

# Existence of breathers in nonlinear Klein-Gordon lattices

Dirk Hennig

Department of Mathematics, University of Thessaly, Lamia GR35100, Greece

(Dated: October 18, 2021)

We prove the existence of time-periodic and spatially localised solutions (breathers), in general nonlinear Klein-Gordon infinite lattices. To this end, using a fixed point argument, we establish that there are time-reversal initial conditions leading to breather solutions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Discrete breather solutions in nonlinear lattices have attracted significant interest recently, not least due to the important role they play in many physical realms where features of localisation in systems of coupled oscillators are involved (for a review see [1] and references therein), [2]-[21]. Proofs of existence and nonexistence of breathers, as spatially localised and time-periodically varying solutions, were provided in [22]-[26]. The exponential stability of breathers was proven in [27]. Analytical and numerical methods have been developed to continue breather solutions in conservative and dissipative systems starting from the anti-integrable limit [28]-[31].

Here we present a constructive existence proof of breather solutions in general nonlinear Klein-Gordon infinite lattices using methods alternative to the approaches in [22]-[26]. That is, we demonstrate that there exist initial conditions, complying with the time reversibility of the system, such that the ensuing evolution is characterised by spatially localised and time-periodic solutions. In order to prove the existence of such initial conditions, we formulate the problem in terms of an operator equation on a function space which is solved by virtue of **Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem**: Let  $S$  be a closed convex subset of the Banach space  $X$ . Suppose  $g : S \rightarrow S$  is compact. Then  $g$  has at least one fixed point in  $S$  (see in [32]-[36]).

We study the dynamics of general nonlinear Klein-Gordon (KG) infinite lattice systems given by the following set of coupled equations

$$\frac{d^2 q_n}{dt^2} = -V'(q_n) + \kappa(q_{n+1} - 2q_n + q_{n-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.1)$$

and the prime  $'$  stands for the derivative with respect to  $q_n$ , the latter being the coordinate of the oscillator at site  $n$  evolving in an anharmonic on-site potential  $V(q_n)$ . Each oscillator interacts with its neighbours to the left and right with strength that is regulated by the value of the non-negative parameter  $\kappa$ .

In what follows we differentiate between soft on-site potentials and hard on-site potentials. For the former (latter) the oscillation frequency of an oscillator moving in the on-site potential  $V(q)$  decreases (increases) with increasing oscillation amplitude.

We make the following assumptions:

**Assumption I.1** *The anharmonic on-site potentials  $V(x)$  are analytic and have the following properties:*

$$V(0) = V'(0) = 0, \quad V''(0) = \omega_0^2 > 0.$$

*Soft on-site potentials  $V(x)$  possess adjacent to the minimum at  $x = 0$  inflection points at  $x_i^\pm$  and maxima at  $x_M^\pm$ , respectively with  $x_M^+ > x_i^+ > 0$  and  $x_M^- < x_i^- < 0$ , and one has*

$$\begin{aligned} V'(x_M^- < x < 0) &< 0, \quad V'(x_M^\pm) = 0, \quad V'(0 < x < x_M^+) > 0, \\ V''(x_i^- < x < x_i^+) &> 0, \quad V''(x_i^\pm) = 0, \quad V''(|x_i^\pm| < |x| < |x_M^\pm|) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

*(We remark that  $V(x)$  can have more than one (local) minimum. An example is a periodic potential  $V(x) = -\cos(x)$ . However, in the frame of the current study we are only interested in motion between the maxima,  $x_M^\pm$ , adjacent to the minimum of  $V(x)$  at  $x = 0$ . Furthermore, the case when a soft on-site potential has only one inflection point can be easily implemented. An example is  $V(x) = (\omega_0^2/2)x^2 - ax^3$ ,  $a > 0$ .)*

*Hard on-site potentials  $V(x)$  are characterised by*

$$\begin{aligned} V'(x < 0) &< 0, \quad V'(x > 0) > 0, \\ V''(x) &> 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

For soft and hard on-site potentials  $V'(x)$  can be expressed as

$$V'(x) = \omega_0^2 x + W'(x),$$

so that

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 x^2 + W(x),$$

with the anharmonic part  $W$ .

Further, we assume that for some positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $K$  the condition

$$|W'(x)| \leq K|x|^\alpha, \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  for hard on-site potentials, and for  $x_M^- \leq x \leq x_M^+$  for soft on-site potentials, is fulfilled.

The system of equations (1.1) has an energy integral

$$E = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_n^2 + V(q_n) \right] + \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (q_{n+1} - q_n)^2,$$

relating it to a Hamiltonian structure, associated with the Hamiltonian,

$$H = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} p_n^2 + V(q_n) \right] + \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (q_{n+1} - q_n)^2, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  are canonically conjugate momentum and coordinate variables. Denoting  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$  and  $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots)$ , we note that the Hamiltonian system is time-reversible with respect to the involution  $p \mapsto -p$ .

For systems (1.1) with a hard on-site potential for any finite initial data the solutions are always bounded, that is

$$\|q(t)\|_{l^\infty} \leq q_E < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $q_E$  depends on the energy level  $E > 0$  in (1.5), because for all values of the total energy  $0 < E < \infty$  there exists a closed maximum equipotential surface

$$\Sigma : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V(q_n) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (q_{n+1} - q_n)^2 = E, \quad (1.5)$$

with  $p_n = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As trajectories cannot penetrate the maximum equipotential surface all coordinates  $q(t)$  are bounded to perform motions about the only equilibrium position in configuration space at  $q = 0$ . In contrast, for systems with a soft on-site potential even for finite initial data (respectively sufficiently high values of  $E$ ) unbounded solutions may ensue. An example for such a potential is  $V(x) = (\omega_0^2/2)x^2 - ax^4$ ,  $a > 0$ . Being interested only in bounded motion, we make the following assumption:

**Assumption I.2** For systems with a soft on-site potential assume that  $E$  is chosen such that a closed maximum equipotential surface  $\Sigma$  exists bounding all motions about the equilibrium position in configuration space situated at  $q = 0$  such that

$$\|q(t)\|_{l^\infty} \leq q_E \leq q_M < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (1.6)$$

The level of  $E$  is unrestricted for hard on-site potentials and is restricted to  $(0, E_0)$  for some  $E_0 < \infty$  for soft on-site potentials.

We study the existence of solutions to the system (1.1) that are time-periodic and spatially localised satisfying

$$q_n(t+T) = q_n(t), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} |q_n| = 0,$$

with period  $T$ .

The system (1.1) with its associated Hamiltonian (1.3) belongs to the class of Hamiltonian systems that are even in their momentum variables. The forthcoming results regarding the existence of localised periodic solutions to (1.1) are supported by the following statement.

**Lemma I.1** *Consider the system (1.1) on the infinite lattice  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let assumption I.1 hold. In addition, for systems with soft on-site potential let assumptions I.2 hold. Further, let  $(p_n(t), q_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , be the solution to the time-reversible system*

$$\dot{p}_n = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_n}, \quad \dot{q}_n = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.7)$$

with  $H$  given in (1.3) and with initial data

$$p_n(0) = p_{n0} = 0, \quad q_n(0) = q_{n0} \neq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.8)$$

and  $(q_{n0})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^1$ . If for some  $\tilde{t} > 0$  one has

$$p_n(\tilde{t}) = p_n(0) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.9)$$

then the data  $(p_{n0}, q_{n0})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  belong to a periodic orbit with period  $2\tilde{t}$ .

**Proof:** Note that as a consequence of the assumptions on the initial data (1.8), the attributed solution  $(p_n(t), q_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  to system (1.7) is non-trivial. Suppose there is a  $\tilde{t} > 0$  such that for initial data (1.8) the solution satisfies the conditions in (1.9). Then the time-reversibility symmetry  $p \rightarrow -p$  implies that the solution for the initial data (1.8) possesses the following symmetry features

$$p_n(\tilde{t} + t) = -p_n(\tilde{t} - t), \quad q_n(\tilde{t} + t) = q_n(\tilde{t} - t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tilde{t}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore,

$$q_n(0) = q_n(2\tilde{t}), \quad p_n(0) = -p_n(2\tilde{t}) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

verifying that the solution is periodic with period  $2\tilde{t}$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## II. LOCALISED SOLUTIONS FOR AN INFINITE LATTICE OF COUPLED NONLINEAR OSCILLATORS

In the following we prove the existence of spatially localised and time-periodic solutions for the system (1.1).

The solution of system (1.1) with initial data

$$q_n(0) = A_n \neq 0, \quad \dot{q}_n(0) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.10)$$

can be expressed as a system of integral equations

$$q_n(t) = A_n \cos(\omega_0 t) + \frac{1}{\omega_0} \int_0^t \sin[\omega_0(t - \tau)] f(q_{n-1}(\tau), q_n(\tau), q_{n+1}(\tau)) d\tau, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $f(q_{n-1}, q_n(\tau), q_{n+1}) = -W'(q_n) + \kappa(q_{n+1} + q_{n-1} - 2q_n)$ , and we assume

$$A = (A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in l^1.$$

Note that by continuous embedding  $A \in l^1 \subset l^2$  and  $\|A\|_{l^2} \leq \|A\|_{l^1}$ . We seek solutions  $q = (q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in C^1([0, s]; l^2)$ , where  $s$  is a fixed positive number. Consider the Banach space

$$\mathcal{B} = \{q \in C^1([0, s]; l^2)\}$$

with norm

$$\|q\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \max_{t \in [0, s]} \{ \|q(t)\|_{l^2}, \|\dot{q}(t)\|_{l^2} \}$$

We define a subset  $S$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  as

$$S = \{q \in \mathcal{B} : \|q\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq R\}.$$

Clearly,  $S$  is a closed, bounded and convex set.

Related to (2.11) we define an operator on  $S$  as follows:

$$U(q) = A \cos(\omega_0 t) + \frac{1}{\omega_0} \int_0^t \sin[\omega_0(t - \tau)] f(q(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Next we show that the IVP (1.1),(2.10) admits a unique global solution.

**Lemma II.1** *For every  $A \in l^1$  there exists a unique global solution  $q(t)$  of the system (1.1) in  $C^2([0, \infty), l^2)$  such that  $q(0) = A$  and  $\dot{q}(0) = 0$ .*

**Proof:** Local well-posedness of the initial value problem (IVP) (1.1),(2.10) and differentiability of the local solution  $q$  with respect to  $t$  is shown using the contraction mapping principle applied to the integral representation (2.11). Using the Banach algebra property of  $l^2$ , i.e.  $\|AB\|_{l^2} \leq \|A\|_{l^2} \|B\|_{l^2}$  for all  $A, B \in l^2$ , we derive for the following upper bound

$$\|U(q)\|_B \leq \max\{1, \omega_0\} \left( R_0 + \frac{s}{\omega_0} (KR^\alpha + 4\kappa R) \right), \quad R_0 = \|A\|_{l^2}, \quad \forall q \in S.$$

We may choose  $R_0 < R/2$  and  $s \leq \max\{1, \omega_0\} \cdot R\omega_0 / (2(KR^\alpha + 4\kappa R))$ , so that  $U : S \mapsto S$ . Now for every  $x, y \in S$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} (U(x))_n(t) - (U(y))_n(t) &= \frac{1}{\omega_0} \int_0^t \sin[\omega_0(t - \tau)] (f(x_{n-1}(\tau), x_n(\tau), x_{n+1}(\tau)) - f(y_{n-1}(\tau), y_n(\tau), y_{n+1}(\tau))) d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_0} \int_0^t \sin[\omega_0(t - \tau)] ((\Delta x)_n(\tau) - (\Delta y)_n(\tau) - (W'(x_n(\tau)) - W'(y_n(\tau)))) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and we used the notation  $(\Delta x)_n = x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} - 2x_n$ . We derive the estimate

$$\|U(x) - U(y)\|_B \leq \frac{s}{\omega_0} (4\kappa R + 2C_2 R^\beta) \|x - y\|_B.$$

Hence, by taking

$$s < \min \left\{ \frac{\omega_0}{4\kappa R + 2C_2 R^\beta}, \frac{R\omega_0}{2(KR^\alpha + 4\kappa R)} \right\},$$

one concludes that  $U$  constitutes a contraction mapping on  $S$  so that by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem there exists a unique fixed point of  $U$  in  $S$  which is a unique local solution of (2.11).

A maximal solution can be constructed by repeated application of the procedure above with initial data  $A(s - s_0)$  for some  $0 < s_0 < s$  where we exploit the uniqueness of the solution to continue the latter.

From (1.1) we get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, s]} \|\ddot{q}(t)\|_{l^2} \leq (KR^{\alpha-1} + 4\kappa)R,$$

assuring that the solution belongs to  $C^2([0, s], l^2)$ .

Global continuation of the solution is guaranteed by the energy method leading to the constraints (1.4) and (1.6) for hard and soft on-site potentials, respectively, from which follows that there is no blow-up of the solutions in finite time. □

The following lemma on the smooth dependence of the solutions on the initial conditions will be made use of for the existence proof of breather solutions.

**Lemma II.2** Assume  $\kappa > 0$ . Let  $\phi(t, 0, A)$  be the solutions to the IVP determined by (1.1) and (2.10) with  $\sup_{t \in [0, s]} \|\phi(t, 0, A)\|_{l^1} \leq R_1 < \infty$ . Then

$$\|\phi(t, 0, A)\|_{l^1} \leq \|A\|_{l^1} \exp \left[ \frac{KR_1^{\alpha-1} + 4\kappa}{\omega_0} t \right], \quad \forall t \in [0, s]. \quad (2.12)$$

**Proof:** Using (1.2) and (2.11) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(t, 0, A)\|_{l^1} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_n \cos(\omega_0 t) + \frac{1}{\omega_0} \int_0^t \sin[\omega_0(t - \tau)] f(\phi_{n-1}(\tau, 0, A), \phi_n(\tau, 0, A), \phi_{n+1}(\tau, 0, A)) d\tau| \\ &\leq \|A\|_{l^1} + \frac{1}{\omega_0} \int_0^t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |-W'(\phi_n(\tau, 0, A)) + \kappa[\phi_{n+1}(\tau, 0, A) + \phi_n(\tau, 0, A) + \phi_{n-1}(\tau, 0, A)]| d\tau < 0 \\ &\leq \|A\|_{l^1} + \frac{KR_1^{\alpha-1} + 4\kappa}{\omega_0} \int_0^t \|\phi(\tau, 0, A)\|_{l^1} d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [0, s]. \end{aligned}$$

Facilitating Gronwall's inequality we get (2.12) concluding the proof.  $\square$

Finally, to show the existence of breathers in system (1.1) we need the following assertions:

**Theorem II.1** Consider the solutions  $\phi(t, 0, A)$  to the IVP determined by (1.1) and (2.10) for  $\kappa > 0$ . There exist  $\tilde{t} > 0$ ,  $\tilde{t} \neq k\pi/\omega_0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying

$$\exp \left[ \frac{KR_1^{\alpha-1} + 4\kappa}{\omega_0} \tilde{t} \right] - 1 \leq |\sin(\omega_0 \tilde{t})|, \quad (2.13)$$

and initial conditions  $A \in l^1$  such that

$$\dot{\phi}_n(0, 0, A) = \dot{\phi}_n(\tilde{t}, 0, A) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Proof:** By assumption on the initial conditions (2.10) the solutions are non-trivial. We have

$$\dot{\phi}_n(t, 0, A) = -\omega_0 A_n \sin(\omega_0 t) + \int_0^t \cos[\omega_0(t - \tau)] f(\phi_{n-1}(\tau, 0, A), \phi_n(\tau, 0, A), \phi_{n+1}(\tau, 0, A)) d\tau.$$

Suppose  $\tilde{t} > 0$ ,  $\tilde{t} \neq k\pi/\omega_0$  satisfies  $\dot{\phi}_n(\tilde{t}) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which is equivalent to

$$A_n = -\frac{1}{\omega_0 \sin(\omega_0 \tilde{t})} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} \cos[\omega_0(\tilde{t} - \tau)] f(\phi_{n-1}(\tau, 0, A), \phi_n(\tau, 0, A), \phi_{n+1}(\tau, 0, A)) d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.14)$$

The right side of system (2.14) constitutes a mapping  $g : l^1 \rightarrow l^1$ , with

$$(g(A))_n = g_n(A) = -\frac{1}{\omega_0 \sin(\omega_0 \tilde{t})} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} \cos[\omega_0(\tilde{t} - \tau)] f(\phi_{n-1}(\tau, 0, A), \phi_n(\tau, 0, A), \phi_{n+1}(\tau, 0, A)) d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We show that  $g$  is sequentially continuous on  $l^1$ . Let  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq l^1$  be such that  $A_k \rightarrow A$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $l^1$ . By the continuous dependence of the solutions on the initial conditions it holds that  $\phi_i(\tau, 0, A^k) \rightarrow \phi_i(\tau, 0, A)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tau \in [0, \tilde{t}]$ . Furthermore, as  $W'(\phi_i(\tau, 0, A^k)) \rightarrow W'(\phi_i(\tau, 0, A))$  and  $(\Delta\phi(\tau, 0, A^k))_i \rightarrow (\Delta\phi(\tau, 0, A))_i$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tau \in [0, \tilde{t}]$ , one has  $f(\phi_{i-1}(\tau, 0, A^k), \phi_i(\tau, 0, A^k), \phi_{i+1}(\tau, 0, A^k)) \rightarrow f(\phi_{i-1}(\tau, 0, A), \phi_i(\tau, 0, A), \phi_{i+1}(\tau, 0, A))$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tau \in [0, \tilde{t}]$ . Hence,

$$g_i(A^k) \rightarrow g_i(A) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

i.e.  $g$  is sequentially continuous on  $l^1$ , and thus, as sequential continuity is equivalent to continuity in normed spaces,  $g$  is continuous.

Let us now use as the closed convex subset  $S_A$  of  $l^1$  its closed ball centered at 0 of radius  $R_A$ ,

$$S_A = \{ A \in l^1 : \|A\|_{l^1} \leq R_A \}.$$

A solution of the system of equations (2.14) is then a fixed point of the operator equation

$$A = g(A). \quad (2.15)$$

In order to apply Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem to show the existence of at least one solution to (2.15), one needs to verify that the operator  $g$  maps  $S_A$  into itself and is compact on  $S_A$ .

We estimate using the hypothesis (2.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(A)\|_{l^1} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(g(A))_n| = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{1}{\omega_0 \sin(\omega_0 \tilde{t})} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} \cos[\omega_0(\tilde{t} - \tau)] f(\phi_{n-1}(\tau, 0, A), \phi_n(\tau, 0, A), \phi_{n+1}(\tau, 0, A)) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega_0 |\sin(\omega_0 \tilde{t})|} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(\phi_{n-1}(\tau, 0, A), \phi_n(\tau, 0, A), \phi_{n+1}(\tau, 0, A))| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{KR_1^{\alpha-1} + 4\kappa}{\omega_0 |\sin(\omega_0 \tilde{t})|} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} \|\phi(\tau, 0, A)\|_{l^1} d\tau \leq \frac{KR_1^{\alpha-1} + 4\kappa}{\omega_0 |\sin(\omega_0 \tilde{t})|} \|A\|_{l^1} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} \exp\left[\frac{KR_1^{\alpha-1} + 4\kappa}{\omega_0} \tau\right] d\tau \\ &= \frac{\exp\left[\frac{KR_1^{\alpha-1} + 4\kappa}{\omega_0} \tilde{t}\right] - 1}{|\sin(\omega_0 \tilde{t})|} \|A\|_{l^1} \leq \|A\|_{l^1} \leq R_A, \end{aligned}$$

showing that indeed  $g(S_A) \subseteq S_A$ .

In order to prove that  $g$  is compact we consider a sequence  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S_A \subseteq l^1$ . Since for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  one has  $\|g(A_k)\|_{l^1} \leq R_A$ , the sequence  $g(A_k)$  is bounded. Hence,  $g(A_k)$  possesses a weakly convergent subsequence (not relabeled) that converges to a  $B \in l^1$  ( $g(A_k) \rightharpoonup B$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since  $l^1$  possesses the Schur property, i.e. weak and norm sequential convergence coincide in  $l^1$ ,  $g(A^k)$  possesses a subsequence that strongly (norm) converges in  $l^1$ . In conclusion,  $g$  maps bounded subsets of  $l^1$  into relatively compact subsets of  $l^1$  and therefore, is compact. By Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem the system (2.15) has at least one solution  $A \in l^1$ .

In conclusion, the existence of  $\tilde{t} > 0$ ,  $\tilde{t} \neq k\pi/\omega_0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfying (2.13,) and (non-zero) initial conditions  $A \in l^1$  for which

$$\dot{\phi}_n(\tilde{t}, 0, A) = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

is verified and the proof is finished.  $\square$

Remark: Periodicity of the fixed point solution is a consequence of the assertions of Lemma I.1 and Theorem II.1 proving that there exists at least one periodic solution  $q(t) = q(t + T)$  with period  $T = 2\tilde{t}$  that is spatially localised.

### Acknowledgement

I am very grateful to Nikos I. Karachalios for many stimulating discussions.

- 
- [1] S. Flach and A. Gorbach, *Physics Reports* **467**, 1 (2008).
  - [2] J. Cuevas, L.Q. English, P.G. Kevrekidis, M. Anderson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 224101 (2009).
  - [3] F. Palmero, L.Q. English, J. Cuevas-Maraver, R. Carretero-González, P.G. Kevrekidis, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 026605 (2011).
  - [4] L.Q. English, F. Palmero, J.F. Stormes, J. Cuevas-Maraver, R. Carretero-González, P.G. Kevrekidis, *Phys. Rev. E* **88**, 022912 (2013).
  - [5] G. James, P.G. Kevrekidis, J. Cuevas, *Physica D* **251**, 39 (2013).
  - [6] G. James, B. Sánchez-Rey, J. Cuevas, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **21**, 1 (2009).
  - [7] V. Achilleos, A. Álvarez, J. Cuevas, D.J. Frantzeskakis, N.I. Karachalios, P.G. Kevrekidis, B. Sánchez-Rey, *Physica D* **244**, 1 (2013).
  - [8] J. Cuevas, V. Koukouloyannis, P.G. Kevrekidis, J.F.R. Archilla, *Int. J. Bif. Chaos* **21**, 2161 (2011).
  - [9] A. Álvarez, J.F.R. Archilla, J. Cuevas, F.R. Romero, *New J. Phys.* **4**, 72 (2002).
  - [10] J. Cuevas, J.F.R. Archilla, F.R. Romero, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44**, 035102 (2011).
  - [11] V. Koukouloyannis, R.S. MacKay, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, 1021 (2005).
  - [12] V. Koukouloyannis, P.G. Kevrekidis, J. Cuevas, V. Rothos, *Physica D* **242**, 16 (2013).
  - [13] J. Cuevas-Maraver, P.G. Kevrekidis, D.E. Pelinovsky, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **137**, 214 (2016).
  - [14] P.G. Kevrekidis, J. Cuevas-Maraver, D.E. Pelinovsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 094101 (2016).
  - [15] H. Xu, J. Cuevas-Maraver, P.G. Kevrekidis, A. Vainchtein, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* **376**, 2017092 (2018).

- [16] P.G. Kevrekidis, D.E. Pelinovsky, A. Saxena, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 214101 (2015).
- [17] M. Kastner, J.-A. Sepulchre, Discrete Continuous Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **5**, 719 (2005).
- [18] J. Cuevas, P.G. Kevrekidis, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 056609 (2004).
- [19] D. Cubero, J. Cuevas, P.G. Kevrekidis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 205505 (2009)
- [20] T. Penati, M. Sansottera, S. Paleari, V. Koukouloyannis, P.G. Kevrekidis, Physica D **370**, 1 (2018).
- [21] D.E. Pelinovsky, A. Sakovich, Nonlinearity **25**, 3423 (2012).
- [22] R.S. MacKay and S. Aubry, Nonlinearity **7**, 1623 (1994).
- [23] S. Aubry, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (A) Physique Theorique **68**, 381 (1998).
- [24] S. Aubry, G. Kopidakis and V. Kadelburg, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems B **1**, 271 (2001).
- [25] G. James, J. Nonlinear Sci. **13**, 27 (2003).
- [26] D. Hennig, AIP Advances **3**, 102127 (2013).
- [27] D. Bambusi, Nonlinearity **9** (1996).
- [28] J.A. Sepulchre and R. S. MacKay, Nonlinearity **10**, 679 (1997).
- [29] J.L. Marin, F. Falo, P.J. Martinez, and L.M. Flora, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 066603 (2001).
- [30] P.J. Martinez, M. Meister, L.M. Floria, and F. Falo, Chaos **13**, 610 (2003).
- [31] A. M. Morgante, M. Johansson, G. Kopidakis, and S. Aubry, Physica D **162**, 53 (2002).
- [32] E. Schauder, Studia Math. **2**, 171 (1930).
- [33] D.R. Smart *Fixed Point Theorems* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973).
- [34] C. DeVito *Functional Analysis and Linear Operator Theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA,1990).
- [35] N. Bocarra *Functional Analysis* (Academic Press, New York, 1990).
- [36] E. Zeidler *Applied Functional Analysis* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995).