

Finite time Convergence of Pinning Synchronization with Linear and Nonlinear Controllers[☆]

Wenlian Lu^{a,b,c,d}, Xiwei Liu^e, Tianping Chen^{*,1,a}

^a*School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

^b*Institute of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

^c*Shanghai Key Laboratory for Contemporary Applied Mathematics and Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

^d*Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

^e*Department of Computer Science and Technology, Tongji University, Shanghai 201804, China*

Abstract

In this paper, we propose several models, which can realize synchronization of complex networks in finite time effectively. The results apply to heterogeneous dynamic networks, too. The mechanism of finite time convergence is revealed. Different from many models, which assume the coupling matrix being symmetric (or the connecting graph is undirected), here, the coupling matrix is asymmetric (or the connecting graph is directed).

Key words: Adaptive, Distributed algorithm, Consensus, Synchronization, Anti-synchronization.

[☆]This work is jointly supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2018AAA010030), National Natural Sciences Foundation of China under Grant (No. 61673119 and 61673298), STCSM (No. 19JC1420101), Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Major Project under Grant 2018SHZDZX01 and ZJLab, the Key Project of Shanghai Science and Technology under Grant 16JC1420402.

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: tchen@fudan.edu.cn

Email addresses: wenlian@fudan.edu.cn (Wenlian Lu), xwliu@tongji.edu.cn (Xiwei Liu), tchen@fudan.edu.cn (Tianping Chen)

1. Introduction

Synchronization of complex networks has been a hot topic in recent decades. The model in the synchronization literature can be described as

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = f(x_i(t)) + c \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} \Gamma(x_j(t) - x_i(t)) \quad (1)$$

where $x_i(t) = (x_i^1(t), \dots, x_i^n(t))^T \in R^n$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Under previous coupling protocols, various sufficient criteria for asymptotic or exponential synchronization can be obtained.

Pick $\varepsilon > 0$, in [1], the following coupled network with a single controller

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = f(x_1(t), t) + c \sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j} \Gamma x_j(t) \\ \quad - c\varepsilon \Gamma(x_1(t) - s(t)), \\ \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = f(x_i(t), t) + c \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} \Gamma x_j(t), \\ \quad i = 2, \dots, m \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

was proposed. It can pin the complex dynamical network (1) to $s(t)$ with $\dot{s}(t) = f(s(t))$ exponentially, if c is chosen suitably.

Denote $\delta x_i(t) = x_i(t) - s(t)$, $\delta f(x_i(t)) = f(x_i(t), t) - f(s(t))$, then the system (1) can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{d\delta x_i(t)}{dt} = \delta f(x_i(t)) + c \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} \Gamma \delta x_j(t) \quad (3)$$

and the network with a single controller (2) is written as

$$\frac{d\delta x_i(t)}{dt} = \delta f(x_i(t)) + c \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \Gamma \delta x_j(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{a}_{11} = a_{11} - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\tilde{a}_{ij} = a_{ij}$ otherwise.

The topic finite time convergence, finite time synchronization, consensus have attracted attentions of many researchers. Detail introduction and references have been given in [3]-[9], and references therein.

In [9], by using the theory of Filippov, Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with monotone increasing discontinuous activation functions was discussed. It is revealed that if the equilibrium x^* lies in the discontinuity of the activation functions, then finite time convergence can be ensured (see [9], Th. 8). Cortés [9] considered $\dot{x} = -\text{sgn}(\text{grad}(f)(x))$ and proved the finite time stability and applied it on the network consensus problem. It is clear that the equilibrium x^* satisfying $\text{grad}(f(x^*)) = 0$ lies in the discontinuity of the function $\text{sign}(x)$. In this paper, based on the idea, we propose simple finite time synchronization models and prove that under mild conditions, they can reach finite time synchronization.

2. Some basic concepts and background

Definition 1. A coupling matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^m$ is defined as a Metzler matrix with zero row sum, i.e. satisfying $a_{ij} \geq 0$, if $i \neq j$ and $a_{ii} = -\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}$.

Definition 2. A coupling matrix with a single pinning control on the first node $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^m$ is defined as follows $\tilde{a}_{11} = a_{11} - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\tilde{a}_{ij} = a_{ij}$ otherwise.

Let $[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m]^T$ be the left eigenvalue of the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^m$. It is well known that (see [2]) if A is irreducible and $\text{Rank}(A) = m - 1$, then, all $\xi_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Define $\Xi = \text{diag}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m]$. The symmetric part of $\frac{1}{2}(\Xi\tilde{A} + \tilde{A}^T\Xi)$ is negative definite with eigenvalues $0 > \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_m$ (see [1]).

By M-matrix theory, there are constants $\theta_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \tilde{a}_{ij} < -\theta, \quad \theta > 0 \quad (5)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Without loss of generality, in the following, we always assume that $\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i = 1$.

Definition 3. (QUAD function) A function $f(x, t)$ is called $f \in \text{QUAD}(P, \Phi, \eta)$, if

$$(x - y)^\top P(f(x, t) - f(y, t) - \Phi x + \Phi y) \leq -\eta(x - y)^\top (x - y) \quad (6)$$

where P is a positive definite matrix, Φ is a matrix, $\eta > 0$ is a constant.

3. Finite time synchronization

In this section, we propose several synchronization models and prove useful theorems.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\Gamma = \text{diag}[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m]$ is a positive diagonal matrix. $\|f(x) - f(y)\|_1 \leq L\|x - y\|_1$. Then, the controlled system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i(t) - \dot{s}(t) &= f(x_i(t)) - f(s(t)) \\ &+ c_0 \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \Gamma(x_j(t) - s(t)) - \frac{x_i(t) - s(t)}{\|x_i(t) - s(t)\|_1} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

can synchronize to $s(t)$ in finite time if $c_0\theta > L$. Here, $\|x_i(t) - s(t)\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n |x_i^k(t) - s^k(t)|$.

Proof Notice $\delta x(t) = [\delta x_1(t), \dots, \delta x_m(t)]^T$, $\delta x_i(t) = [\delta x_i^1(t), \dots, \delta x_i^n(t)]$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. $\|\delta x_i(t)\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\delta x_i^j(t)|$, $\|\delta x(t)\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\delta x_i(t)\|_1$. $\delta f(x_i(t)) = f(x_i(t)) - f(s(t))$.

With the constants $\theta_i > 0$ satisfying inequality (5), define a norm

$$\|\delta x(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \|\delta x_i(t)\|_1$$

where θ and θ_i are defined in (5).

Differentiating, by $\|f(x) - f(y)\|_1 \leq L\|x - y\|_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\|\delta x(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}}{dt} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \frac{d\|\delta x_i(t)\|_1}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_i \frac{d|\delta x_i^k(t)|}{dt} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_i \operatorname{sign}(\delta x_i^k(t)) \frac{d\delta x_i^k(t)}{dt} \\ &\leq L \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \|\delta x_i(t)\|_1 + c_0 \sum_{i,j=1}^m \theta_i \gamma_j \tilde{a}_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^n |\delta x_j^k(t)| - \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \\ &\leq L \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \|\delta x_i(t)\|_1 - c_0 \theta \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j \|\delta x_j(t)\|_1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \end{aligned}$$

If $c_0 \theta \min_j \{\gamma_j\} > L$, we have

$$\frac{d\|\delta x(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}}{dt} < - \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i = -1$$

which implies $\|\delta x(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}} = 0$, if $t \geq \|\delta x(0)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}$.

In case Γ is not a positive diagonal matrix, we have

Theorem 2. *Suppose that QUAD-condition (6) is satisfied, $P\Gamma = BB^T$ is semi-positive definite, and is positive definite in $\ker(P\Phi)^\perp$,*

Then, the controlled system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i(t) - \dot{s}(t) &= f(x_i(t)) - f(s(t)) \\ &+ c_0 \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \Gamma(x_j(t) - s(t)) - \frac{x_i(t) - s(t)}{\|x_i(t) - s(t)\|_{\{\Gamma,2\}}} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\|x_i(t) - s(t)\|_{\{P,2\}} = (x_i(t) - s(t))^T P (x_i(t) - s(t)) \quad (9)$$

is synchronized to $s(t)$ in finite time if c_0 is sufficiently large.

Proof In this case, define a norm in Rimanian metric as

$$\|\delta x(t)\|_{\{\xi,P,2\}} = (V(\delta x(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$V(\delta x(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \delta x_i^T(t) P \delta x_i(t)$$

Differentiating $V(\delta x(t))$, by $f \in QUAD(P, \Phi, \eta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV(\delta x(t))}{dt} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \delta x_i^T(t) P \frac{d\delta x_i(t)}{dt} \\ &\leq -\eta \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \delta x_i^T(t) \delta x_i(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \delta x_i^T(t) [P\Phi + \Phi^T P^T] \delta x_i(t) \\ &\quad + c_0 \sum_{i,j=1}^m \delta x_i^T(t) \xi_i \tilde{a}_{ij} P \Gamma \delta x_j(t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \|\delta x_i(t)\|_{\{P,2\}} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

1. Because $P\Gamma = BB^T$ is semi-positive definite, and is positive definite in $\ker(P\Phi)^\perp$. Therefore, there exists a constant $c > 0$, such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \delta x_i^T(t) [P\Phi + \Phi^T P^T] \delta x_i(t) \leq c \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \delta x_i^T(t) P \Gamma \delta x_i(t)$$

$$= c \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \delta y_i^T(t) \delta y_i(t) \quad (10)$$

where $\delta y_i(t) = B^T \delta x_i(t)$.

2.

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 \sum_{i,j=1}^m \delta x_i^T(t) \xi_i \tilde{a}_{ij} P \Gamma \delta x_j(t) &= c_0 \sum_{i,j=1}^m \delta y_i^T(t) \xi_i \tilde{a}_{ij} \delta y_j(t) \\ &= \frac{c_0}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \delta y_i^T(t) [\xi_i \tilde{a}_{ij} + \tilde{a}_{ji} \xi_j] \delta y_j(t) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu c_0}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \delta y_i^T(t) \delta y_j(t) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

If $c + \frac{\mu c_0}{2} \leq 0$, we have

$$\frac{dV(\delta x(t))}{dt} \leq - \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \|\delta x_i(t)\|_2$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\delta x(t)\|_{\{\xi, P, 2\}} = \frac{1}{2} V^{-1/2}(\delta x(t)) \frac{dV(\delta x)}{dt} < -\frac{1}{2} c_4$$

and $\|\delta x(t)\|_{\{\xi, P, 2\}} = 0$ when

$$t \geq 2 \frac{V^{1/2}(\delta(x(0)))}{c_4}$$

Theorem is proved completely.

Remark 1. *The idea proposed here comes from [9], in which by using the theory of Filippov, it is revealed that if the equilibrium x^* lies in the discontinuity of the activation functions, for example, $f(x) = \text{sign}(x)$, then finite time convergence can be ensured (see [9], Th. 8).*

The basic idea used in [9] is to find a distance function $dis(x(t), x^*)$, and prove

$$\frac{d}{dt}dis(x(t), x^*) \leq -c$$

for some positive constant c .

Proposition 1. Suppose a non-negative function $V(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{dV(x(t))}{dt} \leq -c\mu(V(x(t)))$$

where $c > 0$, function $\mu(V(t)) > 0$, $V(t) > 0$; $\mu(0) = 0$.

In case that

$$\int_0^a \mu^{-1}(W)dW < \infty$$

define a distance function

$$dis(V(x(t)), 0) = \int_0^{V(x(t))} \mu^{-1}(W)dW$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{d \int_0^{V(x(t))} \mu^{-1}(W)dW}{dt} = \mu^{-1}(V(x(t))) \frac{dV(x(t))}{dt} \leq -c$$

which implies $V(x(t))$ converges to zero in finite time $\tilde{t} = \frac{\int_0^{V(0)} \mu(W)^{-1}dW}{c}$.

4. Distributed Finite Time Synchronization algorithms of Complex Networks with single pinning linear and nonlinear controller

In this section, we introduce distributed discontinuous and continuous algorithms for finite time synchronization of complex networks with single pinning linear and nonlinear controller.

By a coordinate transform $y_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij}x_j(t)$, $\delta y_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij}(x_j(t) - s(t))$. Because (\tilde{a}_{ij}) is a nonsingular M-matrix, $\delta y_i(t) \rightarrow 0$ is equivalent to $\delta x_i(t) \rightarrow 0$.

Replacing $\delta x_i(t)$ by $\delta y_i(t)$, we propose following distributed algorithm

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = f(x_1(t), t) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t)) \\ \quad + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))\|_1}, \\ \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = f(x_i(t), t) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t) + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)\|_1}, \\ i = 2, \dots, m \end{array} \right. \quad (12)$$

Theorem 3. Suppose that $\Gamma = \text{diag}[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m]$ is a positive diagonal matrix. $\|f(x) - f(y)\|_1 \leq L\|x - y\|_1$. Then, the controlled system (12) can synchronize to $s(t)$ in finite time if $c_0\theta > L$. Here, $\|x_i(t) - s(t)\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n |x_i^k(t) - s^k(t)|$.

Proof In this case, system (12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\delta y}_i(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \delta f(x_j(t)) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \delta y_j(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \frac{\delta y_j(t)}{\|\delta y_j(t)\|_1} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, define a norm

$$\|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta, 1\}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \|\delta y_i(t)\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \sum_{k=1}^n |\delta y_i^k(t)|$$

where $\delta y_i(t) = [\delta y_i^1(t), \dots, \delta y_i^n(t)]^T$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $\delta y(t) = [\delta y_1^T(t), \dots, \delta y_m^T(t)]^T$.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \delta f(x_j(t)) \right| &\leq L \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \sum_{j=1}^m |\tilde{a}_{ij}| \|\delta x_j(t)\|_1 \\ &\leq \tilde{L} \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \|\delta y_i(t)\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}}{dt} &\leq \tilde{L} \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \|\delta y_i(t)\|_1 + c_{01} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \theta_i \tilde{a}_{ij} \|\delta y_j(t)\|_1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \tilde{a}_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

In case that $c_{01}\theta > \tilde{L} \max_i \theta_i$, we have

$$\frac{d\|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}}{dt} < -m\theta < 0$$

Therefore,

$$\|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}} = 0$$

when $t \geq \frac{\|\delta y(0)\|_1}{m\theta}$.

Now, we propose following continuous finite time convergence algorithm

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = f(x_1(t), t) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j} (x_j(t) - s(t)) \\ \quad + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j} (x_j(t) - s(t))}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j} (x_j(t) - s(t))\|_1^\alpha}, \\ \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = f(x_i(t), t) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j(t) + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j(t)}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j(t)\|_1^\alpha}, \\ i = 2, \dots, m \end{array} \right. \quad (14)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$.

By similar derivations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}}{dt} &\leq \tilde{L} \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \|\delta y_i(t)\|_1 + c_{01} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \theta_i \tilde{a}_{ij} \|\delta y_j(t)\|_1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \tilde{a}_{ij} \|\delta y_j(t)\|_1^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

In case that $c_{01}\theta > \tilde{L} \max_i \theta_i$, we have

$$\frac{d\|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}}{dt} < -\theta \sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta y_j(t)\|_1^{1-\alpha}$$

By Jensen inequality

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta y_j(t)\|_1\right)^{1-\alpha} \leq m^\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta y_j(t)\|_1^{1-\alpha}\right)$$

we have

$$\frac{d\|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}}{dt} < -\frac{\theta}{m^\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\delta y_j(t)\|_1\right)^{1-\alpha} \leq -\frac{\theta}{m^\alpha} \|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}^{1-\alpha}$$

and by Proposition 1, $\delta y(t)$ converges to zero in finite time.

5. Heterogeneous dynamic networks

As another application of previous results, in this section, we discuss synchronization for heterogeneous dynamic networks.

Given $m + 1$ systems $\dot{x}(t) = f_i(x(t))$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, where $f_i(x)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, are (maybe different) bounded continuous functions.

Consider following system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = f(x_1(t), t) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t)) \\ \quad + c_{02} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))\|_1}, \\ \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = f(x_i(t), t) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t) + c_{02} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)\|_1}, \\ i = 2, \dots, m \end{array} \right. \quad (15)$$

where $s(t)$ is a solution satisfying $\dot{s}(t) = f_0(s(t))$.

Theorem 4. *Algorithm (18) can reach synchronization in finite time for heterogeneous networks.*

Proof Algorithm (18) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\delta y}_i(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \delta f(x_j(t)) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \delta y_j(t) \\ &\quad + c_{02} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij} \frac{\delta y_j(t)}{\|\delta y_j(t)\|_1} + u_i(t) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $u_i(t) = f_i(x_i(t), t) - f_0(s(t))$ and satisfies $\|u_i(t)\| \leq c$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3, define

$$V(x(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \|x_i(t) - s(t)\|_1 \quad (17)$$

In case that $c_{01}\theta > \tilde{L} \max_i \theta_i$, and $c_{02} > \frac{c}{m\theta}$, we have

$$\frac{d\|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}}{dt} < -m\theta c_{02} + c < 0$$

Therefore, $\|\delta y(t)\|_{\{\theta,1\}} = 0$, when $t > \frac{\|\delta y(0)\|_{\{\theta,1\}}}{m\theta c_{02} - c}$.

6. Finite Time Pinning Consensus of Complex Networks

In case that $f(x(t), t) = \bar{A}x(t)$, $\dot{s}(t) = \bar{A}s(t)$, it is clear there exists a constant $L_{\bar{A}}$ such that $\|\bar{A}(x - y)\|_1 \leq L_{\bar{A}}\|x - y\|_1$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1, we can give

Theorem 5. *The controlled system*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = \bar{A}x_1(t) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t)) \\ \quad + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))\|_1}, \\ \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = \bar{A}x_i(t) + c_{01} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t) + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)\|_1}, \\ \quad i = 2, \dots, m \end{array} \right. \quad (18)$$

can synchronize all $x_i(t)$ to $s(t)$ in finite time.

7. Simulations

In this section, we give several simulations to verify previous theoretical analysis.

We assume that $s(t)$ is the Lorenz system described by $\dot{s}(t) = f(s(t))$, where

$$f(s(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} 10(s^2(t) - s^1(t)) \\ 28s^1(t) - s^2(t) - s^1(t)s^3(t) \\ s^1(t)s^2(t) - 8s^3(t)/3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$




Figure 2: Synchronization error $E(t)$ based on model (22)

Simulation 2. Synchronization by a single pinning linear feedback controller with a single nonlinear feedback controller

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = f(x_1(t), t) + 5 \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j} (x_j(t) - s(t)) \\ \quad + 5 \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j} (x_j(t) - s(t))}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j} (x_j(t) - s(t))\|_1}, \\ \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = f(x_i(t), t) + 5 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j(t) + 5 \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j(t)}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j(t)\|_1}, \\ i = 2, 3 \end{array} \right. \quad (22)$$

Dynamical behavior $E(x(t))$ is depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 3: Synchronization error $E(t)$ based on model (23)

Simulation 3. Pinning synchronization model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = f(x_1(t), t) + 5 \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t)) \\ \quad + 5 \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))\|_1^{1/2}}, \\ \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = f(x_i(t), t) + 5 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t) + 5 \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)\|_1^{1/2}}, \\ i = 2, 3 \end{array} \right. \quad (23)$$

Dynamical behavior $E(x(t))$ is depicted in Figure 3.

Simulation 4. Synchronization for heterogeneous systems

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} = f_1(x_1(t), t) + 5 \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t)) \\ \quad + 5 \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{1j}(x_j(t) - s(t))\|_1}, \\ \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = f_i(x_i(t), t) + 5 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t) + 5 \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)}{\|\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j(t)\|_1}, \\ i = 2, 3 \end{array} \right. \quad (24)$$




Figure 4: Synchronization error $E(t)$ based on heterogeneous model (24)

where $s(t)$ is the Lorenz system described by $\dot{s}(t) = f(s(t))$ satisfying

$$f(s(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} 10(s^2(t) - s^1(t)) \\ 28s^1(t) - s^2(t) - s^1(t)s^3(t) \\ s^1(t)s^2(t) - 8s^3(t)/3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

$f_1(x(t))$ is Chua circuit, $f_2(x(t)) = f_1(x(t) + \sin(t))$, $f_3(x(t)) = f_1(x(t) + \cos(t))$.

Dynamical behavior $E(x(t))$ is depicted in Figure 4.

References

- [1] T. Chen, X. Liu, and W. Lu, "Pinning complex networks by a single controller," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I-Regular Papers*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 1317-1326, Jun. 2007.
- [2] W. Lu and T. Chen, "New approach to synchronization analysis of linearly coupled ordinary differential systems," *Physica D*, vol. 213, no. 2, pp. 214-230, Jan. 2006.

- [3] V. T. Haimo, “Finite time controllers,” *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 760-770, Jul. 1986.
- [4] S. Bhat and D. Bernstein, “Continuous finite-time stabilization of the translational and rotational double integrators,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 678-682, May 1998.
- [5] Y. Hong, Y. Xu, and J. Huang, “Finite-time control for robot manipulators,” *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 243-253, Jul. 2002.
- [6] W. Lu, X. Liu, and T. Chen, “A note on finite-time and fixed-time stability,” *Neural Networks*, vol. 81, pp. 11-15, Sep. 2016.
- [7] X. Liu and T. Chen, “Finite-time and fixed-time cluster synchronization with or without pinning control,” *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 240-252, Jan. 2018.
- [8] F. Xiao, L. Wang, J. Chen, and Y. Gao, “Finite-time formation control for multi-agent systems,” *Automatica*, vol. 45, no. 11, pp. 2605-2611, Nov. 2009.
- [9] W. Lu and T. Chen, “Dynamical behaviors of Cohen-Grossberg neural networks with discontinuous activation functions,” *Neural Networks*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 231-242, Apr. 2005.
- [10] J. Cortes, “Finite-time convergent gradient flows with applications to network consensus,” *Automatica*, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. 1993-2000, Nov. 2006.

- [11] G. Wen, W. Yu, G. Hu, J. Cao, and X. Yu, “Pinning synchronization of directed networks with switching topologies: A multiple Lyapunov functions approach,” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 3239-3250, Dec. 2015.
- [12] G. Wen, P. Wang, X. Yu, W. Yu, and J. Cao, “Pinning synchronization of complex switching networks with a leader of nonzero control inputs,” *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 3100-3112, Aug. 2019.
- [13] A. Polyakov, “Nonlinear feedback design for fixed-time stabilization of linear control systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 2106-2110, Aug. 2012.
- [14] A. Polyakov, D. Efimov, and W. Perruquetti, “Finite-time and fixed-time stabilization: Implicit Lyapunov function approach,” *Automatica*, vol. 51, pp. 332-340, Jan. 2015.
- [15] X. Liu, T. Chen, and W. Lu, “Consensus problem in directed networks of multi-agents via nonlinear protocols,” *Physics Letters A*, vol. 373, no. 35, pp. 3122-3127, Aug. 2009.
- [16] W. Lu, Y. Han, and T. Chen, “Synchronization in networks of linearly coupled dynamical systems via event-triggered diffusions,” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 3060-3069, Dec. 2015.
- [17] G. Wen, W. Yu, G. Hu, J. Cao, and X. Yu, “Pinning synchronization of directed networks with switching topologies: A multiple Lyapunov func-

tions approach,” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 3239-3250, Dec. 2015.