

NONCOMMUTATIVE CONICS IN CALABI-YAU QUANTUM PROJECTIVE PLANES

HAIGANG HU, MASAKI MATSUNO AND IZURU MORI

ABSTRACT. In noncommutative algebraic geometry, noncommutative quadric hypersurfaces are major objects of study. In this paper, we focus on studying noncommutative conics $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ embedded into Calabi-Yau quantum projective planes. In particular, we give complete classifications of homogeneous coordinate algebras A of noncommutative conics up to isomorphism of graded algebras, and of noncommutative conics $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ up to isomorphism of noncommutative schemes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. By Sylvester's theorem, it is elementary to classify (commutative) quadric hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{d-1} , namely, they are isomorphic to

$$\text{Proj } k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/(x_1^2 + \dots + x_j^2) \subset \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$$

for some $j = 1, \dots, d$. The ultimate goal of our project is to classify noncommutative quadric hypersurfaces in quantum \mathbb{P}^{d-1} 's defined below.

Let A be a right noetherian connected graded algebra. We denote by $\text{grmod } A$ the category of finitely generated graded right A -modules. A morphism in $\text{grmod } A$ is a right A -module homomorphism preserving degrees. For $M, N \in \text{grmod } A$, we write $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) := \text{Ext}_{\text{grmod } A}^i(M, N)$ for the extension groups in $\text{grmod } A$.

Definition 1.1. A noetherian connected graded algebra S generated in degree 1 is called a *d-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra* if

- (1) $\text{gldim } S = d$,
- (2) $\text{Ext}_S^i(k, S(-j)) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } i = j = d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ and

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative conic, Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra, AS-Gorenstein algebra, point variety.

2020 MSC: 16E65, 16S38, 16W50.

The second author was supported by Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists (No. 21J11303).

The third author was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20K03510 Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 16H03923 Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

$$(3) H_S(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\dim_k S_i) t^i = 1/(1-t)^d.$$

A d -dimensional quantum polynomial algebra S is a noncommutative analogue of the commutative polynomial algebra $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, so the *noncommutative projective scheme* $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} S$ associated to S in the sense of [2] is regarded as a quantum \mathbb{P}^{d-1} , so it is reasonable to define a noncommutative quadric hypersurface in a quantum \mathbb{P}^{d-1} as follows:

Definition 1.2. We say that $A = S/(f)$ is the *homogeneous coordinate algebra* of a noncommutative quadric hypersurface if S is a d -dimensional quantum polynomial algebra and $f \in S_2$ is a regular normal element.

We often say that $A = S/(f)$ is a *noncommutative quadric hypersurface* by abuse of terminology. It is now reasonable to say that $A = S/(f)$ is a *noncommutative conic* if S is a 3-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra. For every 3-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra S , there exists a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra S' such that $\text{grmod } S \cong \text{grmod } S'$ by [10, Theorem 4.4] so that $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} S \cong \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} S'$, so, in this paper, we will restrict the notion of noncommutative conic as follows:

Definition 1.3. We say that $A = S/(f)$ is (the homogeneous coordinate algebra of) a *noncommutative conic (in a Calabi-Yau quantum \mathbb{P}^2)* if S is a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra, and $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$ is a central element.

Using the above definition, we can describe all the possible homogeneous coordinate algebras of noncommutative conics as follows:

Theorem 1.4 (Corollary 3.8). *Every homogeneous coordinate algebra A of a noncommutative conic is isomorphic to either*

(1) *(commutative case)*

$$k[x, y, z]/(x^2), k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2), k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2),$$

or

(2) *(noncommutative case)*

$$S^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}/(ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2)$$

for some $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$ where

$$S^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} := k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (yz + zy + \alpha x^2, zx + xz + \beta y^2, xy + yx + \gamma z^2)$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$ such that $\alpha\beta\gamma = 0$ or $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$.

The above classification of A makes it possible to classify noncommutative conics $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ up to isomorphism of noncommutative schemes by the methods explained below.

If $A = S/(f)$ is a noncommutative quadric hypersurface, then there exists a unique central element $f^! \in Z(A^!)_2$ such that $S^! = A^!/(f^!)$ where $A^!$ is the quadratic dual of A . The finite dimensional algebra $C(A) := A^![(f^!)^{-1}]_0$ plays an important role to study A by the following theorem:

Theorem 1.5 ([20, Proposition 5.2 (1)]). *If A is a noncommutative quadric hypersurface, then the stable category $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ of the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay graded right A -modules and the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } C(A))$ of the category of finitely generated right $C(A)$ -modules are equivalent.*

Let A and A' be noncommutative quadric hypersurfaces. Despite the notation, it is not trivial to see if $A \cong A'$ implies $C(A) \cong C(A')$ from the definition. By the above theorem, if $\text{grmod } A \cong \text{grmod } A'$, then $C(A)$ and $C(A')$ are derived equivalent. In this paper, we show that $\text{grmod } A \cong \text{grmod } A'$ implies $C(A) \cong C(A')$ (Lemma 5.3), so it is reasonable to classify $C(A)$, which we will do in the case $d = 3$.

On the other hand, the point variety is an important invariant in noncommutative algebraic geometry. In this paper, we show that every noncommutative conic A has a point variety $E_A \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ in the sense of this paper (Proposition 4.5). The point variety E_A plays an essential role in completing the classification of $C(A)$. The following is a summary of the classifications of $C(A)$ and E_A (Theorem 4.14):

$C(A)$	E_A	An example of A
$k\langle u, v \rangle / (uv + vu, u^2, v^2)$	a line	$k[x, y, z] / (x^2)$
$k\langle u, v \rangle / (uv + vu, u^2, v^2 - 1)$	two lines	$k[x, y, z] / (x^2 + y^2)$
$M_2(k)$	a smooth conic	$k[x, y, z] / (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$
$k[u, v] / (u^2, v^2)$	a line	$S^{(0,0,0)} / (x^2)$
$k[u] / (u^4)$	1 point	$S^{(1,1,0)} / (x^2)$
$k[u] / (u^3) \times k$	2 points	$S^{(1,1,0)} / (3x^2 + 3y^2 + 4z^2)$
$k[u] / (u^2) \times k[u] / (u^2)$	3 points	$S^{(0,0,0)} / (x^2 + y^2)$
$k[u] / (u^2) \times k^2$	4 points	$S^{(1,1,0)} / (x^2 + y^2 - 4z^2)$
k^4	6 points	$S^{(0,0,0)} / (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$

Let A and A' be noncommutative conics. We show that $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong \underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A')$ if and only if $C(A) \cong C(A')$ (Theorem 5.7), and that $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \cong \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A'$ implies $C(A) \cong C(A')$ (Theorem 5.10). Together with the above classifications of A and $C(A)$, we can conclude that there are exactly 9 isomorphism classes of homogeneous coordinate algebras A of noncommutative conics, and that there are exactly 9 isomorphism classes of noncommutative conics $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ (Theorem 5.11).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we fix an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. All algebras and schemes in this paper are defined over k .

In this paper, a variety means a reduced scheme (which can be reducible). For a scheme X , we denote by \overline{X} when we view X as a variety (a scheme X with the reduced induced structure). We denote by $\#(X)$ the number of

points of \overline{X} (without counting multiplicity). For $\sigma \in \text{Aut } X$, we denote by $\overline{\sigma} \in \text{Aut } \overline{X}$ the automorphism of a variety \overline{X} induced by $\sigma \in \text{Aut } X$. For a variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut } X$, we denote by $\Delta_{X,\sigma} := \{(p, \sigma(p)) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \mid p \in X\}$ the graph of X by σ .

For $f = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} x_i x_j \in k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle_2$, we define $\tilde{f} := \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} x_{ij} \in k[x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn}]_1$. We denote by $\Sigma : \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n^2-1}$ the Segre embedding. For a finite dimensional vector space V , we write $U := V \otimes V$, so that if $(p, q) \in \mathbb{P}(V^*) \times \mathbb{P}(V^*)$, then $\Sigma(p, q) \in \mathbb{P}(U^*)$. (If $V = \sum_{i=1}^n kx_i$, then $U = \sum_{i,j=1}^n kx_{ij}$ where $x_{ij} := x_i \otimes x_j$.) Moreover, for a subspace $W \subset V^{\otimes 2i}$, we write \widetilde{W} when we view W as a subspace of $U^{\otimes i}$. By this notation, $\mathcal{V}(W) \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*)^{\times 2i}$ while $\mathcal{V}(\widetilde{W}) \subset \mathbb{P}(U^*)^{\times i}$. We often use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and $U = V \otimes V$. For a subspace $W \subset V^{\otimes 2i}$, $\mathcal{V}(\widetilde{W}) \cap \text{Im}(\Sigma^{\times i}) = \Sigma^{\times i}(\mathcal{V}(W))$.*

Definition 2.2. Let $S = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ be a quadratic algebra. We define

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_S := \Sigma^{-1}(\text{Proj } k[x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn}] / (\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m)) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

- (1) We say that S satisfies (G1)⁺ if there exists a scheme $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ such that both projections $p_1, p_2 : \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ restrict to isomorphisms $p_1|_{\Gamma}, p_2|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \rightarrow E$. In this case, we call E the point scheme of S , and write $\mathcal{P}^+(S) = (E, \sigma)$ where $\sigma := p_2|_{\Gamma}(p_1|_{\Gamma})^{-1} \in \text{Aut } E$.
- (2) We say that S satisfies (G1) if there exists a variety $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and an automorphism of a variety $\sigma \in \text{Aut } E$ such that $\overline{\Gamma} = \Delta_{E,\sigma}$. In this case, we call E the point variety of S , and write $\mathcal{P}(S) = (E, \sigma)$.
- (3) We say that S satisfies (G2) if

$$S = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (f \in k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle_2 \mid f|_{\overline{\Gamma}} = 0).$$

Remark 2.3. Let $S = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ be a quadratic algebra. Since $\overline{\Gamma} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \mid f_1(p, q) = \dots = f_m(p, q) = 0\}$, if S satisfies (G1)⁺ with $\mathcal{P}^+(S) = (E, \sigma)$, then S satisfies (G1) with $\mathcal{P}(S) = (\overline{E}, \overline{\sigma})$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}^+(S) = \mathcal{P}(S)$ if and only if E is a reduced scheme (a variety).

Lemma 2.4 ([14, Lemma 2.5(1)]). *Let S, S' be quadratic algebras satisfying (G1) with $\mathcal{P}(S) = (E, \sigma), \mathcal{P}(S') = (E', \sigma')$. If $S \cong S'$ as graded algebras, then $E \cong E'$ as varieties and $|\sigma| = |\sigma'|$.*

Lemma 2.5. *If A is a commutative quadratic algebra, then A satisfies (G1)⁺ with $\mathcal{P}^+(A) = (\text{Proj } A, \text{id})$. In particular, A satisfies (G1) with $\mathcal{P}(A) = (\overline{\text{Proj } A}, \text{id})$.*

Proof. First, let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ so that $\text{Proj } S = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $\text{Im } \Sigma = \text{Proj}(S \circ S)$ where $S \circ S$ is the Segre product. Since $(S \circ S) / (x_{ij} - x_{ji})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \cong$

$S^{(2)}$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \Gamma_S &\rightarrow \text{Proj } k[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn}] / (x_{ij} - x_{ji})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \cap \text{Im } \Sigma \\ &\rightarrow \text{Proj}(S \circ S) / (x_{ij} - x_{ji})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \\ &\rightarrow \text{Proj } S^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{Proj } S = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1. If $p_1, p_2 : \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ are projections, then we can see that $p_1|_{\Gamma_S} = p_2|_{\Gamma_S} = \phi$, so S satisfies (G1)⁺ with $\mathcal{P}^+(S) = (\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \text{id})$.

If $A = S/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is a commutative quadratic algebra, then we can see that the isomorphism $(S \circ S)/(x_{ij} - x_{ji})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \rightarrow S^{(2)}$; $\tilde{f} \mapsto \bar{f}$ induces an isomorphism

$$(S \circ S)/(x_{ij} - x_{ji}, \tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m) \rightarrow S^{(2)}/(\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_m) \cong A^{(2)},$$

so ϕ restricts to an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi|_{\Gamma_A} : \Gamma_A &\rightarrow \text{Proj } k[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn}] / (x_{ij} - x_{ji}, \tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m) \cap \text{Im } \Sigma \\ &\rightarrow \text{Proj}(S \circ S) / (x_{ij} - x_{ji}, \tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Proj } A^{(2)} \rightarrow \text{Proj } A \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1. Since $p_1|_{\Gamma_A} = p_2|_{\Gamma_A} = \phi|_{\Gamma_A}$, A satisfies (G1)⁺ with $\mathcal{P}^+(A) = (\text{Proj } A, \text{id})$. \square

Theorem 2.6 ([1]). *Every 3-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra S satisfies (G1)⁺. The point scheme of a 3-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra is either \mathbb{P}^2 or a cubic divisor in \mathbb{P}^2 .*

If S is a 3-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra with $\mathcal{P}^+(S) = (E, \sigma)$, then S can be recovered by the pair (E, σ) by [1], so we write $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$. We define a type of a 3-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ in terms of the point scheme $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

Type P: E is \mathbb{P}^2 .

Type S: E is a triangle.

Type S': E is a union of a line and a conic meeting at two points.

Type T: E is a union of three lines meeting at one point.

Type T': E is a union of a line and a conic meeting at one point.

Type NC: E is a nodal cubic curve.

Type CC: E is a cuspidal cubic curve.

Type TL: E is a triple line.

Type WL: E is a union of a double line and a line.

Type EC: E is an elliptic curve.

Remark 2.7. Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ be a 3-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra. By Remark 2.3, $\mathcal{P}(S) = (E, \sigma)$ if and only if E is reduced, that is, S is not of Type TL nor Type WL. In this case, S satisfies (G2).

Lemma 2.8. *Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma) = k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra. Then Table 1 below gives a list of defining relations f_1, f_2, f_3 up to isomorphism of the algebra S and the*

corresponding \overline{E} and $\bar{\sigma}^i$. In Table 1 below, $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\epsilon \in k$ is a primitive 3rd root of unity. Note that Type S is divided into Type S_1 and Type S_3 in terms of the form of σ .

Proof. If S is of Type T, TL, WL, this follows by direct calculations (see Example 2.9 below). For the rest, f_1, f_2, f_3 and E are given in [11, Lemma 3.3], and σ^i are given in the proof of [11, Theorem 3.4]. \square

Example 2.9. We give some calculations to show Table 1 for Type T. Let $S = k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra of Type T where

$$f_1 = yz - \alpha zy + x^2, \quad f_2 = zx - \alpha xz + y^2, \quad f_3 = xy - \alpha yx, \quad (\alpha^3 = 1)$$

as in Table 1. Let $E = \mathcal{V}(x^3 + y^3) = \mathcal{V}(x + y) \cup \mathcal{V}(\epsilon x + y) \cup \mathcal{V}(\epsilon^2 x + y) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and define a map $\sigma : E \rightarrow E$ by

$$\sigma(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} (a, \alpha b, -\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^2 c) & \text{if } (a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 1) \\ (0, 0, 1) & \text{if } (a, b, c) = (0, 0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Since $\alpha^3 = 1$ and $a^3 + b^3 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(p, \sigma(p)) &= f_1((a, b, c), (a, \alpha b, -\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^2 c)) \\ &= b(-\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^2 c) - \alpha c(\alpha b) + a^2 = 0 \\ f_2(p, \sigma(p)) &= f_2((a, b, c), (a, \alpha b, -\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^2 c)) \\ &= ca - \alpha a(-\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^2 c) + b(\alpha b) = 0 \\ f_3(p, \sigma(p)) &= f_3((a, b, c), (a, \alpha b, -\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^2 c)) \\ &= a(\alpha b) - \alpha ba = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so $\mathcal{P}(S) = (E, \sigma)$. We will show that $\sigma^i(a, b, c) = (a, \alpha^i b, -i\alpha^{2i-2}\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^{2i}c)$ by induction. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{i+1}(a, b, c) &= \sigma(a, \alpha^i b, -i\alpha^{2i-2}\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^{2i}c) \\ &= \sigma(a, \alpha(\alpha^i b), -\frac{a^2}{\alpha^i b} + \alpha^2(-i\alpha^{2i-2}\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^{2i}c)) \\ &= (a, \alpha^{i+1}b, -(i+1)\alpha^{2i}\frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^{2i+2}c), \end{aligned}$$

the result follows. By plugging $b = -a, -\epsilon a, -\epsilon^2 a$, we obtain σ^i in Table 1.

Table 1

Type	f_1, f_2, f_3	E	$\bar{\sigma}^i$
P	$\begin{cases} yz - \alpha zy \\ zx - \alpha xz \\ xy - \alpha yx \end{cases} \quad \alpha^3 = 1$	\mathbb{P}^2	$\sigma^i(a, b, c) = (a, \alpha^i b, \alpha^{-i} c)$
S_1	$\begin{cases} yz - \alpha zy \\ zx - \alpha xz \\ xy - \alpha yx \end{cases} \quad \alpha^3 \neq 0, 1$	$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}(x) \\ \cup &\mathcal{V}(y) \\ \cup &\mathcal{V}(z) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{cases} \sigma^i(0, b, c) = (0, b, \alpha^i c) \\ \sigma^i(a, 0, c) = (\alpha^i a, 0, c) \\ \sigma^i(a, b, 0) = (a, \alpha^i b, 0) \end{cases}$
S_3	$\begin{cases} zy - \alpha x^2 \\ xz - \alpha y^2 \\ yx - \alpha z^2 \end{cases} \quad \alpha^3 \neq 0, 1$	$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}(x) \\ \cup &\mathcal{V}(y) \\ \cup &\mathcal{V}(z) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{cases} \sigma^i(0, b, c) = (0, b, \alpha^i c)\theta^i \\ \sigma^i(a, 0, c) = (\alpha^i a, 0, c)\theta^i \\ \sigma^i(a, b, 0) = (a, \alpha^i b, 0)\theta^i \end{cases}$
S'	$\begin{cases} yz - \alpha zy + x^2 \\ zx - \alpha xz \\ xy - \alpha yx \end{cases} \quad \alpha^3 \neq 0, 1$	$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}(x) \\ \cup &\mathcal{V}(x^2 - \lambda yz) \\ &\lambda = \frac{\alpha^3 - 1}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$	$\begin{cases} \sigma^i(0, b, c) = (0, b, \alpha^i c) \\ \sigma^i(a, b, c) = (a, \alpha^i b, \alpha^{-i} c) \end{cases}$
T	$\begin{cases} yz - \alpha zy + x^2 \\ zx - \alpha xz + y^2 \\ xy - \alpha yx \end{cases} \quad \alpha^3 = 1$	$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}(x + y) \\ \cup &\mathcal{V}(\epsilon x + y) \\ \cup &\mathcal{V}(\epsilon^2 x + y) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{cases} \sigma^i(a, -a, c) = \\ (a, -\alpha^i a, i\alpha^{2i-2}a + \alpha^{2i}c) \\ \sigma^i(a, -\epsilon a, c) = \\ (a, -\alpha^i \epsilon a, i\alpha^{2i-2}\epsilon^2 a + \alpha^{2i}c) \\ \sigma^i(a, -\epsilon^2 a, c) = \\ (a, -\alpha^i \epsilon^2 a, i\alpha^{2i-2}\epsilon a + \alpha^{2i}c) \end{cases}$
T'	$\begin{cases} yz - zy + xy + yx \\ zx - xz + x^2 - yz - zy + y^2 \\ xy - yx - y^2 \end{cases}$	$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}(y) \\ \cup &\mathcal{V}(x^2 - yz) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{cases} \sigma^i(a, 0, c) = (a, 0, ia + c), \\ \sigma^i(a, b, c) = \\ (a - ib, b, -2ia + i^2 b + c) \end{cases}$
NC	$\begin{cases} yz - \alpha zy + x^2 \\ zx - \alpha xz + y^2 \\ xy - \alpha yx \end{cases} \quad \alpha^3 \neq 0, 1$	$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}(x^3 + y^3 \\ &\quad - \lambda xyz) \\ &\lambda = \frac{\alpha^3 - 1}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} \sigma^i(a, b, c) = \\ (a, \alpha^i b, -\frac{\alpha^{3i} - 1}{\alpha^{i-1}(\alpha^3 - 1)} \frac{a^2}{b} + \alpha^{2i} c) \end{aligned}$
CC	$\begin{cases} yz - zy + y^2 + 3x^2 \\ zx - xz + yx + xy - yz - zy \\ xy - yx - y^2 \end{cases}$	$\mathcal{V}(x^3 - y^2 z)$	$\begin{aligned} \sigma^i(a, b, c) = \\ (a - ib, b, -3i \frac{a^2}{b} + 3i^2 a - i^3 b + c) \end{aligned}$
TL	$\begin{cases} yz - \alpha zy + x^2 \\ zx - \alpha xz \\ xy - \alpha yx \end{cases} \quad \alpha^3 = 1$	$\mathcal{V}(x^3)$	$\bar{\sigma}^i(0, b, c) = (0, b, \alpha^i c)$
WL	$\begin{cases} yz - zy + y^2 \\ zx - xz + yx + xy \\ xy - yx \end{cases}$	$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}(x^2) \\ \cup &\mathcal{V}(y) \end{aligned}$	$\begin{cases} \bar{\sigma}^i(0, b, c) = (0, b, -ib + c) \\ \bar{\sigma}^i(a, 0, c) = (a, 0, c) \end{cases}$
EC	$\begin{cases} \alpha yz + \beta zy + \gamma x^2 \\ \alpha xz + \beta xz + \gamma y^2 \\ \alpha xy + \beta yx + \gamma z^2 \\ (\alpha^3 + \beta^3 + \gamma^3)^3 \neq (3\alpha\beta\gamma)^3, \\ \alpha\beta\gamma \neq 0 \end{cases}$	$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3 \\ &\quad - \lambda xyz) \\ &\lambda = \frac{\alpha^3 + \beta^3 + \gamma^3}{\alpha\beta\gamma} \end{aligned}$	σ_p where $p = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in E$

3. CLASSIFICATION OF A

In this section, we classify homogeneous coordinate algebras $A = S/(f)$ of noncommutative conics up to isomorphism of graded algebras where S are 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebras and $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$. It is not easy to check which S has a property that $Z(S)_2 \neq 0$ by algebraic calculations especially if S does not have a PBW basis (see [9]), so we use a geometric method in this paper.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a quadratic algebra and $0 \neq u \in Z(S)_1$. For a variety E and $\sigma \in \text{Aut } E$, if $\Delta_{E,\sigma} \subset \overline{\Gamma}_S$, then $\sigma|_{E \setminus \mathcal{V}(u)} = \text{id}$.*

Proof. We may assume that $S = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / I$ and $u = x_1 \in Z(S)_1$. Since $x_1 x_i = x_i x_1$ in S , $x_1 x_i - x_i x_1 \in I_2$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. For $p = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in E \setminus \mathcal{V}(x_1)$ and $\sigma(p) = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in E$, we have $a_1 b_i = a_i b_1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $a_1 \neq 0$, $\sigma(p) = ((b_1/a_1)a_1, (b_1/a_1)a_2, \dots, (b_1/a_1)a_n)$, so $b_1 \neq 0$ and $\sigma(p) = p \in E \setminus \mathcal{V}(x_1)$. \square

Let X, Y be irreducible varieties and $\sigma \in \text{Aut } X$. If $X \not\subset Y$, then $X \setminus Y$ is a non-empty open subset in X , so $\sigma|_{X \setminus Y} = \text{id}$ implies $\sigma = \text{id}$ ([6, Lemma 4.1, Chapter 1]).

It is now easy to see which S has a property that $Z(S)_1 \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ be a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra. Then $Z(S)_1 \neq 0$ if and only if $\bar{\sigma} = \text{id}$ if and only if S is isomorphic to either*

- (1) $S = k[x, y, z]$, and, in this case, $Z(S)_1 = S_1$ (Type P), or
- (2) $S = k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (yz - zy + x^2, zx - xz, xy - yx)$, and, in this case, $Z(S)_1 = kx$ (Type TL).

Proof. If E is reduced, then, for every $0 \neq u \in Z(S)_1$, $E \not\subset \mathcal{V}(u)$, so there exists an irreducible component E_0 of E such that $E_0 \not\subset \mathcal{V}(u)$. Since $\sigma|_{E \setminus \mathcal{V}(u)} = \text{id}$ by Lemma 3.1, $\sigma|_{E_0 \setminus \mathcal{V}(u)} = \text{id}$, so $\sigma|_{E_0} = \text{id}$. By Lemma 2.8, we can check that $\sigma = \text{id}$, and $S \cong k[x, y, z]$ (Type P). In this case, $Z(S)_1 = S_1$.

If S is of Type TL, then we can show that $Z(S)_1 \neq 0$ if and only if $S \cong k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (yz - zy + x^2, zx - xz, xy - yx)$, and, in this case, we can show that $Z(S)_1 = kx$ by direct calculations.

If S is of Type WL, then we can show that $Z(S)_1 = 0$ by direct calculations. \square

To check which S has a property that $Z(S)_2 \neq 0$, we need more work.

Lemma 3.3. *If $S = T(V)/(R)$ is a quadratic algebra,*

$$W = R \otimes V^{\otimes 2} + V \otimes R \otimes V + V^{\otimes 2} \otimes R \subset V^{\otimes 4},$$

$U = V \otimes V$, $\bar{U} = U/\tilde{R}$, and $\pi : U \rightarrow \bar{U}$ is a natural surjection, then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\Gamma}_{S^{(2)}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\bar{U}^*) \times \mathbb{P}(\bar{U}^*) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^* \times \pi^* \\ \mathcal{V}(\tilde{W}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(U^*) \times \mathbb{P}(U^*). \end{array}$$

Proof. Since $\text{Ker}(\pi \otimes \pi) = \tilde{R} \otimes U + U \otimes \tilde{R} \subset \tilde{W}$, we see that $\pi \otimes \pi : U \otimes U \rightarrow \bar{U} \otimes \bar{U}$ induces an isomorphism $(U \otimes U)/\tilde{W} \rightarrow (\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U})/\bar{W}$ where $\bar{W} := (\pi \otimes \pi)(\tilde{W})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi^* \times \pi^*)(\mathcal{V}(\bar{W})) &= \{(\pi^* \times \pi^*)(p, q) \mid (p, q) \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{W})\} \\ &= \{(\pi^*(p), \pi^*(q)) \mid ((\pi \otimes \pi)(\tilde{w}))(p, q) = 0 \forall \tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}\} \\ &= \{(\pi^*(p), \pi^*(q)) \mid \tilde{w}((\pi^*(p), \pi^*(q))) = 0 \forall \tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}\} \\ &\subset \mathcal{V}(\tilde{W}), \end{aligned}$$

we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}(\bar{W}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\bar{U}^*) \times \mathbb{P}(\bar{U}^*) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^* \times \pi^* \\ \mathcal{V}(\tilde{W}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(U^*) \times \mathbb{P}(U^*). \end{array}$$

Since $S^{(2)}$ is a quadratic algebra by [18, Proposition 2.2, Chapter 3] such that $S_1^{(2)} = \bar{U}$, $S_2^{(2)} = (\bar{U} \otimes \bar{U})/\bar{W}$, we see that $S^{(2)} = T(\bar{U})/(\bar{W})$, so $\bar{\Gamma}_{S^{(2)}} = \mathcal{V}(\bar{W})$, hence the result. \square

Proposition 3.4. *If $S = T(V)/(R)$ is a quadratic algebra satisfying (G1) with $\mathcal{P}(S) = (E, \sigma)$ and $\pi : U := V \otimes V \rightarrow U/\tilde{R}$ is a natural surjection, then $\Delta_{E^{(2)}, \sigma^{(2)}} \subset \bar{\Gamma}_{S^{(2)}}$ where $\tilde{E} := \Sigma(\bar{\Gamma}_S)$, $E^{(2)} := (\pi^*)^{-1}(\tilde{E})$, and $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Aut } \tilde{E}, \sigma^{(2)} \in \text{Aut } E^{(2)}$ are given by the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\Gamma}_S & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma^2 \times \sigma^2} & \bar{\Gamma}_S \\ \Sigma \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \Sigma \\ \tilde{E} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tilde{\sigma}} & \tilde{E} \\ \pi^* \uparrow \cong & & \cong \uparrow \pi^* \\ E^{(2)} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma^{(2)}} & E^{(2)} \end{array}$$

Proof. Since

$$\bar{\Gamma}_S := \mathcal{V}(R) = \Delta_{E, \sigma} := \{(p, \sigma(p)) \in \mathbb{P}(V^*) \times \mathbb{P}(V^*) \mid p \in E\},$$

$\tilde{E} := \Sigma(\bar{\Gamma}_S) = \{\Sigma(p, \sigma(p)) \in \mathbb{P}(U^*) \mid p \in E\}$. Since
 $\mathcal{V}(W) = \{(p, \sigma(p), \sigma^2(p), \sigma^3(p)) \in \mathbb{P}(V^*) \times \mathbb{P}(V^*) \times \mathbb{P}(V^*) \times \mathbb{P}(V^*) \mid p \in E\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\tilde{W}) &\supset (\Sigma \times \Sigma)(\mathcal{V}(W)) \\ &= (\Sigma \times \Sigma)(\{(p, \sigma(p), \sigma^2(p), \sigma^3(p)) \in \mathbb{P}(V^*)^{\times 4} \mid p \in E\}) \\ &= \{(\Sigma(p, \sigma(p)), \Sigma(\sigma^2 \times \sigma^2)(p, \sigma(p))) \in \mathbb{P}(U^*)^{\times 2} \mid p \in E\} \\ &= \{(\Sigma(p, \sigma(p)), \tilde{\sigma}\Sigma(p, \sigma(p))) \in \mathbb{P}(U^*)^{\times 2} \mid p \in E\} \\ &=: \Delta_{\tilde{E}, \tilde{\sigma}} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{E^{(2)}, \sigma^{(2)}} &:= \{(p, \sigma^{(2)}(p)) \mid p \in E^{(2)}\} = \{((\pi^*)^{-1}(q), \sigma^{(2)}(\pi^*)^{-1}(q)) \mid q \in \tilde{E}\} \\ &= \{((\pi^*)^{-1}(q), (\pi^*)^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}(q)) \mid q \in \tilde{E}\} = (\pi^* \times \pi^*)^{-1}(\Delta_{\tilde{E}, \tilde{\sigma}}) \\ &\subset (\pi^* \times \pi^*)^{-1}(\mathcal{V}(\tilde{W})) = \bar{\Gamma}_{S^{(2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Let S be a quadratic algebra satisfying (G1) with $\mathcal{P}(S) = (E, \sigma)$. If S also satisfies (G2), then $\tilde{E} \not\subset \mathcal{V}(\tilde{f})$ for every $0 \neq f \in S_2$.*

Proof. If $\tilde{E} \subset \mathcal{V}(\tilde{f})$, then

$$\Sigma(\bar{\Gamma}_S) =: \tilde{E} = \tilde{E} \cap \text{Im } \Sigma \subset \mathcal{V}(\tilde{f}) \cap \text{Im } \Sigma = \Sigma(\mathcal{V}(f))$$

by Lemma 2.1. Since $\Sigma : \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n^2-1}$ is injective, $\bar{\Gamma}_S \subset \mathcal{V}(f)$, so $\tilde{f}(p, \sigma(p)) = 0$ for every $p \in E$. Since S satisfies (G2), $f = 0$ in S , which is a contradiction. □

We have a rather short list of 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebras S such that $Z(S)_2 \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma) = k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra. Then $Z(S)_2 \neq 0$ if and only if $\bar{\sigma}^2 = \text{id}$ if and only if S is isomorphic to one of the algebras in Table 2 below. For each algebra, $Z(S)_2$ is also given in Table 2.*

Table 2

f_1, f_2, f_3	$Z(S)_2$	$ \bar{\sigma} $	Type
$yz - zy, zx - xz, xy - yx$	S_2	1	P
$yz - zy + x^2, zx - xz, xy - yx$	kx^2	1	TL
$yz + zy, zx + xz, xy + yx$	$kx^2 + ky^2 + kz^2$	2	S
$yz + zy + x^2, zx + xz, xy + yx$	$kx^2 + ky^2 + kz^2$	2	S'
$yz + zy + x^2, zx + xz + y^2, xy + yx$	$kx^2 + ky^2 + kz^2$	2	NC
$yz + zy + \lambda x^2, zx + xz + \lambda y^2, xy + yx + \lambda z^2$	$kx^2 + ky^2 + kz^2$	2	EC

where $\lambda \in k$ such that $\lambda^3 \neq 0, 1, -8$.

Proof. We use the notations in Proposition 3.4. If E is reduced (so that $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma$ is reduced), then S satisfies (G1) and (G2) with $\mathcal{P}(S) = (E, \sigma)$ by Remark 2.7. If $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$, then $0 \neq \bar{f} \in Z(S^{(2)})_1$, so $\sigma^{(2)}|_{E^{(2)} \setminus \mathcal{V}(\bar{f})} = \text{id}$ by Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.1. Since $\tilde{f}(\pi^*(p)) = (\pi(\tilde{f}))(p) = \bar{f}(p)$ for $p \in \mathbb{P}(\bar{U})$, $\pi^*(E^{(2)} \setminus \mathcal{V}(\bar{f})) = \tilde{E} \setminus \mathcal{V}(\tilde{f})$, so $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\tilde{E} \setminus \mathcal{V}(\tilde{f})} = \text{id}$.

Since Σ restricts to an isomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ (as varieties), there exists an irreducible component Γ_0 of Γ such that $\tilde{E}_0 := \Sigma(\Gamma_0) \not\subset \mathcal{V}(\tilde{f})$ by Lemma 3.5. Since projections $p_1, p_2 : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ restrict to isomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow E$ (as varieties), $E_0 := p_1(\Gamma_0)$ is an irreducible component of E such that $\Gamma_0 = \{(p, \sigma(p)) \mid p \in E_0\}$.

Since $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\tilde{E}_0 \setminus \mathcal{V}(\tilde{f})} = \text{id}$, we have $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\tilde{E}_0} = \text{id}$, so $(\sigma|_{E_0})^2 = \text{id}$ by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_0 & \xrightarrow[\cong]{(\sigma|_{E_0})^2 \times (\sigma|_{\sigma(E_0)})^2} & \Gamma_0 \\ \Sigma \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \Sigma \\ \tilde{E}_0 & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tilde{\sigma}|_{\tilde{E}_0}} & \tilde{E}_0. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.8, we can check that $(\sigma|_{E_0})^2 = \text{id}$ for some irreducible component E_0 of E if and only if $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$ if and only if S is isomorphic to one of the algebras of Type P, S, S', NC, EC in Table 2 above. On the other hand, if $S = k[x, y, z]$ (Type P), then clearly, $Z(S)_2 = S_2 \neq 0$, and if S is one of the algebras of Type S, S', NC, EC in Table 2 above, then $Z(S)_2 = kx^2 + ky^2 + kz^2 \neq 0$ by [9, Lemma 3.6].

If S is of Type TL, then we can show that $Z(S)_2 \neq 0$ if and only if $S \cong k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (yz - zy + x^2, zx - xz, xy - yx)$, and, in this case, we can show that $Z(S)_2 = kx^2$ by direct calculations and $\bar{\sigma} = \text{id}$ by Lemma 2.8.

If S is of Type WL, then we can show that $Z(S)_2 = 0$ by direct calculations and $|\bar{\sigma}| = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8. \square

Example 3.7. We explain our geometric method by using a 2-dimensional quantum polynomial algebra $S = k\langle u, v \rangle / (vu - \lambda uv) = \mathcal{A}^+(\mathbb{P}^1, \sigma)$ where $\sigma(a, b) = (\lambda a, b)$. It is easy to see that $Z(A)_2 \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda^2 = 2$ if and only if $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$. By calculations, we can show that $\mathcal{P}(S^{(2)}) = (E^{(2)}, \sigma^{(2)})$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E} &= \Sigma(\bar{\Gamma}_S) = \Sigma(\{(a, b), (\lambda a, b) \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{P}^1\}) \\ &= \{(\lambda a^2, ab, \lambda ab, b^2) \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{P}^1\} \cong \mathbb{P}^1, \\ \tilde{\sigma}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) &= (\lambda^4 \alpha, \lambda^2 \beta, \lambda^2 \gamma, \delta), \text{ and} \\ E^{(2)} &= (\pi^*)^{-1}(\tilde{E}) = \{(\lambda a^2, ab, b^2) \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{P}^1\} \cong \mathbb{P}^1, \\ \sigma^{(2)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= (\lambda^4 \alpha, \lambda^2 \beta, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$, then $0 \neq \bar{f} \in Z(S^{(2)})_1$, so $\sigma^{(2)}|_{E^{(2)} \setminus \mathcal{V}(\bar{f})} = \text{id}$. Since $E^{(2)} \not\subset \mathcal{V}(\bar{f})$, we have $\sigma^{(2)} = \text{id}$, so $\lambda^2 = 1$.

We write

$$k_{-1}[x, y, z] := k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (yz + zy, zx + xz, xy + yx) =: S^{(0,0,0)}.$$

The following corollary describes all the possible homogeneous coordinate algebras of noncommutative conics.

Corollary 3.8. *If $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ is a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra, and $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$, then $A = S/(f)$ is isomorphic to either*

- (1) $k[x, y, z]/(x^2), k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2), k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$, and, in this case, $|\sigma| \neq 2$ and $A^1 \cong k_{-1}[x, y, z]/(G_1, G_2)$ for some $G_1, G_2 \in k_{-1}[x, y, z]_2$ (A^1 is graded commutative), or
- (2) $S^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}/(ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2)$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$ and $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$ where $S^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} := k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (yz + zy + \alpha x^2, zx + xz + \beta y^2, xy + yx + \gamma z^2)$, and, in this case, $|\sigma| = 2$ and $A^1 \cong k[x, y, z]/(G_1, G_2)$ for some $G_1, G_2 \in k[x, y, z]_2$ (A^1 is commutative).

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, S is isomorphic to one of the algebras in Table 2.

If S is of Type P, then A is isomorphic to

$$k[x, y, z]/(x^2), k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2), k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$$

by Sylvester's theorem. If S is of Type TL, then $A \cong k[x, y, z]/(x^2)$ by Table 2. In either case, $|\sigma| \neq 2$ by Table 2, and we can show that A^1 is isomorphic to

$$k_{-1}[x, y, z]/(y^2, z^2), k_{-1}[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y^2, z^2), k_{-1}[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y^2, x^2 - z^2)$$

by direct calculations.

If S is of Type S, S', NC, EC, then $A \cong S^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}/(ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2)$ where $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and $|\sigma| = 2$ by Table 2. By [9, Theorem 3.7], $A^1 \cong k[x, y, z]/(G_1, G_2)$ for some $G_1, G_2 \in k[x, y, z]_2$. For example, if $a \neq 0$, then

$$A^1 \cong k[x, y, z]/(a(y^2 - \beta xz) - b(x^2 - \alpha yz), a(z^2 - \gamma xy) - c(x^2 - \alpha yz)).$$

□

Corollary 3.9. *There are at most 9 isomorphism classes of homogeneous coordinate algebras A of noncommutative conics.*

Proof. If A is commutative, then there are exactly 3 isomorphism classes for A by Corollary 3.8 (1). On the other hand, if A is not commutative, then $A^1 \cong k[x, y, z]/(G_1, G_2)$ where $G_1, G_2 \in k[x, y, z]_2$ by Corollary 3.8 (2). Since A is Koszul,

$$H_{A^1}(t) = 1/H_A(-t) = (1+t)^3/(1-t^2) = (1-t^2)^2/(1-t)^3,$$

so $G_1, G_2 \in k[x, y, z]_2$ is a regular sequence, that is, A^1 is a quadratic complete intersection. As a consequence of the classical result of ‘‘a pencil of

conics”, there are at most 6 isomorphism classes for $A^!$ ([7, Section 11, Chapter XIII]). Since $A \cong A'$ if and only if $A^! \cong A'^!$, there are at most 6 isomorphism classes for A . \square

4. CLASSIFICATIONS OF E_A AND $C(A)$

Let $A = S/(f)$ be a noncommutative conic where S is a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra and $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$. In this section, we will show that A satisfies (G1) so that the point variety $E_A \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of A is well-defined, and classify E_A up to isomorphism. Recall in Introduction that there is a finite dimensional algebra $C(A)$ which plays an important role to study A . If $A = S/(f)$ is a noncommutative conic, then $C(A)$ is a 4-dimensional algebra by [20, Lemma 5.1 (3)]. In [9], we fail to compute $C(A)$ when S is of Type EC. In this section, we complete the classification of $C(A)$ by using the geometry of E_A . We first set some definitions and notations.

The Clifford deformation of a quadratic algebra was introduced by He and Ye [8].

Definition 4.1. Let $S = T(V)/(R)$ be a quadratic algebra where $R \subset V \otimes V$. A linear map $\theta : R \rightarrow k$ is called a *Clifford map* if

$$(\theta \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \theta)(V \otimes R \cap R \otimes V) = 0.$$

We define the *Clifford deformation* of S associated to θ by

$$S(\theta) := T(V)/(f - \theta(f) \mid f \in R).$$

Let $S = T(V)/(R)$ be a quadratic algebra where $R \subset V \otimes V$. Note that the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded structure on $T(V) = (\oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes 2i}) \oplus (\oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes 2i+1})$ induces a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded structure on $S(\theta)$. The set of Clifford maps θ of $S^! = T(V^*)/(R^\perp)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of central elements $f \in Z(S)_2$ by [8, Lemma 2.8]. We denote by θ_f the Clifford map of $S^!$ corresponding to $f \in Z(S)_2$.

If C is a finitely generated commutative algebra over k , and G is a finite group acting on C , then G naturally acts on $\text{Spec } C$, and there exists a bijection between the set of G -orbits of the closed points of $\text{Spec } C$ and the set of closed points of $\text{Spec } C^G$. By this reason, we define $(\text{Spec } C)/G := \text{Spec } C^G$.

Definition 4.2. Let S be a connected graded algebra. For $f, f' \in S$, we write $f \sim f'$ if $f' = \lambda f$ for some $0 \neq \lambda \in k$. For $f \in S_2$, we define

$$K_f := \{g \in S_1 \mid g^2 \sim f\} / \sim.$$

Note that $\#(K_f)$ is exactly the number of $g \in S_1$ up to sign such that $g^2 = f$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ be a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra such that $|\sigma| = 2$, $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$, and $A = S/(f)$.*

- (1) For every $g \in S_1$, $g^2 \in Z(S)_2$.
(2) $\#(K_f) = \#(\text{Spec } C(A)) = \#(\text{Proj } A^!) = \#(E_{A^!}) = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Proof. We may assume that

$$S = k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (yz + zy + \alpha x^2, zx + xz + \beta y^2, xy + yx + \gamma z^2)$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$, and $f = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2$ for $a, b, c \in k$ by Corollary 3.8.

- (1) For every $g = \lambda x + \mu y + \nu z \in S_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^2 &= \lambda^2 x^2 + \mu^2 y^2 + \nu^2 z^2 + \lambda\mu(xy + yx) + \nu\lambda(xz + zx) + \mu\nu(yz + zy) \\ &= (\lambda^2 - \alpha\mu\nu)x^2 + (\mu^2 - \beta\nu\lambda)y^2 + (\nu^2 - \gamma\lambda\mu)z^2 \in Z(S)_2 \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 3.6.

- (2) It is easy to calculate that the quadratic dual of S is

$$S^! \cong k[u, v, w] / (u^2 - \alpha vw, v^2 - \beta wu, w^2 - \gamma uv),$$

and the Clifford map θ_f of $S^!$ induced by f is given by

$$u^2 - \alpha vw \mapsto a, v^2 - \beta wu \mapsto b, w^2 - \gamma uv \mapsto c,$$

so the Clifford deformation of $S^!$ associated to θ_f is

$$S^!(\theta_f) \cong k[u, v, w] / (u^2 - \alpha vw - a, v^2 - \beta wu - b, w^2 - \gamma uv - c)$$

as \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebras. A finite group $G = \{\epsilon, \delta\}$ acts on $S^!(\theta_f)$ by $\delta(h) = (-1)^{\deg h} h$ for a homogeneous element $h \in S^!(\theta_f)$ so that $(S^!(\theta_f))^G = (S^!(\theta_f))_0 \cong C(A)$ by [8, Proposition 4.3].

On the other hand, $g^2 = f = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2$ if and only if

$$(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{V}(u^2 - \alpha vw - a, v^2 - \beta wu - b, w^2 - \gamma uv - c) = \overline{\text{Spec } S^!(\theta_f)}$$

by (1). Since the natural action of G on $\overline{\text{Spec } S^!(\theta_f)}$ is given by $\delta^*(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (-\lambda, -\mu, -\nu)$, we see that $\#(K_f) = \#((\text{Spec } S^!(\theta_f))/G)$. Since $A^!$ and $C(A)$ are commutative by Corollary 3.8,

$$(\text{Spec } S^!(\theta_f))/G = \text{Spec}(S^!(\theta_f))^G \cong \text{Spec } C(A) \cong \text{Proj } A^! = E_{A^!} \neq \emptyset$$

by Lemma 2.5. Since $\#(\text{Spec } C(A)) \leq \dim_k C(A) = 4$, the result follows. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let S be a quadratic algebra satisfying (G1) with $\mathcal{P}(S) = (E, \sigma)$, $0 \neq f \in S_2$, and $A = S/(f)$. If $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$ and $f = g^2$ for some $g \in S_1$ (that is, $K_f \neq \emptyset$), then A satisfies (G1) with $\mathcal{P}(A) = (E_A, \sigma_A)$ where*

$$E_A = (E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) \cup \sigma(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g))$$

and $\sigma_A = \sigma|_{E_A}$.

Proof. Since

$$\overline{\Gamma}_A = \overline{\Gamma} \cap \mathcal{V}(f) = \{(p, \sigma(p)) \mid p \in E, f(p, \sigma(p)) = g(p)g(\sigma(p)) = 0\},$$

$(p, \sigma(p)) \in \overline{\Gamma}_A$ if and only if $p \in E$ and $g(p)g(\sigma(p)) = 0$ if and only if $(\sigma(p), \sigma^2(p)) = (\sigma(p), p) \in \overline{\Gamma}_A$, so A satisfies (G_1) with $\mathcal{P}(A) = (E_A, \sigma_A)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} E_A &= \{p \in E \mid f(p, \sigma(p)) = g(p)g(\sigma(p)) = 0\} \\ &= \{p \in E \mid g(p) = 0\} \cup \{p \in E \mid g(\sigma(p)) = 0\} \\ &= (E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) \cup (E \cap \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V}(g))) \\ &= (E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) \cup \sigma(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)), \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma_A = \sigma|_{E_A}$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *If $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ is a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra, $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$, and $A = S/(f)$, then both A and A^1 satisfy $(G1)$.*

Proof. The case $|\sigma| \neq 2$: Since A is commutative by Corollary 3.8, A satisfies $(G1)$ by Lemma 2.5. Since $k_{-1}[x, y, z] = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{V}(xyz), \tau)$ is a geometric quantum polynomial algebra with $|\tau| = 2$, and A^1 is isomorphic to one of the following algebras

$$\begin{aligned} &k_{-1}[x, y, z]/(y^2, z^2), \\ &k_{-1}[x, y, z]/((x + \sqrt{-1}y)^2, z^2), \\ &k_{-1}[x, y, z]/((x + \sqrt{-1}y)^2, (x + \sqrt{-1}z)^2) \end{aligned}$$

by the proof of Corollary 3.8, A^1 satisfies $(G1)$ by applying Lemma 4.4 twice.

The case $|\sigma| = 2$: By Proposition 4.3, there exists $g \in S_1$ such that $f = g^2$, so A satisfies $(G1)$ by Lemma 4.4. Since A^1 is commutative by Corollary 3.8, A^1 satisfies $(G1)$ by Lemma 2.5. \square

Example 4.6. By using Lemma 4.4, we calculate the point variety $E_A = (E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) \cup \sigma(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g))$ for a noncommutative conic $A = S/(f)$ where S is a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra of Type S and $g \in S_1$ such that $g^2 = f$. By Corollary 3.8, we may assume that $S = S^{(0,0,0)} = k_{-1}[x, y, z]$ and f is one of $x^2, x^2 + y^2, x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in Z(S)_2$. If $\mathcal{P}(S) = (E, \sigma)$,

then $E = \mathcal{V}(xyz) = \mathcal{V}(x) \cup \mathcal{V}(y) \cup \mathcal{V}(z)$ and $\begin{cases} \sigma(0, b, c) = (0, b, -c), \\ \sigma(a, 0, c) = (-a, 0, c), \\ \sigma(a, b, 0) = (a, -b, 0) \end{cases}$ by

Lemma 2.8.

- (1) If $f = x^2$, then $E \cap \mathcal{V}(g) = \mathcal{V}(xyz) \cap \mathcal{V}(x) = \mathcal{V}(x)$. Since $\sigma(\mathcal{V}(x)) = \mathcal{V}(x)$, $E_A = \mathcal{V}(x) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a line.
- (2) If $f = x^2 + y^2$, then $f = (x + y)^2$, so

$$E \cap \mathcal{V}(g) = \mathcal{V}(xyz) \cap \mathcal{V}(x + y) = \{(1, -1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Since $\sigma(1, -1, 0) = (1, 1, 0), \sigma(0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, 1)$,

$$E_A = \{(1, -1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2,$$

so $\#(E_A) = 3$.

(3) If $f = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, then $f = (x + y + z)^2$, so

$$E \cap \mathcal{V}(g) = \mathcal{V}(xyz) \cap \mathcal{V}(x + y + z) = \{(0, 1, -1), (-1, 0, 1), (1, -1, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Since $\sigma(0, 1, -1) = (0, 1, 1)$, $\sigma(-1, 0, 1) = (1, 0, 1)$, $\sigma(1, -1, 0) = (1, 1, 0)$,

$$E_A = \{(0, 1, -1), (-1, 0, 1), (1, -1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2,$$

so $\#(E_A) = 6$.

Recall that every 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra of Type EC is isomorphic to a 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra (see [12, Corollary 4.3]), and every 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra S such that $Z(S)_2 \neq 0$ is of the form

$$S = k\langle x, y, z \rangle / (yz + zy + \lambda x^2, zx + xz + \lambda y^2, xy + yx + \lambda z^2)$$

where $\lambda^3 \neq 0, 1, -8$ by Theorem 3.6. In this case, $(E, \sigma) = \mathcal{P}(S) = \mathcal{P}^+(S)$ is described as follows: $E = \mathcal{V}(F) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a smooth elliptic curve where

$$F := \lambda(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) - (\lambda^3 + 2)xyz \in k[x, y, z]_3.$$

If we endow a group structure on E with the identity $o := (1, -1, 0) \in E$, then $\sigma \in \text{Aut } E$ is a translation by a 2-torsion point $(1, 1, \lambda) \in E[2]$. We use the fact that $\sigma(p) + \sigma(q) = p + q$ for every points $p, q \in E$. More explicitly, σ can be described as

$$\sigma(u, v, w) = \begin{cases} (\lambda v^2 - uv, \lambda u^2 - vw, w^2 - \lambda^2 uv) & \text{if } (u, v, w) \in E \setminus E_1 \\ (\lambda w^2 - uv, v^2 - \lambda^2 uv, \lambda u^2 - vw) & \text{if } (u, v, w) \in E \setminus E_2 \end{cases}$$

where $E_1 := \{(1, \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon^2) \mid \epsilon^3 = 1\}$, $E_2 := \{(1, \lambda \epsilon^2, \epsilon) \mid \epsilon^3 = 1\}$ (cf. [4]).

Lemma 4.7. *Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ be a 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra, and $0 \neq f = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 \in Z(S)_2$. If $a^3 = b^3 = c^3$, then $\#(K_f) = 4$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a = 1$ and $b^3 = c^3 = 1$. By the proof of Corollary 3.8, $A^1 \cong k[x, y, z]/(G_1, G_2)$ where

$$G_1 = (y^2 - \lambda xz) - b(x^2 - \lambda yz), G_2 = (z^2 - \lambda xy) - c(x^2 - \lambda yz) \in k[x, y, z]_2.$$

By calculations,

$$\mathcal{V}(G_{1x}, G_{1y}, G_{1z}) = \mathcal{V}(-\lambda z - 2bx, 2y + b\lambda z, -\lambda x + b\lambda y) = \{(b^2\lambda, b\lambda, -2)\},$$

so $\mathcal{V}(G_1)$ is a pair of lines meeting at $(b^2\lambda, b\lambda, -2)$. If

$$G_2(b^2\lambda, b\lambda, -2) = -(\lambda^3 + 3bc\lambda^2 - 4) = -(\lambda - bc)(\lambda + 2bc)^2 = 0,$$

then $\lambda = bc$ or $\lambda = -2bc$, so $\lambda^3 = b^3c^3 = 1$ or $\lambda^3 = -8b^3c^3 = -8$, which is a contradiction, hence $(b^2\lambda, b\lambda, -2) \notin \mathcal{V}(G_2)$. By symmetry, $\mathcal{V}(G_2)$ is a pair of lines meeting at $(c^2\lambda, -2, c\lambda) \notin \mathcal{V}(G_1)$, so $\#(K_f) = \#(\text{Proj } A^1) = \#(\mathcal{V}(G_1) \cap \mathcal{V}(G_2)) = 4$ by Proposition 4.3. \square

Example 4.8. Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ be a 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra, and $0 \neq f = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 \in Z(S)_2$. If $a^3 = b^3 = c^3$, then $S/(f) \cong S/(x^2 + y^2 + \epsilon z^2)$ where $\epsilon^3 = 1$ by [9, Lemma 4.4, Theorem 4.5]. If $g \in S_1$ is one of the following

$$x + y + \epsilon^2 z, x + y - \epsilon(\epsilon + \lambda)z, \epsilon x - (\epsilon + \lambda)y + z, -(\epsilon + \lambda)x + \epsilon y + z \in S_1,$$

then we can directly check that $g^2 \sim f$, so $\#(K_f) \geq 4$. By Proposition 4.3, we have $\#(K_f) = 4$.

We can find $g \in S_1$ such that $g^2 \sim f$ using geometry as follows: if $p = (1, 0, -\epsilon), q = (0, 1, -\epsilon) \in E$, then $\sigma(o) = (1, 1, \lambda), \sigma(p) = (\epsilon, \lambda, \epsilon^2), \sigma(q) = (\lambda, \epsilon, \epsilon^2)$, and $f(o, \sigma(o)) = f(p, \sigma(p)) = f(q, \sigma(q)) = 0$. By Lemma 4.4 and by Bezout's theorem, we see that $\#(E_A) \leq 2\#(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) \leq 6$, so $E_A = \{o, p, q, \sigma(o), \sigma(p), \sigma(q)\}$. If $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in S_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_1) &= \{p, q\}, E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_2) = \{o, \sigma(p)\}, \\ E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_3) &= \{p, \sigma(o)\}, E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_4) = \{q, \sigma(o)\}, \end{aligned}$$

then we can check that

$$g_1 \sim x + y + \epsilon^2 z, g_2 \sim x + y - \epsilon(\epsilon + \lambda)z, g_3 \sim \epsilon x - (\epsilon + \lambda)y + z, g_4 \sim -(\epsilon + \lambda)x + \epsilon y + z.$$

Lemma 4.9. *Let S be a 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra. For every $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$, $\#(K_f) \geq 2$.*

Proof. If $\#(K_f) = 1$, then $\#(\text{Spec } C(A)) = \#(K_f) = 1$ by Proposition 4.3, so $C(A)$ is isomorphic to either $k[u, v]/(u^2, v^2)$ or $k[u]/(u^4)$ (cf. [9, Corollary 4.10]). We will show that this is not the case.

Let $0 \neq f = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 \in Z(S)_2$ and $A = S/(f)$. By Lemma 4.7, we may assume that either $a^3 \neq c^3$ or $b^3 \neq c^3$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $c = 1$. If $a^3 \neq 1$, then $C(A) \cong k[u]/(\varphi(u))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= u^4 + \frac{3b\lambda^2}{(\lambda^3 - 1)}u^3 + \frac{3b^2\lambda^4 - a\lambda^3 - 2a}{(\lambda^3 - 1)^2}u^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda^2(b^3\lambda^4 - 2ab\lambda^3 + (1 + a^3)\lambda - ab)}{(\lambda^3 - 1)^3}u + \frac{-ab^2\lambda^4 + (a^3b + b)\lambda^2 - a^2}{(\lambda^3 - 1)^3} \end{aligned}$$

by [9, Example 11]. Since $C(A)$ is generated by one element over k as an algebra, $C(A) \cong k[u, v]/(u^2, v^2)$. If $\varphi(u) = (u - \mu)^4$ for some $\mu \in k$, then, by comparing the coefficients in both sides, we have

$$\begin{cases} -4\mu &= \frac{3b\lambda^2}{\lambda^3 - 1}, \\ 6\mu^2 &= \frac{3b^2\lambda^4 - a\lambda^3 - 2a}{(\lambda^3 - 1)^2}, \\ -4\mu^3 &= \frac{\lambda^2(b^3\lambda^4 - 2ab\lambda^3 + (1 + a^3)\lambda - ab)}{(\lambda^3 - 1)^3}, \\ \mu^4 &= \frac{-ab^2\lambda^4 + (a^3b + b)\lambda^2 - a^2}{(\lambda^3 - 1)^3}. \end{cases}$$

By solving the above system of equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = a = \mu &= 0, \quad \text{or} \\ \lambda^3 &= -8, a^3 = 1, b = -a\lambda/2, \mu = a/3, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the condition that $\lambda^3 \neq 0, 1, -8$, so $C(A) \not\cong k[u]/(u^4)$.

By symmetry, the result holds for the case that $b^3 \neq 1$. \square

Lemma 4.10. *Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ be a 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra, $0 \neq f = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 \in Z(S)_2$ and $A = S/(f)$. Define*

$$H_1 = ax(\lambda y^2 - xz) + by(\lambda x^2 - yz) + cz(z^2 - \lambda^2 xy),$$

$$H_2 = ax(\lambda z^2 - xy) + by(y^2 - \lambda^2 xz) + cz(\lambda x^2 - yz) \in k[x, y, z]_3.$$

Then the following holds:

- (1) $E \cap \mathcal{V}(H_1) = E_A \cup E_1$. If $a^3 \neq b^3$, then $E \cap \mathcal{V}(H_1) = E_A \sqcup E_1$ (disjoint union).
- (2) $E \cap \mathcal{V}(H_2) = E_A \cup E_2$. If $a^3 \neq c^3$, then $E \cap \mathcal{V}(H_2) = E_A \sqcup E_2$ (disjoint union).

Proof. (1) It is easy to check that $E_1 := \{(1, \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon^2) \mid \epsilon^3 = 1\} \subset E \cap \mathcal{V}(H_1)$, so $p \in E_A \cup E_1$ if and only if $p \in E \setminus E_1$ and $H_1(p) = 0$, or $p \in E_1$ if and only if $p \in E$ and $H_1(p) = 0$ if and only if $p \in E \cap \mathcal{V}(H_1)$, hence $E \cap \mathcal{V}(H_1) = E_A \cup E_1$. If $(1, \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon^2) \in E_A$, then

$$f((1, \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon^2), \sigma(1, \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon^2)) = f((1, \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon^2), (1, -\epsilon, 0)) = a - b\epsilon^2 = 0,$$

so $a^3 = b^3$, hence the result.

(2) This holds by symmetry. \square

The next result follows from Max Noether's Fundamental Theorem ([5, Section 5.5]).

Lemma 4.11. *Let $0 \neq F, G, H \in k[x, y, z]_3$. If $\#(\mathcal{V}(F, G)) = 9$ and $\mathcal{V}(F, G) \subset \mathcal{V}(H)$, then $H = \mu F + \nu G$ for some $\mu, \nu \in k$.*

Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ be a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra such that $|\sigma| = 2$, and $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$. Recall that $g^2 \in Z(S)_2$ for every $g \in S_1$ by Proposition 4.3 (1) so that the point variety $E_{S/(g^2)} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of $S/(g^2)$ is well-defined by Proposition 4.5. We define

$$L_f := \{g \in S_1 \mid E_{S/(g^2)} = E_{S/(f)}\} / \sim.$$

If $g^2 \sim f$, then $E_{S/(g^2)} = E_{S/(f)}$, so $K_f \subset L_f$, hence $\#(K_f) \leq \#(L_f)$.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ be a 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra, $0 \neq f = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 \in Z(S)_2$ such that $a^3 \neq b^3$ or $a^3 \neq c^3$, and $A = S/(f)$. If $\#(E_A) = 6$, then $K_f = L_f$ so that $\#(K_f) = \#(L_f)$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $L_f \subset K_f$. Suppose that $a^3 \neq b^3$. Since $\#(E_A) = 6$,

$$\#(\mathcal{V}(F, H_1)) = \#(E \cap \mathcal{V}(H_1)) = \#(E_A \sqcup E_1) = \#(E_A) + \#(E_1) = 9$$

where $F = \lambda(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) - (\lambda^3 + 2)xyz \in k[x, y, z]_3$ and

$$H_1 = ax(\lambda y^2 - xz) + by(\lambda x^2 - yz) + cz(z^2 - \lambda^2 xy) \in k[x, y, z]_3$$

by Lemma 4.10. Let $g \in L_f$, $g^2 = a'x^2 + b'y^2 + c'z^2 \in Z(S)_2$, and

$$H'_1 = a'x(\lambda y^2 - xz) + b'y(\lambda x^2 - yz) + c'z(z^2 - \lambda^2 xy) \in k[x, y, z]_3.$$

Since $E_{S/(g^2)} = E_{S/(f)} = E_A$,

$$\mathcal{V}(F, H_1) = E \cap \mathcal{V}(H_1) = E_A \cup E_1 = E_{S/(g^2)} \cup E_1 = E \cap \mathcal{V}(H'_1) \subset \mathcal{V}(H'_1)$$

by Lemma 4.10, so $H'_1 = \mu F + \nu H_1$ for some $\mu, \nu \in k$ by Lemma 4.11. Comparing the coefficients of x^3 in both sides, we can see that $\mu = 0$, so $H'_1 = \nu H_1$. It follows that $g^2 = \nu f \sim f$, so $g \in K_f$.

By symmetry, the result holds for the case that $a^3 \neq c^3$. \square

Theorem 4.13. *If S is a 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra, $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$, and $A = S/(f)$, then exactly one of the following holds:*

- (1) $\#(E_A) = 2$ and $\#(E_{A'}) = 2$.
- (2) $\#(E_A) = 4$ and $\#(E_{A'}) = 3$.
- (3) $\#(E_A) = 6$ and $\#(E_{A'}) = 4$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, and Proposition 4.5, $E_A = (E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) \cup \sigma(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g))$ for some $g \in S_1$. Since $\sigma(p) \neq p$ for every $p \in E$, either

- (1) $E_A = \{p, \sigma(p)\}$,
- (2) $E_A = \{p, q, \sigma(p), \sigma(q)\}$, or
- (3) $E_A = \{p, q, r, \sigma(p), \sigma(q), \sigma(r)\}$

for some distinct points $p, q, r \in E$. Since $\#(E_{A'}) = \#(K_f)$ by Proposition 4.3, we will compute $\#(K_f)$ in each case. We use the following geometric properties.

- For every $0 \neq g \in S_1$, $0 \neq g^2 \in Z(S)_2$ by Proposition 4.3 (1), so $\#(K_{g^2}) \geq 2$ by Lemma 4.9.
- For $g \in L_f$, $E_{S/(g^2)} = (E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) \cup \sigma(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) = E_A$ by Lemma 4.4, so

$$\#(E_A)/2 \leq \#(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) \leq \max\{\#(E_A), 3\}$$

by Bezout's Theorem.

- For $0 \neq g \in S_1$, $\#(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) = 1, 2$ if and only if $\mathcal{V}(g)$ is a tangent line at some point of E .
- For $0 \neq g, g' \in S_1$, $g \sim g'$ if and only if $\mathcal{V}(g) = \mathcal{V}(g')$ if and only if $E \cap \mathcal{V}(g) = E \cap \mathcal{V}(g')$.

(1) Suppose that $E_A = \{p, \sigma(p)\}$ so that $\#(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) = 1, 2$ for every $g \in L_f$. Since $\mathcal{V}(g)$ is a tangent line at p or at $\sigma(p)$ (but not at both points), $\#(K_f) \leq 2$. By Lemma 4.9, $\#(K_f) = 2$.

(2) Suppose that $E_A = \{p, q, \sigma(p), \sigma(q)\}$ so that $\#(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) = 2, 3$ for every $g \in L_f$. By geometry, it is easy to see that there exists $g_1 \in L_f$ such that $\#(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_1)) = 2$ so that $\mathcal{V}(g_1)$ is a tangent line at some point of E . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{V}(g_1)$ is a tangent line at p and $E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_1) = \{p, q\}$ so that $2p + q = 0$. Since $2\sigma(p) + q = 2p + q = 0$, there exists $g_2 \in L_f$ such that $E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_2) = \{\sigma(p), q\}$ ($\mathcal{V}(g_2)$ is a tangent line at $\sigma(p)$). Since $p + \sigma(p) + \sigma(q) = 2p + q = 0$, there exists $g_3 \in L_f$ such that

$E \cap \mathcal{V}(g) = \{p, \sigma(p), \sigma(q)\}$. By geometry, it is easy to see that there is no other possible $g \in L_f$, so $\#(K_f) \leq \#(L_f) = 3$. By Lemma 4.9, $\#(K_{g_i^2}) \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, so, for every i , there exists $j \neq i$ such that $g_i^2 \sim g_j^2$. It follows that $g_1^2 \sim g_2^2 \sim g_3^2 \sim f$, so $\#(K_f) = 3$.

(3) Let $f = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 \in Z(S)_3$. If $a^3 = b^3 = c^3$, then $\#(K_f) = 4$ by Lemma 4.7, so we will assume that either $a^3 \neq b^3$ or $a^3 \neq c^3$. Suppose that $E_A = \{p, q, r, \sigma(p), \sigma(q), \sigma(r)\}$ so that $\#(E \cap \mathcal{V}(g)) = 3$ for every $g \in L_f$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $g_1 \in L_f$ such that $E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_1) = \{p, q, r\}$ so that $p + q + r = 0$. Since

$$p + \sigma(p) + \sigma(q) = \sigma(p) + q + \sigma(r) = \sigma(p) + \sigma(q) + r = 0,$$

there exist $g_2, g_3, g_4 \in L_f$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_2) &= \{p, \sigma(q), \sigma(r)\}, \\ E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_3) &= \{\sigma(p), q, \sigma(r)\}, \\ E \cap \mathcal{V}(g_4) &= \{\sigma(p), \sigma(q), r\}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\#(K_f) = \#(L_f) \geq 4$ by Proposition 4.12. By Proposition 4.3, $\#(K_f) = 4$. \square

Theorem 4.14. *Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$, $S' = \mathcal{A}^+(E', \sigma')$ be 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebras, $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$, $0 \neq f' \in Z(S')_2$, and $A = S/(f)$, $A' = S'/(f')$.*

- (1) *If $|\sigma|, |\sigma'| \neq 2$, then the following are equivalent:*
- (a) $A \cong A'$.
 - (b) $\text{grmod } A \cong \text{grmod } A'$.
 - (c) $E_A \cong E_{A'}$ (as schemes).
 - (d) $E_{A'} \cong E_{A''}$ (as varieties).
 - (e) $C(A) \cong C(A')$.

Moreover, we have the following table:

Table 3

E_A	$\#(E_{A'})$	$C(A)$	Type of S
a double line	1	$k_{-1}[u, v]/(u^2, v^2)$	P, TL
two lines	2	$k_{-1}[u, v]/(u^2, v^2 - 1)$	P
a smooth conic	0	$M_2(k) \cong k_{-1}[u, v]/(u^2 - 1, v^2 - 1)$	P

- (2) *If $|\sigma| = |\sigma'| = 2$, then the following are equivalent:*
- (a) $E_A \cong E_{A'}$ (as varieties).
 - (b) $E_{A'} \cong E_{A''}$ (as schemes).
 - (c) $C(A) \cong C(A')$.

Moreover, we have the following table:

Table 4

$\#(E_A)$	$\#(E_{A'})$	$C(A)$	Type of S
∞	1	$k[u, v]/(u^2, v^2)$	S, S'
1	1	$k[u]/(u^4)$	S', NC
2	2	$k[u]/(u^3) \times k$	NC, EC
3	2	$(k[u]/(u^2))^2 \cong k[u, v]/(u^2, v^2 - 1)$	S, S', NC
4	3	$k[u]/(u^2) \times k^2$	S', NC, EC
6	4	$k^4 \cong k[u, v]/(u^2 - 1, v^2 - 1)$	S, S', NC, EC

In Table 4, if $\#(E_A) = \infty$, then E_A is a line.

Proof. (1) If $|\sigma|, |\sigma'| \neq 2$, then A, A' are commutative conics by Corollary 3.8 so that $E_A = \text{Proj } A$ by Lemma 2.5, so it is well-known that (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (c). It is easy to show Table 3 by direct calculations.

(2) If $|\sigma| = 2$, then A' and $C(A)$ are commutative by Corollary 3.8, so $\text{Spec } C(A) \cong \text{Proj } A' = E_{A'}$ by Lemma 2.5. It follows that $E_{A'} \cong E_{A'}$ (as schemes) if and only if $C(A) \cong C(A')$.

We can show Table 4 by direct calculations except for Type EC (see Example 4.6 for the calculations of E_A , and see [9, Theorem 4.8] for calculations of $C(A)$). Suppose that S is of Type EC. If $\#(E_A) = 4, 6$, then Table 4 follows from Proposition 4.3, Theorem 4.13 and [9, Corollary 4.10]. If $\#(E_A) = 2$, then, by the proof of Theorem 4.13, we may assume that $E_A = \{p, \sigma(p)\}$ and there exists $g \in S_1$ such that $g^2 \sim f$ and $\mathcal{V}(g)$ is a tangent line at p to E not passing through $\sigma(p)$ so that $p \in E[3]$. Since $p \in E[3] = \{(0, 1, -\epsilon), (-\epsilon, 0, 1), (1, -\epsilon, 0) \mid \epsilon^3 = 1\}$, g is one of the following $\epsilon(\lambda^3 + 2)x + 3\lambda y + 3\epsilon^2\lambda z, 3\epsilon^2\lambda x + \epsilon(\lambda^3 + 2)y + 3\lambda z, 3\lambda x + 3\epsilon^2\lambda y + \epsilon(\lambda^3 + 2)z$, so g^2 associates to one of the following

$$\frac{(4 - \lambda^3)}{3\lambda^2}x^2 + \epsilon y^2 + \epsilon^2 z^2, \epsilon^2 x^2 + \frac{(4 - \lambda^3)}{3\lambda^2}y^2 + \epsilon z^2, \epsilon x^2 + \epsilon^2 y^2 + \frac{(4 - \lambda^3)}{3\lambda^2}z^2$$

by direct calculations. By [9, Lemma 4.4, Theorem 4.5],


$$A = S/(f) = S/(g^2) \cong S/((4 - \lambda^3)x^2 + 3\lambda^2 y^2 + 3\lambda^2 z^2).$$

Since $\lambda^3 \neq 0, 1, -8$, we can show that $((4 - \lambda^3)/3\lambda^2)^3 \neq 1$, so $C(A) \cong k[u]/(\varphi(u))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= u^4 + \frac{3\lambda^2}{(\lambda^3 - 1)}u^3 + \frac{2(5\lambda^3 + 4)}{3\lambda^2(\lambda^3 - 1)}u^2 + \frac{4(11\lambda^3 + 16)}{27\lambda^3(\lambda^3 - 1)}u + \frac{8(\lambda^3 + 2)}{27\lambda^4(\lambda^3 - 1)} \\ &= \left(u + \frac{2}{3\lambda}\right)^3 \left(u + \frac{\lambda^3 + 2}{\lambda(\lambda^3 - 1)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

by [9, Example 11]. Since $\lambda^3 \neq 0, 1, -8$, we can show that $\frac{2}{3\lambda} \neq \frac{\lambda^3 + 2}{\lambda(\lambda^3 - 1)}$, so $C(A) \cong k[u]/(u^3) \times k$. \square

Let $S = \mathcal{A}^+(E, \sigma)$ and $A = S/(f)$ be as in Theorem 4.14 above. We describe (E_A, σ_A) together with $\cup_{g \in K_f} \mathcal{V}(g)$ in the picture below for the case that $\#(E_A) < \infty$. In the picture, E_A consists of hollow points and solid points where hollow points indicate singular points of E , and σ_A is described by arrows. Moreover, $\mathcal{V}(g)$ for $g \in K_f$ are described by dotted lines. Recall that $\#(K_f) = \#(E_{A'})$ by Proposition 4.3.



5. CLASSIFICATION OF $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$.

In this section, we classify noncommutative conics $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ up to isomorphism of noncommutative schemes.

Definition 5.1 ([2]). A *noncommutative scheme* is a pair $X = (\text{mod } X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ consisting of an abelian category $\text{mod } X$ and an object $\mathcal{O}_X \in \text{mod } X$. We say that two noncommutative schemes X, Y are isomorphic, denoted by $X \cong Y$, if there exists an equivalence functor $F : \text{mod } X \rightarrow \text{mod } Y$ such that $F(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$.

For a right noetherian ring R , we define the *noncommutative affine scheme* associated to R by $\text{Spec}_{\text{nc}} R = (\text{mod } R, R)$ where $\text{mod } R$ is the category of finitely generated right R -modules.

For a right noetherian connected graded algebra A , we define the *noncommutative projective scheme* associated to A by $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A = (\text{tails } A, \mathcal{A})$ where $\text{tors } A$ is the full subcategory of $\text{grmod } A$ consisting of finite dimensional modules over k and $\text{tails } A := \text{grmod } A / \text{tors } A$ is the quotient category. Here, we denote by $\mathcal{M} \in \text{tails } A$ when we view $M \in \text{grmod } A$ as an object in $\text{tails } A$.

Let A be a right noetherian connected graded algebra. For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{tails } A$, we write $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) := \text{Ext}_{\text{tails } A}^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ for the extension groups in $\text{tails } A$.

Lemma 5.2. *For right noetherian rings R, R' , $\text{Spec}_{\text{nc}} R \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{nc}} R'$ if and only if $R \cong R'$.*

Proof. If $\text{Spec}_{\text{nc}} R \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{nc}} R'$, then there exists an equivalence functor $F : \text{mod } R \rightarrow \text{mod } R'$ such that $F(R) \cong R'$, so

$$R' \cong \text{End}_{R'}(R') \cong \text{Hom}_{R'}(F(R), F(R)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, R) \cong \text{End}_R(R) \cong R.$$

The converse is clear. □

Let A, A' be noncommutative quadric hypersurfaces. Despite the notation, it is not trivial to see if $A \cong A'$ implies $C(A) \cong C(A')$ from the definition. We will show it using the notion of noncommutative schemes.

Lemma 5.3. *Let A, A' be noncommutative quadric hypersurfaces.*

- (1) $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A^! \cong \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A'^!$ if and only if $C(A) \cong C(A')$.
- (2) If $\text{grmod } A \cong \text{grmod } A'$, then $C(A) \cong C(A')$.

Proof. (1) Since there exists an equivalence functor $F : \text{tails } A^! \rightarrow \text{mod } C(A)$ defined by $F(\mathcal{M}) = M[(f^!)^{-1}]_0$ by [16, Lemma 4.13], $F(\mathcal{A}) \cong C(A)$, so $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A^! \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{nc}} C(A)$. It follows that $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A^! \cong \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A'^!$ if and only if $\text{Spec}_{\text{nc}} C(A) \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{nc}} C(A')$ if and only if $C(A) \cong C(A')$ by Lemma 5.2.

(2) By [14, Lemma 4.1] and (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grmod } A \cong \text{grmod } A' &\Leftrightarrow \text{grmod } A^! \cong \text{grmod } A'^! \\ &\Rightarrow \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A^! \cong \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A'^! \\ &\Leftrightarrow C(A) \cong C(A'). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 5.4. A connected graded algebra A is called an *AS-Gorenstein algebra of dimension d and of Gorenstein parameter l* if

- (1) $\text{id } A = d$, and
- (2) $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A(-j)) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } i = d \text{ and } j = l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Lemma 5.5. *If S is a quantum polynomial algebra of dimension $d \geq 1$, and $0 \neq f \in S_m$ is a normal element, then $A = S/(f)$ is a noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra of dimension $d - 1$ and of Gorenstein parameter $d - m$ (cf. [8, Lemma 1.2]).*

Definition 5.6. Let A be a right noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra. We say that $M \in \text{grmod } A$ is *maximal Cohen-Macaulay* if $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A(j)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by

- (1) $\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ the full subcategory of $\text{grmod } A$ consisting of maximal Cohen-Macaulay graded right A -modules,
- (2) $\text{CM}^0(A) := \{M \in \text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \mid M = M_0 A\}$ the full subcategory of $\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$, and
- (3) $\underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ the stable category of $\text{CM}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ by projectives.

For a noncommutative conic A , we have a complete classification of $C(A)$ up to isomorphism by Theorem 4.14, so the following theorem gives a complete classification of $\underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ up to equivalence.

Theorem 5.7. *Let S, S' be 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebras, $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2, 0 \neq f' \in Z(S')_2$, and $A = S/(f), A' = S'/(f')$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong \underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A')$.
- (2) $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } C(A)) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } C(A'))$.
- (3) $C(A) \cong C(A')$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.5, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2), and clearly, (3) \Rightarrow (2), so it is enough to show (2) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } C(A)) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } C(A'))$. By [19, Proposition 9.2], $Z(C(A)) \cong Z(C(A'))$. Moreover, $C(A)$ is semisimple if and only if $C(A')$ is semisimple, so we may assume one of the following two cases, namely, both $C(A)$ and $C(A')$ are semisimple ($M_2(k)$ or k^4), or both $C(A)$ and $C(A')$ are non semisimple. By the classification of Theorem 4.14, we can show in either case that $Z(C(A)) \cong Z(C(A'))$ implies $C(A) \cong C(A')$ (Most of $C(A)$ are commutative, so it is enough to calculate $Z(k_{-1}[u, v]/(u^2, v^2)) \cong k[t]/(t^2)$ and $Z(k_{-1}[u, v]/(u^2, v^2 - 1)) \cong k!!$), so the result follows. \square

Definition 5.8. Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category. A strictly full triangulated subcategory \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{T} is called *admissible* if the embedding functor $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ has both a left adjoint functor $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and a right adjoint functor $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$.

A *semi-orthogonal decomposition* of \mathcal{T} is a sequence $\{\mathcal{E}_0, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{l-1}\}$ of strictly full admissible triangulated subcategories such that

- (1) for all $0 \leq i < j \leq l - 1$ and all objects $E_i \in \mathcal{E}_i$, $E_j \in \mathcal{E}_j$, one has $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_j, E_i) = 0$, and
- (2) the smallest strictly full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{T} containing $\mathcal{E}_0, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{l-1}$ coincides with \mathcal{T} .

We use the notation $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{E}_0, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{l-1} \rangle$ for a semi-orthogonal decomposition of \mathcal{T} with components $\mathcal{E}_0, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{l-1}$.

Theorem 5.9 ([3, Theorem 4.4.1],[17, Theorem 2.5]). *Let A be a right noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra of Gorenstein parameter l . If $l > 0$, then there exists a fully faithful functor $\Phi : \underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A)$ and a semi-orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A) = \langle \mathcal{A}(-l+1), \dots, \mathcal{A}(-1), \mathcal{A}, \Phi \underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rangle$$

where $\mathcal{A}(i)$ denotes the full triangulated subcategory generated by the object $\mathcal{A}(i)$ by abuse of notation.

Theorem 5.10. *Let S, S' be 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebras, $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2, 0 \neq f' \in Z(S')_2$, and $A = S/(f), A' = S'/(f')$. If $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \cong \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A'$, then $C(A) \cong C(A')$.*

Proof. Since A is a noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra of Gorenstein parameter 1 by Lemma 5.5, there exists a fully faithful functor $\Phi : \underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A)$ such that $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A) = \langle \mathcal{A}, \Phi \underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rangle$ is a semi-orthogonal decomposition by Theorem 5.9, so

$$\underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong {}^{\perp} \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle := \{ \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A) \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}) = 0 \}$$

by [17, Lemma 1.4]. Since $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \cong \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A'$, there exists an equivalence functor $F : \mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A')$ such that $F(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{A}'$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } C(A)) \cong \underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong {}^{\perp} \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle \cong {}^{\perp} \langle \mathcal{A}' \rangle \cong \underline{\mathbf{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A') \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } C(A')),$$

so $C(A) \cong C(A')$ by Theorem 5.7. \square

Theorem 5.11. *There are exactly 9 isomorphism classes of homogeneous coordinate algebras A of noncommutative conics, and there are exactly 9 isomorphism classes of noncommutative conics $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$.*

Proof. If A and A' are homogeneous coordinate algebras of noncommutative conics, then

$$A \cong A' \Rightarrow \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \cong \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A' \Rightarrow C(A) \cong C(A')$$

by Theorem 5.10. Since there are at most 9 isomorphism classes of A by Corollary 3.9, and there are exactly 9 isomorphism classes of $C(A)$ by Theorem 4.14, the result follows. \square

For the rest of the paper, we study “smooth” noncommutative conics. The *global dimension* of tails A is defined by

$$\text{gldim}(\text{tails } A) := \sup\{i \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{tails } A\}.$$

Definition 5.12. A noetherian connected graded algebra A is called a *noncommutative graded isolated singularity* if tails A has finite global dimension.

We may define that $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ is “smooth” if A is a noncommutative graded isolated singularity.

For an additive category \mathcal{C} , we denote by $\text{Ind } \mathcal{C}$ the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects.

Lemma 5.13. *Let S be a quantum polynomial algebra, $f \in S_2$ a regular normal element, and $A = S/(f)$. Suppose that $X = A/gA$ for some $g \in K_f$.*

- (1) $X \in \text{Ind CM}^0(A)$.
- (2) $\text{Hom}_A(X, A(i)) = 0$ for every $i \leq 0$.

Proof. (1) If $g^2 = f$, then

$$\dots \xrightarrow{g} A(-2) \xrightarrow{g} A(-1) \xrightarrow{g} A \xrightarrow{g} A(1) \xrightarrow{g} \dots$$

is a complete resolution of X , so $X \in \text{Ind CM}^0(A)$ (cf. [15, Lemma 5.7, Remark 5.5]).

(2) The exact sequence

$$A(-1) \xrightarrow{g} A \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, A(i)) \longrightarrow A_i \xrightarrow{g} A_{i+1},$$

so $\text{Hom}_A(X, A(i)) = 0$ for every $i \leq 0$. \square

Definition 5.14. Let \mathcal{T} be a k -linear triangulated category.

- (1) An object E of \mathcal{T} is *exceptional* if $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(E) = k$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E[q]) = 0$ for every $q \neq 0$.

- (2) A sequence of objects $\{E_0, \dots, E_{l-1}\}$ in \mathcal{T} is an *exceptional sequence* if E_i is an exceptional object for every $i = 0, \dots, l-1$, and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E_j, E_i[q]) = 0$ for every q and every $0 \leq i < j \leq l-1$.
- (3) An exceptional sequence $\{E_0, \dots, E_{l-1}\}$ in \mathcal{T} is *full* if the smallest strictly full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{T} containing E_0, \dots, E_{l-1} is equal to \mathcal{T} .
- (4) An exceptional sequence $\{E_0, \dots, E_{l-1}\}$ in \mathcal{T} is *strong* if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(U, U[q]) = 0$ for every $q \neq 0$ where $U = E_0 \oplus \dots \oplus E_{l-1}$.

Let $k\widetilde{A}_1$ be the path algebra of the quiver

$$1 \rightrightarrows 2 \quad (\widetilde{A}_1 \text{ type}),$$

and let $k\widetilde{D}_4$ be the path algebra of the quiver

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & 2 \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & 5 & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ 3 & & 4 \end{array} \quad (\widetilde{D}_4 \text{ type}).$$

Theorem 5.15. *Let S be a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau quantum polynomial algebra, $0 \neq f \in Z(S)_2$, and $A = S/(f)$. If A is a noncommutative graded isolated singularity, then exactly one of the following holds:*

- (1) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (1-a) $C(A) \cong M_2(k)$.
 - (1-b) $E_A \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a smooth conic.
 - (1-c) $E_{A'} = \emptyset$.
 - (1-d) A is commutative.
 - (1-e) f is irreducible.
 - (1-f) $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k\widetilde{A}_1)$.
- (2) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (2-a) $C(A) \cong k^4$.
 - (2-b) $\#(E_A) = 6$.
 - (2-c) $\#(E_{A'}) = 4$.
 - (2-d) A is not commutative.
 - (2-e) f is reducible.
 - (2-f) $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k\widetilde{D}_4)$.

Proof. Since A is a noncommutative graded isolated singularity, $C(A)$ is a 4-dimensional semi-simple algebra by [20, Theorem 5.6], so either $C(A) \cong M_2(k)$, or $C(A) \cong k^4$.

By Theorem 4.14, (1-a) \Leftrightarrow (1-b) \Leftrightarrow (1-c) \Leftrightarrow (1-d) \Leftrightarrow (1-e), and (2-a) \Leftrightarrow (2-b) \Leftrightarrow (2-c) \Leftrightarrow (2-d) \Leftrightarrow (2-e).

Since $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k\widetilde{A}_1) \not\cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k\widetilde{D}_4)$, it is enough to show that (1-a) \Rightarrow (1-f) and (2-a) \Rightarrow (2-f).

(1-a) \Rightarrow (1-f): If $C(A) \cong M_2(k)$, then $A \cong k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$ by Theorem 4.14, so $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k\widetilde{A}_1)$ by [21, Example 3.23 (1)].

(2-a) \Rightarrow (2-f): If $C(A) \cong k^4$, then $\#(K_f) = 4$ so that $K_f = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$ by Theorem 4.14, so $\text{Ind } \underline{\text{CM}}^0(A) \supset \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ where $X_i := A/g_i A$ by Lemma 5.13. Since $\underline{\text{CM}}^0(A) \cong \text{mod } C(A) \cong \text{mod } k^4$ by [16, Lemma 4.13], $\text{Ind } \underline{\text{CM}}^0(A) = \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$. Since $\underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } C(A))$ by [16, Lemma 4.13], $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ is a full exceptional sequence for $\underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$. Since there exists a fully faithful functor $\Phi : \underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A)$ such that $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A) = \langle \mathcal{A}, \Phi \underline{\text{CM}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rangle$ is a semi-orthogonal decomposition by Theorem 5.9 and $\Phi(X_i) = \mathcal{X}_i$ by Lemma 5.13 and [21, Lemma 2.6], we see that $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3, \mathcal{X}_4\}$ is a full exceptional sequence for $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A)$.

Since A is a noetherian AS-Gorenstein algebra of dimension 2 and of Gorenstein parameter 1 by Lemma 5.5 and $\text{gldim}(\text{tails } A) = 2$ (cf. [21, Lemma 2.2]),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) &= k \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_j) &= \begin{cases} k & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_i) &= \text{Hom}_A(A, X) = X_0 = k \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{A}) &= \text{Hom}_A(X, A) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{X}_j) &= 0 \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}) &= D \text{Hom}_A(X, A(-1)) = 0 \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}) &= D \text{Hom}_A(A, X(-1)) = D(X_{-1}) = 0 \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^q(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) &= 0 \quad \text{if } q \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

for $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3, \mathcal{X}_4\}$ by [21, Lemma 2.3] and Lemma 5.13. It follows that $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3, \mathcal{X}_4\}$ is a full strong exceptional sequence for $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A)$, and

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2 \oplus \mathcal{X}_3 \oplus \mathcal{X}_4) \cong k\widetilde{D}_4,$$

so $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{tails } A) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } k\widetilde{D}_4)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin, J. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves, The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. 1, *Progress in Mathematics* vol. 86 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990) 33–85.
- [2] M. Artin and J. J. Zhang, Noncommutative Projective Schemes, *Adv. Math.* **109** (1994), 228–287.
- [3] R.-O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein rings, unpublished manuscript (1985).
- [4] H. R. Frium, The group law on elliptic curves on Hesse form, *Finite fields with applications to coding theory, cryptography and related areas* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002) 123–151.
- [5] W. Fulton, *Algebraic curves: An introduction to algebraic geometry*, Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., 1989.

- [6] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [7] W. Hodge and D. Pedoe, *Methods of Algebraic Geometry*, Vol. II, Book IV: Quadrics and Grassmann Varieties, Cambridge University Press, 1952.
- [8] J.-W. He and Y. Ye, Clifford deformations of Koszul Frobenius algebras and noncommutative quadrics, preprint (arXiv:1905.04699).
- [9] H. Hu, Classification of noncommutative conics associated to symmetric regular superpotentials, to appear in *J. Algebra Appl.*, doi:10.1142/S0219498823501360.
- [10] A. Itaba and M. Matsuno, AS-regularity of geometric algebras of plane cubic curves, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **112** (2022), Iss. 2, 193–217.
- [11] A. Itaba and I. Mori, Quantum projective planes finite over their centers, to appear in *Can. Math. Bull.*, (2022), doi:10.4153/S0008439522000017.
- [12] M. Matsuno, A complete classification of 3-dimensional quadratic AS-regular algebras of Type EC, *Can. Math. Bull.*, **64** (2021), Iss. 1, 123–141.
- [13] I. Mori, Noncommutative projective schemes and point schemes, *Algebras, rings, and their representations*, World Sci. Publ. (2006), 215–239.
- [14] I. Mori and K. Ueyama, Graded Morita equivalences for geometric AS-regular algebras, *Glasg. Math. J.*, **55** (2013), no. 2, 241–257.
- [15] I. Mori and K. Ueyama, Noncommutative matrix factorizations with an application to skew exterior algebras, *J. Algebra*, **586** (2021), 1053–1087.
- [16] I. Mori and K. Ueyama, Noncommutative Knörrer’s periodicity theorem and noncommutative quadric hypersurfaces, to appear in *Algebra Number Theory*, (arXiv:1905.12266).
- [17] D. Orlov, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities*, Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, 503–531, *Progr. Math.*, **270**, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009.
- [18] A. Polishchuk and L. Positselski, *Quadratic algebras*, *Univ. Lect. Ser.* **37**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2005.
- [19] J. Rickard, Morita theory for derived categories, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **39** (1989), 436–456.
- [20] S. P. Smith and M. Van den Bergh, Noncommutative quadric surfaces, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **7** (2013), 817–856.
- [21] K. Ueyama, Derived categories of skew quadric hypersurfaces, to appear in *Isr. J. Math.*, (arXiv:2008.02255).

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY, OHYA
836, SHIZUOKA 422-8529, JAPAN

Email address: huhaigang_phy@163.com

Email address: matsuno.masaki.14@shizuoka.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY, OHYA
836, SHIZUOKA 422-8529, JAPAN

Email address: mori.izuru@shizuoka.ac.jp