

# Stable limit theorems for additive functionals of one-dimensional diffusion processes

Loïc Béthencourt<sup>‡</sup>

## Abstract

We consider a positive recurrent one-dimensional diffusion process with continuous coefficients and we establish stable central limit theorems for a certain type of additive functionals of this diffusion. In other words we find some explicit conditions on the additive functional so that its fluctuations behave like some  $\alpha$ -stable process in large time for  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 60J60, 60F05.

*Keywords and phrases*: One-dimensional diffusion processes, Stable central limit theorem, Stable processes, Local times.

## 1 Introduction and main result

Consider a one-dimensional diffusion process with continuous coefficients  $b$  and  $\sigma$ , i.e. a continuous adapted process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the SDE

$$X_t = \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dB_s, \quad (1)$$

where  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion. Without loss of generality, we assume  $X_0 = 0$ , even if it means changing  $b$  and  $\sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  does not vanish, then weak existence and uniqueness in law hold for (1), see Kallenberg [16, Chapter 23 Theorem 23.1].

**Assumption 1.** *The functions  $b, \sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma(x) > 0$ . Moreover  $b$  and  $\sigma$  are such that the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is positive recurrent in the sense of Harris.*

We introduce the scale function  $\mathfrak{s}$  of  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{s}(x) = \int_0^x \exp \left( -2 \int_0^v b(u) \sigma^{-2}(u) du \right) dv, \quad (2)$$

which is a  $C^2$ , strictly increasing function solving  $\frac{1}{2} s'' \sigma^2 + s' b = 0$ . We also introduce the speed measure density

$$m(x) = \sigma^{-2}(x) \exp \left( 2 \int_0^x b(v) \sigma^{-2}(v) dv \right) = [\sigma^2(x) \mathfrak{s}'(x)]^{-1} \quad (3)$$

**Remark 2.** *Assume  $b$  and  $\sigma$  are continuous and  $\sigma$  does not vanish. Then we have the equivalence between the two following propositions, see for instance Revuz-Yor [23, Chapter VII].*

1.  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is positive recurrent in the sense of Harris.
2.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \mathfrak{s}(x) = \pm\infty$  and  $\kappa^{-1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(x) dx < \infty$ .

---

\*Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Probabilités, Statistique et Modélisation, F-75005 Paris, France.  
Email: loic.bethencourt@sorbonne-universite.fr.

<sup>‡</sup>Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Nicolas Fournier for all the fruitful discussions and advice.

Moreover, in this case, the measure  $\mu(dx) = \kappa m(x)dx$  is the unique invariant probability measure for the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

The ergodic theorem for Harris recurrent processes, see Azema-Duflo-Revuz [1] or Revuz-Yor [23, Chapter X], tells us that for  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , a.s.

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(X_s) ds \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \mu(f), \quad (4)$$

where  $\mu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$ . The convergence in (4) can be seen as a strong law of large numbers for the additive functional  $\int_0^t f(X_s) ds$  of the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Then it is very natural to study its fluctuations, i.e. to describe the asymptotic behavior of  $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (f(X_s) - \mu(f)) ds$ . In this paper, we give simple conditions on  $f$  for these asymptotic fluctuations to be  $\alpha$ -stable for some  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ . The conditions on  $f$  are entirely prescribed by the coefficients  $b$  and  $\sigma$ .

We recall that  $\ell : [0, \infty) \mapsto (0, \infty)$  is said to be slowly varying if for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\ell(\lambda x)/\ell(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x$  goes to infinity. The use of such functions is justified by the fact that domains of attraction of stable laws involve slowly varying functions.

**Assumption 3.** *The function  $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is locally bounded and Borel. Moreover, there exists  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $(f_+, f_-) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and a continuous slowly varying function  $\ell : [0, \infty) \mapsto (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$[\sigma(x)\mathfrak{s}'(x)]^{-2} |\mathfrak{s}(x)|^{2-1/\alpha} \ell(|\mathfrak{s}(x)|) f(x) \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow \pm\infty]{} f_{\pm},$$

and  $|f_+| + |f_-| > 0$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , we impose  $\mu(f) = 0$ . Finally, if  $\alpha \geq 2$ , we impose  $f$  to be continuous.

In the critical stable regime  $\alpha = 1$ , we will add a mild assumption which ensures that the set  $L^1(\mu)$  is big enough.

**Assumption 4.** *There exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $|\mathfrak{s}|^\lambda \in L^1(\mu)$ .*

Under Assumption 3, we set  $\rho = \int_1^\infty \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2} \ell(v)} \right)^2 dx$  which can be infinite, and we define the diffusive constant as follows:

- If  $\alpha > 2$  or  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho < \infty$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha^2 = 4\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{s}'(x) \left( \int_x^\infty f(v) [\sigma^2(v) \mathfrak{s}'(v)]^{-1} dv \right)^2 dx$ .
- If  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho = \infty$ ,  $\sigma_2^2 = 4\kappa(f_+^2 + f_-^2)$ .
- If  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha^\alpha = \frac{\kappa 2^{\alpha-2} \pi}{\alpha \sin(\alpha\pi/2)} \left( \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right)^2 (|f_+|^\alpha + |f_-|^\alpha)$ .

We also introduce, for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , the complex number

- $z_\alpha(\xi) = 1 - i \frac{\text{sgn}(f_+) |f_+|^\alpha + \text{sgn}(f_-) |f_-|^\alpha}{|f_+|^\alpha + |f_-|^\alpha} \tan\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) \text{sgn}(\xi)$  if  $\alpha \in (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}$ ;
- $z_1(\xi) = 1 + i \frac{f_+ + f_-}{|f_+| + |f_-|} \frac{2}{\pi} \text{sgn}(\xi) \left[ \log\left(\frac{\pi|\xi|}{2(|f_+| + |f_-|)}\right) + 2\gamma + \log(2) + \frac{\log|f_+| + \log|f_-|}{f_+ + f_-} \right]$ , where  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant.

Finally, for a family of processes  $((Y_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0})_{\epsilon > 0}$  valued in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we say that  $(Y_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (Y_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$  if for all  $n \geq 1$  and all  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ , the vector  $(Y_{t_i}^\epsilon)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  converges in law to  $(Y_{t_i}^0)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We are now ready to state our main theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose Assumptions 1 and 3. Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a solution of (1),  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a Brownian motion and  $(S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$  a  $\alpha$ -stable process such that  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\xi S_t^{(\alpha)})] = \exp(-t|\xi|^\alpha z_\alpha(\xi))$ .*

(i) *If  $\alpha > 2$ , or  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho < \infty$ ,*

$$\left( \epsilon^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha W_t)_{t \geq 0} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

(ii) If  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho = \infty$ , we set  $\rho_\epsilon = \int_1^{1/\epsilon} \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v)} \right)^2 dx$  and we have

$$\left( |\epsilon/\rho_\epsilon|^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_2 W_t)_{t \geq 0} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

(iii) If  $\alpha \in (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}$ ,

$$\left( \epsilon^{1/\alpha} \ell(1/\epsilon) \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

(iv) If  $\alpha = 1$  and Assumption 4 holds, there exists a deterministic family  $(\xi_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$  of real numbers such that

$$\left( \epsilon \ell(1/\epsilon) \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds - \xi_\epsilon t \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_1 S_t^{(1)})_{t \geq 0} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover  $\xi_\epsilon \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \kappa(f_+ + f_-) \ell(1/\epsilon) \zeta_\epsilon$ , where  $\zeta_\epsilon = -\int_{1/\epsilon}^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)}$  if  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $\zeta_\epsilon = \int_1^{1/\epsilon} \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)}$  otherwise.

For the reader more familiar with infinitesimal generators than with characteristic functions, let us mention the following remark.

**Remark 6.** When  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , the process  $(\sigma_\alpha S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$  of Theorem 5 is a Lévy process with Lévy measure

$$\nu(dx) = (c_+ x^{-1-\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}} + c_- |x|^{-1-\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{\{x<0\}}) dx,$$

where

$$c_+ = \lambda_\alpha [\mathbf{1}_{\{f_+>0\}} |f_+|^\alpha + \mathbf{1}_{\{f_->0\}} |f_-|^\alpha], \quad c_- = \lambda_\alpha [\mathbf{1}_{\{f_+<0\}} |f_+|^\alpha + \mathbf{1}_{\{f_-<0\}} |f_-|^\alpha]$$

and  $\lambda_\alpha = \frac{\kappa 2^{\alpha-2} \pi}{\alpha \sin(\alpha\pi/2)} \left( \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right)^2$ . Its infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}$  is such that for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,

- $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}\phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} [\phi(x+z) - \phi(x)] \nu(dz)$  if  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,
- $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}\phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} [\phi(x+z) - \phi(x) - z\phi'(x)] \nu(dz)$  if  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ ,
- if  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}\phi(x) = a\phi'(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} [\phi(x+z) - \phi(x) - z\mathbf{1}_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\phi'(x)] \nu(dz)$ , where

$$a = -(f_+ + f_-) \left[ 2\gamma + \log(2) + \kappa \log(\kappa) + \frac{\log |f_+| f_+ + \log |f_-| f_-}{f_+ + f_-} + \kappa \frac{\pi}{2} A \right],$$

$\gamma$  is the Euler constant and  $A = \int_0^1 \frac{\sin(x)-x}{x^2} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{\sin(x)}{x^2} dx$ .

One can refer to Bertoin [3, page 24] to understand how to go from the Lévy-Khintchine triplet to the generator and to Sato [25, Chapter 3.14] to go from the Lévy-Khintchine triplet to the characteristic function.

Observe that in the Lévy regime  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , we have a convergence in finite dimensional distribution of a continuous process towards a discontinuous process (stable processes possess many jumps). Hence we cannot hope to obtain convergence in law as a process, for example for the Skorokhod distance. Observe also that if  $f_- = 0$ , the stable process has only positive jumps. Thus the limiting process is completely asymmetric although the process  $(f(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$  may visit the whole space infinitely often.

When  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $\mu(f) \neq 0$ , we can use Theorem 5 with the function  $f - \mu(f)$  provided it satisfies Assumption 3.

When  $\alpha \geq 2$ , the stable process obtained in the limit is a Brownian motion, which is the only 2-stable process. A standard strategy to show central limit theorems for the additive functional  $\int_0^t f(X_s)ds$  is to solve the Poisson equation  $\mathcal{L}g = f$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with domain  $D_{\mathcal{L}}$ . One can refer to Jacod-Shiryaev [13, Chapter VIII], Pardoux-Veretennikov [22] or Cattiaux, Chafaï and Guillin [7]. Assume  $f$  is continuous and define the function

$$g(x) = 2 \int_0^x \mathfrak{s}'(v) \int_v^\infty f(u) [\sigma^2(u) \mathfrak{s}'(u)]^{-1} du dv. \quad (5)$$

Then  $g$  is a  $C^2$  function solving the Poisson equation  $2bg' + \sigma^2 g'' = -2f$ . Hence, applying the Itô formula with  $g$ , we express the additive functional as a martingale plus some remainder, and hope to obtain the result using a central limit theorem for martingales. This will be the strategy used when  $\alpha \geq 2$ , and we will actually prove the following more general result.

**Theorem 7.** *Suppose Assumption 1 and let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the solution of (1). Let also  $f$  be a continuous function in  $L^1(\mu)$  such that  $\mu(f) = 0$  and  $g$  be defined by (5). If  $g'\sigma \in L^2(\mu)$ , then*

$$\left( \epsilon^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\gamma W_t)_{t \geq 0} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion and  $\gamma^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} [g'\sigma]^2 d\mu$ . Moreover, if  $g$  is bounded, the finite dimensional convergence can be replaced by a convergence in law of continuous processes, with the topology of uniform convergence on compact time intervals.

Note that in the Lévy regime, we do not require  $f$  to be regular whereas we need  $f$  to be continuous in the diffusive regime, so we can apply the Itô formula with  $g$ .

## References

The central limit theorem for Markov processes has a long history which goes back to Langevin [17]. Roughly, he studied a one-dimensional particle, the velocity of which is subject to random shocks and a restoring force  $F(v) = -v$ , and showed that the position of the particle behaves like a Brownian motion, when  $t$  tends to infinity.

Probabilistic techniques to obtain such results can be found in Jacod-Shiryaev [13, Chapter VIII]: if there exists a solution to the Poisson equation having few properties, then we have a central limit theorem. This strategy is applied in Pardoux-Veretennikov [22] for very general multidimensional diffusion processes. However, [22] does not completely include the diffusive regime of Theorem 5: we have a little less assumptions but our result only holds in dimension one. It seems that the critical diffusive regime  $\alpha = 2$  was not much studied. Finally, one can refer to Cattiaux, Chafaï and Guillin [7] for a detailed state of the art of the techniques used to show such theorems.

Regarding the Lévy regime, we could not find many results for Markov processes and this does not seem to be well developed. Through the prism of ergodic theory, Gouëzel [11] proved stable limit theorems for a certain class of maps. Applied to a positive recurrent Markov chain  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with countable state space, its results tells us that if the function  $f$  is such that, up to a slowly varying function,

$$\mathbb{P}_i \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\tau_i-1} f(X_k) > x \right) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} c_+ |x|^{-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}_i \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\tau_i-1} f(X_k) < x \right) \underset{x \rightarrow -\infty}{\sim} c_- |x|^{-\alpha}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\tau_i = \inf\{k > 0, X_k = i\}$ , then we have

$$\frac{1}{n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(X_k) \xrightarrow{d} S^\alpha,$$

where  $S^\alpha$  is a stable random variable. This is more or less obvious in this case since we have a sum of i.i.d blocks in the domain of attraction of stable laws and we can thus apply classical stable central limit theorems.

Similar results with more tangible assumptions were proved by Jara, Komorowski and Olla [14] for Markov chains with general state space. They assume the function  $f$  is such that, roughly,  $\mu(\{|f| > x\}) \simeq |x|^{-\alpha}$ , where  $\mu$  is the invariant measure of the chain and a spectral gap condition, the latter one being more or less equivalent to return times having exponential moments. Since the return times are small, it is reasonable to think that we have  $\mathbb{P}_i(\sum_{k=0}^{\tau_i-1} f(X_k) > x) \simeq \mu(\{f > x\})$ , at least if we consider a countable state space. They actually give two proofs, one using a martingale approximation and a second one with a renewal method involving a coupling argument.

Mellet, Mischler and Mouhot [20] showed that for a linearized Boltzmann equation with heavy-tailed invariant distribution, the rescaled distribution of the position converges to the solution of the fractional heat equation, i.e. the position process behaves like a stable process. Their work is closely related to [14] although their proof is entirely analytic.

The method proposed in this article is rather powerful and gives a simple condition on the additive functional for stable limit theorems to occur. The assumption made on the function  $f$  does not seem to be a time-continuous equivalent of (6) and we do not assume anything on the return times, nor on  $\mu(\{|f| > x\})$ . We will see in Section 4 that, indeed, the index  $\alpha$  is not always prescribed by  $\mu(\{|f| > x\})$ .

The strategy is the following: we classically express the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  as a Brownian motion  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  changed in time and in space. Then we write  $\int_0^t f(X_s) ds = \int_0^{\tau_t} \phi(W_s) ds$  for a certain function  $\phi$ , which roughly looks like  $\int_0^{\tau_t} |W_s|^{1/\alpha-2} ds$  (up to asymmetry and principal values issues) in large time, where  $(\tau_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is the generalized inverse of the local time at 0 of  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . This process is known to be a stable process, see Itô-McKean [12, page 226], Jeulin and Yor [15] and Biane and Yor [4]. The computations are thus tractable and the method is very robust.

This method was proposed by Fournier and Tardif [10], studying a kinetic model and the purpose of this paper is to extend this method to general one-dimensional diffusions and to more general additive functionals. The model they were studying was the following:

$$V_t = B_t - \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^t \frac{V_s}{1 + V_s^2} ds, \quad X_t = \int_0^t V_s ds, \quad (7)$$

where  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion and  $\beta > 1$ . The processes  $(V_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  are respectively the velocity and the position of a one-dimensional particle subject to the restoring force  $F(v) = -\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{v}{1+v^2}$  and random shocks. Lebeau and Puel [18] showed that, when  $\beta \in (1, 5) \setminus \{2, 3, 4\}$ , the rescaled distribution of the position  $X_t$  converges to the solution of the fractional heat equation. Their work is analytical and relies on a deep spectral analysis leading to an impressive result. The diffusive regime ( $\beta > 5$ ) and critical diffusive regime ( $\beta = 5$ ) are treated by Nasreddine-Puel [21] and Cattiaux-Nasreddine-Puel [8]. We stress that all these papers are P.D.E papers.

To summarize, if the restoring force field is strong enough ( $\beta \geq 5$ ), the rescaled position process resembles a Brownian motion and we say that there is a *normal diffusion limit*. On the other hand, when the force is weak ( $\beta \in (1, 5)$ ), the position resembles a stable process and there is an *anomalous diffusion limit* (or *fractional diffusion limit*).

Actually, physicists discovered that atoms diffuse anomalously when they are cooled by a laser. See for instance Castin, Dalibard and Cohen-Tannoudji [6], Sagi, Brook, Almog and Davidson [24] and Marksteiner, Ellinger and Zoller [19]. A theoretical study (see Barkai, Aghion and Kessler [2]) modeling the motion of atoms precisely by (7) proved with quite a high level of rigor the observed phenomenons.

In the Lévy regime, the index  $\alpha$  is not prescribed by the function  $f = \text{id}$  and the invariant measure  $\mu$ . Indeed observe that we have  $\alpha = (\beta + 1)/3$  whereas one can check, see Section 4,

that

$$\mu(\{|f| > x\}) \simeq |x|^{1-\beta}.$$

In this situation, the return times are large and the dynamics are more complex.

Then, using probabilistic techniques, Fournier and Tardif [10] treated all cases of (7) for a larger class of symmetric forces. Naturally, we recover their result and enlarge it to asymmetrical forces, see Section 4. In a companion paper [9], they also prove the result in any dimension and the proof is much more involved.

## Plan of the paper

In Section 2, we recall some facts about slowly varying functions, local times and generalize some results on stable processes found in [12], [15] and [4]. Section 3 is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 5 and Theorem 7. Finally, we apply our result to several models in Section 4, illustrating some remarks made in the previous section.

## Notations

Throughout the paper, we will use the function  $\text{sgn}_{a,b}(x) = a\mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}} + b\mathbf{1}_{\{x<0\}}$  where  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The function  $\text{sgn}$  will denote the usual sign function, i.e.  $\text{sgn}(x) = \text{sgn}_{1,-1}(x)$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Slowly varying functions

In this section, we define slowly varying functions and give the properties of these functions that will be useful to us. One can refer to Bingham, Goldie and Teugels [5] for more details.

**Definition 8.** *A measurable function  $\ell : [0, \infty) \mapsto (0, \infty)$  is said to be slowly varying if for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\ell(\lambda x)/\ell(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Famous examples of slowly varying functions are powers of logarithm, iterated logarithms or functions having a strictly positive limit at infinity. It holds that for any  $\theta > 0$ ,  $x^\theta \ell(x) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x^{-\theta} \ell(x) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\ell$  be a continuous slowly varying function.*

(i) *For each  $0 < a < b$ , we have  $\sup_{\lambda \in [a,b]} |\ell(\lambda x)/\ell(x) - 1| \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 1$ .*

(ii) *(Potter's bound). For any  $\delta > 0$  and  $C > 1$ , there exists  $x_0 > 0$ , such that for all  $x, y \geq x_0$ ,*

$$\frac{\ell(y)}{\ell(x)} \leq C \left( \left| \frac{y}{x} \right|^\delta \vee \left| \frac{x}{y} \right|^\delta \right).$$

(iii) *The functions  $L : x \mapsto \int_1^x \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)}$  and  $M : x \mapsto \int_1^x \left( \int_v^\infty \frac{du}{u^{3/2}\ell(u)} \right)^2 dv$  are slowly varying, as well as  $N : x \mapsto \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)}$  if  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)} < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* **Points (i) and (ii).** See [5, pages 6 and 25].

**Point (iii).** Let us start with the function  $L$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$L(\lambda x) = \int_1^{\lambda x} \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)} = L(x) + \int_x^{\lambda x} \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)} = L(x) + \int_1^\lambda \frac{du}{u\ell(xu)}$$

where we used the substitution  $v = xu$  in the last equality. By (i),  $\sup_{u \in [1,\lambda]} |l(x)/l(xu) - 1| \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $\int_1^\lambda \frac{du}{u\ell(xu)} \sim \log(\lambda)/\ell(x)$  as  $x$  tends to infinity and it remains to show that  $\ell(x)L(x) \rightarrow \infty$  at infinity. Let  $A > 1$  and consider  $x > A$ : we have

$$\ell(x)L(x) = \ell(x) \int_1^x \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)} = \ell(x) \int_{1/x}^1 \frac{du}{u\ell(xu)} \geq \int_{1/A}^1 \frac{\ell(x)du}{u\ell(xu)} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} \log(A),$$

by Point (i) again. Now let  $A$  to  $\infty$  and the result follows. Regarding the function  $N$ , we assume  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)} < \infty$  and we write, for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$N(\lambda x) = N(x) - \int_x^{\lambda x} \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)} = N(x) - \int_1^\lambda \frac{du}{u\ell(xu)}$$

and, as previously, we only need to show that  $\ell(x)N(x) \rightarrow \infty$ . We write

$$\ell(x)N(x) = \ell(x) \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)} = \int_1^\infty \frac{\ell(x)du}{u\ell(xu)} \geq \int_1^A \frac{\ell(x)du}{u\ell(xu)}$$

for any  $A > 1$ . But once again,  $\int_1^A \frac{\ell(x)dv}{v\ell(xv)} \rightarrow \log(A)$  and the result holds letting  $A$  to infinity. Finally we show the result for  $M$ . We have, for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$M(\lambda x) = M(x) + \int_x^{\lambda x} \left( \int_v^\infty \frac{du}{u^{3/2}\ell(u)} \right)^2 dv = M(x) + \int_1^\lambda \left( \int_y^\infty \frac{dz}{z^{3/2}\ell(xz)} \right)^2 dy$$

A little study shows that  $\int_1^\lambda \left( \int_y^\infty \frac{dz}{z^{3/2}\ell(xz)} \right)^2 dy \sim \int_1^\lambda \left( \int_y^\infty \frac{dz}{z^{3/2}\ell(x)} \right)^2 dy = \frac{4\log(\lambda)}{\ell^2(x)}$ . It remains to show that  $\ell^2(x)M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $A > 1$  and  $x > A$ , we have

$$\ell^2(x)M(x) = \int_{1/x}^1 \left( \int_y^\infty \frac{\ell(x)dz}{z^{3/2}\ell(xz)} \right)^2 dy \geq \int_{1/A}^1 \left( \int_y^\infty \frac{\ell(x)dz}{z^{3/2}\ell(xz)} \right)^2 dy \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 4\log(A)$$

and the result follows by letting  $A$  to  $\infty$ .  $\square$

## 2.2 Brownian local times

Local times have been widely studied in Revuz-Yor [23] to which we refer for much more details. Let  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion. We introduce the local time of  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  at  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , which is the process  $(L_t^x)_{t \geq 0}$  defined by

$$L_t^x = |W_t - x| - |x| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(W_s - x) dW_s.$$

The process  $(L_t^x)_{t \geq 0}$  is continuous and non-decreasing and the random non-negative measure  $dL_t^x$  on  $[0, \infty)$  is a.s. carried by the set  $\{t \geq 0, W_t = x\}$ , which is a.s. Lebesgue-null. We will heavily use the occupation times formula, see [23, Chapter 6 Corollary 1.6 page 224], which tells that a.s., for every  $t \geq 0$  and for all Borel function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$\int_0^t \varphi(W_s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) L_t^x dx.$$

We will also use the fact that the map  $x \mapsto L_t^x$  is a.s. Hölder of order  $\theta$ , for  $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$ , uniformly on compact time intervals, see [23, Corollary 1.8 page 226], i.e. that

$$\sup_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}} |x|^{-\theta} |L_t^x - L_t^0| < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (8)$$

We now introduce  $\tau_t = \inf\{u \geq 0, L_u^0 > t\}$ , the right-continuous generalized inverse of  $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$ . Some properties of  $(\tau_t)_{t \geq 0}$  will be needed. For all  $t > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{t-} < \tau_t) = 0$ , which means that  $(\tau_t)_{t \geq 0}$  has no deterministic jump time and a.s., for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $L_{\tau_t}^0 = t$  since  $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$  is continuous. We will also use that a.s., for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $W_{\tau_t} = 0$  and that a.s.,  $\tau_0 = 0$ .

Finally, the processes  $(\tau_t, W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(L_{\tau_t}^x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0}$  enjoy the following scaling property: for all  $c > 0$

$$(\tau_t, W_t)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (c^{-2}\tau_{ct}, c^{-1}W_{c^2t})_{t \geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad (L_{\tau_t}^x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (c^{-1}L_{\tau_{ct}}^{xc})_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0} \quad (9)$$

### 2.3 Stable processes

There is a huge litterature on stable processes and we will mainly refer to Sato [25]. In this section we generalize some results on stable processes found in Itô-McKean [12, page 226], Jeulin-Yor [15] and Biane-Yor [4], where they worked in a symmetric or completely asymmetric framework. Let us first recall a classic result on stable processes, see Sato [25, Theorem 14.15 page 86 and Definition 14.16 page 87].

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}$  and let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $\alpha$ -strictly stable process, i.e. a Lévy process enjoying the scaling property  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (c^{-1/\alpha} X_{ct})_{t \geq 0}$  for all  $c > 0$ . Then there exist  $\nu \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in [-1, 1]$  such that for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\mathbb{E} [\exp(i\xi X_t)] = \exp \left( -\nu t |\xi|^\alpha \left( 1 - i\beta \tan \left( \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \right) \right).$$

*If  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a 1-stable process, i.e. a Lévy process such that for all  $c > 0$ , there exists  $k_c \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (c^{-1} X_{ct} + k_c t)_{t \geq 0}$ , then there exist  $\nu \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\mathbb{E} [\exp(i\xi X_t)] = \exp \left( -\nu t |\xi| \left( 1 + i\beta \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \log |\xi| \right) + it\tau\xi \right).$$

*In any case, if  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  has only positive jumps, i.e. if its Lévy measure is carried by  $(0, \infty)$ , then  $\beta = 1$ .*

We now consider a Brownian motion  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , its local time  $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$  at 0, and the generalized inverse  $(\tau_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of its local time. We have the following result.

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and define the process  $K_t = \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(W_s) |W_s|^{1/\alpha-2} ds$ . Then  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0} = (K_{\tau_t})_{t \geq 0}$  is strictly  $\alpha$ -stable and for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $t \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E} [\exp(i\xi S_t)] = \exp \left( -c_{\alpha,a,b} t |\xi|^\alpha \left( 1 - i\beta_{\alpha,a,b} \tan \left( \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \right) \right),$$

where  $c_{\alpha,a,b} = \frac{2^{\alpha-2}\pi}{\alpha \sin(\alpha\pi/2)} \left( \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right)^2 (|a|^\alpha + |b|^\alpha)$  and  $\beta_{\alpha,a,b} = \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a)|a|^\alpha + \operatorname{sgn}(b)|b|^\alpha}{|a|^\alpha + |b|^\alpha}$ .

When  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , stable processes have finite variations and no drift part meaning they are pure jump processes and should be seen this way:  $S_t = \sum_{s \in [0, t]} \int_{\tau_{s-}}^{\tau_s} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(W_u) |W_u|^{1/\alpha-2} du$ . The jumping times are the ones of  $(\tau_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and the size of a jump is equal to the integral of the function  $\operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2}$  over the corresponding excursion of the Brownian motion.

While  $(K_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is well-defined when  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  since  $\int_0^T |W_s|^{1/\alpha-2} ds < \infty$  a.s., this is not the case when  $\alpha \in [1, 2)$  and we have to work a little more.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ ,  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and define  $K_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} (L_t^x - L_t^0) dx$ . Then  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0} = (K_{\tau_t})_{t \geq 0}$  is strictly  $\alpha$ -stable and for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $t \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E} [\exp(i\xi S_t)] = \exp \left( -c_{\alpha,a,b} t |\xi|^\alpha \left( 1 - i\beta_{\alpha,a,b} \tan \left( \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \right) \right),$$

where  $c_{\alpha,a,b} = \frac{2^{\alpha-2}\pi}{\alpha \sin(\alpha\pi/2)} \left( \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right)^2 (|a|^\alpha + |b|^\alpha)$  and  $\beta_{\alpha,a,b} = \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a)|a|^\alpha + \operatorname{sgn}(b)|b|^\alpha}{|a|^\alpha + |b|^\alpha}$ .

The case  $\alpha = 1$  is a little more tedious.

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}$  and define the process  $K_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{-1} (L_t^x - L_t^0 \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}) dx$ . Then  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0} = (K_{\tau_t})_{t \geq 0}$  is 1-stable. For all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $t \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E} [\exp(i\xi S_t)] = \exp \left( -c_{a,b} t |\xi| \left( 1 + i\beta_{a,b} \frac{2}{\pi} \log(|\xi|) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \right) + it\tau_{a,b}\xi \right),$$

where  $c_{a,b} = \frac{\pi}{2} (|a| + |b|)$ ,  $\beta_{a,b} = \frac{a+b}{|a|+|b|}$ ,  $\tau_{a,b} = -(a+b) \left[ 2\gamma + \log(2) + \frac{\log |a| + \log |b|}{a+b} \right]$  and  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant



To handle the proof, we need first to make the following general remark.

**Remark 14.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function such that for all  $T > 0$ ,  $\int_0^T |\phi(W_s)| ds < \infty$  a.s. The process  $Z_t = \int_0^{\tau_t} \phi(W_s) ds$  is a Lévy process with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_{\tau_t})_{t \geq 0}$ . Indeed, for all  $0 < s < t$ ,  $Z_t - Z_s = \int_{\tau_s}^{\tau_t} \phi(W_u) du = \int_0^{\tau_t - \tau_s} \phi(W_{u+\tau_s}) du = \int_0^{\bar{\tau}_t - s} \phi(\bar{W}_u) du$ , where  $(\bar{W}_u)_{u \geq 0} = (W_{u+\tau_s})_{u \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_s}$  thanks to the strong Markov property and since  $\bar{W}_0 = W_{\tau_s} = 0$  a.s., and where  $(\bar{\tau}_u)_{u \geq 0}$  is the generalized inverse of its local time. Hence the increments of  $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$  are independent and stationary.

*Proof of Lemma 11.* Let us first show that  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is strictly  $\alpha$ -stable. It is a Lévy process by Remark 14. For all  $c > 0$ , we have from (9)

$$\begin{aligned} S_t &\stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^{c^{-2}\tau_{ct}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(c^{-1}W_{c^2s}) |c^{-1}W_{c^2s}|^{1/\alpha-2} ds \\ &= c^{2-1/\alpha} \int_0^{c^{-2}\tau_{ct}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(W_{c^2s}) |W_{c^2s}|^{1/\alpha-2} ds \\ &= c^{-1/\alpha} \int_0^{\tau_{ct}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(W_u) |W_u|^{1/\alpha-2} du, \end{aligned}$$

which equals  $c^{-1/\alpha} S_{ct}$ . Hence  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is strictly  $\alpha$ -stable. We now focus on showing the explicit form of its characteristic function and introduce first the processes

$$C_t = \int_0^{\tau_t} \mathbf{1}_{\{W_s > 0\}} |W_s|^{1/\alpha-2} ds, \quad D_t = \int_0^{\tau_t} \mathbf{1}_{\{W_s < 0\}} |W_s|^{1/\alpha-2} ds$$

and  $H_t = C_t - D_t$ . We have  $S_t = aC_t + bD_t$ . It is clear that  $(C_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $(D_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(H_t)_{t \geq 0}$  are also strictly stable processes because e.g.  $(C_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is nothing but  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  when  $a = 1$  and  $b = 0$ . It is also known from Biane-Yor [4] that for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , for all  $t \geq 0$  that

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\xi H_t)] = \exp(-tc_\alpha |\xi|^\alpha) \quad \text{with} \quad c_\alpha = \frac{2^{\alpha-1}\pi}{\alpha \sin(\alpha\pi/2)} \left( \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right)^2. \quad (10)$$

Using the occupation times formula, we can write

$$C_t = \int_0^\infty |x|^{1/\alpha-2} L_{\tau_t}^x dx \quad \text{and} \quad D_t = \int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^{1/\alpha-2} L_{\tau_t}^x dx.$$

But it is well known, see [15, page 215], that for a fixed  $t$ , the processes  $(L_{\tau_t}^x)_{x \geq 0}$  and  $(L_{\tau_t}^x)_{x \leq 0}$  are independent and thus  $C_t$  and  $D_t$  are independent. It is also clear that they have the same law. Since  $(C_t)_{t \geq 0}$  has only positive jumps, Theorem 10 tells us that its characteristic function can be written

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\xi C_t)] = \exp\left(-c'_\alpha t |\xi|^\alpha \left(1 - i \tan\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\right)\right),$$

for some  $c'_\alpha > 0$ . Now since  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\xi H_t)] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\xi C_t)] \mathbb{E}[\exp(-i\xi D_t)] = \exp(-2c'_\alpha t |\xi|^\alpha)$  it is clear from (10) that  $c'_\alpha = c_\alpha/2$ . Now having in mind that  $S_t = aC_t + bD_t$ , and  $C_t$  and  $D_t$  are i.i.d variables, we easily complete the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 12.* To show that  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Lévy process, we first introduce, for  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} K_t^\eta &= \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(W_s) |W_s|^{1/\alpha-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| \geq \eta\}} ds - \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq \eta\}} dx \right) L_t^0 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq \eta\}} (L_t^x - L_t^0) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the occupation times formula. We show that  $(K_t^\eta)_{t \geq 0}$  converges a.s., uniformly on compact time intervals to  $(K_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . For  $\eta > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{[0,T]} |K_t^\eta - K_t| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq \eta\}} - \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} \right| \times \sup_{[0,T]} |L_t^x - L_t^0| dx \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}} |x|^{-\theta} |L_t^x - L_t^0| \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < \eta\}} |x|^{\theta+1/\alpha-2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have fixed  $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$  such that  $1/\alpha - 2 + \theta > -1$ , which is possible since  $\alpha < 2$ . We conclude using that  $\sup_{[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}} |x|^{-\theta} |L_t^x - L_t^0| < \infty$  a.s., see (8).

From Remark 14, and since a.s. for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $L_{\tau_t}^0 = t$ , we know that  $(S_t^\eta)_{t \geq 0} = (K_{\tau_t}^\eta)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Lévy process for all  $\eta > 0$ . Hence  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Lévy process, as a limit of Lévy processes. We now show that it has the appropriate scaling property. By (9), we have, for any  $c > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_t &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} (L_{\tau_t}^x - t) dx \\ &\stackrel{d}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} (c^{-1} L_{\tau_{ct}}^{cx} - t) dx \\ &= c^{-1/\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} (L_{\tau_{ct}}^x - ct) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which equals  $c^{-1/\alpha} S_{ct}$ .

As in the previous proof, we consider the processes

$$C_t = \int_0^\infty |x|^{1/\alpha-2} (L_{\tau_t}^x - t) dx, \quad D_t = \int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^{1/\alpha-2} (L_{\tau_t}^x - t) dx$$

and  $H_t = C_t - D_t$ . For a fixed  $t \geq 0$ ,  $C_t$  and  $D_t$  are independent and identically distributed random variables and it is clear that they only have positive jumps and thus their characteristic function is equal to  $\exp(-c'_\alpha t |\xi|^\alpha (1 - i \tan(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)))$  for some  $c'_\alpha > 0$  by Theorem 10. But once again we know from Biane-Yor [4] that the characteristic function of  $H_t$  is equal to  $\exp(-tc_\alpha |\xi|^\alpha)$  so once again it comes that  $c'_\alpha = c_\alpha/2$  and we can conclude as in the previous proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 13.* As previously, we can show that  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Lévy process by approximation. Then it is enough to show that the characteristic function of  $S_t$  has the stated form. To this end, we approach  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by the  $\alpha$ -stable processes from Lemma 12, when  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ . We denote by  $(K_t^\alpha)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(S_t^\alpha)_{t \geq 0}$  the processes from Lemma 12. One can show, using the Hölder property of the local times, recalled in (8), that for all  $T > 0$ , a.s.,

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \left| K_t^\alpha + \left( \int_{|x| \geq 1} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} dx \right) L_t^0 - K_t \right| \xrightarrow{\alpha \downarrow 1} 0.$$

Since  $\int_{|x| \geq 1} \operatorname{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} dx = \frac{(a+b)\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ , it comes that for all  $t \geq 0$  and all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 1} \mathbb{E} [\exp(i\xi S_t^\alpha)] e^{i\xi t \frac{(a+b)\alpha}{\alpha-1}} = \mathbb{E} [\exp(i\xi S_t)].$$

But we know from Lemma 12 that

$$\mathbb{E} [\exp(i\xi S_t^\alpha)] e^{i\xi t \frac{(a+b)\alpha}{\alpha-1}} = \exp \left( -c_{\alpha,a,b} t |\xi|^\alpha + it\xi \left( c_{\alpha,a,b} \beta_{\alpha,a,b} |\xi|^{\alpha-1} \tan \left( \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \right) + \frac{(a+b)\alpha}{\alpha-1} \right) \right),$$

where  $c_{\alpha,a,b}\beta_{\alpha,a,b} = \frac{2^{\alpha-2}\pi}{\alpha \sin(\alpha\pi/2)} \left(\frac{\alpha^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right)^2 (\operatorname{sgn}(a)|a|^\alpha + \operatorname{sgn}(b)|b|^\alpha) \xrightarrow{\alpha \downarrow 1} \frac{\pi}{2}(a+b)$ . The function  $h_{a,b}(\alpha) = c_{\alpha,a,b}\beta_{\alpha,a,b}$  defined for  $\alpha > 0$  is  $C^1$  in a neighborhood of 1 and a careful computation shows that

$$h'_{a,b}(1) = \frac{\pi}{2}(a+b) \left[ 1 + \log(2) + 2\gamma + \frac{\log|a|a + \log|b|b}{a+b} \right],$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant, which appears from the fact that  $\Gamma'(1) = -\gamma$ . Hence we have  $c_{\alpha,a,b}\beta_{\alpha,a,b} = \frac{\pi}{2}(a+b) + h'_{a,b}(1)(\alpha-1) + o(\alpha-1)$ . Now using that  $|\xi|^{\alpha-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha \downarrow 1} 1 + (\alpha-1)\log|\xi| + o(\alpha-1)$  and  $\tan\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha \downarrow 1} \frac{-2}{\pi(\alpha-1)} + o(1)$ , it comes that

$$c_{\alpha,a,b}\beta_{\alpha,a,b}|\xi|^{\alpha-1} \tan\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) + \frac{(a+b)\alpha}{\alpha-1} = (a+b) - \frac{2}{\pi}h'_{a,b}(1) - (a+b)\log|\xi| + o(1),$$

and thus we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\xi S_t^\alpha)] e^{i\xi t \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \xrightarrow{\alpha \downarrow 1} \exp\left(-c_{a,b}t|\xi| \left(1 + i\beta_{a,b}\frac{2}{\pi} \log(|\xi|)\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\right) + it\tau_{a,b}\xi\right),$$

where  $c_{a,b} = \frac{\pi}{2}(|a| + |b|)$ ,  $\beta_{a,b} = \frac{a+b}{|a|+|b|}$  and  $\tau_{a,b} = -(a+b) \left[2\gamma + \log(2) + \frac{\log|a|a + \log|b|b}{a+b}\right]$ .  $\square$

## 2.4 Inverting time-changes

We remind some classical convergence results enabling to treat the convergence of the generalized inverses of time changes.

**Lemma 15.** *For all  $n \geq 1$ , let  $(a_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$  from  $[0, +\infty)$  to itself be a continuous, increasing and bijective function for all  $n \geq 1$  and consider its inverse  $(r_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$ . Assume  $(a_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$  simply converges, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to a non-decreasing function  $(a_t)_{t \geq 0}$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} a_t = \infty$ . Consider  $r_t = \inf\{u \geq 0, a_u > t\}$  its right-continuous generalized inverse and  $J = \{t \geq 0, r_{t-} < r_t\}$ . For all  $t \in [0, +\infty) \setminus J$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_t^n = r_t$ .*

## 3 Main proofs

From now on, we will always suppose at least that Assumptions 1 and 3 holds. In Subsection 3.1, we characterize the integrability of  $f$  and  $g'\sigma$ . In Subsection 3.2, we represent the process  $(X_{t/\epsilon})_{t \geq 0}$  with a time-changed Brownian motion  $(W_{\tau_t^\epsilon})_{t \geq 0}$  which enables us to state that  $\int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s)ds$  is equal in law to  $H_{\tau_t^\epsilon} = \int_0^{\tau_t^\epsilon} \phi_\epsilon(W_s)ds$ .

In Subsection 3.3, we first check that  $A_t^\epsilon$ , inverse of  $\tau_t^\epsilon$ , converges to the local time at 0 of  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  so that  $\tau_t^\epsilon$  converges towards  $\tau_t$ . We also slightly prepare the proof of the diffusive and critical diffusive regime. Then, as  $\epsilon^{1/\alpha} \ell(1/\epsilon)\phi_\epsilon(x) \rightarrow \operatorname{sgn}_{f_+, f_-}(x)|x|^{1/\alpha-2}$ , we show that  $H_{\tau_t^\epsilon}$  converges to  $K_{\tau_t}$  a.s. for fixed a fixed  $t$ , which is enough to conclude. We will work a little bit more in the critical Lévy regime.

In Subsection 3.4, we adopt the martingale strategy writing  $\int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s)ds$  as a local martingale plus some remainder and we use classical central limit theorems for local martingales to conclude.

### 3.1 Integrability of $f$ and $g'\sigma$

In this subsection, we characterize with the index  $\alpha$  the integrability of  $f$  and  $g'\sigma$  with respect to the measure  $\mu$ . We first introduce the functions  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\psi = (\mathfrak{s}' \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}) \times (\sigma \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = (f \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})/\psi^2. \quad (11)$$

Assumption 3 precisely tells us that  $\phi$  is a regular varying with index  $1/\alpha - 2$ . Indeed, since  $\mathfrak{s}$  is an increasing bijection by Assumption 1 and Remark 2, we have

$$|x|^{2-1/\alpha} \ell(|x|) \phi(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f_{\pm}. \quad (12)$$

We make the following proposition

**Proposition 16.**  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  is equivalent to  $\alpha > 1$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)} < \infty$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $2 - 1/\alpha > 1$ . Since  $\ell$  is a slowly varying function, we can find  $\delta > 0$  with  $2 - 1/\alpha - \delta > 1$  such that  $|x|^\delta \ell(|x|) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we have by (12) that

$$|\phi(x)| \underset{x \rightarrow \pm\infty}{=} o\left(|x|^{\delta+1/\alpha-2}\right)$$

and the function  $\phi$  is integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . But using the substitution  $x = \mathfrak{s}(v)$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(x)| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(v)|}{\sigma^2(v) \mathfrak{s}'(v)} dv = \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| d\mu < \infty. \quad (13)$$

If  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)} < \infty$ , then (12) tells us that  $|x|\ell(|x|)\phi(x) \rightarrow f_{\pm}$ , and thus  $\phi$  is integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  which tells us by (13) that  $f$  is integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

We can make a similar reasoning to show that  $\alpha < 1$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)} = \infty$  implies that  $f \notin L^1(\mu)$ .  $\square$

We now focus on the function  $g$  defined by (5) when  $\alpha \geq 2$  and recall that

$$g(x) = 2 \int_0^x \mathfrak{s}'(v) \int_v^\infty f(u) [\sigma^2(u) \mathfrak{s}'(u)]^{-1} du dv = 2\kappa^{-1} \int_0^x \mathfrak{s}'(v) \mu(f \mathbf{1}_{(v,\infty)}) dv.$$

By Assumption 3, Proposition 16 and since  $\alpha \geq 2$ , we have  $\mu(f) = 0$  and thus  $\mu(f \mathbf{1}_{(v,\infty)}) = -\mu(f \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty,v)})$  so that

$$g(x) = -2 \int_0^x \mathfrak{s}'(v) \int_{-\infty}^v f(u) [\sigma^2(u) \mathfrak{s}'(u)]^{-1} du dv. \quad (14)$$

We now express the function  $g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}$ , using the substitutions  $v = \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(y)$  and  $u = \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z)$ :

$$g(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x)) = 2 \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z)) [\sigma(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z)) \mathfrak{s}'(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z))]^{-2} dz dy = 2 \int_0^x \int_y^\infty \phi(z) dz dy. \quad (15)$$

Using (14), we also get

$$g(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x)) = -2 \int_0^x \int_{-\infty}^y \phi(z) dz dy. \quad (16)$$

We are now ready to state the proposition characterizing the integrability of  $g'\sigma$  with respect to  $\mu$ .

**Proposition 17.** If  $\alpha > 2$ , or  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho = \int_1^\infty \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v)} \right)^2 dx < \infty$ , then  $g'\sigma \in L^2(\mu)$ .

*Proof.* From (15) and (16), we get that

$$(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})'(x) = 2 \int_x^\infty \phi(v) dv = -2 \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(v) dv. \quad (17)$$

Assume  $\alpha > 2$ , then  $2 - 1/\alpha > 3/2$ . From Assumption 3 and since  $\ell$  is a slowly varying function, we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $2 - 1/\alpha - \delta > 3/2$  and

$$|\phi(x)| \underset{x \rightarrow \pm\infty}{=} o\left(|x|^{\delta+1/\alpha-2}\right),$$

and thus

$$|(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})'(x)|^2 \underset{x \rightarrow \pm\infty}{=} o\left(|x|^{2(\delta+1/\alpha-1)}\right).$$

Since  $2(\delta+1/\alpha-1) < -1$ ,  $(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})' \in L^2(dx)$ . Now using the fact that  $(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})' = [\mathfrak{s}' \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}]^{-1} \times g' \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}$  and the substitution  $x = \mathfrak{s}(v)$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|g'(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x))|^2}{[\mathfrak{s}'(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x))]^2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|g'(v)|^2}{\mathfrak{s}'(v)} dv = \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g'\sigma|^2 d\mu,$$

whence the result. Now assume  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho = \int_1^\infty \left(\int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v)}\right)^2 dx < \infty$ . Assumption 3 tells us that  $\phi(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} f_{\pm} \frac{1}{|x|^{3/2}\ell(|x|)}$ . Integrating and using (17), we get

$$(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})'(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} 2f_{+} \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v)}.$$

Hence the fact that  $\rho < \infty$  tells us precisely that  $(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ . Using a similar computation involving (17), we find that  $(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_-, dx)$ . We conclude that  $g'\sigma \in L^2(\mu)$  as when  $\alpha > 2$ .  $\square$

### 3.2 Scale function and speed measure

In this subsection, we classically represent the solution  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  to (1) as a function of a time-changed Brownian motion. Roughly, the process  $(\mathfrak{s}(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a continuous local martingale and the Dubins-Schwarz theorem tells us  $(\mathfrak{s}(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a time-changed Brownian motion. The next lemma enables us to represent  $X_{t/\epsilon}$  and  $\int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds$  through the functions  $\psi$ ,  $\phi$ , defined by (11), and  $\mathfrak{s}$ .

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a_\epsilon > 0$ . We consider a Brownian motion  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and we define*

$$A_t^\epsilon = \epsilon a_\epsilon^{-2} \int_0^t \psi^{-2}(W_s/a_\epsilon) ds$$

and its inverse  $(\tau_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ , which is a.s. a continuous and strictly increasing bijection from  $[0, +\infty)$  in itself. Let us set

$$X_t^\epsilon = \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(W_{\tau_t^\epsilon}/a_\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad F_t^\epsilon = H_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^\epsilon \quad \text{where} \quad H_t^\epsilon = a_\epsilon^{-2} \int_0^t \phi(W_s/a_\epsilon) ds.$$

For  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  the unique solution to (1), we have

$$\left( \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds, X_{t/\epsilon} \right)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (F_t^\epsilon, X_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}.$$

We introduce the unusual degree of freedom  $a_\epsilon$  that will allow us to make converge the time-change  $A_t^\epsilon$  without normalization.

*Proof.* We recall that the function  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  are defined by  $\psi = (\mathfrak{s}' \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}) \times (\sigma \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})$  and  $\phi = (f \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})/\psi^2$ . Let us consider the function  $\psi_\epsilon(w) = \epsilon^{-1/2} a_\epsilon \psi(w/a_\epsilon)$ , so that  $A_t^\epsilon = \int_0^t \psi_\epsilon^{-2}(W_s) ds$ . We set  $Y_t^\epsilon = W_{\tau_t^\epsilon}$  which classically solves, see [16, page 452],

$$Y_t^\epsilon = \int_0^t \psi_\epsilon(Y_s^\epsilon) dB_s^\epsilon$$

where  $(B_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion. Next, we consider the function  $\varphi_\epsilon(y) = \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(y/a_\epsilon)$ . We can apply Itô's formula to  $X_t^\epsilon = \varphi_\epsilon(Y_t^\epsilon)$  since  $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}$  is  $C^2$  and we get

$$X_t^\epsilon = \int_0^t \varphi_\epsilon'(Y_s^\epsilon) \psi_\epsilon(Y_s^\epsilon) dB_s^\epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \varphi_\epsilon''(Y_s^\epsilon) \psi_\epsilon^2(Y_s^\epsilon) ds.$$

The functions are such that:

$$\varphi'_\epsilon(y)\psi_\epsilon(y) = \frac{1}{a_\epsilon \mathfrak{s}'(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(y/a_\epsilon))} \times \frac{a_\epsilon \psi(y/a_\epsilon)}{\epsilon^{1/2}} = \frac{\sigma(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(y/a_\epsilon))}{\epsilon^{1/2}} = \frac{\sigma(\varphi_\epsilon(y))}{\epsilon^{1/2}}$$

and,

$$\varphi''_\epsilon(y)\psi_\epsilon^2(y) = \frac{-1}{a_\epsilon^2} \frac{\mathfrak{s}''(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(y/a_\epsilon))}{[\mathfrak{s}'(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(y/a_\epsilon))]^3} \times \frac{a_\epsilon^2 \psi^2(y/a_\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = \frac{2b(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(y/a_\epsilon))}{\epsilon} = \frac{2b(\varphi_\epsilon(y))}{\epsilon}.$$

Indeed remember that  $\mathfrak{s}$  solves  $\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{s}''\sigma^2 + \mathfrak{s}'b = 0$ . Finally we have

$$X_t^\epsilon = \epsilon^{-1} \int_0^t b(X_s^\epsilon) ds + \epsilon^{-1/2} \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^\epsilon) dB_s^\epsilon$$

Now taking equation (1), we write

$$X_{t/\epsilon} = \int_0^{t/\epsilon} b(X_s) ds + \int_0^{t/\epsilon} \sigma(X_s) dB_s = \epsilon^{-1} \int_0^t b(X_{s/\epsilon}) ds + \epsilon^{-1/2} \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s/\epsilon}) d\hat{B}_s^\epsilon,$$

where  $(\hat{B}_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0} = (\epsilon^{1/2} B_{t/\epsilon})_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion. Thus,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , the processes  $(X_{t/\epsilon})_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(X_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  are solutions of the same SDE, for which we have uniqueness in law, driven by different Brownian motion,  $(\hat{B}_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(B_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ . Thus they are equal in law :  $(X_{t/\epsilon})_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} (X_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ . As  $\int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds = \epsilon^{-1} \int_0^t f(X_{s/\epsilon}) ds$ , we have

$$\left( \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds, X_{t/\epsilon} \right)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} \left( \epsilon^{-1} \int_0^t f(X_{s/\epsilon}) ds, X_t^\epsilon \right)_{t \geq 0}.$$

Using the substitution  $u = \tau_s^\epsilon \Leftrightarrow s = A_u^\epsilon$ , we have  $ds = \psi_\epsilon^{-2}(W_u) du$ , and

$$\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^t f(X_s^\epsilon) ds = \epsilon^{-1} \int_0^{\tau_t^\epsilon} f \circ \varphi_\epsilon(W_{\tau_s^\epsilon}) ds = \epsilon^{-1} \int_0^{\tau_t^\epsilon} \frac{f \circ \varphi_\epsilon(W_u)}{\psi_\epsilon^2(W_u)} du = a_\epsilon^{-2} \int_0^{\tau_t^\epsilon} \phi(W_u/a_\epsilon) du = F_t^\epsilon$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

We end this section with the following proposition that we will use several times later on.

**Proposition 19.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a_\epsilon > 0$  such that  $a_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Let also  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion and  $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$  its local time at 0. For every  $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$ , we have a.s., for all  $T > 0$ ,*

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \left| \kappa a_\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{\varphi \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(W_s/a_\epsilon)}{\psi^2(W_s/a_\epsilon)} ds - \mu(\varphi) L_t^0 \right| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

*Proof.* Denote  $Y_t^\epsilon = \kappa a_\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^t \frac{\varphi \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(W_s/a_\epsilon)}{\psi^2(W_s/a_\epsilon)} ds$ . Using the occupation times formula, we get

$$Y_t^\epsilon = \kappa a_\epsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(x/a_\epsilon)}{\psi^2(x/a_\epsilon)} L_t^x dx = \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(v) \mathfrak{s}'(v)}{\psi^2(\mathfrak{s}(v))} L_t^{a_\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)} dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(v) L_t^{a_\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)} \mu(dv),$$

where we used the substitution  $x = a_\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)$ . We recall that  $\mu(dx) = \kappa[\sigma^2(x) \mathfrak{s}'(x)]^{-1} dx$  and that  $\psi = (\mathfrak{s}' \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}) \times (\sigma \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})$ . Hence we have

$$\sup_{[0, T]} |Y_t^\epsilon - \mu(\varphi) L_t^0| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(v)| \sup_{[0, T]} |L_t^{a_\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)} - L_t^0| \mu(dv).$$

But for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sup_{[0, T]} |L_t^{a_\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)} - L_t^0| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$  a.s. (see [23] Corollary 1.8, page 226), and  $\sup_{[0, T]} |L_t^{a_\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)} - L_t^0| \leq 2 \sup_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}} L_t^x < \infty$  a.s.. We can conclude by dominated convergence since  $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$  by assumption.  $\square$

### 3.3 The Lévy regime

In this section, we first show two lemmas, that will enable us to conclude. The first lemma says that if in Lemma 18 we choose carefully  $a_\epsilon$ , the time change  $A_t^\epsilon$  converges to the local time of the Brownian motion at 0. It also recovers a weak version of the ergodic theorem and prepares some useful results for the diffusive case.

**Lemma 20.** *Let  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion and  $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$  its local time at 0. For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , we consider the processes  $(A_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $(\tau_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(X_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  from Lemma 18 with the choice  $a_\epsilon = \epsilon/\kappa$ .*

(i) *We have a.s., for all  $T > 0$ ,*

$$\sup_{[0, T]} |A_t^\epsilon - L_t^0| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

(ii) *For all  $t > 0$ , a.s.,  $\tau_t^\epsilon \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau_t$ , the generalized inverse of  $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$ .*

(iii) *Suppose Assumption 4. For any slowly varying function  $\gamma$ , we have a.s., for all  $T > 0$ ,*

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \gamma(1/\epsilon) |A_t^\epsilon - L_t^0| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

(iv) *If  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho = \int_1^\infty \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v)} \right)^2 dx = \infty$ , we have a.s., for all  $T > 0$ ,*

$$\sup_{[0, T]} |T_t^\epsilon - \sigma_2^2 L_t^0| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad \text{where} \quad T_t^\epsilon = \kappa^2 |\epsilon \rho_\epsilon|^{-1} \int_0^t \chi(W_s/a_\epsilon) ds,$$

*where  $\chi = [(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})']^2$  with  $g$  defined by (5) and  $\rho_\epsilon = \int_1^{1/\epsilon} \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v)} \right)^2 dx$ .*

(v) *For all  $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$ , for all  $t > 0$ , we have a.s.*

$$\int_0^t \varphi(X_s^\epsilon) ds \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu(\varphi)t.$$

*Proof. Point (i).* The first point is just the application of Proposition 19 with  $\varphi = 1$ .

**Point (ii).** The second point follows immediately from the first and Lemma 15, since for all  $t \geq 0$ , as recalled in Subsection 2.2,  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{t-} < \tau_t) = 0$ .

**Point (iii).** Using the same scheme as in the proof of Proposition 19, we have

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \gamma(1/\epsilon) |A_t^\epsilon - L_t^0| = \sup_{[0, T]} \left| \kappa \gamma(1/\epsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{L_t^{\epsilon y/\kappa} - L_t^0}{\psi^2(y)} dy \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(1/\epsilon) \sup_{[0, T]} |L_t^{\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)/\kappa} - L_t^0| \mu(dv).$$

Now we use (8) with some  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \wedge \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  refers to the constant of Assumption 4, so that  $|\mathfrak{s}^\lambda \in L^1(\mu)$ . We thus have  $\gamma(1/\epsilon) \sup_{[0, T]} |L_t^{\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)/\kappa} - L_t^0| \leq C |\mathfrak{s}(v)|^\theta \gamma(1/\epsilon) \epsilon^\theta$ , for some random  $C$ . Since  $\gamma$  is slowly varying, we conclude that  $\gamma(1/\epsilon) \sup_{[0, T]} |L_t^{\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)/\kappa} - L_t^0| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , for each fixed  $v$ . Moreover

$$\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} \gamma(1/\epsilon) \sup_{[0, T]} |L_t^{\epsilon \mathfrak{s}(v)/\kappa} - L_t^0| \leq C \left( \sup_{\epsilon \in (0, 1)} \gamma(1/\epsilon) \epsilon^\theta \right) |\mathfrak{s}(v)|^\theta$$

and we can conclude by dominated convergence since  $|\mathfrak{s}^\theta \in L^1(\mu)$ .

**Point (iv).** *Step 0 :* We first estimate asymptotically the function  $\chi$ . Let us recall from (17) that

$$(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})'(x) = 2 \int_x^\infty \phi(v) dv = -2 \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(v) dv.$$

Let us define the function  $h$  on  $(0, \infty)$ :

$$h(x) = \left( \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v)} \right)^2.$$

By (12), we have  $|x|^{3/2}\ell(|x|)\phi(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f_\pm$  and thus

$$\left( \int_x^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v)} \right)^{-1} \int_x^\infty \phi(v)dv \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} f_+$$

and

$$\left( \int_{|x|}^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v)} \right)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(v)dv \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} f_-.$$

All in all, recalling that  $\chi = [(g \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1})']^2$ , we have  $[h(|x|)]^{-1}\chi(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} 4f_\pm^2$  from which

$$\int_{-x}^x \chi(z)dz \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} 4(f_+^2 + f_-^2) \int_1^x h(v)dv. \quad (18)$$

We used that  $\rho = \int_1^\infty h(v)dv = \infty$  by assumption. Moreover there exists  $A > 0$  such that for all  $x$ , we have  $\chi(x) \leq Ah(|x|)$ . Now we write for  $\delta > 0$  fixed,  $T_t^\epsilon = D_t^{\epsilon, \delta} + E_t^{\epsilon, \delta}$  where

$$D_t^{\epsilon, \delta} = \int_0^t \kappa^2 |\epsilon \rho_\epsilon|^{-1} \chi(\kappa W_s / \epsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| > \delta\}} ds \quad \text{and} \quad E_t^{\epsilon, \delta} = \int_0^t \kappa^2 |\epsilon \rho_\epsilon|^{-1} \chi(\kappa W_s / \epsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| \leq \delta\}} ds.$$

*Step 1* : We show that  $\sup_{[0, T]} |D_t^{\epsilon, \delta}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We have  $\chi(\kappa W_s / \epsilon) \leq Ah(\kappa |W_s| / \epsilon)$ . Now notice that in this case, we have a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| > \delta\}} h(\kappa |W_s| / \epsilon) &= \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| > \delta\}} \left( \int_{\kappa |W_s| / \epsilon}^\infty \frac{du}{u^{3/2}\ell(u)} \right)^2 \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| > \delta\}} \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa |W_s|} \left( \int_1^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(\kappa |W_s| v / \epsilon)} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| > \delta\}} \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa \delta} \left( \int_1^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(\kappa |W_s| v / \epsilon)} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We know from Lemma 9-(i) (with  $a = \delta$  and  $b = \sup_{[0, T]} |W_s|$ ) that the following uniform convergence holds a.s.

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| > \delta\}} \left| \frac{\ell(v/\epsilon)}{\ell(\kappa |W_s| v / \epsilon)} - 1 \right| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Hence there exists a random  $M > 0$  such that for any  $s \in [0, T]$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $|W_s| > \delta$  implies that  $1/\ell(\kappa |W_s| v / \epsilon) \leq M/\ell(v/\epsilon)$ . Consequently,

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| > \delta\}} |\chi(\kappa W_s / \epsilon)| \leq \sup_{[0, T]} \mathbf{1}_{\{|W_s| > \delta\}} Ah(\kappa |W_s| / \epsilon) \leq \frac{\epsilon AM^2}{\kappa \delta} \left( \int_1^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v/\epsilon)} \right)^2.$$

We know from Potter's bound (Lemma 9-(ii)) that there exists  $x_0 > 1$  such that for all  $x, y \geq x_0$ ,

$$\frac{\ell(y)}{\ell(x)} \leq 2 \left( \left| \frac{y}{x} \right|^{1/4} \vee \left| \frac{x}{y} \right|^{1/4} \right).$$

Hence, if  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/x_0)$ , we have

$$\left( \int_1^\infty \frac{dv}{v^{3/2}\ell(v/\epsilon)} \right)^2 \leq \frac{4}{\ell^2(1/\epsilon)} \left( \int_1^\infty \frac{|v|^{1/4} \vee |v|^{-1/4} dv}{v^{3/2}} \right)^2.$$



All in all, there exists a random constant that we name  $M$  again, such that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} |D_t^{\epsilon,\delta}| \leq \frac{MT}{\delta \ell^2(1/\epsilon)\rho_\epsilon}.$$

It holds that  $\ell^2(1/\epsilon)\rho_\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$ , see the end of the proof of Lemma 9-(iii) and use that  $\rho_\epsilon = M(1/\epsilon)$ , and thus for each  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\sup_{[0,T]} |D_t^{\epsilon,\delta}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Step 2 :* We concentrate on  $E_t^{\epsilon,\delta}$ . Using the occupation times formula, we have

$$E_t^{\epsilon,\delta} = \left( \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{\kappa^2 \chi(\kappa x/\epsilon) dx}{|\epsilon \rho_\epsilon|} \right) L_t^0 + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{\kappa^2 \chi(\kappa x/\epsilon) (L_t^x - L_t^0) dx}{|\epsilon \rho_\epsilon|} = r_{\epsilon,\delta} L_t^0 + R_t^{\epsilon,\delta},$$

the last equality standing for a definition. We have, using a substitution and (18),

$$r_{\epsilon,\delta} = \frac{\kappa}{\rho_\epsilon} \int_{-\kappa\delta/\epsilon}^{\kappa\delta/\epsilon} \chi(z) dz \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{4\kappa(f_+^2 + f_-^2)}{\rho_\epsilon} \int_1^{\kappa\delta/\epsilon} h(x) dx \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} 4\kappa(f_+^2 + f_-^2) = \sigma_2^2,$$

where we used that  $\rho_\epsilon = \int_1^{1/\epsilon} h(x) dx$  and that the function  $M(x) = \int_1^x h(v) dv$  is slowly varying by Lemma 9-(iii).

*Step 3 :* We conclude. Recalling that  $T_t^\epsilon = r_{\epsilon,\delta} L_t^0 + R_t^{\epsilon,\delta} + D_t^{\epsilon,\delta}$ , we proved that a.s., for all  $T > 0$  and all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{[0,T]} |T_t^\epsilon - \sigma_2^2 L_t^0| \leq \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{[0,T]} |R_t^{\epsilon,\delta}|.$$

But

$$\sup_{[0,T]} |R_t^{\epsilon,\delta}| \leq r_{\epsilon,\delta} \sup_{[0,T] \times [-\delta,\delta]} |L_t^x - L_t^0|,$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{[0,T]} |T_t^\epsilon - \sigma_2^2 L_t^0| \leq \sigma_2^2 \sup_{[0,T] \times [-\delta,\delta]} |L_t^x - L_t^0|.$$

Now, we let  $\delta$  to 0 which completes the proof.

**Point (v).** Using the definition of  $X_t^\epsilon$ , and making the substitution  $u = \tau_s^\epsilon \Leftrightarrow s = A_u^\epsilon$ , we have

$$\int_0^t \varphi(X_s^\epsilon) ds = \epsilon a_\epsilon^{-2} \int_0^{\tau_t^\epsilon} \frac{\varphi \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(W_s/a_\epsilon)}{\psi^2(W_s/a_\epsilon)} ds = \kappa a_\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^{\tau_t^\epsilon} \frac{\varphi \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(W_s/a_\epsilon)}{\psi^2(W_s/a_\epsilon)} ds.$$

Let  $T = \sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \tau_t^\epsilon$  which is a.s. finite from the second point. We have

$$\left| \int_0^t \varphi(X_s^\epsilon) ds - \mu(\varphi)t \right| \leq \sup_{[0,T]} \left| \kappa a_\epsilon^{-1} \int_0^u \frac{\varphi \circ \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(W_s/a_\epsilon)}{\psi^2(W_s/a_\epsilon)} ds - \mu(\varphi)L_u^0 \right| + \mu(\varphi) \left| L_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^0 - t \right|.$$

The first term on the right hand side goes to 0 by Proposition 19 and the second goes to 0 since  $\tau_t^\epsilon \rightarrow \tau_t$  by Point 2,  $L_{\tau_t}^0 = t$  a.s., and  $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$  is continuous.  $\square$

Let us state two immediate consequences of the previous lemma.

**Remark 21.** *Point (5) of the preceding Lemma recovers a weak version of the ergodic theorem for the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Although it is straightforward since the process is Harris recurrent, this provides a self-contained proof of this weak version, which we only need. For all  $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$  and all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\epsilon \int_0^{t/\epsilon} \varphi(X_s) ds \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^t \varphi(X_s^\epsilon) ds$ , hence for all  $t \geq 0$*

$$\epsilon \int_0^{t/\epsilon} \varphi(X_s) ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu(\varphi)t.$$

**Remark 22.** Point (4) prepares the critical diffusive regime. Using arguments similar to those in the proof of point (5), we easily see that if  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho = \infty$ , then for every  $t \geq 0$ , a.s.,  $T_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^\epsilon \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_2^2 t$ . Now one can also see that as  $|\epsilon/\rho_\epsilon| \int_0^{t/\epsilon} [g'(X_s)\sigma(X_s)]^2 ds \stackrel{d}{=} T_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^\epsilon$ , we have

$$|\epsilon/\rho_\epsilon| \int_0^{t/\epsilon} [g'(X_s)\sigma(X_s)]^2 ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \sigma_2^2 t.$$

The next result is the last preliminary before proving the main result in the Lévy case.

**Lemma 23.** Let  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion, and  $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$  its local time in 0. Let also  $(K_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the process defined in section 2.3, with  $(a, b) = (f_+, f_-)$ . For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , we consider the process  $(H_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  from Lemma 18 with the choice  $a_\epsilon = \epsilon/\kappa$ .

(i) If  $\alpha \neq 1$ , then a.s., for all  $T > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \left| \epsilon^{1/\alpha} \ell(1/\epsilon) H_t^\epsilon - \kappa^{1/\alpha} K_t \right| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

(ii) If  $\alpha = 1$ , there exists  $(\xi_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$  such that, a.s., for all  $T > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \left| \epsilon \ell(1/\epsilon) H_t^\epsilon - \xi_\epsilon L_t^0 - \kappa K_t \right| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

where  $\xi_\epsilon \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} -\kappa(f_+ + f_-)\ell(1/\epsilon) \int_{1/\epsilon}^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)}$  if  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $\xi_\epsilon \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \kappa(f_+ + f_-)\ell(1/\epsilon) \int_1^{1/\epsilon} \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)}$  otherwise.

*Proof. Technical estimates.* We first show some technical estimates that we will use in this proof.

(i) For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , it holds (and this is the case for all  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ) that

$$\kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa^{1/\alpha} \text{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2}. \quad (19)$$

Indeed we have by (12), since  $\ell$  is slowly varying and since  $(a, b) = (f_+, f_-)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x > 0, \quad \kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) &\xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa^{1/\alpha} a x^{1/\alpha-2}, \\ \forall x < 0, \quad \kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) &\xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa^{1/\alpha} b |x|^{1/\alpha-2}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For all  $\delta > 0$ , there exist  $A > 0$  and  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  (and this is the case for all  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ) such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ ,

$$\epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) \leq A(|x|^{1/\alpha-2} + 1)(|x|^\delta + |x|^{-\delta}) \quad (20)$$

Indeed, we start using (12) again and that  $\phi$  and  $\ell$  are locally bounded: there exists  $A > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\ell(|x|)|\phi(x)| \leq A(|x|^{1/\alpha-2} + 1)$ . Hence we have

$$\epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) |\phi(\kappa x/\epsilon)| \leq A(|x|^{1/\alpha-2} + 1) \frac{\ell(1/\epsilon)}{\ell(\kappa|x|/\epsilon)},$$

the value of  $A$  being allowed to change. We know from Potter's bound (Lemma 9-(ii)) that there exists  $x_0$  such that for all  $x, y \geq x_0$ ,

$$\frac{\ell(y)}{\ell(x)} \leq 2 \left( \left| \frac{y}{x} \right|^\delta \vee \left| \frac{x}{y} \right|^\delta \right).$$

Thus, for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/x_0)$  we have

$$\epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) |\phi(\kappa x/\epsilon)| \mathbf{1}_{\{\kappa|x| \geq \epsilon x_0\}} \leq A(|x|^{1/\alpha-2} + 1)(|x|^\delta \vee |x|^{-\delta}).$$

The function  $\ell$  is strictly positive and bounded from below on every compact set and thus there exists  $\ell_0 > 0$  such that for all  $x \in [0, x_0]$ ,  $\ell(x) \geq \ell_0$ . Now if  $\kappa|x| < \epsilon x_0$ , we have  $\ell(\kappa|x|/\epsilon) \geq \ell_0$  and  $1 \leq (\kappa|x|/\epsilon x_0)^{-\delta}$ . Hence

$$\epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) |\phi(\kappa x/\epsilon)| \mathbf{1}_{\{\kappa|x| < \epsilon x_0\}} \leq A(|x|^{1/\alpha-2} + 1) |x|^{-\delta} \sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \epsilon^\delta \ell(1/\epsilon).$$

We proved (20).

**Point (i) when  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .** Let us remind that  $K_t = \int_0^t \text{sgn}_{a,b}(W_s) |W_s|^{1/\alpha-2} ds$ . We have  $\epsilon^{1/\alpha} \ell(1/\epsilon) H_t^\epsilon = \kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \int_0^t \phi(\kappa W_s/\epsilon) ds$  and

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \left| \epsilon^{1/\alpha} \ell(1/\epsilon) H_t^\epsilon - \kappa^{1/\alpha} K_t \right| \leq \int_0^T \left| \kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa W_s/\epsilon) - \kappa^{1/\alpha} \text{sgn}_{a,b}(W_s) |W_s|^{1/\alpha-2} \right| ds$$

which tends to 0 a.s. by dominated convergence. Indeed, since  $\{t \in [0, T], W_t = 0\}$  is a.s. Lebesgue-null, we have by (19) that a.s., for a.e.  $s \in [0, T]$ ,

$$|\kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa W_s/\epsilon) - \kappa^{1/\alpha} \text{sgn}_{a,b}(W_s) |W_s|^{1/\alpha-2}| \rightarrow 0$$

Next we can dominate  $\epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) |\phi(\kappa W_s/\epsilon)|$  using (20) by

$$A(|W_s|^{1/\alpha-2} + 1)(|W_s|^\delta + |W_s|^{-\delta})$$

which is a.s. integrable on  $[0, T]$  if  $\delta > 0$  is small enough so that  $1/\alpha - 2 - \delta > -1$ .

**Point (i) when  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ .** We notice that  $\mu(f) = \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(z) dz$ , which equals 0 by Assumption 3. Indeed,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(z) dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z))}{\sigma^2(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z)) \mathfrak{s}'(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(z))^2} dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(v)}{\sigma^2(v) \mathfrak{s}'(v)} dv = \frac{\mu(f)}{\kappa}. \quad (21)$$

We can now use the occupation times formula to write

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{1/\alpha} \ell(1/\epsilon) H_t^\epsilon &= \kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \int_0^t \phi(\kappa W_s/\epsilon) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) L_t^x dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) (L_t^x - L_t^0) dx, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(z) dz = 0$  as noted above. Thus, recalling that  $K_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} (L_t^x - L_t^0) dx$  in this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{[0,T]} \left| \epsilon^{1/\alpha} \ell(1/\epsilon) H_t^\epsilon - \kappa K_t \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) - \kappa^{1/\alpha} \text{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{1/\alpha-2} \right| \sup_{[0,T]} |L_t^x - L_t^0| dx. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by dominated convergence. First, by (19), the integrand converges to 0 for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^*$ .

We next use (20) to dominate  $R_\epsilon(x) = |\kappa^2 \epsilon^{1/\alpha-2} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon)| \sup_{[0,T]} |L_t^x - L_t^0|$  by

$$A(|x|^{1/\alpha-2} + 1)(|x|^\delta + |x|^{-\delta}) \sup_{[0,T]} |L_t^x - L_t^0| \leq M(|x|^{1/\alpha-2} + 1)(|x|^\delta + |x|^{-\delta}) \min(1, |x|^\theta),$$

for some random constant  $M > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$  fixed. We used (8) and the fact that  $\sup_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}} |L_t^x - L_t^0| < \infty$  a.s. This bound is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$  if we choose  $\delta > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$  such that  $1/\alpha - 2 + \delta < -1$  and  $1/\alpha - 2 + \theta - \delta > -1$ . This is possible because  $-3/2 < 1/\alpha - 2 < -1$ .

**Point (ii): Convergence.** Setting  $\xi_\epsilon = \kappa^2 \epsilon^{-1} \ell(1/\epsilon) \int_{-1}^1 \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) dx$  and using the occupation times formula, we have

$$\epsilon \ell(1/\epsilon) H_t^\epsilon - \xi_\epsilon L_t^0 = \int_{|x| \geq 1} \kappa^2 \epsilon^{-1} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) L_t^x dx + \int_{|x| \leq 1} \kappa^2 \epsilon^{-1} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) (L_t^x - L_t^0) dx$$

Then, remembering that  $K_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{-1} (L_t^x - L_t^0 \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}) dx$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{[0, T]} |\epsilon H_t^\epsilon - \xi_\epsilon L_t^0 - \kappa K_t| \\ & \leq \int_{|x| \leq 1} |\kappa^2 \epsilon^{-1} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) - \kappa \text{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{-1}| \sup_{[0, T]} |L_t^x - L_t^0| dx \\ & \quad + \int_{|x| > 1} |\kappa^2 \epsilon^{-1} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon) - \kappa \text{sgn}_{a,b}(x) |x|^{-1}| L_T^x dx, \end{aligned}$$

Again, we conclude by dominated convergence. By (19), the integrand in both terms converges to 0 for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^*$ .

We first use (20) to dominate  $R_\epsilon(x) = |\kappa^2 \epsilon^{-1} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon)| \sup_{[0, T]} |L_t^x - L_t^0|$ , for all  $|x| \leq 1$ , by

$$M(|x|^{-1} + 1)(|x|^\delta + |x|^{-\delta}) \times |x|^\theta,$$

for some random constant  $M > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$  fixed. We used (8) and the fact that  $\sup_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}} |L_t^x - L_t^0| < \infty$  a.s. This bound is integrable on  $[-1, 1]$  if we choose  $\delta > 0$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$  such that  $-1 + \theta - \delta > -1$ , which is possible:  $\theta = 1/4$ ,  $\delta = 1/8$ .

Now we dominate the quantity  $P_\epsilon(x) = |\epsilon^{-1} \ell(1/\epsilon) \phi(\kappa x/\epsilon)| L_T^x$  for all  $|x| > 1$ . The second integral is actually supported by the compact set  $\{|x| \in [1, S_T]\}$  where  $S_T = \sup_{[0, T]} |W_s|$  since  $L_T^x = 0$  as soon as  $|x| > S_T$ . Using (20) with  $\delta = 1/2$ ,

$$P_\epsilon(x) \leq A(|x|^{-1} + 1)(|x|^{1/2} + |x|^{-1/2}) L_T^x,$$

which is a.s. bounded and thus integrable on  $\{|x| \in [1, S_T]\}$ .

**Point (ii): Asymptotics of  $\xi_\epsilon$ .** First remember from Proposition 16 that  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  if and only if  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)} < \infty$ .

*Case 1:* Let us first suppose  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)} = \infty$  and show that  $\xi_\epsilon \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \kappa(f_+ + f_-) \ell(1/\epsilon) \int_1^{1/\epsilon} \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)}$ . For  $\epsilon \in (0, \kappa)$

$$\xi_\epsilon = \kappa \ell(1/\epsilon) \int_{-\kappa/\epsilon}^{\kappa/\epsilon} \phi(x) dx = \kappa \ell(1/\epsilon) \int_{-\kappa/\epsilon}^{-1} \phi(x) dx + \kappa \ell(1/\epsilon) \int_{-1}^1 \phi(x) dx + \kappa \int_1^{\kappa/\epsilon} \phi(z) dz. \quad (22)$$

The middle term is  $o(\ell(1/\epsilon) \int_1^{1/\epsilon} \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)})$  and will not contribute. Assume e.g.  $f_+ \neq 0$ , then  $\phi(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} f_+ / [x\ell(x)]$  and it comes that

$$\kappa \int_1^{\kappa/\epsilon} \phi(x) dx \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \kappa f_+ \int_1^{\kappa/\epsilon} \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)} \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \kappa f_+ \int_1^{1/\epsilon} \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)},$$

where we used the fact that  $L(x) = \int_1^x \frac{dv}{v\ell(v)}$  is a slowly varying function by Lemma 9-(iii).

If  $f_- \neq 0$ , then  $\kappa \int_{-\kappa/\epsilon}^{-1} \phi(x) dx \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \kappa f_- \int_1^{1/\epsilon} \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)}$  as previously. If next  $f_- = 0$ , we have  $\phi(x) \underset{x \rightarrow -\infty}{=} o(|x\ell(|x|)|^{-1})$ , then  $\kappa \int_{-\kappa/\epsilon}^{-1} \phi(x) dx \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{=} o(\int_1^{1/\epsilon} \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)})$  because  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)} = \infty$ .

Now, if  $f_+ = 0$ , then necessarily  $f_- \neq 0$  and we can proceed as previously.

*Case 2:*  $\int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)} < \infty$ . We must show  $\xi_\epsilon \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} -\kappa(f_+ + f_-)\ell(1/\epsilon) \int_{1/\epsilon}^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)}$ . In this case,  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and we impose  $\mu(f) = 0$  so that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x)dx = 0$  by (21) and thus

$$\xi_\epsilon = -\kappa\ell(1/\epsilon) \int_{-\infty}^{-\kappa/\epsilon} \phi(x)dx - \kappa\ell(1/\epsilon) \int_{\kappa/\epsilon}^\infty \phi(x)dx.$$

Let us treat the case  $f_+ \neq 0$  and  $f_- = 0$ , the other cases being treated similarly. Since  $f_+ \neq 0$ , we can integrate the equivalence  $\phi(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} f_+/[x\ell(x)]$  and get that  $\int_{\kappa/\epsilon}^\infty \phi(x)dx \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} f_+ \int_{1/\epsilon}^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)}$ , where we used that  $N(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)}$  is slowly varying by Lemma 9. Next  $\int_{-\infty}^{-\kappa/\epsilon} \phi(x)dx \underset{x \rightarrow -\infty}{=} o(\int_{1/\epsilon}^\infty \frac{dx}{x\ell(x)})$  since  $\phi(x) \underset{x \rightarrow -\infty}{=} o(|x\ell(|x|)|^{-1})$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 5-(iii)-(iv).

*Proof of Theorem 5-(iii)-(iv).* Consider a Brownian motion  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , its local time  $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$  at 0 and  $\tau_t = \inf\{u \geq 0, L_u^0 > t\}$ . Consider also the process  $(K_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined in Subsection 2.3 for  $(a, b) = (f_+, f_-)$ .

For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , consider the processes  $(A_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $(\tau_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(H_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  from Lemma 18 with the choice  $a_\epsilon = \epsilon/\kappa$ . We know from this Lemma that

$$\left( \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s)ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{d}{=} \left( H_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^\epsilon \right)_{t \geq 0} \quad (23)$$

And we know from Lemma 20-(ii) that  $\tau_t^\epsilon \rightarrow \tau_t$  a.s. for each  $t$  fixed. Thus  $T = \sup_{\epsilon \in (0,1)} \tau_t^\epsilon$  is a.s. finite.

**Point (iii).** We aim at showing that  $(\epsilon^{1/\alpha}\ell(1/\epsilon) \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s)ds)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$ . By (23) it is enough to show that a.s.,

$$\Delta_t(\epsilon) = \left| \epsilon^{1/\alpha}\ell(1/\epsilon)H_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^\epsilon - \kappa^{1/\alpha}K_{\tau_t} \right| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

for each fixed  $t \geq 0$ . Indeed, setting  $S_t^{(\alpha)} = \sigma_\alpha^{-1}\kappa^{1/\alpha}K_{\tau_t}$ , Lemmas 11 and 12 tell us that  $(S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$  is a stable process such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(i\xi S_t^{(\alpha)})] = \exp\left(-c_{\alpha,a,b}t|\sigma_\alpha^{-1}\kappa^{1/\alpha}\xi|^\alpha \left(1 - i\beta_{\alpha,a,b} \tan\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) \text{sgn}(\xi)\right)\right) = \exp(-t|\xi|^\alpha z_\alpha(\xi)),$$

where  $z_\alpha(\xi) = 1 - i\beta_{\alpha,a,b} \tan\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) \text{sgn}(\xi)$  as in the statement.

We have

$$\Delta_t(\epsilon) \leq \sup_{[0,T]} \left| \epsilon^{1/\alpha}\ell(1/\epsilon)H_s^\epsilon - \kappa^{1/\alpha}K_s \right| + \kappa^{1/\alpha} |K_{\tau_t^\epsilon} - K_{\tau_t}|.$$

The first term goes to 0 from Lemma 23-(i) and the second by continuity of  $(K_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

**Point (iv).** We want to show that with  $(\xi_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$  defined in Lemma 23-2,

$$\left( \epsilon\ell(1/\epsilon) \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s)ds - \xi_\epsilon t \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} \left( \sigma_1 S_t^{(1)} \right)_{t \geq 0}.$$

Once again, by (23), it is enough to show that

$$\Delta_t(\epsilon) = \left| \epsilon\ell(1/\epsilon)H_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^\epsilon - \xi_\epsilon t - \kappa K_{\tau_t} \right| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

for each fixed  $t \geq 0$ . Indeed, setting  $S_t^{(1)} = \sigma_1^{-1} \kappa K_{\tau_t}$ , Lemma 13 tells us that  $(S_t^{(1)})_{t \geq 0}$  is a stable process such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\xi S_t^{(1)})] &= \exp\left(-c_{a,b}t|\sigma_1^{-1}\kappa\xi\left(1+i\beta_{a,b}\frac{2}{\pi}\log(\sigma_1^{-1}\kappa|\xi|)\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\right)+it\tau_{a,b}\sigma_1^{-1}\kappa\xi\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-t|\xi\left(1+i\beta_{a,b}\frac{2}{\pi}\log(\sigma_1^{-1}\kappa|\xi|)\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)-i\tau_{a,b}\sigma_1^{-1}\kappa\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\right)\right) \\ &= \exp(-t|\xi|z_1(\xi)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_1(\xi) = 1 + i\beta_{a,b}\frac{2}{\pi}\log(\sigma_1^{-1}\kappa|\xi|)\operatorname{sgn}(\xi) - i\tau_{a,b}\sigma_1^{-1}\kappa\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)$  as in the statement.

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t(\epsilon) &\leq \left|\epsilon\ell(1/\epsilon)H_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^\epsilon - \xi_\epsilon L_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^0 - \kappa K_{\tau_t^\epsilon}\right| + \xi_\epsilon \left|A_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^\epsilon - L_{\tau_t^\epsilon}^0\right| + \kappa |K_{\tau_t^\epsilon} - K_{\tau_t}| \\ &\leq \sup_{[0,T]} \left|\epsilon\ell(1/\epsilon)H_t^\epsilon - \xi_\epsilon L_t^0 - \kappa K_t\right| + \sup_{[0,T]} \xi_\epsilon \left|A_t^\epsilon - L_t^0\right| + \kappa |K_{\tau_t^\epsilon} - K_{\tau_t}|. \end{aligned}$$

The first term goes to 0 from Lemma 23-(ii) and the last one by continuity of  $(K_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Now remember that  $\xi_\epsilon = \kappa\ell(1/\epsilon) \int_{-\kappa/\epsilon}^{\kappa/\epsilon} \phi(x)dx = \gamma(1/\epsilon)$  where  $\gamma(x) = \kappa\ell(x) \int_{-\kappa x}^{\kappa x} \phi(v)dv$ . Using the notations of Lemma 9 and the asymptotic estimate of  $\xi_\epsilon$ , see Lemma 23-(ii), we have

$$\gamma(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\kappa(f_+ + f_-)l(x)N(\kappa x) \quad \text{if } f \in L^1(\mu),$$

and

$$\gamma(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \kappa(f_+ + f_-)l(x)L(\kappa x) \quad \text{if } f \notin L^1(\mu).$$

In any case,  $\gamma$  is equivalent to the product of two slowly varying functions, and thus, is a slowly varying function. Hence, Lemma 20-(iii) tells us that the second term goes to 0.  $\square$

### 3.4 The diffusive regime and critical diffusive regime

This case is standard and we use the strategy explained in the introduction. It consists in solving the Poisson equation  $\mathcal{L}g = f$ , see Jacod-Shiryayev [13, Chapter VIII section 3f]. We recall the function  $g$  defined by

$$g(x) = 2 \int_0^x \mathfrak{s}'(v) \int_v^\infty f(u) [\sigma^2(u) \mathfrak{s}'(u)]^{-1} dudv,$$

which is a  $C^2$  function solving  $2bg' + \sigma^2 g'' = -2f$ . Also since  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a regular positive recurrent diffusion by Assumption 1, we classically deduce that  $X_t$  tends in law to  $\mu$  as  $t$  goes to infinity, see Kallenberg [16, Theorem 23.15]. We first show Theorem 7.

*Proof of Theorem 7.* Using Itô formula with the function  $g$ , we have

$$\int_0^t f(X_s) ds = \int_0^t g'(X_s) \sigma(X_s) dB_s - g(X_t).$$

Thus  $\epsilon^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds = M_t^\epsilon - Y_t^\epsilon$  where  $M_t^\epsilon = \epsilon^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} g'(X_s) \sigma(X_s) dB_s$  is a local martingale and  $Y_t^\epsilon = \epsilon^{1/2} g(X_{t/\epsilon})$ . Since  $g$  is continuous,  $g(X_{t/\epsilon})$  converges in law as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and thus  $Y_t^\epsilon$  converges to 0 in probability, for each fixed  $t$ . Moreover if  $g$  is bounded, we have a.s.  $\sup_{[0,T]} Y_t^\epsilon \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$ .

We now show that  $(M_t^\epsilon)_{t \geq 0}$  converges in law as a continuous process to  $(\sigma_\alpha W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . It is enough (see Jacod-Shiryayev [13, Theorem VII-3.11 page 473]) to show that for each  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\langle M^\epsilon \rangle_t \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \sigma_\alpha^2 t$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . But  $\langle M^\epsilon \rangle_t = \epsilon \int_0^{t/\epsilon} [g'(X_s) \sigma(X_s)]^2 ds$  and the result follows from Remark 21 since  $g' \sigma \in L^2(\mu)$  by assumption.

All in all we have proved that  $(\epsilon^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds)_{t \geq 0}$  converges in finite dimensional distributions to  $(\sigma_\alpha W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and as a continuous process if  $g$  is bounded.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5-(i)-(ii).* The first point is covered by Theorem 7 since  $g'\sigma \in L^2(\mu)$  by Proposition 17. In the case  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\rho = \infty$ , we can use exactly the same proof: we write  $|\epsilon/\rho_\epsilon|^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s)ds = M_t^\epsilon - Y_t^\epsilon$ , where  $M_t^\epsilon = |\epsilon/\rho_\epsilon|^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} g'(X_s)\sigma(X_s)dB_s$  is a local martingale and  $Y_t^\epsilon = |\epsilon/\rho_\epsilon|^{1/2}g(X_{t/\epsilon})$ . First  $Y_t^\epsilon$  tends to 0 in probability for each  $t$  fixed, as previously, and since  $|\epsilon/\rho_\epsilon| \rightarrow 0$ . Finally it only remains to show that for each  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\langle M^\epsilon \rangle_t = |\epsilon/\rho_\epsilon| \int_0^{t/\epsilon} [g'(X_s)\sigma(X_s)]^2 ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \sigma_2^2,$$

which is the case by Remark 22. □

**Remark 24.** *We stress that it is plausible we can show the limit theorem in the Lévy regime, at least when  $f_+ = -f_-$ , using the martingale strategy, i.e. writing  $\int_0^t f(X_s)ds = M_t - g(X_t)$  where  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a local martingale with bracket  $\int_0^t [g'(X_s)\sigma(X_s)]^2 ds$ . Using similar arguments as in Lemma 23, we can show that, correctly rescaled, this bracket behaves like a stable subordinator. Hence  $M_t$  might behave like a Brownian motion subordinate by a stable subordinator, which is known to be a stable process.*

## 4 Applications

**Diffusions with heavy tailed invariant measure :** Consider the following stochastic differential equation

$$X_t = -\frac{\theta + 1}{2} \int_0^t \text{sgn}(X_s)|X_s|^\theta ds + B_t, \quad (24)$$

where  $\theta > 0$  and  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion. This model includes the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process ( $\theta = 1$ ). Following equations (2) and (3), the invariant measure and the scale function are given by

$$\mu(dx) = \kappa e^{-|x|^{\theta+1}} dx, \quad \mathfrak{s}(x) = \int_0^x e^{|v|^{\theta+1}} dv.$$

One can check that  $\mathfrak{s}(x) \underset{x \rightarrow \pm\infty}{\sim} \text{sgn}(x)e^{|x|^{\theta+1}}/[(\theta + 1)|x|^\theta]$ . Hence, as soon as  $f$  is a continuous function such that there exists  $\alpha > 0$  and  $(f_+, f_-) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying

$$|x|^{(1/\alpha - 2)\theta} e^{-|x|^{\theta+1}/\alpha} f(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f_\pm,$$

and  $|f_+| + |f_-| > 0$ , we can apply Theorem 5 with  $\ell \equiv 1$ , provided  $\int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s)ds$  is replaced by  $\int_0^{t/\epsilon} [f(X_s) - \mu(f)]ds$  when  $\alpha > 1$ . We can also generalize using slowly varying functions.

Roughly, the return times of  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  have exponential moments and in this situation the asymptotic behavior of the function  $f$  is prescribed by the invariant measure  $\mu$ :  $f$  is such that there exists a slowly varying function  $L$  and  $c_+, c_- > 0$  such that

$$L(x)|x|^\alpha \mu(\{f > x\}) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} c_+ \quad \text{and} \quad L(x)|x|^\alpha \mu(\{f < x\}) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} c_+. \quad (25)$$

**A toy kinetic model :** Here we slightly generalize the results of [21], [8], [18] and [10]. Consider a one-dimensional particle with position  $X_t \in \mathbb{R}$  and velocity  $V_t \in \mathbb{R}$  subject to random shocks and a force field  $F(v) = \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{\Theta'(v)}{\Theta(v)}$  for some  $\beta > 1$  and a function  $\Theta : \mathbb{R} \mapsto (0, +\infty)$  of class  $C^1$ . The Newton equations describing the motion of the particle are

$$V_t = B_t + \int_0^t F(V_s)ds, \quad X_t = \int_0^t V_s ds, \quad (26)$$

where  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion modeling the random shocks. The invariant measure and the scale function are given by

$$\mu(dx) = \kappa[\Theta(x)]^\beta dx, \quad \mathfrak{s}(x) = \int_0^x [\Theta(v)]^{-\beta} dv.$$

We make the following assumption on the function  $\Theta$  :

**Assumption 25.** *There exists  $(c_-, c_+) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  such that  $|x|\Theta(x) \rightarrow c_\pm$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  and  $c_+ + c_- > 0$ . If  $c_+ = 0$  (respectively  $c_- = 0$ ), we moreover impose there exists  $A > 0$  such that for all  $x \geq A$ ,  $\Theta'(x) < 0$  (respectively for all  $x \leq -A$ ,  $\Theta'(x) > 0$ ).*

Obviously,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \mathfrak{s}(x) = \pm\infty$  and since  $\beta > 1$ ,  $\mu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ . Now take  $f = \text{id}$ , we want to find  $\alpha > 0$  such that Assumption 3 holds. Let us show that, with  $\alpha = (\beta + 1)/3$ , we have

$$|\mathfrak{s}'(x)|^{-2} |\mathfrak{s}(x)|^{2-1/\alpha} x \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} (\beta + 1)^{1/\alpha-2} c_+^{\beta/\alpha}, \quad (27)$$

$$|\mathfrak{s}'(x)|^{-2} |\mathfrak{s}(x)|^{2-1/\alpha} x \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow -\infty} -(\beta + 1)^{1/\alpha-2} c_-^{\beta/\alpha}. \quad (28)$$

Observe that we have the following estimations

$$|x|^\beta |\mathfrak{s}'(x)|^{-1} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} c_\pm^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad |x|^{\beta+1} |\mathfrak{s}(x)|^{-1} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} (\beta + 1) c_\pm^\beta. \quad (29)$$

Hence if  $c_+ > 0$  and  $c_- > 0$ , the result is immediate. We consider e.g. the case  $c_+ = 0$ . First we notice that  $\mathfrak{s}''(x) = -\beta\Theta'(x)[\Theta(x)]^{-\beta-1}$  and thus Assumption 25 tells us that there exists  $A > 0$ , such that  $\mathfrak{s}'$  is increasing on  $[A, \infty)$ . Hence for all  $x \geq A$ , we have

$$0 \leq \mathfrak{s}(x) \leq \mathfrak{s}(A) + \mathfrak{s}'(x)(x - A) \leq \mathfrak{s}(A) + \mathfrak{s}'(x)x,$$

and thus for all  $x \geq A$ , since  $2 - 1/\alpha > 0$  (because  $\beta > 1$ ),

$$|\mathfrak{s}'(x)|^{-2} |\mathfrak{s}(x)|^{2-1/\alpha} x \leq |\mathfrak{s}'(x)|^{-2} |\mathfrak{s}(A) + \mathfrak{s}'(x)x|^{2-1/\alpha} x \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} |\mathfrak{s}'(x)|^{-1/\alpha} |x|^{3-1/\alpha}$$

But since  $\alpha = (\beta + 1)/3$ , we have  $3 - 1/\alpha = \beta/\alpha$  and thus  $|\mathfrak{s}'(x)|^{-1/\alpha} |x|^{3-1/\alpha} = |x^\beta [\mathfrak{s}'(x)]^{-1}|^{1/\alpha}$  which goes to 0 by (29).

We can apply Theorem 5: with  $\alpha = (\beta + 1)/3$ ,  $m = \mu(\text{id})$ ,  $\ell \equiv 1$ ,  $f_+ = (\beta + 1)^{1/\alpha-2} c_+^{\beta/\alpha}$  and  $f_- = -(\beta + 1)^{1/\alpha-2} c_-^{\beta/\alpha}$ ,

- (i) If  $\beta > 5$ ,  $(\epsilon^{1/2}(X_{t/\epsilon} - mt/\epsilon))_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (ii) If  $\beta = 5$ ,  $(|\epsilon/\log \epsilon|^{1/2}(X_{t/\epsilon} - mt/\epsilon))_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_2 W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (iii) If  $\beta \in (2, 5)$ ,  $(\epsilon^{1/\alpha}(X_{t/\epsilon} - mt/\epsilon))_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (iv) If  $\beta = 2$ ,  $(\epsilon(X_{t/\epsilon} - \xi_\epsilon t/\epsilon))_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (v) If  $\beta \in (1, 2)$ ,  $(\epsilon^{1/\alpha} X_{t/\epsilon})_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$ .

Remark that  $\alpha$  ranges from  $2/3$  to infinity. The additional assumption that  $\Theta$  is eventually monotonic when  $c_+ = 0$  (or  $c_- = 0$ ) is not optimal, the true assumption is (27) and (28).

We stress that this model is the starting point of this paper as it was explained in the references section. Considering the *symmetric* function  $\Theta(v) = (1 + v^2)^{-1/2}$  and reasoning on the law of  $(X_t, V_t)$ , which is the solution of the associated kinetic Fokker-Planck equation, a series of P.D.E papers (Nasreddine-Puel [21] :  $\beta > 5$ , Cattiaux-Nasreddine-Puel [8] :  $\beta = 5$  and Lebeau-Puel [18] :  $\beta \in (1, 5) \setminus \{2, 3, 4\}$ ) answered these questions, finding some symmetric stable processes at the limit. Then, using probabilistic techniques, Fournier and Tardif [10] treated all the cases (even when  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ ), still in a symmetric context. We thus recover their results and extend them to asymmetrical forces.

When  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , the process  $(V_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is null recurrent and Fournier and Tardif [10] shows the rescaled process  $(\epsilon^{1/2} V_{t/\epsilon})_{t \geq 0}$  converges in finite dimensional distribution to a symmetrized Bessel



process and  $(\epsilon^{3/2} X_{t/\epsilon})_{t \geq 0}$  to an integrated symmetrised Bessel process (their proof actually holds for  $\beta \in (-1, 1)$ ). Although we can extend their result to asymmetrical forces, this phenomenon seems specific to this equation and we were not able to extend it to general diffusions.

Remark that for this model, the return times do not have exponential moments and the exponent  $\alpha$  is not prescribed by the invariant measure. Indeed we have

$$|x|^{\beta-1} \mu(\{f > x\}) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} \kappa c_+^\beta / (\beta - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad |x|^{\beta-1} \mu(\{f < x\}) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} \kappa c_-^\beta / (\beta - 1)$$

and  $\alpha = (\beta + 1)/3$ , while one might expect  $\alpha = \beta - 1$ . Observe that if  $\beta \in (1, 2)$ , we have  $(\beta + 1)/3 > \beta - 1$  and if  $\beta > 2$ , we have  $(\beta + 1)/3 < \beta - 1$ . The dynamics are a little bit more complicated and one must take into account the fact that the return times can be long.

**SDE without drift :** Consider the following SDE

$$X_t = \int_0^t (1 + |X_s|)^{\beta/2} dB_s, \quad (30)$$

with  $\beta > 1$ . We have  $\mathfrak{s}(x) = x$  (indeed  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a martingale) and  $\mu(dx) = \kappa(1 + |x|)^{-\beta} dx$ . Set for instance  $f(x) = x/(1 + |x|)^{1-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma > \beta - 2$ , then  $\mu(f) = 0$  and one can see that, with  $\alpha = 1/(\gamma + 2 - \beta) > 0$ ,  $f_+ = 1$  and  $f_- = -1$ , we have

$$|x|^{2-1/\alpha-\beta} f(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f_\pm.$$

Hence we can apply Theorem 5 with  $\ell \equiv 1$ .

- (i) If  $\beta - 2 < \gamma < \beta - 3/2$ , then  $\left( \epsilon^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (ii) If  $\gamma = \beta - 3/2$ , then  $\left( |\epsilon / \log \epsilon|^{1/2} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_2 W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (iii) If  $\beta - 3/2 < \gamma < \beta - 1$ , then  $\left( \epsilon^{1/\alpha} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (iv) If  $\gamma = \beta - 1$ , then  $\left( \epsilon \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (v) If  $\gamma > \beta - 1$ , then  $\left( \epsilon^{1/\alpha} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} f(X_s) ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_\alpha S_t^{(\alpha)})_{t \geq 0}$ .

Now if  $\gamma \leq \beta - 2$ , one can see that the function  $g$ , solution of the Poisson equation, is such that

$$g'(x) = \int_x^\infty f(v) \sigma^{-2}(v) dv \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} x^{\gamma-\beta+1} \quad \text{and} \quad g'(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(v) \sigma^{-2}(v) dv \underset{x \rightarrow -\infty}{\sim} -|x|^{\gamma-\beta+1}.$$

Hence  $g' \in L^2(dx)$  since  $2(\gamma - \beta + 1) < -1$  and thus  $g' \sigma \in L^2(\mu)$  (since  $\mu(dx) = \sigma^{-2}(x) dx$ ). We can apply Theorem 7 which tells us that the diffusive regime holds for  $\gamma \leq \beta - 2$ .

Once again, the return times are slow and the index  $\alpha$  is not the expected one. Indeed we have for  $\gamma > 0$

$$\mu(\{f > x\}) \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} C|x|^{(1-\beta)/\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\{f < x\}) \underset{x \rightarrow -\infty}{\sim} C|x|^{(1-\beta)/\gamma}$$

for some constant  $C$ .

Assume  $\beta = 5/4$  and let  $f$  be a function going to 0 at  $\pm\infty$  such that  $\mu(f) \neq 0$ . If  $\alpha = 4/3$ , we have

$$|x|^{2-1/\alpha-\beta} (f(x) - \mu(f)) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} -\mu(f),$$

and thus we can apply Theorem 5-(iii) which tells us that

$$\left( \epsilon^{3/4} \int_0^{t/\epsilon} [f(X_s) - \mu(f)] ds \right)_{t \geq 0} \xrightarrow{f.d.} (\sigma_{4/3} S_t^{(4/3)})_{t \geq 0}.$$

## References

- [1] J. Azéma, M. Duflo, and D. Revuz. Mesure invariante des processus de markov récurrents. *Séminaire de probabilités de Strasbourg*, 3:24–33, 1969.
- [2] E. Barkai, E. Aghion, and D.A. Kessler. From the area under the bessel excursion to anomalous diffusion of cold atoms. *Physical Review X*, 4(2):021036, 2014.
- [3] J. Bertoin. *Lévy processes*, volume 121 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [4] Ph. Biane and M. Yor. Valeurs principales associées aux temps locaux browniens. *Bull. Sci. Math. (2)*, 111(1):23–101, 1987.
- [5] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels. *Regular variation*, volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [6] Y. Castin, J. Dalibard, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji. The limits of sisyphus cooling in light induced kinetic effects on atoms, ions and molecules, edited by I. *Moi, S. Gozzini, and C. Gabbanini (ETS Editrice, Pisa, 1991)*, page 5.
- [7] P. Cattiaux, D. Chafaï, and A. Guillin. Central limit theorems for additive functionals of ergodic Markov diffusions processes. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 9(2):337–382, 2012.
- [8] P. Cattiaux, E. Nasreddine, and M. Puel. Diffusion limit for kinetic Fokker-Planck equation with heavy tails equilibria: the critical case. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, 12(4):727–748, 2019.
- [9] N. Fournier and C. Tardif. Anomalous diffusion for multi-dimensional critical kinetic Fokker-Planck equations. *Ann. Probab.*, 48(5):2359–2403, 2020.
- [10] N. Fournier and C. Tardif. One Dimensional Critical Kinetic Fokker-Planck Equations, Bessel and Stable Processes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 381(1):143–173, 2021.
- [11] S. Gouëzel. Central limit theorem and stable laws for intermittent maps. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 128(1):82–122, 2004.
- [12] K. Itô and H. P. McKean, Jr. *Diffusion processes and their sample paths*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974. Second printing, corrected, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 125.
- [13] J. Jacod and A. Shiryaev. *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*, volume 288 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2003.
- [14] M. Jara, T. Komorowski, and S. Olla. Limit theorems for additive functionals of a Markov chain. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 19(6):2270–2300, 2009.
- [15] T. Jeulin and M. Yor. Sur les distributions de certaines fonctionnelles du mouvement brownien. In *Seminar on Probability, XV (Univ. Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1979/1980) (French)*, volume 850 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 210–226. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [16] O. Kallenberg. *Foundations of modern probability*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2002.
- [17] P. Langevin. Sur la théorie du mouvement brownien. *C. R. Acad. Sci.*, 146:530–533, 1908.
- [18] G. Lebeau and M. Puel. Diffusion approximation for Fokker Planck with heavy tail equilibria: a spectral method in dimension 1. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 366(2):709–735, 2019.

- [19] S. Marksteiner, K. Ellinger, and P. Zoller. Anomalous diffusion and lévy walks in optical lattices. *Physical Review A*, 53(5):3409, 1996.
- [20] A. Mellet, S. Mischler, and C. Mouhot. Fractional diffusion limit for collisional kinetic equations. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 199(2):493–525, 2011.
- [21] E. Nasreddine and M. Puel. Diffusion limit of Fokker-Planck equation with heavy tail equilibria. *ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal.*, 49(1):1–17, 2015.
- [22] E. Pardoux and A. Yu. Veretennikov. On the Poisson equation and diffusion approximation. I. *Ann. Probab.*, 29(3):1061–1085, 2001.
- [23] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, volume 293 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1999.
- [24] Y. Sagi, M. Brook, I. Almog, and N. Davidson. Observation of anomalous diffusion and fractional self-similarity in one dimension. *Physical review letters*, 108(9):093002, 2012.
- [25] K. Sato. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, volume 68 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. Translated from the 1990 Japanese original, Revised edition of the 1999 English translation.