Multivariate tail covariance for generalized skew-elliptical distributions

Baishuai Zuo, Chuancun Yin*

School of Statistics, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, Shandong 273165, P. R. China

Abstract

In this paper, the multivariate tail covariance (MTCov) for generalized skewelliptical distributions is considered. Some special cases for this distribution, such as generalized skew-normal, generalized skew student-*t*, generalized skew-logistic and generalized skew-Laplace distributions, are also considered. In order to test the theoretical feasibility of our results, the MTCov for skewed and non skewed normal distributions are computed and compared. Finally, we give a special formula of the MTCov for generalized skew-elliptical distributions.

Keywords:

Generalized skew-elliptical distributions; Generalized skew-normal; Generalized skew student-t; Generalized skew-logistic; Generalized skew-Laplace; Multivariate risk measures; Tail covariance

1. Introduction

Furman and Landsman (2006) introduced tail variance (TV) measures of a random variable X, which is defined as

$$TV_X(x_q) = E[(X - TCE_X(x_q))^2 | X > x_q],$$

^{*}Corresponding author.

Email address: ccyin@qfnu.edu.cn (Chuancun Yin)

where $TCE_X(x_q) = E(X|X > x_q)$ is tail conditional expectation of X with a particular value x_q . Generally referred to as the q-th quantile with

$$x_q = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} | F_X(x) \ge q\} = \sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} | F_X(x) < q\},\$$

for $q \in [0, 1]$, where $F_X(x)$ denotes distribution function of X (see Denuit et al. (2005), p.17-18). Recently, Landsman et al. (2018) extended TV measure to multivariate measure, and defined a novel type of a multivariate tail covariance (MTCov):

$$MTCov_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\mathbf{X}) = E\left[(\mathbf{X} - MTCE_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\mathbf{X}))(\mathbf{X} - MTCE_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\mathbf{X}))^{T} | \mathbf{X} > VaR_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\mathbf{X})\right]$$
$$= \inf_{\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} E\left[(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{c})(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{c})^{T} | \mathbf{X} > VaR_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\mathbf{X})\right].$$
(1)

Here

$$MTCE_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\mathbf{X}) = E\left[\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{X} > VaR_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\mathbf{X})\right]$$
$$= E\left[\mathbf{X}|X_1 > VaR_{q_1}(X_1), \cdots, X_n > VaR_{q_n}(X_n)\right], \ \boldsymbol{q} = (q_1, \cdots, q_n) \in (0, \ 1)^n$$
(2)

is multivariate tail conditional expectation (MTCE) of vector \mathbf{X} , where $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)^T$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of risks with cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F_{\mathbf{X}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and tail function $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{X}}(\boldsymbol{x})$,

$$VaR_{q}(\mathbf{X}) = (VaR_{q_{1}}(X_{1}), VaR_{q_{2}}(X_{2}), \cdots, VaR_{q_{n}}(X_{n}))^{T},$$

and $VaR_{q_k}(X_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is the value at risk (VaR) measure of the random variable X_k , being the q_k -th quantile of X_k . For the MTCE of some distributions, such as elliptical, scale mixtures of skew-normal, generalized skew-elliptical distributions, we can see Landsman et al. (2016), Mousavi et al. (2019) and Zuo and Yin (2020), respectively.

In Eini and Khaloozadeh (2020), authors derived expression of TV for generalized skew-elliptical distributions. Inspired by this, we derived MT-Cov for generalized skew-elliptical distributions, and presented expressions of MTCov for some special cases of this distributions, including generalized skew-normal, generalized skew student-t, generalized skew logistic, generalized skew Laplace distributions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the definitions and properties of the generalized skew-elliptical distributions. In Section 3, we derive multivariate tail covariance for generalized skew-elliptical distributions. Some special cases are shown in Section 4. We present numerical illustration in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, is the concluding remarks.

2. Generalized skew-elliptical distributions

A random vector \mathbf{Y} is called an *n*-dimensional generalized skew-elliptical random vector, and denoted by $\mathbf{Y} \sim GSE_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, g_n, H(\cdot))$. If it's probability density function (pdf) exists, the form will be (see McNeil et al. (2005) and Adcock et al. (2019))

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{2c_n}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} g_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\} H \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right), \ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(3)

where

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} g_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\}, \ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(4)

is the density of *n*-dimensional elliptical random vector $\mathbf{X} \sim E_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, g_n)$. Here $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is an $n \times 1$ location vector, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is an $n \times n$ scale matrix, and $g_n(u)$, $u \geq 0$, is the density generator of \mathbf{X} . This density generator satisfies the condition

$$\int_0^\infty s^{n/2-1} g_n(s) \mathrm{d}s < \infty,$$

and the normalizing constant c_n is given by

$$c_n = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left[\int_0^\infty s^{n/2-1} g_n(s) \mathrm{d}s \right]^{-1}.$$
 (5)

 $H(\boldsymbol{x}), \ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is called the skewing function satisfying $H(-\boldsymbol{x}) = 1 - H(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $0 \leq H(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq 1$. The characteristic function of \mathbf{X} takes the form $\varphi_{\mathbf{X}}(\boldsymbol{t}) = \exp\left\{i\boldsymbol{t}^T\boldsymbol{\mu}\right\}\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{t}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{t}\right), \ \boldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with function $\psi(t) : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, called the characteristic generator (see Fang et al. (1990)). Cumulative generator $\overline{G}_n(u)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(u)$ are defined as follows:

$$\overline{G}_n(u) = \int_u^\infty g_n(v) \mathrm{d}v$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(u) = \int_u^\infty G_n(v) \mathrm{d}v,$$

and their normalizing constants are, respectively, written (see Zuo et al. (2021)):

$$c_n^* = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left[\int_0^\infty s^{n/2-1} \overline{G}_n(s) \mathrm{d}s \right]^{-1} \tag{6}$$

and

$$c_n^{**} = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left[\int_0^\infty s^{n/2-1} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(s) \mathrm{d}s \right]^{-1}.$$
 (7)

Shifted cumulative generator is also defined

$$\overline{G}_{n-1}^*(u) = \int_u^\infty g_n(v+a) \mathrm{d}v, \ a \ge 0, \ n > 1,$$
(8)

with $\overline{G}_{n-1}^*(u) < \infty$ (see Landsman et al. (2016)).

3. Multivariate tail covariance for generalized skew-elliptical distributions

Assume a random vector $\mathbf{Y} \sim GSE_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, g_n, H(\cdot))$ with finite vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n)^T$, positive defined matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = (\sigma_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ and probability density function $f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\boldsymbol{y})$.

 $\mathbf{X}^* \sim E_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \overline{G}_n)$ and $\mathbf{X}^{**} \sim E_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n)$ (see Zuo et al. (2021)) are respectively called elliptical random vectors with generators $\overline{G}_n(u)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(u)$, if their density functions (if them exist) defined by

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}^*}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{c_n^*}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \overline{G}_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\}, \ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(9)

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}^{**}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{c_n^{**}}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\}, \ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(10)

So $\mathbf{Y}^* \sim GSE_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \overline{G}_n, H(\cdot))$ and $\mathbf{Y}^{**} \sim GSE_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n, H(\cdot))$ are corresponding generalized skew-elliptical random vectors. Let $\mathbf{Z} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim GSE_n(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_n, g_n, H(\cdot))$. Writing

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}} = (\lambda_{1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \ \lambda_{2,\boldsymbol{q}}, \cdots, \lambda_{n,\boldsymbol{q}})^T = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{q}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}),$$

where $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{q}} = VaR_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\boldsymbol{Y}), \ \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}} = (\lambda_{1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \ \lambda_{2,\boldsymbol{q}}, \cdots, \lambda_{k-1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \ \lambda_{k+1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \cdots, \lambda_{n,\boldsymbol{q}})^{T}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-kj,\boldsymbol{q}} = (\lambda_{1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \cdots, \lambda_{k-1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \ \lambda_{k+1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \cdots, \lambda_{j-1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \ \lambda_{j+1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \cdots, \lambda_{n,\boldsymbol{q}})^{T}$.

To derive formula for MTCov we define tail expectation $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{t}[h(\mathbf{Z})]$ of *n*-dimensional random vector \mathbf{Z} (see Zuo and Yin (2020) for details):

$$\overline{E}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\boldsymbol{t}}[h(\boldsymbol{Z})] = \int_{\boldsymbol{t}}^{\infty} h(\boldsymbol{z}) f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{z}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}, \quad \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$

where h is an almost differentiable function and $f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{z})$ is pdf of \mathbf{Z} . So tail expectation $\overline{E}_{\mathbf{W}_{-k}}^{t}[H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})]$ of (n-1)-dimensional elliptical random vector

 $\mathbf{W}_{-k} = (W_1, W_2, \cdots, W_{k-1}, W_{k+1}, \cdots, W_n)$

enable to be expressed as

$$\overline{E}_{\mathbf{W}_{-k}}^{t}[H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] = \int_{\boldsymbol{t}}^{\infty} H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}) f_{\mathbf{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{w}_{-k}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{w}_{-k}, \quad \boldsymbol{w}_{-k}, \boldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

 $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{w}_{-k} = \mathrm{d}w_1 \mathrm{d}w_2 \cdots \mathrm{d}w_{k-1} \mathrm{d}w_{k+1} \cdots \mathrm{d}w_n$, with the pdf

$$f_{\mathbf{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{w}_{-k}) = c_{n-1,k}^* \overline{G}_{n-1,k}^* \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{-k}^T \boldsymbol{w}_{-k} \right\}$$
$$= c_{n-1,k}^* \overline{G}_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{-k}^T \boldsymbol{w}_{-k} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 \right\}, \ k = 1, \ 2, \cdots, n, \qquad (11)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}} = (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{k-1}, \lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}, w_{k+1}, \cdots, w_n)^T$, and $\overline{G}_{n-1,k}^*$ is defined by (8). In addition, $c_{n-1,k}^*$ is the normalizing constant of $\overline{G}_{n-1,k}^*$,

$$c_{n-1,k}^* = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \left[\int_0^\infty s^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \overline{G}_{n-1,k}^*(s) \mathrm{d}s \right]^{-1}.$$

We also define $f_{\mathbf{V}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{v})$, $f_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{u})$, pdf associated with elliptical random vectors \mathbf{V}_{-k} and \mathbf{U}_{-ij} , respectively (see Landsman et al. (2018)):

$$f_{\mathbf{V}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{v}) = c_{n-1,k}^{**} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 \right\}, \ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$
(12)

$$f_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{u}) = c_{n-2,ij}^{**} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 \right\}, \ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2},$$
(13)

where $c_{n-1,k}^{**}$ and $c_{n-2,ij}^{**}$ are corresponding normalizing constants of $f_{\mathbf{V}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{v})$ and $f_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{u})$.

We now rewrite Theorem 2 of Zuo and Yin (2020) as following lemma.

Lemma 1. Assume that a random vector $\mathbf{Y} \sim GSE_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, g_n, H(\cdot))$ follows an n-variate $(n \geq 2)$ generalized skew-elliptical distribution with probability density function (3). Suppose

$$\lim_{\|\boldsymbol{z}\|\to+\infty} H(\boldsymbol{z})\overline{G}_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{z}^T\boldsymbol{z}\right) = 0,$$
(14)

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Y}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{q}}) = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{q}}}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})},$$
(15)

where

$$\boldsymbol{\delta_q} = (\delta_{1,\boldsymbol{q}}, \ \delta_{2,\boldsymbol{q}}, \cdots, \delta_{n,\boldsymbol{q}})^T$$

with

$$\delta_{k,\boldsymbol{q}} = \frac{2c_n}{c_{n-1,k}^*} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{2c_n}{c_n^*} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^*}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q}} [\partial_k H(\boldsymbol{X}^*)], \ k = 1, \ 2, \cdots, n,$$

 $\mathbf{Z} \sim GSE_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, g_n, H(\cdot)), \mathbf{X}^* \sim E_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \overline{G}_n), and pdf of \mathbf{W}_{-k}$ is the same as in (11). Furthermore, $\partial_k H(\mathbf{x}^*) = \frac{\partial H(\mathbf{x}^*)}{\partial x_k^*}$.

Denoting

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}} = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{k-1}, \lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}, v_{k+1}, \cdots, v_n)^T$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}} = (u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}, u_{i+1}, \cdots, u_{j-1}, \lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}}, u_{j+1}, \cdots, u_n)^T.$$

In the following, we formulate the theorem that gives multivariate tail covariance (MTCov) for generalized skew-elliptical distributions.

Theorem 1. Assume that a random vector $\mathbf{Y} \sim GSE_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, g_n, H(\cdot))$ follows an n-variate $(n \geq 2)$ generalized skew-elliptical distribution with probability density function (3). We suppose

$$\lim_{\|\boldsymbol{z}\|\to+\infty} \|\boldsymbol{z}\|^{1/2} H(\boldsymbol{z}) \overline{G}_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{z}\right) = 0$$
(16)

$$\lim_{\|\boldsymbol{z}\|\to+\infty} H(\boldsymbol{z})\overline{\mathcal{G}}_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{z}^T\boldsymbol{z}\right) = 0,$$
(17)

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$MTCov_{\mathbf{Y}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{q}}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (18)$$

where

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\partial_i H(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,j}^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-j}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-j,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\partial_j H(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_n}{c_n^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [\partial_{ij} H(\boldsymbol{X}^{**})] \right\} \\ \left. - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_j, \ i \neq j \right\}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^*} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\partial_i H(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{c_n}{c_n^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [\partial_{ii} H(\boldsymbol{X}^{**})] \right\} + \frac{c_n}{c_n^*} \overline{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i]^2,$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n}^{*}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{*}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [\partial_{k}H(\boldsymbol{X}^{*})] \right\},$$
(19)

i, *j*, *k* = 1, 2, · · · , *n*, **Z** ~ *GSE*_{*n*}(**0**, *I*_{*n*}, *g*_{*n*}, *H*(·)),
Z^{*} ~ *GSE*_{*n*}(**0**, *I*_{*n*},
$$\overline{G}_n$$
, *H*(·)), **X**^{**} ~ *E*_{*n*}(**0**, **I**_{*n*}, \overline{G}_n), and pdfs of **W**_{-k},
V_{-k} and **U**_{-ij} are same as in (11), (12) and (13), respectively. In addition,
 $\partial_{ij}H(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\partial^2 H(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}$.

Proof. By definition of MTCov and MTCE in (1) and (2), we have

$$MTCov_{q}(\mathbf{Y}) = E\left[(\mathbf{Y} - MTCE_{q}(\mathbf{Y}))(\mathbf{Y} - MTCE_{q}(\mathbf{Y}))^{T} | \mathbf{Y} > VaR_{q}(\mathbf{Y})\right]$$

= $E\left[\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^{T} | \mathbf{Y} > VaR_{q}(\mathbf{Y})\right] - MTCE_{q}(\mathbf{Y})MTCE_{q}^{T}(\mathbf{Y})$
= $E\left[\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^{T} | \mathbf{Y} > VaR_{q}(\mathbf{Y})\right] - E\left[\mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{Y} > VaR_{q}(\mathbf{Y})\right]E\left[\mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{Y} > VaR_{q}(\mathbf{Y})\right]^{T}$.

Applying the transformation $\mathbf{Z} = \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$, then using basic algebraic calculations we obtain

$$MTCov_{q}(\mathbf{Y}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ E[\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} | \mathbf{Z} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q}] - E[\boldsymbol{Z} | \mathbf{Z} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q}] E[\boldsymbol{Z} | \mathbf{Z} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q}]^{T} \} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ E[\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{Z}^{T} | \mathbf{Z} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q}] - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q})MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q}) \} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\lambda_q = \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (VaR_q(\mathbf{Y}) - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$ Note that

$$\begin{split} E[Z_i Z_j | \mathbf{Z} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}_q] &= \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_q)} \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_q}^{+\infty} 2z_i z_j H(\boldsymbol{z}) g_n(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{z}) d\boldsymbol{z} \\ &= \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_q)} \Biggl\{ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,q}}^{+\infty} 2z_j H(\boldsymbol{z}_{i,q}) \overline{G}_n\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}_{-i}^T \boldsymbol{z}_{-i} + \lambda_{i,q}^2\right) d\boldsymbol{z}_{-i} \\ &+ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_q}^{+\infty} 2z_j \frac{\partial H(\boldsymbol{z})}{\partial z_i} \overline{G}_n(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{z}) d\boldsymbol{z} \Biggr\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_q)} \Biggl\{ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,q}}^{+\infty} 2H(\boldsymbol{z}_{i,j,q}) \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}_{-ij}^T \boldsymbol{z}_{-ij} + \lambda_{i,q}^2 + \lambda_{j,q}^2\right) d\boldsymbol{z}_{-ij} \\ &+ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,q}}^{+\infty} 2\frac{\partial H(\boldsymbol{z}_{i,q})}{\partial z_j} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}_{-i}^T \boldsymbol{z}_{-i} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i,q}^2) d\boldsymbol{z}_{-i} \\ &+ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-j,q}}^{+\infty} 2\frac{\partial H(\boldsymbol{z}_{j,q})}{\partial z_i} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}_{-j}^T \boldsymbol{z}_{-j} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{j,q}^2) d\boldsymbol{z}_{-j} \\ &+ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_q}^{+\infty} 2\frac{\partial^2 H(\boldsymbol{z})}{\partial z_i} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{z}_{-j}) d\boldsymbol{z} \Biggr\}, for i \neq j, n \geq 2, \end{split}$$

where $\boldsymbol{z}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}}^T = (z_1, \cdots, z_{i-1}, \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}, z_{i+1}, \cdots, z_{j-1}, \lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}}, z_{j+1}, \cdots, z_n)$ and $\boldsymbol{z}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^T = (z_1, \cdots, z_{i-1}, \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}, z_{i+1}, \cdots, z_n)$, and the second and third equalities we have used integration by parts, Eq. (16) and Eq. (17). Similarly, Using integration by parts, Eq. (16) and Eq. (17) we get

$$\begin{split} E[Z_i^2 | \mathbf{Z} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}] &= \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}}^{+\infty} 2z_i^2 H(\boldsymbol{z}) g_n(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{z}) d\boldsymbol{z} \\ &= \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}}^{+\infty} 2\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} H(\boldsymbol{z}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{G}_n\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}_{-i}^T \boldsymbol{z}_{-i} + \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^2\right) d\boldsymbol{z}_{-i} \\ &+ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}}^{+\infty} 2\left[H(\boldsymbol{z}) + z_i \frac{\partial H(\boldsymbol{z})}{\partial z_i}\right] \overline{G}_n\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{z}\right) d\boldsymbol{z} \bigg\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}}^{+\infty} 2\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} H(\boldsymbol{z}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{G}_n\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}_{-i}^T \boldsymbol{z}_{-i} + \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^2\right) d\boldsymbol{z}_{-i} \\ &+ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}}^{+\infty} 2H(\boldsymbol{z}) \overline{G}_n\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{z}\right) d\boldsymbol{z} \\ &+ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}}^{+\infty} 2\frac{\partial H(\boldsymbol{z}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})}{\partial z_i} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}_{-i}^T \boldsymbol{z}_{-i} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^2) d\boldsymbol{z}_{-i} \\ &+ \int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}}^{+\infty} 2\frac{\partial^2 H(\boldsymbol{z})}{\partial z_i^2} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{z}) d\boldsymbol{z} \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

As for $MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\lambda_q)_k$, using Lemma 1 we immediately obtain (19). Therefore we obtain (18), which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 1. We observe that, when $H(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 1, one gets the formula of Theorem 2 in Landsman et al. (2018): Its' form is the same as that in (18), where

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{F}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_j, \ i \neq j$$

and

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^*} \overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} + \frac{c_n}{c_n^*} \frac{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i]^2,$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \frac{\overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})},$$

i, *j*, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathbf{Z} \sim E_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, g_n), \mathbf{Z}^* \sim E_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \overline{G}_n)$, and pdfs of \mathbf{W}_{-k} and \mathbf{U}_{-ij} are same as those in Theorem 1.

Remark 2. When n = 1, we obtain tail variance (TV) for generalized skewelliptical distributions:

$$TV_Y(y_q) = \sigma^2 \Omega_q,$$

where

$$\Omega_q = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_Z(\lambda_q)} \left\{ c_1 \lambda_q H(\lambda_q) \overline{G_1}(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_q^2) + c_1 H'(\lambda_q) \overline{\mathcal{G}_1}(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_q^2) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{c_1}{c_1^{**}} \overline{E}_{X^{**}}^{\lambda_q} \left[H''(X^{**}) \right] \right\} + \frac{c_1}{c_1^*} \frac{\overline{F}_{Z^*}(\lambda_q)}{\overline{F}_Z(\lambda_q)} - \left[TCE_Z(\lambda_q) \right]^2,$$

with

$$TCE_Z(\lambda_q) = 2c_1 H(\lambda_q) \frac{\overline{G}_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_q^2\right)}{\overline{F}_Z(\lambda_q)} + \frac{2c_1}{c_1^*} \frac{\overline{E}_{X^*}^{\lambda_q}[H'(X^*)]}{\overline{F}_Z(\lambda_q)}$$

It is coincide with the result of Theorem of Eini and Khaloozadeh (2020).

From matrix MTCov we can obtain the Multivariate Tail Correlation matrix (see Landsman et al. (2018)):

$$MTCorr_{\mathbf{Y}} = \left(\frac{MTCov_{\mathbf{Y},ij}}{\sqrt{MTCov_{\mathbf{Y},ii}}\sqrt{MTCov_{\mathbf{Y},jj}}}\right)_{ij=1,\cdots,n}.$$
 (20)

4. Special cases

We now consider special cases of the generalized skew-elliptical distributions, such as generalized skew-normal, generalized skew student-t, generalized skew-logistic and generalized skew-Laplace distributions. Because their forms of MTCov are same as that in Theorem 1, we only give $\Omega_{q,ij}$, i = j and $i \neq j$.

Example 4.1 (Generalized skew-normal distribution). The density function

of an n-dimension generalized skew normal random vector \mathbf{Y} , with location parameter $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, scale matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and skewing function $H(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, is given by

$$f_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right\} H\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right),$$

$$\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \text{ where } \boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \cdots, \gamma_{n})^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}. \text{ We denote it by}$$

$$\boldsymbol{Y} \sim GSN_{n}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, H(\cdot)). \text{ In this case,}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{n}(u) = \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{n}(u) = g_{n}(u) = \exp(-u), c_{n}^{**} = c_{n}^{*} = c_{n} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{ and}$$

$$H\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right) = H\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right).$$

Since

$$f_{\mathbf{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{w}) = c_{n-1,k}^* \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{w} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k,q}^2\right\} = \phi_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{w}), \ \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

$$f_{\mathbf{V}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{v}) = c_{n-1,k}^{**} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^T\boldsymbol{v} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k,q}^2\right\} = \phi_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{v}), \ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

$$f_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{u}) = c_{n-2,ij}^{**} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{i,q}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{j,q}^2\right\} = \phi_{n-2}(\boldsymbol{u}), \ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2},$$

 $\phi_k(\cdot)$ is the pdf of k-dimensional standard normal distribution. So $c_{n-1,k}^* = c_{n-1,k}^{**} = \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{\phi(\lambda_{k,q})}$ and $c_{n-2,ij}^{**} = \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{\phi(\lambda_{i,q})\phi(\lambda_{j,q})}$. Thus,

 $\mathbf{\Omega}_{oldsymbol{q},ij}$

$$= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q})} \left\{ \phi(\lambda_{i,q})\phi(\lambda_{j,q})\overline{E}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,q}}[H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,q})] + \gamma_{j}\phi(\lambda_{i,q})\overline{E}_{\mathbf{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,q}}[H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,q})] + \gamma_{i}\phi(\lambda_{j,q})\overline{E}_{\mathbf{W}_{-j}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-j,q}}[H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j,q})] + \gamma_{i}\gamma_{j}\overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q}}[H''(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X})] \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q})_{i}MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{q})_{j}, \ i \neq j$$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \phi(\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \gamma_{i} \phi(\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \\ &+ \gamma_{i}^{2} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [H''(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X})] \bigg\} + 1 - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i}]^{2}, \end{split}$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \gamma_{k} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X})] \right\},$$

i, *j*, *k* = 1, 2, ..., *n*, **Z** ~ *GSN*_{*n*}(**0**, *I*_{*n*}, *\overline{\gamma}*, *H*(·)), **U**_{-*ij*} ~ *N*_{*n*-2}(**0**, *I*_{*n*-2}),
W_{-k} ~ *N*_{*n*-1}(**0**, *I*_{*n*-1}), **X** ~ *N*_{*n*}(**0**, **I**_{*n*}) and *H'*(·) is the derivative of *H*(·).

When $H(\cdot) = \Phi(\cdot)$ (the cdf of 1-dimensional standard normal distribution) in Example 4.1, it will be an *n*-variate skew-normal distribution. Thus,

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \phi(\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})\phi(\lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})\overline{E}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\Phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \gamma_{j}\phi(\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})\overline{E}_{\mathbf{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \gamma_{i}\phi(\lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})\overline{E}_{\mathbf{W}_{-j}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-j,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})] - \gamma_{i}\gamma_{j}\overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}\phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X})] \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i}MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{j}, \ i \neq j$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \phi(\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\Phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \gamma_{i} \phi(\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] - \gamma_{i}^{2} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T} \boldsymbol{X} \phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T} \boldsymbol{X})] \right\} + 1 - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i}]^{2},$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\Phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \gamma_{k} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [\phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\mathbf{X})] \right\},$$

i, *j*, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathbf{Z} \sim SN_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$, and $\mathbf{U}_{-ij}, \mathbf{W}_{-k}$ and \mathbf{X} are same as those in Example 4.1. In addition, $\phi(\cdot)$ is the pdf of 1-dimensional standard normal distribution.

When $H(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2}$ in Example 4.1, the MTCov for *n*-dimensional normal distribution is given,

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \phi(\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})\phi(\lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})\overline{F}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i}MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{j}, \ i \neq j$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \phi(\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} + 1 - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i}]^{2},$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}) \overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\},$$

i, *j*, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathbf{Z} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n})$, and \mathbf{W}_{-k} and \mathbf{U}_{-ij} are the same as those in Example 4.1.

We observe that above result is coincide with the results of (5.21) and (5.22) in Landsman et al. (2018).

Example 4.2 (Generalized skew student-*t* distribution). An n-dimensional generalized skew student-*t* random vector \mathbf{Y} , with location parameter $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, scale matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, m > 0 degrees of freedom and skewing function $H(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, has its density function as

$$f_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{2c_n}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \left[1 + \frac{(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}{m} \right]^{-\frac{m+n}{2}} H\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right), \ \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c_n = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+n}{2})}{\Gamma(m/2)(m\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}$. We denote it by $\mathbf{Y} \sim GSSt_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, m, H(\cdot))$. The density generator in this case is

$$g_n(u) = \left(1 + \frac{2u}{m}\right)^{-(m+n)/2},$$

and so $\overline{G}_n(t)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(t)$ can be expressed, respectively, as

$$\overline{G}_n(t) = \frac{m}{m+n-2} \left(1 + \frac{2t}{m}\right)^{-(m+n-2)/2}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(t) = \frac{m}{m+n-2} \frac{m}{m+n-4} \left(1 + \frac{2t}{m}\right)^{-(m+n-4)/2}$$

In addition,

$$c_n^* = \frac{(m+n-2)\Gamma(n/2)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}m} \left[\int_0^\infty t^{n/2-1} \left(1 + \frac{2t}{m} \right)^{-(m+n-2)/2} dt \right]^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{(m+n-2)\Gamma(n/2)}{(m\pi)^{n/2}mB(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m-2}{2})}, \text{ if } m > 2$$

and

$$c_n^{**} = \frac{(m+n-2)(m+n-4)\Gamma(n/2)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}m^2} \left[\int_0^\infty t^{n/2-1} \left(1 + \frac{2t}{m} \right)^{-(m+n-4)/2} \mathrm{d}t \right]^{-1} \\ = \frac{(m+n-2)(m+n-4)\Gamma(n/2)}{(m\pi)^{n/2}m^2B(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{m-4}{2})}, \text{ if } m > 4,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ and $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ are Gamma function and Beta function, respectively. And

$$H\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right) = H\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right).$$

Since

$$f_{\mathbf{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{w}) = c_{n-1,k}^* \frac{m}{m+n-2} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{k,q}^2}{m}\right)^{-\frac{m+n-2}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{w}^T \Delta_k^{-1} \boldsymbol{w}}{m-1}\right)^{-\frac{m+n-2}{2}} = St_{n-1}(\mathbf{0}, \ \Delta_k, \ m-1), \ \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

$$f_{\mathbf{V}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{v}) = c_{n-1,k}^{**} \frac{m}{m+n-2} \frac{m}{m+n-4} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}^2}{m}\right)^{-\frac{m+n-4}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{v}^T \Lambda_k^{-1} \boldsymbol{v}}{m-3}\right)^{-\frac{m+n-4}{2}} = St_{n-1}(\mathbf{0}, \ \Lambda_k, \ m-3), \ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$$

$$f_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{u}) = c_{n-2,ij}^{**} \frac{m}{m+n-2} \frac{m}{m+n-4} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 + \lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}}^2}{m}\right)^{-\frac{m+n-4}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{u}^T \Theta_{ij}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u}}{m-2}\right)^{-\frac{m+n-4}{2}} = St_{n-2}(\mathbf{0}, \ \Theta_{ij}, \ m-2), \ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2},$$

so that

$$c_{n-1,k}^{*} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n-2}{2}\right)\left(m+n-2\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(m-1\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}m^{\frac{n+m}{2}}}\left(m+\lambda_{k,q}^{2}\right)^{\frac{m+n-2}{2}},$$

$$c_{n-1,k}^{**} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n-4}{2}\right)\left(m+n-2\right)\left(m+n-4\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m-3}{2}\right)\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}m^{\frac{m+n}{2}}}\left(m+\lambda_{k,q}^{2}\right)^{\frac{m-3}{2}}$$

and

$$c_{n-2,ij}^{**} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n-4}{2}\right)(m+n-2)(m+n-4)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m-2}{2}\right)\pi^{\frac{m-2}{2}}m^{\frac{m+n}{2}}}\left(m+\lambda_{i,q}^{2}+\lambda_{j,q}^{2}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{2}}.$$

Then

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_{j} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{m^{2}}{(m-2)(m-4)} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**})] \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i} MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{j}, \ i \neq j, \ m > 4$$

and

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^*} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_i \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \\ &+ \frac{m^2}{(m-2)(m-4)} \gamma_i^2 \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [H''(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{X}^{**})] \bigg\} + \frac{m}{m-2} \frac{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^{*}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \\ &- [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i]^2, \ m > 4, \end{split}$$

with

$$\begin{split} MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = & \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] \\ &+ \frac{2m\gamma_{k}}{m+n-2} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{M}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{M}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}^{*}}{m} \right) H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}) \right] \bigg\}, \\ i, \ j, \ k = 1, \ 2, \cdots, n, \ \mathbf{Z} \sim GSSt_{n}(\mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{I_{n}}, \ \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \ m, \ H(\cdot)), \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{Z}^* \sim GSE_n\left(\mathbf{0}, \ \boldsymbol{I_n}, \ \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \ m, \ \overline{G}_n, \ H(\cdot)\right), \ \mathbf{X}^{**} \sim E_n(\mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{I_n}, \ \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n),$$

 $\mathbf{U}_{-ij} \sim St_{n-2} \left(\mathbf{0}, \ \Theta_{ij}, \ m-2 \right), \ \mathbf{V}_{-k} \sim St_{n-1} \left(\mathbf{0}, \ \Lambda_k, \ m-3 \right), \\ \mathbf{W}_{-k} \sim St_{n-1} \left(\mathbf{0}, \ \Delta_k, \ m-1 \right), \ \Delta_k = \left(\frac{m + \lambda_{k,q}^2}{m-1} \right) \mathbf{I}_{n-1}, \ \Lambda_k = \left(\frac{m + \lambda_{k,q}^2}{m-3} \right) \mathbf{I}_{n-1}, \\ \Theta_{ij} = \left(\frac{m + \lambda_{i,q}^2 + \lambda_{j,q}^2}{m-2} \right) \mathbf{I}_{n-2} \text{ and } \mathbf{M} \sim St_n(\mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{I}_n, m) \text{ (student-}t \text{ distribution)}. \\ \text{Therefore, we can simplify them further as follows:}$

$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,k}^*} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2}) \left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)^{(n-1)/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2}) \sqrt{\pi/m}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{k,q}^2}{m}\right)^{-(m+n-2)/2},$$
$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,k}^{**}} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m-3}{2})(m+n)m^{\frac{m}{2}}}{4\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})(m+n-4)\sqrt{\pi}} \left(m + \lambda_{k,q}^2\right)^{-(m-3)/2}$$

and

$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} = \frac{(m+n)m^{\frac{m-2}{2}}}{2(m+n-4)\pi} \left(m+\lambda_{i,q}^2+\lambda_{j,q}^2\right)^{-(m-2)/2}$$

When $H(\cdot) = T(\cdot)$ (the cdf of 1-dimensional standard student-t distribution) in Example 4.2, it is an n-dimensional skew student-t distribution. Thus,

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}} [T(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_{j} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [t(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] - \frac{(m+1)m}{(m-2)(m-4)} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**})^{2}}{m} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}^{**} t(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}) \right] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,j}^{**}} \gamma_{i} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-j}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-j,\boldsymbol{q}}} [t(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})] \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i} MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{j}, \ i \neq j, \ m > 4$$

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{*}} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [T(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_{i} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \\ &- \frac{(m+1)m}{(m-2)(m-4)} \gamma_{i}^{2} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**})^{2}}{m} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}^{**} \boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}) \right] \bigg\} \\ &+ \frac{m}{m-2} \frac{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^{*}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i}]^{2}, \ m > 4, \end{split}$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [T(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{2m\gamma_{k}}{m+n-2} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{M}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\boldsymbol{M}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}}{m} \right) \boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}) \right] \right\},$$

i, *j*, *k* = 1, 2, · · · , *n*, **Z** ~ *SSt*_{*n*}(**0**, **I**_{**n**}, *γ*, *m*), **Z**^{*} ~ *GSE*_{*n*}(**0**, *I*_{**n**}, *γ*, *m*, \overline{G}_n , *T*(·)), and *t*(·) is the pdf of 1-dimensional standard student-*t* distribution. Moreover, **M**, **X**^{**}, **W**_{-k}, **V**_{-k} and **U**_{-ij} are the same as those in Example 4.2.

When $H(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2}$ in Example 4.2, we obtain a formula of MTCov for student-*t* distribution in Landsman et al. (2018):

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{F}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_j, \ i \neq j$$

and

 $\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^*} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} + \frac{m}{m-2} \frac{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i]^2,$

m > 2, with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}) \bigg\},$$

i, *j*, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathbf{Z} \sim St_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, m)$ and $\mathbf{Z}^* \sim E_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, m, \overline{G_n})$. In addition, \mathbf{U}_{-ij} and \mathbf{W}_{-k} are the same as those in Example 4.2.

Example 4.3 (Generalized skew-logistic distribution). An *n*-variate generalized skew-logistic random vector \mathbf{Y} , with location parameter $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, scale matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and skewing function $H(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, has its density function as

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{2c_n}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}}{\left[1+\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}\right]^2} H\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right),$$

 $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_n)^T$, $c_n = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \Psi_2^*(-1, \frac{n}{2}, 1)}.$

We denote it by $\mathbf{Y} \sim GSLo_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, H(\cdot))$. The density generator in this case is

$$g_n(u) = \frac{\exp\{-u\}}{[1 + \exp\{-u\}]^2},$$

and $\overline{G}_n(t)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(t)$ are given by

$$\overline{G}_n(t) = \frac{\exp(-t)}{1 + \exp(-t)}, \ \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(t) = \ln\left[1 + \exp(-t)\right].$$

In addition,

$$c_n^* = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}\Psi_1^*(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)}$$

and

$$c_{n}^{**} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \Psi_{1}^{*}(-1, \frac{n}{2} + 1, 1)}.$$

And $H\left(\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right) = H\left(\gamma^{T}\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right).$ Since
 $f_{\mathbf{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{w}) = c_{n-1,k}^{*} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{w} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k,q}^{2}\right)}{\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{w} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k,q}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}}, \ \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$
 $f_{\mathbf{V}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{v}) = c_{n-1,k}^{**} \ln\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{v}^{T}\boldsymbol{v} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k,q}^{2}\right)\right], \ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$
and

and

$$f_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{u}) = c_{n-2,ij}^{**} \ln\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}}^2\right)\right], \ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2},$$

so that

$$c_{n-1,k}^{*} = \frac{\Gamma((n-1)/2) \exp\{\frac{\lambda_{k,q}^{2}}{2}\}}{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{(n-3)/2} \exp\{-t\}}{1 + \exp\{-\frac{\lambda_{k,q}^{2}}{2}\} \exp\{-t\}} dt \right]^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{\exp\{\frac{\lambda_{k,q}^{2}}{2}\}}{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2} \Psi_{1}^{*}(-\exp\{-\frac{\lambda_{k,q}^{2}}{2}\}, \frac{n-1}{2}, 1)},$$

$$c_{n-1,k}^{**} = \frac{\Gamma((n-1)/2)}{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{(n-3)/2} \ln\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}^2\right)\exp(-t)\right] \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{-1},$$
 and

$$c_{n-2,ij}^{**} = \frac{\Gamma((n-2)/2)}{(2\pi)^{(n-2)/2}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{(n-4)/2} \ln\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{i,q}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{j,q}^2\right)\exp(-t)\right] \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{-1}.$$
 Then

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_j \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \\ &+ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,j}^{**}} \gamma_i \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-j}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-j,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{\Psi_1^*(-1,\frac{n}{2}+1,1)}{\Psi_2^*(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)} \gamma_i \gamma_j \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [H''(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{X}^{**})] \bigg\} \\ &- MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_j, \ i \neq j, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^*} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_i \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \\ &+ \frac{\Psi_1^*(-1,\frac{n}{2}+1,1)}{\Psi_2^*(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)} \gamma_i^2 \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [H''(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{X}^{**})] \bigg\} + \frac{\Psi_1^*(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)}{\Psi_2^*(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)} \overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}) \\ &- [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i]^2, \end{split}$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] + 2\gamma_{k}\overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{M}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[\left(1 + \exp\left\{ -\frac{\boldsymbol{M}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}}{2} \right\} \right) H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}) \right] \right\},$$

i, j, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathbf{Z} \sim GSLo_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, H(\cdot)),$ $\mathbf{Z}^* \sim GSE_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \overline{G}_n, H(\cdot)), \mathbf{X}^{**} \sim E_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n) \text{ and } \mathbf{M} \sim Lo_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n})$ (logistic distribution). In addition, $\Psi^*_{\mu}(z, s, a)$ is the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function defined by (cf. Lin et al.(2006))

$$\Psi_{\mu}^{*}(z,s,a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\mu+n)}{n!} \frac{z^{n}}{(n+a)^{s}},$$

which has an integral representation

$$\Psi_{\mu}^{*}(z,s,a) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1}e^{-at}}{(1-ze^{-t})^{\mu}} \mathrm{d}t,$$

where $\mathcal{R}(a) > 0$; $\mathcal{R}(s) > 0$ when $|z| \le 1$ $(z \ne 1)$; $\mathcal{R}(s) > 1$ when z = 1. Therefore,

$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,k}^*} = \frac{\Psi_1^* \left(-\exp\{-\frac{\lambda_{k,q}^2}{2}\}, \frac{n-1}{2}, 1 \right) \phi(\lambda_{k,q})}{\Psi_2^* (-1, \frac{n}{2}, 1)},$$
$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,k}^{**}} = \frac{\int_0^\infty t^{(n-3)/2} \ln\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{k,q}^2\right) \exp(-t)\right] \mathrm{d}t}{\Gamma((n-1)/2)\sqrt{2\pi}\Psi_2^* (-1, \frac{n}{2}, 1)}$$

and

$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} = \frac{\int_0^\infty t^{(n-4)/2} \ln\left[1 + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}}^2\right) \exp(-t)\right] \mathrm{d}t}{2\Gamma((n-1)/2)\pi\Psi_2^*(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)},$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is pdf of 1-dimensional standard normal distribution.

When $H(\cdot) = Lo(\cdot)$ (the cdf of 1-dimensional standard logistic) in Example 4.3, it is an *n*-dimensional skew-logistic distribution. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}} [Lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_{j} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{\Phi_{1}^{*}(-1,\frac{n}{2}+1,1)}{\Psi_{2}^{*}(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,j}^{**}} \gamma_{i} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-j}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-j,\boldsymbol{q}}} [lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{\Psi_{1}^{*}(-1,\frac{n}{2}+1,1)}{\Psi_{2}^{*}(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \right. \\ &\left. + \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[2\sqrt{2\pi}\Psi_{2}^{*}(-1,\frac{1}{2},1) lo^{2}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}) \left(1 + \sqrt{2\pi}\phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}) \right) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**} \right. \\ &\left. - lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**} \right] \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i} MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{j}, \ i \neq j, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{*}} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [Lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_{i} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \right. \\ &+ \frac{\Psi_{1}^{*}(-1,\frac{n}{2}+1,1)}{\Psi_{2}^{*}(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)} \gamma_{i}^{2} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[\left(2\sqrt{2\pi}\Psi_{2}^{*}(-1,\frac{1}{2},1)lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}) \left[1+\sqrt{2\pi}\phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}) \right] - 1 \right) \right. \\ &\left. \cdot lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**})\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**} \right] \right\} + \frac{\Psi_{1}^{*}(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)}{\Psi_{2}^{*}(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)} \frac{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^{*}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i}]^{2}, \end{split}$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [Lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \gamma_{k} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{M}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[\left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{M}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}}{2}\right) \right) lo(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}) \right] \right\},$$

 $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathbf{Z} \sim SLo_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}), \mathbf{Z}^* \sim GSE_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \overline{G}_n, Lo(\cdot))$ and $lo(\cdot)$ is pdf of 1-dimensional standard logistic distribution. Furthermore, $\mathbf{X}^{**}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{W}_{-k}, \mathbf{V}_{-k}$ and \mathbf{U}_{-ij} are the same as those in Example 4.3.

When $H(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2}$ in Example 4.3, we obtain MTCov for logistic distribution in Landsman et al. (2018):

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{F}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_j, \ i \neq j,$$

and

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^*} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} + \frac{\Psi_1^*(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)}{\Psi_2^*(-1,\frac{n}{2},1)} \frac{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i]^2,$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{1}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}) \bigg\},$$

i, *j*, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathbf{Z} \sim Lo_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n})$ and $\mathbf{Z}^* \sim E_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \overline{G_n})$. In addition, \mathbf{U}_{-ij} and \mathbf{W}_{-k} are the same as those in Example 4.3.

Example 4.4 (Generalized skew-Laplace distribution). The density function of a generalized skew-Laplace random vector \mathbf{Y} , with location parameter $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, scale matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and skewing function $H(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, is given by

$$f_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{2c_n}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp\left\{-\left[(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right]^{1/2}\right\} H\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right), \ \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_n)^T$ and $c_n = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{2\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(n)}$. We denote it by $\mathbf{Y} \sim GSLa_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, H(\cdot))$. In this case, $g_n(u) = \exp\{-\sqrt{2u}\}$, and so

$$\overline{G}_n(t) = (1 + \sqrt{2t}) \exp(-\sqrt{2t}),$$
$$\overline{\mathcal{G}}_n(t) = (3 + 2t + 3\sqrt{2t}) \exp(-\sqrt{2t})$$

In addition,

$$c_n^* = \frac{n\Gamma(n/2)}{2\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(n+2)}, \ c_n^{**} = \frac{n(n+2)\Gamma(n/2)}{2\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(n+4)}.$$

And $H\left(\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right) = H\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right).$ Since

$$f_{\mathbf{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{t}) = c_{n-1,k}^* \left(1 + \sqrt{\boldsymbol{t}^T \boldsymbol{t} + \lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}^2} \right) \exp\left\{ -\sqrt{\boldsymbol{t}^T \boldsymbol{t} + \lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}^2} \right\}, \ \boldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$
$$f_{\mathbf{V}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{v}) = c_{n-1,k}^{**} \left[\left(1 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{v} + \lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 \right) + 3 \right] \exp(-\sqrt{\boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{v} + \lambda_{k,\boldsymbol{q}}^2}), \ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$$
and

$$f_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{u}) = c_{n-2,ij}^{**} \left[\left(1 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{u} + \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 + \lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 \right) + 3 \right]$$
$$\cdot \exp(-\sqrt{\boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{u} + \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}}^2 + \lambda_{j,\boldsymbol{q}}^2}), \ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2},$$

thus

$$c_{n-1,k}^{*} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \left(1 + \sqrt{2t + \lambda_{k,q}^{2}} \right) \exp\left\{ -\sqrt{2t + \lambda_{k,q}^{2}} \right\} dt \right]^{-1},$$

$$c_{n-1,k}^{**} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \left[(2 + 3\sqrt{2}) \left(t + \frac{\lambda_{k,q}^{2}}{2} \right) + 3 \right] \\ \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{2t + \lambda_{k,q}^{2}} \right) dt \right\}^{-1}$$

and

$$c_{n-2,ij}^{**} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)}{(2\pi)^{(n-2)/2}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \left[(2+3\sqrt{2})\left(t + \frac{\lambda_{i,q}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_{j,q}^2}{2}\right) + 3 \right] \\ \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{2t + \lambda_{i,q}^2 + \lambda_{j,q}^2}\right) \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_j \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,j}^{**}} \gamma_i \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-j}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-j,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})] + (n+3)(n+1)\gamma_i \gamma_j \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [H''(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^T \boldsymbol{X}^{**})] \right\} \\ \left. - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_j, \ i \neq j, \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{*}} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_{i} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \\ &+ (n+3)(n+1)\gamma_{i}^{2} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} [H''(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**})] \bigg\} + (n+1) \frac{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^{*}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \\ &- [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i}]^{2}, \end{split}$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [H(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \gamma_{k} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{M}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[(1 + \sqrt{\boldsymbol{M}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}}) H'(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}) \right] \right\},$$

i, j, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathbf{Z} \sim GSLa_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, H(\cdot)),$ $\mathbf{Z}^* \sim GSE_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \overline{G}_n, H(\cdot)), \mathbf{X}^{**} \sim E_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_n) \text{ and } \mathbf{M} \sim La_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$ (Laplace distribution).

As for
$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,k}^*}$$
, $\frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,k}^{**}}$ and $\frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}}$, we can simplify them further as follows:

$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,k}^*} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)2^{(n-3)/2}}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\int_0^\infty t^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \left(1 + \sqrt{2t + \lambda_{k,q}^2}\right) \exp\left\{-\sqrt{2t + \lambda_{k,q}^2}\right\} \mathrm{d}t\right],$$

$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,k}^{**}} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) 2^{(n-3)/2}}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \left[\left(2+3\sqrt{2}\right)\left(t+\frac{\lambda_{k,q}^2}{2}\right)+3\right] \\ \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{2t+\lambda_{k,q}^2}\right) \mathrm{d}t \right\}$$

and

$$\frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} = \frac{2^{(n-6)/2}}{\Gamma(n-1)\pi} \bigg\{ \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \left[(2+3\sqrt{2}) \left(t + \frac{\lambda_{i,q}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_{j,q}^2}{2} \right) + 3 \right] \\ \cdot \exp\left(-\sqrt{2t + \lambda_{i,q}^2 + \lambda_{j,q}^2} \right) \mathrm{d}t \bigg\}.$$

When $H(\cdot) = La(\cdot)$ (the cdf of 1-dimensional standard Laplace) in Example 4.4, it is an *n*-variate skew-Laplace distribution. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}} [La(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ij,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_{j} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [la(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \\ &+ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,j}^{**}} \gamma_{i} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{V}_{-j}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-j,\boldsymbol{q}}} [la(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j,\boldsymbol{q}})] - (n+3)(n+1)\gamma_{i}\gamma_{j} \overline{E}_{\mathbf{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}}{|\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}|} la(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}) \right] \bigg\} \\ &- MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i} MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{j}, \ i \neq j, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} &= \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{*}} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [La(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,i}^{**}} \gamma_{i} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{V}_{-i}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}} [la(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{q}})] \\ &+ (n+3)(n+1)\gamma_{i}^{2} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}^{**}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}}{|\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}|} la(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}^{**}) \right] \bigg\} + (n+1) \frac{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^{*}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \\ &- [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{i}]^{2}, \end{split}$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}} [La(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k,\boldsymbol{q}})] + \gamma_{k} \overline{E}_{\boldsymbol{M}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}}} \left[(1 + \sqrt{\boldsymbol{M}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}}) \boldsymbol{l}\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{T}\boldsymbol{M}) \right] \right\},$$

i, *j*, *k* = 1, 2, · · · , *n*, **Z** ~ *SLa*_{*n*}(**0**, **I**_{**n**}, γ), **Z**^{*} ~ *GSE*_{*n*}(**0**, *I*_{*n*}, γ , \overline{G}_n , *La*(·)), and *la*(·) is pdf of 1-dimensional standard Laplace distribution. |*a*| denotes

absolute value of a. Moreover, \mathbf{X}^{**} , \mathbf{M} , \mathbf{W}_{-k} , \mathbf{V}_{-k} and \mathbf{U}_{-ij} are the same as those in Example 4.4.

When $H(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2}$ in Example 4.4, we obtain a formula of MTCov for Laplace distribution in Landsman et al. (2018):

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ij} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-2,ij}^{**}} \overline{F}_{\mathbf{U}_{-ij}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-ij,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} - MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_j, \ i \neq j,$$

and

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{q},ii} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \left\{ \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1,i}^*} \lambda_{i,\boldsymbol{q}} \overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-i}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-i,\boldsymbol{q}}) \right\} + (n+1) \frac{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}^*}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} - [MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_i]^2,$$

with

$$MTCE_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})_{k} = \frac{2}{\overline{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}})} \bigg\{ \frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1,k}^{*}} \overline{F}_{\boldsymbol{W}_{-k}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{-k,\boldsymbol{q}}) \bigg\},$$

i, *j*, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mathbf{Z} \sim La_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n})$ and $\mathbf{Z}^* \sim E_n(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I_n}, \overline{G_n})$. In addition, \mathbf{U}_{-ij} and \mathbf{W}_{-k} are the same as those in Example 4.4.

5. Numerical illustration

We consider MTCov risk measure for the normal (N) and skew-normal (SN) distributions.

Let $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, P_2, P_3)^T \sim N_3(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ and $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)^T \sim SN_3(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$, with

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.3 \\ 0.8 \\ 3.2 \end{pmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.33 & -0.067 & 2.63 \\ -0.067 & 0.25 & -0.50 \\ 2.63 & -0.50 & 5.76 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.1 \\ -0.045 \\ -1.06 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We let $\boldsymbol{q} = (0.80, 0.90, 0.95)^T$, then the results are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively:

$\frac{MTCov_{\mathbf{P},ij} \setminus j}{i}$	1	2	3
1	0.2908	-0.0146	0.5751
2	-0.0146	0.0400	-0.0875
3	0.5751	-0.0875	1.2243

Table 1: The MTCov of normal distribution for $\boldsymbol{q} = (0.80, 0.90, 0.95)^T$.

	$\frac{MTCov_{\mathbf{Q},ij}}{i} j$	1	2	3		
	1	0.2222	-0.0131	0.4429		
	2	-0.0131	0.0389	-0.0829		
	3	0.4429	-0.0829	0.9761		
Table 2: The MTCov of skew normal distribution for $\boldsymbol{q} = (0.80, 0.90, 0.95)^T$.						

From Tables 1 and 2, we can observe that the main diagonal and sub diagonal MTCov for normal distributions are greater than that for corresponding skewed distribution, and others are opposite.

In addition, using Tables 1 and 2 and Eq. (20) we can give their MTCorr matries, respectively:

$$MTCorr_{\mathbf{P}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -0.135371 & 0.963834 \\ -0.135371 & 1 & -0.3953977 \\ 0.963834 & -0.3953977 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$MTCorr_{\mathbf{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -0.1409044 & 0.9510131 \\ -0.1409044 & 1 & -0.4254344 \\ 0.9510131 & -0.4254344 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

6. Concluding remarks

This paper has presentd MTCov for generalized skew-elliptical distributions, which is an extending of MTCE for generalized skew-elliptical distributions (Zuo and Yin (2020)). It is not only generalizes MTCov for elliptical distributions (Landsman et al. (2018)), but also extends TV for generalized skew-elliptical distributions (Eini and Khaloozadeh (2020)). For examples, generalized skew-normal, generalized skew student-t, generalized skew-logistic and generalized skew-Laplace distributions are given. To illustrate our results can be computed in the theorems, the numerical illustrations of the obtained results are given. Note that, in general calculation, we can use Cholesky decomposition (see Golub and Van Loan (2012), for example), there exists a unique lower triangular matrix **A** such that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Let $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$, similar to Theorem 1, a special formula of the MTCov for generalized skew-elliptical distributions is given by

$$MTCov_{\mathbf{Y}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{q}}) = \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\boldsymbol{q}}\mathbf{A}^{T},$$

where Υ_q is the same as Ω_q in Theorem 1, except that

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{q}} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(VaR_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\mathbf{Y}) - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$

Furthermore, in Kim and Kim (2019), the authors provided expressions of TCE and TV for mean-variance mixture normal distributions. We hope that the result for MTCov can be extended to those mixture distributions in future research.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071251, 11571198, 11701319)

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

References

- Adcock, C., Landsman, Z., Shushi, T., 2019. Stein's lemma for generalized skew-elliptical random vectors. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 1-16. doi:10.1080/03610926.2019.1678642.
- [2] Denuit, M., Dhaene, J., Goovaerts, M., Kaas, R., 2005. Actuarial Theory for Dependent Risks : Measures, Orders and Models. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd, West Sussex.
- [3] Eini, E.J., Khaloozadeh, H., 2020. Tail variance for generalized skewelliptical distributions. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 1-18. doi: 10.1080/03610926.2020.1751853.
- [4] Fang, K.T., Kotz, S., Ng, K.W., 1990. Symmetric Multivariate and Related Distributions. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- [5] Furman, E., Landsman, Z. M., 2006. Tail variance premium with applications for elliptical portfolio of risks. ASTIN Bulletin 36 (2), 433-462.
- [6] Golub, G.H., Van Loan, C.F., 2012. Matrix Computation (4th Edition). Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland.
- [7] Kim, J.H.T., Kim, S.Y., 2019. Tail risk measures and risk allocation for the class of multivariate normal mean-variance mixture distributions. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 86, 145-157.
- [8] Landsman, Z., Makov, U., Shushi, T., 2016. Multivariate tail conditional expectation for elliptical distributions. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 70, 216-223.

- [9] Landsman, Z., Makov, U., Shushi, T., 2018. A multivariate tail covariance measure for elliptical distributions. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics 81, 27-35.
- [10] Lin, S.D., Srivastava, H. M., Wang, P. Y., 2006. Some expansion formulas for a class of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions. Integral Transforms and Special Functions 17 (11), 817-827.
- [11] McNeil, A.J., Frey, R., Embrechts, P., 2005. Quantitative Risk Management: Concepts, Techniques and Tools. Princeton University Press, New Jersey.
- [12] Mousavi, S.A., Amirzadeh, V., Rezapour, M., Sheikhy, A., 2019. Multivariate tail conditional expectation for scale mixtures of skew-normal distribution. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 1-15. doi:10.1080/00949655.2019.1657864.
- [13] Zuo, B., Yin, C., 2020. Tail conditional expectations for generalized skew-elliptical distributions. Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences,1-14. doi:10.1017/S0269964820000674.
- [14] Zuo, B., Yin, C., Balakrishnan, N., 2021. Expressions for joint moments of elliptical distributions. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 391 (2021), 113418.