

REMARKS ON EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION FOR STOCHASTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BENNY AVELIN AND LAURI VIITASAARI

ABSTRACT. In this article we consider existence and uniqueness of the solutions to a large class of stochastic partial differential of form $\partial_t u = L_x u + b(t, u) + \sigma(t, u)\dot{W}$, driven by a Gaussian noise \dot{W} , white in time and spatial correlations given by a generic covariance γ . We provide natural conditions under which classical Picard iteration procedure provides a unique solution. We illustrate the applicability of our general result by providing several interesting particular choices for the operator L_x under which our existence and uniqueness result hold. In particular, we show that Dalang condition given in [5] is sufficient in the case of many parabolic and hypoelliptic operators L_x .

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010): 60H15, 60G15, 35C15, 35K58, 35S10.

Keywords: Stochastic partial differential equations, existence and uniqueness, mild solution, semilinear parabolic equations, hypoelliptic equations.

1. Introduction

In this article we consider the stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) of form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = (L_x u)(t, x) + b(t, u(t, x)) + \sigma(t, u(t, x))\dot{W}(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (1.1)$$

with initial condition $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$. Here b and σ are assumed to be Lipschitz continuous functions and bounded on compacts in the spatial variable x , and uniformly in t . The stochastic force \dot{W} is assumed to be Gaussian with correlation structure that is white in the time variable t and given by a generic covariance γ in the spatial variable x .

SPDEs have been a subject of active research in the literature for recent years, and the basic theory is already rather well-established. Especially, initiated by the seminal paper by Dalang [5], stochastic heat equations, in which case $L_x = \Delta$ is the Laplace operator, with Gaussian noise that is white in time have received a lot of attention. In this case one obtains the existence and uniqueness of the solution

provided that the following so-called Dalang's condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\beta + 2|\xi|^2} \hat{\gamma}(d\xi) < \infty \quad (1.2)$$

holds for some $\beta_0 > 0$ (in which case it holds for all $\beta > \beta_0$). Here $\hat{\gamma}$ denotes the non-negative measure arising as the Fourier transform of the spatial covariance γ of the noise \dot{W} . One also observes that here the term $|\xi|^2$ corresponds to the Fourier multiplier arising from the Laplace operator Δ . This leads to a natural extension to the case where L_x is the L^2 -generator of a Levy process. In this case (1.2) is replaced by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\beta + 2\text{Re}\Psi(\xi)} \hat{\gamma}(d\xi) < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Psi(\xi)$ arises from the characteristic exponent of the associated Levy process. Here $\text{Re}\Psi(\xi)$ is again a non-negative function, and if (1.3) holds for some $\beta > 0$, then it holds for all $\beta > 0$. As a particular interesting example, this covers the case of the stochastic fractional heat equation where L_x is given by the fractional Laplace operator $-(\Delta)^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Indeed, then $\Psi(\xi) = |\xi|^{2\alpha}$ and one recovers the classical heat equation and condition (1.2) by plugging in $\alpha = 1$. This generalisation is studied, among others, in [10] where the existence and uniqueness result was given under suitable assumptions on the coefficients b, σ .

A standard technique to prove existence and uniqueness of the solution to (1.1) is based on the so-called fundamental solution $G_t(x)$ (or the Green kernel) associated to the equation $\partial_t u = L_x u$. Then one obtains a candidate for the solution through convolutions with the kernel $G_t(x)$. In particular, in the settings mentioned above one obtains that G_t is the density of the underlying Levy process and forms a semigroup. After that, using the positivity of G_t as well, one obtains the solution through Picard iteration.

In this article we provide a general existence and uniqueness result for the solution to (1.1). Our condition, given by Equation (2.6) below, is similar to (1.3). However, in our results we do not require non-negativity of the fundamental solutions G_t as we only consider upper bounds for $|G_t|$. Furthermore, we do not require the associated function, given by $\Psi(\xi)$ in (1.3), to be non-negative. This fact allows to consider a larger class of operators L_x in (1.1), in the case where we do not have a Gaussian upper bound on the fundamental solution. Our main contribution is that, by a careful analysis on the essential requirements in the classical arguments, we get a remarkably strong generalization. As such, we are able to cover a host of equations which was previously not known in the literature, including the important Kolmogorov equations. Finally, we stress that similar considerations can be applied to equations of form (1.1), where ∂_t is replaced with more general operator L_t , see Section 4.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we present our assumptions and provide the existence and uniqueness result, Theorem 2.2. In Section 2.1,

we illustrate the applicability of our result by providing a detailed discussion on several interesting examples. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is postponed to Section 3 where we also recall some basic facts on stochastic calculus. We end the paper with some concluding remarks.

2. General existence and uniqueness result

We consider the stochastic partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = (L_x u)(t, x) + b(t, u(t, x)) + \sigma(t, u(t, x))\dot{W}(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (2.1)$$

where L_x is a suitable differential operator (acting on the variable x), and coefficients b and σ are assumed to be spatially Lipschitz continuous functions, uniformly in s over compacts. That is, for any $T > 0$ we have for all $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \leq L_{b,T}|x - y|$$

and

$$|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)| \leq L_{\sigma,T}|x - y|.$$

Note that then the functions b and σ are spatially locally bounded, uniformly in s over compacts. That is,

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T], y \in K} \max(|\sigma(s, y)|, |b(s, y)|) < \infty$$

for all compact sets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and all finite $T > 0$. For the (centered) Gaussian noise \dot{W} we assume that the covariance is given by

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\dot{W}(t, x) \dot{W}(s, y) \right] = \delta_0(t - s) \gamma(x - y),$$

where δ_0 denotes the Dirac delta and γ are non-negative and non-negative definite measures. That is, the noise can be described by a centered Gaussian family with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[W(\phi)W(\psi)] = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}\phi(\cdot, s)(\xi) \overline{\mathcal{F}(\psi)(\cdot, s)(\xi)} \hat{\gamma}(d\xi) ds, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\hat{\gamma}$ is the spectral measure of γ , \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform, and ϕ, ψ are suitable functions. We provide rigorous treatment on the construction of the Gaussian noise \dot{W} in Section 3.1 that contains a brief introduction to Gaussian analysis and stochastic integration required for our analysis.

We denote by $G_t(x)$ the fundamental solution (or the Green kernel) associated to the operator $M = \partial_t - L_x$, in the sense that for any "nice enough" initial data u_0 we have that

$$u(x, t) = \int G_t(x - y) u_0(y) dy$$

satisfies $Mu = 0$ and $u \rightarrow u_0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Consider now our original equation (2.1). For the initial condition $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$, we assume that $u_0(x)$ is deterministic and satisfies, for every $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G_t(x - y)| |u_0(y)| dy < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

We prove that under certain conditions, equation (2.1) admits a unique mild solution in the following sense.

Definition 2.1. We say that a random field $u(t, x)$, adapted to the filtration generated by \dot{W} , is a mild solution to (2.1) if for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, the process $(s, y) \rightarrow G_{t-s}(x - y)\sigma(u(s, y))\mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(s)$ is integrable and we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_t(x - y)u_0(y)dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{t-s}(x - y)b(s, u(s, y))dyds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{t-s}(x - y)\sigma(s, u(s, y))W(ds, dy). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Here the stochastic integral exists in the sense of Dalang-Walsh, see Section 3.1.

The following existence and uniqueness result is the main result of this paper. The proof follows standard arguments and is presented in Section 3.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G_s be the fundamental solution to (2.1) and assume that there exists an integrable function $g_s(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-s\hat{f}})$, $\hat{f} \geq -C$ such that $|G_s(x)| \leq g_s(x)$ and there exists $\beta_0 > 2C$ such that,*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\beta_0 s} \|g_s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} ds < \infty \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\beta_0 + 2\hat{f}(\xi)} \hat{\gamma}(d\xi) < \infty \quad (2.6)$$

Then (2.1) admits a unique mild solution.

Remark 1. Observe that the condition (2.5) is independent of the chosen covariance γ , and is rather mild. Indeed, in many examples g_s is given by a density of some generating stochastic process at time s , and hence we have $\|g_s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$ for all $s > 0$. Moreover, by carefully examining our proof one observes that (2.5) can be replaced with a weaker condition $\int_0^T \|g_s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} ds < \infty$ for all $T > 0$ finite. Finally, we note that (2.5) can be omitted in the case $b \equiv 0$.

Remark 2. The proof of Theorem 2.2 actually gives more, however for purposes of exposition we chose to present the simplified form (2.6). For a discussion about further cases (including for instance the wave equation) see, Section 4.

Remark 3. Note that if (2.6) is satisfied for some $\beta_0 > 0$, then it is automatically valid for all $\beta > \beta_0$ as well.

2.1. Examples. In this section we outline some examples of quite general linear equations for which Theorem 2.2 can be applied.

2.1.1. Linear operators with Gaussian upper bounds. Many parabolic and hypoelliptic evolution operators satisfy a Gaussian upper bound for their fundamental solution, namely an estimate of the following type

$$G_s(x) \leq g(t)e^{-\frac{c|x|_{\mathbb{G}}^2}{t}} \tag{2.7}$$

where \mathbb{G} is a homogeneous Lie group. The reason for writing it as above is that if we consider $|x|_{\mathbb{G}} = |x|$, being the standard Euclidean norm, we can cover a big class of parabolic operators. But for hypoelliptic equations, we get a nontrivial norm induced by the corresponding Lie-group.

Let us now consider some interesting examples satisfying (2.7) with the Euclidean norm.

Let L in \mathbb{R}^n be given as

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t)\partial_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(x,t)\partial_j + c(x,t)$$

then if the matrix $a_{ij}(x,t)$ is uniformly elliptic, the functions a, b, c are bounded and uniformly Hölder continuous with exponent α , then there exists positive constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$|G_t(x)| \leq c_1 t^{-n/2} e^{-c_2 \frac{|x|^2}{t}} \tag{2.8}$$

where $G_t(x)$ is the fundamental solution to $\partial_t - L$, see [8, 9]. As such Theorem 2.2 is applicable, and since the Fourier transform of a Gaussian is a Gaussian we get that if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\beta_0 + 2C(c_1)|\xi|^2} \hat{\gamma}(d\xi) < \infty \tag{2.9}$$

then there exists a mild solution to (2.1). That is, the condition reduces to the standard one for the heat equation, [5]. For instance, if γ is given by the Riesz kernel, i.e. $\hat{\gamma} = |\xi|^{\lambda-d} d\xi$, then the above is verified as long as $\lambda < 2$. In the case when γ is in $W^{k,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$|\hat{\gamma}| \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |\xi|)^k},$$

from this we see that (2.9) is verified if $k > n - 2$. Finally we remark that if $\gamma = \delta_0$ (white noise), then (2.9) only holds in dimension 1.

In fact the above can be extended as follows, if we instead consider the divergence form operator

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x,t)\partial_j \cdot),$$

with a_{ij} still an elliptic matrix but now only bounded and measurable, then $\partial_t - L$, Aronson [1] proved that (2.8) holds also in this case.

The case for non-divergence form equations with rough coefficients was treated in [7].

Let us now consider the hypoelliptic setting and consider some relevant examples. Let us begin with some notation. We consider the hypoelliptic evolution operator

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2 + X_0 - \partial_t,$$

where X_i are smooth vector fields in \mathbb{R}^n for $i = 0, \dots, m$, and usually $m < n$. Such an equation induces an interesting geometry. Namely, let us denote

$$Y = X_0 - \partial_t, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \cdot X = \lambda_1 X_1 + \dots + \lambda_m X_m$$

then a curve in $\gamma : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$ is M -admissible if it is absolutely continuous and

$$\gamma'(s) = \lambda(s) \cdot X(\gamma(s)) + Y(\gamma(s)), \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, R].$$

That is, the curve has a direction at each point in the tangent-bundle described by the vector-fields X, Y . If we assume that the vector fields are such that

- every two points $(x, t), (\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$ can be connected with an M admissible curve,
- there exists a homogeneous Lie group $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T], \circ, \delta_\lambda)$ for which X, Y are left translation invariant. Furthermore, that X is δ_λ -homogeneous of degree 1 and Y is δ_λ -homogeneous of degree 2.

Then the operator M is hypoelliptic (distributional solutions are smooth), see [3, 11]. This allows us to write $\mathbb{R}^n = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_l$, i.e. the vector field X stratifies \mathbb{R}^n into a direct sum of subspaces, such that if we write $x = x^{(1)} + \dots + x^{(l)}$, where $x^{(k)} \in V_k$, then the dilations becomes simple multiplication in the sense that

$$\delta_\lambda(x, t) = (\lambda x^{(1)} + \dots + \lambda^l x^{(l)}, \lambda^2 t).$$

Furthermore if we introduce the δ_λ homogeneous norm as

$$|x|_{\mathbb{G}} = \max \left\{ |x_i^{(k)}|^{\frac{1}{k}}, k = 1, \dots, l, i = 1, \dots, m_k \right\}$$

Then, for such operators M we have that there exists (see [11]) a positive constant C such that

$$|G_t(x)| \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{Q-2}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|_{\mathbb{G}}^2}{Ct}}.$$

From this we see that we can apply Theorem 2.2 again in this context, however now γ needs to be adapted to the geometry of \mathbb{G} . Furthermore, for certain hypoelliptic operators we even have positivity of the fundamental solution, [2].

A prototypical example of a hypoelliptic operator is the following (well known Kolmogorov operator)

$$K = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \partial_{y_j} - \partial_t$$

where we are working in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times [0, T]$ and we denote the first n coordinates as x_i and the other n as y_i . The operator K is hypoelliptic in the above sense [3]. Furthermore, the fundamental solution for $n = 1$ is given by

$$G_t(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi t^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{t} - \frac{3xy}{t^2} - \frac{3y^2}{t^3}\right)$$

Considering the above "heat type" kernel in the finite interval $[0, T]$, it is clear that we can bound it from above by $g_t(x) = \frac{C}{t^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{C} \frac{x^2 + y^2}{t}\right)$ for a positive constant C . As such the same conclusion as in Section 2.1.1 holds.

Operators of this type often appear in the context of SDE's where the noise is only in some directions, like the kinetic equations, for which the density satisfies the kinetic Fokker-Planck equation. The kinetic Fokker-Planck is hypoelliptic, see [13] and the references therein.

2.1.2. Fractional heat equation. Consider the fractional evolution equation defined as

$$\partial_t u = -(-\Delta)^s u + Cu, \quad 0 < s \leq 1,$$

where $C = 0$ corresponds to the fractional heat equation. The fourier transform of said equation is

$$\partial_t \hat{u} = -|\xi|^{2s} \hat{u} + C\hat{u}.$$

As such, the fundamental solution is given by $\hat{G}_t(\xi) = e^{-(|\xi|^{2s} - C)t}$, and we can thus apply Theorem 2.2 with $b \equiv 0$ and $\hat{f} \geq -C$, together with Remark 1.

2.1.3. Mixture operators. We formally consider an equation of the following type

$$\partial_t u = L_1 u + L_2 u.$$

If G_1, G_2 are the fundamental solutions of

$$\partial_t u = L_1 u, \quad \partial_t u = L_2 u,$$

respectively, then $G = G_1 * G_2$ is a fundamental solution of the above, (under some integrability assumptions). Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(G_1 * G_2) &= (\partial_t G_1 * G_2) + (G_1 * \partial_t G_2) = (L_1 G_1) * G_2 + G_1 * (L_2 G_2) \\ &= (L_1 + L_2)(G_1 * G_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for instance we can combine Sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 and apply Theorem 2.2 to get the existence of a mild solution to (2.1).

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

3.1. Preliminaries on stochastic calculus. In this section we introduce stochastic analysis with respect to the noise \dot{W} .

Denote by $C_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ the class of C^∞ functions on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with compact support. We consider a Gaussian family of centered random variables

$$\left(W(\varphi), \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d) \right)$$

on some complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[W(\varphi)W(\psi)] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(s, y)\psi(s, y')\gamma(y - y')dydy'ds := \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

By taking the Fourier transform, this can equivalently be written as (2.2). Note that here γ is not a function, and hence Section 3.1 should be understood as

$$\mathbb{E}[W(\varphi)W(\psi)] = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(s, y) [\psi(s, \cdot) * \eta](y)dyds, \quad (3.2)$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution. For the simplicity of our presentation, we use notation $\gamma(y - y')dydy'$ in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 from which change of variable transformations are easier to follow.

We denote by \mathfrak{H} the Hilbert space defined as the closure of $C_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to the inner product (Section 3.1). As a result, we obtain an isonormal process $(W(\varphi), \varphi \in \mathfrak{H})$, which consists of a Gaussian family of centered random variable such that, for every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{H}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[W(\varphi)W(\psi)] = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

The filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ associated to the random noise W is generated by random variables $W(\varphi)$ for which $\varphi \in \mathfrak{H}$ has support contained in $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let us now define the stochastic integral with respect to W . For every random field $\{X(s, y), s \geq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\|X\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X(s, y)X(s, y')\gamma(y - y')dydy' < \infty,$$

we can define the stochastic integral

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X(s, y)W(ds, dy)$$

in the sense of Dalang-Walsh (see, e.g. [5, 14]). It follows that we have the Isometry

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X_n(s, y)W(ds, dy) \right]^2 = \mathbb{E}\|X_n\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, we have the following version of the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality: for any $t \geq 0$ and $p \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} X(s, y) W(ds, dy) \right\|_p^2 \\ & \leq c_p \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|X(s, y)X(s, y')\|_{\frac{p}{2}} \gamma(y - y') dy dy' ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

3.2. Auxiliary results. Set

$$I(s) = \|g_s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_s(y) g_s(y') \gamma(y - y') dy dy'. \quad (3.5)$$

By taking the Fourier transform, we have

$$I(s) = \|g_s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{g}_s(\xi)|^2 \hat{\gamma}(d\xi) = \|g_s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2s\hat{f}(\xi)} \hat{\gamma}(d\xi).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that (2.5)-(2.6) hold for some β_0 and set*

$$\Upsilon(\beta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta s} I(s) ds.$$

Then $\Upsilon : (\beta_0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is well-defined and non-increasing in β . Moreover, $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \Upsilon(\beta) = 0$.

Proof. By Tonelli's theorem, we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\beta s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2s\hat{f}(\xi)} \hat{\gamma}(d\xi) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta s - 2s\hat{f}(\xi)} ds \hat{\gamma}(d\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\beta + 2\hat{f}(\xi)} \hat{\gamma}(d\xi)$$

for every β such that $\beta + 2\hat{f}(\xi) > 0$. Since $I(s)$ is non-negative, it is clear that $\Upsilon(\beta)$ is non-increasing which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4. We remark that for our purposes, it would actually suffice to consider

$$\Upsilon_T(\beta) = \int_0^T e^{-\beta s} I(s) ds$$

for each fixed $T < \infty$. Hence we could replace the condition (2.5) with $\int_0^T \|g_s\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} ds < \infty$, cf. Remark 1.

The following Proposition is the main technical ingredient. The result follows directly from [5, Lemma 15] adapted to our context.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $I(s)$ be given by (3.5) and suppose that (2.5)-(2.6) hold. Let $\iota > 0$, $\beta > \beta_0$, and $T \in (0, \infty)$ be fixed, and let h_n be a sequence of non-negative functions such that $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} h_0(t) < \infty$ and, for $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$h_n(t) \leq \iota \int_0^t h_{n-1}(s) e^{-\beta(t-s)} I(t-s) ds. \quad (3.6)$$

Then the series

$$H(t, p, t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(t) \quad (3.7)$$

converges uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $T > 0$ be fixed and finite. We consider the standard Picard iterations by setting $u_0(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_t(x - y)u_0(y)dy$ and, for $n \geq 1$ and a given $\beta > \beta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\beta t} u_{n+1}(t, x) &= e^{-\beta t} u_0(t, x) + \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{t-s}(x - y) b(s, u_n(s, y)) dy ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{t-s}(x - y) \sigma(s, u_n(s, y)) W(ds, dy), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

We first prove that, for each $n \geq 1$, $u_n(t, x)$ is well-defined and satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-p\beta t} |u_n(t, x)|^p \right] < \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

We first note that if (3.8) holds for some $n \geq 0$, it follows from the Lipschitz continuity of σ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-p\beta s} |\sigma(s, u_n(s, y))|^p \right] &\leq C \left[\mathbb{E} \left[e^{-p\beta s} |\sigma(s, u_0(s, y))|^p \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-p\beta s} |u_n(s, y) - u_0(s, y)|^p \right] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the boundedness of σ on compacts and (2.3), we get

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-p\beta s} |\sigma(s, u_0(s, y))|^p \right] = \sup_{s \in [0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^d} e^{-p\beta s} |\sigma(s, u_0(s, y))|^p < \infty$$

and consequently,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{-p\beta s} |\sigma(s, u_n(s, y))|^p \right] \leq C \left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-p\beta s} |u_n(s, y)|^p \right] \right) < \infty.$$

This in turn gives us, by Hölder's inequality, that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \sup_{y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\beta s} \left\| \sigma(s, u_n(s, y)) - \sigma(s, u_n(s, y')) \right\|_2 < \infty.$$

Exactly the same way, we obtain

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-p\beta s} |b(s, u_n(s, y))|^p \right] < \infty.$$

In view of (3.3) and Section 3.1 together with the boundedness of $u_0(t, x)$ and $|G_t(y)| \leq g_t(y)$, applying the Minkowski integral inequality leads to

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{-p\beta t} |u_{n+1}(t, x)|^p \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \left(\left[e^{-\beta t} u_0(t, x) \right]^p + \left\| \int_0^t e^{-\beta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{t-s}(x-y) b(s, u_n(s, y)) dy ds \right\|_p^p \right. \\
&+ \left\| \int_0^t e^{-\beta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{t-s}(x-y) G_{t-s}(x-y') \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \sigma(s, u_n(s, y)) \sigma(s, u_n(s, y')) \gamma(y-y') dy' dy ds \right\|_{\frac{p}{2}}^{\frac{p}{2}} \Big) \\
&\leq C \left[1 + \left(\int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{t-s}(x-y) e^{-\beta s} \|b(s, u_n(s, y))\|_p dy ds \right)^p \right. \\
&+ \left(\int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{t-s}(x-y) g_{t-s}(x-y') \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times e^{-\beta s} \|\sigma(s, u_n(s, y)) \sigma(s, u_n(s, y'))\|_{\frac{p}{2}} \gamma(y-y') dy' dy ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \Big] \\
&\leq C \left[1 + \left(\int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} I(t-s) ds \right)^p + \left(\int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} I(t-s) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq C \left(1 + \Upsilon^p(\beta) + \Upsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}(\beta) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

which is finite for $\beta > \beta_0$. Since $u_0(t, x)$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, (3.8) for all $n \geq 0$ thus follows from induction and hence u_{n+1} is well-defined. The same arguments applied to

$$H_n(t) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[e^{-\beta p t} \mathbb{E} [|u_{n+1}(t, x) - u_n(t, x)|^p] \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
H_n(t) &\leq C \left[\int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{t-s}(x-y) H_{n-1}(s) dy ds \right. \\
&+ \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\beta t} g_{t-s}(x-y) g_{t-s}(x-y') \|\sigma(s, u_n(s, y)) - \sigma(s, u_{n-1}(s, y))\|_p \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \|\sigma(s, u_n(s, y')) - \sigma(s, u_{n-1}(s, y'))\|_p \gamma(y-y') dy' dy ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big] \\
&\leq C \left[\int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} I(t-s) H_{n-1}(s) ds + \left(\int_0^t e^{-2\beta(t-s)} I(t-s) H_{n-1}^2(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Here Hölder's inequality gives

$$\left(\int_0^t e^{-2\beta(t-s)} I(t-s) H_{n-1}^2(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} I(t-s) H_{n-1}(s) ds$$

leading to

$$H_n(t) \leq C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} I(t-s) H_{n-1}(s) ds.$$

By noting that $b(s, u_0(s, y))$ and $\sigma(s, u_0(s, y))$ are uniformly bounded over $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we obtain from the above computations that $\sup_{s \in [0, T]} H_0(s) < \infty$. Consequently, it follows from Proposition 3.2 that $\sum_{n \geq 1} H_n(t)$ converges uniformly on $[0, T]$, and hence the sequence u_n converges in $L^p(\Omega)$ to some process $u \in L^p(\Omega)$, uniformly on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. From the uniform convergence one can deduce further that u satisfies Definition 2.1, and thus we have obtained the existence of the mild solution. Proving the uniqueness in a similar fashion and noting that $T > 0$ was arbitrary concludes the proof. \square

4. Concluding remarks

In this article we have considered general SPDEs (2.1) and showed that one can obtain existence and uniqueness of the mild solution by classical arguments, initiated by Dalang [5], for a very large class of operators L_x . Our condition (2.6) is similar to the Dalang condition (1.2) for the stochastic heat equation and actually, our examples in Section 2.1 reveals that (1.2) is indeed sufficient for a very large class of operators L_x . By carefully examining the above proofs, we observe that even more is true. Indeed, condition (2.6) could be replaced with a condition $|G_s(x)| \leq g_s(x)$ and, for all $T > 0$,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\beta s} [\hat{g}_s(\xi)]^2 \hat{\gamma}(d\xi) ds < \infty.$$

This formulation allows to consider even more general operators L_t in the time variable instead of considering merely $L_t = \partial_t$. In particular, with this formulation one can easily recover the existence and uniqueness result of [5] for the stochastic wave equation (in one or two dimensions) with $L_t = \partial_{tt}$. As another example, we can obtain the existence and uniqueness result in the case of the fractional power of the full heat operator $(\partial_t - \Delta)^s$, studied for instance in [12].

References

- [1] T. Aronson. *Bounds for the fundamental solution of a parabolic equation*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical society **73.6** (1967): 890-896.
- [2] B. Avelin, L. Capogna, G. Citti and K. Nyström. *Harnack estimates for degenerate parabolic equations modeled on the subelliptic p -Laplacian*. Adv. Math. **257** (2014), 25–65.
- [3] A. Bonfiglioli, E. Lanconelli, and F. Uguzzoni. *Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [4] L. Chen and R. Dalang. *Moments, intermittency and growth indices for nonlinear stochastic fractional heat equation*. Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput., **3(3)**: 360–397, 2015.
- [5] R. Dalang. *Extending the Martingale Measure Stochastic Integral With Applications to Spatially Homogeneous S.P.D.E.'s*. Electron. J. Probab. Volume **4**, paper no. 6, 29 pp., 1999.
- [6] L. Debbi and M. Dozzi. *On the solutions of nonlinear stochastic fractional partial differential equations in one spatial dimension*. Stoch. Proc. Appl., **115**: 1761–1781, 2005.

- [7] L. Escoriaza. *Bounds for the fundamental solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations: In memory of eugene fabes*. Communications in Partial Differential Equations, **25.5-6** (2000): 821–845.
- [8] A. Friedman. *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*. Courier Dover Publications, 2008.
- [9] A. Friedman. *Stochastic differential equations and applications. Vol. 1. Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, Vol. 28. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975.
- [10] M. Foondun and D. Khoshnevisan. *On the stochastic heat equation with spatially-colored random forcing*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, **365**: 409–458, 2013.
- [11] A.E. Kogoj and E. Lanconelli. *An invariant Harnack inequality for a class of hypoelliptic ultraparabolic equations*. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics **1.1** (2004): 51–80.
- [12] K. Nyström and O. Sande. *Extension properties and boundary estimates for a fractional heat operator*. Nonlinear Analysis **140** (2016): 29–37.
- [13] C. Villani, *Hypocoercivity*. No. 949-951. American Mathematical Soc., 2009.
- [14] J. B. Walsh. *An Introduction to Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*. In: École d’été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIV—1984, 265-439. Lecture Notes in Math. 1180, Springer, Berlin, 1986.

UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SWEDEN
Email address: `benny.avelin@math.uu.se`

AALTO UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF BUSINESS, DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION AND SERVICE MANAGEMENT, FINLAND
Email address: `lauri.viitasaari@iki.fi`