quad R_{ij} = a^2\left(\dot{H} + 3H^2\right)\delta_{ij}, \quad R = 6\dot{H} + 12H^2, \quad \text{other components} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Then (t, t) , (i, j) , (t, i) components of (26) assume the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\square^{(0)}\delta g_{tt} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_t^2\left(g^{(0)\rho\lambda}\delta g_{\rho\lambda}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\square^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\sigma}\delta g_{\rho\sigma}\right)\right. \\
& \left.- \frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{H} - H^2\right)\left(g^{(0)ij}\delta g_{ij}\right) - \frac{3}{2}\left(\dot{H} - H^2\right)\delta g_{tt}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\delta T_{\text{matter}tt},
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\square^{(0)}\delta g_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i\partial_j - H\delta_{ij}\partial_t\right)\left(g^{(0)\rho\lambda}\delta g_{\rho\lambda}\right) - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}^{(0)}\square^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\sigma}\delta g_{\rho\sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{H} + H^2\right)g_{ij}^{(0)}\delta g_{tt}\right. \\
& \left.+ 2\left(\dot{H} + H^2\right)\delta g_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}^{(0)}\left(\dot{H} + H^2\right)\left(g^{(0)kl}\delta g_{kl}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\delta T_{\text{matter}ij},
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\square^{(0)}\delta g_{ti} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_t^{(0)}\nabla_i^{(0)}\left(g^{(0)\rho\lambda}\delta g_{\rho\lambda}\right) + \left(2\dot{H} + 4H^2\right)\delta g_{ti}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\delta T_{\text{matter}ti}. \tag{31}$$

Here $g_{ij}^{(0)} = a^2\delta_{ij}$. For the scalar field η in (14), by using (16) and (23), we find

$$\delta T_{tt}^{\eta} = \left(-\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(3H^2 + \dot{H}\right) + \alpha\left(H^4 + \frac{2}{3}H^2\dot{H}\right)\right)\delta g_{tt},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta T_{ij}^\eta &= \left(-\frac{1}{\kappa^2} (3H^2 + 2\dot{H}) + \alpha \left(H^4 + \frac{4}{3} H^2 \dot{H} \right) \right) \delta g_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij}^{(0)} \left(-\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \dot{H} + \frac{4}{3} \alpha H^2 \dot{H} \right) \delta g_{tt}, \\ \delta T_{ti}^\eta &= \left(-\frac{1}{\kappa^2} (3H^2 + 2\dot{H}) + \alpha \left(H^4 + \frac{4}{3} H^2 \dot{H} \right) \right) \delta g_{ti},\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

where the thermal radiation contributions are clear and affect the evolution of gravitational waves.

IV. THERMAL CORRECTIONS IN QUANTUM MATTER

In order to find the explicit form of $\delta T_{\text{matter}\mu\nu}$ in (21) for the thermal radiation in (3), we consider a real scalar field ϕ as the source of matter. We deal with the scalar field as a quantum field at finite temperature. In the case of high temperature or in the massless case, the scalar field plays the role of radiation. On the other hand, in the limit where the temperature is vanishing but the density is finite, we obtain the dust, which can be considered as cold dark matter.

In a curved space-time, the energy-momentum tensor of a real free scalar field ϕ with mass M is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + g_{\mu\nu} \left(-\frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \phi \partial_\sigma \phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \phi^2 \right). \quad (33)$$

In a flat background, we find

$$\begin{aligned}T_{tt} &= \rho = \frac{1}{2} \left(\pi^2 + \sum_{n=1,2,3} (\partial_n \phi)^2 + M^2 \phi^2 \right), \\ T_{ij} &= \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \left(\pi^2 - \sum_{n=1,2,3} (\partial_n \phi)^2 - M^2 \phi^2 \right).\end{aligned}\quad (34)$$

Here $\pi = \dot{\phi}$ is the momentum conjugate to ϕ . We also obtain

$$\frac{\partial T_{\mu\nu}}{\partial g_{\rho\sigma}} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_\mu^\rho \delta_\nu^\sigma + \delta_\mu^\sigma \delta_\nu^\rho) \left(-\frac{1}{2} g^{\eta\zeta} \partial_\eta \phi \partial_\zeta \phi - \frac{1}{2} M^2 \phi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho \phi \partial^\sigma \phi, \quad (35)$$

which gets the following form in the flat background:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{tt}} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(-\pi^2 - \sum_{n=1,2,3} (\partial_n \phi)^2 - M^2 \phi^2 \right), \quad \frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{ij}} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial^i \phi \partial^j \phi, \quad \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{tt}} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \pi^2, \\ \frac{\partial T_{i0}}{\partial g_{j0}} &= \frac{\partial T_{i0}}{\partial g_{0j}} = \frac{\partial T_{0i}}{\partial g_{j0}} = \frac{\partial T_{0i}}{\partial g_{0j}} = \frac{1}{4} \delta_i^j \left(\pi^2 - \sum_{n=1,2,3} (\partial_n \phi)^2 - M^2 \phi^2 \right), \\ \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{kl}} &= \frac{1}{4} (\delta_i^k \delta_j^l + \delta_i^l \delta_j^k) \left(\pi^2 - \sum_{n=1,2,3} (\partial_n \phi)^2 - M^2 \phi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \partial^k \phi \partial^l \phi.\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

The thermal expectation values of other components vanish. Let us now evaluate the quantities in (36) at the finite temperature T . In order to define the situation, we assume that the three-dimensional space is the square box where the lengths of the edges are L and we impose a periodic boundary condition on the scalar field ϕ . Then the momentum \mathbf{k} is given by

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{2\pi}{L} \mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z). \quad (37)$$

Here n_x , n_y , and n_z are integers. If we define,

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{1}{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} e^{i\frac{2\pi\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}}{L}} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \pi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{1}{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} e^{i\frac{2\pi\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{x}}{L}} \pi_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (38)$$

we find

$$\int d^3x \phi(\mathbf{x})^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \phi_{-\mathbf{n}} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \int d^3x \pi(\mathbf{x})^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \pi_{-\mathbf{n}} \pi_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (39)$$

and the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} (\pi_{-\mathbf{n}} \pi_{\mathbf{n}} + E_{\mathbf{n}}^2 \phi_{-\mathbf{n}} \phi_{\mathbf{n}}), \quad E_{\mathbf{n}} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^2 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{L^2} + M^2}. \quad (40)$$

Here $\pi_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{l}}$ satisfy the following commutation relation,

$$[\pi_{\mathbf{n}}, \phi_{\mathbf{n}'}] = -i\delta_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{n}',0}. \quad (41)$$

We now define the creation and annihilation operators $a_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}$ by

$$a_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\pi_{\mathbf{n}}}{\sqrt{E_{\mathbf{n}}}} \pm i\sqrt{E_{\mathbf{n}}} \phi_{\mathbf{n}} \right). \quad (42)$$

We have to note that $(a_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm})^{\dagger} = a_{-\mathbf{n}}^{\mp}$ because $\pi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} = \pi_{-\mathbf{n}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} = \phi_{-\mathbf{n}}$. The operators $a_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}$ satisfy the following commutation relations,

$$[a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}, a_{\mathbf{n}'}^{+}] = \delta_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{n}',0}, \quad [a_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}, a_{\mathbf{n}'}^{\pm}] = 0. \quad (43)$$

Eqs. (42) can be solved with respect to $\pi_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{n}}$ as follows,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{n}}}} (a_{\mathbf{n}}^{+} - a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}), \quad \pi_{\mathbf{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{E_{\mathbf{n}}}{2}} (a_{\mathbf{n}}^{+} + a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}). \quad (44)$$

Hamiltonian (40) can be rewritten as

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} E_{\mathbf{n}} \left(a_{-\mathbf{n}}^{+} a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-} + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (45)$$

Let us now neglect the zero-point energy,

$$H \rightarrow \tilde{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} E_{\mathbf{n}} a_{-\mathbf{n}}^{+} a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}. \quad (46)$$

We define the number operator by

$$N \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{n}} a_{-\mathbf{n}}^{+} a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}. \quad (47)$$

Then we find the following expression of the partition function,

$$Z(\beta, \mu) = \text{tre}^{-\beta \tilde{H} - i\mu N} = e^{-\sum_{\mathbf{n}} \ln(1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu})}. \quad (48)$$

Here $\beta = \frac{1}{k_{\text{B}}T}$ with the Boltzmann constant k_{B} and μ is the chemical potential. Then we find the thermal average of the operator $a_{\mathbf{m}}^{+} a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}$ is given as follows,

$$\langle a_{\mathbf{m}}^{+} a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-} \rangle_{T, \mu} = -\delta_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n},0} \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln Z(\beta, \mu)}{\partial E_{\mathbf{n}}} = \delta_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n},0} \frac{e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}. \quad (49)$$

Then by a normal ordering, we have

$$\begin{aligned} : \pi^2 : &:= \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} e^{i \frac{2\pi(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{x}}{L}} : \pi_{\mathbf{m}} \pi_{\mathbf{n}} : = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} e^{i \frac{2\pi(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{x}}{L}} \sqrt{E_{\mathbf{m}} E_{\mathbf{n}}} a_{\mathbf{m}}^{+} a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}, \\ : \phi^2 : &:= \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} e^{i \frac{2\pi(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{x}}{L}} : \phi_{\mathbf{m}} \phi_{\mathbf{n}} : = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \frac{e^{i \frac{2\pi(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{x}}{L}}}{\sqrt{E_{\mathbf{m}} E_{\mathbf{n}}}} a_{\mathbf{m}}^{+} a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}, \\ : \partial^k \phi \partial^l \phi : &:= \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} e^{i \frac{2\pi(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{x}}{L}} : \phi_{\mathbf{m}} \phi_{\mathbf{n}} : = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \frac{e^{i \frac{2\pi(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{x}}{L}}}{\sqrt{E_{\mathbf{m}} E_{\mathbf{n}}}} m^k n^l a_{\mathbf{m}}^{+} a_{\mathbf{n}}^{-}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle : \pi^2 : \rangle_T &= \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{E_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}, & \langle : \phi^2 : \rangle_T &= \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}{E_{\mathbf{n}} (1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu})}, \\ \langle : \partial^k \phi \partial^l \phi : \rangle_T &= \frac{1}{3L^3} \delta^{kl} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{(2\pi)^2 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}{E_{\mathbf{n}} (1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu})}.\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

In particular, we find

$$\left\langle : \left(\pi^2 - \sum_{n=1,2,3} (\partial_n \phi)^2 - M^2 \phi^2 \right) : \right\rangle_T = 0. \quad (52)$$

Considering the operators in (36), the thermal expectation values are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{tt}} : \right\rangle_T &= -\frac{1}{2L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{E_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}, \\ \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{ij}} : \right\rangle_T &= -\frac{\delta^{ij}}{6L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \left\{ \frac{(2\pi)^2 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{L^2 E_{\mathbf{n}}} \right\} \frac{e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}{(1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu})}, \\ \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{tt}} : \right\rangle_T &= -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{2L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{E_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}, \\ \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{i0}}{\partial g_{j0}} : \right\rangle_T &= \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{i0}}{\partial g_{0j}} : \right\rangle_T = \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{0i}}{\partial g_{j0}} : \right\rangle_T = \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{0i}}{\partial g_{0j}} : \right\rangle_T = 0, \\ \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{kl}} : \right\rangle_T &= \frac{\delta_{ij} \delta^{kl}}{6L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \left\{ \frac{(2\pi)^2 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{L^2 E_{\mathbf{n}}} \right\} \frac{e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{n}} - i\mu}}.\end{aligned}\quad (53)$$

In the limit of $L \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{tt}} : \right\rangle_T &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{(k^2 \sqrt{k^2 + M^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}, \\ \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{ij}} : \right\rangle_T &= -\frac{\delta^{ij}}{12\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^4}{\sqrt{k^2 + M^2}} \frac{e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}, \\ \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{tt}} : \right\rangle_T &= -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{(k^2 \sqrt{k^2 + M^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}, \\ \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{kl}} : \right\rangle_T &= \frac{\delta_{ij} \delta^{kl}}{12\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^4}{\sqrt{k^2 + M^2}} \frac{e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}.\end{aligned}\quad (54)$$

In massless case $M = 0$, by putting the chemical potential $\mu = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{tt}} : \right\rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} &= -\frac{C}{4\pi^2 \beta^4}, & \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{ij}} : \right\rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} &= -\frac{C \delta^{ij}}{12\pi^2 \beta^4}, & \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{tt}} : \right\rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} &= -\frac{C \delta_{ij}}{4\pi^2 \beta^4}, \\ \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{kl}} : \right\rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} &= \frac{C \delta_{ij} \delta^{kl}}{12\pi^2 \beta^4}, & C &\equiv \int_0^\infty ds \frac{s^3 e^{-s}}{1 - e^{-s}} = \frac{\pi^4}{15}.\end{aligned}\quad (55)$$

By using (34), we also find

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \rho \rangle_T &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^2 (k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}{1 - e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}, \\ \langle T_{ij} \rangle_T &= \delta_{ij} \langle p \rangle_T = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{6\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^4 e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu}}{(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - e^{-\beta(k^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - i\mu})}.\end{aligned}\quad (56)$$

In the massless limit $M \rightarrow 0$, by putting $\mu = 0$, we get

$$\langle \rho \rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} = 3 \langle p \rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} = \frac{C}{4\pi^2 \beta^4}, \quad (57)$$

which are very well-known results by the standard statistical physics. Comparing with (3), that is, $\rho_{\text{t-rad}} = \langle \rho \rangle_{T, M=\mu=0}$, we find

$$C = 4\pi^2 \beta^4 \alpha H^4. \quad (58)$$

Then in the FLRW universe (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T_{tt}^{\text{t-rad}}}{\partial g_{tt}} &\equiv \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{tt}} : \right\rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} = -\alpha H^4, & \frac{\partial T_{tt}^{\text{t-rad}}}{\partial g_{ij}} &\equiv a^{-2} \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{tt}}{\partial g_{ij}} : \right\rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} = -\frac{\alpha H^4}{3a^2} \delta^{ij}, \\ \frac{\partial T_{ij}^{\text{t-rad}}}{\partial g_{tt}} &\equiv a^2 \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{tt}} : \right\rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} = -\alpha H^4 a^2 \delta_{ij}, & \frac{\partial T_{ij}^{\text{t-rad}}}{\partial g_{kl}} &\equiv \left\langle : \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial g_{kl}} : \right\rangle_{T, M=\mu=0} = \frac{\alpha H^4}{3} \delta_{ij} \delta^{kl}, \\ && \text{other components} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

and therefore

$$\delta T_{tt}^{\text{t-rad}} = -\alpha H^4 \left(\delta g_{tt} + \frac{1}{3} g^{(0)ij} \delta g_{ij} \right), \quad \delta T_{ij}^{\text{t-rad}} = -\alpha H^4 g_{ij}^{(0)} \left(\delta g_{tt} - \frac{1}{3} g^{(0)kl} \delta g_{kl} \right). \quad (60)$$

Because there can be many components contributing to the thermal radiation, we keep α as an unfixed parameter. This result clearly points out how, in principle, thermal radiation affect gravitational waves evolution in a cosmological background.

V. ENHANCEMENT AND DISSIPATION OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES WITH THERMAL EFFECTS

Let us now investigate the propagation of gravitational massless spin-two modes, where

$$\delta g_{tt} = \delta g_{it} = \delta g_{ti} = 0, \quad g^{(0)ij} \delta g_{ii} = 0, \quad (61)$$

Then Eqs. (60) tell us that there is no direct affect in the propagation of gravitational waves from the thermal radiation. On the other hand, we see that Eqs. (32) have the following form

$$\delta T_{tt}^{\eta} = \delta T_{ti}^{\eta} = 0, \quad \delta T_{ij}^{\eta} = \left(-\frac{1}{\kappa^2} (3H^2 + 2\dot{H}) + \alpha \left(H^4 + \frac{4}{3} H^2 \dot{H} \right) \right) \delta g_{ij}. \quad (62)$$

The terms including α give the footstamps of the thermal radiation although Eqs. (60) do not give any direct affect.

Furthermore, combining (61) with the gauge condition (25), we find that there is no longitudinal mode being

$$0 = \partial^i \delta g_{ij}. \quad (63)$$

Eqs. (29) and (31) are trivially satisfied and Eq. (30) has the following forms,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \square^{(0)} \delta g_{ij} + 2 (\dot{H} + H^2) \delta g_{ij} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta T_{ij}^{\eta} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \left(-\partial_t^2 \delta g_{ij} + 4H \partial_t \delta g_{ij} + (2\dot{H} - 4H^2) \delta g_{ij} + a^{-2} \partial_k^2 \delta g_{ij} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(H^4 + \frac{4}{3} H^2 \dot{H} \right) \delta g_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

By writing $\delta g_{ij} = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} h_{ij}(t)$, Eq. (64) can be written as

$$0 = \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \left(-\ddot{h}_{ij} + 4H \dot{h}_{ij} + (2\dot{H} - 4H^2) h_{ij} - a^{-2} k^2 h_{ij} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(H^4 + \frac{4}{3} H^2 \dot{H} \right) h_{ij}, \quad (65)$$

which is the evolution equation of the amplitude h_{ij} . Here $k^2 \equiv \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}$. The contribution of thermal term is clear and affects the evolution of the gravitational wave amplitude.

A. The behavior of gravitational waves near the singularities

Starting from the above results, we are able to study the behavior of gravitational waves near the Type II singularity and the Big Rip (the Type I) singularity.

Let us take into account the Type II singularity near $t = t_{\max}$ as in Eqs. (13), that is

$$H = H_0 + H_1 (t_{\max} - t)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \dots, \quad (66)$$

and therefore

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{H_1}{2} (t_{\max} - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \dots, \quad a = a_0 e^{-H_0(t_{\max}-t) - \frac{2}{3}H_1(t_{\max}-t)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots}. \quad (67)$$

We can also assume the behavior

$$h_{ij} \propto e^{f(t)+g(t)(t_{\max}-t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (68)$$

The functions $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ can be Taylor expanded around $t = t_{\max}$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= f_0 + f_1 (t_{\max} - t) + \frac{1}{2}f_2 (t_{\max} - t)^2 + \dots, \\ g(t) &= g_0 + g_1 (t_{\max} - t) + \frac{1}{2}g_2 (t_{\max} - t)^2 + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

The parameter f_0 can be absorbed into the normalization of h_{ij} . Then by using Eq. (65), we find,

$$0 = \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \left(-\frac{3}{4}g_0 - H_1 \right) - \frac{1}{3}\alpha H_0^2 H_1, \quad 0 = \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} (-f_1^2 - f_2 - 4H_0 f_1 - 4H_0^2 - a_0^{-2}k^2) + \frac{\alpha}{2}H_0^4, \quad (70)$$

which can be solved with respect to g_0 and f_1 as follows,

$$g_0 = -\frac{4}{3}H_1 \left(1 + \frac{4\kappa\alpha}{3}H_0^2 \right), \quad f_1 = -2H_0 \pm \sqrt{-a_0^{-2}k^2 - \frac{f_2}{2} + 2\kappa\alpha H_0^4}. \quad (71)$$

In the case of the free scalar field, as shown in Eq. (58), α is positive and therefore g_0 does not vanish and there appears non-analyticity in h_{ij} at $t = t_{\max}$. If α is negative and satisfies the equation $1 + \frac{4\kappa\alpha}{3}H_0^2 = 0$, g_0 vanishes and there is the possibility that the non-analyticity in h_{ij} at $t = t_{\max}$ might not appear. When $-a_0^{-2}k^2 - \frac{f_2}{2} + 2\kappa\alpha H_0^4 < 0$, f_1 becomes a complex number and therefore there occurs the oscillation as in the standard propagation of the gravitational wave. On the other hand, when $-a_0^{-2}k^2 - \frac{f_2}{2} + 2\kappa\alpha H_0^4 > 0$, f_1 becomes a real number and therefore the oscillation does not occur. We have to note that f_1 is always negative. Therefore Eqs. (68) and (69) tell us that the gravitational wave is always enhanced.

Let us consider now the Big Rip (the Type I) singularity by putting $\alpha = 0$ in (65), where

$$a \sim a_0 (t_s - t)^{-h}, \quad H \sim \frac{h}{t_s - t}. \quad (72)$$

Here we assume h is a positive constant. When we assume $h < 2$, Eq. (65) can be approximated as

$$0 = -\ddot{h}_{ij} + \frac{4h}{t_s - t} \dot{h}_{ij} + \frac{2h - 4h^2}{(t_s - t)} h_{ij}. \quad (73)$$

By assuming $h_{ij} \propto (t_s - t)^n$, we find the following algebraic equation,

$$0 = -n(n-1) - 4hn + 2h - 4h^2, \quad (74)$$

which can be solved with respect to n as follows,

$$n = -2h, \quad n = 1 - 2h. \quad (75)$$

For the first mode, h_{ij} is growing up but, if we consider $h_i^j = a^{-2}h_{ij}$, h_i^j behaves as $h_i^j \rightarrow \text{const.}$, and $\text{const.} \times (t_s - t)$. Therefore there is no enhancement and there is also a dissipating mode. For the Type II case in (67), $a(t)$ goes to a finite constant when $t \rightarrow t_{\max}$ and therefore the qualitative behavior of h_i^j does not change from h_{ij} . This tells us that the enhancement of the gravitational wave occurs near the Type II singularity but it could not occur near the Big Rip (Type I) singularity.

B. Gravitational waves in the early universe

We may also consider the bouncing universe as in (18). Because H vanishes at the bouncing point $t = 0$, we may approximate H as $H = H_1 t$ ($H_1 > 0$). Then Eq. (65) can be approximated as

$$0 = -\ddot{h}_{ij} + 2H_1 \dot{h}_{ij} - a_0^{-2} k^2 h_{ij}, \quad (76)$$

whose solution is given by $h_{ij} \propto e^{\pm i \sqrt{a_0^{-2} k^2 - 2H_1} t}$. Therefore in the high frequency modes, where $k^2 > 2a_0^2 H_1$, the gravitational waves develop in a standard way with oscillations. On the other hand, for the low frequency modes, where $k^2 < 2a_0^2 H_1$, the amplitude of the gravitational waves increases for + signature and decreases for - signature. Therefore the low frequency modes are enhanced or dissipated depending on the initial conditions.

During the inflationary epoch, where H is almost constant, $H = H_0$ and $a = a_0 e^{H_0 t}$, Eq. (65) has the following form.

$$0 = \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \left(-\ddot{h}_{ij} + 4H_0 \dot{h}_{ij} - 4H_0^2 h_{ij} - a_0^{-2} e^{-2H_0 t} k^2 h_{ij} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} H_0^4 h_{ij}. \quad (77)$$

Due to the expansion of the universe, the term including $e^{-2H_0 t}$ becomes very small and we can neglect it. Then, assuming $h_{ij} \propto e^{\lambda t}$ with a constant λ , we find

$$0 = \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \left(-\lambda^2 + 4H_0 \lambda - 4H_0^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha}{2} H_0^4, \quad (78)$$

which can be solved with respect to λ as follows,

$$\lambda = 2H_0 \pm H_0^2 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \quad (79)$$

Then we find $h_{ij} \propto e^{(2H_0 \pm H_0^2 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}})t}$ and $h_{ij} \propto e^{\pm H_0^2 t \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$. If there is no thermal effect, that is $\alpha = 0$, there is no enhancement or dissipation of the gravitational wave, but if we include the thermal effect, enhancement or dissipation occur.

VI. THE PROPAGATION OF SCALAR MODES WITH THERMAL EFFECTS

A similar discussion can be developed also in a generalized context where scalar modes are included.

Considering the model (14) describing the dynamics of the field η , we can take into account the propagation of scalar modes. Let us find the expression of the effective mass of the scalar field. By redefining the scalar field η as

$$\zeta = \int d\eta \sqrt{|\omega(\eta)|}, \quad (80)$$

we rewrite the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_η in (14), as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_\eta = -\frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(\omega(\eta)) \partial_\mu \zeta \partial^\mu \zeta - V(\eta(\zeta)). \quad (81)$$

Here the sign function is defined as

$$\text{sign}(x) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (82)$$

Then the square of mass m is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 &\equiv \text{sign}(\omega(\eta)) \frac{d^2 V}{d\zeta^2} \\ &= \text{sign}(\omega(\eta)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\omega(\eta)|}} \frac{d}{d\eta} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\omega(\eta)|}} \frac{dV}{d\eta} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega(\eta)} \frac{d^2 V}{d\eta^2} - \frac{\omega'(\eta)}{2\omega(\eta)^2} \frac{dV}{d\eta}. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Assuming $\eta = t$, by using Eqs. (16), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
m^2 = & \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \dot{H} + \frac{4}{3} \alpha H^2 \dot{H} \right)^{-2} \left\{ \frac{2}{\kappa^4} \left(-12\dot{H}^3 - 6H\dot{H}\ddot{H} - 2\dot{H}\ddot{H} + \ddot{H}^2 \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{2\alpha}{\kappa^2} \left(24H^2\dot{H}^3 + 8H^3\dot{H}\ddot{H} + \frac{8}{3}\dot{H}^4 + \frac{16}{3}H\dot{H}^2\ddot{H} + \frac{8}{3}H^2\dot{H}\ddot{H} - \frac{4}{3}H^2\ddot{H}^2 \right) \\
& \left. + \alpha^2 \left(-\frac{64}{3}H^4\dot{H}^3 - \frac{16}{3}H^5\dot{H}\ddot{H} - \frac{64}{9}H^3\dot{H}^2\ddot{H} + \frac{8}{9}H^4\ddot{H}^2 \right) \right\}. \tag{84}
\end{aligned}$$

It is worth noticing that when $\omega(\eta) = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \dot{H} + \frac{4}{3} \alpha H^2 \dot{H} = 0$, that is, when $\omega(\eta)$ changes its signature, m^2 diverges. In case of the Type II singularity (66), around the singularity $t = t_{\max}$, we find

$$m^2 \sim \frac{1}{16(t_{\max} - t)^2}, \tag{85}$$

which does not depend on H_0 nor H_1 and m^2 is positive and diverges at the singularity $t = t_{\max}$. Therefore just before the singularity, the scalar field oscillates very rapidly.

The Big Rip (the Type I) singularity (72) is recovered for $\alpha = 0$. In this case, we have

$$m^2 \sim -\frac{6h + 2}{(t_s - t)^2}. \tag{86}$$

Because m^2 is negative and diverges at $t = t_s$, the amplitude of scalar field increases or decreases very rapidly.

In the case of bouncing universe (17), as we find in (20), $\omega(\eta)$ vanishes at $t = \pm t_0$ and therefore m^2 diverges. If $m^2 >$, which may depend on the parameters near $t = \pm t_0$, the scalar field oscillates very rapidly and if $m^2 < 0$, the amplitude of the scalar field increases or decreases very rapidly.

In the inflationary era, where H is almost constant $H \sim H_0$, m^2 in (84) has the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
m^2 = & \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{2}{\kappa^2} + \frac{4}{3} \alpha H_0^2 \right)^{-2} \left\{ \frac{2H_0^6}{\kappa^4} \left(-12\epsilon_H^3 - 6\epsilon_H^2\eta_H - 2\epsilon_H\xi_H + \epsilon_H^2\eta_H^2 \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{2\alpha H_0^8}{\kappa^2} \left(24\epsilon_H^3 + 8\epsilon_H^2\eta_H + \frac{8}{3}\epsilon_H^4 + \frac{16}{3}\epsilon_H^3\eta_H + \frac{8}{3}\epsilon_H\xi_H - \frac{4}{3}\epsilon_H^2\eta_H^2 \right) \\
& \left. + \alpha^2 H_0^{10} \left(-\frac{64}{3}\epsilon_H^3 - \frac{16}{3}\epsilon_H^2\eta_H - \frac{64}{9}\epsilon_H^3\eta_H + \frac{8}{9}\epsilon_H^2\eta_H^2 \right) \right\}. \tag{87}
\end{aligned}$$

Here the slow roll parameters ϵ_H , η_H , and ξ_H are defined by

$$\epsilon_H \equiv \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \quad \eta_H \equiv \frac{\ddot{H}}{H\dot{H}}, \quad \xi_H \equiv \frac{\dddot{H}}{H^4}. \tag{88}$$

Therefore the mass m could be finite but the signature of m^2 depends on the details of inflation.

The expanding universe can be realized by the perfect fluid. The perfect fluid also generates scalar waves, whose propagating velocity is known as the sound speed c_s , which is given by

$$c_s^2 = \frac{dp}{d\rho}. \tag{89}$$

Therefore if we find the equation of state (EoS), we can find the speed. The energy density ρ and the pressure p are given in the FLRW Eqs. (2). The left hand sides of the FLRW equations are given by functions of the cosmological time t . Therefore if time is intrinsic in the expressions of 1st and 2nd FLRW equations, we can find the EoS as the ratio of pressure and energy density. The FLRW equations also tell the t dependence of the sound speed, as follows,

$$c_s^2 = \frac{dp}{d\rho} = -1 - \frac{\ddot{H}}{3H\dot{H}}. \tag{90}$$

Then we find the sound speed from the time dependence of the Hubble rate H .

In case of the Type II singularity (66), we find

$$c_s^2 \sim -\frac{1}{6H_0(t_{\max} - t)}. \quad (91)$$

Therefore c_s^2 diverges to negative infinity. Because c_s^2 is negative, the amplitude of the perfect fluid wave rapidly decreases or increases without oscillation. The behavior is much different from that in the scalar field in (85), where the scalar field oscillates very rapidly.

In case of the Big Rip (the Type I) singularity (72), we find

$$c_s^2 \sim -1 - \frac{2}{3h}, \quad (92)$$

which is finite but because c_s^2 is negative, the amplitude of the perfect fluid wave decreases or increases exponentially without oscillation. Even in case of the scalar field in (86), the amplitude of the scalar field increases or decreases very rapidly but m^2 diverges in the case of scalar field, the increase or decrease is much more rapid.

In case of the bouncing universe (17), the sound speed is given by

$$c_s^2 = -1 - \frac{-2n \frac{2t(t^2+t_0^2)-4t(t^2-t_0^2)}{(t^2+t_0^2)^3}}{\frac{6nt}{t^2+t_0^2} \left(-2n \frac{t^2-t_0^2}{(t^2+t_0^2)^2} \right)} = -1 - \frac{-t^2 + 3t_0^2}{3n(t^2 - t_0^2)}, \quad (93)$$

which diverges at $t = t_0$ as the mass m^2 of the scalar field. Then the propagation of the perfect fluid wave might be similar to that in the scalar field.

In case of the inflation, $H \sim H_0$, we find

$$c_s^2 = -1 - \frac{\eta_H}{3}. \quad (94)$$

which is finite but could be negative as long as η_H is small enough. Therefore the perfect scalar wave does not propagate although the scalar wave can propagate.

The above results tell us that the propagation of scalar modes depends on the mechanism which generates the expansion of the universe and, since thermal effects affect the effective mass (84), they have to be considered in the evolution.

A. Scalar waves in modified gravity versus compressional waves of cosmic fluid

Scalar modes can be achieved also taking into account modified theories of gravity, in particular higher-order theories in the curvature invariants. As a specific case, let us consider $F(R)$ gravity [1, 74–77]. In this case, it is possible to compare scalar gravitational waves with the compressional waves generated by a cosmic fluid.

The action of $F(R)$ gravity is given by

$$S_{F(R)} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{F(R)}{2\kappa^2} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} \right). \quad (95)$$

Here $F(R)$ is a generic function of the Ricci scalar R and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}$ is the Lagrangian density of standard matter. It is well known that $F(R)$ gravity can be rewritten in a scalar-tensor form [1, 75–77]. By introducing an auxiliary field A , the action (95) of $F(R)$ gravity assumes the following form:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \{ F'(A) (R - A) + F(A) \}. \quad (96)$$

By the variation of A , one obtains $A = R$. Substituting $A = R$ into the action (96), one reproduces immediately the action in (95). Furthermore, we can conformally rescale the metric in the following way,

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^\sigma g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \sigma = -\ln F'(A), \quad (97)$$

obtaining the action in the Einstein frame, that is

$$S_E = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{3}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \sigma \partial_\sigma \sigma - V(\sigma) \right), \quad (98)$$

with the effective potential

$$V(\sigma) = e^\sigma g(e^{-\sigma}) - e^{2\sigma} f(g(e^{-\sigma})) = \frac{A}{F'(A)} - \frac{F(A)}{F'(A)^2}. \quad (99)$$

Here $g(e^{-\sigma})$ is given by solving the equation $\sigma = -\ln(1 + f'(A)) = -\ln F'(A)$ as $A = g(e^{-\sigma})$. Due to the scale transformation (97), a coupling of the scalar field σ with standard matter arises. The mass of σ is given by

$$m_\sigma^2 \equiv \frac{3}{2} \frac{d^2 V(\sigma)}{d\sigma^2} = \frac{3}{2} \left\{ \frac{A}{F'(A)} - \frac{4F(A)}{(F'(A))^2} + \frac{1}{F''(A)} \right\}. \quad (100)$$

Then in the framework of $F(R)$ gravity, a propagating scalar mode appears and the considerations in Sec. IV can be applied.

As an example, let us take into account the case of power-law $F(R) = f_0 R^m$. It is straightforward obtaining the exact cosmological solution, where the Hubble rate H is given by

$$H = \frac{-\frac{(m-1)(2m-1)}{m-2}}{t}. \quad (101)$$

and the curvature scalar is

$$R = A = 12H^2 + 6\dot{H} = \frac{6m(4m-5)(m-1)(2m-1)}{(m-2)^2 t^2}. \quad (102)$$

The same solution can be also obtained in the Einstein gravity coupled with the cosmic perfect fluid with a constant EoS parameter. In fact, modeling out the further degrees of freedom of $F(R)$ gravity as a perfect fluid, it is easy to obtain [78]

$$w \equiv \frac{p}{\rho} = -\frac{6m^2 - 7m - 1}{3(m-1)(2m-1)}. \quad (103)$$

Clearly, in the Einstein gravity, the propagating scalar mode does not appear because the situation is different from that in $F(R)$ gravity. Instead of the massive scalar mode, a compressional wave, generated by the cosmic fluid, appears. In this case, the sound speed is constant as given from (89),

$$c_s^2 = \frac{dp}{d\rho} = w. \quad (104)$$

When w is positive, the compressional wave propagates but when w is negative, the amplitude of the wave increases or decreases exponentially and therefore the wave does not propagate.

Because we are considering the case $F(R) = f_0 R^m$, the mass m_σ^2 has the following form

$$m_\sigma^2 \equiv \frac{3}{2f_0 A^{m-2}} \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{4}{m^2} + \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \right) = \frac{3(m-2)^2}{2m^2(m-1)f_0 A^{m-2}}. \quad (105)$$

As long as $F'(R) = m f_0 R^{m-1} = m f_0 A^{m-1} > 0$ and $m_\sigma^2 > 0$, a propagating scalar mode appears.

As an example, let us consider the case $m \gg 1$. Here we find

$$w \sim -1 < 0, \quad R = A \sim \frac{48m^2}{t^2} > 0, \quad m_\sigma^2 \sim \frac{3t^{2(m-2)}}{f_0 m (48m^2)^{m-2}}. \quad (106)$$

If $f_0 > 0$, we find $F'(R) > 0$ and $m_\sigma^2 > 0$, and therefore the massive scalar mode in the $F(R)$ propagates but because $c_s^2 = w \sim -1 < 0$, the compressional wave of the cosmic fluid does not propagate. Hence the expansion of the universe is identical between the $F(R)$ gravity and the Einstein gravity with the cosmic perfect fluid but, only in the $F(R)$ gravity, a propagating scalar mode appears. From an observational point of view, these feature can be extremely relevant because it is fixing, if detected, if the physical frame is the Jordan one (with $F(R)$ gravity) or the Einstein one (with perfect fluid). See also [81] for a discussion.

It is worth saying that, in various modified and extended theories of gravity, like $F(R)$, Brans-Dicke, scalar-tensor, or Gauss-Bonnet gravity, scalar modes appear and they are often massive. The expansion of the background universe, generated by these models can be also generated by the Einstein gravity sourced by perfect fluids. The perfect fluid

is characterized by the energy density ρ , the pressure p , and the EoS $p = f(\rho, \dots)$. The effective energy density ρ_{eff} , the effective pressure p_{eff} in the modified gravities satisfy an identical EoS $p_{\text{eff}} = f(\rho_{\text{eff}}, \dots)$ in the homogeneous background. In other words, the effect of further gravitational degrees of freedom, related to modified gravity theories, can be represented as perfect fluids if certain conditions are satisfied. See [78–80]. However, the point is that the propagation of scalar modes or the fluid dynamics fix the frame and can be the physical signature discriminating between models.

If we consider inhomogeneous space-times, the behavior of modified gravity is different from that of Einstein gravity with the perfect fluid. For example, when we consider spherically symmetric background, the effective pressure in the radial direction is generally different from the effective pressure in the angular direction although the pressure in the perfect fluid should not depend on the direction. This tells us that, if we consider a perturbation on the homogeneous background like a wave, the behavior in modified gravity can be different from that in the Einstein gravity with the perfect fluid as discussed in this section.

Then how we can distinguish scalar modes with respect to the compressional waves of a perfect fluid? As we have seen, a perfect fluid, where the EoS parameter is $w < 0$, does not generate a compressional wave. Therefore if we find massive scalar waves, it could be an evidence for modified gravity. However, even in modified gravity, there are various models. In the case of $F(R)$ gravity, however, the coupling of massive scalar with matter is universal, that is, it does not depend on the kind of matter because the coupling appears by the rescaling of metric (97). This structure is rather characteristic of $F(R)$ gravity and it may give some clue to observationally discriminate $F(R)$ gravity with respect to other modified gravity models.

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we discussed thermal radiation effects affecting the propagation of cosmological gravitational waves both in the context of GR and in its modifications when scalar gravitational modes emerge. In particular, thermal effects have a main role in determining both the cosmological parameters and the evolution towards early and future singularities. The key feature is the dependence of thermal radiation from the Hubble parameter so that, for large H , the temperature of the universe becomes large and we may expect effects as in the case of the Hawking radiation. As discussed, the Hawking temperature T is proportional to the inverse of the radius r_{H} of the apparent horizon and the radius r_{H} is proportional to the inverse of the Hubble rate H [27]. Therefore, the temperature T is proportional to the Hubble rate H . According to this statement, the behavior of T or H can be used to test cosmological models nearby early and future singularities. Here we discussed in details these approach considering thermal corrections for quantum matter and classical fluids. The main result is that thermal effects can enhance or dissipate gravitational waves depending on the sound speed of them into the cosmological medium and then depending on the equation of state. Clearly, if gravitational scalar modes are present, we have to consider an effective mass for the graviton [24] and this can be an indication to retain or discard theories of gravity eventually modified with respect to GR. Since we are dealing with cosmology, it is difficult to identify astrophysical sources of gravitational waves so the stochastic background of gravitational waves could bring signatures of these phenomena which can be, in principle, observationally probed.

Specifically, the stochastic background can be generated by the primordial background of gravitational waves or by the superposition of gravitational waves emitted by astrophysical objects that cannot be resolved [82]. In any case, a fundamental issue is to cross-correlate astrophysical and cosmological gravitational wave backgrounds with respect to the Cosmic Microwave Background as discussed in details in [25].

In the scenario discussed in this paper, the stochastic background is derived from the quantum fluctuations of zero-point energy acting in the primordial epochs. Such fluctuations are amplified in the early universe by the sudden variations of gravitational field. The mechanism produces a huge amount of gravitational waves and the theory results in agreement with an inflationary period and a spectral index of order 1. The PLANCK experiment data seem to confirm observationally this scenario [83].

In general, the total stochastic background is described by a dimensionless spectrum [84, 85], that is

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{Tot}}(\nu) = \frac{1}{\rho_c} \left(\frac{d\rho_{\text{GW}}^{\text{Tot}}}{d \ln \nu} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_c \equiv \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G}. \quad (107)$$

Here $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{Tot}}(\nu)$ is a dimensionless density parameter summing up all the gravitational contributions, ρ_c is the cosmic critical energy density, H_0 the observed Hubble parameter at a given epoch, and $d\rho_{\text{GW}}^{\text{Tot}}$ is the differential energy density of the gravitational waves in the frequency range ν to $\nu + d\nu$.

The total energy density, related to the stochastic background of gravitational waves, can be represented as $h_0^2 \Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{Tot}}(\nu)$ by assuming a dimensionless Hubble parameter $H_0 = 100 h_0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. According to this definition, the stochastic background energy density can be given as a superposition of various components related to the

propagation of gravitational waves. In our case, it is

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{Tot}} \equiv \Omega_{\text{GW}}^+ + \Omega_{\text{GW}}^\times + \Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{rad}} + \Omega_{\text{GW}}^S, \quad (108)$$

with $+$, \times , rad , S the indexes labeling the different modes. The first two terms are the standard GR ones. The third is the enhancement or dissipation related to the thermal effects. The fourth represents any deviation from GR and, in particular, the scalar mode discussed in this paper.

It is worth stressing that inflation gives rise to perturbations for any tensor and scalar field. As a consequence, a spectrum of relic scalar and tensor gravitational waves is expected. In principle, such a spectrum can be a powerful testbed for any theory of gravity.

It is possible to show that, at lower frequencies, the gravitational density parameter evolves as

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{Tot}}(\nu) \propto \nu^{-2}. \quad (109)$$

See, for example, [84, 86]. This feature can be important to probe radiation effects or scalar modes from observations. In particular, the characteristic amplitude for a given gravitational wave component is [85]

$$h(\nu) \simeq 8.93 \times 10^{-19} \left(\frac{1 \text{ Hz}}{\nu} \right) \sqrt{h_{100}^2 \Omega_{\text{GW}}(\nu)}, \quad (110)$$

where the amplitude is assumed at a strain frequency of 100 Hz. This quantity can be constrained by observations.

The constraints can be achieved by taking into account the PLANCK experiment release and the LIGO-VIRGO operational frequencies [87, 88]. In the low frequency regime, we have

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{GR}}(\nu) h_{100}^2 < 2 \times 10^{-6}, \quad \Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{rad}}(\nu) h_{100}^2 < 2 \times 10^{-12}, \quad \Omega_{\text{GW}}^S(\nu) h_{100}^2 < 2.3 \times 10^{-12}, \quad (111)$$

clearly indicating the differences in energy densities of tensor, radiation and scalar modes. This can be easily seen considering that $\rho_{\text{GR}} \sim H^2$, while $\rho_{\text{rad}} \sim H^4$ as shown in (3). Here, for the sake of simplicity, we are assuming $\alpha \sim 1$. In the same way, $\rho_S \sim H^4$ considering contributions of scalar fields in early epochs. See the discussion in Sec. II.

In particular, from Eq. (79), thermal effects can be taken into account as soon as one succeeds in separating the first and second term in $h(t) \propto e^{(2H_0 \pm H_0^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}})t}$. Furthermore, considering the corresponding strain at ≈ 100 Hz, for VIRGO and LIGO at maximum sensitivity, we obtain

$$h_{\text{GR}}(100 \text{ Hz}) < 1.3 \times 10^{-23}, \quad h_{\text{rad}}(100 \text{ Hz}) < 1.3 \times 10^{-26}, \quad h_S(100 \text{ Hz}) < 2 \times 1.410^{-26}. \quad (112)$$

disentangling the amplitudes of GR, radiation term and scalar mode respectively.

Clearly, the issue of separating thermal effects or scalar modes strictly depends on the maximum sensitivity at which the single interferometer (or the cluster of interferometers) is operating. In general, being $h_{\text{rad}} \simeq h_S$ at early epochs, these last contributions could result difficult to separate.

For sensitivities of the order 10^{-22} of the VIRGO and LIGO interferometers, at ≈ 100 Hz, one needs to gain two or three orders of magnitude. In the case of VIRGO, at a sensitivity of the order 10^{-21} at ≈ 10 Hz, it is

$$h_{\text{GR}}(100 \text{ Hz}) < 1.3 \times 10^{-22}, \quad h_{\text{rad}}(100 \text{ Hz}) < 1.3 \times 10^{-25}, \quad h_S(100 \text{ Hz}) < 2 \times 10^{-25}. \quad (113)$$

These qualitative results point out that tensor, radiation and scalar modes can be clearly distinguished considering suitable sensitivities of the instruments. More precisely, tensor modes and the global contribution of other modes can be disentangled as soon as suitable sensitivities are reached by interferometric systems (that is in one or more than one interferometers are working together, in particular, at least three correlated interferometers).

However, gaining two or three orders of magnitude in sensitivity from earth-based interferometers is a very difficult task and this could be not sufficient to detect gravitational waves stochastic background. Specifically, the probability of detection depends on the algorithm which must be based on the output signal correlations [26]. In this perspective, space-based interferometers can give satisfactory results. As discussed in [89], gravitational waves produced by sound waves in the primordial phase transitions are a main target for the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) [28]. Our thermal effects can be included in this phenomenology. Furthermore, the LISA expected sensitivity for this type of gravitational signals agrees with the order of magnitude necessary to disentangle standard GR modes with respect to gravitational scalar modes. In particular, being LISA based on the concept of peak-integrated sensitivity curves [90], it will be possible to perform a systematic comparison of several thousands of benchmark points in different models to obtain a complete information on the optimal signal-to-noise ratio. This procedure could allow, from one hand, to detect the stochastic background and, from the other hand, to disentangle scalar modes.

Some concluding remarks are in order at this point. In this paper, we considered the effects of thermal radiation and scalar modes on the propagation of gravitational waves in dynamical cosmological backgrounds. Both kinds of effects

can enhance or dissipate the total propagation of gravitational waves and represent significant signature to probe theories of gravity with respect to GR. Furthermore, also future singularities, as discussed above, can be affected by the thermal radiation or the presence of gravitational scalar modes.

It is worth noticing that such effects give contributions also at quantum level so they can be related to primordial fluctuations of quantum matter.

On the other hand, as shown in the case of $F(R)$ gravity, the effects of scalar modes or compressional fluids strictly depend on the “representation” of the theory in the Einstein or the Jordan frames. This could constitute an important feature in order to distinguish the true physical frame by the observations.

Finally, dynamics related to the above discussion could be observationally tested by interferometers. In fact, at suitable sensitivities, it seems realistic to disentangle GR contributions with respect to other contributions in the stochastic background of gravitational waves. In a future study, this topic will be developed in detail.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 18K03615 (S.N.). SC acknowledges the support of Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), iniziative specifiche QGSKY and MOONLIGHT2 and SDO acknowledges Project No. PID2019-104397 GB-I00 from MINECO (Spain).

-
- [1] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, Phys. Rept. **509** (2011) 167 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2011.09.003 [arXiv:1108.6266 [gr-qc]].
 - [2] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, Phys. Rept. **692** (2017), 1-104 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2017.06.001 [arXiv:1705.11098 [gr-qc]].
 - [3] Y. F. Cai, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and E. N. Saridakis, Rept. Prog. Phys. **79** (2016) no.10, 106901 doi:10.1088/0034-4885/79/10/106901 [arXiv:1511.07586 [gr-qc]].
 - [4] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **779** (2018), 425-429 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.01.078 [arXiv:1711.00492 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [5] K. Bamba, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018) no.2, 024002 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.024002 [arXiv:1804.02275 [gr-qc]].
 - [6] L. Lombriser and A. Taylor, JCAP **03** (2016), 031 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/03/031 [arXiv:1509.08458 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [7] T. Nakamura, T. Katuragawa, T. Ikeda and S. Capozziello, PoS **KMI2019** (2019), 034 doi:10.22323/1.356.0034
 - [8] T. Katuragawa, T. Nakamura, T. Ikeda and S. Capozziello, Phys. Rev. D **99** (2019) no.12, 124050 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.124050 [arXiv:1902.02494 [gr-qc]].
 - [9] G. Lambiase, M. Sakellariadou and A. Stabile, JCAP **03** (2021) 014 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/03/014 [arXiv:2012.00114 [gr-qc]].
 - [10] N. Bernal, A. Ghoshal, F. Hajkarim and G. Lambiase, JCAP **2011** (2020) 051 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2020/11/051 [arXiv:2008.04959 [gr-qc]].
 - [11] C. Bogdanos, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and S. Nesseris, Astropart. Phys. **34** (2010) 236 doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2010.08.001 [arXiv:0911.3094 [gr-qc]].
 - [12] S. Capozziello, M. Capriolo and S. Nojiri, Phys. Lett. B **810** (2020) 135821 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135821 [arXiv:2009.12777 [gr-qc]].
 - [13] S. Capozziello, M. Capriolo and L. Caso, Class. Quant. Grav. **37** (2020) no.23, 235013 doi:10.1088/1361-6382/abbe71 [arXiv:2010.00451 [gr-qc]].
 - [14] M. De Laurentis, O. Porth, L. Bovard, B. Ahmedov and A. Abdujabbarov, Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016) no.12, 124038 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.124038 [arXiv:1611.05766 [gr-qc]].
 - [15] K. Bamba, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, S. Nojiri and D. Sáez-Gómez, Phys. Lett. B **727** (2013), 194-198 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.10.022 [arXiv:1309.2698 [gr-qc]].
 - [16] M. Higuchi and S. Nojiri, Gen. Rel. Grav. **46** (2014) no.11, 1822 doi:10.1007/s10714-014-1822-z [arXiv:1402.1346 [hep-th]].
 - [17] S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **95** (2017) no.8, 083524 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.083524 [arXiv:1702.05517 [gr-qc]].
 - [18] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou and A. A. Popov, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) no.8, 084009 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.084009 [arXiv:1909.01324 [gr-qc]].
 - [19] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou and A. A. Popov, Phys. Dark Univ. **28** (2020), 100514 doi:10.1016/j.dark.2020.100514 [arXiv:2002.10402 [gr-qc]].
 - [20] I. Brevik and S. Nojiri, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **28** (2019) no.10, 1950133 doi:10.1142/S0218271819501335 [arXiv:1901.00767 [gr-qc]].
 - [21] S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and M. Francaviglia, Astropart. Phys. **29** (2008), 125-129 doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2007.12.001 [arXiv:0712.2980 [gr-qc]].

- [22] S. Capozziello, C. Corda and M. De Laurentis, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **22** (2007), 2647-2655 doi:10.1142/S021773230702573X [arXiv:0707.0368 [gr-qc]].
- [23] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, *Annals Phys.* **418** (2020), 168186 doi:10.1016/j.aop.2020.168186 [arXiv:1907.01625 [gr-qc]].
- [24] S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) no.8, 083524 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.083524 [arXiv:1702.05517 [gr-qc]].
- [25] A. Ricciardone, L. V. Dall'Armi, N. Bartolo, D. Bertacca, M. Liguori and S. Matarrese, [arXiv:2106.02591 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [26] L. Amalberti, N. Bartolo and A. Ricciardone, [arXiv:2105.13197 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [27] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2738. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.2738
- [28] <https://lisa.nasa.gov/>
- [29] <http://www.et-gw.eu/>
- [30] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **30** (2020), 100695 doi:10.1016/j.dark.2020.100695 [arXiv:2006.03946 [gr-qc]].
- [31] S. Odintsov and V. Oikonomou, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) no.2, 024013 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.024013 [arXiv:1806.07295 [gr-qc]].
- [32] S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009), 124007 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124007 [arXiv:0903.2753 [hep-th]].
- [33] R. Caldwell, *Phys. Lett. B* **545** (2002), 23-29 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02589-3 [arXiv:astro-ph/9908168 [astro-ph]].
- [34] R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N. N. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003), 071301 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.071301 [arXiv:astro-ph/0302506 [astro-ph]].
- [35] R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao and Y. P. Hu, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26** (2009) 155018 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/26/15/155018 [arXiv:0809.1554 [hep-th]].
- [36] R. Ruggiero, [arXiv:2005.12684 [gr-qc]].
- [37] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063004 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501025].
- [38] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **562** (2003), 147-152 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00594-X [arXiv:hep-th/0303117 [hep-th]].
- [39] E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004), 043539 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.043539 [arXiv:hep-th/0405034 [hep-th]].
- [40] V. Faraoni, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11** (2002), 471-482 doi:10.1142/S0218271802001809 [arXiv:astro-ph/0110067 [astro-ph]].
- [41] P. Singh, M. Sami and N. Dadhich, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003), 023522 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.023522 [arXiv:hep-th/0305110 [hep-th]].
- [42] P. X. Wu and H. W. Yu, *Nucl. Phys. B* **727** (2005), 355-367 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.07.022 [arXiv:astro-ph/0407424 [astro-ph]].
- [43] M. Sami and A. Toporensky, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **19** (2004), 1509 doi:10.1142/S0217732304013921 [arXiv:gr-qc/0312009 [gr-qc]].
- [44] H. Stefancic, *Phys. Lett. B* **586** (2004), 5-10 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.02.018 [arXiv:astro-ph/0310904 [astro-ph]].
- [45] L. P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003), 211301 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.211301 [arXiv:gr-qc/0307111 [gr-qc]].
- [46] X. F. Zhang, H. Li, Y. S. Piao and X. M. Zhang, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **21** (2006), 231-242 doi:10.1142/S0217732306018469 [arXiv:astro-ph/0501652 [astro-ph]].
- [47] M. P. Dabrowski, C. Kiefer and B. Sandhofer, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006), 044022 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.044022 [arXiv:hep-th/0605229 [hep-th]].
- [48] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **686** (2010), 44-48 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2010.02.017 [arXiv:0911.2781 [hep-th]].
- [49] J. Beltran Jimenez, D. Rubiera-Garcia, D. Saez-Gomez and V. Salzano, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) no.12, 123520 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.123520 [arXiv:1607.06389 [gr-qc]].
- [50] J. D. Barrow, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004), L79-L82 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/21/11/L03 [arXiv:gr-qc/0403084 [gr-qc]].
- [51] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **595** (2004), 1-8 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.06.060 [arXiv:hep-th/0405078 [hep-th]].
- [52] J. D. Barrow and C. G. Tsagas, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005), 1563-1571 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/22/9/006 [arXiv:gr-qc/0411045 [gr-qc]].
- [53] L. Fernandez-Jambrina and R. Lazkoz, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004), 121503 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.121503 [arXiv:gr-qc/0410124 [gr-qc]].
- [54] M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, P. F. Gonzalez-Diaz and P. Martin-Moruno, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008), 1-5 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.10.079 [arXiv:gr-qc/0612135 [gr-qc]].
- [55] J. D. Barrow and S. Z. Lip, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009), 043518 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.043518 [arXiv:0901.1626 [gr-qc]].
- [56] M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, Y. Tavakoli and P. Vargas Moniz, *JCAP* **04** (2010), 016 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2010/04/016 [arXiv:0911.1428 [gr-qc]].
- [57] J. D. Barrow, A. B. Batista, J. C. Fabris, M. J. Houndjo and G. Dito, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011), 123518 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.123518 [arXiv:1110.1321 [gr-qc]].
- [58] M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, C. Kiefer and M. Kramer, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) no.6, 064016 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.064016 [arXiv:1312.5976 [gr-qc]].
- [59] M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, P. Chen and Y. W. Liu, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013), 2546 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2546-z [arXiv:1302.6249 [gr-qc]].
- [60] L. P. Chimento and M. G. Richarte, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) no.4, 043524 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.043524 [arXiv:1512.02664 [gr-qc]].

- [61] M. Cataldo, L. P. Chimento and M. G. Richarte, Phys. Rev. D **95** (2017) no.6, 063510 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.063510 [arXiv:1702.07743 [gr-qc]].
- [62] A. Balcerzak and T. Denkiewicz, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012), 023522 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.023522 [arXiv:1202.3280 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [63] K. Marosek and A. Balcerzak, Eur. Phys. J. C **79** (2019) no.4, 287 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6802-8 [arXiv:1804.10835 [gr-qc]].
- [64] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004), 103522 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.103522 [arXiv:hep-th/0408170 [hep-th]].
- [65] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005), 023003 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.72.023003 [arXiv:hep-th/0505215 [hep-th]].
- [66] J. D. Barrow and A. A. H. Graham, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) no.8, 083513 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.083513 [arXiv:1501.04090 [gr-qc]].
- [67] S. Nojiri, S. Odintsov and V. Oikonomou, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) no.8, 084059 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.084059 [arXiv:1502.07005 [gr-qc]].
- [68] S. Nojiri, S. Odintsov, V. Oikonomou and E. N. Saridakis, JCAP **09** (2015), 044 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/9/044 [arXiv:1503.08443 [gr-qc]].
- [69] S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) no.2, 024016 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.024016 [arXiv:1504.06866 [gr-qc]].
- [70] V. Oikonomou, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) no.12, 124027 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.124027 [arXiv:1509.05827 [gr-qc]].
- [71] K. Kleidis and V. Oikonomou, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **15** (2017) no.04, 1850064 doi:10.1142/S0219887818500640 [arXiv:1711.09270 [gr-qc]].
- [72] S. Capozziello, M. Capriolo and M. Transirico, Annalen Phys. **529** (2017) no.5, 1600376 doi:10.1002/andp.201600376 [arXiv:1702.01162 [gr-qc]].
- [73] S. Odintsov and V. Oikonomou, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) no.12, 124024 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.124024 [arXiv:1510.04333 [gr-qc]].
- [74] S. Capozziello, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **11** (2002) 483 doi:10.1142/S0218271802002025 [gr-qc/0201033].
- [75] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rept. **505** (2011), 59-144 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2011.04.001 [arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc]].
- [76] K. i. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D **39** (1989), 3159 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.39.3159
- [77] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003), 123512 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.123512 [arXiv:hep-th/0307288 [hep-th]].
- [78] S. Capozziello, C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, Gen. Rel. Grav. **52** (2020) no.4, 36 doi:10.1007/s10714-020-02690-2 [arXiv:1908.10176 [gr-qc]].
- [79] S. Capozziello, C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **16** (2019) no.09, 1950133 doi:10.1142/S0219887819501330 [arXiv:1906.05693 [gr-qc]].
- [80] S. Capozziello, C. A. Mantica and L. G. Molinari, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **16** (2018) no.01, 1950008 doi:10.1142/S0219887819500087 [arXiv:1810.03204 [gr-qc]].
- [81] S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and A. Troisi, Phys. Lett. B **639** (2006), 135-143 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2006.06.034 [arXiv:astro-ph/0604431 [astro-ph]].
- [82] A. J. Farmer and E. S. Phinney, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **346** (2003) 1197 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2003.07176.x [astro-ph/0304393].
- [83] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck], Astron. Astrophys. **594** (2016), A14 doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201525814 [arXiv:1502.01590 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [84] L. P. Grishchuk, V. M. Lipunov, K. A. Postnov, M. E. Prokhorov and B. S. Sathyaprakash, Phys. Usp. **44** (2001) 1 [Usp. Fiz. Nauk **171** (2001) 3] doi:10.1070/PU2001v044n01ABEH000873 [astro-ph/0008481].
- [85] M. Maggiore, Phys. Rept. **331** (2000) 283 doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00102-7 [gr-qc/9909001].
- [86] B. Allen, Proceedings of the Les Houches School on Astrophysical Sources of Gravitational Waves, eds. Jean-Alain Marck and Jean-Pierre Lasota (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England 1998).
- [87] <http://www.ligo.org/pdf/public/camp.pdf>.
- [88] <http://www.virgo.infn.it>
- [89] K. Schmitz, Symmetry **12** (2020) 1477, doi: 10.3390/sym12091477, [arXiv:2005.10789 [hep-ph]]
- [90] T. Alanne, T. Hogle, M. Platscher, K. Schmitz, JHEP **03** (2020) 004, doi: 10.1007/JHEP03(2020)004, [arXiv:1909.11356 [hep-ph]]