

Recursive constructions of amoebas

April 21, 2021

Adriana Hansberg
Instituto de Matemáticas
UNAM Juriquilla
Querétaro, Mexico
ahansberg@im.unam.mx

Amanda Montejano
UMDI, Facultad de Ciencias
UNAM Juriquilla
Querétaro, Mexico
amandamontejano@ciencias.unam.mx

Yair Caro
Dept. of Mathematics
University of Haifa-Oranim
Tivon 36006, Israel
yacaro@kvgeva.org.il

Abstract

Global amoebas are a wide and rich family of graphs that emerged from the study of certain Ramsey-Turán problems in 2-colorings of the edges of the complete graph K_n that deal with the appearance of unavoidable patterns once a certain amount of edges in each color is guaranteed. Indeed, it turns out that, as soon as such coloring constraints are satisfied and if n is sufficiently large, then every global amoeba can be found embedded in K_n such that it has half its edges in each color. Even more surprising, every bipartite global amoeba G is unavoidable in every tonal-variation, meaning that, for any pair of integers r, b such that $r + b$ is the number of edges of G , there is a subgraph of K_n isomorphic to G with r edges in the first color and b edges in the second. The feature that makes global amoebas work are one-by-one edge replacements that leave the structure of the graph invariant. By means of a group theoretical approach, the dynamics of this feature can be modeled. As a counterpart to the global amoebas that “live” inside a possibly large complete graph K_n , we also consider local amoebas which are spanning subgraphs of K_n with the same feature.

In an effort to highlight their richness and versatility, we present here three different recursive constructions of amoebas, two of them yielding interesting families per se and one of them offering a wide range of possibilities.

Keywords: Amoeba, edge-replacement, graph isomorphisms, interpolation, Ramsey-Turán problems.

1 Introduction

Global amoebas first appeared in [4] (there they were just called “amoebas”) where certain Ramsey-Turán problems were considered, which dealt with the existence of a given graph with a prescribed

color pattern in 2-edge-colorings of the complete graph. More precisely, it is shown in [4] that, if n is sufficiently large, and the edges of K_n are colored each with one of two colors such that every color is sufficiently represented, then every global amoeba G can be found embedded in K_n such that it has half (± 1) its edges in each color. Graphs with this property are called *balanceable*. Moreover, every bipartite global amoeba G is *omnitonal*, meaning that, provided that n is sufficiently large and that the coloring of K_n has enough edges in each color, then, for any pair of integers r, b such that $r + b = e(G)$ (i.e. the number of edges of G), there is a subgraph of K_n isomorphic to G with r edges in the first color and b edges in the second.

The feature that makes amoebas work are one-by-one replacements of edges, where, at each step, some edge is substituted by another such that an isomorphic copy of the graph is created. We call such edge substitutions *feasible edge-replacements*. Similar edge-operations have been studied, for instance, in [5–7, 10, 13, 14].

As a counterpart to the global amoebas that “live” inside a possibly large complete graph K_n , we also consider local amoebas which are spanning subgraphs of K_n with the same feature. To be more precise, consider, as introduced in [2], a family \mathcal{F} of graphs, all of them having the same number of edges, and a graph H . \mathcal{F} is called *closed* in H if, for every two copies F, F' of members of \mathcal{F} contained in H as subgraphs, there is a chain of graphs H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k in H such that $F = H_1$, $F' = H_k$, and, for $2 \leq i \leq k$, H_i is a member of \mathcal{F} and H_i obtained from H_{i-1} by a feasible edge-replacement. Perhaps the most well-known closed family is the family of all spanning trees of a connected graph H , and the edge-replacement operation given above is in fact the basic operation in the exchange of bases in the cycle matroid $M(H)$ of H . A graph G is a *global amoeba* precisely when $\{G\}$ is a closed family in K_n (for n large enough), and it is a *local amoeba* if $\{G\}$ is a closed family in $K_{n(G)}$. Exactly this amoeba-property is the key role to the usefulness of amoebas in interpolation theorems in Graph Theory and in zero-sum extremal problems [2], and in problems about forced patterns in 2-colorings of the edges of K_n [4]. For the interested reader, we refer to [1, 8, 9, 11–13, 15] for more literature related to interpolation techniques in graphs.

In [3], a group theoretical framework is developed with which the dynamics of the feasible-edge replacements of graphs can be modeled. In order to present the results that are the aim of this paper, we will describe this framework formally but without going into detail. To understand the techniques more deeply, the reader is invited to consult [3]. Two of the constructions that we expose in this paper are also matter from [3], where the full proofs can be read. Section 3.2, about the so-called “double-rooted” amoebas, is not part of [3] and is fully contained and proven in the present manuscript.

2 Theoretical setting

Given a graph G , we denote with $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $n(G)$, and $e(G)$ its vertex set, its edge set, its order and its number of edges, respectively. For integers m and n with $m < n$ we use the standard notation $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $[m, n] = \{m, m + 1, m + 2, \dots, n\}$. Let S_n be the symmetric group, whose elements are permutations of $[n]$. The group of automorphisms of a graph G is denoted by $\text{Aut}(G)$. Thus, $\text{Aut}(K_n) \cong S_n$ where K_n is the complete graph of order n and, for any graph G of order n , $\text{Aut}(G) \cong S$ for some $S \leq S_n$. Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be the set of vertices of K_n . Let G be a spanning subgraph of K_n defined by its edge set $E(G) \subseteq E(K_n)$ and let $L_G = \{ij \mid v_i v_j \in E(G)\}$, where we do not distinguish between ij and ji . For each $\sigma \in S_n$, we define $\lambda_\sigma : V \rightarrow [n]$ as the labeling of the vertices of K_n defined by $\lambda_\sigma(v_i) = \sigma(i)$ and consider the copy G_σ of G embedded in

K_n defined by

$$E(G_\sigma) = \{v_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}v_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} \mid ij \in L_G\}.$$

Hence, each labeled copy of G embedded in K_n corresponds to a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ and vice versa. Observe that, for every (non-labeled) subgraph G' of K_n isomorphic to G there are $|\text{Aut}(G)|$ different labelings of V that correspond to G' , that is, the set $\{\sigma \in S_n \mid G_\sigma = G'\}$ has $|\text{Aut}(G)|$ elements. Moreover, $\{\sigma \in S_n \mid G_\sigma = G\} \cong \text{Aut}(G)$. We will set

$$A_G = \{\sigma \in S_n \mid G_\sigma = G\}.$$

It is important to note that the set of labels of the edges of G_σ , given by $L_{G_\sigma} = \{\sigma(i)\sigma(j) \mid v_iv_j \in E(G_\sigma)\}$, is the same for all $\sigma \in S_n$, i.e. $L_{G_\sigma} = L_G$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$ (including $L_{G_{\text{id}}} = L_G$). Moreover, the corresponding copies of the vertices and edges of G in G_σ are given by their labels: the copy of vertex v_i of G is the vertex of G_σ having label i , while the copy of an edge $v_iv_j \in E(G)$ is the edge of G_σ having label ij .

We denote by \overline{G} the complement graph of G , that is, $V(\overline{G}) = V(G)$ and $E(\overline{G}) = \{uv \mid u, v \in V(G), uv \notin E(G)\}$. Given $e \in E(G)$ and $e' \in E(\overline{G})$, we say that the graph $G - e + e'$ is obtained from G by performing the *edge-replacement* $e \rightarrow e'$. If $G - e + e'$ is a graph isomorphic to G , we say that the edge-replacement $e \rightarrow e'$ is *feasible*. We need also to consider the *neutral edge-replacement* $\emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset$ as a feasible edge-replacement, which is given when no edge is replaced at all. Let

$$R_G = \{rs \rightarrow kl \mid G - v_rv_s + v_kv_l \cong G, rs \neq kl\} \cup \{\emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset\}$$

be the set of all feasible edge-replacements of G given by their labels together with the neutral edge-replacement. Let $R_G^* = R_G \setminus \{\emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset\}$. Notice that, since feasible edge-replacements different from the neutral one are defined by the labels of the edges, any $rs \rightarrow kl \in R_G^*$ represents also a feasible edge-replacement of any copy G_ρ , $\rho \in S_n$. Hence, clearly $R_{G_\rho} = R_G$ for any $\rho \in S_n$.

Given a feasible edge-replacement, $rs \rightarrow kl \in R_G^*$, we will use the following notation

$$S_G(rs \rightarrow kl) = \{\sigma \in S_n \mid G_\sigma = G - v_rv_s + v_kv_l\}, \text{ and } S_G(\emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset) = A_G.$$

We will use sometimes the notation $e \rightarrow e' \in R_G$ when we do not require to specify the indexes of the vertices involved in the edge-replacement, and it includes the possibility that $e \rightarrow e'$ is the neutral edge-replacement.

As it is developed in [3], it turns out that a feasible edge-replacement $e \rightarrow e' \in R_G$ in a copy G_ρ of G yields the copy of G given by the permutation $\sigma\rho$, where we can choose any $\sigma \in S_G(e \rightarrow e')$. This way, we can define the group $S_G := \langle \mathcal{E}_G \rangle$ generated by the permutations associated to all feasible edge-replacements, that is, by the set

$$\mathcal{E}_G = \bigcup_{e \rightarrow e' \in R_G} S_G(e \rightarrow e').$$

Clearly, S_G acts on the set $\{G_\rho \mid \rho \in S_n\}$ by means of $(\sigma, G_\rho) \mapsto G_{\sigma\rho}$, where $\sigma \in S_G$ and $\rho \in S_n$. Observe that this action represents what happens when a series of feasible edge-replacements, represented by σ , is performed on a copy G_ρ of G : the result is the graph $G_{\sigma\rho}$.

Definition 2.1. *A graph G of order n is called a local amoeba if $S_G = S_n$. That is, any labeled copy of G embedded in K_n can be reached, from G , by a chain of feasible edge-replacements. On the other hand, a graph G is called global amoeba if there is an integer $T \geq 0$ such that $G \cup tK_1$ is a local amoeba for all $t \geq T$.*

It is not difficult to convince oneself that, for every $n \geq 2$, a path P_n is both a local amoeba and a global amoeba, while a cycle C_n is neither a local amoeba nor a global amoeba, for any $n \geq 3$. In [3], several examples of amoebas are exhibited. It is important to note that there are examples in all possible combinations: graphs that are neither global nor local amoebas, graphs that are both local and global amoebas, and graphs that are just local or just global amoebas.

In [3], several structural properties of both graph families are presented and the very intrinsic relation between them is exhibited as well as their differences. Also some interesting constructions of both local and global amoebas are given (two of them are sketched here) and extremal global amoebas with respect to size, chromatic number and clique number are displayed.

The following proposition is an easy consequence of the fact that, for any graph G , $S_G = S_{\overline{G}}$ (see [3]).

Proposition 2.2. *A graph G is a local amoeba if and only if \overline{G} is a local amoeba.*

A major achievement in [3] is the following characterization of global amoebas. It shows that, if we conceive a global amoeba as a graph G embedded in K_n that can be moved to any copy $G' \subseteq K_n$ of G by means of a series of feasible edge-replacements, then n can be as small as $n(G) + 1$ (item (iii) of Theorem 2.3). This fact is surprising because one may intuitively think that, to be able to arrive to any copy of G , specially those with large intersection with the original graph G , one would need a lot of free room to move, which is not the case: we just need one vertex more than the order of G . Moreover, item (ii) of Theorem 2.3 shows that, in order to detect if a graph G of order n is a global amoeba, we just need to show that every orbit of the action of S_G on $[n(G)]$ contains an index y belonging to a vertex of degree 1.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a non-empty graph defined on the vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) G is a global amoeba.
- (ii) For each $x \in [n]$, there is a $y \in S_G x$ such that $\deg_G(v_y) = 1$.
- (iii) $G \cup K_1$ is a local amoeba.

As a corollary of this theorem, we have the following facts.

Corollary 2.4. *Let G be a graph with minimum degree δ .*

- (i) If $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ and G is a local amoeba, then G is a global amoeba.
- (ii) If $\delta = 0$, then G is a local amoeba if and only if G is a global amoeba.

3 Recursive constructions of amoebas

3.1 The graph H_n

In [3], we define the graph H_n as follows. Let $V(H_n) = A \cup B$ such that, taking $q = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q\}$ and $B = \{v_{q+1}, v_{q+2}, \dots, v_{q+\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\}$, where B is a clique, A is an independent set and adjacencies between A and B are given by $v_i v_{q+j} \in E(H_n)$ if and only if $j \leq i$, where $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. In the same paper, it is shown that H_n can be defined recursively as we show in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *The graph H_n can be given recursively by means of $H_1 = K_1$, and $H_n = \overline{H_{n-1} \cup K_1}$, for $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. By definition, $H_1 = K_1$, and $H_2 \cong K_2$, being the latter the same as $\overline{H_1 \cup K_1}$. Now we will show that $H_n \cong \overline{H_{n-1} \cup K_1}$ for $n \geq 3$. Indeed, this comes from the fact that the set of all degree values in $H_{n-1} \cup K_1$ is $[n-2] \cup \{0\}$ yielding that the set of all degree values in $\overline{H_{n-1} \cup K_1}$ is $\{n-1-d \mid 0 \leq d \leq n-2\} = [n-1]$. Hence, $H_n \cong \overline{H_{n-1} \cup K_1}$, for each $n \geq 2$. \square

By means of this recursion, and making use of the fact that G is a local amoeba if and only if \overline{G} is a local amoeba (Proposition 2.2) and that a local amoeba of minimum degree 1 is also a global amoeba (Proposition 2.4), it is not difficult to prove that H_n is a local and global amoeba (see [3] for details). The graph H_n is interesting because it meets the upper bounds $e(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$, $\chi(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, and $\omega(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ for global amoebas G of order n and minimum degree $\delta(G) = 1$. That is, H_n is a global amoeba that is as dense as possible and has the highest possible clique and chromatic numbers. We gather these facts in the following proposition, whose proof can be found in [3].

Proposition 3.2. *For every $n \geq 2$, H_n is a global and local amoeba with $\delta(G) = 1$, $e(H_n) = \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$ and $\omega(H_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$.*

3.2 Double-rooted amoebas

In this section, we will introduce a way of gluing global amoebas such that the result is again a global amoeba.

The following two lemmas are quite technical but are the key elements for constructing amoebas from smaller ones as we will do further on.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a graph on vertex set $V = \{v_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. Let $G = G' \cup G''$ for two subgraphs G' and G'' with respective vertex sets V' and V'' . Let J' and J'' be the sets of indexes of the vertices in V' and V'' , respectively, and let $I = J' \cap J''$. If there is a $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{G'} \cap \bigcap_{j \in I} \text{Stab}_{S_{G'}}(j)$, then the permutation*

$$\hat{\sigma}(i) = \begin{cases} \sigma(i), & \text{for } i \in J' \setminus I \\ i, & \text{for } i \in J'' \end{cases}$$

is in \mathcal{E}_G .

Definition 3.4. *Let G be a graph on vertex set $V = \{v_i \mid i \in [n]\}$ and H another graph of order m provided with a root. Let $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq [n]$, $N = n + k(m-1)$ and let $[N] = [n] \cup \bigcup_{\ell=1}^k J_{i_\ell}$ be a partition of $[N]$ such that $|J_{i_\ell}| = m-1$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. We define $G *_I H$ as the graph consisting of G and copies $H_{i_1}, H_{i_2}, \dots, H_{i_k}$ of H such that $V(H_{i_\ell}) = \{v_i \mid i \in J_{i_\ell} \cup \{i_\ell\}\}$, for $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. If $I = [n]$, we set $G *_I H$ instead of $G *_I H$. Finally, we define $H^1 = G$ and, for $k \geq 2$, let $H^k := H^{k-1} *_I H$ as the k -th power graph of H .*

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a graph on vertex set $V = \{v_i \mid i \in [n]\}$ and H another graph of order m provided with a root. Let $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq [n]$, $N = n + k(m-1)$ and let $[N] = [n] \cup \bigcup_{\ell=1}^k J_{i_\ell}$ be a partition of $[N]$ such that $|J_{i_\ell}| = m-1$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. For any $\ell, \ell' \in [k]$, $\ell \neq \ell'$, let*

$\varphi_{i_\ell, i_{\ell'}} : J_{i_\ell} \rightarrow J_{i_{\ell'}}$ be the bijection given by an isomorphism between H_{i_ℓ} and $H_{i_{\ell'}}$ that sends v_{i_ℓ} to $v_{i_{\ell'}}$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_G \cap \text{Stab}_{S_G}(I)$, then the permutation

$$\tilde{\sigma}(i) = \begin{cases} \sigma(i), & \text{for } i \in [n] \\ \varphi_{i_\ell, \sigma(i_\ell)}(i), & \text{for } i \in J_{i_\ell}, \ell \in [k] \end{cases}$$

is in $\mathcal{E}_{G *_I H}$.

To proceed with the last construction, we will need some terminology.

Definition 3.6. Let G be a graph on n vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n rooted on v_k , for some $k \in [n]$. We call G stem-transitive if there is a set $S \in \text{Stab}_{S_G}(k)$ that acts transitively on $[n] \setminus \{k\}$. Moreover, a vertex v_j , with $j \neq k$, such that there is a permutation $\varphi \in A_G$ with $\varphi(k) = j$ is called a root-similar vertex.

Observe that, a rooted graph G with $\delta(G) = 1$ such that it is stem-transitive and has a root-similar vertex is a global amoeba by means of Theorem 2.3. We can then talk about stem-transitive (global or local) amoebas with a root-similar vertex. We recall here that a local amoeba with minimum degree 1 is also a global amoeba (see Corollary 2.4).

Definition 3.7. A rooted graph G with $\delta(G) = 1$ such that it is stem-transitive and has a root-similar vertex is called a double-rooted global amoeba. If, additionally, G is a local amoeba, then G is called a double-rooted local amoeba.

An example of such double-rooted local amoebas are the paths, where the root can be any vertex with the exception of the central vertex in odd-order paths. In [3], it was proved that paths are local amoebas.

Proposition 3.8. A path $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$ on $n \geq 2$ vertices with root v_k is a double-rooted local amoeba if and only if n is even or if n is odd and $k \neq \frac{n+1}{2}$.

Proof. We will prove first that, for any $j \in [n-1]$, there is a set $S \subset \bigcap_{j+1 \leq i \leq n} \text{Stab}_{S_P}(i)$ that acts transitively on $[j]$. We proceed by induction on j . If $j = 1$, there is nothing to prove. If $j = 2$ (and thus $n \geq 3$), consider the permutation $(1\ 2) \in S_P(2\ 3 \rightarrow 1\ 3)$ associated to the feasible edge-replacement $2\ 3 \rightarrow 1\ 3$, showing that the set $\{(1\ 2)\}$, which is contained in $\bigcap_{3 \leq i \leq n} \text{Stab}_{S_P}(i)$, acts transitively on $[2]$. For $n \leq 3$, we have already finished. So we can assume that $n \geq 4$. Suppose now that $j \in [2, n-1]$ and that there is a set $S \subset \bigcap_{j+1 \leq i \leq n} \text{Stab}_{S_P}(i)$ that acts transitively on $[j]$. Take the feasible edge-replacement $j+1\ j+2 \rightarrow 1\ j+2$ and observe that the permutation σ defined by $\sigma(i) = j+2-i$ for $i \in [j+1]$ and $\sigma(i) = i$ for $i \in [j+2, n]$ belongs to $S_P(j+1\ j+2 \rightarrow 1\ j+2)$ and also to $\bigcap_{j+2 \leq i \leq n} \text{Stab}_{S_P}(i)$. Hence, $S' = S \cup \{\sigma\}$ is a set of permutations in S_P that acts transitively on $[j+1]$. By symmetry, we can conclude also that, for any $j \in [2, n]$ there is a set $S \subset \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq j-1} \text{Stab}_{S_P}(i)$ that acts transitively on $[j, n]$.

Now we turn to prove the statement of this proposition. Clearly, v_k has a root-similar vertex if and only if n is even or if n is odd and $k \neq \frac{n+1}{2}$. Hence, the only if part of the proposition is clear. For the converse, we only need to prove that P rooted on v_k is stem-transitive. If $k = 1$ or $k = n$ we are done with what was proved above. So we assume that $k \in [2, n-1]$. Let $S \subset \bigcap_{k+1 \leq i \leq n} \text{Stab}_{S_P}(i)$ and $S' \subset \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} \text{Stab}_{S_P}(i)$ be sets that act transitively on $[k-1]$ and $[k+1, n]$, respectively. By symmetry, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Consider now the feasible edge-replacement $(2k-1\ 2k \rightarrow 1\ 2k)$ with associated permutation $\tau \in S_P(2k-1\ 2k \rightarrow 1\ 2k)$ defined by

$\tau(i) = 2k - 1$ for $i \in [2k - 1]$, and $\tau(i) = i$ for $i \in [2k, n]$. Observe that $\tau \in \text{Stab}_{S_P}(k)$. Moreover, since S acts transitively on $[k - 1]$ and S' does the same on $[k + 1, n]$, and $\tau(1) = 2k - 1$ with $1 \in [k - 1]$ and $2k - 1 \in [k + 1, n]$, it follows that $S \cup S' \cup \{\tau\}$ is a set of permutations acting transitively on $[n] \setminus \{k\}$, implying that P , rooted on v_k , is stem-transitive.

Hence, as paths are local amoebas, we have proved that P , with root v_k , is a double-rooted local amoeba if and only if n is even or if n is odd and $k \neq \frac{n+1}{2}$. \square

Proposition 3.9. *The graph H_n rooted on a vertex of degree $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ is a double-rooted global and local amoeba.*

Proof. We will prove only the case that n is even as the odd case is completely analogous. Let $V(H_n) = A \cup B$ such that, taking $q = \frac{n}{2}$, $A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q\}$ and $B = \{v_{q+1}, v_{q+2}, \dots, v_{2q}\}$, where B is a clique, A is an independent set, and adjacencies between A and B are given by $v_i v_{q+j} \in E(H_n)$ if and only if $j \leq i$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq q$. Clearly, both v_q and v_{2q} are the only two vertices having degree $q = \frac{n}{2}$ and they are similar to each other. We designate v_{2q} as the root of H_n . Now we will prove that the so rooted H_n is stem-transitive. Indeed, the feasible edge replacements $(v_{i+1} v_{q+i+1} \rightarrow v_i v_{q+i+1})$, for $i \in [q - 1]$, and $(v_{i-q} v_i \rightarrow v_{i-q} v_{i+1})$, for $i \in [q + 1, 2q - 2]$, lead to the associated permutations $(i \ i + 1)$, for $i \in [2q - 2] \setminus \{q\}$, which, together with the permutation $(q \ 2q - 1)$ that is obtained via the feasible edge replacement $(v_{q-1} v_{2q-1} \rightarrow v_{q-1} v_q)$, shape a set of permutations that act transitively on $[n] \setminus \{2q\}$ (see Figure 1 for an illustration of this action). Hence, H_n is stem-transitive. We can conclude now that H_n is a double-rooted global and local amoeba. \square

The following theorem provides a way of constructing global amoebas by means of smaller global amoebas, being one of the ingredients a double-rooted global amoeba.

Theorem 3.10. *Let G be a non-empty global amoeba, and let H be a double-rooted global amoeba. Then $G * H$ is a global amoeba.*

Proof. Let $n = n(G)$ and $m = n(H)$. Let $N = nm$, which is the order of $G * H$. We set $[N] = [n] \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n J_j$ as the partition of $[N]$, where $V(H_j) = \{v_i \mid i \in J_j \cup \{j\}\}$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $V(G) = \{v_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. In view of Theorem 2.3, we will show that, for all $j \in [N]$, there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_{G*H}$ such that $\deg_{G*H}(v_{\sigma(j)}) = 1$. We distinguish into three different cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $j \in J_k$ for some $k \in [n]$.

Since $\delta(H_k) = 1$ and H_k is stem-transitive, there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_{H_k}$ such that $\deg_{H_k}(v_{\sigma(j)}) = 1$ with $\sigma(j) \neq k$ (observe that if v_k has degree 1 in H_k , then its root-similar vertex, which has index in J_k , has also degree 1). Consider now $\hat{\sigma} \in S_{H_k}$ such that

$$\hat{\sigma}(i) = \begin{cases} \sigma(i), & \text{for } i \in J_k, \\ i, & \text{for } i \in [N] \setminus J_k, \end{cases}$$

which, by Lemma 3.3, belongs to \mathcal{E}_{G*H} . Then we have clearly that $\deg_{G*H}(v_{\hat{\sigma}(j)}) = \deg_{H_k}(v_{\sigma(j)}) = 1$ and we are done.

Case 2. Suppose that $j \in [n]$ such that $\deg_G(v_j) = 1$.

Let $N_G(v_j) = \{v_k\}$. Let $\varphi \in A_{H_j}$ such that, with $\ell = \varphi^{-1}(j)$, v_ℓ is the root-similar vertex of

v_j . Then we know that $\ell \in J_j$. Consider the feasible edge-replacement $k j \rightarrow k \ell$ in $G * H$ with associated permutation $\tau \in S_{G*H}(k j \rightarrow k \ell)$ defined by

$$\tau(i) = \begin{cases} \varphi(i), & \text{for } i \in J_j \cup \{j\}, \\ i, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\tau(j) \in J_j$, by Case 1 there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_{G*H}$ such that $\deg_{G*H}(v_{\sigma\tau(j)}) = 1$. Hence, we have finished in this case, too.

Case 3. Suppose that $j \in [n]$ such that $\deg_G(v_j) \neq 1$.

Since G is a global amoeba, by Theorem 2.3 there is a $\rho \in S_G$ such that $\deg_G(v_{\rho(j)}) = 1$. By previous case, there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_{G*H}$ such that $\deg_{G*H}(v_{\sigma\rho(j)}) = 1$, and we are done.

Since we have checked all possible cases, the proof is complete. \square




Figure 1: Sketch of the proof of Proposition 3.9, and the graph P^3 , where P is a P_4 rooted on one of the vertices of degree 2.

Proposition 3.11. *If G and H are both double-rooted global amoebas, then $G * H$ is again a double-rooted global amoeba with the same root as G .*

Proof. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and suppose that v_k is the root of G and $v_{k'}$ its root-similar vertex. Let $\psi \in A_G$ such that $\psi(v_k) = v_{k'}$. Then ψ can be extended to an element $\tilde{\psi} \in A_{G*H}$ by means of Lemma 3.5 (taking $I = [n]$ and noting that $\text{Stab}_{S_G}([n]) = S_G$). Hence, if we take v_k as the root of $G*H$, then $\tilde{\psi}(v_k) = \psi(v_k) = v_{k'}$ is a root-similar vertex to v_k . We now need to show that $G*H$ rooted on v_k is stem-transitive. To this aim, we use the fact that G is itself stem-transitive and that it contains a vertex different from the root that has degree 1 and, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.10, we can conclude that $G*H$ is stem-transitive. Hence, $G*H$ is again a double-rooted amoeba with the same root as G . \square

If G is a double-rooted amoeba, we can apply Theorem 3.10 to create the double-rooted amoeba $G * G$ and repeat the process indefinitely.

Corollary 3.12. *Let G be a double-rooted global amoeba with root v . Then G^k is a double-rooted global amoeba with root v .*

Example 3.13. Consider any non-empty global amoeba G on n vertices, where $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Let P a path on m vertices with rooted on some vertex except for the central vertex if n is odd. Let H be isomorphic to the graph H_m and rooted on a vertex of degree $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. By Propositions 3.8 and 3.9, P and H are double-rooted local amoebas. Hence, Theorem 3.10 yields that $G * P$, $G * H$ are global amoebas, while P^k and H^k are both double-rooted global amoebas, where $k \geq 1$ (see Figure 1 for an illustration of P^3 , where we take one of the vertices of degree 2 as the root).

3.3 Fibonacci amoeba-trees

Next we will define a family of trees $(T_i)_{i \geq 1}$ that is constructed by means of a Fibonacci recursion. Let $T_1 = T_2 = K_2$. For $i \geq 2$, we define T_{i+1} as the tree consisting of one copy T of T_{i-1} and one copy T' of T_i , where a vertex of maximum degree of T is joined to a vertex of maximum degree of T' by means of a new edge (see Figure 2). Observe that $n(T_i) = 2F_i$, being F_i the i -th Fibonacci number. Note also that, for $i \geq 4$, T_i has only one vertex of maximum degree, which we will be the root of T_i . For the case that $i \leq 3$, we will designate one of the vertices of maximum degree as the root of T_i .




Figure 2: Fibonacci amoeba-trees T_i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$.

Theorem 3.14. T_i is a global amoeba for all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. (Sketch) Let T be a tree isomorphic to T_i . Let J be the set of indexes of the vertices of T , i.e. $V(T) = \{v_k \mid k \in J\}$ and let $c \in J$ such that v_c has maximum degree in T . We designate v_c as the root of T . The proof consists of two parts: the first, where we show that T_i is stem-transitive (Claim 1); the second, where we prove that there is a feasible edge-replacement $e \rightarrow e'$ with an associated permutation in $S_T(e \rightarrow e')$ that does not fix c (Claim 2). With these two facts, one can conclude that S_T acts transitively on J , which in turn implies that, for every vertex v_k , there is a $\sigma \in S_T$ such that $\deg_T(v_{\sigma(k)}) = 1$. Hence, by Theorem 2.3 it follows that T is a global amoeba.

Claim 1. For all $i \geq 1$, T_i is stem-transitive.

We proceed by induction on i . If $i \leq 3$, T is a path and the conclusion that T is stem-transitive follows from Proposition 3.8. If $i = 4$, then let T be the tree built from the path $v_4v_3v_1v_2 \cong T_3$ and a $T_2 \cong K_2$, given by v_5v_6 , and the edge v_1v_5 joining both trees. Clearly, the only maximum degree vertex is v_1 and thus $c = 1$. Then the feasible edge-replacements $34 \rightarrow 24$ and $13 \rightarrow 14$ give respectively the permutations (23) and (34) , which together with the automorphism $(35)(46)$, act transitively on $[5] \setminus \{1\} = J \setminus \{c\}$ leaving $c = 1$ fixed. Hence, T_4 is stem-transitive.

Now suppose that $i \geq 4$ and that we have proved the above statement for integer values at most i . Let $T \cong T_{i+1}$. For a subset $X \subset J$, we define $V_X = \{v_x \mid x \in X\}$ and $T_X = T[V_X]$.

Let $J = U \cup W$ be a partition of J such that $T_U \cong T_{i-1}$ and $T_W \cong T_i$. Further, let $U = A \cup B$ and $W = C \cup D$ be partitions such that $T_A \cong T_{i-3}$, $T_B \cong T_{i-2}$, $T_C \cong T_{i-1}$, and $T_D \cong T_{i-2}$. By construction, v_c is the root of T_C . Let $a, b, d \in J$ be such that v_a, v_b, v_d are the roots of T_A, T_B , and T_D , respectively. Notice that $v_a v_b v_c v_d$ is a path of length 4 in T . See Figure 3 for a sketch.




Figure 3: Sketch of the tree $T \cong T_{i+1}$ with its subtrees $T_U \cong T_{i-1}$ and $T_W \cong T_i$, and subtrees $T_A \cong T_{i-3}$, $T_B \cong T_{i-2}$, $T_C \cong T_{i-1}$, and $T_D \cong T_{i-2}$.

By the induction hypothesis, T_U and T_W are stem-transitive, where v_b is the root of T_U , and v_c the root of T_W . By means of Lemma 3.3, the so obtained permutations sets $Q_U \subseteq S_{T_U}$ and $Q_W \subseteq S_{T_W}$ that act transitively on $U \setminus \{b\}$ and, respectively, on $W \setminus \{c\}$ can be used to produce permutations sets $\hat{Q}_U, \hat{Q}_W \subseteq S_T$ that inherit the transitive action on the corresponding set.

Consider now the tree $T(B, D)$ that is obtained by identifying all vertices from V_B with vertex v_b and all vertices from V_D with vertex v_d , i. e. we contract the sets V_B and V_D each to a single vertex. Observe that $ab \rightarrow ad$ is a feasible edge-replacement in $T(B, D)$ with $\tau = (bd) \in S_{T(B,D)}(ab \rightarrow ad)$, and that $T \cong T(B, D) *_{\{b,d\}} T_{i-2}$. Considering that $T_B \cong T_D \cong T_{i-2}$, we can use now Lemma 3.5 to obtain the corresponding permutation $\tilde{\tau} \in S_T(ab \rightarrow ad)$ which leaves c fixed. It follows now easily that

$$S = \hat{Q}_U \cup \hat{Q}_W \cup \{\tilde{\tau}\}$$

is such that $\langle S \rangle$ acts transitively on $J \setminus \{c\}$.

Claim 2. There is a permutation $\tilde{\rho} \in S_T$ such that $\langle S \cup \{\tilde{\rho}\} \rangle$ acts transitively on J .

Since we know already that $\langle S \rangle$ acts transitively on $J \setminus \{c\}$, we just need to find a $\tilde{\rho} \in S_T$ with $\tilde{\rho}(c) \neq c$. Indeed, there is such a permutation $\tilde{\rho}$, namely one produced by the feasible edge-replacement $cd \rightarrow bd$ in T , which, by Lemma 3.5, can be obtained by means of the permutation $(bc) \in S_{T(U,C)}(cd \rightarrow bd)$. □

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank BIRS-CMO for hosting the workshop Zero-Sum Ramsey Theory: Graphs, Sequences and More 19w5132, in which the authors of this paper were organizers and participants, and where many fruitful discussions arose that contributed to a better understanding of these topics.

References

- [1] S. Bau, B. van Niekerk, D. White. An intermediate value theorem for the decycling numbers of Toeplitz graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 31 (2015), no. 6, 2037–2042.
- [2] Y. Caro, A. Hansberg, J. Lauri, C. Zarb. On zero-sum spanning trees and zero-sum connectivity, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2007.08240, 2020.
- [3] Y. Caro, A. Hansberg, A. Montejano, Graphs isomorphisms under edge-replacements and the family of amoebas, arXiv:2007.11769.
- [4] Y. Caro, A. Hansberg, A. Montejano. Unavoidable chromatic patterns in 2-colorings of the complete graph, *J. Graph Theory* (2021) 97, no. 1, 123–147.
- [5] S. Cichacz, I. A. Ziolo. 2-swappable graphs, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* 15 (2018), no. 3, 242–250.
- [6] J. Fresán-Figueroa, E. Rivera-Campo. On the fixed degree tree graph, *J. Inform. Process.* (2017) 25, 616–620.
- [7] D. Froncek, A. Hlavacek, S. Rosenberg. Edge reconstruction and the swapping number of a graph, *Australas. J. Combin.* 58 (2014), 1–15.
- [8] F. Harary, R. Mokken, M. Plantholt. Interpolation theorem for diameters of spanning trees, *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems* 30 (1983), no. 7, 429–432.
- [9] F. Harary, M. J. Plantholt, Classification of interpolation theorems for spanning trees and other families of spanning subgraphs, *J. Graph Theory.* 13 (1989), no.6, 703–712.
- [10] D. A. Jaume, A. Pastine, V. N. Schvöllner. 2-switch: transition and stability on graphs and forests, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2004.11164 , 2020.
- [11] K. Lih, C. Lin, L. Tong. On an interpolation property of outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 154 (2006), no. 1, 166–172.
- [12] N. Punnim. Interpolation theorems on graph parameters, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 28 (2004), no. 3, 533–538.
- [13] N. Punnim. Switchings, realizations, and interpolation theorems for graph parameters, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2005, no. 13, 2095–2117.
- [14] M. S. Ross. 2-swappability and the edge-reconstruction number of regular graphs, arXiv preprint, arXiv:1503.01048, 2015.
- [15] S. Zhou. Interpolation theorems for graphs, hypergraphs and matroids, *Discrete Math.* 185 (1998), no. 1-3, 221–229.