

Q -polynomial coherent configurations

Sho Suda

Department of Mathematics,
National Defense Academy of Japan
ssuda@nda.ac.jp

April 12, 2021

Abstract

Coherent configurations are a generalization of association schemes. In this paper, we introduce the concept of Q -polynomial coherent configurations and study the relationship among intersection numbers, Krein numbers, and eigenmatrices. The examples of Q -polynomial coherent configurations are provided from Delsarte designs in Q -polynomial schemes and spherical designs.

1 Introduction

Association schemes are a combinatorial generalization of a transitive permutation group. Q -polynomial association schemes are defined by Delsarte in [8] as a framework to study design theory including orthogonal arrays and block designs, and have been extensively studied in the last two decades.

This concept is regarded as a dual object to distance-regular graphs (equivalently P -polynomial association schemes). Many examples of Q -polynomial association schemes that are neither P -polynomial nor duals of translation P -polynomial association schemes are obtained from designs in Q -polynomial schemes or spherical designs [2, 9].

Coherent configurations are a combinatorial generalization of a permutation group. In the last decades, several examples of coherent configurations are obtained from design theoretic objects such as block designs, spherical designs and Euclidean designs.

In this paper, the Q -polynomial property for coherent configurations whose fibers are symmetric association schemes is proposed. The Q -polynomial property is characterized in a similar fashion to association schemes. Examples will be given from Delsarte designs or spherical designs. It was shown in [8, 9] that a Delsarte or spherical t -design with degree s satisfying $t \geq 2s - 2$ has a structure of a Q -polynomial association scheme. In [21], this result for spherical designs is generalized as follows: Let X_i be a spherical t_i -design for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $s_{i,j}$ be the number of distinct inner products between X_i and X_j . If $t_j \geq s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 2$ holds for any $i, j, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ with binary relations defined by inner products has a structure of a coherent configuration. We show that the coherent configurations obtained

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05E30

Keywords: Association scheme, Coherent configuration, Q -polynomial, Design, Code

in this manner is Q -polynomial. As a corollary, Q -polynomial coherent configurations are obtained from

- tight Delsarte or spherical designs with small strength such as 4, 5, 7,
- Q -antipodal Q -polynomial association schemes.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and Section 3, we review the theory of association schemes and coherent configurations. In Section 4, we prove Proposition 4.1 that characterizes the Q -polynomial property. In Section 5, several examples of Q -polynomial coherent configurations are provided from Q -antipodal Q -polynomial association schemes, complete orthogonal array of strength 4, tight spherical t -designs for $t = 4, 5, 7$, and maximal mutually unbiased bases. Section 6 is taken from [25] and [5]. It is known that the Terwilliger algebra of the binary Hamming schemes is a coherent configuration. We will claim that the coherent configuration is Q -polynomial based on [25]. Furthermore it was shown in [5] that tight relative $2e$ -designs on two shells in the binary Hamming scheme $H(n, 2)$ yield a Q -polynomial coherent configurations. Motivated by this work, we generalize Theorem 5.5 to designs in fibers of a Q -polynomial coherent configuration. Finally we list open problems in Section 7 regarding Q -polynomial coherent configurations. In Appendix A, the formula among intersection numbers, Krein numbers, eigenmatrices is given in a similar manner [6].

2 Association schemes

We begin with the definition of association schemes. We refer the reader to [6] for more information. Let X be a finite set and $\mathcal{R} = \{R_0, R_1, \dots, R_d\}$ be a set of non-empty subsets of $X \times X$.

The pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ is a commutative association scheme with class d if the following hold:

- (1) $R_0 = \text{diag}(X \times X)$, where $\text{diag}(X \times X) = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$,
- (2) $\{R_0, R_1, \dots, R_d\}$ is a partition of $X \times X$,
- (3) for any $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $R_i^\top \in \mathcal{R}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, where $R^\top = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$ for a subset R of $X \times X$,
- (4) for any $i, j, h \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, there exists an integer $p_{i,j}^h$, called an intersection number, such that

$$|\{z \in X \mid (x, y) \in R_i, (y, z) \in R_j\}| = p_{i,j}^h$$

for any $(x, y) \in R_h$,

- (5) for any $i, j, h \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, $p_{i,j}^h = p_{j,i}^h$ holds.

A commutative association scheme is said to be symmetric if the following holds:

- (3)' for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, $R_i^\top = R_i$ holds.

From now, let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ be a symmetric association scheme. Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the graph (X, R_i) . Here the adjacency matrix of a graph (X, R) is the $(0, 1)$ -matrix with rows and columns indexed by the elements of X and its (x, y) -entry equal to 1 if

$(x, y) \in R$ and 0 otherwise. The vector space \mathcal{A} spanned by the A_i over \mathbb{R} forms an algebra which is called the adjacency algebra of (X, \mathcal{R}) . Since \mathcal{A} is commutative and semisimple, there exist primitive idempotents $E_0 = \frac{1}{|X|}J, E_1, \dots, E_d$, where J is the all-ones matrix. Since the adjacency algebra \mathcal{A} is closed under the ordinary multiplication and entry-wise multiplication denoted by \circ , reformulate the intersection numbers $p_{i,j}^h$ and define the Krein numbers $q_{i,j}^h$ for $0 \leq i, j, h \leq d$ as follows;

$$A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^d p_{i,j}^h A_h, \quad E_i \circ E_j = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{h=0}^d q_{i,j}^h E_h.$$

We define Krein matrices $\hat{B}_i = (q_{\ell,j}^h)_{j,h=0}^d$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$.

Since $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d\}$ and $\{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d\}$ form bases of \mathcal{A} , there exist change of bases matrices $P = (p_h(\ell))_{0 \leq \ell, j \leq d}$ and $Q = (q_h(\ell))_{0 \leq \ell, j \leq d}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d) &= (E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d)P, \\ (E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d) &= \frac{1}{|X|} (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d)Q, \end{aligned}$$

equivalently,

$$A_h = \sum_{\ell=0}^d p_h(\ell) E_\ell, \quad E_h = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{\ell=0}^d q_h(\ell) A_\ell.$$

The matrices P and Q are called the first and second eigenmatrices of (X, \mathcal{R}) respectively.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ be a symmetric association scheme. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists a set of polynomials $\{v_h(x) \mid 0 \leq h \leq d\}$ satisfying that for any $h \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, $\deg v_h(x) = h$ and $|X|E_h = v_h(|X|E_1)$ under the entry-wise product,*
- (2) *there exists a set of polynomials $\{v_h(x) \mid 0 \leq h \leq d\}$ satisfying that for any $h, \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, $\deg v_h(x) = h$ and $q_h(\ell) = v_h(\theta_\ell^*)$, where $\theta_\ell^* = q_1(\ell)$,*
- (3) *The Krein matrix $\hat{B}_1 = (q_{1,j}^h)_{j,h=0}^d$ is a tridiagonal matrix with non-zero superdiagonal and subdiagonal entries.*

Proof. See [6, pp.193-194]. □

The symmetric association scheme (X, \mathcal{R}) is said to be Q -polynomial if one of the conditions in Proposition 2.1 holds. For a Q -polynomial association scheme, set $a_i^* = q_{1,i}^i$ ($i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$), $b_i^* = q_{1,i+1}^i$ ($i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$), $c_i^* = q_{1,i-1}^i$ ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$).

3 Coherent configurations

Let X be a non-empty finite set. For a subset R of $X \times X$, define the projection of R as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_1(R) &= \{x \in X \mid (x, y) \in R \text{ for some } y \in X\}, \\ \text{pr}_2(R) &= \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in R \text{ for some } x \in X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. Let X be a non-empty finite set and $\mathcal{R} = \{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of non-empty subsets of $X \times X$. The pair $\mathcal{C} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ is a coherent configuration if the following properties are satisfied:

- (1) $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a partition of $X \times X$,
- (2) for any $i \in I$, $R_i^\top \in \mathcal{R}$,
- (3) $R_i \cap \text{diag}(X \times X) \neq \emptyset$ implies $R_i \subset \text{diag}(X \times X)$,
- (4) for any $i, j, h \in I$, the number $|\{z \in X \mid (x, z) \in R_i, (z, y) \in R_j\}|$ is independent of the choice of $(x, y) \in R_h$.

Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the graph (X, R_i) for $i \in I$. We define the coherent algebra \mathcal{A} of the coherent configuration \mathcal{C} as the subalgebra of $\text{Mat}_{|X|}(\mathbb{C})$ generated by $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ over \mathbb{C} . There uniquely exists a subset Ω in I such that $\text{diag}(X \times X) = \bigcup_{i \in \Omega} R_i$ by Definition 3.1(1) and (3). We obtain the standard partition $\{X_i\}_{i \in \Omega}$ of X where $X_i = \text{pr}_1(R_i) = \text{pr}_2(R_i)$ for $i \in \Omega$. We call X_i a fiber of the coherent configuration \mathcal{C} . The following property of binary relations of coherent configurations was shown in [12]:

Lemma 3.2. For any $i \in I$, there exist $j, h \in \Omega$ such that $\text{pr}_1(R_i) = X_j$, $\text{pr}_2(R_i) = X_h$.

For $i, j \in \Omega$, define $I^{(i,j)} = \{R_\ell \mid \ell \in I, R_\ell \subset X_i \times X_j\}$. Lemma 3.2 implies that $\{I^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega\}$ is a partition of I . We put $r_{i,j} = |I^{(i,j)}| - \delta_{i,j}$, and we call the matrix $(|I^{(i,j)}|)_{i,j \in \Omega}$ the type of the coherent configuration \mathcal{C} .

Let $\varepsilon_{i,j} = 1 - \delta_{i,j}$. By the partition $\{I^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega\}$ of I , the elements of $I^{(i,j)}$ are renumbered as $R_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}, \dots, R_{r_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}$ such that $R_0^{(i,i)} = \text{diag}(X_i \times X_i)$ and $(R_\ell^{(i,j)})^\top = R_\ell^{(j,i)}$. We denote the adjacency matrix of $R_\ell^{(i,j)}$ as $A_\ell^{(i,j)}$. For $i, j \in \Omega$, define by $\mathcal{A}^{(i,j)}$ the vector space spanned by $A_\ell^{(i,j)}$ ($\varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq r_{i,j}$) over \mathbb{C} . Then $\mathcal{A}^{(i,j)}\mathcal{A}^{(j,h)} \subset \mathcal{A}^{(i,h)}$ holds and define intersection numbers $p_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j,h)}$ as

$$A_\ell^{(i,j)} A_m^{(j,h)} = \sum_{n=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{r_{i,j}} p_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j,h)} A_n^{(i,h)}.$$

Set $k_\ell^{(i,j)} = p_{\ell,\ell,0}^{(i,j,i)}$. Then $k_\ell^{(i,j)} = |\{y \in X_j \mid (x, y) \in R_\ell^{(i,j)}\}|$ for any $x \in X_i$. We call $k_\ell^{(i,j)}$ the valency of $R_\ell^{(i,j)}$.

Let $\tilde{r}_{i,j} = r_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}$. Ito and Munemasa proved in [16] that if the fiber $\mathcal{C}^i = (X_i, I^{(i,i)})$ is a commutative association scheme, then there exists a basis $\{\varepsilon_{i,j}^s \mid s \in S, i, j \in F_s\}$ of \mathcal{A} such that

- $\{\varepsilon_{i,j}^s \mid i, j \in F_s\}$ ($s \in S$) generates a simple two-sided ideal \mathfrak{C}_s of \mathcal{A} with $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathfrak{C}_s$,
- for any $s \in S$, $\varepsilon_{i,j}^s \varepsilon_{k,\ell}^s = \delta_{j,k} \varepsilon_{i,\ell}^s$ holds,
- for any $s \in S$, $(\varepsilon_{i,j}^s)^* = \varepsilon_{j,i}^s$ holds,
- for any $s \in S$ and $i, j \in F_s$, $\varepsilon_{i,j}^s \in \bigcup_{k,\ell \in \Omega} \mathcal{A}^{(k,\ell)}$,
- for any $s \in S$ and $i, j \in F_s$, $\dim(\mathfrak{C}_s \cap \mathcal{A}^{(k,\ell)}) \leq 1$.

In this paper we consider coherent configurations \mathcal{C} such that the fiber $\mathcal{C}^i = (X_i, I^{(i,i)})$ is a symmetric association scheme for any $i \in \Omega$ and there exists a basis $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega, 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$(B1) \text{ for any } i, j \in \Omega, E_0^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} J_{|X_i|, |X_j|}, \text{ where } J_{p,q} \text{ is the } p \times q \text{ all-ones matrix,}$$

$$(B2) \text{ for any } i, j \in \Omega, \{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\} \text{ is a basis of } \mathcal{A}^{(i,j)} \text{ as a vector space,}$$

$$(B3) \text{ for any } i, j \in \Omega, \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}, (E_\ell^{(i,j)})^\top = E_\ell^{(j,i)},$$

$$(B4) \text{ for any } i, j, i', j' \in \Omega \text{ and } \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}, \ell' \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tilde{r}_{i',j'}\}, E_\ell^{(i,j)} E_{\ell'}^{(i',j')} = \delta_{\ell, \ell'} \delta_{j, j'} E_\ell^{(i, j')}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}^{(i,j)}$ is closed under the entry-wise product \circ , we define Krein parameters $q_{\ell, m, n}^{(i,j)}$ as follows:

$$E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{\ell, m, n}^{(i,j)} E_n^{(i,j)}.$$

We define Krein matrices $\hat{B}_\ell^{(i,j)} = (q_{\ell, m, n}^{(i,j)})_{m, n=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}}$ for $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$.

For $i, j \in \Omega$, since $\{A_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq r_{i,j}\}$ and $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ are bases of $\mathcal{A}^{(i,j)}$, there exist change-of-bases matrices $P^{(i,j)} = (p_h^{(i,j)}(\ell))_{\substack{0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j} \\ \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq h \leq r_{i,j}}}$, $Q^{(i,j)} = (q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell))_{\substack{\varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq r_{i,j} \\ 0 \leq h \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}, \dots, A_{r_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}) &= (E_0^{(i,j)}, \dots, E_{\tilde{r}_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}) P^{(i,j)}, \\ (E_0^{(i,j)}, \dots, E_{\tilde{r}_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} (A_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}, \dots, A_{r_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}) Q^{(i,j)}, \end{aligned}$$

equivalently,

$$A_h^{(i,j)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} p_h^{(i,j)}(\ell) E_\ell^{(i,j)}, \quad E_h^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{\ell=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{r_{i,j}} q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell) A_\ell^{(i,j)}.$$

We will show several relations among $p_{\ell, m, n}^{(i,j, h)}$, $q_{\ell, m, n}^{(i,j)}$, $p_h^{(i,j)}(\ell)$, $q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell)$ in Appendix A as in the case of symmetric association schemes.

4 Q-polynomial properties of coherent configurations

The following proposition characterizes Q-polynomial property.

Proposition 4.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a coherent configuration such that each fiber is a symmetric association scheme and there exists a basis $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega, 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying (B1)-(B4). The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *for any $i, j \in \Omega$, there exists a set of polynomials $\{v_h^{(i,j)}(x) \mid 0 \leq h \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ satisfying that for any $h \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$, $\deg v_h^{(i,j)}(x) = h$ and $\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_h^{(i,j)} = v_h^{(i,j)}(\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_1^{(i,j)})$ under the entry-wise product,*

(2) for any $i, j \in \Omega$, there exists a set of polynomials $\{v_h^{(i,j)}(x) \mid 0 \leq h \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ satisfying that for any $h, \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$, $\deg v_h^{(i,j)}(x) = h$ and $q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell) = v_h^{(i,j)}(\theta_\ell^{(i,j)})$, where $\theta_\ell^{(i,j)} = q_1^{(i,j)}(\ell)$,

(3) for any $i, j \in \Omega$, the Krein matrix $\hat{B}_1^{(i,j)}$ is a tridiagonal matrix with non-zero super-diagonal and subdiagonal entries.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): Putting $\theta_\ell^{(i,j)} = q_1^{(i,j)}(\ell)$, we have $E_1^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \sum_{\ell=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{r_{i,j}} \theta_\ell^{(i,j)} A_\ell^{(i,j)}$. Suppose (1) holds. Then $\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_h^{(i,j)} = v_h^{(i,j)}(\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_1^{(i,j)}) = \sum_{\ell=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{r_{i,j}} v_h^{(i,j)}(\theta_\ell^{(i,j)}) A_\ell^{(i,j)}$, so we obtain $q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell) = v_h^{(i,j)}(\theta_\ell^{(i,j)})$. Conversely suppose (2) holds. Then $\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_h^{(i,j)} = \sum_{\ell=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{r_{i,j}} q_\ell^{(i,j)}(h) A_\ell^{(i,j)} = \sum_{\ell=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{r_{i,j}} v_h^{(i,j)}(\theta_\ell^{(i,j)}) A_\ell^{(i,j)} = v_h^{(i,j)}(\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_1^{(i,j)})$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose (2) holds. Since the polynomial $xv_h^{(i,j)}(x)$ can be written as a linear combination of $v_{h+1}^{(i,j)}(x), v_h^{(i,j)}(x), \dots, v_0^{(i,j)}(x)$, $E_h^{(i,j)} \circ E_1^{(i,j)}$ is a linear combination of $E_{h+1}^{(i,j)}, E_h^{(i,j)}, \dots, E_0^{(i,j)}$. Therefore $q_{1,h,\ell}^{(i,j)} = 0$ if $\ell \geq h+2$, and $q_{1,h,h+1}^{(i,j)} \neq 0$. By Proposition A.2 (5), we obtain $m_\ell^{(i,j)} q_{1,h,\ell}^{(i,j)} = m_h^{(i,j)} q_{1,\ell,h}^{(i,j)}$. Therefore $q_{1,h,\ell}^{(i,j)} = 0$ if and only if $q_{1,\ell,h}^{(i,j)} = 0$. Hence $q_{1,h,\ell}^{(i,j)} = 0$ if $\ell \leq h-2$, and $q_{1,h,h-1}^{(i,j)} \neq 0$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose (3) holds. Set $b_h^{(i,j)} = q_{1,h+1,h}^{(i,j)}$, $a_h^{(i,j)} = q_{1,h,h}^{(i,j)}$, $c_h^{(i,j)} = q_{1,h,h+1}^{(i,j)}$. Since $E_1^{(i,j)} \circ E_h^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{1,h,\alpha}^{(i,j)} E_\alpha^{(i,j)}$, $E_1^{(i,j)} \circ E_h^{(i,j)} = b_{h-1}^{(i,j)} E_{h-1}^{(i,j)} + a_h^{(i,j)} E_h^{(i,j)} + c_{h+1}^{(i,j)} E_{h+1}^{(i,j)}$. We define $v_0^{(i,j)}(x) = 1$, $v_1^{(i,j)}(x) = x$ and polynomials $v_h^{(i,j)}(x)$ of degree h as recurrence

$$xv_h^{(i,j)}(x) = b_{h-1}^{(i,j)} v_{h-1}^{(i,j)}(x) + a_h^{(i,j)} v_h^{(i,j)}(x) + c_{h+1}^{(i,j)} v_{h+1}^{(i,j)}(x).$$

Then $v_h^{(i,j)}(\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_1^{(i,j)}) = \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_h^{(i,j)}$. \square

Definition 4.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a coherent configuration such that each fiber is a symmetric association scheme and there exists a basis $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega, 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying (B1)-(B4). The coherent configuration \mathcal{C} is said to be Q -polynomial if one of (1)-(3) of Proposition 4.1 holds.

5 Examples of Q -polynomial coherent configurations

5.1 An n -th power of a Q -polynomial association scheme

We introduce an n -th power of a symmetric association scheme for a positive integer $n \geq 2$. Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ be a symmetric association scheme with primitive idempotents E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d . We define a coherent configuration $\mathcal{C}_n = (\coprod_{i=1}^n X_i, \{R_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}\})$ where $X_i = X$ and $\coprod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a disjoint union of X_i 's, and $R_\ell^{(i,j)} = \{(x, y) \in (\coprod_{i=1}^n X_i)^2 \mid x \in X_i, y \in X_j, (x, y) \in R_\ell\}^*$. We call \mathcal{C}_n an n -th power of \mathfrak{X} .

*The index ℓ of $R_\ell^{(i,j)}$ should start with 1 when $i \neq j$, but we avoid it.

For $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, define $E_\ell^{(i,j)} = e_{i,j} \otimes E_\ell$ where $e_{i,j}$ denotes the $n \times n$ matrix with a 1 in the (i, j) -entry and 0's elsewhere. Then $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}\}$ is a basis of the coherent algebra of \mathcal{C}_n satisfying (B1)–(B4).

Example 5.1. Let n be a positive integer at least two and $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^d)$ a Q -polynomial association scheme with respect to the ordering of the primitive idempotents E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d . Then the coherent configuration \mathcal{C}_n is a Q -polynomial coherent configuration.

5.2 Delsarte designs of a Q -polynomial association scheme

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ be a Q -polynomial association scheme with respect to the ordering of the primitive idempotents E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d . For a non-empty subset C in X , we define the characteristic vector $\chi = \chi_C$ as a column vector indexed by X whose x -th entry is 1 if $x \in C$, and 0 otherwise. For a positive integer t , a subset C is said to be a (Delsarte) t -design if $E_i \chi = 0$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$.

Define a real numbers b_i ($i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$) by $b_i = \frac{|X|}{|Y|} \chi^\top E_i \chi$. Note that the numbers b_i are non-negative because E_i is positive semidefinite. A subset C is a t -design if and only if $b_1 = \dots = b_t = 0$. The vector $(b_i)_{i=0}^d$ is said to be the dual inner distribution of C . Designs in the Hamming schemes or Johnson scheme are characterized by orthogonal arrays or block designs.

Example 5.2. An orthogonal array $\text{OA}_\lambda(t, n, q)$ is a $\lambda q^t \times n$ matrix over an alphabet of size q in which each set of t columns contains each t -tuples over the alphabet exactly λ times as a row. An orthogonal array $\text{OA}_\lambda(t, n, q)$ is a t -design in a Hamming scheme $H(n, q)$ with respect to the ordering of the primitive idempotents determined from $b_i^* = (n - i)(q - 1), c_i^* = i$.

Example 5.3. A t - (v, k, λ) design is a collection of k -subsets (called blocks) of a v -set such that every t -subset is contained in exactly λ blocks. A t - (v, k, λ) design is a t -design in a Johnson scheme $J(v, k)$ with respect to the ordering of the primitive idempotents determined from $b_i^* = \frac{v(v-1)(v-i+1)(v-k-i)(k-i)}{k(v-k)(v-2i+1)(v-2i)}, c_i^* = \frac{v(v-1)i(k-i+1)(v-k-i+1)}{k(v-k)(v-2i+2)(v-2i+1)}$.

For a subset C , define

$$A(C) = \{\ell \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq d, R_\ell \cap (C \times C) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let $s = |A(C)|$ and we call s the degree of C . Let $A(C) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ and set $\alpha_0 = 0$. For $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$, define a subset R_ℓ of $C \times C$ by

$$R_\ell = \{(x, y) \in C \times C \mid (x, y) \in R_{\alpha_\ell}\}.$$

The following theorem is due to [8].

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ be a Q -polynomial association scheme with respect to the ordering of the primitive idempotents E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d . Let C be a t -design with degree s . If $2s - 2 \leq t$ holds, then $(C, \{R_\ell \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq s\})$ is a Q -polynomial association scheme.*

We then generalize Theorem 5.4 to disjoint designs in a Q -polynomial association scheme. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be disjoint subsets of X . Define

$$A(X_i, X_j) = \{\ell \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq d, R_\ell \cap (X_i \times X_j) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let $s_{i,j} = |A(X_i, X_j)|$ and $A(X_i, X_j) = \{\alpha_1^{(i,j)}, \alpha_2^{(i,j)}, \dots, \alpha_{s_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}\}$ and set $\alpha_0^{(i,i)} = 0$. For $\ell \in \{\varepsilon_{i,j}, 1, \dots, s_{i,j}\}$, define a subset $R_\ell^{(i,j)}$ of $X \times X$ by

$$R_\ell^{(i,j)} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \in X_i, y \in X_j, (x, y) \in R_{\alpha_\ell^{(i,j)}}\}.$$

Denote by $A_\ell^{(i,j)}$ the adjacency matrix of the graph $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i, R_\ell^{(i,j)})$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, define Δ_{X_i} be the diagonal matrix indexed by the elements of X with (x, x) -entry equal to 1 if $x \in X_i$ and 0 otherwise, and $\tilde{\Delta}_{X_i}$ as the matrix obtained from Δ_{X_i} by restricting the rows to $\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$. Note that

$$(\tilde{\Delta}_{X_i})^\top \tilde{\Delta}_{X_i} = \Delta_{X_i} \text{ and } \tilde{\Delta}_{X_i} \Delta_{X_i} = \tilde{\Delta}_{X_i}. \quad (5.1)$$

For a real matrix A , define $\|A\| = \sqrt{\text{tr}(AA^\top)}$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ be a Q -polynomial association scheme with respect to the ordering of the primitive idempotents E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d . Let X_i be a t_i -design for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Assume that $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ for distinct integers $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 2 \leq t_j$ holds for any $i, j, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i, \{R_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j}\})$ is a Q -polynomial coherent configuration.*

Proof. Let $i, j, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,j} - 1\}$, $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{j,h} - 1\}$. Since $s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 2 \leq t_j$, it holds that $\alpha + \beta + 1 \leq t_j + 1$ and $q_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell = 0$ for $\ell \geq t_j + 1$. Since X_j is a t_j -design, the dual distribution $(b_\ell^{(j)})_{\ell=0}^d$ of X_j satisfies that $b_\ell^{(j)} = 0$ for $\ell \leq t_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \||X|E_\alpha \Delta_{X_j} E_\beta - |X_j| \delta_{\alpha,\beta} E_\alpha\|^2 &= |X_j| \sum_{\ell=1}^d q_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell b_\ell^{(j)} \\ &= |X_j| \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{t_j} q_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell b_\ell^{(j)} + \sum_{\ell=t_j+1}^d q_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell b_\ell^{(j)} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|X|E_\alpha \Delta_{X_j} E_\beta = |X_j| \delta_{\alpha,\beta} E_\alpha.$$

Multiplying Δ_{X_i} on the left side and Δ_{X_h} on the right side, we obtain

$$|X| \Delta_{X_i} E_\alpha \Delta_{X_j} E_\beta \Delta_{X_h} = |X_j| \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_{X_i} E_\alpha \Delta_{X_h}. \quad (5.2)$$

Define

$$A_\ell^{(i,j)} = \tilde{\Delta}_{X_i} A_\ell(\tilde{\Delta}_{X_j})^\top, \quad E_{\ell'}^{(i,j)} = \frac{|X|}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \tilde{\Delta}_{X_i} E_{\ell'}(\tilde{\Delta}_{X_j})^\top$$

for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\ell \in A(X_i, X_j)$, $\ell' \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$. (5.2) with (5.1) implies that

$$E_\alpha^{(i,j)} E_\beta^{(i',j')} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{j,i'} E_\alpha^{(i,j')}.$$

Therefore $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 0 \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$ is linearly independent and

$$\text{span}\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 0 \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$$

is closed under ordinary multiplication. Since

$$\text{span}\{A_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \ell \in A(X_i, X_j)\} = \text{span}\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 0 \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$$

holds, $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i, \{R_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j}\})$ is a coherent configuration. Krein numbers of the coherent configuration are positive scalar multiple of those for the association scheme, so Proposition 4.1(3) is satisfied. \square

Example 5.6. A Q -polynomial association scheme with d classes is Q -antipodal if $b_j^* = c_{d-j}^*$ for all j except possibly $j = \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$, see [19] for more information. Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ be a Q -antipodal Q -polynomial association scheme with Q -antipodal classes X_1, X_2, \dots, X_w . [19, Corollary 4.5 and Theorem 4.7] imply that $s_{i,j}$ is equal to $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ if $i = j$ and $\lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil$ otherwise and $t_j = d - 1$. Then $s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 2 \leq t_j$ holds for any $i, j, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, w\}$, hence $(\bigcup_{i=1}^w X_i, \{R_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq w, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j}\})$ is a Q -polynomial coherent configuration and this coherent configuration was shown to be uniform [7, Theorem 5.1]. See [7] for Q -antipodal association schemes and uniform coherent configurations.

Example 5.7. A $2e$ -design C in $H(n, q)$ satisfies an inequality $|C| \leq \sum_{i=0}^e \binom{n}{i} (q-1)^i$. A $2e$ -design is said to be tight if equality is attained above. Tight 4-designs in $H(n, q)$ have been classified in [18, 10]. The technique developed in [10] is to consider derived $t_i = 3$ -designs C_1, C_2, \dots, C_q in $H(n-1, q)$ from C such that $s_{i,j} = |A(C_i, C_j)| = 2$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$. Since $s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 1 = t_j$ hold for any $i, j, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$, $\bigcup_{i=1}^q C_i$ with the binary relations forms a Q -polynomial coherent configuration.

5.3 Spherical designs

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be non-empty finite subsets of the unit sphere S^{d-1} in \mathbb{R}^d such that $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$. We denote by $\langle x, y \rangle$ the standard inner product of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We define the angle set $A(X_i, X_j)$ between X_i and X_j by

$$A(X_i, X_j) = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in X_i, y \in X_j, x \neq y\}.$$

Let $s_{i,j} = |A(X_i, X_j)|$ and $A(X_i, X_j) = \{\alpha_1^{(i,j)}, \alpha_2^{(i,j)}, \dots, \alpha_{s_{i,j}}^{(i,j)}\}$ and set $\alpha_0^{(i,i)} = 1$. Define a subset $R_\ell^{(i,j)}$ of $X \times X$ by

$$R_\ell^{(i,j)} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \in X_i, y \in X_j, \langle x, y \rangle = \alpha_\ell^{(i,j)}\}.$$

Denote by $A_\ell^{(i,j)}$ the adjacency matrix of the graph $(X, R_\ell^{(i,j)})$.

For a positive integer t , a non-empty finite set Y in the unit sphere S^{d-1} is called a spherical t -design in S^{d-1} if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \sum_{y \in Y} f(y) = \frac{1}{|S^{d-1}|} \int_{S^{d-1}} f(y) d\sigma(y)$$

for all polynomials $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ of degree not exceeding t . Here $|S^{d-1}|$ denotes the volume of the sphere S^{d-1} .

We define the Gegenbauer polynomials $\{Q_k(x)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ on S^{d-1} by

$$Q_0(x) = 1, \quad Q_1(x) = dx, \\ \frac{k+1}{d+2k}Q_{k+1}(x) = xQ_k(x) - \frac{d+k-3}{d+2k-4}Q_{k-1}(x).$$

Let $\text{Harm}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the vector space of the harmonic polynomials over \mathbb{R} and $\text{Harm}_\ell(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the subspace of $\text{Harm}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of homogeneous polynomials of total degree ℓ . Let $\{\phi_{\ell,1}, \dots, \phi_{\ell,h_\ell}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\text{Harm}_\ell(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{|S^{d-1}|} \int_{S^{d-1}} \phi(x)\psi(x)d\sigma(x).$$

Then the addition formula for the Gegenbauer polynomial holds [9, Theorem 3.3]:

Lemma 5.8. $\sum_{i=1}^{h_\ell} \phi_{\ell,i}(x)\phi_{\ell,i}(y) = Q_\ell(\langle x, y \rangle)$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, y \in S^{d-1}$.

We define the ℓ -th characteristic matrix of a non-empty finite set $Y \subset S^{d-1}$ as the $|Y| \times h_\ell$ matrix

$$H_\ell = (\phi_{\ell,i}(x))_{\substack{x \in Y \\ 1 \leq i \leq h_\ell}}.$$

A criterion for t -designs using Gegenbauer polynomials and the characteristic matrices is known [9, Theorem 5.3, 5.5].

Lemma 5.9. Let Y be a non-empty finite set in S^{d-1} . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Y is a t -design,
- (2) $\sum_{x,y \in Y} Q_k(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0$ for any $k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$,
- (3) $H_k^\top H_\ell = \delta_{k,\ell} |Y| I$ for $0 \leq k + \ell \leq t$.

For mutually disjoint non-empty finite subsets X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n of S^{d-1} , after suitably rearranging the elements of $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$, the ℓ -th characteristic matrix H_ℓ of X has the following form:

$$H_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} H_\ell^{(1)} \\ H_\ell^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ H_\ell^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes H_\ell^{(i)}$$

where e_i denotes the column vector of length n with a 1 in the i -th coordinate and 0's elsewhere and $H_\ell^{(i)}$ is the ℓ -th characteristic matrix of X_i . Denote $\tilde{H}_\ell^{(i)} = e_i \otimes H_\ell^{(i)}$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, define Δ_{X_i} be the diagonal matrix indexed by the elements of X with (x, x) -entry equal to 1 if $x \in X_i$ and 0 otherwise.

Theorem 5.10. Let X_i be a spherical t_i -design on S^{d-1} for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Assume that $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ for distinct integers $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $s_{i,j} = |A(X_i, X_j)|$. If one of the following holds depending on the choice of $i, j, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$;

$$(1) \quad s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 2 \leq t_j,$$

$$(2) \quad i = j = h, \quad 2s_{i,i} - 3 = t_i, \quad \text{and} \quad X_i = -X_i,$$

then $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i, \{R_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j}\})$ is a Q -polynomial coherent configuration.

Proof. In [21], it is shown that $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i, \{R_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j}\})$ is a coherent configuration.

For any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,j} + \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$, we define

$$c_\ell^{(i,j)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(s_{i,i}-2)!(d-1)!|X_i|-2(s_{i,i}-2)(d+s_{i,i}-4)!}{2d(d-1)(d+s_{i,i}-4)!} & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } t_i = 2s_{i,i} - 3, \ell = s_{i,i} - 2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$E_\ell^{(i,j)} = \begin{cases} \frac{c_\ell^{(i,j)}}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \tilde{H}_\ell^{(i)} (\tilde{H}_\ell^{(j)})^\top & \text{if } \ell \leq s_{i,j} - 1, \\ \Delta_{X_i} - \frac{1}{|X_i|} \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} c_m^{(i,j)} \tilde{H}_m^{(i)} (\tilde{H}_m^{(j)})^\top & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } \ell = s_{i,i}. \end{cases}$$

Thus (B1) and (B3) hold. Note that $E_{s_{i,i}}^{(i,i)} = \Delta_{X_i} - \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_m^{(i,i)}$.

For $x \in X_i, y \in X_j$,

$$E_\ell^{(i,j)}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{c_\ell^{(i,j)}}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \phi_\ell(x) \phi_\ell(y)^\top & \text{if } \ell \leq s_{i,j} - 1, \\ \delta_{x,y} - \frac{1}{|X_i|} \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} c_m^{(i,j)} \phi_m(x) \phi_m(y)^\top & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } \ell = s_{i,j}, \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{c_\ell^{(i,j)}}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} Q_\ell(\langle x, y \rangle) & \text{if } \ell \leq s_{i,j} - 1, \\ \delta_{x,y} - \frac{1}{|X_i|} \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} c_m^{(i,j)} Q_m(\langle x, y \rangle) & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } \ell = s_{i,i}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$E_\ell^{(i,j)} = \begin{cases} \frac{c_\ell^{(i,j)}}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \sum_{k=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{s_{i,j}} Q_\ell(\alpha_{i,j}^k) A_k^{(i,j)} & \text{if } \ell \leq s_{i,i} - 1, \\ \Delta_{X_i} - \frac{1}{|X_i|} \sum_{k=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{s_{i,i}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} c_m^{(i,i)} Q_m(\alpha_{i,i}^k) \right) A_k^{(i,i)} & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } \ell = s_{i,i}. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

This implies that $E_\ell^{(i,j)} \in \mathcal{A}^{(i,j)}$.

We show that $E_\alpha^{(i,j)} E_\beta^{(i',j')} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{j,i'} E_\alpha^{(i,j)}$. If $j \neq i'$, then by $e_j^\top e_{i'} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha^{(i,j)} E_\beta^{(i',j')} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j||X_{i'}||X_{j'}|}} \tilde{H}_\alpha^{(i)} (\tilde{H}_\alpha^{(j)})^\top \tilde{H}_\beta^{(i')} (\tilde{H}_\beta^{(j')})^\top \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j||X_{i'}||X_{j'}|}} \tilde{H}_\alpha^{(i)} \left((e_j \otimes H_\alpha^{(j)})^\top (e_{i'} \otimes H_\beta^{(i')}) \right) (\tilde{H}_\beta^{(j')})^\top \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j||X_{i'}||X_{j'}|}} \tilde{H}_\alpha^{(i)} \left(e_j^\top e_{i'} \otimes (H_\alpha^{(j)})^\top H_\beta^{(i')} \right) (\tilde{H}_\beta^{(j')})^\top \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we assume $j = i'$ and then show that $E_\alpha^{(i,j)} E_\beta^{(j,h)} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} E_\alpha^{(i,h)}$.

(1) The case where i, j, h satisfying $s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 2 \leq t_j$. In order to prove $E_\alpha^{(i,j)} E_\beta^{(j,h)} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} E_\alpha^{(i,h)}$ for $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}, \beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{j,h} - \varepsilon_{j,h}\}$, the case is divided into the following cases.

(a) For α, β satisfying $\alpha \leq s_{i,j} - 1, \beta \leq s_{j,h} - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha^{(i,j)} E_\beta^{(j,h)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_h||X_j|}} \tilde{H}_\alpha^{(i)} (\tilde{H}_\alpha^{(j)})^\top \tilde{H}_\beta^{(j)} (\tilde{H}_\beta^{(h)})^\top \\ &= \frac{\delta_{\alpha,\beta}}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_h|}} \tilde{H}_\alpha^{(i)} (\tilde{H}_\alpha^{(h)})^\top \\ &= \delta_{\alpha,\beta} E_\alpha^{(i,h)}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) When $i = j$, for α, β satisfying $\alpha = s_{i,i}, \beta \leq s_{i,h} - 1, s_{i,h} \leq s_{i,i} + 1$ holds by [11, p.227]. Then $s_{i,i} - 1 < \beta$ hold if and only if $\beta = s_{i,h} - 1 = s_{i,i}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s_{i,i}}^{(i,i)} E_\beta^{(i,h)} &= \left(\Delta_{X_i} - \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_m^{(i,i)} \right) E_\beta^{(i,h)} \\ &= E_\beta^{(i,h)} - \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_m^{(i,i)} E_\beta^{(i,h)} \\ &= \begin{cases} E_\beta^{(i,h)} - E_\beta^{(i,h)} & \text{if } \beta \leq s_{i,i} - 1 \\ E_\beta^{(i,h)} & \text{if } \beta = s_{i,h} - 1 = s_{i,i} \end{cases} \\ &= \delta_{s_{i,i},\beta} E_{s_{i,i}}^{(i,h)}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar is true for $j = k$ and $\alpha \leq s_{i,j} - 1, \beta = s_{j,j}$.

(c) When $i = j = h$, for $\alpha = \beta = s_{i,i}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s_{i,i}}^{(i,i)} E_{s_{i,i}}^{(i,i)} &= \left(\Delta_{X_i} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_\ell^{(i,i)} \right) \left(\Delta_{X_i} - \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_m^{(i,i)} \right) \\ &= \Delta_{X_i} - 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_\ell^{(i,i)} + \sum_{\ell,m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_\ell^{(i,i)} E_m^{(i,i)} \\ &= \Delta_{X_i} - 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_\ell^{(i,i)} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_\ell^{(i,i)} \\ &= E_\beta^{(i,k)} - E_\beta^{(i,k)} \\ &= E_{s_{i,i}}^{(i,i)}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) The case where i, j, h satisfying $i = j = h, t_j = s_{i,i} + s_{i,i} - 3$ and $X_i = -X_i$. It was shown in [2] that $E_\alpha^{(i,i)} E_\beta^{(i,i)} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} E_\alpha^{(i,i)}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,i}\}$.

This proves that (B4) holds.

Next we show (B2). Fix $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $d_\ell^{(i,j)} \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{s_{i,j}-\varepsilon_{i,j}} d_\ell^{(i,j)} E_\ell^{(i,j)} = 0$.

Multiplying $E_m^{(i,i)}$ on the left side and $E_m^{(j,j)}$ on the right side, we obtain $d_m^{(i,j)} E_m^{(i,j)} = 0$ and thus $d_m^{(i,j)} = 0$ for any m . Therefore $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$ is a linear independent set over \mathbb{C} . Since $\dim \mathcal{A}^{(i,j)} = s_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j}$, $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{A}^{(i,j)}$. This proves (B2).

It remains to prove Q -polynomiality. Setting

$$v_\ell^{(i,j)}(x) = \begin{cases} c_\ell^{(i,j)} Q_\ell\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) & \text{if } \ell \leq s_{i,i} - 1, \\ |X_i| F_{A(X_i)}\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) - \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} c_m^{(i,i)} Q_m\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } \ell = s_{i,i}, \end{cases}$$

where $F_{A(X_i)}(x) = \prod_{\alpha \in A(X_i)} \frac{x-\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ and $A(X_i) = A(X_i, X_i)$. Equation (5.3) implies that for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$,

$$\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_\ell^{(i,j)} = v_\ell^{(i,j)}(\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_1^{(i,j)})$$

under the entry-wise product, which implies that Proposition 4.1(1) is satisfied. This completes the proof. \square

Example 5.11. Let X be a non-empty finite set in S^{d-1} with the angle set $A(X) = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ where $\alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_s$. After suitably transforming the set X , we may assume that $e_1 \in X$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ such that $\alpha_i \neq -1$, the derived code X_i with respect to e_1 is defined to be

$$X_i = \{x \in S^{d-2} \mid (\alpha_i, \sqrt{1-\alpha_i^2}x) \in X\}.$$

Suppose that X be a spherical t -design in S^{d-1} and let $s^* = |A(X) \setminus \{-1\}|$. For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, s^*\}$, the angle set between X_i and X_j satisfies

$$A(X_i, X_j) \subset \left\{ \frac{\alpha_h - \alpha_i \alpha_j}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha_i^2)(1-\alpha_j^2)}} \mid 1 \leq h \leq s \right\}.$$

Therefore $s_{i,j} = |A(X_i, X_j)|$ satisfies that $s_{i,j} \leq s$.

It is shown in [9, Theorem 8.2] that if $t+1 \geq s^*$, then X_i is a spherical $(t+1-s^*)$ -design in S^{d-2} . This design is said to be the derived design.

Let $X \subset S^{d-1}$ be a spherical tight 4-, 5-, 7-design with $s^* = |A(X) \setminus \{-1\}|$ and X_i be a derived design in S^{d-1} for $i \in \{1, \dots, s^*\}$. Since $s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 2 \leq t_j$ holds for $i, j, h \in \{1, \dots, s^*\}$ in each case, Theorem 5.10 implies that $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s^*} X_i, \{R_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j}\})$ is a Q -polynomial coherent configuration.

The second eigenmatrices of the Q -polynomial coherent configuration obtained from tight

4-design are given as follows:

$$Q^{(1,1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d-1 & \frac{(d-2)(d+\sqrt{d+3}+1)}{4} \\ 1 & \sqrt{d+3}-2 & -\sqrt{d+3}+1 \\ 1 & -\frac{d+\sqrt{d+3}-3}{\sqrt{d+3}-1} & \frac{d-2}{\sqrt{d+3}-1} \end{pmatrix}, Q^{(1,2)} = Q^{(2,1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d-1} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{d-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q^{(2,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d-1 & \frac{(d-2)(d-\sqrt{d+3}+1)}{4} \\ 1 & \frac{d-\sqrt{d+3}-3}{\sqrt{d+3}+1} & \frac{-d+2}{\sqrt{d+3}+1} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{d+3}-2 & \sqrt{d+3}+1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The second eigenmatrices of the Q -polynomial coherent configuration obtained from tight 5-design are given as follows:

$$Q^{(i,j)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d-1 & \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(d+1) \\ 1 & \frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{d+2}-1)}{d+1} & -\frac{(d-1)\sqrt{d+2}+2}{d+1} \\ 1 & -\frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{d+2}+1)}{d+1} & \frac{(d-1)\sqrt{d+2}-2}{d+1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } i = j \in \{1, 2\},$$

$$Q^{(i,j)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{d+2}+1)}{d+1} & \frac{(d-1)\sqrt{d+2}-2}{d+1} \\ 1 & \frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{d+2}-1)}{d+1} & -\frac{(d-1)\sqrt{d+2}+2}{d+1} \\ 1 & -d+1 & \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(d+1) \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } i \neq j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

The second eigenmatrices of the Q -polynomial coherent configuration obtained from tight 7-design are given as follows:

$$Q^{(i,j)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d-1 & \frac{(d-2)(d+1)}{2} & \frac{(d-2)(d-1)(d+1)}{18} \\ 1 & \frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{3(d+4)}-3)}{d+1} & \frac{(\sqrt{3(d+4)}-3)(d-5-\sqrt{3(d+4)})}{\sqrt{3(d+4)}+3} & -\frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{3(d+4)}-3)^2}{3(d+1)} \\ 1 & \frac{3(d-1)}{d+1} & -\frac{(d-5)(d-2)}{2(d+1)} & \frac{(d-2)(d-1)}{2(d+1)} \\ 1 & -\frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{3(d+4)}+3)}{d+1} & \frac{(\sqrt{3(d+4)}-3)(d-5-\sqrt{3(d+4)})}{\sqrt{3(d+4)}+3} & -\frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{3(d+4)}+3)^2}{3(d+1)} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } i = j \in \{1, 3\},$$

$$Q^{(i,j)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{3(d+4)}+3)}{d+1} & \frac{3(\sqrt{3(d+4)}+3)(d-5+\sqrt{3(d+4)})}{d+1} & -\frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{3(d+4)}+3)^2}{3(d+1)} \\ 1 & -\frac{3(d-1)}{d+1} & -\frac{(d-5)(d-2)}{2(d+1)} & \frac{(d-2)(d-1)}{2(d+1)} \\ 1 & -\frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{3(d+4)}-3)}{d+1} & \frac{3(\sqrt{3(d+4)}-3)(d-5-\sqrt{3(d+4)})}{d+1} & -\frac{(d-1)(\sqrt{3(d+4)}-3)^2}{3(d+1)} \\ 1 & -d+1 & \frac{(d-2)(d+1)}{2} & \frac{(d-2)(d-1)(d+1)}{18} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } i \neq j \in \{1, 3\},$$

$$Q^{(2,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d-1 & \frac{(d-2)(d+1)}{2} & \frac{(d-2)(d-1)(d+4)}{9} & \frac{(d-2)(d-1)(2d-1)}{18} \\ 1 & \frac{\sqrt{3}(d-1)}{\sqrt{d+4}} & \frac{(2d-7)(d+1)}{2(d+4)} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}(d-1)}{\sqrt{d+4}} & -\frac{(2d-1)(d-1)}{2(d+4)} \\ 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(d+1) & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(d-1) \\ 1 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}(d-1)}{\sqrt{d+4}} & \frac{(2d-7)(d+1)}{2(d+4)} & \frac{\sqrt{3}(d-1)}{\sqrt{d+4}} & -\frac{(2d-1)(d-1)}{2(d+4)} \\ 1 & -d+1 & \frac{(d-2)(d+1)}{2} & -\frac{(d-2)(d-1)(d+4)}{9} & \frac{(d-2)(d-1)(2d-1)}{18} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q^{(i,j)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\sqrt{3}(d-1)}{\sqrt{d+1}} & d-2 \\ 1 & 0 & -\frac{d+1}{2} \\ 1 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}(d-1)}{\sqrt{d+1}} & d-2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } (i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (3, 2), (2, 1), (2, 3)\}.$$

Let $M = \{M_i\}_{i=1}^f$ be a collection of orthonormal bases of \mathbb{R}^d . The set M is called real mutually unbiased bases (MUB) if any two vectors x and y from different bases satisfy

$\langle x, y \rangle = \pm 1/\sqrt{d}$. It is known that the number f of real mutually unbiased bases in \mathbb{R}^d can be at most $d/2 + 1$. We call M a maximal MUB if this upper bound is attained.

The assumption of Theorem 5.10 is not satisfied for a union of derived codes of maximal MUB, but the same conclusion holds.

Theorem 5.12. *Let $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_{d/2+1}$ be a maximal MUB of \mathbb{R}^d , $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d/2+1} (X_i \cup (-X_i))$ and X_i be the derived design of X relative to a point in X for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $\mathcal{C} = (\bigcup_{i=1}^3 X_i, \{R_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j}\})$ is a Q -polynomial coherent configuration.*

Proof. In [21], it is shown that \mathcal{C} is a coherent configuration. In what follows, we construct a basis $E_\ell^{(i,j)}$ which has a Q -polynomial property.

The type of \mathcal{C} is

$$(s_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define $E_\ell^{(i,j)}$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, 0 \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}$ as follows.

- For $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \ell \in \{0, 1\}$, $E_\ell^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \tilde{H}_\ell^{(i)} (\tilde{H}_\ell^{(j)})^\top$.
- For $i, j \in \{1, 3\}$, $E_2^{(i,j)} = \frac{d+1}{(d-1)\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \tilde{H}_2^{(i)} (\tilde{H}_2^{(j)})^\top$.
- For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $E_{s_{i,i}}^{(i,i)} = \Delta_{|X_i|} - \sum_{k=0}^{s_{i,i}-1} E_k^{(i,i)}$.
- For $\{i, j\} = \{1, 3\}$, $E_3^{(i,j)} = A_4^{(i,j)} - \sum_{k=0}^2 E_k^{(i,j)}$, where $A_4^{(i,j)}$ be the adjacency matrix defined by inner product -1 between X_i and X_j .

It is clear that for $i, j, i', j' \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $j \neq i', \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}, m \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i',j'} - \varepsilon_{i',j'}\}$, $E_\ell^{(i,j)} E_m^{(i',j')} = 0$. Therefore we will show that for $i, j, h \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}, m \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{j,h} - \varepsilon_{j,h}\}$, $E_\ell^{(i,j)} E_m^{(j,h)} = \delta_{\ell,m} E_\ell^{(i,h)}$.

It is shown in [1] that X_1 and X_3 are Q -polynomial association schemes, and they are isomorphic. The polynomial $v_2(x)$ of degree 2 which is determined from Q -polynomiality of X_1 and X_3 is $\frac{d+1}{d-1} Q_2(\frac{x}{d-1})$, so $E_2^{(i,i)} = \frac{d+1}{(d-1)|X_i|} \tilde{H}_2^{(i)} (\tilde{H}_2^{(i)})^\top$ is a primitive idempotent for $i = 1, 3$. Then we have $A_4^{(i,j)} \tilde{H}_k^{(j)} = \tilde{H}_k^{(i)}$. Therefore $E_\ell^{(i,i)} E_m^{(i,i)} = \delta_{\ell,m} E_\ell^{(i,i)}$ for $i \in \{1, 3\}$ if and only if $E_\ell^{(i,j)} E_m^{(j,h)} = \delta_{\ell,m} E_\ell^{(i,h)}$ for $i, j, h \in \{1, 3\}$. This completes the proof. \square

The second eigenmatrices of Q -polynomial coherent configuration obtained from MUB are

given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^{(i,j)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d-1 & \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(d-1) & \frac{d}{2}-1 \\ 1 & \sqrt{d}+1 & \sqrt{d}-1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -\frac{d}{2}+1 & \frac{d}{2}-1 \\ 1 & -\sqrt{d}+1 & -\sqrt{d}-1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, 3\}, \\
Q^{(2,2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d-1 & d-2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -d+1 & d-2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
Q^{(i,j)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d-1} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } (i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (3, 2), (2, 1), (2, 3)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

6 The Terwilliger algebra of $H(n, 2)$ and tight relative $2e$ -designs on two shells

6.1 The Terwilliger algebra of $H(n, 2)$

The Terwilliger algebra [24] of the binary Hamming schemes $H(n, 2) = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^n)$ is a coherent configuration because the scheme $H(n, 2)$ is triply regular, that is, for $(x, y) \in R_i, (y, z) \in R_j, (z, x) \in R_h$, the number $|\{w \in X \mid (x, w) \in R_{j'}, (y, w) \in R_{h'}, (z, w) \in R_{i'}\}|$ depends only on i, j, h, i', j', h' , not on the choice of x, y, z . We include the result by Vallentin [25] for the basis of the coherent configuration. See also [20].

Let n be a positive integer, $X = \{0, 1\}^n$ and $R_i = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid d(x, y) = i\}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ where $d(x, y) = |\{\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid x_\ell \neq y_\ell\}|$ is the Hamming distance. The binary Hamming scheme is a pair $(X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^n)$. For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, define $X_i = \{x \in X \mid d(x, 0) = i\}$ where $0 = (0, \dots, 0)$.

Define $(a)_0 = 1, (a)_k = a(a+1) \cdots (a+k-1)$ and

$$Q_k(x; -a-1, -b-1, m) = \frac{1}{\binom{m}{k}} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \frac{\binom{b-k+j}{j}}{\binom{a}{j}} \binom{m-x}{k-j} \binom{x}{j}$$

to be Hahn polynomials of degree with respect to x (for integers m, a, b with $a \geq m, b \geq m \geq 0$).

Theorem 6.1 (See [25, Theorem 4.1]). *For $x, y \in X$, define $v(x, y) = |\{\ell \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid x_\ell = 1, y_\ell = 0\}|$. For $k \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ and $i, j \in \{k, \dots, n-k\}$, define*

$$E_{k,i,j}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1}}{\left(\binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{j}\right)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{(-j)_k (i-n)_k}{(-i)_k (j-n)_k}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q_k(v(x, y); -(n-i)-1, -i-1, j) & \text{if } x \in X_i, y \in X_j, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin X_i \text{ or } y \notin X_j. \end{cases}$$

Then $E_{k,i,j}$ ($k \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ and $i, j \in \{k, \dots, n-k\}$) form a basis satisfying (B1)-(B4). In particular, the Terwilliger algebra of $H(n, 2)$ is a Q -polynomial coherent configuration.

6.2 Tight relative $2e$ -designs in $H(n, 2)$ on two shells

It was shown in [5, Theorem 5.3] that a tight relative t -design in $H(n, 2) = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^n)$ on two shells yields a coherent configuration. We include the result and claim that the resulting coherent configuration is Q -polynomial.

A weighted subset of X a pair (Y, ω) of a subset Y of X and a function $\omega : Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. Define the characteristic vector $\chi = \chi_{Y, \omega}$ of a weighted subset (Y, ω) by $\chi(x)$ equals to $\omega(x)$ if $x \in Y$ and 0 if $x \notin Y$. A weighted subset (Y, ω) is said to be a relative t -design with respect to $x \in X$ if $E_i \chi \in \text{span}\{E_i \hat{x}\}_{i=1}^t$ where \hat{x} is the characteristic vector of $\{x\}$. Here we assume $x = (0, \dots, 0)$ and set $L = L_Y = \{\ell \mid Y \cap X_\ell \neq \emptyset\}$. Then we say that (Y, ω) is supported on $\bigcup_{\ell \in L} X_\ell$. The following is Fisher type inequality due to [4] and [26]: for a relative $2e$ -design supported on $\bigcup_{\ell \in L} X_\ell$, $|Y| \geq \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{|L|-1, e\}} \binom{n}{e-i}$. A relative $2e$ -design is tight if equality holds above.

Let (Y, ω) be a tight relative $2e$ -design on two shells $X_\ell \cup X_m$ where $e \leq \ell \leq m \leq n - \ell$, that is $|Y| = \binom{n}{e} + \binom{n}{e-1}$. Then $Y_i = Y \cap X_i$ is a $t_i := (2e - 1)$ -design in $J(n, i)$ for $i \in \{\ell, m\}$ and the degree $s_{i,j}$ between Y_i and Y_j is at most e . It was shown in [5, Theorem 5.3] that $Y_\ell \cup Y_m$ yields a Q -polynomial coherent configuration.

Inspired by this theorem, we show the following theorem, which generalizes Theorem 5.5 to Q -polynomial coherent configurations. We use the following notation. For a Q -polynomial coherent configuration $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i, \{R_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq r_{i,j}\})$ with fibers X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and a subset Y_i of X_i for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, define

$$A(Y_i, Y_j) = \{\ell \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq r_{i,j}, R_\ell^{(i,j)} \cap (Y_i \times Y_j) \neq \emptyset\},$$

and set $s_{i,j} = |A(Y_i, Y_j)|$. For $\ell \in A(Y_i, Y_j)$, define

$$\tilde{R}_\ell^{(i,j)} = \{(x, y) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i \times \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i \mid x \in Y_i, y \in Y_j, (x, y) \in R_\ell^{(i,j)}\}.$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, define Δ_{Y_i} be the diagonal matrix indexed by the elements of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ with (x, x) -entry equal to 1 if $x \in Y_i$ and 0 otherwise, and $\tilde{\Delta}_{Y_i}$ as the matrix obtained from Δ_{Y_i} by restricting the rows to $\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$. Note that

$$(\tilde{\Delta}_{Y_i})^\top \tilde{\Delta}_{Y_i} = \Delta_{Y_i} \text{ and } \tilde{\Delta}_{Y_i} \Delta_{Y_i} = \tilde{\Delta}_{Y_i}. \quad (6.1)$$

Theorem 6.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a Q -polynomial coherent configuration with fibers X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Let Y_i be a t_i -design in a Q -polynomial scheme on X_i with $d_i = r_{i,i}$ classes for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Define $s_{i,j} = |\{\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, r_{i,j}\} \mid R_\ell^{(i,j)} \cap (Y_i \times Y_j) \neq \emptyset\}|$. If $s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 2 \leq t_j$ holds for any $i, j, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i, \{\tilde{R}_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j}\})$ is a Q -polynomial coherent configuration.*

Proof. Let $i, j, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,j} - 1\}$, $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{j,h} - 1\}$. Since $s_{i,j} + s_{j,h} - 2 \leq t_j$, it holds that $\alpha + \beta + 1 \leq t_j + 1$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}^\ell = 0$ for $\ell \geq t_j + 1$. Since Y_j is a t_j -design, the dual distribution $(b_\ell^{(j)})_{\ell=0}^{d_j}$ of Y_j satisfies that $b_\ell^{(j)} = 0$ for $\ell \leq t_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |X_j| E_\alpha^{(i,j)} \Delta_{Y_j} E_\beta^{(j,h)} - |Y_j| \delta_{\alpha, \beta} E_\alpha^{(i,h)} \right\|^2 &= |Y_j| \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_j} q_{\alpha, \beta, \ell}^{(j,j)} b_\ell^{(j)} \\ &= |Y_j| \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{t_j} q_{\alpha, \beta, \ell}^{(j,j)} b_\ell^{(j)} + \sum_{\ell=t_j+1}^{d_j} q_{\alpha, \beta, \ell}^{(j,j)} b_\ell^{(j)} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|X_j|E_\alpha^{(i,j)}\Delta_{Y_j}E_\beta^{(j,h)} = |Y_j|\delta_{\alpha,\beta}E_\alpha^{(i,h)}.$$

Multiplying Δ_{Y_i} on the left side and Δ_{Y_h} on the right side, we obtain

$$|X_j|\Delta_{Y_i}E_\alpha^{(i,j)}\Delta_{X_j}E_\beta^{(j,h)}\Delta_{Y_h} = |Y_j|\delta_{\alpha,\beta}\Delta_{Y_i}E_\alpha^{(i,h)}\Delta_{Y_h}. \quad (6.2)$$

Define

$$\tilde{A}_\ell^{(i,j)} = \tilde{\Delta}_{Y_i}A_\ell^{(i,j)}(\tilde{\Delta}_{Y_j})^\top, \quad \tilde{E}_{\ell'}^{(i,j)} = \frac{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}}{\sqrt{|Y_i||Y_j|}}\tilde{\Delta}_{Y_i}E_{\ell'}^{(i,j)}(\tilde{\Delta}_{Y_j})^\top$$

for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\ell \in A(Y_i, Y_j)$, $\ell' \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$. (6.2) with (6.1) implies that

$$\tilde{E}_\alpha^{(i,j)}\tilde{E}_\beta^{(i',j')} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{j,i'}\tilde{E}_\alpha^{(i,j')}.$$

Therefore $\{\tilde{E}_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 0 \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$ is linearly independent and

$$\text{span}\{\tilde{E}_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 0 \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$$

is closed under ordinary multiplication. Since

$$\text{span}\{\tilde{A}_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \ell \in A(Y_i, Y_j)\} = \text{span}\{\tilde{E}_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 0 \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j} - \varepsilon_{i,j}\}$$

holds, $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i, \{\tilde{R}_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \ell \leq s_{i,j}\})$ is a coherent configuration. Krein numbers of the coherent configuration are positive scalar multiple of those for the Q -polynomial coherent configuration, so Proposition 4.1(3) is satisfied. \square

7 Future works

In the present paper, we introduce the Q -polynomial property for coherent configurations. The parameters of the coherent configurations are studied in the same manner as association schemes and several examples are obtained from Delsarte designs in Q -polynomial association schemes and spherical designs. We list the related problems in this context.

Problem 7.1. (1) *Can we develop design theory in Q -polynomial coherent configurations? For bounds for subsets in coherent configurations, see [13, 15]*

(2) *In [3], it was shown that Euclidean designs with certain property have the structure of coherent configurations. Are these coherent configurations Q -polynomial? See [17] for Euclidean designs.*

(3) *Can we obtain Euclidean designs from coherent configurations? If so, can we determine the strength as Euclidean designs from parameters of Q -polynomial coherent configurations? See [22] for spherical designs obtained from Q -polynomial schemes.*

(4) *The absolute bound for symmetric association schemes was shown in [6, Theorems 4.8, 4.9] and examples attaining the inequality in [6, Theorem 4.9] are tight spherical designs. On the other hand, the absolute bound for coherent configurations was shown in [14]. Are examples of coherent configurations attaining the absolute bound related to tight Euclidean designs?*

(5) *In [23], the cross-intersection theorem is stated in coherent configurations related to Grassmann schemes. Can we deal with the cross-intersection theorem in Q -polynomial coherent configurations whose fibers are distance regular graphs?*

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Hajime Tanaka for valuable comments, especially suggesting Section 5.1 and Theorem 6.2, and encouraging him for a decade. The author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K03395 and 20K03527.

References

- [1] K. Abdukhalikov, E. Bannai, S. Suda, Association schemes related to universally optimal configurations, Kerdock codes and extremal Euclidean line-sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **116** (2) (2009) 434–448.
- [2] E. Bannai, E. Bannai, On antipodal spherical t -designs of degree s with $t \geq 2s - 3$, arXiv:math/0802.2905v1[math.CO].
- [3] E. Bannai and E. Bannai, Euclidean designs and coherent configurations, In: Combinatorics and graphs, Contemp. Math., 531, AMS, Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 59–93.
- [4] E. Bannai and E. Bannai, Remarks on the concepts of t -designs, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **40** (2012) 195–207.
- [5] E. Bannai, E. Bannai, H. Tanaka, Y. Zhu, Tight relative t -designs on two shells in hypercubes, and Hahn and Hermite polynomials, arXiv:2006.02054
- [6] E. Bannai, T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [7] E. van Dam, W. Martin, M. Muzychuk, Uniformity in association schemes and coherent configurations: cometric Q -antipodal schemes and linked systems, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **120** (2013) 1401–1439.
- [8] P. Delsarte, An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory, Philips Res. Rep. 10 (Suppl.) (1973).
- [9] P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals, J. J. Seidel, Spherical codes and designs, *Geom. Dedicata* **6** (1977), 363–388.
- [10] A. Gavriilyuk, S. Suda, J. Vidali, On tight 4-designs in Hamming association schemes, *Combinatorica* **40** (2020), 345–362.
- [11] D. G. Higman, Coherent algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **93** (1987), 209–239.
- [12] D. G. Higman, Coherent configurations I. Ordinary representation theory, *Geom. Dedicata.* **4** (1975), 1–32.
- [13] S. A. Hobart, Bounds on subsets of coherent configurations, *Michigan Math. J.* **58** (2009) 231–239.
- [14] S.A. Hobart, J. Williford, The absolute bound for coherent configurations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **440** (2014) 50–60.

- [15] S. A. Hobart and J. Williford, Tightness in subset bounds for coherent configurations, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, **39** (2014) 647–658.
- [16] K. Ito, A. Munemasa, Krein parameters of fiber-commutative coherent configurations, *Algebra Colloq.* **27** (2020) no. 1, 1–10.
- [17] A. Neumaier, J.J. Seidel, Discrete measures for spherical designs, eutactic stars and lattices, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **50** (3) (1988) 321–334.
- [18] R. Noda, On orthogonal arrays of strength 4 achieving Rao’s bound, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **19** (1979) 385–390.
- [19] W. J. Martin, M. Muzychuk, J. Williford, Imprimitive cometric association schemes: constructions and analysis, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **25** (2007) 399–415.
- [20] A. Schrijver, Alexander, New code upper bounds from the Terwilliger algebra and semidefinite programming, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **51** (2005) 2859–2866.
- [21] S. Suda, Coherent configurations and triply regular association schemes obtained from spherical designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **117** (2010) 1178–1194.
- [22] S. Suda, On spherical designs obtained from Q-polynomial association schemes, *J. Combin. Des.* **19** (2011) 167–177.
- [23] S. Suda, H. Tanaka, A cross-intersection theorem for vector spaces based on semidefinite programming, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **46** (2017) 113–130.
- [24] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1** (1992) 363–388.
- [25] F. Vallentin, Symmetry in semidefinite programs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **430** (2009) 360–369.
- [26] Z. Xiang, A Fisher type inequality for weighted regular t -wise balanced designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **119** (2012) 1523–1527.

A Appendix: Parameters

Proposition A.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a coherent configuration such that each fiber is a symmetric association scheme and there exists a basis $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega, 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying (B1)-(B4). Then the following (1) – (6) hold:*

- (1) $p_{0,m,n}^{(i,i,h)} = \delta_{m,n}$,
- (2) $p_{\ell,0,n}^{(i,j,j)} = \delta_{\ell,n}$,
- (3) $p_{\ell,m,0}^{(i,j,i)} = \delta_{\ell,m} k_\ell^{(i,j)}$,
- (4) $p_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j,h)} = p_{m,\ell,n}^{(h,j,i)}$,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{m=\varepsilon_{j,h}}^{r_{j,h}} p_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j,h)} = k_{\ell}^{(i,j)},$$

$$(6) \quad |X_i| k_n^{(i,j)} p_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,h,j)} = |X_j| k_m^{(j,h)} p_{n,\ell,m}^{(j,i,h)} = |X_h| k_{\ell}^{(h,i)} p_{m,n,\ell}^{(h,j,i)}.$$

Proof. (1) – (3) are obvious from definition of intersection numbers.

(4): Count the number of elements in $\{z \in X_j \mid (x, z) \in R_{\ell}^{(i,j)}, (z, y) \in R_m^{(j,h)}\}$ for $(x, y) \in R_n^{(i,h)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j,h)} &= |\{z \in X_j \mid (x, z) \in R_{\ell}^{(i,j)}, (z, y) \in R_m^{(j,h)}\}| \\ &= |\{z \in X_j \mid (y, z) \in R_m^{(h,j)}, (z, x) \in R_{\ell}^{(j,i)}\}| \\ &= p_{m,\ell,n}^{(h,j,i)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4).

(5): Count the number of elements in $\{z \in X_j \mid (x, z) \in R_{\ell}^{(i,j)}\}$ for $(x, y) \in R_n^{(i,h)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=\varepsilon_{j,h}}^{r_{j,h}} p_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j,h)} &= \left| \bigcup_{m=\varepsilon_{j,h}}^{r_{j,h}} \{z \in X_j \mid (x, z) \in R_{\ell}^{(i,j)}, (z, y) \in R_m^{(j,h)}\} \right| \\ &= |\{z \in X_j \mid (x, z) \in R_{\ell}^{(i,j)}\}| \\ &= k_{\ell}^{(i,j)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (5).

(6): Count the number of element in $X_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j,h)} = \{(x, y, z) \in X_i \times X_j \times X_h \mid (x, y) \in R_n^{(i,j)}, (y, z) \in R_m^{(j,h)}, (z, x) \in R_{\ell}^{(h,i)}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j,h)}| &= \left| \bigcup_{(x,y) \in R_n^{(i,j)}} \{(x, y, z) \mid (x, z) \in R_{\ell}^{(i,h)}, (z, y) \in R_m^{(h,j)}\} \right| = |X_i| k_n^{(i,j)} p_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,h,j)} \\ &= \left| \bigcup_{(y,z) \in R_m^{(j,h)}} \{(x, y, z) \mid (y, x) \in R_n^{(j,i)}, (x, z) \in R_{\ell}^{(i,h)}\} \right| = |X_j| k_m^{(j,h)} p_{n,\ell,m}^{(j,i,h)} \\ &= \left| \bigcup_{(z,x) \in R_{\ell}^{(h,i)}} \{(x, y, z) \mid (z, y) \in R_m^{(h,j)}, (y, x) \in R_n^{(j,i)}\} \right| = |X_h| k_{\ell}^{(h,i)} p_{m,n,\ell}^{(h,j,i)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (6). □

Proposition A.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a coherent configuration such that each fiber is a symmetric association scheme and there exists a basis $\{E_{\ell}^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega, 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying (B1)-(B4). Then the following (1) – (6) hold:*

$$(1) \quad q_{0,m,n}^{(i,j)} = \delta_{m,n},$$

$$(2) \quad q_{\ell,0,n}^{(i,j)} = \delta_{\ell,n},$$

$$(3) \quad q_{\ell,m,0}^{(i,j)} = \delta_{\ell,m} m_{\ell}^{(i,j)},$$

$$(4) \quad q_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j)} = q_{m,\ell,n}^{(i,j)},$$

$$(5) \quad q_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j)} = q_{\ell,m,n}^{(j,i)},$$

$$(6) \quad m_n^{(i,j)} q_{\ell,\ell',n}^{(i,j)} = m_{\ell'}^{(i,j)} q_{n,\ell,\ell'}^{(i,j)} = m_\ell^{(i,j)} q_{\ell',n,\ell}^{(i,j)},$$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{m,n,\alpha}^{(i,j)} q_{\ell,\alpha,\beta}^{(i,j)} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{\ell,m,\alpha}^{(i,j)} q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(i,j)}.$$

Proof. (1): On the one hand, by $E_0^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} J_{|X_i|,|X_j|}$, $E_0^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} E_m^{(i,j)}$

holds. On the other hand, by the definition of Krein numbers, $E_0^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{0,m,n}^{(i,j)} E_n^{(i,j)}$.

Since $E_n^{(i,j)}$ ($n \in \{\varepsilon_{i,j}, \dots, \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$) is a basis of $\mathcal{A}_{i,j}$, comparing these equalities proves (1).

(2) is proved similarly as (1).

(3): Applying tr to $q_{\ell,m,0}^{(i,j)} E_0^{(i,i)} = \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} (E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)}) E_0^{(j,i)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\ell,m,0}^{(i,j)} &= \text{tr}(\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} (E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)}) E_0^{(j,i)}) \\ &= \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} \tau(E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)} \circ E_0^{(j,i)}) \\ &= \tau(E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)}) \\ &= \text{tr}(E_\ell^{(i,j)} E_m^{(j,i)}) \\ &= \delta_{\ell,m} \text{tr}(E_\ell^{(i,i)}) \\ &= \delta_{\ell,m} m_\ell^{(i,i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$m_\ell^{(i,i)} = \text{rank} E_\ell^{(i,i)} = \text{rank} E_\ell^{(i,j)} E_\ell^{(j,i)} = \text{rank} E_\ell^{(i,j)} = m_\ell^{(i,j)},$$

(3) holds.

(4) follows from $E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)} = E_m^{(i,j)} \circ E_\ell^{(i,j)}$ and (5) follows from taking the transpose of $E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{\ell,m,n}^{(i,j)} E_n^{(i,j)}$ and $E_n^{(i,j)\top} = E_n^{(j,i)}$.

(6): Applying τ to $\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_{\ell'}^{(i,j)} \circ E_n^{(i,j)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} \tau(E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_{\ell'}^{(i,j)} \circ E_n^{(i,j)}) &= \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} \text{tr}((E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_{\ell'}^{(i,j)}) E_n^{(i,j)}) \\ &= \text{tr}(q_{\ell,\ell',n}^{(i,j)} E_n^{(i,j)}) \\ &= q_{\ell,\ell',n}^{(i,j)} m_n^{(i,j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further by $E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_{\ell'}^{(i,j)} \circ E_n^{(i,j)} = E_n^{(i,j)} \circ E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)} = E_{\ell'}^{(i,j)} \circ E_n^{(i,j)} \circ E_\ell^{(i,j)}$, (5) holds.

(7): In the equation $E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ (E_m^{(i,j)} \circ E_n^{(i,j)}) = (E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)}) \circ E_n^{(i,j)}$, the left hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ (E_m^{(i,j)} \circ E_n^{(i,j)}) &= E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{m,n,\alpha}^{(i,j)} E_\alpha^{(i,j)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|X_i||X_j|} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{m,n,\alpha}^{(i,j)} q_{\ell,\alpha,\beta}^{(i,j)} \right) E_\beta^{(i,j)} \end{aligned}$$

and right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} (E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_m^{(i,j)}) \circ E_n^{(i,j)} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{\ell,m,\alpha}^{(i,j)} E_\alpha^{(i,j)} \right) \circ E_n^{(i,j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|X_i||X_j|} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} q_{\ell,m,\alpha}^{(i,j)} q_{n,\alpha,\beta}^{(i,j)} \right) E_\beta^{(i,j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficient of $E_\beta^{(i,j)}$ yields the desired equality. \square

For a matrix A , let $\tau(A)$ be the sum of the entries of A .

Proposition A.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a coherent configuration such that each fiber is a symmetric association scheme and there exists a basis $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega, 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying (B1)-(B4). Then the following (1), (2) hold:*

- (1) $\tau(A_\ell^{(i,j)}) = |X_i| k_\ell^{(i,j)}$,
- (2) $\tau(E_\ell^{(i,j)}) = \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} \delta_{\ell,0}$.

Proof. (1) is proved as

$$\tau(A_\ell^{(i,j)}) = |\{(x, y) \in X_i \times X_j \mid (x, y) \in R_\ell^{(i,j)}\}| = |X_i| k_\ell^{(i,j)}.$$

$$(2): \text{ By } E_0^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} J_{|X_i|, |X_j|},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(E_\ell^{(i,j)}) &= \tau(E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ J_{|X_i|, |X_j|}) \\ &= \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} \tau(E_\ell^{(i,j)} \circ E_0^{(i,j)}) \\ &= \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} \text{tr}(E_\ell^{(i,j)} E_0^{(j,i)}) \\ &= \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} \delta_{\ell,0} \text{tr}(E_0^{(i,j)}) \\ &= \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} \delta_{\ell,0}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2). \square

Proposition A.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a coherent configuration such that each fiber is a symmetric association scheme and there exists a basis $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega, 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying (B1)-(B4). Then the following (1), (2) hold:*

- (1) $p_\ell^{(i,j)}(0) = \sqrt{\frac{|X_i|}{|X_j|}} k_\ell^{(i,j)}$,
- (2) $q_0^{(i,j)}(m) = 1$.

Proof. (1): Apply τ to $A_\ell^{(i,j)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} p_\ell^{(i,j)}(m) E_m^{(i,j)}$ and use Proposition A.3(1), (2) to obtain

$$|X_i| k_\ell^{(i,j)} = \tau(A_\ell^{(i,j)}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} p_\ell^{(i,j)}(m) \tau(E_m^{(i,j)}) = \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} p_\ell^{(i,j)}(0).$$

Dividing by $\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}$, we obtain (1).

(2): By the definition of $E_0^{(i,j)}$,

$$E_0^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} J_{|X_i||X_j|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} \sum_{m=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{r_{i,j}} A_m^{(i,j)}.$$

Hence we obtain $q_0^{(i,j)}(m) = 1$. □

Proposition A.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a coherent configuration such that each fiber is a symmetric association scheme and there exists a basis $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega, 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying (B1)-(B4). Then the following (1) – (3) hold:*

- (1) $\frac{q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell)}{\sqrt{|X_j|} m_h^{(i,j)}} = \frac{p_\ell^{(i,j)}(h)}{\sqrt{|X_i|} k_\ell^{(i,j)}}$,
- (2) $\sum_{\nu=\varepsilon_{i,j}}^{r_{i,j}} \frac{1}{k_\nu^{(i,j)}} p_\nu^{(i,j)}(h) p_\nu^{(i,j)}(\ell) = \frac{|X_i| \delta_{h,\ell}}{m_\ell^{(i,j)}}$,
- (3) $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\tilde{r}_{i,j}} m_\nu^{(i,j)} p_h^{(i,j)}(\nu) p_\ell^{(i,j)}(\nu) = |X_i| k_\ell^{(i,j)} \delta_{h,\ell}$.

Proof. (1): Applying τ to $E_h^{(i,j)} \circ A_\ell^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell) A_\ell^{(i,j)}$, we obtain the following: the left hand side yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(E_h^{(i,j)} \circ A_\ell^{(i,j)}) &= \text{tr}(E_h^{(i,j)} A_\ell^{(j,i)}) \\ &= \text{tr}(p_\ell^{(j,i)}(h) E_h^{(i,i)}) \\ &= p_\ell^{(j,i)}(h) m_h^{(i,i)}, \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand, the right hand side yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell) A_\ell^{(i,j)}\right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_i||X_j|}} q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell) \tau(A_\ell^{(i,j)}) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{|X_i|}{|X_j|}} q_h^{(i,j)}(\ell) k_\ell^{(i,j)}. \end{aligned}$$

By $m_h^{(i,i)} = m_h^{(i,j)}$ and $p_\ell^{(i,j)}(h) = p_\ell^{(j,i)}(h)$, (1) holds.

(2), (3): By (1) and $P^{(i,j)} Q^{(i,j)} = Q^{(i,j)} P^{(i,j)} = \sqrt{|X_i||X_j|} I$, (2) and (3) hold. □

Proposition A.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a coherent configuration such that each fiber is a symmetric association scheme and there exists a basis $\{E_\ell^{(i,j)} \mid i, j \in \Omega, 0 \leq \ell \leq \tilde{r}_{i,j}\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying (B1)-(B4). Then the following (1), (2) hold:*

$$(1) \quad q_{\ell, \ell', n}^{(i, j)} = \frac{\sqrt{|X_i| |X_j|} m_{\ell}^{(i, j)} m_{\ell'}^{(i, j)}}{|X_i|^2} \sum_{\nu=\varepsilon_{i, j}}^{r_{i, j}} \frac{1}{k_{\nu}^{(i, j)^2}} p_{\nu}^{(i, j)}(\ell) p_{\nu}^{(i, j)}(\ell') p_{\nu}^{(i, j)}(n),$$

$$(2) \quad p_{\ell, m, n}^{(i, j, h)} = \frac{k_{\ell}^{(i, j)} k_m^{(j, h)}}{|X_h|} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\min\{\tilde{r}_{i, j}, \tilde{r}_{j, h}, \tilde{r}_{h, i}\}} \frac{1}{m_{\nu}^{(i, i)^2}} q_{\nu}^{(i, j)}(\ell) q_{\nu}^{(j, h)}(m) q_{\nu}^{(h, i)}(n).$$

Proof. (1): Applying tr to $q_{\ell, \ell', n}^{(i, j)} E_n^{(i, i)} = \sqrt{|X_i| |X_j|} (E_{\ell}^{(i, j)} \circ E_{\ell'}^{(i, j)}) E_n^{(j, i)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\ell, \ell', n}^{(i, j)} m_n^{(i, i)} &= \sqrt{|X_i| |X_j|} \text{tr}((E_{\ell}^{(i, j)} \circ E_{\ell'}^{(i, j)}) E_n^{(j, i)}) \\ &= \sqrt{|X_i| |X_j|} \tau(E_{\ell}^{(i, j)} \circ E_{\ell'}^{(i, j)} \circ E_n^{(i, j)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|X_i| |X_j|} \sum_{\nu=\varepsilon_{i, j}}^{r_{i, j}} q_{\ell}^{(i, j)}(\nu) q_{\ell'}^{(i, j)}(\nu) q_n^{(i, j)}(\nu) \tau(A_{\nu}^{(i, j)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|X_i| |X_j|} \sum_{\nu=\varepsilon_{i, j}}^{r_{i, j}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{|X_j|}{|X_i|}} \right)^3 \frac{m_{\ell}^{(i, j)} m_{\ell'}^{(i, j)} m_n^{(i, j)}}{k_{\nu}^{(i, j)^3}} p_{\ell}^{(i, j)}(\nu) p_{\ell'}^{(i, j)}(\nu) p_n^{(i, j)}(\nu) |X_i| k_{\nu}^{(i, j)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{|X_i| |X_j|} m_{\ell}^{(i, j)} m_{\ell'}^{(i, j)} m_n^{(i, j)}}{|X_i|^2} \sum_{\nu=\varepsilon_{i, j}}^{r_{i, j}} \frac{1}{k_{\nu}^{(i, j)^2}} q_{\ell}^{(i, j)}(\nu) q_{\ell'}^{(i, j)}(\nu) q_n^{(i, j)}(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $m_n^{(i, i)} = m_n^{(i, j)}$, we obtain (1).

(2): Applying τ to $p_{\ell, m, n}^{(i, j, h)} A_n^{(i, h)} = (A_{\ell}^{(i, j)} A_m^{(j, h)}) \circ A_n^{(i, h)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\ell, m, n}^{(i, j, h)} |X_i| k_n^{(i, h)} &= \tau((A_{\ell}^{(i, j)} A_m^{(j, h)}) \circ A_n^{(i, h)}) \\ &= \text{tr}(A_{\ell}^{(i, j)} A_m^{(j, h)} A_n^{(h, i)}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\min\{\tilde{r}_{i, j}, \tilde{r}_{j, h}, \tilde{r}_{h, i}\}} p_{\ell}^{(i, j)}(\nu) p_m^{(j, h)}(\nu) p_n^{(h, i)}(\nu) \text{tr}(E_{\nu}^{(i, i)}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\min\{\tilde{r}_{i, j}, \tilde{r}_{j, h}, \tilde{r}_{h, i}\}} \frac{k_{\ell}^{(i, j)} k_m^{(j, h)} k_n^{(h, i)}}{m_{\nu}^{(i, j)} m_{\nu}^{(j, h)} m_{\nu}^{(h, i)}} q_{\nu}^{(i, j)}(\ell) q_{\nu}^{(j, h)}(m) q_{\nu}^{(h, i)}(n) m_{\nu}^{(i, i)} \\ &= k_{\ell}^{(i, j)} k_m^{(j, h)} k_n^{(h, i)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\min\{\tilde{r}_{i, j}, \tilde{r}_{j, h}, \tilde{r}_{h, i}\}} \frac{1}{m_{\nu}^{(i, i)^2}} q_{\nu}^{(i, j)}(\ell) q_{\nu}^{(j, h)}(m) q_{\nu}^{(h, i)}(n). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $|X_i| k_n^{(i, h)} = |X_k| k_n^{(h, i)}$, we obtain (2). □