

SQUARE-FREE EXTENSIONS OF WORDS

JAROSŁAW GRZYTCZUK, HUBERT KORDULEWSKI, AND BARTŁOMIEJ PAWLIK

ABSTRACT. A word is *square-free* if it does not contain nonempty factors of the form XX . In 1906 Thue proved that there exist arbitrarily long square-free words over a 3-letter alphabet. It was proved recently [8] that among these words there are infinitely many *extremal* ones, that is, having a square in every single-letter extension.

We study diverse problems concerning extensions of words preserving the property of avoiding squares. Our main motivation is the conjecture stating that there are no extremal words over a 4-letter alphabet. We also investigate a natural recursive procedure of generating square-free words by a single-letter right-most extension. We present the results of computer experiments supporting a supposition that this procedure gives an infinite square-free word over any alphabet of size at least three.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *square* is a finite nonempty word of the form XX . For instance, the word **hotshots** is a square with $X = \text{hots}$. A word W *contains* a square if it can be written as $W = UXXV$ for some words U, V , and a nonempty word X . A word is *square-free* if it does not contain any squares. For instance, the word **repetition** contains the square **titi**, while **recreation** is square-free.

It is easy to check that there are no binary square-free words of length greater than 3. However, there exist ternary square-free words of any length, as proved by Thue in [15] (see [3]). This result is the starting point of Combinatorics on Words, a wide discipline with many exciting problems, deep results, and important applications (see [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11]).

In this paper we study problems concerning *square-free extensions* of words, a new concept introduced recently in [8]. Let \mathbb{A} be a fixed alphabet and let W be a finite word over \mathbb{A} . The set of all finite words over \mathbb{A} is denoted by \mathbb{A}^* . An *extension* of W over \mathbb{A} is any word of the form $W'xW''$, where $x \in \mathbb{A}$ and $W = W'W''$. For instance, the word **bear** is an extension of the word **bar** by inserting the letter **e** between letters **b** and **a**. A square-free word W is called *extremal* over \mathbb{A} if there is no square-free extension of W . For instance, the word

$$H = 1231213231232123121323123$$

Research supported by the National Science Center of Poland, grant 2015/17/B/ST1/02660.

is the shortest extremal word over alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$. This means that inserting any letter from the alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$ at any position in the word H , including the beginning as well as the end of H , results with a square.

A natural intuition is that extremal words should be rare or even should have bounded length. However, in the case of a 3-letter alphabet, the said intuition turned out to be untrue.

Theorem 1 (Grytczuk, Kordulewski, Niewiadomski [8]). *There exist infinitely many extremal words over a 3-letter alphabet.*

The proof of this theorem is by a recursive construction whose validity is partially based on computer verifications. In [12] Mol and Rampersad determined all positive integers k for which there exist extremal ternary words of length exactly k . In particular, they proved that for every $k \geq 87$ there exists an extremal ternary word of length k .

One may naturally wonder what the case is for larger alphabets. Actually, we do not know if there are any extremal words over a 4-letter alphabet. The following conjecture was stated in [8].

Conjecture 1. *Every square-free word over a 4-letter alphabet can be extended to a square-free word.*

Actually, we do not even know if there exist any constants n and N such that every square-free word over a n -letter alphabet of length at least N can be extended.

In the forthcoming sections we shall present some observations and results of computer experiments inspired by the conjecture above.

2. NONCHALANT WORDS

2.1. The main conjectures. The problem of extremal square-free words is connected to the following recursive construction.

Given a fixed ordered alphabet \mathbb{A} , we start with the first letter from \mathbb{A} and continue by inserting the earliest possible letter at the rightmost position of the actual word so that the new word is square-free. For instance, for the alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$ this greedy procedure starts with the following sequence of square-free words:

$$1, 12, 121, 1213, 12131, 121312, 1213121, 12131231.$$

The last word was obtained by inserting 3 at the penultimate position of the previous word.

We conjecture that the aforementioned procedure never stops. To state it formally, let us define recursively a sequence of *nonchalant words* N_i over the alphabet $\mathbb{A}_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by putting $N_1 = 1$, and letting $N_{i+1} = N'_i x N''_i$ to be a square-free extension of N_i such that N''_i is the shortest possible suffix of N_i and $x \in \mathbb{A}_n$ is the earliest possible letter.

Conjecture 2. *The sequence of nonchalant words over \mathbb{A}_n is infinite for every $n \geq 3$.*

In other words, we believe that the nonchalant algorithm never produces an extremal word. The results of computer experiments supports this conjecture. For instance, for $n = 3$ a nonchalant word of length 10000 was obtained. Moreover, the algorithm never moved back by more than 20 positions (see Appendix A for details). Therefore the following conjecture seems also plausible.

Conjecture 3. *The sequence of nonchalant words over \mathbb{A}_n converges to an infinite word \mathcal{N}_n for every $n \geq 3$.*

Here are the first 70 terms of the presumably infinite limit word for $n = 3$:

$$\mathcal{N}_3 = 1213123132123121312313231213123212312131231321231213123212312132123132\dots$$

2.2. Playing with initial words. The above version of nonchalant algorithm with the two corresponding conjectures were stated in [8]. Our numerical experiments led us to introduce more general approach.

Firstly, let us consider the nonchalant algorithm which not necessarily starts with the letter 1. Namely, let the nonchalant word N_1 be some square-free word over considered alphabet. From now on N_1 will be called the *initial word* of the nonchalant algorithm. The results of testing 10 000 iterations of the nonchalant algorithm for various initial words are presented in Table 1. First column contains the initial words, while the other columns shows how many times the nonchalant algorithm moved back by the given number of positions (the column initialized by 0 shows how many times algorithm puts a letter at the rightmost position, by 1 - at the penultimate position, etc.). What is worth noticing, experimental results suggests, that the outcomes of the algorithm contains a lot of similarities. For example, for each considered initial word, the nonchalant algorithm inserts a new letter 33 to 36 times right before the suffix of length 4 (more results are presented in Appendix A).

2.3. Nonchalant words over four letters. In case of a 4-letter alphabet the situation looks even more exciting. In our experiments for the initial word 1 the nonchalant algorithm never moved back by more than one position. To be precise, through 50 000 iterations algorithm extended the word on the penultimate position only 33 times (in other cases, algorithm extended the word at the last position).

The square-free word W over given alphabet \mathbb{A} is *almost extremal* if for every nonempty words W' and W'' such that $W = W'W''$, the word $W'xW''$ contains a square for any $x \in \mathbb{A}$. Given that, let us consider another variant of the nonchalant algorithm. Namely, let 12 be the initial word of the nonchalant algorithm over \mathbb{A}_4 and let us allow to extend the word

N_1	0	1	2	3	4	7	9	12	14	15	20
1	9457	310	184	1	33	11	1	0	0	1	2
2	9457	309	186	1	33	11	0	0	1	0	2
3	9457	307	185	0	34	13	1	0	1	0	2
13	9454	310	185	0	34	13	1	0	1	0	2
23	9458	307	185	1	34	11	0	1	1	0	2
32	9458	309	185	1	33	11	0	0	1	0	2
3213	9455	309	185	0	34	13	1	0	1	0	2
2313	9457	310	185	0	34	11	1	0	1	0	1
32132	9460	307	182	1	33	14	0	0	1	0	2
2313213	9455	309	185	0	34	13	1	0	1	0	2
231323	9457	308	183	0	35	13	1	0	1	0	2
32132313	9461	307	182	1	36	11	1	0	1	0	0
321323132	9461	307	182	1	36	11	1	0	1	0	0
32132313213	9455	309	185	0	34	13	1	0	1	0	2

TABLE 1. The number of letters omitted through the single iteration of the nonchalant algorithm for various initial words (10 000 iterations).

only at internal positions (in this variant of nonchalant algorithm extending the word on the rightmost position is forbidden). Such procedure starts with the following sequence of square-free words:

12, 132, 1312, 13142, 131412, 1314132, 13141312, 131413212.

The last word was obtained by inserting 2 right before the suffix 12. Through 50 000 iterations, the algorithm never moved back by more than two positions (in this case the number of iterations in which algorithm moved back by two positions is approximately equal to 10% of all iterations).

2.4. Extensions close to the ends. These experiments led us to the following two suppositions that perhaps: (1) every quaternary square-free word can be extended at the end or at the penultimate position, and (2) every quaternary square-free word (of length at least 3) can be extended at one of the two rightmost internal positions. However, both suppositions turned out not to be true.

Proposition 1. *There exists a quaternary square-free word S which cannot be extended, neither at the last, nor at the penultimate position.*

Proof. Let $A = 1213121$ and $B = 121312$. Next, let $Y = 3B4$ and $Z = 41YA4YB341$. Finally, put $S = ZYA4YA$, which gives the word:

$$S = 4231213124121312143121312412131231423121312412131214312131241213121.$$

It can be verified (by a computer) that S is indeed square-free. Now, Ax contains a square for every $x \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Also $S4 = Z(YA4)(YA4)$ is not square-free. For the penultimate position it suffices to check only letters 3 and 4. So, the suffix A in S will turn to one of the forms, $B31$ or $B41$, respectively. In the latter case we get the word

$$(2.1) \quad ZYA4YB41 = ZYA43(B4)(B4)1.$$

In the former case we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad ZYA4YB31 = (41YA4YB3)(41YA4YB3)1.$$

The assertion is proved. □

In the case of the second supposition, we will present more general result. We use the well known *Zimin words* Z_n , defined recursively over an infinite alphabet of variables $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ by $Z_1 = x_1$ and $Z_n = Z_{n-1}x_nZ_{n-1}$ for every $n \geq 2$. In the following proposition, the construction in the proof is more clear when we analyse the *leftmost* internal positions instead of the *rightmost* ones. Obviously, the result holds in the latter case as well.

Theorem 2. *For every natural numbers n and t , with $n \geq 4$ and $1 \leq t < n$, there exists a square-free word W over the alphabet \mathbb{A}_n which is non-extendable at any of its t inner leftmost positions.*

Proof. Let $A = 12 \dots n$ be a word over alphabet \mathbb{A}_n . This word has exactly $N = (n-1)(n-2)$ distinct internal square-free extensions. Let us consider the Zimin word Z_N over the alphabet \mathbb{A}_N and the homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{A}_N^* \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_n^*$, such that the image of every letter of the alphabet \mathbb{A}_N is a unique internal extension of the word A assigned in a natural way as

follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(1) &= \mathbf{1323} \dots \mathbf{n} \\
\varphi(2) &= \mathbf{1423} \dots \mathbf{n} \\
&\vdots \\
\varphi(\mathbf{n} - 2) &= \mathbf{1n23} \dots \mathbf{n} \\
\varphi(\mathbf{n} - 1) &= \mathbf{1213} \dots \mathbf{n} \\
\varphi(\mathbf{n}) &= \mathbf{1243} \dots \mathbf{n} \\
&\vdots \\
\varphi(\mathbf{N}) &= \mathbf{123} \dots (\mathbf{n} - 1)(\mathbf{n} - 2)\mathbf{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For every $i \in \mathbb{A}_N$ the word $\varphi(i)$ has a unique factor $\mathbf{j}\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{j} + 1)$, where \mathbf{e} is the inserted letter that extended the word A . Moreover, if $\mathbf{j} \neq 1$, then $\varphi(i)$ has also a unique factor $(\mathbf{j} - 1)\mathbf{j}\mathbf{e}$ and if $\mathbf{j} + 1 \neq \mathbf{n}$, then $\varphi(i)$ has a unique factor $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{j} + 1)(\mathbf{j} + 2)$.

Let us assume that the word $\varphi(Z_N)$ contains a square XX . It is not hard to verify that for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{A}_N \setminus \{1\}$, $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$, the words $\varphi(\mathbf{1x})$, $\varphi(\mathbf{x1})$, $\varphi(\mathbf{1x1})$, $\varphi(\mathbf{x1y})$, $\varphi(\mathbf{1x1y})$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{x1y1})$ are square-free. It follows that the length of XX has to be greater than $3n + 2$ and XX has to contain a block $\varphi(\mathbf{u})$ for some $\mathbf{u} \neq 1$. Moreover, this block is unique in XX since every factor of a Zimin word contains a unique single letter of the greatest value (in that factor). In consequence the square XX contains a unique factor $\mathbf{j}\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{j} + 1)$, which must occupy the middle of the word XX . This fact gives us two possible cases for the form of the word X , namely

$$X = (\mathbf{j} + 1)\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{j}\mathbf{e} \quad \text{or} \quad X = \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{j} + 1)\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{j},$$

for some nonempty word Y . We may also assume that $\mathbf{j} = 1$ or $\mathbf{j} + 1 = \mathbf{n}$, since otherwise one of the parts of the square XX would have to contain another unique factor, which is clearly impossible.

Let us consider the case $\mathbf{j} = 1$. Then we have

$$\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{1e23} \dots \mathbf{n}.$$

To avoid a second unique factor, the word X has to be of form

$$X = \mathbf{e23} \dots \mathbf{nB1}$$

for some nonempty word B , and so

$$XX = \mathbf{e23} \dots \mathbf{nB}\varphi(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{B1}.$$

Let us notice that XX is a factor of the word

$$\varphi(\mathbf{u})B\varphi(\mathbf{u})B1,$$

since the only word of form $\varphi(\mathbf{y})$ with the suffix $= \mathbf{e}23\dots(\mathbf{n}-1)\mathbf{n}$ is $\varphi(\mathbf{u})$. Between any occurrences of the same letter in Zimin word there is a letter of greater value, so the word Z contains a factor $\varphi(\mathbf{z})$ for some \mathbf{z} greater than \mathbf{x} , and this fact creates a contradiction.

The reasoning in the case $j+1 = \mathbf{n}$ goes analogously. Thus we have proved that the word $\varphi(Z_N)$ is square-free.

In a similar way one may prove that the word $A\varphi(Z_N)$ is also square-free. By the structure of Zimin words, it follows that inserting a single letter on one of the internal positions of the prefix A in the word $A\varphi(Z_N)$ generates a square. \square

The above results lead naturally to the following question.

Question 1. *Is it true that there is some constant $t \geq 3$ such that every quaternary square-free word can be extended at some of its rightmost t positions?*

We can only prove that the answer is negative over 5-letter alphabet for sufficiently large t if we omit the very last position in the process of extension.

Proposition 2. *For every $t \geq 87$ there exists a square-free word over a 5-letter alphabet which is non-extendable at any of its t inner rightmost positions.*

Proof. Let $A = a_1a_2\dots a_t$ be any extremal word of length t over alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Let $S = 4A5$. Consider all possible extensions of the word S by letters 4 or 5 at all inner positions of A . There are exactly $2(t-1)$ such words and we may enumerate them as $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{2t-2}$. Let us denote also $A_{2t-1} = 4A45$. Now, consider the word W obtained as the effect of a substitution $x_i = A_i$ of words A_i to the corresponding letters of the Zimin word Z_{2t-1} . Finally, let us denote $P = WS$.

We claim that the word P satisfies the assertion of the proposition. Indeed, consider any extension of P at any of its t final inner positions. If the inserted letter is from the alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$, then we get a square by the extremality of A . Otherwise, if the inserted letter is from $\{4, 5\}$, then the suffix S of P becomes one of the words A_i and we get a square by the structure of the Zimin word Z_{2t-1} .

It is also not hard to demonstrate that the word P is indeed square-free, by a reasoning similar to the one in the previous proof. \square

3. THE NUMBER OF SQUARE-FREE EXTENSIONS

3.1. The square-free potential. The problem of square-free extensions leads to some naturally defined functions on words. For instance, given a square-free word W over alphabet \mathbb{A} ,

let $\mathbb{E}(W)$ and $\mathfrak{e}(W)$ denote¹, respectively, the number of different square-free extensions and the number of different *internal* square-free extensions of W . Such functions will be called a *square-free potential* and an *internal square-free potential* of the word W . Given that, we can rephrase some definitions in the terms of square-free potentials: the square-free word W is *extremal* if $\mathbb{E}(W) = 0$, *almost extremal* if $\mathfrak{e}(W) = 0$, and *maximal* if $\mathbb{E}(W) = \mathfrak{e}(W)$.

Let us notice that for every square-free word W over the alphabet \mathbb{A}_n , the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}(W) \leq \mathfrak{e}(W) + 2(n - 1)$$

holds.

As we already know, $\mathbb{E}(W) = 0$ for infinitely many square-free ternary words. But how large this function can be for words of length n ?

Let \mathcal{S}_n denote the set of all finite square-free words over the alphabet \mathbb{A}_n . Let $\mathbb{E}_n(k)$ and $\mathfrak{e}_n(k)$ be the maximum values of $\mathbb{E}(W)$ and $\mathfrak{e}(W)$ for words of length k in \mathcal{S}_n . Clearly, $\mathbb{E}_3(k) \leq k + 3$ and $\mathfrak{e}_3(k) \leq k - 1$ for all $k \geq 1$, by definition (every ternary square-free word can be potentially extended at every internal position by just one letter, and at the border positions by 2 distinct letters each). However, notice that the number of internal positions where such word may be extended is limited by the number of *palindromes* it contains. Indeed, any palindrome inside a square-free word must contain a factor \mathbf{xyx} in the middle, which simply cannot be extended at the left nor at the right end. Such palindromes occur very often in square-free ternary words, at least once in every factor of length 7, which gives the following bound.

Proposition 3. *For every sufficiently large k , we have $\mathbb{E}_3(k) \leq \frac{5}{7}k$.*

However, the numerical results presented in Table 2 suggest that a better upper bound may be found.

Let us consider a square-free word M of length 35 such that

$$M = 1_213_123_132_312_321_231_213_123_132_312_321_2,$$

where the symbol $_$ stands for an extendable position of the word M . What is worth of notice, the prefixes M_k of lengths $k \geq 7$ of the word M has the maximal possible value of the function \mathfrak{e} for given k . Moreover, the internal positions on which the words M_k are extendable coincide with internal extendable positions of the word M .

The construction of the nonchalant algorithm suggests that answering the question concerning the values of function \mathfrak{e} for the nonchalant words seems plausible. The numerical results shows that for the first 1000 iterations of the nonchalant algorithm, the values of \mathfrak{e}

¹Such designation of the function, borrowed from the norwegian alphabet, was chosen in order to honor Axel Thue.

k	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
$\mathfrak{a}_3(k)$	2	3	4	3	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	6	6
$\mathfrak{A}_3(k)$	6	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	9
k	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
$\mathfrak{a}_3(k)$	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	9	9	9	10	10	10	11	11	11
$\mathfrak{A}_3(k)$	9	9	10	10	10	11	11	11	12	12	12	13	13	13	14	14
k	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
$\mathfrak{a}_3(k)$	12	11	11	11	12	12	12	12	12	13	13	13	14	14	14	15
$\mathfrak{A}_3(k)$	14	15	14	15	16	15	14	15	15	15	16	16	16	17	17	17

TABLE 2. Values of the functions $\mathfrak{a}_3(k)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_3(k)$ for $k \leq 50$.

i	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
$\mathfrak{a}(N_i)$	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2
i	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
$\mathfrak{a}(N_i)$	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5

TABLE 3. Values of the function \mathfrak{a} for nonchalant words N_i for $i \leq 39$.

i	2	3	8	26	32	40	46	64	79	100	108	111	117	135	172
$\mathfrak{a}(N_i)$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
i	175	183	189	222	243	251	254	260	279	286	314	338	346	352	370
$\mathfrak{a}(N_i)$	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
i	385	406	414	417	423	445	469	477	489	496	524	548	556	562	580
$\mathfrak{a}(N_i)$	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45
i	595	616	624	627	633	655	687	706	712	737	740	743	764	779	800
$\mathfrak{a}(N_i)$	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
i	808	811	817	835	850	872	875	878	881	902	917	938	967	973	997
$\mathfrak{a}(N_i)$	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75

TABLE 4. Indexes $i < 1000$ for which the nonchalant procedure gave new maximal values of $\mathfrak{a}(N_i)$.

are slightly increasing in such manner, that when the new maximal value is obtained for the nonchalant word N_i , then the value of \mathfrak{a} for preceding words is never less than $\mathfrak{a}(N_i) - 2$ (see Tables 3 and 4).

This fact led us to the following version of Conjecture 2.

Conjecture 4. *If $\mathfrak{a}(N_1) > 2$ for the starting word N_1 of the nonchalant algorithm, then the respective sequence of nonchalant words is infinite.*

3.2. The square-free potential of Zimin words. Let us consider the square-free potential of Zimin words Z_n . Since Zimin words are non-extendable on the external positions, we have $\mathfrak{A}(Z_n) = \mathfrak{a}(Z_n)$. It is easy to verify, that $\mathfrak{A}(Z_1) = \mathfrak{A}(Z_2) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{A}(Z_3) = 2$. Let $n \geq 4$. From the construction $Z_n = Z_{n-1}\mathfrak{n}Z_{n-1}$ we get that the only extensions of Z_n by the letters $1, 2, \dots, \mathfrak{n} - 1$ are those induced by the prefix or suffix Z_{n-1} (the *new* letter \mathfrak{n} in the center of the word Z_n provides that there is no square that contains this letter). Thus

$$\mathfrak{A}(Z_n) = 2 \cdot \mathfrak{A}(Z_{n-1}) + t(n),$$

where $t(n)$ stands for the number of different square-free extensions of the word Z_n by inserting the letter \mathfrak{n} . Let us recall that the extension of Z_n by the letter \mathfrak{n} would generate a square if and only if we insert this letter right after the last appearance of any other letter in the prefix $Z_{n-1}\mathfrak{n}$ or, analogously, right before the first appearance of any other letter in the suffix $\mathfrak{n}Z_{n-1}$. Since there are $2^n - 2$ internal positions in the word Z_n , we have

$$t(n) = (2^n - 2) - 2(n - 1).$$

This leads us to the following

Proposition 4. *Let Z_n be a Zimin word over an alphabet \mathbb{A}_n . Then $\mathfrak{A}(Z_1) = \mathfrak{A}(Z_2) = 0$, $\mathfrak{A}(Z_3) = 2$ and*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{A}(Z_n) = 2^n - 2n + 2 \cdot \mathfrak{A}(Z_{n-1}),$$

for $n \geq 4$.

Before we came up with the presented formula, our computer calculations gave us the following sequence of the square-free potentials of Zimin words:

$$0, 0, 2, 12, 46, 144, 402.$$

Excluding the first two 0's, there is only one sequence in the OEIS which is initialized by such integers (see [18]). Description of the sequence suggests, that it has nothing to do with combinatorics on words. Needless to say, the next term of the OEIS sequence is equal to 1040 and, as it turned out, the next term of our sequence is equal to 1044. Thus, for the brief moment, the authors became victims of the well known *Strong Law of Small Numbers*.

4. FINAL DISCUSSION

Let us conclude the paper with a few general remarks and open problems. First notice that one may consider extremal words and nonchalant words with respect to any *avoidable pattern*. For instance, one natural generalization of squares is that of *k-powers*, which are words of the form $XX \cdots X$ consisting of k copies of any nonempty word X . It was already proved by Thue [16] (see [3], [10]) that there exist infinitely many *cube-free* words over a 2-letter alphabet. Is the sequence of cube-free nonchalant *binary* words infinite? Is it true that every cube-free *ternary* word is extendable?

Similar questions can be asked for *overlap-free* words (avoiding factors of the form $xWxWx$, where x is a single letter and W is a word). By the famous result of Thue [16] there exist binary overlap-free words of any length. Mol, Rampersad, and Shallit [13] proved recently that there are infinitely many extremal overlap-free binary words. Actually they determined precisely the possible lengths of such words.

To state our main conjectures for general patterns let us recall briefly some basic notions of pattern avoidance (see [2, 11]). Let \mathbb{V} be an alphabet of variables. A *pattern* $P = p_1p_2 \cdots p_r$, with $p_i \in \mathbb{V}$, is any nonempty word over \mathbb{V} . A word W *realizes* a pattern P if it can be split into nonempty factors $W = W_1W_2 \cdots W_r$ so that $W_i = W_j$ if and only if $p_i = p_j$, for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. A word W *avoids* a pattern P if no factor of W realizes P . For instance, a square-free word avoids a pattern $P = xx$. A pattern P is *avoidable* if there exist arbitrarily long words avoiding P over some finite alphabet. The least size of such alphabet is denoted as $\mu(P)$ and called the *avoidability index* of P . A complete characterizations of avoidable patterns was provided independently by Zimin [17] and Bean, Ehrenfeucht and McNulty [2].

Now, given a fixed pattern P , we may define *extremal P-free* words and *P-nonchalant words* analogously as in the case of squares. The following conjectures seem worth experimentation.

Conjecture 5. *For every avoidable pattern P , there are no extremal P -free words over alphabet of size $\mu(P) + 1$.*

Conjecture 6. *For every avoidable pattern P and any integer $n \geq \mu(P)$, the sequence of P -nonchalant words over \mathbb{A}_n is infinite and converges to a unique infinite word $\mathcal{N}_n^{(P)}$.*

A first attempt in studying extremal P -free words was made by Ter-Saakov and Zhang in [14], though they focused on a special family of *unavoidable* patterns of the form $P = XY_1XY_2X \cdots XY_tX$, determining the exact number of extremal P -free words over any finite alphabet.

Actually, one may go into broader generality and consider similar problems for any *monotone property* of words (sets of words closed under any alphabet permutation and taking

factors). One natural example from outside the pattern avoidance setting, are words avoiding *abelian squares* (words of the form XY , where Y is any permutation of X). It is known that there exist infinitely many abelian square-free words over a 4-letter alphabet, as conjectured by Erdős [6] and proved by Keränen [9]. Ter-Saakov and Zhang found in [14] the shortest extremal abelian square-free word over four letters:

123421324321,

and conjectured that there are infinitely many of them. On the other hand, it is not hard to check that the number of nonchalant abelian square-free words over any finite alphabet is finite.

APPENDIX A. 10 000 ITERATIONS OF THE NONCHALANT PROCEDURE

We consider a 3-letter alphabet. Let p be the number of positions that the nonchalant algorithm moved back in the i -th iteration. Tables 5 and 6 contain information about the first 10 000 iterations of the nonchalant algorithm with initial word 1. For example, in the seventh iteration of the algorithm, the 8-letter long word was obtained by inserting a single letter in the penultimate position of the previous, 7-letter long, word. The three iterations with the biggest number of positions moved back were bolded (in fact, these are the only iterations in which the algorithm moved back more than 9 positions (cf. Table 1).

Table 7 contains an example of more detailed common results for various initial words. Let us focus on the distances between consecutive iterations, in which the nonchalant algorithm moved back by exactly four positions (the number 4 was chosen arbitrarily). We present the number of occurrences of such distances with respect to various initial words (for each initial word we, again, analyse the first 10 000 iterations of nonchalant algorithm). For example, for initial word 1, the first occurrence of considered iteration takes place after 207 steps (Table 5). Such number of steps between two consecutive iterations does not happen anymore, so for the initial word 1 we have a number 1 in the column started by 207. As we can see, the most common distances among considered iterations are about 210-211 steps.

<i>i</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>p</i>
7	1	640	1	1307	2	1965	1	2592	4	3253	1	3861	1	4436	20
25	2	648	2	1338	1	1986	1	2625	1	3256	2	3883	2	4453	1
32	1	676	1	1349	7	1994	2	2657	1	3279	4	3890	2	4485	1
64	1	698	2	1382	1	2025	1	2662	1	3312	1	3921	1	4490	1
69	1	705	2	1387	1	2036	4	2665	2	3344	1	3953	1	4493	2
72	2	764	1	1390	2	2040	7	2696	1	3349	1	3958	1	4524	1
103	1	769	1	1421	1	2067	2	2728	1	3352	2	3961	2	4556	1
135	1	772	2	1453	1	2074	2	2730	2	3383	1	3992	1	4558	2
140	1	803	1	1458	1	2105	1	2732	2	3415	1	4013	1	4560	2
143	15	835	1	1461	2	2137	1	2761	2	3417	9	4021	2	4589	2
144	2	840	1	1484	4	2142	1	2792	1	3438	2	4052	1	4620	1
175	1	843	2	1517	1	2145	2	2824	1	3445	2	4063	4	4652	1
207	1	902	1	1549	1	2176	1	2829	1	3476	1	4093	2	4657	1
212	1	907	1	1554	1	2197	1	2832	2	3508	1	4100	2	4660	2
215	2	910	2	1557	2	2205	2	2863	1	3513	1	4131	1	4691	1
246	1	931	2	1588	1	2236	1	2884	1	3516	2	4163	1	4712	1
270	4	959	2	1620	1	2247	4	2892	2	3547	1	4168	1	4720	2
300	2	966	2	1622	2	2277	2	2923	1	3568	1	4171	2	4751	1
307	2	997	1	1624	2	2284	2	2934	4	3576	2	4202	1	4762	4
338	1	1029	1	1653	2	2315	1	2964	2	3607	1	4223	1	4792	2
370	1	1034	1	1684	1	2347	1	2971	2	3618	4	4231	2	4799	2
375	1	1037	2	1716	1	2352	1	3002	1	3648	2	4262	1	4830	1
378	2	1068	1	1721	1	2355	2	3034	1	3655	2	4273	4	4862	1
409	1	1089	1	1724	2	2386	1	3039	1	3686	1	4274	1	4867	1
430	1	1097	2	1755	1	2407	1	3042	2	3718	1	4275	1	4870	2
438	2	1128	1	1776	1	2415	2	3073	1	3723	1	4278	2	4901	1
469	1	1139	4	1784	2	2446	1	3094	1	3726	2	4296	2	4922	1
480	4	1169	2	1815	1	2457	7	3102	2	3757	1	4303	2	4930	2
510	2	1176	2	1826	4	2490	1	3133	1	3778	1	4342	1	4961	1
517	2	1207	1	1856	2	2495	1	3144	7	3786	2	4347	1	4972	4
548	1	1239	1	1863	2	2498	2	3177	1	3817	1	4350	2	4976	7
580	1	1244	1	1894	1	2529	1	3182	1	3828	4	4381	1		
585	1	1247	2	1926	1	2561	1	3185	2	3829	1	4413	1		
588	2	1278	1	1931	1	2566	1	3216	1	3830	1	4418	1		
619	1	1299	1	1934	2	2569	2	3248	1	3833	2	4421	2		

TABLE 5. Non-zero positions moved back by nonchalant algorithm in iterations 1-5000.

i	p	i	p	i	p	i	p	i	p	i	p	i	p	i	p
5003	2	5632	1	6249	2	6852	2	7483	2	8144	1	8835	1	9485	2
5010	2	5664	1	6280	1	6883	1	7514	1	8147	2	8843	2	9516	1
5041	1	5666	2	6291	4	6904	1	7535	1	8178	1	8874	1	9527	4
5073	1	5668	2	6321	2	6912	2	7543	2	8205	2	8885	4	9557	2
5078	1	5697	2	6328	2	6943	1	7574	1	8238	1	8915	2	9564	2
5081	2	5728	1	6359	1	6954	4	7585	7	8261	3	8922	2	9595	1
5112	1	5760	1	6391	1	6984	2	7618	1	8267	2	8953	1	9627	1
5133	1	5765	1	6396	1	6991	2	7623	1	8298	1	8985	1	9632	1
5141	2	5768	2	6399	2	7022	1	7626	2	8330	1	8990	1	9635	2
5172	1	5799	1	6430	1	7054	1	7657	1	8335	1	8993	2	9666	1
5183	4	5820	1	6451	1	7059	1	7689	4	8338	2	9024	1	9687	1
5213	2	5828	2	6459	2	7062	2	7716	2	8369	1	9045	1	9695	2
5220	2	5859	1	6490	1	7093	1	7723	2	8390	1	9053	2	9726	1
5251	1	5870	4	6501	7	7114	1	7754	1	8398	2	9084	1	9737	4
5283	1	5900	2	6534	1	7122	2	7786	1	8429	1	9123	2	9738	1
5288	1	5907	2	6539	1	7153	1	7791	1	8440	4	9130	2	9739	1
5291	2	5938	1	6542	2	7164	4	7794	2	8470	2	9161	1	9742	2
5322	1	5970	1	6573	1	7168	7	7825	1	8477	2	9193	1	9770	1
5343	1	5975	1	6605	1	7195	2	7846	1	8508	1	9198	1	9792	2
5351	2	5978	2	6610	1	7202	2	7854	2	8540	1	9201	2	9799	2
5382	1	6009	1	6613	2	7233	1	7885	1	8545	1	9232	1	9830	1
5393	7	6030	1	6628	20	7265	1	7896	4	8548	2	9253	1	9862	1
5426	1	6038	2	6645	1	7270	1	7926	2	8579	1	9261	2	9867	1
5431	1	6069	1	6677	1	7273	2	7933	2	8600	1	9292	1	9870	2
5434	2	6080	4	6682	1	7304	1	7964	1	8608	2	9294	1	9901	1
5465	1	6084	7	6685	2	7325	1	7996	1	8639	1	9328	4	9922	1
5497	1	6111	2	6716	1	7333	2	8001	1	8650	4	9347	2	9930	2
5502	1	6118	2	6748	1	7364	1	8004	2	8705	2	9354	2	9961	1
5505	2	6149	1	6750	2	7375	4	8035	1	8712	2	9385	1	9972	4
5528	4	6181	1	6752	2	7405	2	8056	1	8743	1	9417	1		
5561	1	6186	1	6781	2	7412	2	8064	2	8775	1	9422	1		
5593	1	6189	2	6812	1	7443	1	8095	1	8780	1	9425	2		
5598	1	6220	1	6844	1	7475	1	8106	7	8783	2	9456	1		
5601	2	6241	1	6849	1	7480	1	8139	1	8814	1	9477	1		

TABLE 6. Non-zero positions moved back by nonchalant algorithm in iterations 5001-10000.

N_1	199	207	208	210	211	233	235	270	314	339	342	345	443	460
1	1	1	0	9	4	0	3	1	1	1	3	4	1	0
2	2	1	0	8	5	0	3	0	1	0	4	4	1	1
3	1	1	0	8	6	1	1	0	1	1	5	6	0	1
13	1	1	1	8	6	0	1	0	1	1	5	6	0	1
23	2	1	0	9	5	0	3	0	1	1	3	4	1	1
32	2	1	0	8	5	0	3	0	1	0	4	4	1	1
3213	1	1	1	8	6	0	1	0	1	1	5	6	0	1
2313	2	0	0	8	5	0	2	0	0	1	5	7	1	1
32132	1	1	0	9	6	0	2	0	1	0	4	1	0	0
2313213	1	1	1	8	6	0	1	0	1	1	5	6	0	1
231323	1	2	0	8	6	0	1	0	1	1	5	6	0	1
32132313	2	0	0	9	5	0	3	0	0	1	5	7	1	1
321323132	2	0	0	9	5	0	3	0	0	1	5	7	1	1
32132313213	1	1	1	8	6	0	1	0	1	1	5	6	0	1

N_1	489	544	659	663	688	806
1	1	1	1	1	0	0
2	0	1	0	1	1	0
3	1	0	0	1	0	0
13	1	0	0	1	0	0
23	1	1	0	1	0	0
32	0	1	0	1	1	0
3213	1	0	0	1	0	0
2313	0	0	0	0	0	0
32132	0	1	0	1	0	1
2313213	1	0	0	1	0	0
231323	1	0	0	1	0	0
32132313	0	0	0	0	0	0
321323132	0	0	0	0	0	0
32132313213	1	0	0	1	0	0

TABLE 7. Numbers of occurrences of distances (first row) between two consecutive iterations in which the nonchalant algorithm moved back exactly four positions, for various initial words (for 10 000 iterations of the algorithm).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit. *Automatic Sequences. Theory, Applications, Generalizations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. [1](#)
- [2] D. R. Bean, A. Ehrenfeucht, G. F. McNulty, Avoidable patterns in strings of symbols, *Pacific J. Math.* 85 (1979) 261–294. [1](#), [4](#)
- [3] J. Berstel, Axel Thue’s papers on repetitions in words: a translation, *Publications du LaCIM*, vol. 20, Université du Québec a Montréal, 1995. [1](#), [4](#)
- [4] J. Berstel, D. Perrin, The origins of combinatorics on words, *Europ. J. Combin.* 28 (2007) 996–1022. [1](#)
- [5] J. Currie, Pattern avoidance: themes and variations, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 339 (2005) 7–18. [1](#)
- [6] P. Erdős, Some unsolved problems, *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.* 6 (1961), 221–254. [4](#)
- [7] J. Grytczuk, Thue type problems for graphs, points, and numbers. *Discrete Math.* 308 (2008) 4419–4429. [1](#)
- [8] J. Grytczuk, H. Kordulewski, A. Niewiadomski, Extremal square-free words, *The Electron. J. Combin.* 27 (2020) P1.48. ([document](#)), [1](#), [1](#), [2.2](#)
- [9] V. Keränen, Abelian squares are avoidable on 4 letters, *Automata, Languages and Programming, Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 623, Springer, Berlin, 1992, 41–52. [4](#)
- [10] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on Words*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983. [1](#), [4](#)
- [11] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002. [1](#), [4](#)
- [12] L. Mol, N. Rampersad, Lengths of extremal square-free ternary words, *Contrib. Discrete Math.* 16 (2021) 8–19. [1](#)
- [13] L. Mol, N. Rampersad, J. Shallit, Extremal overlap-free and extremal β -free binary words, *Electron. J. Combin.* 27 (2020) P4.42. [4](#)
- [14] N. Ter-Saakov, E. Zhang, Extremal pattern-avoiding words, [arXiv:2009.10186](#). [4](#)
- [15] A. Thue, Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. *Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl.* 7 (1906), 1–22. Reprinted in *Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue*, T. Nagell, editor, Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1977, pp. 139–158. [1](#)
- [16] A. Thue, Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen, *Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl.* 1 (1912), 1–67. Reprinted in *Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue*, T. Nagell, editor, Universitetsforlaget, Oslo, 1977, pp. 413–478. [4](#)
- [17] A. I. Zimin, Blocking sets of terms, *Mat. Sb.* 119 (1982) 363–375. Translated in *Sb. Math.* 47 (1984) 353–364. [4](#)
- [18] <https://oeis.org/A006742>. [3.2](#)

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
00-662 WARSAW, POLAND

Email address: `j.grytczuk@mini.pw.edu.pl`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
00-662 WARSAW, POLAND

Email address: `apostatajulian@gmail.com`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, 44-100 GLIWICE, POLAND

Email address: `bpawlik@polsl.pl`