

# Finite Automata Encoding Piecewise Polynomials

Dmitry Berdinsky and Prohrak Kruengthomya

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University and Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Bangkok, 10400, Thailand

**Abstract.** Finite automata are used to encode geometric figures, functions and can be used for image compression and processing. The original approach is to represent each point of a figure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a convolution of its  $n$  coordinates written in some base. Then a figure is said to be encoded as a finite automaton if the set of convolutions corresponding to the points in this figure is accepted by a finite automaton. The only differentiable functions which can be encoded as a finite automaton in this way are linear. In this paper we propose a representation which enables to encode piecewise polynomial functions with arbitrary degrees of smoothness that substantially extends a family of functions which can be encoded as finite automata. Such representation naturally comes from the framework of hierarchical tensor product B-splines, which are piecewise polynomials widely utilized in numerical computational geometry. We show that finite automata provide a suitable tool for solving computational problems arising in this framework when the support of a function is unbounded.

**Keywords:** finite automata, encoding functions, hierarchical meshes, piecewise polynomials.

## 1 Introduction

The idea of expressing subsets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as finite automata is not new. It was originally introduced by Büchi in the 1960s as a tool to establish decidability results in arithmetic [8]. This idea later emerged in the 1990s–2000s, but as a tool for handling linear arithmetic over integers and reals [6,7] and for image compression and processing [11,23]. The original approach due to Boigelot, Bronne and Rassart [7] consists of representing a subset in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a finite automaton accepting encodings of points in this subset as infinite strings of symbols over some finite alphabet. Namely, a point  $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is represented as a convolution of infinite strings written in a base  $b \geq 2$ . For example, a point  $(4\pi, \frac{\pi}{6}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is represented by the convolution of two infinite strings  $12.5663706144\dots$  and  $0.5235987756\dots$  representing  $4\pi$  and  $\frac{\pi}{6}$ , respectively, in the decimal representation ( $b = 10$ ):

$$\begin{array}{r} 12.5663706144 \\ 0.5235987756 \dots \end{array}$$

A figure  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by its set of points  $\bar{x} \in U$  which defines a language of infinite strings ( $\omega$ -language)  $L$  of all possible convolutions representing  $\bar{x} \in U$  in some base  $b \geq 2$ . The figure  $U$  is said to be encoded as a finite automaton if  $L$  is recognized by a Büchi automaton. Recall that a (nondeterministic) Büchi

automaton is a tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, S, I, \Delta, F)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the alphabet,  $S$  is a finite set of states,  $I \subseteq S$  is a set of initial states,  $\Delta \subseteq S \times \Sigma \times S$  is a transition relation and  $F \subseteq S$  is a set of accepting states. The Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be deterministic if  $I$  is a singleton and for all  $s \in S$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  there is at most one  $s' \in S$  such that  $(s, \sigma, s') \in \Delta$ . A run associated with an infinite string  $w = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\dots$ , where  $\sigma_j \in \Sigma$  for all  $j \geq 1$ , is a sequence of states  $s_i, i \geq 0$  such that  $s_0 \in I$  and  $(s_i, \sigma_{i+1}, s_{i+1}) \in \Delta$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . A run  $s_i, i \geq 0$  is accepting if there is a state in  $F$  that appears infinitely often in this run. The input  $w$  is accepted by the Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  if there is an accepting run associated to  $w$ . An  $\omega$ -language  $L$  is said to be recognized by the Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  if it consists of all infinite strings accepted by  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this case the  $\omega$ -language  $L$  is called regular.

A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be encoded as a finite automaton if the graph  $\Gamma(f) = \{(\bar{v}, f(\bar{v})) \mid \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  is encoded as a finite automaton. Jürgensen, Staiger and Yamasaki [18,19] showed that a continuously differentiable function of one variable with non-constant derivative is not encodable as a finite automaton if it belongs to a certain restricted class of deterministic Büchi automata<sup>1</sup>. Hieronymi and Walsberg [15] extended this result for an arbitrary nondeterministic Büchi automaton. Block Gorman et al. [3] generalized it to differentiable functions. Thus, if a differentiable function is encoded as a finite automaton, it can only be linear. For other computational models, e.g., finite state transducers, similar results were proved in [2,21,25].

*Alternative encoding of functions as finite automata.* The alternative approach presented in this paper is inspired by the idea of finite automata based compression of black and white images proposed by Culik and Valenta [11]. In their approach a black–white image is composed of black squares on the white background, where each of the black squares corresponds to a finite string accepted by a finite automaton. Informally speaking, the building blocks of a black–white image are not points as in the traditional approach, but squares.

What sort of building blocks could we use for composing a function? An answer can be found in numerical computational geometry. Indeed, a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be written as a linear combination  $f = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} \lambda_\xi B_\xi$ , where  $B_\xi$  are tensor product B-splines,  $\lambda_\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Xi$  is a countable set. A tensor product B-spline is a product of univariate B-splines  $N[x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}](x)$  which are concrete piecewise polynomials of degree  $m$  having support in the interval  $[x_0, x_{m+1}]$ , see the subsection 2.1. So instead of representing every single point of  $\Gamma(f)$  as the convolution of strings, it is then natural to represent each pair  $(\lambda_\xi, B_\xi)$  as the convolution of strings that present the tensor product B-spline  $B_\xi$  and the coefficient  $\lambda_\xi$ . If the collection of all such convolutions is accepted by

<sup>1</sup> Namely, in [19] an  $\omega$ -language is said to be regular if it is recognized by a finite automaton  $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, S, s_0, \delta)$  with the input alphabet  $\Sigma$ , the set of states  $S$ , the initial state  $s_0 \in S$  and the transition function  $\delta : S \times \Sigma \rightarrow S \cup \{\perp\}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  accepts an infinite string  $w$  if  $\delta(s_0, u) \neq \perp$  for all prefixes  $u$  of  $w$ ;  $\delta(s_0, u)$  is defined in a usual way. If  $\delta(s, \sigma) = \perp$ , it means that  $\delta(s, \sigma)$  is undefined. In other words, the automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  accepts the string  $w$  if it does not get stuck while reading  $w$ .

a finite automaton, we will say that  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is encoded as a finite automaton. The technical details of the proposed encoding are explained in the subsections 3.1 and 4.1.

*Contributions of the paper.* The contribution of this paper is two-fold. The first goal of the paper is to show that the proposed alternative approach for encoding functions enables to represent as finite automata a rich family of smooth functions which, first, contains linear functions and, second, can arbitrarily close approximate any continuous function on a compact domain. The former is shown explicitly in the subsection 4.2 by representing a univariate linear function as a sum of the B-splines defined on the grid with equally spaced knots. For the latter we use a well-established framework of *hierarchical tensor product B-splines* originally proposed by Forsey and Bartels [13] and Kraft [22] in the 1980s–90s where the knots defining tensor product B-splines are selected in a systematic way (referred to as *Kraft's selection mechanism*) on a dyadic mesh defined by a finite sequence of nested domains, see the subsection 2.1. It is only enough to notice that for every continuous function  $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined on a compact domain  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a finite sequence of nested domains in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that there exists a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  obtained as a finite linear combination of hierarchical tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism for which  $|f(x) - g(x)| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in D$ . As  $f$  is defined by a finite linear combination of tensor product B-splines it can be encoded as a finite automaton in the sense proposed in this paper.

The second goal of the paper is to show that the proposed encoding suits well the framework of hierarchical tensor product B-splines enabling to handle functions with the unbounded support using only a finite amount of memory. We consider a hierarchical mesh defined by a nested sequence of domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$  that gives us the collection of tensor product B-splines  $\Xi$  (generated by Kraft's selection mechanism) defining a function  $f = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} \lambda_\xi B_\xi$ . Every domain  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$  is defined as a union of  $d$ -dimensional cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  each of which we associate with its barycenter. Then each barycenter we encode as the convolution of its coordinates written in some even base  $b \geq 2$ . We assume that the language of such convolutions is regular, so it can be given as a finite automaton. We refer to such hierarchical mesh as a *regular hierarchical mesh*, see the subsection 3.1. Now, let us be given this finite automaton representing the domains  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$ . There are three computational problems which immediately appear in the context of the framework of hierarchical B-splines:

1. Are the domains  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$  really nested?
2. Do the shapes of the domains  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$  satisfy Assumption B so the tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism truly form a basis of the space of piecewise polynomials (splines) with highest order of smoothness?
3. How one can get the knots of tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism?

To solve these three problems we use the concept of a *FA-presented structure* and the fact that for a relation of a FA-presented structure defined by a first order

formula there exists an effective procedure deciding it (see the subsection 2.3). For the problems 1 and 2 we find first order sentences for some FA-presented structures which are true if and only if the domains  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$  are nested and satisfy Assumption B, respectively (see Theorem 7 and 8 in the subsections 3.2 and 3.3). We also notice that these first order sentences provide polynomial-time algorithms for solving the problems 1 and 2. Similarly, for the problem 3 we find a first order formula defining for some FA-presented structure the collection of tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism (see Theorem 9 in the subsection 3.4).

Then we look at the problem of computing values of the function  $f = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} \lambda_\xi B_\xi$ . We assume that for  $f$  the language of convolutions of strings presenting a certain point in the support of  $B_\xi$  and the coefficient  $\lambda_\xi$  for  $\xi \in \Xi$  is regular, so it can be given as a finite automaton. We refer to the function  $f$  as a *regular spline*, see the subsection 4.1. Now, let us be given this finite automaton representing the function  $f$ . The problem is as follows:

4. For a given point  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , how to compute the value  $f(\bar{x})$ ?

To find the value  $f(\bar{x})$  one has to identify all tensor product B-splines for each of which the point  $\bar{x}$  is contained in its support (the number of such tensor product B-splines is bounded from above by a constant that depends on  $N$ ,  $d$  and the degree of B-splines). Then for each of these splines  $\beta$  one has to find the respective coefficient  $\lambda_\beta$  and the vector  $(\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $\bar{q}_\beta$  is the lower left corner of the closure of the support of  $\beta$ . This enables to compute the value  $\beta(\bar{x})$  using the exact formulas for B-splines, see, e.g., the formulas (14), (15) and (16) for the degrees 1, 2 and 3, respectively. We show that the coefficients  $\lambda_\beta$  and the vectors  $(\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta)$  can be computed in linear time that finally leads to a quadratic time algorithm for computing  $f(\bar{x})$ , see Theorem 12 in the subsection 4.3.

Finally we analyze a key procedure in the framework of hierarchical meshes – a refinement of the hierarchical mesh when each cell composing a nonempty subdomain  $\Omega^N$  of  $\Omega^{N-1}$  is dyadically subdivided into smaller subcells. We assume that the language representing the domain  $\Omega^N$  is regular. We refer to such refinement as a *regular refinement*. The collection of hierarchical tensor product B-splines  $\Xi'$  (generated by Kraft's selection mechanism for the hierarchical mesh defined by the nested sequence of domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N \neq \emptyset$ ) is different from  $\Xi$  as well as the linear combination  $\sum_{\xi' \in \Xi'} \lambda_{\xi'} B_{\xi'}$  representing the function  $f$  is different from  $\sum_{\xi \in \Xi} \lambda_\xi B_\xi$ . We show that this new linear combination  $\sum_{\xi' \in \Xi'} \lambda_{\xi'} B_{\xi'}$  is encoded as a finite automaton as well, see Theorem 14 in the subsection 4.4. This means that a regular spline remains a regular spline after a regular refinement of a hierarchical mesh.

*The structure of the paper.* The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries needed to explain the construction of encoding functions as finite automata: the subsections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 provide a necessary background on the framework of hierarchical tensor product B-splines, finite automata and FA-presented structures, respectively. Regular hierarchical meshes and regular splines are introduced and then studied in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. Section 5 concludes the paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

This section recalls necessary definitions, notations and facts from three different areas: spline theory, automata theory and the field of finite automata presentable structures which we cover in the subsections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, respectively.

### 2.1 Splines over hierarchical meshes

In this subsection we will recall the notions of a tensor product B-spline, a hierarchical mesh and a spline space over a hierarchical mesh. All key facts from the framework of hierarchical tensor product B-splines that we need in this paper are covered in this subsection.

Let  $\ell$  be a nonnegative integer. We denote by  $T^\ell$  a bi-infinite knot vector:  $T^\ell = (\dots, t_{i-1}^\ell, t_i^\ell, t_{i+1}^\ell, \dots)$ , where  $t_i^\ell = \frac{i}{2^\ell}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This bi-infinite knot vector  $T^\ell$  is uniform with the distances between consecutive knots equal to  $\frac{1}{2^\ell}$ . Let  $d$  be a positive integer. We denote by  $\mathcal{G}_d^\ell$  a  $d$ -dimensional grid consisting of the hyperplanes  $H_{j,i}^\ell = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mid x_j = t_i^\ell\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For a given integer  $m \geq 0$ , a grid  $\mathcal{G}_d^\ell$  defines the set of tensor product B-splines  $B_{d,m}^\ell$  each of which is the product:

$$P_{\vec{i},m}^\ell(\vec{x}) = N_{i_1,m}^\ell(x_1) \dots N_{i_d,m}^\ell(x_d), \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $N_{i,m}^\ell(t)$  is the  $i$ th B-spline basis function of degree  $m$  associated to the knot vector  $T^\ell$  which is recursively defined by Cox-de Boor's formula for  $j = 0, \dots, m$ :

$$N_{i,0}^\ell(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t_i^\ell \leq t < t_{i+1}^\ell, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}, \quad (2)$$

$$N_{i,j}^\ell(t) = \frac{t - t_i^\ell}{t_{i+j}^\ell - t_i^\ell} N_{i,j-1}^\ell(t) + \frac{t_{i+j+1}^\ell - t}{t_{i+j+1}^\ell - t_{i+1}^\ell} N_{i+1,j-1}^\ell(t). \quad (3)$$

Each tensor product B-spline  $P_{\vec{i},m}^\ell$  has local support defined as:

$$\text{supp } P_{\vec{i},m}^\ell = \{\vec{x} \mid P_{\vec{i},m}^\ell(\vec{x}) \neq 0\} = (t_{i_1}^\ell, t_{i_1+m+1}^\ell) \times \dots \times (t_{i_d}^\ell, t_{i_d+m+1}^\ell)$$

on which  $P_{\vec{i},m}^\ell$  takes only positive values. Tensor product B-splines from  $B_{d,m}^\ell$  are locally linear independent: for every open bounded set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  the tensor product B-splines from  $B_{d,m}^\ell$  having nonempty intersections of its support with  $U$  are linearly independent on  $U$ . For an introduction to B-splines the reader is referred to [27].


We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_d^\ell$  the collection of all closed  $d$ -dimensional cubes  $\prod_{j=1}^d [t_{i_j}^\ell, t_{i_j+1}^\ell]$ . Following [24] we call each of the cubes from  $\mathcal{C}_d^\ell$  a cell of the grid  $\mathcal{G}_d^\ell$  (or, simply,

a cell). Let us consider a nested sequence of domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$ , where  $\Omega^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Assumption A.** We assume that each  $\Omega^\ell$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$  is composed of cells from  $\mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1}$ . That is, for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$  there is a subset  $M \subseteq \mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1}$  for which  $\Omega^\ell = \bigcup_{c \in M} c$ .

A hierarchy of domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$  satisfying Assumption A creates a subdivision of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into the collection of cells  $R^\ell \subseteq \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$  such that  $\Omega^\ell \setminus \mathring{\Omega}^{\ell+1} = \bigcup_{c \in R^\ell} c$  for  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ , where  $\mathring{\Omega}^{\ell+1}$  is the interior of  $\Omega^{\ell+1}$ .

We denote the subdivision of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into the cells from  $R^\ell$ ,  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$  by  $\mathcal{T}$ . We will call  $\mathcal{T}$  a hierarchical mesh. See Fig. 1 for an example of a 2-dimensional hierarchical mesh generated by a nested sequence of domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^2 \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \Omega^2 \supseteq \Omega^3 = \emptyset$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{T}_d$  the collection of  $d$ -dimensional cells  $\mathcal{T}_d = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} R^\ell$ .



**Fig. 1.** The figure on the left shows a portion of infinite domains  $\Omega^1$  (bounded by blue line segments) and  $\Omega^2$  (bounded by red line segments) satisfying Assumption A. The grid lines of  $\mathcal{G}_2^0$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_2^1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2^2$  are depicted as solid, dashed and dotted lines, respectively. The figure on the right shows the corresponding portion of a hierarchical mesh generated by a nested sequence of domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^2 \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \Omega^2 \supseteq \Omega^3 = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.** For a given hierarchical mesh  $\mathcal{T}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  the space of functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the class  $C^{m-1}$  which are polynomials of multi-degree  $(m, \dots, m)$  in every cell from  $\mathcal{T}_d$ . That is, for every  $c \in \mathcal{T}_d$ ,  $f|_c = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d=0}^m a_{i_1 \dots i_d} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_d^{i_d}$ . A function from  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  is called a spline (with highest order of smoothness) over a hierarchical mesh  $\mathcal{T}$ .

For a given  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$  let  $\mathcal{M}^\ell = \Omega^0 \setminus \mathring{\Omega}^{\ell+1} = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathring{\Omega}^{\ell+1}$ . Then we have a nested sequence of closed domains:

$$\emptyset = \mathcal{M}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{N-2} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{N-1} = \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4)$$

By Assumption A, each domain  $\mathcal{M}_\ell$  is composed of the cells from  $\mathcal{C}_d^\ell$  for  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ . Now we are ready to formulate Theorem 2 shown by Mokriš, Jüttler

and Giannelli [24] which states that if the domains (4) satisfy the following Assumption B, then each spline  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  can be uniquely written as the sum  $f = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\delta \delta$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the collection of the hierarchical tensor product B-splines obtained by Kraft's selection mechanism (5), see the details in Remark 3.

**Assumption B.** For a nested sequence of closed domains  $\emptyset = \mathcal{M}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{N-2} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{N-1} = \mathbb{R}^d$  we assume that for each  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-2$  the domain  $\mathcal{M}^\ell$  satisfies the condition: for each  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ ,  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-2$ , if the intersection  $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$  is not empty, it must be connected.

We say that a hierarchical mesh  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfies Assumption B if it is generated by a nested sequence of domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$  for which the domains  $\mathcal{M}^\ell = \Omega^0 \setminus \hat{\Omega}^{\ell+1}$ ,  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-2$  satisfy Assumption B. For  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ , let:

$$\mathcal{K}^\ell = \{\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell \mid \text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^{\ell-1} = \emptyset \wedge \text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset\} \text{ and } \mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{K}^\ell. \quad (5)$$

Each formal sum  $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\delta \delta$  defines a function from  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ . Moreover, if a hierarchical mesh  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfies Assumption B, then the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 2 ([24]).** For every  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ ,  $f = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\delta \delta$  for some uniquely defined coefficients  $\lambda_\delta$ .

*Remark 3.* The equation (5) defines a procedure usually known as Kraft's selection mechanism for generating basis functions. Informally, it can be described as follows. At the first iteration this mechanism takes all tensor product B-splines from  $B_{d,m}^0$  (they are all tensor product B-splines with respect to the grid  $\mathcal{G}_d^0$  with the support overlapping with the domain  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d$ ). At the second iteration it removes all tensor product B-splines with the support in the domain  $\Omega^1$  obtained at the previous iteration and add tensor product B-splines from  $B_{d,m}^1$  with the support in the domain  $\Omega^1$ . At the third iteration it removes all tensor product B-splines with the support in the domain  $\Omega^2$  obtained at the previous iteration and add tensor product B-splines from  $B_{d,m}^2$  with the support in the domain  $\Omega^2$  and etc. The process stops after the  $N$ th iteration.

## 2.2 Multitape synchronous finite automata

In this subsection we will recall the notion of a finite automaton, a regular language, a multitape synchronous finite automaton and a finite automata recognizable (FA-recognizable) relation. For an introduction to automata theory and formal languages the reader is referred to [17].

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet. A string (word)  $w$  over the alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a finite sequence of symbols  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n$ , where  $n$  is a nonnegative integer and  $\sigma_i \in \Sigma$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $n = 0$ ,  $w$  is the empty string which we denote by  $\varepsilon$ . We denote by  $|w|$  the length of the string  $w$ :  $|w| = n$ . The set of all strings over the alphabet  $\Sigma$  is denoted by  $\Sigma^*$ .

A (nondeterministic) finite automaton  $\mathcal{M}$  over the alphabet  $\Sigma$  consists of a finite set of states  $S$ , a set of initial states  $I \subseteq S$ , a transition function  $T : S \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$  and a set of accepting states  $F \subseteq S$ , where  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  is the set of all subsets of  $S$ . It is said that the automaton  $\mathcal{M}$  accepts a string  $w = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n$  if there exists a sequence of states  $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \in S$  for which  $s_1 \in I$ ,  $s_{i+1} \in T(s_i, \sigma_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $s_{n+1} \in F$ . A language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is said to be recognized by the finite automaton  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $L$  consists of all strings accepted by  $\mathcal{M}$ . A language is said to be regular if it is recognized by a finite automaton. The finite automaton  $\mathcal{M}$  is called deterministic if  $I$  has exactly one element and for each state  $s \in S$  and each symbol  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  the set  $T(s, \sigma)$  has exactly one element. Nondeterministic and deterministic finite automata recognize the class of all regular languages.

We denote by  $\Sigma_\diamond$  the alphabet  $\Sigma_\diamond = \Sigma \cup \{\diamond\}$ , where it is always assumed that the padding symbol  $\diamond$  is not in the alphabet  $\Sigma$ . We denote by  $\Sigma_\diamond^k$  the Cartesian product of  $k$  copies of  $\Sigma_\diamond$ . Let  $w_1, \dots, w_k \in \Sigma^*$  be some strings over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ . The convolution of the strings  $w_1, \dots, w_k$  is the string  $w = w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k$  over the alphabet  $\Sigma_\diamond^k \setminus \{(\diamond, \dots, \diamond)\}$  such that for the  $i$ th symbol  $(\sigma_i^1, \dots, \sigma_i^k)$  of  $w$  the symbol  $\sigma_i^j$  is the  $i$ th symbol of  $w_j$  if  $i \leq |w_j|$  and  $\diamond$ , otherwise, for  $i = 1, \dots, |w|$  and  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $|w| = \max\{|w_j| \mid j = 1, \dots, k\}$ . For example, the convolution of three strings  $w_1 = 0001101$ ,  $w_2 = 10100101110$  and  $w_3 = 100101$  is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 1\ \diamond\ \diamond\ \diamond \\ w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes w_3 = & 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ . \\ & 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 1\ \diamond\ \diamond\ \diamond\ \diamond \end{aligned}$$

For a given relation  $R \subseteq \Sigma^{*k}$  we denote by  $\otimes R$  the following language of convolutions of strings over the alphabet  $\Sigma_\diamond^k \setminus \{(\diamond, \dots, \diamond)\}$ :

$$\otimes R = \{w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k \mid (w_1, \dots, w_k) \in R\} \subseteq (\Sigma_\diamond^k \setminus \{(\diamond, \dots, \diamond)\})^*.$$

It is said that a relation  $R$  is FA–recognizable if the language  $\otimes R$  is regular. One can think of a finite automaton recognizing  $\otimes R$  as a read–only  $k$ –tape Turing machine with the input  $w_i$  written on the  $i$ th tape for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $k$  heads moving synchronously from the left to the right until the whole input is read. After that the input is either accepted or rejected. Such automaton is called a  $k$ –tape synchronous finite automaton.

Let  $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^{*m}$  be a function from  $D \subseteq \Sigma^{*n}$  to  $\Sigma^{*m}$  for integers  $n, m \geq 1$ . We denote by  $\text{Graph}(f)$  the graph of the function  $f$ :

$$\text{Graph}(f) = \{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \Sigma^{*n} \times \Sigma^{*m} \mid f(\bar{u}) = \bar{v}\} \subseteq \Sigma^{*(n+m)}.$$

It is said that the function  $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^{*m}$  is FA–recognizable (automatic), if  $\text{Graph}(f)$  is FA–recognizable. For an automatic function  $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^{*m}$  there exists a linear–time algorithm which for a given input  $\bar{u} \in \Sigma^{*n}$  returns the output  $\bar{v} = f(\bar{u}) \in \Sigma^{*m}$ , see [12, Theorem 2.3.10]. Moreover, an automatic function can be computed on a deterministic (position–faithful) one–tape Turing machine in linear time [9]. The same is true if  $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^{*m}$  is a multivalued function such that the number of values that  $f$  can take for each  $\bar{u} \in D$  is bounded from above by some fixed constant: all values  $f(\bar{u})$  can be computed on a one–tape Turing machine in linear time.



### 2.3 FA-presented structures

In this subsection we introduce the notion of a FA-presented structure and recall Theorem 4 from the field of FA-presentable structures. This theorem is a key ingredient to be used in Sections 3 and 4. The pioneering work linking decidability of the first order theory and finite automata is due to Hodgson [16]. The systematic study of FA-presentable structures was initiated by Khoussainov and Nerode [20] and, independently, by Blumensath and Grädel [4].

We first recall the notion of a FA-presented structure as it is defined in [20]. Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A; R_1^{m_1}, \dots, R_s^{m_s}, f_1^{n_1}, \dots, f_r^{n_r}, c_1, \dots, c_t)$  be a structure, where  $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$  for some alphabet  $\Sigma^*$ ,  $R_i^{m_i} \subseteq A^{m_i}$  are  $m_i$ -ary relations for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $f_j^{n_j} : A^{n_j} \rightarrow A$  are  $n_j$ -ary functions for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $c_k$  are constants for  $k = 1, \dots, t$ . The structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be FA-presented if  $A$  is regular, the relations  $R_i^{m_i}$  and the functions  $f_j^{n_j}$  are FA-recognizable for all  $i = 1, \dots, s$  and  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . A structure is said to be FA-presentable if it is isomorphic to a FA-presented structure.

FA-presented structures enjoy the following fundamental property. There exists an effective procedure that for a given first order definition of a relation  $R$  of a FA-presented structure  $\mathcal{A}$  yields an algorithm deciding  $R$ . The proof of this property can be obtained from the standard facts in automata theory which are summarized in Theorem 4.

**Theorem 4.** ([20]) (1) Let  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R$  be FA-recognizable relations. Then the relations corresponding to the expressions  $(R_1 \vee R_2)$ ,  $(R_1 \wedge R_2)$ ,  $(R_1 \rightarrow R_2)$ ,  $(\neg R_1)$ ,  $\exists vR$  and  $\forall vR$  are also FA-recognizable, where for a  $k$ -ary relation  $R(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ , for  $k > 1$ , and a variable  $v_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ :

$$\exists v_i R = \{(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) \mid (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) \in R\},$$

$$\forall v_i R = \{(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) \mid \forall v_i \in A ((v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) \in R)\}.$$

(2) The emptiness problem for finite automaton is decidable. That is, for a unary FA-recognizable relation  $R(v)$  there is an algorithm which for a given deterministic finite automaton accepting  $R$  decides whether  $\exists vR$  is true or false. Similarly, there is an algorithm deciding whether  $\forall vR$  is true or false.

(3) There exists a procedure which for deterministic multi-tape synchronous finite automata recognizing  $R_1, R_2$  and a  $k$ -ary relation  $R(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ , for  $k > 1$ , constructs deterministic multi-tape synchronous finite automata for recognizing the relations corresponding to the expressions  $(R_1 \vee R_2)$ ,  $(R_1 \wedge R_2)$ ,  $(R_1 \rightarrow R_2)$ ,  $(\neg R_1)$ ,  $\exists v_i R$  and  $\forall v_i R$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

A brief sketch of the proof of Theorem 4 is as follows. Part (1) follows from part (3). Part (2) for  $\exists vR$  is a standard fact from the automata theory [17, Theorem 3.7]. For  $\forall vR$  it follows from the equivalency of  $\forall$  and the composition  $\neg \circ \exists \circ \neg$  (see the same argument used in the proof of [12, Theorem 1.4.6]). As for part (3), it is enough to show it only for the expressions  $(R_1 \wedge R_2)$ ,  $(\neg R_1)$  and  $\exists vR$ . For the expression  $(R_1 \wedge R_2)$  it follows from the product construction for a deterministic finite automaton accepting the intersection of two regular languages.

For  $(\neg R_1)$  it follows from a construction of a deterministic finite automaton accepting the complement of a given regular language by swapping accepting and non-accepting states. For  $\exists v_i R$  it follows from the standard Rabin–Scott powerset construction for converting a nondeterministic finite automaton into deterministic finite automaton [17, Theorem 2.1].

### 3 Regular Hierarchical Meshes

In this section first we introduce the encoding of hierarchical meshes as finite automata, see the subsection 3.1. This encoding is an integral part of the encoding of splines over hierarchical meshes as finite automata to be introduced in Section 4. Then we describe the polynomial-time procedures for verifying the nestedness of the domains generating a hierarchical mesh, for verifying the geometric constraints given by Assumption B and for selecting tensor product B-splines according to Kraft’s selection mechanism, in the subsections 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, respectively.

#### 3.1 Encoding hierarchical meshes

Let  $b$  be a positive integer divisible by 2. We denote by  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  the abelian group of all rational numbers of the form  $\frac{s}{b^\ell}$  for  $s, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\ell \geq 0$ . Each positive  $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  can be uniquely represented as the sum of its integral and fractional parts:

$$z = [z]_i + [z]_f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i b^{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i b^{-i}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$  for which either  $\alpha_k \neq 0$  or  $\beta_k \neq 0$ . Let  $\Sigma_b$  be the alphabet consisting of the symbols  $\binom{\alpha}{\beta}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ . Now, for a given positive  $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  we represent it as a string:

$$\binom{0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}{0 \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_k} \quad (7)$$

over the alphabet  $\Sigma_b$ . The first symbol  $\binom{0}{0}$  indicates that  $z$  is positive. Let  $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  be negative and  $-z = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \alpha'_i b^{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \beta'_i b^{-i}$  be the decomposition of the form (6) for  $-z > 0$ . We represent  $z$  as a string:

$$\binom{1 \alpha'_1 \alpha'_2 \dots \alpha'_{k'}}{1 \beta'_1 \beta'_2 \dots \beta'_{k'}} \quad (8)$$

over the alphabet  $\Sigma_b$ . The first symbol  $\binom{1}{1}$  indicates that  $z$  is negative.

For  $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  we denote by  $(z)_b \in \Sigma_b^*$  the string (7), if  $z > 0$ , and the string (8) if  $z < 0$  and the string  $\binom{0}{0}$ , if  $z = 0$ . For example, if  $z = -\frac{27}{8}$ , then  $(z)_2 = \binom{1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0}{1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1}$ . The language  $\mathcal{L}_b = \{(z)_b \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]\}$  is regular. We denote by  $\psi_b : \mathcal{L}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  the bijection which maps a string  $(z)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b$  to  $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ . For both cases,  $\mathcal{L}_b$

and  $\psi_b$ , the subscript indicates the base  $b$ . For  $b = 2$ , up to minor modification, the representation  $\psi_2$  coincides with the representation of  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$  described in [26, § 2]. We denote by  $Add$  the graph of the addition operation in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  with respect to  $\psi_b$ , that is,  $Add = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b \mid \psi_b(u) + \psi_b(v) = \psi_b(w)\}$ . The relation  $Add$  is FA-recognizable [26, § 2]. We denote by  $add$  the addition operation in  $\mathcal{L}_b$ , that is,  $add : \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b$  is a two-place function for which  $add(u, v) = w$  if  $\psi_b(u) + \psi_b(v) = \psi_b(w)$ .

For a given  $d$ -tuple  $\bar{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  we denote by  $(\bar{z})_b$  the convolution  $(z_1)_b \otimes \dots \otimes (z_d)_b$  of strings  $(z_1)_b, \dots, (z_d)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b$ . Clearly, the language  $\mathcal{L}_b^d = \{w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_d \mid w_i \in \mathcal{L}_b, i = 1, \dots, d\}$  is regular. We denote by  $\psi_b^d : \mathcal{L}_b^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  the bijection which maps a string  $(\bar{z})_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$  to  $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ . For both cases,  $\mathcal{L}_b^d$  and  $\psi_b^d$ , the superscript indicates the dimension  $d$ . We denote by  $Add_d$  the graph of the addition operation in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  with respect to  $\psi_b^d$ . That is,  $Add_d = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \mid \psi_b^d(u) + \psi_b^d(v) = \psi_b^d(w)\}$ . The relation  $Add_d$  is FA-recognizable. We denote by  $add_d$  the addition operation in  $\mathcal{L}_b^d$ . That is,  $add_d : \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b^d$  is a two-place function for which  $add_d(u, v) = w$  if  $\psi_b^d(u) + \psi_b^d(v) = \psi_b^d(w)$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{L}_b^1 = \mathcal{L}_b$ ,  $\psi_b^1 = \psi_b$ ,  $Add_1 = Add$  and  $add_1 = add$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a  $d$ -dimensional hierarchical mesh defined by a nested sequence of domains:  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$ , where  $\Omega^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$  and each  $\Omega^\ell$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$  is composed of cells from  $\mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1}$ . For each  $d$ -dimensional cube  $c = \prod_{j=1}^d [t_{i_j}^\ell, t_{i_j+1}^\ell]$  we associate it with its barycentre  $\bar{z}_c = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ , where  $z_j = \frac{1}{2}(t_{i_j}^\ell + t_{i_j+1}^\ell)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, d$  (see Fig. 2). For each  $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$  we denote by  $L_\ell \subseteq \mathcal{L}_b^d$  the language:  $L_\ell = \{(\bar{z}_c)_b \mid c \in \mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1} \wedge c \subseteq \Omega^\ell\}$ .


**Definition 5.** We say that a hierarchical mesh  $\mathcal{T}$  is regular if for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$  the language  $L_\ell$  is regular.

The languages  $L_\ell$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$  are pairwise disjoint:  $L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, N-1$  and  $i \neq j$ . Let  $L = L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_{N-1}$ . It can be seen that the hierarchical mesh  $\mathcal{T}$  is regular if and only if the language  $L$  is regular.


*Remark 6.* Chaudhuri, Sankaranarayanan and Vardi studied real functions that can be encoded by automata on infinite strings [10]. The representation of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  defined by the identities (6), (7) and (8) is similar to the representation of points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  used in [10] (though in this paper we use finite strings). However, in principle, one can use alternative representations of elements in  $(\mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d; +)$ , or other countable subgroups of  $(\mathbb{R}^d; +)$ , as finite strings for which the addition is FA-recognizable, see [1, 26, 14].

### 3.2 Verification of the nestedness

In this subsection we describe a procedure of verification of the nestedness of the domains  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$  generating a regular hierarchical mesh. Each domain  $\Omega^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N-1$  is composed of the cells from  $\mathcal{C}_d^{i-1}$  and defined by a regular



**Fig. 2.** The figure shows a 2-dimensional cell and its barycentre (a black dot in the centre of the cell).



**Fig. 3.** The figures show portions of regular 3-level hierarchical meshes.

language  $L_i$ . The input is given as deterministic finite automata  $M_1, \dots, M_{N-1}$  recognizing the languages  $L_1, \dots, L_{N-1}$ , respectively. The procedure decides whether or not  $\Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1}$ . Further verification of Assumption A is not required as it is satisfied by construction.

In order to check the nestedness one has to verify that for each  $\ell = 2, \dots, N-1$ :  $\Omega^\ell \subseteq \Omega^{\ell-1}$ . Let  $c \in \mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1}$  be a cell for which  $c \subseteq \Omega^\ell$  for some  $\ell$ ,  $2 \leq \ell \leq N-1$ . Then  $c \subseteq \Omega^{\ell-1}$  if and only if there exists a cell  $c' \in \mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-2}$  for which  $c \subseteq c'$  and  $c' \subseteq \Omega^{\ell-1}$ . The inclusion  $c \subseteq c'$  holds if and only if there is a vector  $\bar{s} = (\pm \frac{1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2^\ell}) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  for which  $\bar{z}_c + \bar{s} = \bar{z}_{c'}$ , that is,  $((\bar{z}_c)_b, (\bar{s})_b, (\bar{z}_{c'})_b) \in \text{Add}_d$ . There are exactly  $2^d$  vectors of the form  $(\pm \frac{1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2^\ell})$ . We denote these vectors by  $\bar{s}_1^\ell, \dots, \bar{s}_k^\ell$ , where  $k = 2^d$ . Let  $s_i^\ell = (\bar{s}_i^\ell)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . We have that  $c \subseteq c'$  if and only if for a first order formula:

$$\Phi_\ell = (\text{add}_d(u, s_1^\ell) \in L_{\ell-1}) \vee \dots \vee (\text{add}_d(u, s_k^\ell) \in L_{\ell-1}),$$

the evaluation of  $\Phi_\ell$  is true for  $u = (\bar{z}_c)_b$  and the constants  $s_1^\ell, \dots, s_k^\ell$ . Therefore,  $\Omega^\ell \subseteq \Omega^{\ell-1}$  if and only if the following first order sentence:

$$\Upsilon_\ell = \forall u ((u \in L_\ell) \rightarrow \Phi_\ell)$$

is true for the structure  $(\mathcal{L}_b^d; \text{add}_d, L_\ell, L_{\ell-1}, s_1^\ell, \dots, s_k^\ell)$ . Thus, we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.** *The sequence of domains  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$  is nested if and only if the first order sentence  $\Upsilon_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \Upsilon_{N-1}$  is true for the structure:*

$$(\mathcal{L}_b^d; \text{add}_d, L_1, \dots, L_{N-1}, s_1^2, \dots, s_k^{N-1}).$$

*Verification of nestedness and its complexity.* Now let us be given deterministic finite automata  $M_1, \dots, M_{N-1}$  defining the domains  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$ , respectively. We denote by  $m_1, \dots, m_{N-1}$  the number of states in the automata  $M_1, \dots, M_{N-1}$ , respectively. Theorem 7 provides a simple polynomial-time verification procedure for the nestedness of  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$ .

Indeed, first for each pair  $\ell$  and  $i$ ,  $1 \leq \ell \leq N-1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  from the automaton  $M_{\ell-1}$  one can construct a deterministic finite automaton  $M_{\ell-1,i}$  recognizing the unary relation  $\text{add}_d(u, s_i^\ell) \in L_{\ell-1}$  with the number of states at most  $O(m_{\ell-1})$ . Then, by Theorem 4 (using the product construction) one can construct a deterministic finite automaton recognizing the unary relation  $\Phi_\ell$  with the number of states at most  $O(m_{\ell-1}^k)$ . Then, by Theorem 4 one can construct a deterministic finite automaton recognizing the unary relation  $(u \in L_\ell) \rightarrow \Phi_\ell$  with the number of states at most  $O(m_\ell \cdot m_{\ell-1}^k)$ . Finally, since  $\forall = \neg \circ \exists \circ \neg$  and the emptiness problem for a deterministic finite automaton with  $n$  states can be solved in  $O(n^2)$  time, there exists a  $O(m_\ell^2 \cdot m_{\ell-1}^{2k})$ -time algorithm deciding whether or not  $\mathcal{Y}_\ell$  is true. Thus, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm which for given deterministic finite automata  $M_1, \dots, M_{N-1}$  decides whether or not  $\Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1}$ .

### 3.3 Verification of Assumption B


Recall that Assumption B ensures that for each spline function  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ ,  $f$  can be uniquely represented as the sum  $f = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\delta \delta$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the collection of tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism (5) and  $\lambda_\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . In this subsection we construct a first order sentence which is true for a certain FA-presented structure if and only if Assumption B holds.

In order to verify Assumption B one has to verify that for each  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-2$  the domain  $\mathcal{M}^\ell = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \dot{\Omega}^{\ell+1}$  satisfies the following: for each  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ , if the intersection  $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$  is not empty, it must be connected. Each  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$  we associate with one of the  $(m+1)^d$  cells from  $\mathcal{C}_d^\ell$  composing  $\text{supp } \beta$ , depending on the parity of  $m+1$ : if  $m+1$  is odd then we associate  $\beta$  with the central cell of  $\text{supp } \beta$ , if  $m+1$  is even then we associate  $\beta$  with the cell which has the central vertex of  $\text{supp } \beta$  as its lower left corner<sup>2</sup> (see Fig. 4 for illustration). For a given  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$  we denote by  $c_\beta \in \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$  the associated cell.

For a given  $\vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and an integer  $\ell \geq 0$  let  $\vec{t}_i^\ell$  be the vector  $\vec{t}_i^\ell = (\frac{i_1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \frac{i_d}{2^\ell}) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]^d$ . Let  $t_i^\ell = (t_i^\ell)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$ . For a given  $m \geq 0$  we denote by  $I_m$  the set  $I_m = \{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid -\frac{m}{2} \leq i_k \leq \frac{m}{2}, k = 1, \dots, d\}$  if  $m+1$  is odd and  $I_m = \{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid -\frac{m+1}{2} \leq i_k \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, k = 1, \dots, d\}$  if  $m+1$  is even. We denote by  $\Phi_{\ell,m,\vec{i}}$  the following first order formula:

$$\Phi_{\ell,m,\vec{i}} = (\text{add}_d(u, t_i^\ell) \in L_{\ell+1}).$$

<sup>2</sup> We use the term lower left corner of a  $d$ -dimensional cell  $[0, 1]^d$  for the vertex  $(0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .



**Fig. 4.** The figure on the left shows the support of  $\beta \in B_{2,4}^\ell$  with the associated cell  $c_\beta$  shaded in gray. The figure on the right shows the support of  $\beta \in B_{2,3}^\ell$  with the associated cell  $c_\beta$  shaded in gray; this cell has the central vertex of  $\text{supp } \beta$  (shown as a black dot) as its lower left corner.

The condition that for a given  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$  the intersection  $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset$  holds if and only if the evaluation of the following first order formula is true for  $u = (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b$  and the constants  $t_{\bar{i}}^\ell$ :

$$\Psi_\ell = \bigvee_{\bar{i} \in I_m} \neg \Phi_{\ell,m,\bar{i}}. \quad (9)$$


Moreover, the condition that the intersection  $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$  is connected can be encoded as a first order formula as follows. Every possible nonempty intersection  $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$  corresponds to a nonempty subset  $J \subseteq I_m$  (see Fig. 5 for illustration) for which the evaluation of the following first order formula is true for  $u = (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b$ , the constants  $t_{\bar{i}}^\ell$  and the domain  $\mathcal{L}_b^d$ :

$$\Psi_{\ell,J} = \left( \bigwedge_{\bar{j} \in J} \neg \Phi_{\ell,m,\bar{j}} \right) \wedge \left( \bigwedge_{\bar{j} \in I_m \setminus J} \Phi_{\ell,m,\bar{j}} \right).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{J}_m$  the collection of all nonempty subsets  $J \subseteq I_m$  that correspond to the connected intersections. For example, in Fig. 5 the intersection on the left corresponding to the set  $J = \{(-2, -1), (-1, -1), (0, -1), (1, -1), (2, -1), (-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$  is connected, so  $J \in \mathcal{J}_4$ ; the intersection on the right corresponding to the set  $J' = \{(-2, -1), (-1, -1), (0, -1), (1, -1), (2, -1), (-1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (0, -2), (2, 2)\}$  is not connected, so  $J' \notin \mathcal{J}_4$ .

For given  $d > 0$  and  $\ell \geq 0$ , we denote by  $\tilde{L}_\ell^d \subseteq \mathcal{L}_b^d$  the language  $\tilde{L}_\ell^d = \{(\bar{z}_c)_b \mid c \in \mathcal{C}_d^\ell\}$ . For example, if  $b = 2$ , the language  $\tilde{L}_\ell^d$  consists of all convolutions of  $d$  strings of the form  $u_i \otimes v_i \in \mathcal{L}_2^2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$  for which  $v_i = r_i 1 s_i$ , where  $r_i \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,  $|r_i| = \ell$  and  $s_i \in \{0\}^*$ . The language  $\tilde{L}_\ell^d$  is regular. Finally, the condition that for every  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$  such that  $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset$  the intersection  $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$  is connected holds if and only if the following first order formula is true for the structure  $(\mathcal{L}_b^d; \text{add}_d, \tilde{L}_\ell^d, L_{\ell+1}, \{t_{\bar{i}}^\ell \mid \bar{i} \in I_m\})$ :

$$\mathcal{X}_\ell = \forall u \left( \left( (u \in \tilde{L}_\ell^d) \wedge \Psi_\ell \right) \rightarrow \bigvee_{J \in \mathcal{J}_m} \Psi_{\ell,J} \right).$$



**Fig. 5.** The figure on the left shows the support of some tensor product B-spline from  $B_{2,4}^2$  and its intersection with  $\mathcal{M}^\ell$  shaded in gray which is connected. The figure on the right shows the support of some tensor product B-spline from  $B_{2,4}^\ell$  and its intersection with  $\mathcal{M}^\ell$  shaded in gray which is not connected.

**Theorem 8.** *Assumption B holds for the domains  $\mathcal{M}^0, \dots, \mathcal{M}^{N-2}$  if and only if the first order sentence  $\mathcal{X}_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{X}_{N-2}$  is true for the structure:*

$$(\mathcal{L}_b^d; \text{add}_d, \tilde{L}_0^d, \dots, \tilde{L}_{N-2}^d, L_1, \dots, L_{N-1}, \{t_{\bar{i}}^\ell \mid \bar{i} \in I_m, \ell = 0, \dots, N-2\}).$$

Similarly to the argument in the end of subsection 3.2, from the first order sentence  $\mathcal{X}_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{X}_{N-2}$  one can obtain a polynomial-time algorithm which for deterministic finite automata  $M_1, \dots, M_{N-1}$  given as the input decides whether or not  $\mathcal{X}_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{X}_{N-2}$  is true.

### 3.4 Regularity for $\mathcal{K}$

Recall that at each level  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$  the collection of tensor product B-splines  $\mathcal{K}^\ell$  is generated by Kraft's selection mechanism (5). We associate each tensor product B-spline  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$  with the cell  $c_\beta \in \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$  according to the rule described in the subsection 3.3. This gives a collection of cells  $\mathcal{K}_c^\ell = \{c_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$  for every  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ . We denote by  $\hat{L}_\ell$  the language  $\hat{L}_\ell = \{(\bar{z}_c)_b \mid c \in \mathcal{K}_c^\ell\} \subseteq \tilde{L}_\ell^d$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{K}_c^\ell$ . In this subsection we construct the first order formulae defining the languages  $\hat{L}_0, \dots, \hat{L}_{N-1}$ .

First we note that the language  $\hat{L}_0$  is defined by the formula:

$$\Theta_0 = \left( u \in \tilde{L}_0^d \right) \wedge \Psi_0,$$

where  $\Psi_0$  is given by (9). That is,  $\hat{L}_0$  is the language of strings  $u$  from  $\mathcal{L}_b^d$  for which the evaluation of the formula  $\Theta_0$  is true. Indeed, the formula  $\Psi_0$  verifies whether or not the intersection of  $\text{supp } \beta$  for  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^0$  with  $\mathcal{M}^0$  is nonempty. If it is nonempty, then  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^0$ . For given  $\ell > 0$ ,  $\bar{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in I_m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $k = 2^d$ , we denote by  $\bar{r}_{ij}^\ell$  the constant vectors  $\bar{r}_{ij}^\ell = \bar{t}_i^\ell + \bar{s}_j^{\ell+1}$ . Let  $r_{ij}^\ell = (\bar{r}_{ij}^\ell)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$ . For a given  $\ell > 0$ , let:

$$\Theta_\ell = \left( u \in \tilde{L}_\ell^d \right) \wedge \Psi_\ell \wedge \bigwedge_{\bar{i} \in I_m} \bigvee_{j=1}^k \left( \text{add}_d(u, r_{\bar{i}j}^\ell) \in L_\ell \right).$$

The formula  $\Theta_\ell$  defines the language  $\widehat{L}_\ell$  for  $\ell = 1, \dots, N-2$ . Indeed, for a given  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$  the formula  $\Psi_\ell$  verifies whether or not the intersection of  $\text{supp } \beta$  with  $\mathcal{M}^\ell$  is nonempty. The formula  $\bigwedge_{\bar{i} \in I_m} \bigvee_{j=1}^k \left( \text{add}_d(u, r_{\bar{i}j}^\ell) \in L_\ell \right)$  verifies whether or not  $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \Omega^\ell$ . If for  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ ,  $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \Omega^\ell$ , then  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ . For a given  $\ell > 0$ , let:

$$\Gamma_\ell = \left( u \in \widetilde{L}_\ell^d \right) \wedge \bigwedge_{\bar{i} \in I_m} \bigvee_{j=1}^k \left( \text{add}_d(u, r_{\bar{i}j}^\ell) \in L_\ell \right). \quad (10)$$

The formula  $\Gamma_{N-1}$  defines the language  $\widehat{L}_{N-1}$ . Indeed, for  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^{N-1}$  the formula  $\bigwedge_{\bar{i} \in I_m} \bigvee_{j=1}^k \left( \text{add}_d(u, r_{\bar{i}j}^{N-1}) \in L_{N-1} \right)$  verifies whether or not  $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \Omega^{N-1}$ . For  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^{N-1}$  if  $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \Omega^{N-1}$ , then  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^{N-1}$ . Since the languages  $\widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}_{N-1}$  are defined by the first order formulae they must be regular for regular hierarchical meshes. Thus, we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** *The languages  $\widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}_{N-1}$  corresponding to the collection of tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism are defined by the first order formulae  $\Theta_0, \Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{N-2}, \Gamma_{N-1}$ , respectively, and they must be regular for a regular hierarchical mesh.*

Similarly to the argument in the end of the subsection 3.2, for given deterministic finite automata  $M_1, \dots, M_{N-1}$  one can construct deterministic finite automata  $\widehat{M}_0, \dots, \widehat{M}_{N-1}$  recognizing the languages  $\widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}_{N-1}$ , respectively. Furthermore, these automata  $\widehat{M}_0, \dots, \widehat{M}_{N-1}$  can be constructed from the automata  $M_1, \dots, M_{N-1}$  in polynomial time.

## 4 Regular Splines

In this section first we introduce the encoding of splines over hierarchical meshes as finite automata, see the subsection 4.1. Then in the subsection 4.2 we show examples of splines which admit such encoding. In the subsection 4.3 we describe an algorithm for computing the value of a spline function at a given point. In the subsection 4.4 we describe a procedure for refining regular splines.

### 4.1 Encoding splines over hierarchical meshes

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a regular hierarchical  $d$ -dimensional mesh defined by a nested sequence of domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$ , where  $\Omega^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\beta \beta$  be a spline in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  defined by some coefficients  $\lambda_\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{K}^\ell$  is obtained by Kraft's selection mechanism (5). Each  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$  is



associated with the cell  $c_\beta \in \mathcal{K}_c^\ell \subseteq \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$  which is then associated with the string  $(\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b \in \widehat{L}_\ell$ , see the subsection 3.4.

**Definition 10.** *We say that a spline  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  is regular if the coefficients  $\lambda_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  for all  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$  and the relation  $S_f = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}\} \subset \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b$  is FA-recognizable.*

For a given  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ , we denote by  $S_f^\ell$  the relation:

$$S_f^\ell = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell\}. \quad (11)$$

A spline  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  is regular if and only if each of the relation  $S_f^\ell$  is FA-recognizable for  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ .

Since the relation  $Add_d$  is FA-recognizable, for given regular splines  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  the sum  $(f_1 + f_2) \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  is a regular spline. Moreover, for any constant  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  the relation:

$$R_\mu = \{((\lambda)_b, (\mu\lambda)_b) \in \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]\} \quad (12)$$

is FA-recognizable. Therefore, for a regular spline  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ , the spline  $\mu f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  is regular. Thus, the set of all regular splines in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  forms a module over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ .

## 4.2 Examples

In this subsection we give examples of regular splines. In particular, we will show that all linear functions with coefficients from  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  are regular splines. Note that a spline  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  with bounded support  $\text{supp } f$  is always regular. The latter implies that a continuous function on a compact domain can be approximated arbitrarily close by a regular spline.

Let  $\mathcal{T}_d^0$  be a mesh defined by the  $d$ -dimensional integer grid  $\mathcal{G}_d^0$ , see the subsection 2.1. A constant function over  $\mathcal{T}_d^0$  which takes the value  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  for every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a regular spline in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}_d^0)$  for  $m \geq 0$ . This follows from the partition of unity property for B-splines:  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} N_{i,m}^0 = 1$  for  $m \geq 0$ .

Moreover, a linear function  $\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i x_i$ , for  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$  is a regular spline in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  for  $m \geq 1$ . Since the collection of regular splines is closed under taking the sum and multiplication by a constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ , it is enough to prove it for the functions  $f_{i,d}(\bar{x}) = x_i$  for  $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . In order to prove the latter, it is enough only to show that the linear function  $f(t) = t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is a regular spline in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}_1^0)$ . This follows from the identity  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,m} N_{i,m}^0(t) = t$  for  $m \geq 1$ , where  $c_{i,m} = i + \frac{m+1}{2}$ . This identity is proved by induction. For  $m = 1$ , we have that (see also (14)):

$$N_{i,1}^0(t) = \begin{cases} t - i, & i \leq t < i + 1, \\ i + 2 - t, & i + 1 \leq t < i + 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$


Therefore, for  $t \in [i, i+1]$ , we have:  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,1} N_{i,1}^0(t) = (i+1)N_{i,1}^0(t) + ((i-1) + 1)N_{i-1,1}^0(t) = (i+1)(t-i) + i(i+1-t) = t$ . The inductive step follows from the Cox-de Boor's formula (3) as follows. Assume that  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,m} N_{i,m}^0(t) = t$  holds for some  $m \geq 1$ . By (3) we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,m+1} N_{i,m+1}^0(t) &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,m+1} \left( \frac{t-i}{m+1} N_{i,m}^0(t) + \frac{i+m+2-t}{m+1} N_{i+1,m}^0(t) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( c_{i,m+1} \frac{t-i}{m+1} + c_{i-1,m+1} \frac{i+m+1-t}{m+1} \right) N_{i,m}^0(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{t}{m+1} + c_{i,m} \frac{m}{m+1} \right) N_{i,m}^0(t) = t. \end{aligned}$$

From the formula  $c_{i,m} = i + \frac{m+1}{2}$  it is clear that  $f(t) = t$  is a regular spline in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}_1^0)$ . Thus we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 11.** *Linear functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  are regular splines in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}_1^0)$ .*

The set of regular splines with unbounded support is much wider than the set of linear functions with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ . Below we give two simple examples.



**Fig. 6.** The left figure shows the spline  $g(t)$ . The right figure shows the spline  $h(t)$ .

Let  $g(t)$  be a spline  $g(t) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_j N_{4j,3}^0(t)$ , where  $c_j = 1$  if  $j$  is even and  $c_j = -1$  if  $j$  is odd, see Fig.6 (left). It can be seen that  $g(t)$  is a regular spline in  $\mathcal{S}_3(\mathcal{T}_1^0)$ . Now let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a one-dimensional hierarchical mesh generated by the domains  $\Omega^1 = \Omega^2 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} ([2i, 2i+1] \cup [-2i-1, -2i])$  and  $h(t) \in \mathcal{S}_3(\mathcal{T})$  be a spline function  $h(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c'_j N_{8j,3}^2(t) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} c'_j N_{8j+4,3}^2(t)$ , where  $c'_j = j+1$  for

$j \geq 0$  and  $c'_j = -j$  for  $j \leq -1$ , see Fig. 6 (right). It can be seen that  $h(t)$  is a regular spline in  $\mathcal{S}_3(\mathcal{T})$ .

### 4.3 Computing values of a regular spline

Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  be a regular spline given by a FA-recognizable relation  $S_f$ . We assume that for each  $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$  we have a deterministic finite automaton  $M_\ell$  recognizing the relation  $S_f^\ell$  (11). In this subsection we discuss the problem of computing the value  $f(\bar{x})$  of the function  $f$  at a point  $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  given as the input.


Let  $R_f^\ell \subseteq \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b$  be the relation containing all triples  $((\bar{x})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b)$  of strings  $(\bar{x})_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$ ,  $(\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$  and  $(\lambda_\beta)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b$  for  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$  such that  $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$ :

$$R_f^\ell = \{((\bar{x})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid \bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d, \beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell \wedge \bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta\}.$$

Let  $\bar{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_d) = \bar{z}_{c_\beta}$ . The condition  $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$  for  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$  is true if and only if the inequalities:

$$-\frac{m+2}{2^{\ell+1}} < x_i - y_i < \frac{m}{2^{\ell+1}}, \quad -\frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}} < x_i - y_i < \frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}} \quad (13)$$

hold for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , if  $m$  is odd and even, respectively, see Fig. 7.



**Fig. 7.** The figure on the left shows the support of a spline  $\beta \in B_{2,3}^\ell$ , the points  $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$ ,  $\bar{y} = \bar{z}_{c_\beta}$  and the lower left corner of  $\text{supp } \beta$  – the point  $\bar{q}$ . The figure on the right shows the support of a spline  $\beta \in B_{2,4}^\ell$ , the points  $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$ ,  $\bar{y} = \bar{z}_{c_\beta}$  and the lower left corner  $\bar{q}$ .

For  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  and  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  we say that  $\bar{r} < \bar{s}$  if  $r_i < s_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Let  $R_{<}^d$  be the relation  $R_{<}^d = \{((\bar{r})_b, (\bar{s})_b) \mid \bar{r}, \bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d, \bar{r} < \bar{s}\}$ . The relation  $R_{<}^d$  is FA-recognizable. Since  $Add_d$  and  $R_{<}^d$  are FA-recognizable, the relation given by the inequalities (13) is FA-recognizable. Therefore, since  $S_f^\ell$  is FA-recognizable,  $R_f^\ell$  is FA-recognizable.

We denote by  $\bar{q}_\beta$  the lower left corner  $\bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d)$  of  $\text{supp } \beta$ , see Fig. 7. We have that  $y_i - q_i = \frac{m+2}{2^{\ell+1}}$  and  $y_i - q_i = \frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , if  $m$  is odd and even, respectively. Since  $Add_d$  is FA-recognizable, the relation  $Q_d^\ell =$

$\{(\bar{z}_{c_\beta}, \bar{q}_\beta) \mid \beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell\}$  is FA-recognizable. Now let  $\tilde{R}_f^\ell \subset \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b^d$  be the following relation:

$$\tilde{R}_f^\ell = \{((\bar{x})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b, (\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta)_b) \mid \bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d, \beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell \wedge \bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta\}.$$

Since the relations  $R_f^\ell$ ,  $Q_d^\ell$  and  $Add_d$  are FA-recognizable, the relation  $\tilde{R}_f^\ell$  is FA-recognizable. From automata recognizing the relations  $R_{<}^d$ ,  $Q_d^\ell$ ,  $Add_d$  and the automaton  $M_\ell$  one can construct a deterministic finite automaton recognizing the relation  $\tilde{R}_f^\ell$  for which the number of states is  $O(m_\ell)$ , where  $m_\ell$  is the number of states of  $M_\ell$ .

Note that for a given  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  there exist at most  $(m+1)^d$  tensor product B-splines  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$  for which  $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$ . So  $\tilde{R}_f^\ell$  can be seen as a multivalued function that for a given input  $\bar{x}$  returns at most  $(m+1)^d$  pairs  $((\lambda_\beta)_b, (\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta)_b)$  as the output. Since  $\tilde{R}_f^\ell$  is FA-recognizable, this multivalued function is computed in linear time on a deterministic one-tape Turing machine, see the subsection 2.2.

We denote by  $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}^\ell$  the set  $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}^\ell = \{\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell \mid \bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta\}$  and by  $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}$  the set  $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}^\ell$ . After all pairs  $((\lambda_\beta)_b, (\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta)_b)$  for which  $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$ , where  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ , are computed, the value  $f(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}} \lambda_\beta \beta(\bar{x})$  of the spline  $f$  at the point  $\bar{x}$  is

obtained from the formulae for  $N_{0,m}^\ell(t)$  by applying multiplication and addition operations. Note that  $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}$  is a finite set containing at most  $N(m+1)^d$  elements, so there are at most  $N(m+1)^d$  terms in the sum  $\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}} \lambda_\beta \beta(\bar{x})$ . Therefore, if one applies the standard long multiplication algorithm for the operation of multiplication, the time complexity for evaluating  $f(\bar{x})$  will be at most quadratic. Thus we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 12.** *There exists a linear time algorithm which for a given string  $(\bar{x})_b$  computes the pairs  $((\lambda_\beta)_b, (\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta)_b)$  for all tensor product B-splines  $\beta$  from a finite set  $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}$  containing at most  $N(m+1)^d$  elements. There exists a quadratic time algorithm which for a given string  $(\bar{x})_b$  computes  $f(\bar{x})$ .*

*Remark 13.* In order to guarantee that  $f(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  for every  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ , one has to choose the base  $b$  properly depending on the degree  $m$ . For an illustration let us recall the formulae for  $N_{0,m}^0(t)$  for  $m = 1, 2, 3$ . Note that if  $\ell > 0$ ,  $N_{0,m}^\ell(t) = N_{0,m}^0(2^\ell t)$  for  $t \in (0, \frac{m+1}{2^\ell})$ . By (2) and (3), one can obtain that (see [28]):

$$N_{0,1}^0(t) = \begin{cases} t, & 0 < t < 1, \\ 2 - t, & 1 \leq t < 2, \\ 0, & t \notin (0, 2), \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$$N_{0,2}^0(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}t^2, & 0 < t < 1, \\ -(t-1)^2 + (t-1) + \frac{1}{2}, & 1 \leq t < 2, \\ \frac{1}{2}(3-t)^2, & 2 \leq t < 3, \\ 0, & t \notin (0, 3), \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

$$N_{0,3}^0(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}t^3, & 0 < t < 1, \\ \frac{1}{6}(-3(t-1)^3 + 3(t-1)^2 + 3(t-1) + 1), & 1 \leq t < 2, \\ \frac{1}{6}(3(t-2)^3 - 6(t-2)^2 + 4), & 2 \leq t < 3, \\ \frac{1}{6}(-(t-3)^2 + 3(t-3)^2 - 3(t-3) + 1), & 3 \leq t < 4, \\ 0, & t \notin (0, 4). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

It follows from the formulae (14) and (15) that for  $m = 1, 2$  and  $b$  divisible by 2, if  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ , then  $f(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ . However, in order to guarantee the same for  $m = 3$ , one should require that  $b$  is divisible by 6 (16).

#### 4.4 Refining regular splines

In this section we discuss how the encoding of a regular spline changes when the underlying hierarchical mesh is refined. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a regular hierarchical mesh formed by domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}'$  be a refinement of  $\mathcal{T}$  defined by a nested sequence of domains  $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\Omega^N$  is composed of cells from  $\mathcal{C}_d^{N-1}$ . We assume that the language  $L_N$  corresponding to the domain  $\Omega^N$  is regular, so  $\mathcal{T}'$  is a regular hierarchical mesh (we refer to  $\mathcal{T}'$  as a regular refinement of  $\mathcal{T}$ ). We will show that if  $f$  is a regular spline in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ , then it is a regular spline in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}')$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{K}^\ell$  and  $\mathcal{K}' = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^N \mathcal{K}'^\ell$  be the collections of tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism for the hierarchical meshes  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$ , respectively. We denote by  $\widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}_{N-1}, \widehat{L}'_0, \dots, \widehat{L}'_N$  the languages corresponding to the collections  $\mathcal{K}^0, \dots, \mathcal{K}^{N-1}, \mathcal{K}'^0, \dots, \mathcal{K}'^N$ , respectively. Since  $\mathcal{K}^0 = \mathcal{K}'^0, \dots, \mathcal{K}^{N-2} = \mathcal{K}'^{N-2}$ , we have that  $\widehat{L}'_0 = \widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}'_{N-2} = \widehat{L}_{N-2}$ . A tensor product B-spline  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^{N-1}$  if and only if  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^{N-1}$  and  $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\mathcal{M}^{N-1} = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega^N$ . Therefore, the language  $\widehat{L}'_{N-1}$  is defined by the formula  $(u \in \widehat{L}_{N-1}) \wedge \Psi_{N-1}$ , where  $\Psi_{N-1}$  is given by (9). Similarly, the language  $\widehat{L}'_N$  is defined by the formula  $\Gamma_N$  (10). Therefore, all languages  $\widehat{L}'_0, \dots, \widehat{L}'_N$  are regular.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  be a regular spline and  $S_f$  be a corresponding FA-recognizable relation, see Definition 10. We have that:


$$f = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell} \lambda_\beta \beta = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-2} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell} \lambda_\beta \beta + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^{N-1}} \lambda_\beta \beta + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N} \lambda_\beta \beta.$$

Therefore,  $S_f^0 = S_f'^0, \dots, S_f^{N-2} = S_f'^{N-2}$  and  $S_f'^{N-1} = \{(\bar{u}, v) \in S_f^{N-1} \mid \bar{u} \in \widehat{L}'_{N-1}\}$ . Clearly,  $S_f'^0, \dots, S_f'^{N-1}$  are FA-recognizable. Below we will show that the coefficients  $\lambda_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  for all  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N$  and the relation  $S_f'^N = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N\}$  is FA-recognizable.

For any given  $\delta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell-1}$  each  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ , for which  $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \text{supp } \delta$ , corresponds to a multi-index  $\bar{j}_{\delta,\beta} = (j_1, \dots, j_d)$ , where  $0 \leq j_k \leq m+1$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, d$ , that

determines the position of  $\text{supp } \beta$  inside  $\text{supp } \delta$ . See Fig. 8 illustrating supports of 2-dimensional tensor product B-splines  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_1, \delta_2$  with multi-indices  $\bar{j}_{\delta_1, \beta_1} = (0, 1)$ ,  $\bar{j}_{\delta_1, \gamma_1} = (4, 3)$ ,  $\bar{j}_{\delta_2, \beta_2} = (0, 1)$  and  $\bar{j}_{\delta_2, \gamma_2} = (4, 3)$ . For given  $\delta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell-1}$  and a multi-index  $\bar{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_d)$  we denote by  $\beta_{\delta, \bar{j}}$  the tensor product B-spline  $\beta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell}$  for which  $\bar{j}_{\delta, \beta} = \bar{j}$ . Note that for the barycentres  $\bar{z}_{c_\delta}$  and  $\bar{z}_{c_\beta}$  the following holds:

$$\bar{z}_{c_\delta} - \bar{z}_{c_\beta} = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}} - \frac{j_1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}} - \frac{j_d}{2^\ell} \right), & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \left( \frac{m+2}{2^{\ell+1}} - \frac{j_1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \frac{m+2}{2^{\ell+1}} - \frac{j_d}{2^\ell} \right), & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$



**Fig. 8.** The left figure shows the support of a spline  $\delta_1 \in B_{2,4}^{\ell-1}$ , the supports of  $\beta_1, \gamma_1 \in B_{2,4}^{\ell}$  (two hatched rectangles) and the points  $\bar{p}_1 = \bar{z}_{c_{\beta_1}}$ ,  $\bar{r}_1 = \bar{z}_{c_{\gamma_1}}$  and  $\bar{q}_1 = \bar{z}_{c_{\delta_1}}$ . The right figure shows the support of a spline  $\delta_2 \in B_{2,3}^{\ell-1}$ , the supports of  $\beta_2, \gamma_2 \in B_{2,3}^{\ell}$  (two hatched rectangles) and the points  $\bar{p}_2 = \bar{z}_{c_{\beta_2}}$ ,  $\bar{r}_2 = \bar{z}_{c_{\gamma_2}}$  and  $\bar{q}_2 = \bar{z}_{c_{\delta_2}}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{I}_{d,m}$  the set of multi-indices  $\mathcal{I}_{d,m} = \{(j_1, \dots, j_d) \mid 0 \leq j_k \leq m+1, k = 1, \dots, d\}$ . For each  $\delta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell-1}$ , we have that  $\delta = \sum_{\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}} \lambda_{\bar{j}} \beta_{\delta, \bar{j}}$ . It can

be verified directly from Boehm's knot insertion formula for B-splines [5] that for  $d = 1$ :  $\lambda_j = \frac{1}{2^m} \binom{m+1}{j}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m+1$ , where  $\binom{m+1}{j} = \frac{(m+1)!}{j!(m+1-j)!}$  are the binomial coefficients. Therefore, all coefficients  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m+1$  belong to  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  for any even  $b$ . By the definition of multivariate tensor product B-splines (1) we immediately obtain that  $\lambda_{\bar{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  for all  $\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$  as well.

Let  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}^{N-1} = \mathcal{K}^{N-1} \setminus \mathcal{K}'^{N-1}$ . We have that  $\sum_{\delta \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}^{N-1}} \lambda_\delta \delta = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N} \lambda_\beta \beta$ . For a given  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N$ , let  $\Delta_\beta = \{(\delta, \bar{j}) \mid \delta \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}^{N-1}, \bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}, \text{supp } \beta \subset \text{supp } \delta \wedge \beta = \beta_{\delta, \bar{j}}\}$ . Then, for any  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N$ ,  $\lambda_\beta = \sum_{(\delta, \bar{j}) \in \Delta_\beta} \lambda_\delta \lambda_{\bar{j}}$ . Since  $\lambda_{\bar{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  for all  $\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$  and  $\lambda_\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  for all  $\delta \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}$ , then  $\lambda_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  for all  $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N$ .

For a given  $\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$ , let  $q_{\bar{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$  be a constant vector given by the right-hand side of the equation (17). For a given  $\ell \geq 1$  and  $\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$ , let:

$$R_{\ell, \bar{j}} = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\delta})_b) \mid \beta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell}, \delta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell-1} \wedge \bar{z}_{c_\delta} - \bar{z}_{c_\beta} = q_{\bar{j}}\}.$$

Since  $Add_d$  is FA-recognizable, the relation  $R_{\ell, \vec{j}}$  is FA-recognizable for each  $\ell \geq 1$  and  $\vec{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$ . Therefore, since the language  $L_{N-1} \setminus L'_{N-1}$  is regular and  $S_f^{N-1}$  is FA-recognizable, the relation:

$$Q_{f, \vec{j}} = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\delta)_b) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}^N, \delta \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}^{N-1}, ((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\delta})_b) \in R_{N, \vec{j}}, \\ ((\bar{z}_{c_\delta})_b, (\lambda_\delta)_b) \in S_f^{N-1}\}$$

is FA-recognizable. Since the multiplication by a constant in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$  is FA-recognizable (12), we finally obtain that:

$$S_f'^N = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid ((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\delta)_b) \in Q_{f, \vec{j}}, \vec{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m} \wedge \lambda_\beta = \sum_{(\delta, \vec{j}) \in \Delta_\beta} \lambda_\delta \lambda_{\vec{j}}\}$$

is FA-recognizable. Thus, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 14.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$  is a regular spline and  $\mathcal{T}'$  is a regular refinement of  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $f$  is a regular spline in  $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}')$ .*

## 5 Conclusion

This paper introduces a way of encoding functions as finite automata combining the framework of hierarchical tensor product B-splines widely used in numerical computations and the idea of finite automata based compression of black and white images [11]. This way of encoding functions differs from the traditional approach that uses Büchi automata and infinite strings to represent points. It enables to encode functions of any finite degree of smoothness other than just linear. We demonstrate that the proposed encoding allows to handle some computational problems for infinite hierarchical meshes and spline functions over them in polynomial time using only finite amount of memory. Though this paper is theoretical, we express some hope that the proposed finite automata based encoding of functions might be useful for compression. For future work it would be interesting to explore if the proposed encoding extended to infinite level hierarchical meshes ( $N = \infty$ ) can express some nontrivial functions (e.g., the Cantor function previously expressed by finite automata using the traditional approach [10]).

## Acknowledgments

The results of this paper were partially exposed at the 24th Japan Conference on Discrete and Computational Geometry, Graphs, and Games. The authors thank Cesare Bracco and Andre Nies for useful comments.

## References

1. Akiyama, S., Frougny, C., Sakarovitch, J.: Powers of rationals modulo 1 and rational base number systems. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 168(53), 53–91 (2008)
2. Anashin, V.: Quantization causes waves: Smooth finitely computable functions are affine. *p-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis and Applications* 7(3), 169–227 (2015)
3. Block Gorman, A., Hieronymi, P., Kaplan, E., Meng, R., Walsberg, E., Wang, Z., Xiong, Z., Yang, H.: Continuous regular functions. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.* 16(1:17) (2020)
4. Blumensath, A., Grädel, E.: Finite presentations of infinite structures: automata and interpretations. *Theory of Computing Systems* 37, 641–674 (2004)
5. Boehm, W.: Inserting new knots into B-spline curves. *Computer-Aided Design* 12:4, 199–201 (1980)
6. Boigelot, B., Jodogne, S., Wolper, P.: An Effective Decision Procedure for Linear Arithmetic over the Integers and Reals. *ACM Transactions on Computational Logic* 6(3), 614–633 (2005)
7. Boigelot, B., Bronne, L., Rassart, S.: An improved reachability analysis method for strongly linear hybrid systems (extended abstract). In: Grumberg, O. (ed.) *Computer Aided Verification. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 1254, pp. 167–178 (1997)
8. Büchi, J.R.: On a decision method in restricted second order arithmetic. In: *Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science. Proceedings of the 1960 International Congress* (1962)
9. Case, J., Jain, S., Seah, S., Stephan, F.: Automatic functions, linear time and learning. *Logical Methods in Computer Science* 9(3:19) (2013)
10. Chaudhuri, S., Sankaranarayanan, S., Vardi, M.Y.: Regular real analysis. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 28th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*. p. 509–518. *LICS '13, IEEE Computer Society* (2013)
11. Culik, K., Valenta, V.: Finite automata based compression of bi-level and simple color images. *Comput. & Graphics* 21(1), 61–68 (1997)
12. Epstein, D., Cannon, J., Holt, D., Levy, S., Paterson, M.S., Thurston, W.P.: *Word Processing in Groups*. Jones and Barlett Publishers. Boston, MA (1992)
13. Forsey, D.R., Bartels, R.H.: Hierarchical B-spline refinement. *Computer Graphics* 22(4), 205–212 (1988)
14. Gao, Z., Jain, S., Qi, J., Schlicht, P., Stephan, F., Tarr, J.: Ordered semiautomata rings with applications to geometry. In: *Language and Automata Theory and Applications. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 12038, pp. 141–153. Springer (2020)
15. Hieronymi, P., Walsberg, E.: A tetrachotomy for expansions of the real ordered additive group. *Selecta Mathematica* 27(54) (2021)
16. Hodgson, B.R.: Décidabilité par automate fini. *Annales des sciences mathématiques du Québec* 7(1), 39–57 (1983)
17. Hopcroft, J., Motwani, R., Ullman, J.D.: *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*. Addison-Wesley (2001)
18. Jürgensen, H., Staiger, L.: Finite automata encoding geometric figures. In: Boldt, O., Jürgensen, H. (eds.) *Automata Implementation*. pp. 101–108 (2001)
19. Jürgensen, H., Staiger, L., Yamasaki, H.: Finite automata encoding geometric figures. *Theoretical Computer Science* 381, 33–43 (2007)



20. Khossainov, B., Nerode, A.: Automatic presentations of structures. In: Leivant, D. (ed.) *Logic and Computational Complexity, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 960, pp. 367–392. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1995)
21. Konečný, M.: Real functions computable by finite automata using affine representations. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 284(2), 373–396 (2002)
22. Kraft, R.: Adaptive and linearly independent multilevel B-splines. In: Méhauté, A.L., Rabut, C., Schumaker, L.L. (eds.) *Surface Fitting and Multiresolution Methods*, pp. 209–218. Vanderbilt University Press, Nashville (1997)
23. Lin, Y.K., Yen, H.C.: An  $\omega$ -automata approach to the compression of bi-level images. *Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci.* 31(15) (2000)
24. Mokriš, D., Jüttler, B., Giannelli, C.: On the completeness of hierarchical tensor-product B-splines. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 271, 53–70 (2014)
25. Muller, J.M.: Some characterizations of functions computable in on-line arithmetic. *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 43(6), 752–755 (1994)
26. Nies, A., Semukhin, P.: Finite automata presentable abelian groups. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 161(3), 458–467 (2009)
27. Prautzsch, H., Boehm, W., Paluszny, M.: *Bézier and B-Spline Techniques*. Springer (2002)
28. Shirley, P., Ashikhmin, M., Gleicher, M., Marschner, S., Reinhard, E., Sung, K., Thompson, W., Willemsen, P.: *Fundamentals of computer graphics*. AK Peters (2005)