

# Graph of $uv$ -paths in 2-connected graphs <sup>\*</sup>

Eduardo Rivera-Campo

Departamento de Matemáticas  
 Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana - Iztapalapa  
 erc@xanum.uam.mx

## Abstract

For a 2-connected graph  $G$  and vertices  $u, v$  of  $G$  we define an abstract graph  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  whose vertices are the paths joining  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , where paths  $S$  and  $T$  are adjacent if  $T$  is obtained from  $S$  by replacing a subpath  $S_{xy}$  of  $S$  with an internally disjoint subpath  $T_{xy}$  of  $T$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  is always connected and give a necessary and a sufficient condition for connectedness in cases where the cycles formed by the replacing subpaths are restricted to a specific family of cycles of  $G$ .

## 1 Introduction

For any vertices  $x, y$  of a path  $L$ , we denote by  $L_{xy}$  the subpath of  $L$  that joins  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph and  $u$  and  $v$  be vertices of  $G$ . The  $uv$  path graph of  $G$  is the graph  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  whose vertices are the paths joining  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , where two paths  $S$  and  $T$  are adjacent if  $T$  is obtained from  $S$  by replacing a subpath  $S_{xy}$  of  $S$  with an internally disjoint subpath  $T_{xy}$  of  $T$ . The  $uv$  path graph  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  is closely related to the graph  $G(P, f)$  of  $f$ -monotone paths on a polytope  $P$  (see C. A. Athanasiadis *et al* [1, 2]), whose vertices are the  $f$ -monotone paths on  $P$  and where two paths  $S$  and  $T$  are adjacent if there is a 2-dimensional face  $F$  of  $P$  such that  $T$  is obtained

---

<sup>\*</sup>Partially supported by Conacyt, México.

from  $S$  by replacing an  $f$ -monotone subpath of  $S$  contained in  $F$  with the complementary  $f$ -monotone subpath of  $T$  contained in  $F$ .

In Section 2 we show that the graphs  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  are always connected as is the case for the graphs  $G(P, f)$ .

If  $S$  and  $T$  are adjacent paths in a  $uv$ -path graph  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$ , then  $S \cup T$  is a subgraph of  $G$  consisting of a unique cycle  $\sigma$  joined to  $u$  and  $v$  by disjoint paths  $P_u$  and  $P_v$ . See Figure 1.




Figure 1:  $S \cup T$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a set of cycles of  $G$ ; the  $uv$ -path graph of  $G$  defined by  $\mathcal{C}$  is the spanning subgraph  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  of  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  where two paths  $S$  and  $T$  are adjacent if and only if the unique cycle  $\sigma$  which is contained in  $S \cup T$  lies in  $\mathcal{C}$ . A graph  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  may be disconnected.

The  $uv$  path graph  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  is also related to the well-known *tree graph*  $\mathcal{T}(G)$  of a connected graph  $G$ , studied by R. L. Cummins [3], in which the vertices are the spanning trees of  $G$  and the edges correspond to pairs of trees  $S$  and  $R$  which are obtained from each other by a single edge exchange. As in the  $uv$  path graph, if two trees  $S$  and  $R$  are adjacent in  $\mathcal{T}(G)$ , then  $S \cup R$  is a subgraph of  $G$  containing a unique cycle. X. Li *et al* [5] define, in an analogous way, a subgraph  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  of  $\mathcal{T}(G)$  for a set of cycles  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $G$  and give a necessary condition and a sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$  to be connected. In sections 3 and 4 we show that the same conditions apply to  $uv$  path graphs  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$ .

Similar results are obtained by A. P. Figueroa *et al* [4] with respect to the *perfect matching graph*  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  of a graph  $G$  where the vertices are the perfect matchings of  $G$  and in which two matchings  $L$  and  $N$  are adjacent if their symmetric difference is a cycle of  $G$ . Again, if  $L$  and  $N$  are adjacent matchings in  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ , then  $L \cup N$  contains a unique cycle of  $G$ .

For any subgraphs  $F$  and  $H$  of a graph  $G$ , we denote by  $F \Delta H$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the set of edges  $(E(F) \setminus E(H)) \cup (E(H) \setminus E(F))$ .

## 2 Preliminary results

In this section we prove that the  $uv$  path graph is connected for any 2-connected graph  $G$  and give an upper bound for the diameter of a graph  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph. The  $uv$ -path graph  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  is connected for every pair of vertices  $u, v$  of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* For any different  $uv$  paths  $Q$  and  $R$  in  $G$  denote by  $n(Q, R)$  the number of consecutive initial edges  $Q$  and  $R$  have in common. Assume the result is false and choose two  $uv$  paths  $S : u = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s = v$  and  $T : u = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_t = v$  in different components of  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  for which  $n^* = n(S, T)$  is maximum.

Since edges  $x_{n^*}x_{n^*+1}$  and  $y_{n^*}y_{n^*+1}$  are not equal,  $x_{n^*+1} \neq y_{n^*+1}$ . Let  $j = \min\{i : x_{n^*+i} \in V(T)\}$  and  $k = \min\{i : y_{n^*+i} \in V(S)\}$  and let  $l$  and  $m$  be integers such that  $y_l = x_{n^*+j}$ ,  $x_m = y_{n^*+k}$ . Consider the path:

$$S' : u = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n^*}, y_{n^*+1}, y_{n^*+2}, \dots, y_{n^*+k}, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \dots, x_s = v$$

Paths  $S$  and  $S'$  are adjacent in  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  since  $S'$  is obtained from  $S$  by replacing the subpath  $x_{n^*}, x_{n^*+1}, \dots, x_m$  of  $S$  with the subpath  $y_{n^*}, y_{n^*+1}, \dots, y_{n^*+k}$  of  $S'$ . Notice that  $n(S', T) \geq n(S, T) + 1$  since  $x_0x_1, x_1x_2, \dots, x_{n^*-1}x_{n^*}, x_{n^*}y_{n^*+1} \in E(S') \cap E(T)$ . By the choice of  $S$ , and  $T$ , paths  $S'$  and  $T$  are connected in  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$ . This implies that  $S$  and  $T$  are also connected in  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

For any two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of a connected graph  $G$  we denote by  $d_G(u, v)$  the distance between  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , that is the length of a shortest  $uv$  path in  $G$ . The diameter of a connected graph  $G$  is the maximum distance among pairs of vertices of  $G$ . For a path  $P$ , we denote by  $l(P)$  the length of  $P$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be vertices of a 2-connected graph  $G$ . The diameter of the graph  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  is at most  $2d_G(u, v)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  and  $T$  be  $uv$  paths in  $G$  and let  $P$  be a shortest  $uv$  path in  $G$ . From the proof of Theorem 1 one can see that there are two paths  $Q_S$  and  $Q_T$  in  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$ , each with length at most  $l(P)$ , joining  $S$  to  $P$  and  $T$  to  $P$ , respectively. Clearly  $Q_S \cup Q_T$  contains a path joining  $S$  and  $T$  in  $\mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  with length at most  $2l(P) = 2d_G(u, v)$ .  $\square$



$i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  let  $\alpha_i = W_{i-1}\Delta W_i$ . Then  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$  are cycles in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that:

$$\alpha_1\Delta\alpha_2\Delta\cdots\Delta\alpha_k = (W_0\Delta W_1)\Delta(W_1\Delta W_2)\Delta\cdots\Delta(W_{k-1}\Delta W_k) = W_0\Delta W_k = \sigma$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{C}$  spans  $\sigma$ . □

Let  $G$  be a complete graph with four vertices  $u, x, y, v$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{\alpha, \beta, \delta\}$ , where  $\alpha = uxv$ ,  $\beta = uyv$  and  $\delta = uxyv$ . Set  $\mathcal{C}$  spans the cycle space of  $G$  but the graph  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  is not connected since the  $uv$  path  $uyxv$  is an isolated vertex of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$ , see Fig 3. This shows that the condition in Theorem 3 is not sufficient for  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  to be connected.




Figure 3: Graph  $G$ , set  $\mathcal{C} = \{\alpha, \beta, \delta\}$  and graph  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$ .

## 4 Sufficient condition

A *unicycle* of a connected graph  $G$  is a spanning subgraph  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$  that contains a unique cycle. Let  $u$  and  $v$  be vertices of a 2-connected graph  $G$ . A  *$uv$ -monocle* of  $G$  is a subgraph of  $G$  that consists of a cycle  $\sigma$  and two disjoint paths  $P_u$  and  $P_v$  that join, respectively  $u$  and  $v$  to  $\sigma$ , see Fig. 1. Clearly for each  $uv$ -monocle  $\mathcal{M}$  of a 2-connected graph  $G$ , there is a unicycle  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$  that contains  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a set of cycles of  $G$ . A cycle  $\sigma$  of  $G$  has *Property  $\Delta^*$*  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$  if for every unicycle  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $\sigma$  there is an edge  $e$  of  $G$ , not in  $\mathcal{U}$  and two cycles  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ , contained in  $\mathcal{U} + e$ , such that  $\sigma = \alpha\Delta\beta$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph and  $u$  and  $v$  be vertices of  $G$ . Also let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a set of cycles of  $G$  and  $\sigma$  be a cycle having Property  $\Delta^*$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ . The graph  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \{\sigma\}}(G_{uv})$  is connected if and only if  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  is connected.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  is connected, then  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \{\sigma\}}(G_{uv})$  is connected since the former is a subgraph of the latter.

Assume now  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \{\sigma\}}(G_{uv})$  is connected and let  $S$  and  $T$  be  $uv$  paths in  $G$  which are adjacent in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \{\sigma\}}(G_{uv})$ . We show next that  $S$  and  $T$  are connected in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  by a path of length at most 2.

If  $\omega = S\Delta T \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $S$  and  $T$  are adjacent in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$ . For the case  $\omega = \sigma$  denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the  $uv$ -monocle given by  $S \cup T$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a unicycle of  $G$  containing  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\sigma$  has Property  $\Delta^*$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists an edge  $e = xy$  of  $G$ , not in  $\mathcal{U}$ , and two cycles  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$  contained in  $\mathcal{U} + e$  such that  $\sigma = \alpha\Delta\beta$ .

Let  $x'$  and  $y'$  denote the vertices in  $\mathcal{M}$  which are closest in  $\mathcal{U}$  to  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. Then there exists a path  $R_{x'y'}$  in  $G$ , with edges in  $E(\mathcal{U} + e) \setminus E(\mathcal{M})$  joining  $x'$  and  $y'$  and such that cycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are contained in  $\mathcal{M} \cup R_{x'y'}$ . We analyze several cases according to the location of  $x'$  and  $y'$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Denote by  $P_u$  and  $P_v$  the unique paths, contained in  $\mathcal{M}$ , that join  $u$  and  $v$  to  $\sigma$  and by  $u'$  and  $v'$  the vertices where  $P_u$  and  $P_v$ , respectively, meet  $\sigma$ .

*Case 1.-*  $x' \in V(P_u)$ ,  $y' \in V(P_v)$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $\alpha = S_{x'y'} \cup R_{y'x'}$  and  $\beta = T_{x'y'} \cup R_{y'x'}$ , see Fig. 4.




Figure 4: Left:  $\mathcal{M} \cup R_{y'x'}$ . Right: Cycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Let  $Q$  be the  $uv$ -path obtained from  $S$  by replacing  $S_{x'y'}$  with  $R_{x'y'}$ . Notice that  $Q$  can also be obtained from  $T$  by replacing  $T_{x'y'}$  with  $R_{x'y'}$ .

Case 2.-  $x' \in V(P_u)$ ,  $y' \in S \cap \sigma$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $\alpha = S_{x'y'} \cup R_{y'x'}$  and  $\beta = T_{x'v'} \cup S_{v'y'} \cup R_{y'x'}$ , see Fig. 5.




Figure 5: Left:  $\mathcal{M} \cup R_{y'x'}$ . Right: Cycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Again let  $Q$  be the  $uv$ -path obtained from  $S$  by replacing  $S_{x'y'}$  with  $R_{x'y'}$ . In this case,  $Q$  can also be obtained from  $T$  by replacing  $T_{x'v'}$  with  $R_{x'y'} \cup S_{y'v'}$ .

Case 3.-  $x', y' \in S \cap \sigma$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $\alpha = S_{x'y'} \cup R_{y'x'}$  and  $\beta = S_{u'x'} \cup R_{x'y'} \cup S_{y'v'} \cup T_{v'u'}$ , see Fig. 6.




Figure 6: Left:  $\mathcal{M} \cup R_{y'x'}$ . Right: Cycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Let  $Q$  be the  $uv$ -path obtained from  $S$  by replacing  $S_{x'y'}$  with  $R_{x'y'}$ . Path  $Q$  is also obtained from  $T$  by replacing  $T_{u'v'}$  with  $S_{u'x'} \cup R_{x'y'} \cup S_{y'v'}$ .

Case 4.-  $x' \in S \cap \sigma$  and  $y' \in T \cap \sigma$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $\alpha = S_{u'x'} \cup R_{x'y'} \cup T_{y'u'}$  and  $\beta = T_{y'v'} \cup S_{v'x'} \cup R_{x'y'}$ , see Fig. 7.

Let  $Q$  be the  $uv$ -path obtained from  $S$  by replacing  $S_{u'x'}$  with  $T_{u'y'} \cup R_{y'x'}$ . Now  $Q$  can also be obtained from  $T$  by replacing  $T_{y'v'}$  with  $R_{y',x'} \cup S_{x'v'}$ .




Figure 7: Left:  $\mathcal{M} \cup R_{y'x'}$ . Right: Cycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

In each case  $S\Delta Q = \alpha$  and  $Q\Delta T = \beta$ . Since  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ , path  $S$  is adjacent to  $Q$  and path  $Q$  is adjacent to  $T$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$ . Therefore  $S$  and  $T$  are connected in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  by a path with length at most 2.

All remaining cases are analogous to either Case 2 or to Case 3.  $\square$

Consider a 2-connected graph  $G$  with two specified vertices  $u$  and  $v$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a set of cycles of  $G$ . Construct a sequence of sets of cycles  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_k$  as follows: If there is a cycle  $\sigma_1$  not in  $\mathcal{C}_0$  that has Property  $\Delta^*$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_0$  add  $\sigma_1$  to  $\mathcal{C}_0$  to obtain  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . At step  $t$  add to  $\mathcal{C}_t$  a new cycle  $\sigma_{t+1}$  (if it exists) that has Property  $\Delta^*$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_t$  to obtain  $\mathcal{C}_{t+1}$ . Stop at a step  $k$  where there are no cycles, not in  $\mathcal{C}_k$ , having Property  $\Delta^*$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_k$ . We denote by  $Cl(\mathcal{C})$  the final set obtained with this process. Li *et al* [5] proved that the final set of cycles obtained is independent of which cycle  $\sigma_t$  is added at each step in the case of multiple possibilities.

A set of cycles of  $G$  is  $\Delta^*$ -dense if  $Cl(\mathcal{C})$  is the whole set of cycles of  $G$ .

**Theorem 4.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\Delta^*$ -dense, then  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  is connected.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\Delta^*$ -dense,  $Cl(\mathcal{C})$  is the set of cycles of  $G$  and therefore  $\mathcal{P}_{Cl(\mathcal{C})}(G_{uv}) = \mathcal{P}(G_{uv})$  which is connected by Theorem 1.

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_k = Cl(\mathcal{C})$  be a sequence of sets of cycles obtained from  $\mathcal{C}$  as above. By Lemma 1, all graphs  $\mathcal{P}_{Cl(\mathcal{C})}(G_{uv}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}_k}(G_{uv}), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}_{k-1}}(G_{uv}), \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(G_{uv}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  are connected.  $\square$

Li *et al* [5] proved the following:



**Theorem 5.** *If  $G$  is a plane 2-connected graph and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of internal faces of  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\Delta^*$ -dense.*

**Theorem 6.** *If  $G$  is a 2-connected graph and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of cycles that contain a given edge  $e$  of  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\Delta^*$ -dense.*

We end this section with the following immediate corollaries.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be vertices of a 2-connected plane graph  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of internal faces of  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  is connected.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 5,  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\Delta^*$ -dense and by Theorem 4,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  is connected.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be vertices of a 2-connected graph  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of cycles of  $G$  that contain a given edge  $e$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  is connected.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 6,  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\Delta^*$ -dense and by Theorem 4,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{uv})$  is connected.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be vertices of a 2-connected graph  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{C}_u$  is the set of cycles of  $G$  that contain vertex  $u$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}_u}(G_{uv})$  is connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $e$  be an edge of  $G$  incident with vertex  $u$ . Clearly the set  $\mathcal{C}(e)$  of cycles that contain edge  $e$  is a subset of the set  $\mathcal{C}_u$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}(e)}(G_{uv})$  is a subgraph of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}_u}(G_{uv})$ . By Corollary 2, the graph  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}(e)}(G_{uv})$  is connected.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Athanasiadis, C.A.; de Loera, J. A.; Zhang, Z.; Enumerative problems for arborescences and monotone paths on polytopes, arXiv:2002.00999v1 [math.CO].
- [2] Athanasiadis, C.A.; Edelman, P. H.; Reiner, V., Monotone paths on polytopes, Math. Z. 235 (2000), 315 – 334.
- [3] Cummins, R. L., Hamilton circuits in tree graphs, IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory, vol. CT-13 (1966), 82–90,
- [4] Figueroa, A. P.; Fresán-Figueroa, J.; Rivera-Campo, E., On the perfect matching graph defined by a set of cycles, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 23 Issue 2 (2017), 549 – 556.

- [5] Li, X.; Neumann-Lara, V.; Rivera-Campo, E., On a tree graph defined by a set of cycles, *Discrete Math.* 271 (2003), 303–310.