

Laplacian Coefficients of a Forest in terms of the Number of Closed Walks in the Forest and its Line Graph

Ali Ghalavand^{1,*} and Ali Reza Ashrafi¹

¹*Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Kashan, Kashan 87317-53153, I. R. Iran*

E-mail: alighalavand@grad.kashanu.ac.ir, ashrafi@kashanu.ac.ir

Abstract

Let G be a finite simple graph with Laplacian polynomial $\psi(G, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} c_k \lambda^k$. In an earlier paper, the coefficients c_{n-4} and c_{n-5} for trees with respect to some degree-based graph invariants were computed. The aim of this paper is to continue this work by giving an exact formula for the coefficient c_{n-6} . As a consequence of this work, the Laplacian coefficients c_{n-k} of a forest F , $1 \leq k \leq 6$, are computed in terms of the number of closed walks in F and its line graph.

Keywords: Laplacian coefficient, k -matching, subdivision graph, closed walk.

2020 AMS Subject Classification Number: 05C50, 05C31, 05C09.

1 Definitions and Notations

A *simple undirected graph* is a pair $G = (V, E)$ consisting of a set $V = V(G)$ of vertices and a set $E = E(G)$ of 2-element subsets of V . The elements of E are called *edges* and the number of elements in V is called the *order* of G . The notations $n(G)$ and $m(G)$ denote the number of vertices and edges of G , respectively. There are two other graph notations worth mentioning now. The first one is $deg_G(v)$ which is the number of edges in G with one end point v and the second one is $deg_G(e)$ which is defined as the degree of vertex e in the line graph of G . Obviously, $deg_G(e) = deg_G(u) + deg_G(v) - 2$.

We use the notation uvw to denote the path of length two such that vertices u and w have degree one and the vertex v has degree two. In a similar way, we use the notation uvw to denote a path of length three.

*Corresponding author.

A graph G is said to be *connected* if for arbitrary vertices x and y in V , there exists a sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r = y$ of vertices such that $x_i x_{i+1} \in E$, $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$. The *distance* between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G , $d_G(u, v)$, is defined as the length of a shortest path connecting these vertices and the sum of such numbers is called the *Wiener index* of G , denoted by $W(G)$ [20]. The hyper-Wiener index is a generalization of the Wiener index. It was introduced for trees by Randić in 1993 [19] and for a general graph by Klein et al. [13]. This topological index is defined as $WW(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u, v \in V(G)} (d(u, v) + d^2(u, v))$.

A subgraph H of a graph G is a graph with vertex set $V(H)$ and edge set $E(H)$, such that $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. We use the notation $H \leq G$ to denote that H is subgraph of G . If $Z \subseteq V$, then the *induced subgraph* $G[Z]$ is the graph with vertex set Z and the edge set $\{uv \in E \mid \{u, v\} \subseteq Z\}$, and if $H \leq G$, then $G - H$ is a subgraph of G , with vertex set $V(G) \setminus V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \setminus \{uv \mid \{u, v\} \cap V(H) \neq \emptyset\}$.

The *subdivision graph* S is a graph constructed from G by inserting a new vertex on each edge of G . It is clear that $n(S) = n(G) + m(G)$ and $m(S) = 2m(G)$.

Suppose G is a graph containing two edges e and f . If the edges e and f have a common vertex u , then we write $u = e \cap f$. In the case that e and f don't have common vertex, we will say the edges e and f are *independent*. If $M \subseteq E(G)$ and all pair of edges in M are independent, then the set M is called a *matching* for G . A k -matching is a matching of size k , $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$, and the number of such matchings is denoted by m_k . The *matching polynomial* of G , α , is defined by $\alpha(G, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k m_k x^{n-2k}$, where $x \neq 0$. By definition $\alpha(G, 0) = 1$, see [7] for more details.

In 1972, Gutman and Trinajstić [8] introduced the first degree-based graph invariant applicable in chemistry. This invariant is the first Zagreb index and can be defined by the formula $M_1^2(G) = \sum_{v \in V} deg_G(v)^2$. The second Zagreb index $M_2^1(G) = \sum_{uv \in E} deg_G(u) deg_G(v)$ was introduced by Gutman et al. [9] three years later in 1975. The complete history of these graph invariants together with the most important mathematical results about them are reported in [10, 11, 17].

The *forgotten index* of G is another variant of the Zagreb group indices defined as $M_1^3(G) = \sum_{v \in V} deg_G(v)^3 = \sum_{e=uv \in E} [deg_G(u)^2 + deg_G(v)^2]$ [4]. It can be seen that $M_1^\alpha(G) = \sum_{u \in V} deg_G(u)^\alpha$, $\mathbb{R} \ni \alpha \neq 0, 1$ is the general form of the first Zagreb index. Zhang and Zhang [22] obtained the extremal values of the general Zagreb index in the class of all

unicyclic graphs. Milićević et al. [14], reformulated the first and second Zagreb indices in terms of the edge-degrees instead of the vertex-degrees. These invariants were defined the first and second reformulated Zagreb indices defined as $EM_1(G) = \sum_{e \cap f \neq \emptyset} [deg_G(e) + deg_G(f)] = \sum_{e \in E} deg_G(e)^2$ and $EM_2(G) = \sum_{e \cap f \neq \emptyset} deg_G(e)deg_G(f)$, respectively.

A $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix is a matrix whose entries consist only of the numbers 0 and 1. Suppose G is a graph with vertex set $V = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$. The adjacency matrix of G is a $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})$ in which $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if $u_i u_j \in E$. It is easy to see that A is a real symmetric matrix of order n and so all of its eigenvalues are real. The matrices $D(G) = [d_{ij}]$ and $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ in which $d_{ii} = deg(u_i)$ and $d_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$, are called the diagonal and Laplacian matrices of G , respectively. It is well-known that all eigenvalues of $L(G)$ are non-negative real numbers with 0 as the smallest eigenvalue.

The Laplacian polynomial of a graph G is one of the most important polynomial associated to a graph. If G is a graph, then the Laplacian polynomial of G is the characteristic polynomial of $L(G)$. The roots of this polynomial are called the Laplacian eigenvalues of G . Suppose $\psi(G, x) = \det(xI_n - L) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} c_k x^k$ denotes the Laplacian polynomial of G . Since the coefficients of the Laplacian polynomial have graph theoretical meaning, some authors took into account the coefficients of this polynomial.

Let f be a topological index and G be a graph. For simplifying our arguments, we usually write f as $f(G)$.

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose G is a graph. The following statements hold:*

- 1) (Merris [15] and Mohar [16]) $c_0(G) = 0, c_1(G) = n\tau(G), c_n(G) = 1$ and $c_{n-1}(G) = 2m$, where $\tau(G)$ is the number of spanning trees of G ;
- 2) (Yan and Yeh [21]) $c_2(G) = W(G)$, when G is a tree;
- 3) (Gutman [12]) $c_3(G) = WW(G)$, when G is a tree;
- 4) (Oliveira et al. [18]) $c_{n-2}(G) = \frac{1}{2}[4m^2 - 2m - M_1^2]$ and $c_{n-3}(G) = \frac{1}{3!}[4m^2(2m - 3) - 6M_1^2 m + 6M_1^2 + 2M_1^3 - 12t(G)]$, where $t(G)$ is the number of triangles in G .

In [1, 5, 6] we proved the following formulas for the coefficients $c_{n-4}(G)$ and $c_{n-5}(G)$, when G is a forest, respectively.

$$c_{n-4}(G) = \frac{1}{4!} \left[4m(4m^3 - 12m^2 + 51m - 6M_1^2 m - 33M_1^2 + 4M_1^3 + 3) + 3M_1^2(17M_1^2 \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 20) + 72M_1^3 - 54M_1^4 - 24M_2^1] - 16 \sum_{\{u,v\} \subset V(G)} \binom{\deg_G(u)}{2} \binom{\deg_G(v)}{2} \\
& = \frac{1}{4!} [4m(4m^3 - 12m^2 + 3m - 6M_1^2m + 15M_1^2 + 4M_1^3 + 3) + 3(M_1^2 - 2)^2 \quad (1) \\
& - 24M_1^3 - 6M_1^4 - 24M_2^1 - 12],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{n-5}(G) &= \frac{1}{5!} [2m(16m^4 - 80m^3 + 60m^2 - 40M_1^2m^2 + 60m + 180M_1^2m + 40M_1^3m \quad (2) \\
& + 15(M_1^2)^2 - 120M_1^2 - 140M_1^3 - 30M_1^4 - 120M_2^1) - 20M_1^2(3M_1^2 + M_1^3 \\
& + 6) + 120M_1^3 + 120M_1^4 + 24M_1^5 + 240M_2^1 + 120\alpha_{1,2}].
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose λ and ξ are two arbitrary real numbers. We now define three invariants which is useful in simplifying formulas in our results. These are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\lambda,\xi}(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E} [deg_G(u)^\lambda deg_G(v)^\xi + deg_G(u)^\xi deg_G(v)^\lambda], \\
\beta(G) &= \sum_{e \sim f} deg_G(e \cap f) (deg_G(e) + deg_G(f)), \\
M_2^\lambda(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E} (deg_G(u)deg_G(v))^\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the second Zagreb index is just the case of $\lambda = 1$ in M_2^λ .

Let G and H be graphs. Set $\mathcal{S}_H(G) = \{X \mid X \leq G \text{ and } X \cong H\}$. If f and g are two degree-based graph invariants, then we define two new degree-based topological indices fg and Hf as $fg(G) = f(G) \times g(G)$ and $Hf(G) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{S}_H(G)} f(G - X)$.

Let P_n denote the path graph on n vertices. In a recent paper [3], Das et al. presented the following formula for the number of k -matchings, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, in a graph G as:

$$m_k(G) = \frac{1}{k} P_2 m_{k-1}(G). \quad (3)$$

They also proved the following two results:

Lemma 1.2. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then*

- 1) $P_2 m(G) = m^2 + m - M_1^2$.
- 2) $P_2 m^2(G) = m^3 + M_1^3 - 2mM_1^2 + 2M_2^1 + 2m^2 - 2M_1^2 + m$.
- 3) $P_2 m^3(G) = m^4 - 3m^2M_1^2 + 3mM_1^3 + 6mM_2^1 - M_1^4 - 3\alpha_{1,2} + 3m^3 - 6mM_1^2 + 3M_1^3 + 6M_2^1 + 3m^2 - 3M_1^2 + m$.

$$4) P_2m^4(G) = M_1^5 + 4\alpha_{1,3} - 4mM_1^4 + 6M_2^2 - 12m\alpha_{1,2} + 6m^2M_1^3 + 12m^2M_2^1 - 4m^3M_1^2 - 4M_1^4 - 12\alpha_{1,2} + 12mM_1^3 + 24mM_2^1 - 12m^2M_1^2 + 6M_1^3 + 12M_2^1 - 12mM_1^2 - 4M_1^2 + m^5 + 4m^4 + 6m^3 + 4m^2 + m,$$

$$5) P_2m^5(G) = m^6 + 5m^5 + (10 - 5M_1^2)m^4 + (10 + 10M_1^3 + 20M_2^1 - 20M_1^2)m^3 + (5 + 60M_2^1 - 10M_1^4 - 30\alpha_{1,2} + 30M_1^3 - 30M_1^2)m^2 + (30M_2^2 - 60\alpha_{1,2} + 5M_1^5 + 20\alpha_{1,3} + 30M_1^3 - 20M_1^2 + 60M_2^1 - 20M_1^4 + 1)m + 20\alpha_{1,3} - 5\alpha_{1,4} - 10\alpha_{2,3} + 10M_1^3 - 5M_1^2 + 20M_2^1 - 10M_1^4 + 5M_1^5 - M_1^6 + 30M_2^2 - 30\alpha_{1,2}.$$

Lemma 1.3. *Let G be a graph with n vertices, m edges and girth ≥ 5 . Then*

$$1) P_2M_1^2(G) = (m + 3)M_1^2 - M_1^3 - 4M_2^1 - 2m.$$

$$2) P_2M_1^3(G) = (m + 3)M_1^3 - M_1^4 - 3\alpha_{1,2} + 6M_2^1 - 4M_1^2 + 2m.$$

$$3) P_2M_1^4(G) = (m + 4)M_1^4 - M_1^5 + 5M_2^1 - 2m - 4\alpha_{1,3} + 6\alpha_{1,2} - 6M_1^3 - 8M_2^1.$$

$$4) P_2M_2^1(G) = (m - 9)M_2^1 - 2EM_2 - 5M_1^3 + 11M_1^2 + M_1^4 + \alpha_{1,2} - 8m.$$

$$5) P_2(mM_1^2)(G) = (M_1^2 - 2)m^2 + (4M_1^2 - M_1^3 - 4M_2^1 - 18)m - 6M_1^3 + \alpha_{1,2} - 2\beta + 17M_1^2 - 6M_2^1 + 8EM_1 + 4EM_2 - (M_1)^2 + M_1^4.$$

The following theorem is crucial in our main result [6].

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a graph with m edges. Then*

$$1) m_5(S(G)) = \frac{1}{15}m^2 \left[4m^3 - 20m^2 + 15m + 15 \right] + \frac{1}{12}m \left[8M_1^3m - 8M_1^2m^2 + 3(M_1^2)^2 + 36M_1^2m - 28M_1^3 - 24M_1^2 - 6M_1^4 - 24M_2^1 \right] + \alpha_{1,2} - \frac{1}{6}M_1^2 \left[3M_1^2 + M_1^3 + 6 \right] + 2M_2^1 + \frac{1}{5}M_1^5 + M_1^4 + M_1^3.$$

$$2) M_1^2(S(G)) = M_1^2 + 4m, \quad M_1^3(S(G)) = M_1^3 + 8m, \quad M_1^4(S(G)) = M_1^4 + 16m, \quad M_1^5(S(G)) = M_1^5 + 32m, \\ \alpha_{1,2}(S(G)) = 4M_1^2 + 2M_1^3, \quad \alpha_{1,3}(S(G)) = 8M_1^2 + 2M_1^4, \quad \beta(S(G)) = 2M_1^2 + M_1^4 - M_1^3, \\ M_2^1(S(G)) = 2M_1^2, \quad M_2^2(S(G)) = 4M_1^3, \quad EM_1(S(G)) = M_1^3, \quad EM_2(S(G)) = M_2^1 + \frac{1}{2}M_1^4 - \frac{1}{2}M_1^3.$$

$$3) P_2(M_1^2)^2(S(G)) = (2m - 10)(M_1^2)^2 + (16m^2 - 2M_1^3 - 40m)M_1^2 + 32m^3 - 8mM_1^3 + 13M_1^3 + 6M_1^4 + M_1^5 + 24M_2^1 + 4\alpha_{1,2}.$$

$$4) P_2(m^2M_1^2)(S(G)) = 32m^4 + (8M_1^2 - 32)m^3 - (4M_1^3 + 44M_1^2 - 8)m^2 + (20M_1^3 - 4(M_1^2)^2 + 30M_1^2 + 4M_1^4 + 16M_2^1)m + M_1^3M_1^2 + 2(M_1^2)^2 - 7M_1^3 - 5M_1^2 - 5M_1^4 - M_1^5 - 8M_2^1 - 2\alpha_{1,2}.$$

$$5) P_2(mM_1^3)(S(G)) = 32m^3 + (4M_1^3 - 24)m^2 - (8M_1^3 + 16M_1^2 + 2M_1^4)m + 4m - (M_1^2 - 10)M_1^3 + 6M_1^2 + M_1^4 + M_1^5 - 6M_1^1 + 3\alpha_{1,2}.$$

$$6) P_2(mM_2^1)(S(G)) = (8M_1^2 + 8)m^2 - (4M_1^3 + 10M_1^2 + 4M_2^1 + 4)m - 2(M_1^2)^2 + 2M_1^3 + M_1^2 + 2M_1^4 + 8M_2^1 + \alpha_{1,2}.$$

$$7) P_2EM_2(S(G)) = \frac{1}{2}m(4M_2^1 - 2M_1^3 + 2M_1^4 + 4) + \frac{11}{2}M_1^3 - 2\alpha_{1,2} - \frac{7}{2}M_1^2 - \frac{3}{2}M_1^4 - \frac{1}{2}M_1^5.$$

2 Laplacian Coefficients and Degree-Based Invariants

The aim of this section is to present an exact formula for the coefficient c_{n-6} of the Laplacian polynomial in terms of some degree-based graphs invariants.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $M_1^6(S(G)) = M_1^6 + 64m$, $\alpha_{1,4}(S(G)) = 2M_1^5 + 16M_1^2$, $\alpha_{2,3}(S(G)) = 4M_1^4 + 8M_1^3$.*

Proof. Apply definition of $S(G)$, to prove that $M_1^6(S(G)) = M_1^6 + 64m$, $\alpha_{1,4}(S(G)) = \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} [2deg_G(v)^4 + 16deg_G(v)] = \sum_{v \in V} [2deg_G(v)^5 + 16deg_G(v)^2] = 2M_1^5 + 16M_1^2$ and $\alpha_{2,3}(S(G)) = \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} [4deg_G(v)^3 + 8deg_G(v)^2] = \sum_{v \in V} [4deg_G(v)^4 + 8deg_G(v)^3] = 4M_1^4 + 8M_1^3$. ■

The next lemma is a direct consequence of Lemmas 1.2, 2.1 and Theorem 1.4(2).

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then*

$$1) P_2m(S(G)) = 4m^2 - 2m - M_1^2.$$

$$2) P_2m^2(S(G)) = M_1^3 + 2M_1^2 - 2m(2M_1^2 - 4m^2 + 4m - 1).$$

$$3) P_2m^3(S(G)) = 16m^4 - 24m^3 - (12M_1^2 - 12)m^2 + (6M_1^3 + 12M_1^2 - 2)m - 3M_1^3 - 3M_1^2 - M_1^4.$$

$$4) P_2m^4(S(G)) = 32m^5 - 64m^4 - (32M_1^2 - 48)m^3 + (24M_1^3 + 48M_1^2 - 16)m^2 - (24M_1^3 + 24M_1^2 + 8M_1^4 - 2)m + 6M_1^3 + 4M_1^2 + 4M_1^4 + M_1^5.$$

$$5) P_2m^5(S(G)) = 64m^6 - 160m^5 - (80M_1^2 - 160)m^4 + (80M_1^3 + 160M_1^2 - 80)m^3 - (120M_1^3 + 120M_1^2 + 40M_1^4 - 20)m^2 + (60M_1^3 + 40M_1^2 + 40M_1^4 + 10M_1^5 - 2)m - 10M_1^3 - 5M_1^2 - 10M_1^4 - 5M_1^5 - M_1^6.$$

It is easy to see that girth $S(G) \geq 6$. Therefore, Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 1.4(2) imply the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a graph on n vertices and m edges. Then*

- 1) $P_2M_1^2(S(G)) = (2m - 5)M_1^2 + 8m^2 - M_1^3$.
- 2) $P_2M_1^3(S(G)) = 16m^2 + (2M_1^3 - 4)m - 3M_1^3 - 4M_1^2 - M_1^4$.
- 3) $P_2M_1^4(S(G)) = (2m - 4)M_1^4 + 32m^2 + 6M_1^3 - 19M_1^2 - M_1^5$.
- 4) $P_2M_2^1(S(G)) = 4mM_1^2 - 2M_1^3 - 3M_1^2 - 2M_2^1 + 4m$.
- 5) $P_2(mM_1^2)(S(G)) = 16m^3 + (4M_1^2 - 8)m^2 - (2M_1^3 + 16M_1^2)m - (M_1^2)^2 + 4M_1^3 + 5M_1^2 + 4M_2^1 + M_1^4$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2M_1^5(S(G)) = 2mM_1^5 + 64m^2 - M_1^6 - 4m - 5M_1^5 + 10M_1^4 - 10M_1^3 - 26M_1^2$.*

Proof. Apply definition of $P_2M_1^5(S(G))$ to show that $P_2M_1^5(S(G)) = 2mM_1^5(S(G)) - \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv} [deg_G(v)^5 + 5deg_G(v)^4 - 10deg_G(v)^3 + 10deg_G(v)^2 + 26deg_G(v) + 2] = 2mM_1^5(S(G)) - \sum_{v \in V} [deg_G(v)^6 + 5deg_G(v)^5 - 10deg_G(v)^4 + 10deg_G(v)^3 + 26deg_G(v)^2 + 2deg_G(v)]$. Now the proof follows from Lemma 1.4(2) and simple calculations. ■

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2(m^3M_1^2)(S(G)) = 64m^5 + (16M_1^2 - 96)m^4 + (-8M_1^3 - 112M_1^2 + 48)m^3 + (-12(M_1^2)^2 + 72M_1^3 + 120M_1^2 + 12M_1^4 + 48M_2^1 - 8)m^2 + (12(M_1^2)^2 + (6M_1^3 - 44)M_1^2 - 54M_1^3 - 48M_2^1 - 34M_1^4 - 6M_1^5 - 12\alpha_{1,2})m - 3(M_1^2)^2 + (-3M_1^3 - M_1^4 + 5)M_1^2 + 10M_1^3 + 12M_2^1 + 12M_1^4 + 6M_1^5 + M_1^6 + 6\alpha_{1,2} + 2\alpha_{1,3}$.*

Proof. By definition of $S(G)$, $P_2(m^3M_1^2)(S(G)) = \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} [2m - deg_G(v) - 1]^3 [M_1^2(S(G)) - deg_G(v)^2 - 3deg_G(v) - 2deg_G(u)]$. Suppose $X = 16m^4M_1^2(S(G)) + (-8M_1^3 - 24M_1^2 - 24M_1^2(S(G)))m^3 + ((-12M_1^2 + 12)M_1^2(S(G)) + 36M_1^2 + 12M_1^4)m^2 + ((6M_1^3 + 12M_1^2 - 2)M_1^2(S(G)) - 42M_1^3 - 18M_1^2 - 6M_1^5 - 30M_1^4)m + (-3M_1^3 - 3M_1^2 - M_1^4)M_1^2(S(G)) + 10M_1^3 + 3M_1^2 + 12M_1^4 + 6M_1^5 + M_1^6$. Then, $P_2(m^3M_1^2)(S(G)) = X + \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} [-16m^3deg_G(u) + 2deg_G(u) + 24m^2deg_G(u)deg_G(v) + 48m^2deg_G(v)^2 - 12mdeg_G(u)deg_G(v)^2 + 2deg_G(u)deg_G(v)^3 + 24mdeg_G(u)deg_G(v) + 6deg_G(u)deg_G(v)^2 - 12mdeg_G(u) + 6deg_G(u)deg_G(v)]$. We now replace $\sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E}$ by $\sum_{uv \in E}$ to show that $P_2(m^3M_1^2)(S(G)) = X - 16m^3M_1^2 + (48M_1^3 + 24M_1^2 + 48M_2^1)m^2 + (-12M_1^2 - 48M_2^1 - 12\alpha_{1,2})m + 2M_1^2 + 12M_2^1 + 6\alpha_{1,2} + 2\alpha_{1,3}$. The proof now follows from Lemma 1.4(2). ■

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2(m^2M_1^3)(S(G)) = 64m^4 + (8M_1^3 - 80)m^3 + (-20M_1^3 - 48M_1^2 - 4M_1^4 + 32)m^2 + ((-4M_1^2 + 50)M_1^3 + 40M_1^2 - 24M_2^1 + 4M_1^4 + 4M_1^5 + 12\alpha_{1,2} - 4)m + (M_1^3)^2 + (2M_1^2 - 19)M_1^3 - 8M_1^2 + 12M_2^1 - 8M_1^4 - 2M_1^5 - M_1^6 - 6M_2^2 - 3\alpha_{1,2}$.*

Proof. Apply definition of $S(G)$ to show that $P_2(m^2M_1^3)(S(G)) = \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} [2m - \deg_G(v) - 1]^2 [M_1^3(S(G)) - \deg_G(v)^3 - 3\deg_G(u)^2 + 3\deg_G(u) - 7\deg_G(v) - 2]$. Now a similar argument as Lemma 2.5 completes the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2(mM_1^4)(S(G)) = (4M_1^4 + 64m)m^2 - 32m^2 + (12M_1^3 - 54M_1^2 - 10M_1^4 - 2M_1^5)m + (4 - M_1^2)M_1^4 + 9M_1^3 + 19M_1^2 + 8M_2^1 + M_1^5 + M_1^6 - 6\alpha_{1,2} + 4\alpha_{1,3}$.*

Proof. Apply definitions of $S(G)$ and $P_2(mM_1^4)$ to write the form $P_2(mM_1^4)(S(G)) = \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} [2m - \deg_G(v) - 1] [M_1^4(S(G)) - \deg_G(v)^4 - 4\deg_G(u)^3 + 6\deg_G(u)^2 - 4\deg_G(u) - 15\deg_G(v)]$. Now a similar argument as Lemma 2.5 gives the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2\alpha_{1,2}(S(G)) = 2(4M_1^2 + 2M_1^3)m - 9M_1^3 + M_1^2 - 2M_1^4 - 6M_2^1 - \alpha_{1,2} + 4m$.*

Proof. By definitions of $S(G)$ and $\alpha_{1,2}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P_2\alpha_{1,2}(S(G)) &= 2m\alpha_{1,2}(S(G)) - \sum_{v \in V} \left[(2\deg_G(v)^2 + 4\deg_G(v))(\deg_G(v)^2 + \deg_G(v)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2\deg_G(v)^2 + 4\deg_G(v))(\deg_G(v)^2 - \deg_G(v)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (2(\deg_G(v) - 1)^2 + 4(\deg_G(v) - 1))(\deg_G(v)^2 - \deg_G(v)) \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} \left((2\deg_G(v)^2 + 4\deg_G(v)) - (\deg_G(v)^2 + \deg_G(v)) \right) (\deg_G(u) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now by simple calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_2\alpha_{1,2}(S(G)) &= 2m\alpha_{1,2}(S(G)) - 10M_1^3 - 2M_1^2 - 2M_1^4 + 4m \\ &\quad - \sum_{uv \in E} \left(\deg_G(u)\deg_G(v)^2 + \deg_G(u)^2\deg_G(v) + 6\deg_G(u)\deg_G(v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \deg_G(u)^2 - \deg_G(v)^2 - 3\deg_G(u) - 3\deg_G(v) \right) \\ &= 2m\alpha_{1,2}(S(G)) - 10M_1^3 - 2M_1^2 - 2M_1^4 + 4m - \alpha_{1,2} \\ &\quad - 6M_2^1 + M_1^3 + 3M_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 1.4(2) gives the result. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2(m(M_1^2)^2)(S(G)) = 64m^4 + (32M_1^2 - 32)m^3 + (4(M_1^2)^2 - 16M_1^3 - 112M_1^2)m^2 + (-30(M_1^2)^2 + (-4M_1^3 + 40)M_1^2 + 58M_1^3 + 80M_2^1 + 20M_1^4 + 2M_1^5 + 8\alpha_{1,2})m - (M_1^2)^3 + 10(M_1^2)^2 + (8M_1^3 + 8M_2^1 + 2M_1^4)M_1^2 - 13M_1^3 - 24M_2^1 - 15M_1^4 - 7M_1^5 - M_1^6 - 20\alpha_{1,2} - 4\alpha_{1,3}$.*

Proof. By definition of $S(G)$, $P_2(m(M_1^2)^2)(S(G)) = \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} [2m - \deg_G(v) - 1][M_1^2(S(G)) - \deg_G(v)^2 - 3\deg_G(v) - 2\deg_G(u)]^2$. Now the proof follows from a similar argument as Lemma 2.5. ■

Lemma 2.10. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2(M_1^2 M_1^3)(S(G)) = 64m^3 + (8M_1^3 + 16M_1^2 - 16)m^2 + ((2M_1^3 - 60)M_1^2 - 20M_1^3 - 4M_1^4)m - 4(M_1^2)^2 + (-8M_1^3 - M_1^4 + 10)M_1^2 - (M_1^3)^2 + 17M_1^3 + 2\alpha_{1,3} + 10M_2^1 + 13M_1^4 + 3M_1^5 + M_1^6 + 6M_2^2 + 6\alpha_{1,2}$.*

Proof. By definition of $S(G)$, $P_2(M_1^2 M_1^3)(S(G)) = \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} [M_1^2(S(G)) - \deg_G(v)^2 - 3\deg_G(v) - 2\deg_G(u)][M_1^3(S(G)) - \deg_G(v)^3 - 3\deg_G(u)^2 + 3\deg_G(u) - 7\deg_G(v) - 2]$. Now the proof can be completed in a similar way as Lemma 2.5. ■

Define nine graph invariants as

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_1(G) &= \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)} [\deg_G(u)\deg_G(v)\deg_G(w)], \\ \Theta_2(G) &= \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)} [\deg_G(u)\deg_G(w)], \\ \Theta_3(G) &= \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_4}(G)} [\deg_G(u)\deg_G(x)], \\ \Theta_4(G) &= \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)} [\deg_G(u)^2\deg_G(w) + \deg_G(u)\deg_G(w)^2], \\ \Theta_5(G) &= \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)} \deg_G(v)^2[\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(w)], \\ \Theta_6(G) &= \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_4}(G)} [\deg_G(u)\deg_G(v) + \deg_G(w)\deg_G(x)], \\ \Theta_2^+(G) &= \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)} [\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(w)], \\ \Theta_3^+(G) &= \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_4}(G)} [\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(x)], \\ \Theta_3^{+,2}(G) &= \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_4}(G)} [\deg_G(u)^2 + \deg_G(x)^2].\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.11. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2(M_1^2)^2(G) = (m + 6)(M_1^2)^2 + (-2M_1^3 - 4m - 8M_2^1 - 12)M_1^2 + M_1^3 + M_1^5 + 2M_2^2 - 6\alpha_{1,2} + 4\alpha_{1,3} + 4m + 26M_2^1 - 2M_1^4 + 8\Theta_1 - 24\Theta_2 + 8\Theta_3 + 4\Theta_4$.*

Proof. By definition of M_1^2 and some tedious calculations, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned}P_2((M_1^2)^2)(G) &= \sum_{uv \in E} \left[M_1^2 - \deg_G(u)^2 - \deg_G(v)^2 - \sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} [2\deg_G(x) - 1] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} [2\deg_G(y) - 1] \right]^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (m+2)(M_1^2)^2 + (-2M_1^3 - 4m - 4)M_1^2 + 5M_1^3 - 2M_1^4 \\
&+ M_1^5 + 2M_2^1 + 2M_2^2 - 2\alpha_{1,2} + 4m \\
&+ \sum_{uv \in E} \left[4deg_G(u)^2 \sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(x) + 4deg_G(u)^2 \sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(y) \right. \\
&+ 4deg_G(v)^2 \sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(x) + 4deg_G(v)^2 \sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(y) \\
&- (4M_1^2 - 8) \sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(x) - (4M_1^2 - 8) \sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(y) \\
&- 4deg_G(u) \sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(x) - 4deg_G(u) \sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(y) \\
&- 4deg_G(v) \sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(x) - 4deg_G(v) \sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(y) \\
&+ \left(\sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} 2deg_G(x) \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} 2deg_G(y) \right)^2 \\
&\left. + 2 \left(\sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} 2deg_G(x) \right) \left(\sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} 2deg_G(y) \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P_2(M_1^2)^2(G) = (m+6)(M_1^2)^2 + (-2M_1^3 - 4m - 8M_2^1 - 12)M_1^2 + M_1^3 + M_1^5 + 2M_2^2 - 6\alpha_{1,2} + 4\alpha_{1,3} + 4m + 26M_2^1 - 2M_1^4 + 8\Theta_1 - 24\Theta_2 + 8\Theta_3 + 4\Theta_4$, proving the lemma. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.12. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2(mM_1^3)(G) = (M_1^3 + 2)m^2 + (4M_1^3 - 3\alpha_{1,2} - 4M_1^2 + 6M_2^1 - M_1^4 + 2)m + (-M_1^2 + 4)M_1^3 - 9\alpha_{1,2} + \alpha_{1,3} - 6M_1^2 + 14M_2^1 - 6\Theta_2 + 3\Theta_4 - M_1^4 + M_1^5 + 6M_2^2$.*

Proof. Choose $uv \in E$ and set $A(uv) = \sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} [3deg_G(x)^2 - 3deg_G(x) + 1]$ and $B(uv) = \sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} [3deg_G(y)^2 - 3deg_G(y) + 1]$. Apply definition of $P_2(mM_1^3)$ to prove that $P_2(mM_1^3)(G) = \sum_{uv \in E} [m - deg_G(u) - deg_G(v) + 1][M_1^3 - deg_G(u)^3 - deg_G(v)^3 - A(uv) - B(uv)]$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2(mM_1^3)(G) &= m^2 M_1^3 - M_1^2 M_1^3 + mM_1^3 - mM_1^2 - mM_1^4 + 2m^2 + M_1^3 - 3M_1^2 - M_1^4 \\
&+ M_1^5 + 2M_2^1 + \alpha_{1,3} + 2m - 3 \sum_{uv \in E} \left[\left(m - deg_G(u) - deg_G(v) + 1 \right) \left(\sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} [deg_G(x)^2 - deg_G(x)] + \sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} [deg_G(y)^2 - deg_G(y)] \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, a similar argument as Lemma 2.11 gives our result. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.13. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2(m^2 M_1^2)(G) = (M_1^2 - 2)m^3 + (-M_1^3 + 5M_1^2 - 4M_2^1 - 4)m^2 + (-2(M_1^2)^2 - 4M_1^3 + 11M_1^2 + 2M_1^4 - 20M_2^1 +$*

$$6\alpha_{1,2} + 8\Theta_2 - 2)m - 2(M_1^2)^2 + (M_1^3 + 2M_2^1 + 7)M_1^2 - 5M_1^3 + 11\alpha_{1,2} - 4\alpha_{1,3} - 2\Theta_4 - 20M_2^1 - 8\Theta_1 + 8\Theta_2 + 3M_1^4 - M_1^5 - 2M_2^2.$$

Proof. Apply definition of $P_2(m^2M_1^2)$ and some tedious calculations to show that

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(m^2M_1^2)(G) &= (M_1^2 - 2)m^3 + (-M_1^3 + 3M_1^2 - 4)m^2 + (-2(M_1^2)^2 - 4M_1^3 \\ &+ 7M_2^1 + 2M_1^4 - 4M_2^1 + 2\alpha_{1,2} - 2)m - 2(M_1^2)^2 + (M_1^3 + 2M_2^1 + 5)M_1^2 \\ &- 5M_1^3 - 8M_2^1 + 3M_1^4 - M_1^5 - 2M_2^2 + 5\alpha_{1,2} - 2\alpha_{1,3} \\ &- 2 \sum_{uv \in E} \left[m - \deg_G(u) - \deg_G(v) + 1 \right]^2 \left[\sum_{xu \in E(G-uv)} \deg_G(x) + \sum_{yv \in E(G-uv)} \deg_G(y) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now a similar argument as Lemma 2.11, gives the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.14. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $EM_2(G) = \alpha_{1,2} - 6M_2^1 + \frac{1}{2}M_1^4 - \frac{5}{2}M_1^3 + 6M_1^2 - 4m + \Theta_2$.*

Proof. By definition of EM_2 , $EM_2(G) = \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)} [\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) - 2][\deg_G(v) + \deg_G(w) - 2] = \alpha_{1,2} - 6M_2^1 + \frac{1}{2}M_1^4 - \frac{5}{2}M_1^3 + 6M_1^2 - 4m + \Theta_2$. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.15. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2\Theta_2(G) = (m + 2)\Theta_2 - \Theta_1 - \Theta_4 - 2\Theta_3 + \Theta_3^+$.*

Proof. By definition of $P_2\Theta_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_2\Theta_2(G) &= m\Theta_2 - \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)} \deg_G(u)\deg_G(w)(\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) + \deg_G(w) - 2) \\ &- \sum_{xyab \in \mathcal{S}_{P_4}(G)} (2\deg_G(x)\deg_G(b) - \deg_G(x) - \deg_G(b)) \\ &= (m + 2)\Theta_2 - \Theta_1 - \Theta_4 - 2\Theta_3 + \Theta_3^+, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \blacksquare

By a similar arguments as Lemma 2.11, we can prove the following two lemmas:

Lemma 2.16. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2(mM_2^1)(G) = m^2M_2^1 + (2M_2^1 - 2\Theta_2 - \alpha_{1,2} + \Theta_2^+)m - (M_1^2 - 1)M_2^1 + \Theta_2^+ + 2\Theta_1 - 4\Theta_2 + 2\Theta_3 + \Theta_4 + \Theta_5$.*

Lemma 2.17. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2\alpha_{1,2}(G) = (m + 1)\alpha_{1,2} - 2M_2^2 - \alpha_{1,3} + \Theta_3^+ - \Theta_3^{+,2} - 2\Theta_6$.*

For the sake of completeness, we mention here two results which are useful in our next calculations.

Lemma 2.18. [1] Let G be a graph. Then $\beta(G) = \alpha + M_1^4 - 3M_1^3 + 2M_1^2 - 2M_2^1$.

Theorem 2.19. [7] Let G be a graph with m edges and girth ≥ 5 . Then $m_5(G) = \frac{1}{5!} \left[m(m^4 + 10m^3 + 43m^2 + 54m - 328) + 30(M_1^2)^2 - 12\alpha_{1,2}(m - 7) - 20\alpha_{1,3} - 2M_1^2(2m^3 + 30m^2 + 61m - 225) + 12\beta + 2M_2^1(6m^2 + 66m - 239) + M_1^3(6m^2 + 24m - 149) + 2M_1^4(m + 10) + 6M_2^2 - EM_2 - 5M_1^5 + 3P_2(M_1^2)^2 + 8P_2(mM_1^3) - 6P_2(m^2M_1^2) - P_2EM_2 + P_2(mM_2^1) \right]$.

Now, Lemmas 1.2(1), 1.3, 2.11, 2.12, 2.13, 2.14, 2.15, 2.16, 2.17, 2.18 and Theorem 2.19 give the following theorem.

Theorem 2.20. Let G be a graph with m edges and girth ≥ 5 . Then $m_5(G) = \frac{1}{5!} \left[m^5 + 10m^4 - (10M_1^2 - 55)m^3 + (60M_2^1 - 90M_1^2 + 20M_1^3 + 190)m^2 + (15(M_1^2)^2 + 140M_1^3 - 376M_1^2 - 30M_1^4 + 492M_2^1 - 120\alpha_{1,2} - 120\Theta_2 + 24\Theta_2^+ + 336)m + 60(M_1^2)^2 - (60M_2^1 + 20M_1^3 + 768)M_1^2 - 120M_1^4 + 24M_1^5 + 120M_2^2 - 504\alpha_{1,2} + 96\alpha_{1,3} + 24\Theta_2^+ - 48\Theta_3^+ + 96\Theta_4 + 24\Theta_3^{+,2} + 336M_1^3 + 1440M_2^1 + 132\Theta_1 - 600\Theta_2 + 120\Theta_3 + 24\Theta_5 + 48\Theta_6 \right]$.

Lemma 2.21. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $\Theta_1(S(G)) = 2[M_2^1 + M_1^3 - M_1^2]$, $\Theta_2(S(G)) = M_2^1 + 2M_1^2 - 4m$, $\Theta_2^+(S(G)) = 3M_1^2 - 4m$, $\Theta_3(S(G)) = 4M_2^1 - 2M_1^2$, $\Theta_3^+(S(G)) = 2M_2^1 + M_1^2 - 4m$, $\Theta_3^{+,2}(S(G)) = \alpha_{1,2} + 4M_1^2 - M_1^3 - 8m$, $\Theta_4(S(G)) = \alpha_{1,2} + 8M_1^2 - 16m$, $\Theta_5(S(G)) = 4M_1^2 + 2M_1^4 - 2M_1^3$, and $\Theta_6(S(G)) = 4M_2^1 + 2M_1^3 - 4M_1^2$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and so it is omitted. ■

Lemma 2.22. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $P_2\Theta_2(S(G)) = (4M_1^2 + 2M_2^1 + 4)m - 8m^2 - 2M_1^3 + 3M_1^2 - 6M_2^1 - \alpha_{1,2}$.

Proof. Lemmas 2.15, 2.21 and 2.2(1) give our result. ■

Lemma 2.23. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

- 1) $P_2\Theta_1(S(G)) = (4M_1^3 - 4M_1^2 + 4M_2^1 - 8)m - 2M_1^3 + 10M_1^2 - 2M_1^4 - 4\alpha_{1,2}$,
- 2) $P_2\Theta_2^+(S(G)) = (6M_1^2 + 8)m - 8m^2 - 3M_1^3 - M_1^2 - 4M_2^1$,
- 3) $P_2\Theta_3(S(G)) = (8M_2^1 - 4M_1^2 - 4)m + 2M_1^3 + 6M_1^2 - 4M_2^1 - 4\alpha_{1,2} - 2\Theta_2 + \Theta_2^+$,
- 4) $P_2\Theta_3^+(S(G)) = 2m(2M_2^1 + M_1^2 - 4m) - M_1^3 + 6M_1^2 - 6M_2^1 - 2\alpha_{1,2} - \Theta_2^+$,
- 5) $P_2\Theta_3^{+,2}(S(G)) = (8M_1^2 - 2M_1^3 + 2\alpha_{1,2} + 4)m - 16m^2 - M_1^3 + 3M_1^2 - 2M_2^1 + M_1^4 - 2M_2^2 - 2\alpha_{1,2} - \alpha_{1,3} - 3\Theta_2^+$,

- 6) $P_2\Theta_4(S(G)) = (16M_1^2 + 2\alpha_{1,2} + 12)m - 32m^2 - 7M_1^3 + 11M_1^2 - 14M_2^1 - 2M_2^2 - 3\alpha_{1,2} - \alpha_{1,3},$
- 7) $P_2\Theta_5(S(G)) = (4M_1^4 - 4M_1^3 + 8M_1^2 + 16)m + 13M_1^3 - 18M_1^2 - 8M_2^1 - 5M_1^4 - 2M_1^5 + \alpha_{1,2} - \alpha_{1,3},$
- 8) $P_2\Theta_6(S(G)) = (4M_1^3 - 8M_1^2 + 8M_2^1 - 12)m + M_1^3 + 13M_1^2 + 2M_2^1 - 2M_1^4 - 7\alpha_{1,2},$
- 9) $P_2(m\Theta_2)(S(G)) = (8M_1^2 + 4M_2^1 + 16)m^2 - 16m^3 + (4M_1^2 - 4M_1^3 - 10M_2^1 - 2\alpha_{1,2} - 2\Theta_2^+ - 4)m - 2(M_1^2)^2 - (M_2^1 + 4)M_1^2 - 2M_1^3 + 8M_2^1 + 2\Theta_2 + 2M_1^4 + 3\alpha_{1,2} + \alpha_{1,3} + \Theta_2^+,$
- 10) $P_2(m\Theta_2^+)(S(G)) = (12M_1^2 + 24)m^2 - 16m^3 - (6M_1^3 + 4M_1^2 + 8M_2^1 + 8)m - 3(M_1^2)^2 - 3M_1^2 + 12M_2^1 + 3M_1^4 + 2\alpha_{1,2}.$

Proof. The proof has tedious calculations similar to Lemma 2.11. ■

Lemma 2.24. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then*

- 1) $P_2\alpha_{1,3}(S(G)) = (16M_1^2 + 4M_1^4 + 20)m + 4M_1^3 - 17M_1^2 - 14M_2^1 - 7M_1^4 - 2M_1^5 - \alpha_{1,3}.$
- 2) $P_2(m\alpha_{1,2})(S(G)) = (8M_1^3 + 16M_1^2 + 8)m^2 - (22M_1^3 + 6M_1^2 + 12M_2^1 + 4M_1^4 + 2\alpha_{1,2} + 4)m - 4(M_1^2)^2 - (2M_1^3 + 3)M_1^2 + 9M_1^3 + 4M_2^1 + 6M_1^4 + 2M_1^5 + 2M_2^2 + 9\alpha_{1,2}.$
- 3) $P_2(M_1^2M_2^1)(S(G)) = (4m - 13)(M_1^2)^2 + (16m^2 - 4M_1^3 - 2M_2^1 - 8m - 10)M_1^2 + 16m^2 - (8M_1^3 + 8M_2^1)m + 6M_1^3 + 18M_2^1 + 4\Theta_2 + 6M_1^4 + 2M_1^5 + 10\alpha_{1,2} + \alpha_{1,3}.$
- 4) $P_2(m^2M_2^1)(S(G)) = (16M_1^2 + 16)m^3 - (8M_1^3 + 28M_1^2 + 8M_2^1 + 16)m^2 + (8M_1^3 - 8(M_1^2)^2 + 8M_1^2 + 8M_1^4 + 32M_2^1 + 4\alpha_{1,2} + 4)m + 4(M_1^2)^2 + (2M_1^3 + 1)M_1^2 - 14M_2^1 - 4M_1^4 - 2M_1^5 - 5\alpha_{1,2} - \alpha_{1,3}.$
- 5) $P_2M_2^2(S(G)) = (8m - 9)M_1^3 - 8m + 12M_1^2 - 4M_1^4 - 3\alpha_{1,2}.$

Proof. By definitions of $S(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2\alpha_{1,3}(S(G)) &= 2m\alpha_{1,3}(S(G)) - \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} \left[(2\deg_G(v)^3 + 8\deg_G(v))\deg_G(v) + 2\deg_G(u)^3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 8\deg_G(u) + (2\deg_G(u)^3 + 8\deg_G(u))(\deg_G(u) - 1) - \left(2(\deg_G(u) - 1)^3 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 8(\deg_G(u) - 1) \right) (\deg_G(u) - 1) + \sum_{xv \in E(G-uv)} (\deg_G(x)^3 + 7\deg_G(x)) \right] \\
&= (16M_1^2 + 4M_1^4 + 20)m + 4M_1^3 - 17M_1^2 - 14M_2^1 - 7M_1^4 - 2M_1^5 - \alpha_{1,3}, \\
P_2(m\alpha_{1,2})(S(G)) &= \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} \left[2m - \deg_G(v) - 1 \right] \left[\alpha_{1,2}(S(G)) - (2\deg_G(v)^2 + 4\deg_G(v) \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&)deg_G(v) - 2deg_G(u)^2 - 4deg_G(u) - (2deg_G(u)^2 + 4deg_G(u))(deg_G(u) - 1) \\
& + \left(2(deg_G(u) - 1)^2 - 4(deg_G(u) - 1)\right)(deg_G(u) - 1) \\
& - \sum_{xv \in E(G-uv)} (deg_G(x)^2 + 3deg_G(x)) \\
& = (8M_1^3 + 16M_1^2 + 8)m^2 - (22M_1^3 + 6M_1^2 + 12M_2^1 + 4M_1^4 + 2\alpha_{1,2} + 4)m \\
& - 4(M_1^2)^2 - (2M_1^3 + 3)M_1^2 + 9M_1^3 + 4M_2^1 + 6M_1^4 + 2M_1^5 + 2M_2^2 + 9\alpha_{1,2}, \\
P_2(M_1^2 M_2^1)(S(G)) &= \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} \left[M_1^2(S(G)) - deg_G(v)^2 - 3deg_G(v) - 2deg_G(u) \right] \left[M_2^1(S(G)) \right. \\
& - 2deg_G(v)^2 - 2deg_G(u) - 2deg_G(u)(deg_G(u) - 1) + 2(deg_G(u) - 1)^2 \\
& \left. - \sum_{xv \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(x) \right] \\
& = (4m - 13)(M_1^2)^2 + (16m^2 - 4M_1^3 - 2M_2^1 - 8m - 10)M_1^2 + 16m^2 \\
& - (8M_1^3 + 8M_2^1)m + 6M_1^3 + 18M_2^1 + 4\Theta_2 + 6M_1^4 + 2M_1^5 + 10\alpha_{1,2} + \alpha_{1,3}, \\
P_2(m^2 M_2^1)(S(G)) &= \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} \left[2m - deg_G(v) - 1 \right]^2 \left[M_2^1(S(G)) - 2deg_G(v)^2 - 2deg_G(u) \right. \\
& \left. - 2deg_G(u)(deg_G(u) - 1) + 2(deg_G(u) - 1)^2 - \sum_{xv \in E(G-uv)} deg_G(x) \right] \\
& = (16M_1^2 + 16)m^3 - (8M_1^3 + 28M_1^2 + 8M_2^1 + 16)m^2 + (8M_1^3 - 8(M_1^2)^2 \\
& + 8M_1^2 + 8M_1^4 + 32M_2^1 + 4\alpha_{1,2} + 4)m + 4(M_1^2)^2 + (2M_1^3 + 1)M_1^2 - 14M_2^1 \\
& - 4M_1^4 - 2M_1^5 - 5\alpha_{1,2} - \alpha_{1,3}, \\
P_2 M_2^2(S(G)) &= \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{uv \in E} \left[M_2^1(S(G)) - 4deg_G(v)^3 - 4deg_G(u)^2 - 4deg_G(u)^2(deg_G(u) \right. \\
& \left. - 1) + 4(deg_G(u) - 1)^3 - \sum_{xv \in E(G-uv)} 3deg_G(x)^2 \right] \\
& = (8m - 9)M_1^3 - 8m + 12M_1^2 - 4M_1^4 - 3\alpha_{1,2},
\end{aligned}$$

proving the lemma. ■

Theorem 2.25. *Let G be a graph with m edges. Then $m_6(S(G)) = \frac{1}{6!} [64m^6 - 480m^5 + 720m^4 + 600m^3 - 360m^2 - 480m - 720M_1^5 - 2160\alpha_{1,2} - 720\alpha_{1,3} + 540(M_1^2)^2 - 2340m^2 M_1^2 + 2160m M_1^3 - 1080M_1^4 + 720M_2^1 - 240M_1^2 M_1^3 m - 120M_1^6 - 720M_2^2 + 600M_1^2 M_1^3 + 1680M_1^2 m^3 - 810(M_1^2)^2 m - 1920M_1^3 m^2 + 1620M_1^4 m + 3600M_2^1 m - 720\Theta_2 - 1260m M_1^2 + 720M_1^2 + 480M_1^3 - 240m^4 M_1^2 + 320m^3 M_1^3 + 360M_1^2 M_2^1 + 90M_1^2 M_1^4 + 180(M_1^2)^2 m^2 - 360M_1^4 m^2 - 1440M_2^1 m^2 + 288M_1^5 m + 1440\alpha_{1,2} m + 40(M_1^3)^2 - 15(M_1^2)^3]$.*

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 2.20 and 1.4, Equation 3 and Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.22, 2.23 and 2.24. ■

We mention here a useful result of Zhou and Gutman [23].

Theorem 2.26. *Let G be an n -vertex forest. Then $c_{n-k}(G) = m_k(S(G))$, for $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.27. *Let G be a forest with m edges. Then $c_{n-6}(G) = \frac{1}{6!} \left[64m^6 - 480m^5 + 720m^4 + 600m^3 - 360m^2 - 480m - 720M_1^5 - 2160\alpha_{1,2} - 720\alpha_{1,3} + 540(M_1^2)^2 - 2340m^2M_1^2 + 2160mM_1^3 - 1080M_1^4 + 720M_2^1 - 240M_1^2M_1^3m - 120M_1^6 - 720M_2^2 + 600M_1^2M_1^3 + 1680M_1^2m^3 - 810(M_1^2)^2m - 1920M_1^3m^2 + 1620M_1^4m + 3600M_2^1m - 720\Theta_2 - 1260mM_1^2 + 720M_1^2 + 480M_1^3 - 240m^4M_1^2 + 320m^3M_1^3 + 360M_1^2M_2^1 + 90M_1^2M_1^4 + 180(M_1^2)^2m^2 - 360M_1^4m^2 - 1440M_2^1m^2 + 288M_1^5m + 1440\alpha_{1,2}m + 40(M_1^3)^2 - 15(M_1^2)^3 \right]$.*

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 2.25 and 2.26. ■

If T is an n -vertex tree, then $m = n - 1$. Therefore by previous theorem we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.28. *Let T be a tree on n vertices. Then $c_{n-6}(T) = \frac{1}{6!} \left[(8(n-1)(8n^5 - 100n^4 + 410n^3 - 635n^2 + 355n - 98) - 240M_1^2M_1^3n + 1440\alpha_{1,2}n + 288M_1^5n + 9420M_1^2n - 1170(M_1^2)^2n + 2340M_1^4n + 6480M_2^1n + 6960M_1^3n - 1440M_2^1n^2 + 180(M_1^2)^2n^2 - 360M_1^4n^2 + 320M_1^3n^3 - 2880M_1^3n^2 - 240M_1^2n^4 + 2640M_1^2n^3 - 8820M_1^2n^2 + 360M_1^2M_2^1 + 90M_1^2M_1^4 + 840M_1^2M_1^3 - 15(M_1^2)^3 + 40(M_1^3)^2 + 1530(M_1^2)^2 - 720\Theta_2 - 120M_1^6 - 720M_2^2 - 3920M_1^3 - 3060M_1^4 - 4320M_2^1 - 2280M_1^2 - 720\alpha_{1,2} - 1008M_1^5 - 3600\alpha_{1,2} \right]$.*

3 Laplacian Coefficients and the Number of Closed Walks

Let G be a graph. A *walk* in G is a sequence $W : v_{i_0}e_{i_1}v_{i_1}e_{i_2}v_{i_2} \dots e_{i_k}v_{i_k}$ of vertices and edges of G in such a way that for each j , $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, v_{i_j} and $v_{i_{j+1}}$ are end points of the edge $e_{i_{j+1}}$ in G . The walk is said to be *closed* if it begins and ends at the same vertex. The number of edges of a walk is called the *length* of the walk. The number of closed walk of a given length k , is denoted by $\mathcal{W}_k(G)$. It is easy to see that, in each graph G , $\mathcal{W}_1(G) = 0$, $\mathcal{W}_2(G) = 2m(G)$ and $\mathcal{W}_3(G) = 6t(G)$.

The line graph of a given graph G is another graph $L_1(G)$ that represents the adjacencies between edges of G . This graph is constructed in this way: any edge in G will be a vertex in $L_1(G)$ and for two edges in G with a common vertex, make an edge between their corresponding vertices in $L_1(G)$. For integer k , $k \geq 2$, we define: $L_k(G) = L_1(L_{k-1}(G))$ and $L_0(G) = G$.

Theorem 3.1. (See [2, Theorem 1.9]) Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix A and k be a positive integer. Then $\text{tr } A^k = \mathcal{W}_k(G)$.

The complete, star and cycle graphs on n vertices are denoted by K_n , S_n and C_n , respectively. Suppose $V(S_5) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ and $E(S_5) = \{v_1v_2, v_1v_3, v_1v_4, v_1v_5\}$. The graph S_5^{2e} is constructed from the graph S_5 by adding two edges v_2v_3 and v_4v_5 .

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a graph. The following hold:

- 1) $|\mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)| = m(L_1(G))$.
- 2) $M_1^2(G) = 2(m(G) + m(L_1(G)))$.
- 3) $M_1^3(G) = 2[m(G) + 3m(L_1(G)) + 3t(L_1(G)) - 3t(G)]$.

Proof. 1) Suppose that $e_1, e_2 \in E(G) = V(L_1(G))$. By definition of line graph, $e_1e_2 \in E(L_1(G))$ if and only if e_1 and e_2 have a common vertex. This proves that $|\mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)| = m(L_1(G))$.

2) Choose the vertex v in G . The number of subgraphs of G isomorphic to P_3 and middle vertex v is equal to $\binom{\text{deg}_G(v)}{2}$. Hence $|\mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)| = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{\text{deg}_G(v)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}M_1^2(G) - m(G)$. By the case (1), $M_1^2(G) = 2(m(G) + m(L_1(G)))$, as desired.

3) Suppose that $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in E(G) = V(L_1(G))$. By definition, $L_1(G)[\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}] \cong C_3$ if and only if e_1, e_2 and e_3 construct a cycle of length 3 or the star graph S_4 . Therefore, $t(L_1(G)) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{\text{deg}_G(v)}{3} + t(G) = \frac{1}{6}(M_1^3(G) - 3M_1^2(G) + 4m) + t(G)$. We now apply Lemma 3.2(2), to show that $t(L_1(G)) = \frac{1}{6}(M_1^3(G) - 2m(G) - 6m(L_1(G))) + t(G)$.

Hence the result. ■

Let $C_k : v_1v_2 \dots v_kv_1$ be the cycle graph on k vertices. The graph $C_k[1^{l_1}, 2^{l_2}, \dots, k^{l_k}]$ is constructed from C_k by adding l_i pendant edges, $1 \leq i \leq k$, to the vertex v_i . For simplicity, if $l_i = 0$, for some i , then we omit i^0 in our notation.

Lemma 3.3. Let G be a forest with $m(G)$ edges. Then the following hold:

- (i) $M_1^4(G) = \mathcal{W}_4(L_1(G)) + 2m(G) + 12m(L_1(G)) + 36t(L_1(G)) - 4m(L_2(G))$,
- (ii) $M_1^5(G) = \mathcal{W}_5(L_1(G)) + 5M_1^4(G) - 5M_1^3(G) - 15M_1^2(G) + 12m(G) - 5\alpha_{1,2}(G) + 30M_2^1(G)$,
- (iii) $M_1^6(G) = \mathcal{W}_6(L_1(G)) - 56m(L_1(G)) + 6M_1^5(G) - 6\alpha_{1,3}(G) - 6M_2^2(G) - 60m(G) - 9M_1^3(G) - 9M_1^4(G) + 61M_1^2(G) - 102M_2^1(G) - 12m(L_2(G)) + 42\alpha_{1,2}(G) - 6\Theta_2(G) - 6t(L_1(G))$.

Proof. Let H be an arbitrary graph.

(i) It can be easily seen that

$$\mathcal{W}_4(H) = 2m(H) + 4|\mathcal{S}_{P_3}(H)| + 8|\mathcal{S}_{C_4}(H)|. \quad (4)$$

Since G is a forest, $|\mathcal{S}_{C_4}(L_1(G))| = 3|\mathcal{S}_{K_4}(L_1(G))| = 3 \sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{\deg_G(v)}{4} = \frac{3}{24}(M_1^4(G) - 6M_1^3(G) + 11M_1^2(G) - 12m(G))$, and by Lemma 3.2(2,3),

$$|\mathcal{S}_{C_4}(L_1(G))| = \frac{3}{24} (M_1^4(G) - 2m(G) - 14m(L_1(G)) - 36t(L_1(G))). \quad (5)$$

Also, by Lemma 3.2 (1), $|\mathcal{S}_{P_3}(L_1(G))| = m(L_2(G))$. We now apply Equations (4) and (5) to deduce that $M_1^4(G) = \mathcal{W}_4(L_1(G)) + 2m(G) + 12m(L_1(G)) + 36t(L_1(G)) - 4m(L_2(G))$, as desired.

(ii) By an easy calculation, one can see that

$$\mathcal{W}_5(H) = 30t(H) + 10|\mathcal{S}_{C_5}(H)| + 10|\mathcal{S}_{C_3[1^1]}(L_1(G))| \quad (6)$$

Since G is a forest, $|\mathcal{S}_{C_5}(L_1(G))| = 12|\mathcal{S}_{K_5}(L_1(G))| = 12 \sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{\deg_G(v)}{5} = \frac{12}{120}(M_1^5(G) - 10M_1^4(G) + 35M_1^3(G) - 50M_1^2(G) + 48m(G))$ and $|\mathcal{S}_{C_3[1^1]}(L_1(G))| = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left[\binom{\deg_G(u)-1}{2} (\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) - 4) + \binom{\deg_G(v)-1}{2} (\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) - 4) \right] = \frac{1}{2}M_1^4(G) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1,2}(G) - \frac{7}{2}M_1^3(G) - 3M_1^2(G) + 8M_1^2(G) - 8m(G)$. Now the result follows from Equation (6).

(iii) By some easy calculations, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_6(H) = & 2m(H) + 12|\mathcal{S}_{P_3}(H)| + 6|\mathcal{S}_{P_4}(H)| + 12|\mathcal{S}_{S_4}(H)| + 24t(H) + 48|\mathcal{S}_{C_4}(H)| \\ & + 36|\mathcal{S}_{K_4-e}(H)| + 12|\mathcal{S}_{C_4[1^1]}(H)| + 12|\mathcal{S}_{C_6}(H)| + 24|\mathcal{S}_{S_4^e}(H)|. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We now assume that $x = uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G) = E(L_1(G))$. Then the number of paths constructed from three edges in $L_1(G)$ with x as its middle edge can be computed by $(\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) - 3)(\deg_G(v) + \deg_G(w) - 3) - t_x(L_1(G))$, where $t_x(L_1(G))$ denotes the number of triangles constructed on the edge x of $L_1(G)$. Therefore, $|\mathcal{S}_{P_4}(L_1(G))| = \sum_{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G)} [(\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) - 3)(\deg_G(v) + \deg_G(w) - 3) - t_x(L_1(G))] = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \deg_G(u)\deg_G(v)(\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) - 2) - 3 \sum_{uv \in E(G)} [\deg_G(u)(\deg_G(v) -$

1) + $(deg_G(u) - 1)deg_G(v)] + \Theta_2(G) - 3t(L_1(G)) + 9m(L_1(G)) + \sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{deg_G(v)}{2} (deg_G(v)^2 - 6deg_G(v))$. Now we simplify the last summation to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_{P_4}(L_1(G))| &= \Theta_2(G) - 3t(L_1(G)) + 9m(L_1(G)) + \alpha_{1,2}(G) - 8M_2^1(G) \\ &\quad + 6M_1^2(G) + \frac{1}{2}M_1^4(G) - \frac{7}{2}M_1^3(G). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Suppose that $e = uv \in E(G) = V(L_1(G))$. The number of stars isomorphic to S_4 in $L_1(G)$ with e as its center is computed by $\binom{deg_G(u)+deg_G(v)-2}{3}$ and so

$$|\mathcal{S}_{S_4}(L_1(G))| = \frac{1}{6}M_1^4(G) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1,2}(G) - \frac{3}{2}M_1^3(G) - 3M_2^1(G) + \frac{13}{3}M_1^2(G) - 4m(G). \quad (9)$$

By the proof of Case (1), we have

$$|\mathcal{S}_{C_4}(L_1(G))| = \frac{3}{24} \left(M_1^4(G) - 6M_1^3(G) + 11M_1^2(G) - 12m(G) \right). \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, it can be seen that

$$|\mathcal{S}_{K_4-e}(L_1(G))| = 2|\mathcal{S}_{C_4}(L_1(G))|. \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, by definition of complete graphs,

$$|\mathcal{S}_{C_n}(K_n)| = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)!. \quad (12)$$

Note that four edges in G gives an induced subgraph of $L_1(G)$ isomorphic to K_4 if and only if those edges has a common vertex. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_{C_4[1^1]}(L_1(G))| &= 3 \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} \left[\binom{deg_G(u)-1}{3} (deg_G(u) + deg_G(v) - 5) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \binom{deg_G(v)-1}{3} (deg_G(u) + deg_G(v) - 5) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2}M_1^5(G) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{1,3}(G) - \frac{11}{2}M_1^4(G) - 3\alpha_{1,2}(G) \\ &\quad + \frac{41}{2}M_1^3(G) - \frac{67}{2}M_1^2(G) + 11M_2^1(G) + 30m(G). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Furthermore, six edges of G gives a cycle in $L_1(G)$ if and only if those edges have a common vertex. We now apply Equation (12) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_{C_6}(L_1(G))| &= 60|\mathcal{S}_{K_6}(L_1(G))| = 60 \sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{deg_G(v)}{6} \\ &= \frac{1}{12}M_1^6(G) - \frac{5}{4}M_1^5(G) + \frac{85}{12}M_1^4(G) - \frac{75}{4}M_1^3(G) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{137}{6}M_1^2(G) - 20m(G). \quad (15)$$

Suppose $f = uv \in E(G) = V(L_1(G))$. The number of subgraphs of $L_1(G)$ isomorphic to S_5^{2e} with the property that f is a vertex of degree 4 can be obtained from $\binom{\deg_G(u)-1}{2} \binom{\deg_G(v)-1}{2} + 3 \binom{\deg_G(u)-1}{4} + 3 \binom{\deg_G(v)-1}{4}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_{S_5^{2e}}(L_1(G))| &= \frac{1}{4}M_2^2(G) - \frac{3}{4}\alpha_{1,2}(G) + \frac{39}{8}M_1^3(G) + \frac{9}{4}M_2^1(G) \\ &\quad - \frac{31}{4}M_1^2(G) + 7m(G) + \frac{1}{8}M_1^5(G) - \frac{5}{4}M_1^4(G). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We now apply Lemma 3.2 and Equations (7), (8), (9), (11), (12), (14), (15) and (16) to complete the proof of this case.

Hence the result. ■

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that G is a graph. Then the following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} M_2^1(G) &= \frac{1}{2}M_1^2(L_1(G)) - \frac{1}{2}M_1^3(G) + 2M_1^2(G) - 2m(G), \\ EM_2(G) &= \frac{1}{2}M_1^2(L_2(G)) - \frac{1}{2}M_1^3(L_1(G)) + 2M_1^2(L_1(G)) - 2m(L_1(G)), \\ \alpha_{1,2}(G) &= \frac{1}{3}M_1^3(L_1(G)) - \frac{1}{3}M_1^4(G) + 2M_1^3(G) + 4M_2^1(G) - 4M_1^2(G) + \frac{8}{3}m(G), \\ \Theta_2(G) &= \frac{1}{2}M_1^2(L_2(G)) - \frac{5}{6}M_1^3(L_1(G)) + 2M_1^2(L_1(G)) - 2m(L_1(G)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6}M_1^4(G) + \frac{1}{2}M_1^3(G) + 2M_2^1(G) - 2M_1^2(G) + \frac{4}{3}m(G). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that $e = uv \in E(G)$. By definition of line graph, $\deg_{L_1(G)}(e) = \deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) - 2$. Hence $M_1^2(L_1(G)) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) - 2)^2 = M_1^3(G) + 2M_2^1(G) - 4M_1^2(G) + 4m(G)$ which completes the proof of the first equality. The second equality follows from $EM_2(G) = M_2(L_1(G))$ and the first equality.

Next, we prove the third equality. We have $M_1^3(L_1(G)) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v) - 2)^3 = 3\alpha_{1,2}(G) + M_1^4(G) - 6M_1^3(G) - 12M_2^1(G) + 12M_1^2(G) - 8m(G)$, as desired. Finally, by Lemma 2.14, $\Theta_2(G) = EM_2(G) - \alpha_{1,2} + 6M_2^1(G) - \frac{1}{2}M_1^4(G) + \frac{5}{2}M_1^3(G) - 6M_1^2(G) + 4m(G)$. Now, the fourth equality follows from the above three equalities. ■

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a graph, $A = A(G)$ and $A_1 = A(L_1(G))$. Then the following hold:*

- 1) $c_{n-1}(G) = \text{tr } A^2$ and $c_{n-2}(G) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\prod_{i=0}^1 (\text{tr } A^2 - 2i) - \text{tr } A_1^2 \right]$.
- 2) $c_{n-3}(G) = \frac{1}{3!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^2 (\text{tr } A^2 - 2i) - 3 \text{tr } A^2 \text{tr } A_1^2 + \text{tr}(12A_1^2 + 2A_1^3) - 4 \text{tr } A^3 \right]$.
- 3) $c_{n-4}(G) = \frac{1}{4!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^3 (\text{tr } A^2 - 2i) - 6(\text{tr } A^2)^2 \text{tr } A_1^2 + \text{tr } A^2 \text{tr}(60A_1^2 + 8A_1^3) - \text{tr}(144A_1^2 + 48A_1^3 + 6A_1^4) + 3(\text{tr } A_1^2)^2 \right]$, when G is a forest.
- 4) $c_{n-5}(G) = \frac{1}{5!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^4 (\text{tr } A^2 - 2i) - 10(\text{tr } A^2)^3 \text{tr } A_1^2 + (\text{tr } A^2)^2 \text{tr}(180A_1^2 + 20A_1^3) - \text{tr } A^2 \text{tr}(1040A_1^2 + 280A_1^3 + 30A_1^4) + 15 \text{tr } A^2 (\text{tr } A_1^2)^2 - \text{tr } A_1^2 \text{tr}(120A_1^2 + 20A_1^3) + \text{tr}(1920A_1^2 + 960A_1^3 + 240A_1^4 + 24A_1^5) \right]$, when G is a forest.
- 5) $c_{n-6}(G) = \frac{1}{6!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^5 (\text{tr } A^2 - 2i) - 15(\text{tr } A^2)^4 \text{tr } A_1^2 + (\text{tr } A^2)^3 \text{tr}(420A_1^2 + 40A_1^3) - (\text{tr } A^2)^2 \text{tr}(4260A_1^2 + 960A_1^3 + 90A_1^4) + 45(\text{tr } A^2 \text{tr } A_1^2)^2 - 810 \text{tr } A^2 (\text{tr } A_1^2)^2 + \text{tr } A^2 \text{tr}(18480A_1^2 + 7520A_1^3 + 1620A_1^4 + 144A_1^5) - 15(\text{tr } A_1^2)^3 + 3600(\text{tr } A_1^2)^2 - 28800 \text{tr } A_1^2 + \text{tr } A_1^2 \text{tr}(1200A_1^3 + 90A_1^4) + 40(\text{tr } A_1^2)^2 - 120 \text{tr } A^2 \text{tr } A_1^2 \text{tr } A_1^3 - \text{tr}(19200A_1^3 - 7200A_1^4 - 1440A_1^5 - 120A_1^6) \right]$, when G is a forest.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 2.26, 3.1 and Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4. ■

4 Applications

This section aims is to apply our results in Section 3 for computing the Laplacian coefficients c_{n-k} , $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, when G is a certain tree. Let G be a graph. The number of edges connecting vertices of degree i and j in a graph G is denoted by $m_{i,j}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_3^{i,j} = |\{uvw \in \mathcal{S}_{P_3}(G) \mid \{deg_G(u), deg_G(w)\} = \{i, j\}\}|$. As [6], we first assume that $T(k, t)$ be a rooted tree with degree sequence $k, k, \dots, k, 1, 1, \dots, 1$ and t is the distance between the center and any pendant vertex, Figure 1. By definition of $T(k, t)$ we have $n(T(k, t)) = \frac{k(k-1)^t - 2}{k-2}$, $m_{1,k}(T(k, t)) = k(k-1)^{t-1}$, $m_{k,k}(T(k, t)) = n(T(k, t)) - 1 - m_{1,k}(T(k, t))$, $\mathcal{P}_3^{1,k} = m_{1,k}(T(k, t))$, $\mathcal{P}_3^{1,1} = k(k-1)^{t-2} \binom{k-1}{2}$, $\mathcal{P}_3^{k,k} = \frac{1}{2} M_1(T(k, t)) - n(T(k, t)) + 1 - \mathcal{P}_3^{1,1} - \mathcal{P}_3^{1,k}$. Therefore by Lemma 1.1, Equations (1), (2) and Theorem 2.28 and the others simple calculations we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{n-1}(T(3, t)) &= 6 \times 2^t - 6, \\
c_{n-2}(T(3, t)) &= 18 \times 2^{2t} - \frac{93}{2} 2^t + 30, \\
c_{n-3}(T(3, t)) &= 36 \times 2^{3t} - 171 \times 2^{2t} + 272 \times 2^t - 144, \\
c_{n-4}(T(3, t)) &= 54 \times 2^{4t} - 405 \times 2^{3t} + \frac{9177}{8} 2^{2t} - \frac{5799}{4} 2^t + 687, \\
c_{n-5}(T(3, t)) &= \frac{324}{5} 2^{5t} - 702 \times 2^{4t} + \frac{12267}{4} 2^{3t} - \frac{26967}{4} 2^{2t} + \frac{74427}{10} 2^t - 3294, \\
c_{n-6}(T(3, t)) &= \frac{324}{5} 2^{6t} - \frac{4779}{5} 2^{5t} + \frac{23697}{4} 2^{4t} - \frac{315711}{16} 2^{3t} + \frac{1488293}{40} 2^{2t} - \frac{376247}{10} 2^t + 15932, \\
c_{n-1}(T(4, t)) &= 4 \times 3^t - 4, \\
c_{n-2}(T(4, t)) &= 8 \times 3^{2t} - 24 \times 3^t + 18, \\
c_{n-3}(T(4, t)) &= \frac{32}{3} 3^{3t} - 64 \times 3^{2t} + \frac{392}{3} 3^t - 88,
\end{aligned}$$

$$c_{n-4}(T(4, t)) = \frac{32}{3}3^{4t} - \frac{320}{3}3^{3t} + \frac{1232}{3}3^{2t} - \frac{2132}{3}3^t + 457,$$

$$c_{n-5}(T(4, t)) = \frac{128}{15}3^{5t} - 128 \times 3^{4t} + \frac{2368}{3}3^{3t} - 2480 \times 3^{2t} + \frac{19644}{5}3^t - 2484,$$

$$c_{n-6}(T(4, t)) = \frac{256}{45}3^{6t} - \frac{1792}{15}3^{5t} + \frac{9664}{9}3^{4t} - \frac{15776}{3}3^{3t} + \frac{661864}{45}3^{2t} - \frac{110756}{5}3^t + 13990.$$

Table 1. The Laplacian coefficients $c_{n-x}(T(3, t))$, where $x, t \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

x \ t	2	3	4	5	6
2	132	810	3894	16974	70782
3	512	9520	107888	1013104	8754032
4	1146	76329	2151219	44481015	804407871
5	1524	442926	32892762	1532049426	58577653506
6	1196	1926456	401303300	43109506572	3521109479132

Table 2. The Laplacian coefficients $c_{n-x}(T(4, t))$, where $x, t \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

x \ t	2	3	4	5	6
2	450	5202	50562	466578	4234050
3	3680	166736	5259296	149307536	4098568160
4	19549	3849829	405115261	35685894085	2971474597789
5	71496	68251680	24647441832	6795068311872	1721091168665352
6	186394	967057330	1233678403066	1073738466435154	829575812820551386




Figure 1. The rooted tree $T(3, 3)$.

5 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, an exact formula for the coefficient c_{n-6} in the Laplacian polynomial of a forest is given. As a consequence of this work and our earlier papers, the Laplacian coefficients c_{n-k} of a forest F , $1 \leq k \leq 6$, are computed in terms of the number of closed walks in F and its line graph. We end this paper by the following conjecture:

Conjecture 5.1. Let G be a graph, $A = A(G)$ and $A_1 = A(L_1(G))$. Then

- 1) $c_{n-4}(G) \leq \frac{1}{4!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^3 (\text{tr } A^2 - 2i) - 6(\text{tr } A^2)^2 \text{tr } A_1^2 + \text{tr } A^2 \text{tr}(60A_1^2 + 8A_1^3) - \text{tr}(144A_1^2 + 48A_1^3 + 6A_1^4) + 3(\text{tr } A_1^2)^2 \right]$, the equality holds if and only if the girth of G is not 3.
- 2) $c_{n-5}(G) \leq \frac{1}{5!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^4 (\text{tr } A^2 - 2i) - 10(\text{tr } A^2)^3 \text{tr } A_1^2 + (\text{tr } A^2)^2 \text{tr}(180A_1^2 + 20A_1^3) - \text{tr } A^2 \text{tr}(1040A_1^2 + 280A_1^3 + 30A_1^4) + 15 \text{tr } A^2 (\text{tr } A_1^2)^2 - \text{tr } A_1^2 \text{tr}(120A_1^2 + 20A_1^3) + \text{tr}(1920A_1^2 + 960A_1^3 + 240A_1^4 + 24A_1^5) \right]$, the equality holds if and only if the girth of G is not 3 or 5.
- 3) $c_{n-6}(G) \leq \frac{1}{6!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^5 (\text{tr } A^2 - 2i) - 15(\text{tr } A^2)^4 \text{tr } A_1^2 + (\text{tr } A^2)^3 \text{tr}(420A_1^2 + 40A_1^3) - (\text{tr } A^2)^2 \text{tr}(4260A_1^2 + 960A_1^3 + 90A_1^4) + 45(\text{tr } A^2 \text{tr } A_1^2)^2 - 810 \text{tr } A^2 (\text{tr } A_1^2)^2 + \text{tr } A^2 \text{tr}(18480A_1^2 + 7520A_1^3 + 1620A_1^4 + 144A_1^5) - 15(\text{tr } A_1^2)^3 + 3600(\text{tr } A_1^2)^2 - 28800 \text{tr } A_1^2 + \text{tr } A_1^2 \text{tr}(1200A_1^3 + 90A_1^4) + 40(\text{tr } A_1^3)^2 - 120 \text{tr } A^2 \text{tr } A_1^2 \text{tr } A_1^3 - \text{tr}(19200A_1^3 - 7200A_1^4 - 1440A_1^5 - 120A_1^6) \right]$, the equality holds if and only if the girth of G is not 3 or 5.

Acknowledgments: The authors supported by the University of Kashan under grant no. 985968/1.

References

- [1] A. R. Ashrafi, M. Eliasi and A. Ghalavand, Laplacian coefficients and Zagreb indices of trees, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **67**(9) (2019) 1736–1749.
- [2] D. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs–Theory and Application, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [3] K. C. Das, A. Ghalavand and A. R. Ashrafi, On the number of k –matchings in graphs, submitted.
- [4] B. Furtula and I. Gutman, A forgotten topological index, *J. Math. Chem.* **53** (2015) 1184–1190.
- [5] A. Ghalavand and A. R. Ashrafi, Laplacian coefficients of trees, *Rad Hrvat. Akad. Znan. Umjet. Mat. Znan.* **24** (2020) 1–14.
- [6] A. Ghalavand and A. R. Ashrafi, On the Laplacian coefficients of trees, submitted.
- [7] C. D. Godsil and I. Gutman, On the theory of the matching polynomial, *J. Graph Theory* **5** (1981) 137–144.
- [8] I. Gutman and N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π –electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538.
- [9] I. Gutman, B. Ruščić, N. Trinajstić and C. F. Wilcox, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. XII. Acyclic polyenes, *J. Chem. Phys.* **62** (1975) 3399–3405.
- [10] I. Gutman and K. C. Das, The first Zagreb index 30 years after, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **50** (2004) 83–92.
- [11] I. Gutman, E. Milovanović and I. Milovanović, Beyond the Zagreb indices, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* **17** (1) (2020) 74–85.
- [12] I. Gutman, Hyper-Wiener index and Laplacian spectrum, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.*, **68**(12) (2003) 949–952.
- [13] D. J. Klein, I. Lukovits and I. Gutman, On the definition of the hyper-Wiener index for cycle-containing structures, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **35** (1995) 50–52.
- [14] A. Milićević, S. Nikolić and N. Trinajstić, On reformulated Zagreb indices, *Molecular Diversity* **8** (2004) 393–399.
- [15] R. Merris, A survey of graph Laplacians, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **39** (1995) 19–31.
- [16] B. Mohar, The Laplacian spectrum of graphs, In: Y. Alavi, G. Chartrand, O.R. Ollermann, A. J. Schwenk (Eds), *Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Applications*, Wiley, New York, (1991) pp. 871–898.

- [17] S. Nikolić, G. Kovačević, A. Milićević and N. Trinajstić, The Zagreb indices 30 years after, *Croat. Chem. Acta* **76** (2003) (2) 113–124.
- [18] C. S. Oliveira, N. M. M. de Abreu and S. Jurkiewicz, The characteristic polynomial of the Laplacian of graphs in (a, b) -linear classes, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **356** (2002) 113–121.
- [19] M. Randić, Novel molecular descriptor for structure—property studies, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **211** (10) (1993) 478–483.
- [20] H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **69** (1) (1947) 17–20.
- [21] W. Yan and Y.-N. Yeh, Connections between Wiener index and matchings, *J. Math. Chem.* **39** (2006) 389–399.
- [22] S. Zhang and H. Zhang, Unicyclic graphs with the first three smallest and largest first general Zagreb index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **55** (2) (2006) 427–438.
- [23] B. Zhou and I. Gutman, A connection between ordinary and Laplacian spectra of bipartite graphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **56** (3) (2008) 305–310.