

# Deep neural network approximation of analytic functions

June 4, 2021

Aleksandr Beknazaryan <sup>\*</sup>  
*University of Twente*

## Abstract

We provide an entropy bound for the spaces of neural networks with piecewise linear activation functions, such as the ReLU and the absolute value functions. This bound generalizes the known entropy bound for the space of linear functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and it depends on the value at the point  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$  of the networks obtained by taking the absolute values of all parameters of original networks. Keeping this value together with the depth, width and the parameters of the networks to have logarithmic dependence on  $1/\varepsilon$ , we  $\varepsilon$ -approximate functions that are analytic on certain regions of  $\mathbb{C}^d$ .

**Keywords:** entropy estimation, neural networks, approximation theory, analytic functions

## 1 Introduction

It has recently been shown that deep neural networks with appropriate  $l_p$  parameter regularizations can effectively estimate unknown regression functions. Parameter regularization is needed to bound the complexity of approximant networks and, thus, to achieve favorable convergence rates. The most popular types of such constraints are the  $l_0$  (sparsity) and the  $l_1$  regularizations (see, respectively, [8] and [9] and references therein). Although for theoretical justifications the  $l_0$  regularization is more convenient, as it allows to apply combinatorial arguments to bound the complexity (entropy) of the space of approximant networks, for practical implementations the  $l_1$  regularizations are more feasible. The natural approach is therefore to first impose an  $l_1$  constraint on the network parameters and then use the sparsity induced from it to bound the entropy of considered networks. This can be done (i) by taking the small parameters to be 0; (ii) by applying Maurey's sparsification lemma given in [7]. In [9] the first technique is applied to derive an entropy bound of order  $O((2/L)^{2L-1}/\varepsilon^2)$  for spaces of deep neural networks with Lipschitz continuous activation functions and with  $l_1$  parameter norm bounded by 1. This bound then leads to the guarantee for the prediction error of corresponding regularized regression estimator that decreases at rate  $n^{-1/2}$  (up to a logarithmic factor) with the number of samples  $n$ . Using Maurey's sparsification lemma, for the spaces of linear functions, which can be considered as neural networks with no hidden layers, entropy bounds of order  $O(1/\varepsilon^2)$  depending on the  $l_\infty$ -regularization of the input vectors and on the  $l_1$ -regularization of the acting vectors have been derived in [2] and [14]. In this work we first generalize those bounds for the spaces of deep neural networks with piecewise linear activation functions, including the ReLU activation function  $\sigma(x) = 0 \vee x$  and the absolute value activation function  $a(x) = |x|$  (although considered activation functions may be

<sup>\*</sup>a.beknazaryan@utwente.nl

even discontinuous). Our bound is given in terms of the  $l_1$  norm of the vector obtained by taking absolute values of all parameters and multiplying the matrices that constitute the network. For a given network  $f$  we denote this quantity by  $\|f\|_\times$ . Clearly, if  $f$  is a network with non-negative nodes and with ReLU, absolute value or identity activation function, then  $\|f\|_\times = f(\mathbf{1})$ , where  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Also, if  $\ell$  is a linear function, then  $\|\ell\|_\times = \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$ , where  $\mathbf{w}$  is the acting vector. Note that network characteristics similar to  $\|\cdot\|_\times$  are also considered in the works [1] and [6], where they are called, respectively, the *variation* and the *path norm* of a network and in terms of those concepts the complexities and statistical features of classes of networks are described. The objective of the present paper is the construction of concrete networks that approximate functions from considered classes and for which the quantity  $\|\cdot\|_\times$  is small. It turns out that networks with absolute value activation function may suit this goal better than the networks with ReLU activation function. More precisely, we show that analytic functions can be  $\varepsilon$ -approximated by networks  $f_\varepsilon$  with absolute value activation function  $a(x)$  and with  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_\times$  depending logarithmically on  $1/\varepsilon$ . The depth, width as well as the parameters of those networks also have logarithmic dependence on  $1/\varepsilon$ . Such approximation holds (i) on any subset  $(0, 1 - \delta]^d \subset (0, 1)^d$  for analytic functions on  $(0, 1)^d$  with absolutely convergent power series; (ii) on the whole hypercube  $[0, 1]^d$  for functions that can be analytically continued to certain subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . Note that for case (i) an exponential convergence rate of deep ReLU networks has also been derived in [12]. In this work we show that with the absolute value activation function, the quantity  $\|\cdot\|_\times$  for the approximating deep networks is also of logarithmic dependence on  $1/\varepsilon$ .

*Notation:* For a matrix  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2}$  we denote by  $|W| \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2}$  the matrix obtained by taking the absolute values of the entries of  $W$ :  $|W|_{ij} = |W_{ij}|$ . For brevity of presentation we will say that the matrix  $|W|$  is the *absolute value of the matrix*  $W$  (note that in the literature there are also other definitions of the notion of an absolute value of a matrix). For  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , the degree of the monomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} = x_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_d^{k_d}$  is defined to be  $\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d k_i$ . Also, as the vectors will be considered as matrices with one of the dimensions equal to 1, we will in some cases use spaces rather than commas to separate their coordinates.

## 2 Main results

**Entropy bound.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of functions from some subset  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathbf{z}_1^n := \{\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\}$  be a fixed set of  $n$  vectors in  $\mathcal{R}$ . For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $\mathcal{N}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{z}_1^n)$  be the minimal number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that there exist  $N$  functions  $g_1, \dots, g_N$  from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  with the property that for every  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  there is some  $k \in [N]$  such that

$$\|g - g_k\|_n := \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (g(\mathbf{z}_i) - g_k(\mathbf{z}_i))^2 \right\}^{1/2} < \varepsilon.$$

The number  $\log_2 \mathcal{N}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{z}_1^n)$  is called the entropy of the space  $\mathcal{F}$ . Our goal in this part is to estimate the entropy of the space of neural networks

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, r}(L, \mathbf{p}) = \{f : [-r, r]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f(\mathbf{x}) = W_L \circ \alpha \circ W_{L-1} \circ \alpha \circ \dots \circ \alpha \circ W_0 \mathbf{x}\},$$

where  $W_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p_i \times p_{i+1}}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, L$ ,  $p_0 = d$ ,  $p_{L+1} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{L+1})$  is the width vector. The number of hidden layers  $L$  determines the depth of networks from  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, r}(L, \mathbf{p})$  and in each layer the activation function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  acts element-wise on the input vector. We will consider activation functions of the form

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in I_1, \\ -x, & x \in I_2, \\ 0, & x \in I_3, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $I_1, I_2$  and  $I_3$  are (possibly empty) disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3 = \mathbb{R}$ . Note that the case  $I_1 = \mathbb{R}, I_2 = I_3 = \emptyset$  corresponds to the identity activation function  $i(x) = x$ , the case  $I_1 = [0, \infty), I_2 = \emptyset$  and  $I_3 = (-\infty, 0)$  corresponds to the ReLU activation function  $\sigma(x) = 0 \vee x$  and the case  $I_1 = [0, \infty), I_2 = (-\infty, 0)$  and  $I_3 = \emptyset$  corresponds to the absolute value activation function  $a(x) = |x|$ . In case  $\alpha(x) = i(x) = x$ , we get that  $\mathcal{F}_{i,r}(L, \mathbf{p})$  is a space of linear functions

$$\mathcal{L}_r = \{\ell : [-r, r]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \ell(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

For  $b > 0$  denote

$$\mathcal{L}_{b,r} = \{\ell : [-r, r]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \ell(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq b\}. \quad (2)$$

It is shown in [2] (see also [14]) that

$$\log_2 \mathcal{N}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}_{b,r}, \mathbf{z}_1^n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{b^2 r^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil \log_2(2d + 1). \quad (3)$$

Note that as the above bound has logarithmic dependence on  $d$ , it is especially useful for the cases when the input dimension is large. Using this, in the Lemma 2.1 below we obtain an entropy bound for the space  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}(L, \mathbf{p})$ , where  $\alpha$  is any activation function of the form (1). The  $l_1$  constraint imposed in (2) is replaced by the  $l_1$  constraint imposed on the product of absolute values of matrices that constitute the networks from  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}(L, \mathbf{p})$ . More precisely, for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}(L, \mathbf{p})$  given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = W_L \circ \alpha \circ W_{L-1} \circ \alpha \circ \dots \circ \alpha \circ W_0 \mathbf{x}, \quad (4)$$

denote

$$\|f\|_\times = \left\| \prod_{i=0}^L |W_i| \right\|_1, \quad (5)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_1$  denotes the  $l_1$  norm of the  $p_0 (= d)$  dimensional vector  $\prod_{i=0}^L |W_i|$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}(L, \mathbf{p}, B) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}(L, \mathbf{p}), \|f\|_\times \leq B\}.$$

We have the following

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that the activation function  $\alpha$  is of the form (1). Then*

$$\log_2 \mathcal{N}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}(L, \mathbf{p}, B), \mathbf{z}_1^n) \leq 2n \sum_{i=1}^L p_i + \left\lceil \frac{B^2 r^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil \log_2(2Pd + 1), \quad (6)$$

where  $P = \prod_{i=1}^L p_i$ .

Note that in case  $L = 0$ , we get the same bound as in (3) (it is assumed that  $P = \prod_{i=1}^0 p_i = 1$ ).

Let us also compare the bound in (6) with the entropy bound for  $l_1$ -regularized networks obtained in [9]. It is shown in [9] that the entropy of neural networks with the  $l_1$  norm of all parameters bounded by 1 and with activation function being Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant 1 (such as  $\sigma(x)$  and  $a(x)$ ) is bounded by

$$C \frac{L(2/L)^{2L}}{\varepsilon^2},$$

where the constant  $C$  only depends on the magnitude  $r$  of input vectors. Let us show that for those networks the factor  $B^2$  in (2.1) is at most  $(1/(L+1))^{2(L+1)}$ . As  $W_L \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times pL}$  and  $W_{L-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{pL \times pL-1}$ , then

$$\left\| |W_L| \times |W_{L-1}| \right\|_1 = |W_L| \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^{pL-1} |W_{L-1}^j| \right) \leq \|W_L\|_2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{pL-1} |W_{L-1}^j| \right\|_2 \leq \|W_L\|_1 \|W_{L-1}\|_1,$$

where  $\times$  denotes matrix product,  $\cdot$  denotes dot product of vectors and superscripts indicate the corresponding columns of a matrix. Similarly, as  $\sum_{i=0}^L \|W_i\|_1 \leq 1$ , then

$$\|f\|_{\times} = \left\| \prod_{i=0}^L |W_i| \right\|_1 \leq \prod_{i=0}^L \|W_i\|_1 \leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^L \|W_i\|_1 / (L+1) \right)^{L+1} \leq \frac{1}{(L+1)^{L+1}},$$

and the desired implication follows.

**Function approximation with  $\mathcal{F}(L, \mathbf{p}, B)$ .** In this part we show that analytic functions can be  $\varepsilon$ -approximated by networks from  $\mathcal{F}_{a,1}(L, \mathbf{p}, B)$  with parameters  $L$ ,  $\|\mathbf{p}\|_{\infty}$  and  $B$  having logarithmic dependence on  $1/\varepsilon$ . As all networks will have the same activation function  $a(x) = |x|$ , in the following the subscripts  $(a, 1)$  will be omitted.

Neural network approximation algorithm of smooth functions presented in [13] is based on the approximation of a function  $h(x) = x^2$  which then leads to an approximation of the product  $xy = (h(x+y) - h(x) - h(y))/2$ . The latter then allows to construct local approximations of Taylor polynomials of a given function. In order to approximate the function  $h(x) = x^2$  it is noted that for the triangle wave

$$g_s(x) = \underbrace{g \circ g \circ \dots \circ g}_{s \text{ times}}(x),$$

where  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 2x, & x < 1/2, \\ 2(1-x), & x \geq 1/2, \end{cases}$$

we have that the function

$$f_m(x) = x - \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{g_s(x)}{2^{2s}} \quad (7)$$

approximates  $x^2$  with the error  $2^{-2m-2}$ . The approximation of  $x^2$  by the networks with ReLU activation function  $\sigma$  then follows from the representation

$$g(x) = 2\sigma(x) - 4\sigma(x - 1/2) + 2\sigma(x - 1).$$

Thus, in this case we will get matrices containing entries 2 and 4 which will make the quantity  $\|\cdot\|_{\times}$  defined by (5) big. We would therefore like to represent the function  $g(x)$  by linear combination of activation functions with fewer terms and smaller coefficients. This is possible if instead of  $\sigma(x)$  we use the absolute value activation function  $a(x)$ . Indeed, in this case we have that  $g(x)$  can be represented on  $[0, 1]$  as

$$g(x) = 1 - 2a(x - 1/2). \quad (8)$$

In the following lemma we use the above representation to construct a network with activation function  $a(x)$ , that for the given  $\gamma, m \in \mathbb{N}$  simultaneously approximates all  $d$ -dimensional monomials of degree less than  $\gamma$  up to an error  $\gamma^2 4^{-m}$ . The depth of this network has order  $m \log_2 \gamma$  and its width is of order  $m\gamma^{d+1}$ . Moreover, the entries of the product of the absolute values of matrices of the network have order at most  $\gamma^5$  (note the independence of  $m$ ). Also, as in the constructions of neural networks we omit the shift vectors, we will add a coordinate 1 to the input vector  $\mathbf{x}$  and will consider the networks as functions of a vector  $(1 \ \mathbf{x})$ .

For  $\gamma > 0$  let  $C_{d,\gamma}$  denote the number of  $d$ -dimensional monomials  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}$  with degree  $\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 < \gamma$ . Note that  $C_{d,\gamma} < (\gamma + 1)^d$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exists a network  $\text{Mon}_{m,\gamma}^d \in \mathcal{F}(L, \mathbf{p})$  with  $L \leq \lceil \log_2 \gamma \rceil (2m + 5) + 2$  and  $\|\mathbf{p}\|_\infty \leq 6\gamma(m + 2)C_{d,\gamma}$  such that*

$$\left\| \text{Mon}_{m,\gamma}^d(1 \mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}})_{\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 < \gamma} \right\|_\infty \leq \gamma^2 4^{-m}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^d.$$

Moreover, the entries of the  $C_{d,\gamma} \times (d + 1)$  - dimensional matrix obtained by multiplying the absolute values of matrices presented in  $\text{Mon}_{m,\gamma}^d$  are all bounded by  $144(\gamma + 1)^5$ .

Taking in the above lemma  $\gamma, m = \lceil \log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rceil$ , we get a network from  $\mathcal{F}(L, \mathbf{p})$  with  $L$  and  $\|\mathbf{p}\|_\infty$  having logarithmic dependence on  $1/\varepsilon$ , that simultaneously approximates the monomials of degree at most  $\gamma$  with error  $\varepsilon$  (up to a logarithmic factor). Moreover, the entries of the product of absolute values of matrices of this network will also have logarithmic dependence on  $1/\varepsilon$ . Below we use this property to construct neural network approximation of analytic and analytically continuable functions with approximation error  $\varepsilon$  and with network parameters having logarithmic order.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}$  be an analytic function on  $(0, 1)^d$  with  $\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} |a_{\mathbf{k}}| \leq F$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$  there is a network  $F_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}(L, \mathbf{p}, B)$  with  $L = (\log_2 \frac{1}{\delta}) O(\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2$ ,  $\|\mathbf{p}\|_\infty = (\frac{1}{\delta})^{d+1} O(\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{d+2}$  and  $B = F(d + 1)(\frac{1}{\delta})^5 O(\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^5$ , such that*

$$|F_\varepsilon(1 \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{2F\varepsilon}{\delta^2}, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in (0, 1 - \delta]^d.$$

Note that an exponential convergence rate of deep ReLU network approximants on subintervals  $(0, 1 - \delta]^d$  is also given in [12]. In our case, however, not only the depth and the width but also the quantity  $\|F_\varepsilon\|_\times$  of the constructed network  $F_\varepsilon$  have logarithmic dependence on  $1/\varepsilon$ . Note that in the above theorem, as  $\delta$  approaches to 0, both  $\|\mathbf{p}\|_\infty$  and  $B$ , as well as the approximation error, grow polynomially on  $1/\delta$ . In the next theorem we use the properties of Chebyshev series to derive an exponential convergence rate on the whole hypercube  $[0, 1]^d$ .

Recall that the Chebyshev polynomials are defined as  $T_0(x) = 1$ ,  $T_1(x) = x$  and

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x).$$

Chebyshev polynomials play an important role in the approximation theory, and, in particular, it is known ([10], Theorem 3.1) that if  $f$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $[-1, 1]$  then it has a unique representation as an absolutely and uniformly convergent Chebyshev series

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k T_k(x).$$

Moreover, in case  $f$  can be analytically continued to an ellipse  $E_\rho \subset \mathbb{C}$  with foci  $-1$  and  $1$  and with the sum of semimajor and semiminor axes equal to  $\rho > 1$ , then the partial sums of the above Chebyshev series converge to  $f$  with geometric rate and the coefficients  $a_k$  also decay with geometric rate. This result has been first derived by Bernstein in [3] and its extension to the multivariate case has been given in [11]. Note that the condition  $z \in E_\rho$  implies that  $z^2 \in N_{1,h^2}$ , where  $h = (\rho - \rho^{-1})/2$  and for  $d, a > 0$ ,  $N_{d,a} \subset \mathbb{C}$  denotes an open ellipse with foci  $0$  and  $d$  and the leftmost point  $-a$ . For  $F > 0$ ,  $\rho > 1$  and  $h = (\rho - \rho^{-1})/2$  let  $\mathcal{A}^d(\rho, F)$  be the space of functions  $f : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that can be analytically continued to the region  $\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^d : z_1^2 + \dots + z_d^2 \in N_{d,h^2}\}$  and are bounded there by  $F$ . Using the extension of Bernstein's theorem to the multivariate case we get

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\rho \geq 2\sqrt{d}$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{A}^d(\rho, F)$  there is a constant  $C = C(d, \rho, F)$  and a polynomial*

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 \leq \gamma} b_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^d,$$

with

$$|b_{\mathbf{k}}| \leq C(\gamma + 1)^d \quad (9)$$

and

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - p(\mathbf{x})| \leq C\rho^{-\gamma/\sqrt{d}}, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^d.$$

Combining Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 we get the following

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and let  $\rho \geq 2^{\sqrt{d}}$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{A}^d(\rho, F)$  there is a network  $F_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}(L, \mathbf{p}, B)$  with  $L = O(\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2$ ,  $\|\mathbf{p}\|_\infty = O(\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{d+2}$  and  $B = O(\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{2d+5}$  and a constant  $C = C(d, \rho, F)$  such that*

$$|F_\varepsilon(1 \mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \leq C\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^d.$$

We conclude this part by estimating the  $l_1$  parameter regularization of networks constructed in Theorem 2.2. First, the total number of parameters in those networks is bounded by  $(L+1)\|\mathbf{p}\|_\infty^2 = O(\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{2d+6}$ . From (8) it follows that all parameters of network  $\text{Mon}_{m,\gamma}^d$  from Lemma 2.2 are in  $[-2, 2]$ . In Theorem 2.2 the network  $F_\varepsilon$  is obtained by adding to a network  $\text{Mon}_{m,\gamma}^d$ , with  $\gamma = m = O(\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ , a layer with coefficients of partial sums of power series of approximated function. Thus, using (9), we get that the  $l_1$  norm of the parameters of network  $F_\varepsilon$  constructed in Theorem 2.2 has order  $O(\log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{4d+6}$ .

### 3 Proofs

*Proof of Lemma 2.1* As the activation function  $\alpha$  is of the form (1), then for a given vector  $\mathbf{z} \in [-r, r]^d$  and a given neural network  $f(\mathbf{x}) = W_L \circ \alpha \circ W_{L-1} \circ \alpha \circ \dots \circ \alpha \circ W_0 \mathbf{x}$ , there exist matrices  $V_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p_i \times p_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, L$ , such that each  $V_i$  is a diagonal matrix with all entries on the main diagonal being either  $-1, 0$  or  $1$  and

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = W_L \circ V_L \circ W_{L-1} \circ V_{L-1} \circ \dots \circ V_1 \circ W_0 \mathbf{z}.$$

The vector  $\mathbf{z}$  splits  $\mathbb{R}^{p_0} = \mathbb{R}^d$  into 3 subsets: the dot product of the vectors from the first subset with  $\mathbf{z}$  is in  $I_1$ , the dot product of the vectors from the second subset with  $\mathbf{z}$  is in  $I_2$  and the dot product of the vectors from the third subset with  $\mathbf{z}$  belongs to  $I_3$ . Then the  $i$ -th diagonal entry  $V_1^{ii}$  of the matrix  $V_1$  is equal to  $1$  if the  $i$ -th row  $W_0^i$  of the matrix  $W_0$  belongs to the first subset,  $V_1^{ii} = -1$  if  $W_0^i$  is from the second subset and  $V_1^{ii} = 0$  if  $W_0^i$  belongs to the third subset described above,  $i = 1, \dots, p_1$ . We can thus divide  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}(L, \mathbf{p})$  into  $3^{p_1}$  subclasses, such that the neural networks from each of those subclasses applied to the vector  $\mathbf{z}$  share the same matrix  $V_1$ . Continuing this way, we obtain a splitting of  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}(L, \mathbf{p})$  into  $3^{\sum_{i=1}^L p_i}$  subclasses such that the networks from each of those subclasses applied to the vector  $\mathbf{z}$  share the same matrices  $V_1, \dots, V_L$ .

Now suppose that we are given  $n$  vectors  $\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n \in [-r, r]^d$ . Those  $n$  vectors split the space  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,r}(L, \mathbf{p})$  into  $3^n$  subclasses such that the networks from each of those subclasses applied to the vector  $\mathbf{z}_k$  share the same diagonal matrices  $V_1^k, \dots, V_L^k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . More precisely, for each subclass, there exist fixed diagonal matrices  $V_1^k, \dots, V_L^k$ , with entries on the main diagonal being either  $-1, 0$  or  $1$ , such that

$$f(\mathbf{z}_k) = W_L \circ V_L^k \circ W_{L-1} \circ V_{L-1}^k \circ \dots \circ V_1^k \circ W_0 \mathbf{z}_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (10)$$

(note that for  $j \neq k$  the matrices  $V_i^j$  and  $V_i^k$  may be different). Let us now cover one of those subclasses (given by (10)). Let  $E_i^j \in \mathbb{R}^{p_i \times p_i}$  be a matrix with  $E_i^j(j, j) = 1$  and all other entries equal to  $0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, L$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, p_i$ . Denote  $P = \prod_{i=1}^L p_i$  and let  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_P$  be the vectors from  $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$  having the form

$$W_L \circ E_L^{j_L} \circ W_{L-1} \circ E_{L-1}^{j_{L-1}} \circ \dots \circ E_1^{j_1} \circ W_0,$$



It then follows from (8) that

$$B_{m+2} \circ a \circ A_{m+1} \circ \dots \circ B_3 \circ a \circ A_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ g_1(x) \\ g_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ g_m(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, if  $S_{m+2}$  is a row of length  $m+2$  defined as

$$S_{m+2} = \left( 0 \quad 1 \quad -\frac{1}{2^{2 \cdot 1}} \quad -\frac{1}{2^{2 \cdot 2}} \quad \dots \quad -\frac{1}{2^{2 \cdot m}} \right),$$

then

$$S_{m+2} \circ a \circ B_{m+2} \circ a \circ A_{m+1} \circ \dots \circ a \circ B_3 \circ a \circ A_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix} = f_m(x),$$

where  $f_m$  is defined by (7). We have that

$$|S_{m+2}| \cdot |B_{m+2}| \cdot |A_{m+1}| \cdot \dots \cdot |B_3| \cdot |A_2| = \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2^{k+1} - 2}{2^{2k}}, 2 - 2^{-m} \right).$$

As  $xy = \frac{1}{2}((x+y)^2 - x^2 - y^2)$ , then in the first layer of  $\text{Mult}_m$  we will obtain a vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ y \end{pmatrix} := C \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ 1 \\ y \\ 1 \\ x+y \end{pmatrix}$$

and will then parallelly apply the network from the first part of the proof to each of the pairs  $(1 \ x)$ ,  $(1 \ y)$  and  $(1 \ (x+y))$ . More precisely, for a given matrix  $M$  of size  $p \times q$  let  $\tilde{M}$  be a matrix of size  $3p \times 3q$  defined as

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & M & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & M \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then have that

$$\left( -\frac{1}{2} \quad -\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \right) \circ a \circ \tilde{S}_{m+2} \circ a \circ \tilde{B}_{m+2} \circ a \circ \tilde{A}_{m+1} \circ \dots \circ \tilde{B}_3 \circ a \circ \tilde{A}_2 \circ a \circ C \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}(f_m(x+y) - f_m(x) - f_m(y)),$$

which together with  $|f_m(x) - x^2| < 2^{-2m-2}$  and the triangle inequality implies (12). It remains to note that

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \right) \cdot |\tilde{S}_{m+2}| \cdot |\tilde{B}_{m+2}| \cdot |\tilde{A}_{m+1}| \cdot \dots \cdot |\tilde{B}_3| \cdot |\tilde{A}_2| \cdot |C| = \left( 3 \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2^k - 1}{2^{2k}}, 2 - 2^{-m}, 2 - 2^{-m} \right).$$

□



**Lemma 3.2.** For any positive integer  $m$ , there exists a network  $\text{Mult}_m^r \in \mathcal{F}(L, \mathbf{p})$ , with  $L = (2m + 5)\lceil \log_2 r \rceil + 1$ ,  $p_0 = r + 1$ ,  $p_{L+1} = 1$  and  $\|\mathbf{p}\|_\infty \leq 6r(m + 2) + 1$ , such that

$$|\text{Mult}_m^r(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{x})^\top - \prod_{i=1}^r x_i| \leq r^2 4^{-m}, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} = (x_1 \dots x_r) \in [0, 1]^r,$$

and for the  $(r + 1)$ -dimensional vector  $J_m^r$  obtained by multiplication of absolute values of matrices presented in  $\text{Mult}_m^r$  we have that  $\|J_m^r\|_\infty \leq 144r^4$ .

*Proof.* First, for a given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we construct a network  $N_m^k \in \mathcal{F}(L, \mathbf{p})$  with  $L = 2m + 4$ ,  $p_0 = 2k + 1$  and  $p_{L+1} = k + 1$ , such that

$$N_m^k \cdot (1 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_{2k-1} \ x_{2k}) = (1 \ \text{Mult}_m(1 \ x_1 \ x_2) \ \dots \ \text{Mult}_m(1 \ x_{2k-1} \ x_{2k})).$$

In the first layer we obtain a vector for which the first coordinate is 1 followed by triples  $(1 \ x_{2l-1} \ x_{2l})$   $l = 1, \dots, k$ , that is, the vector  $(1 \ 1 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ 1 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ \dots \ 1 \ x_{2k-1} \ x_{2k})$ .  $N_m^k$  is then obtained by applying parallelly the network  $\text{Mult}_m$  to each triple  $(1 \ x_{2l-1} \ x_{2l})$  while keeping the first coordinate equal to 1. The product of absolute values of the matrices presented in this construction is a matrix of size  $(k + 1) \times (2k + 1)$  having a form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_m & b_m & b_m & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_m & 0 & 0 & b_m & b_m & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_m & b_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a_m = 3 \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2^k - 1}{2^{2k}}$  and  $b_m = 2 - 2^{-m}$  are the coordinates obtained in the previous lemma. Let us now construct the network  $\text{Mult}_m^r$ . The first hidden layer of  $\text{Mult}_m^r$  computes

$$(1 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_r) \mapsto (1 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_r \ \underbrace{1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1}_{2^q - r}),$$

where  $q = \lceil \log_2 r \rceil$ . We then subsequently apply the networks  $N_m^{2^q}, N_m^{2^{q-1}}, \dots, N_m^2$  and in the last layer we multiply the outcome by  $(0 \ 1)$ . From Lemma 3.1 and triangle inequality we have that  $|\text{Mult}_m(1 \ x \ y)^\top - tz| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{-2m-3} + |x - t| + |y - z|$ , for  $x, y, t, z \in [0, 1]$ . Hence, by induction on  $q$  we get that  $|\text{Mult}_m^r(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{x})^\top - \prod_{i=1}^r x_i| \leq 3^q 2^{-2m-3} \leq 3r^2 2^{-2m-3} \leq r^2 4^{-m}$ .

Note that the product of absolute values of matrices in each network  $N_m^k$  has the above form, that is, in each row it has at most 3 nonzero values each of which is less than 2. As the matrices given in the first and the last layer of  $\text{Mult}_m^r$  also satisfy this property, then each entry of the product of absolute values of all matrices of  $\text{Mult}_m^r$  will not exceed  $12^{q+2} \leq 144r^4$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* We have that if  $\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 = 0$  then  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} = 1$  and if  $\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 = 1$  then  $\mathbf{k}$  has only one non-zero coordinate, say,  $k_j$ , which is equal to 1 and  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} = x_j$ . Denote  $N = C_{d,\gamma} - d - 1$  and let  $\mathbf{k}^1, \dots, \mathbf{k}^N$  be the multi-indices satisfying  $1 < \|\mathbf{k}^i\|_1 < \gamma$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . For  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$  with  $\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 > 1$ , denote by  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}$  the  $(\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 + 1)$ -dimensional vector of the form

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} = (1, \underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{k_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_d, \dots, x_d}_{k_d}).$$

The first layer of  $\text{Mon}_{m,\gamma}^d$  computes the  $\left(d + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N (\|\mathbf{k}^i\|_1 + 1)\right)$ -dimensional vector

$$(1, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}^1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}^N})^\top$$

by multiplying the input vector by matrix  $\Gamma$  of size  $\left(d + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N (\|\mathbf{k}^i\|_1 + 1)\right) \times (r + 1)$ . In the following layers we do not change the first  $d + 1$  coordinates (by multiplying them by  $I_{d+1}$ ) and to each  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}^i}$  we apply in parallel the network  $\text{Mult}_m^{\|\mathbf{k}^i\|_1}$ . Recall that in Lemma 3.2  $J_m^r$  denotes the  $(r + 1)$ -dimensional vector obtained from the product of absolute values of matrices of  $\text{Mult}_m^r$ . We then have that the product of absolute values of matrices of  $\text{Mon}_{m,\gamma}^d$  has the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & & & & \\ & J_m^{\|\mathbf{k}^1\|_1} & & \mathbf{0} & \\ & & J_m^{\|\mathbf{k}^2\|_1} & & \\ & \mathbf{0} & & \ddots & \\ & & & & J_m^{\|\mathbf{k}^N\|_1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \Gamma.$$

As the matrix  $\Gamma$  only contains entries 0 and 1 then applying Lemma 3.2 we get that the entries of  $M$  are bounded by

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\| J_m^{\|\mathbf{k}^i\|_1} \right\|_1 \leq 144(\gamma + 1)^5.$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 2.1* Let  $\gamma = \lceil \frac{1}{\delta} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rceil$ . Then, for  $\mathbf{x} \in (0, 1 - \delta]^d$  we have that

$$\left| f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 \leq \gamma} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \right| = \left| \sum_{\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 > \gamma} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}} \right| \leq (1 - \delta)^\gamma F \leq \varepsilon F.$$

In order to approximate the partial sum  $\sum_{\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 \leq \gamma} a_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}}$ , we add one last layer with the coefficients of that partial sum to the network  $\text{Mon}_{m,\gamma+1}^d$  obtained in Lemma 2.2 with  $m = \log_2 \lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rceil$ . For the obtained network  $F_\varepsilon$  we have that

$$\|F_\varepsilon\|_\times \leq 144(d + 1)F(\gamma + 2)^5.$$

□

Let us now present the result from [11] that will be used to derive Lemma 2.3. First, if  $f \in \mathcal{A}^d(\rho, F)$ , then ([5], Theorem 4.1)  $f$  has a unique representation as an absolutely and uniformly convergent multivariate Chebyshev series

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_d=0}^{\infty} a_{k_1, \dots, k_d} T_{k_1}(x_1) \dots T_{k_d}(x_d), \quad \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^d.$$

Note that for  $\mathbf{k} := (k_1, \dots, k_d)$ , the degree of a  $d$ -dimensional polynomial  $T_{k_1}(x_1) \dots T_{k_d}(x_d)$  is  $\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 = k_1 + \dots + k_d$ . Then, for any non-negative integers  $n_1, \dots, n_d$ , the partial sum

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1} \dots \sum_{k_d=0}^{n_d} a_{\mathbf{k}} T_{k_1}(x_1) \dots T_{k_d}(x_d) \tag{13}$$

is a polynomial truncation of the multivariate Chebyshev series of  $f$  of degree  $d(p) = n_1 + \dots + n_d$ . It is shown in [11] that

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $f \in \mathcal{A}^d(\rho, F)$  there is a constant  $C = C(d, \rho, F)$  such that the multivariate Chebyshev coefficients of  $f$  satisfy

$$|a_{\mathbf{k}}| \leq C\rho^{-\|\mathbf{k}\|_2} \quad (14)$$

and for the polynomial truncations  $p$  of the multivariate Chebyshev series of  $f$  we have that

$$\inf_{d(p) \leq \gamma} \|f(\mathbf{x}) - p(\mathbf{x})\|_{[0,1]^d} \leq C\rho^{-\gamma/\sqrt{d}}.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.3* Note that from the recursive definition of the Chebyshev polynomials it follows that for any  $k \geq 0$  the coefficients of the Chebyshev polynomial  $T_k(x)$  are all bounded by  $2^k$ . Let now  $p$  be a polynomial given by (13) with degree  $d(p) \leq \gamma$ . As the number of summands in the right-hand side of (13) is bounded by  $(\gamma + 1)^d$ , then, using (14), we get that  $p$  can be rewritten as

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\|\mathbf{k}\|_1 \leq \gamma} b_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{k}},$$

with

$$|b_{\mathbf{k}}| \leq C(\gamma + 1)^d 2^{\|\mathbf{k}\|_1} \rho^{-\|\mathbf{k}\|_2} \leq C(\gamma + 1)^d 2^{\sqrt{d}\|\mathbf{k}\|_2} \rho^{-\|\mathbf{k}\|_2} \leq C(\gamma + 1)^d,$$

where the last inequality follows from the condition  $\rho \geq 2^{\sqrt{d}}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2* The proof follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 by taking  $\gamma = m = \lceil \log_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rceil$  and adding to the network  $\text{Mon}_{m, \gamma+1}^d$  the last layer with the coefficients of the polynomial  $p(\mathbf{x})$  from Lemma 2.3. For the obtained network  $F_\varepsilon$  we have that

$$\|F_\varepsilon\|_\times \leq 144C(d+1)C_{d, \gamma+1}(\gamma+2)^d(\gamma+2)^5 \leq 144C(d+1)(\gamma+2)^{2d+5},$$

where  $C$  is the constant from Lemma 2.3.

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Johannes Schmidt-Hieber for support and valuable suggestions. The author has been supported by the NWO Vidi grant: “*Statistical foundation for multilayer neural networks*”.

## References

- [1] Barron, A., Klusowski, J., *Approximation and estimation for high-dimensional deep learning networks*. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1809.03090.pdf>, 2018.
- [2] Bartlett, P.L., *The sample complexity of pattern classification with neural networks: the size of the weights is more important than the size of the network*. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 44(2):525-536, 1998.
- [3] Bernstein, S., *Sur la meilleure approximation de  $|x|$  par des polynomes de degrés donnés*, Acta Math., 37 (1), 1-57, 1914.
- [4] Györfi, L., Kohler, M., Krzyzak, A., and Walk, H., *A distribution-free theory of nonparametric regression*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [5] Mason, J. C., *Near-best multivariate approximation by Fourier series, Chebyshev series and Chebyshev interpolation*, Journal of Approx. Theory, 28(4), 349-358, 1980.

- [6] Neyshabur, B., Salakhutdinov, R. and Srebro, N., *Path-SGD: Path-normalized optimization in deep neural networks*. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2422-2430, 2015.
- [7] Pisier, G., *Remarques sur un résultat non publié de B. Maurey*. Séminaire Analyse fonctionnelle (dit), 1-12, 1980.
- [8] Schmidt-Hieber, J., *Nonparametric regression using deep neural networks with ReLU activation function*. Annals of Statistics. 48 (4): 1875–1897, 2020.
- [9] Taheri, M., Xie, F., and Lederer, J., *Statistical Guarantees for Regularized Neural Networks*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.00294.pdf>.
- [10] Trefethen, L., N., *Approximation Theory and Approximation Practice*. SIAM, 2013.
- [11] Trefethen, L. N., *Multivariate polynomial approximation in the hypercube*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 145: 4837-4844, 2017.
- [12] Weinan, E., Wang, Q., *Exponential convergence of the deep neural network approximation for analytic functions*. Science China Mathematics, **61**(10): 1733-1740, 2018.
- [13] Yarotsky, D., *Error bounds for approximations with deep ReLU networks*. Neural Networks, 94: 103-114, 2017.
- [14] Zhang, T., *Covering number bounds of certain regularized linear function classes*. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2: 527-550, 2002.