

Elliptic solutions to Toda lattice hierarchy and elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider model

V. Prokofev^{*1,2} and A. Zabrodin^{†2,3,4}

¹Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Institutsky per., 9, Moscow region, 141700, Russia

²Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, 143026 Moscow, Russian Federation

³National Research University Higher School of Economics, 20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russian Federation

⁴ITEP NRC KI, 25 B.Cheremushkinskaya, Moscow 117218, Russian Federation

February 2021

Abstract

We consider solutions of the 2D Toda lattice hierarchy which are elliptic functions of the “zeroth” time $t_0 = x$. It is known that their poles as functions of t_1 move as particles of the elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider model. The goal of this paper is to extend this correspondence to the level of hierarchies. We show that the Hamiltonians which govern the dynamics of poles with respect to the m -th hierarchical times t_m and \bar{t}_m of the 2D Toda lattice hierarchy are obtained from expansion of the spectral curve for the Lax matrix of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model at the marked points.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Motivation and result	2
1.2	Elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider model	4
1.3	Organization of the paper	5
2	The 2D Toda lattice hierarchy	5

*vadim.prokofev@phystech.edu

†zabrodin@itep.ru

3	Elliptic solutions to the Toda equation and double-Bloch wave functions	8
4	Dynamics of poles with respect to t_1	11
5	The spectral curve	12
6	Dynamics in higher times	13
6.1	Positive times	13
6.2	Negative times	18
7	Degenerations of elliptic solutions	20
7.1	Rational limit	20
7.2	Trigonometric limit	21
8	Examples of the Hamiltonians	23
9	Conclusion	25
	Acknowledgments	26
	References	26

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation and result

The 2D Toda lattice (2DTL) hierarchy [1] is an infinite set of compatible nonlinear differential-difference equations involving infinitely many time variables $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots\}$ (“positive” times), $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = \{\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2, \bar{t}_3, \dots\}$ (“negative” times) in which the equations are differential and the “zeroth” time $t_0 = x$ in which the equations are difference. Among all solutions to these equations, of special interest are solutions which have a finite number of poles in the variable x in a fundamental domain of the complex plane. In particular, one can consider solutions which are elliptic (double-periodic in the complex plane) functions of x with poles depending on the times.

The investigation of dynamics of poles of singular solutions to nonlinear integrable equations was initiated in the seminal paper [2], where elliptic and rational solutions to the Korteweg-de Vries and Boussinesq equations were studied. It was shown that the poles move as particles of the integrable Calogero-Moser many-body system [3, 4, 5, 6] with some restrictions in the phase space. As it was proved in [7, 8], this connection becomes most natural for the more general Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equation, in which case there are no restrictions in the phase space for the Calogero-Moser dynamics

of poles. The method suggested by Krichever [9] for elliptic solutions of the KP equation consists in substituting the solution not in the KP equation itself but in the auxiliary linear problem for it (this implies a suitable pole ansatz for the wave function). This method allows one to obtain the equations of motion together with their Lax representation.

Later, Shiota has shown [10] that the correspondence between rational solutions to the KP equation and the Calogero-Moser system with rational potential can be extended to the level of hierarchies. The evolution of poles with respect to the higher times t_k of the KP hierarchy was shown to be governed by the higher Hamiltonians $H_k = \text{tr } L^k$ of the integrable Calogero-Moser system, where L is the Lax matrix. More recently this correspondence was generalized to trigonometric and elliptic solutions of the KP hierarchy (see [11, 12] for trigonometric solutions and [13] for elliptic solutions).

Dynamics of poles of elliptic solutions to the 2DTL and modified KP (mKP) equations was studied in [14], see also [15]. It was proved that the poles move as particles of the integrable Ruijsenaars-Schneider many-body system [16, 17] which is a relativistic generalization of the Calogero-Moser system. The extension to the level of hierarchies for rational solutions to the mKP equation has been made in [18] (see also [19]): again, the evolution of poles with respect to the higher times t_k of the mKP hierarchy is governed by the higher Hamiltonians $\text{tr } L^k$ of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider system. Recently this result was generalized to trigonometric solutions [20]. However, the corresponding result for more general elliptic solutions was missing in the literature.

In this paper we study the correspondence of the 2DTL hierarchy and the Ruijsenaars-Schneider hierarchy for elliptic solutions of the former. Our method consists in a solution of the auxiliary linear problems for the wave function and its adjoint using a suitable pole ansatz. The tau-function of the 2DTL hierarchy for elliptic solutions has the form

$$\tau(x, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}) = \exp\left(-\sum_{k \geq 1} k t_k \bar{t}_k\right) \prod_{i=1}^N \sigma(x - x_i(\mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is the Weierstrass σ -function with quasi-periods $2\omega, 2\omega'$ such that $\text{Im}(\omega'/\omega) > 0$ (the definition is given below in section 3). The zeros x_i of the tau-function are poles of the solution. They are assumed to be all distinct.

We show that the dynamics of poles in the times $\mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}$ is Hamiltonian and identify the corresponding Hamiltonians which turn out to be higher Hamiltonians of the elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider system. The generating function of the Hamiltonians is $\lambda(z)$, where the spectral parameters λ, z are connected by the equation of the spectral curve

$$\det_{N \times N} \left(z e^{\eta \zeta(\lambda)} I - L(\lambda) \right) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\zeta(\lambda)$ is the Weierstrass ζ -function, I is the unity matrix and $L(\lambda)$ is the Lax matrix. Any point of the spectral curve is $P = (z, \lambda)$, where z, λ are connected by equation (1.2). There are two distinguished points on the spectral curve: $P_\infty = (\infty, 0)$ and $P_0 = (0, N\eta)$. The Hamiltonians corresponding to the positive time flows \mathbf{t} are coefficients of the expansion of the function $\lambda(z)$ in negative powers of z around the point P_∞ while the Hamiltonians corresponding to the negative time flows $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ are coefficients of the expansion of the function $\lambda(z)$ in positive powers of z around the point P_0 . This is the main result of the paper.

1.2 Elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider model

Here we collect the main facts on the elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider system following the paper [17].

The N -particle elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider system is a completely integrable model. It can be regarded as a relativistic extension of the Calogero-Moser system. The dynamical variables are coordinates x_i and momenta p_i with canonical Poisson brackets $\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$. The integrals of motion in involution have the form

$$I_k = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}, |I|=k} \exp\left(\sum_{i \in I} p_i\right) \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j + \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_j)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \quad (1.3)$$

where η is a parameter (the inverse velocity of light). In particular,

$$I_1 = \sum_i e^{p_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j + \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_j)}, \quad I_N = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i\right). \quad (1.4)$$

Comparing to the paper [17], our formulas differ by the canonical transformation

$$e^{p_i} \rightarrow e^{p_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j + \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_j - \eta)} \right)^{1/2}, \quad x_i \rightarrow x_i,$$

which allows one to eliminate square roots in [17]. The Hamiltonian of the model is $H_1 = I_1$.

The velocities of the particles are

$$\dot{x}_i = \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_i} = e^{p_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j + \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_j)}. \quad (1.5)$$

The Hamiltonian equations $\dot{p}_i = -\partial H_1 / \partial x_i$ are equivalent to the following equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_i &= - \sum_{k \neq i} \dot{x}_i \dot{x}_k \left(\zeta(x_i - x_k + \eta) + \zeta(x_i - x_k - \eta) - 2\zeta(x_i - x_k) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \neq i} \dot{x}_i \dot{x}_k \frac{\wp'(x_i - x_k)}{\wp(\eta) - \wp(x_i - x_k)}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $\zeta(x)$ and $\wp(x)$ are Weierstrass ζ - and \wp -functions.

One can also introduce integrals of motion I_{-k} as

$$I_{-k} = I_N^{-1} I_{N-k} = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}, |I|=k} \exp\left(-\sum_{i \in I} p_i\right) \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j - \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_j)}. \quad (1.7)$$

In particular,

$$I_{-1} = \sum_i e^{-p_i} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j - \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_j)}. \quad (1.8)$$

It is natural to put $I_0 = 1$. It can be easily verified that equations of motion in the time \bar{t}_1 corresponding to the Hamiltonian $\bar{H}_1 = I_{-1}$ are the same equations (1.6).

1.3 Organization of the paper

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we remind the reader the main facts about the 2DTL hierarchy. We recall the Lax formulation in terms of pseudo-difference operators, the bilinear identity for the tau-function and auxiliary linear problems for the wave function. Section 3 is devoted to solutions which are elliptic functions of $x = t_0$. We introduce double-Bloch solutions to the auxiliary linear problem and express them as a linear combination of elementary double-Bloch functions having just one simple pole in the fundamental domain. In section 4 we obtain equations of motion for the poles as functions of the time t_1 together with their Lax representation. Properties of the spectral curve are discussed in section 5. In section 6 we consider the dynamics of poles with respect to the higher times and derive the corresponding Hamiltonian equations. Rational and trigonometric limits are addressed in section 7. Explicit examples of the Hamiltonians are given in section 8.

2 The 2D Toda lattice hierarchy

Here we very briefly review the 2DTL hierarchy (see [1]). Let us consider the pseudo-difference Lax operators

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{\eta\partial_x} + \sum_{k \geq 0} U_k(x) e^{-k\eta\partial_x}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{L}} = c(x) e^{-\eta\partial_x} + \sum_{k \geq 0} \bar{U}_k(x) e^{k\eta\partial_x}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $e^{\eta\partial_x}$ is the shift operator acting as $e^{\pm\eta\partial_x} f(x) = f(x \pm \eta)$ and the coefficient functions U_k, \bar{U}_k are functions of $x, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}$. The equations of the hierarchy are differential-difference equations for the functions c, U_k, \bar{U}_k . They are encoded in the Lax equations

$$\partial_{t_m} \mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{B}_m, \mathcal{L}], \quad \partial_{t_m} \bar{\mathcal{L}} = [\bar{\mathcal{B}}_m, \bar{\mathcal{L}}] \quad \mathcal{B}_m = (\mathcal{L}^m)_{\geq 0}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_m} \mathcal{L} = [\bar{\mathcal{B}}_m, \mathcal{L}], \quad \partial_{\bar{t}_m} \bar{\mathcal{L}} = [\bar{\mathcal{B}}_m, \bar{\mathcal{L}}] \quad \bar{\mathcal{B}}_m = (\bar{\mathcal{L}}^m)_{< 0}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_k e^{k\eta\partial_x})_{\geq 0} = \sum_{k \geq 0} U_k e^{k\eta\partial_x}$, $(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_k e^{k\eta\partial_x})_{< 0} = \sum_{k < 0} U_k e^{k\eta\partial_x}$. For example, $\mathcal{B}_1 = e^{\eta\partial_x} + U_0(x)$, $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 = c(x) e^{-\eta\partial_x}$.

An equivalent formulation is through the zero curvature (Zakharov-Shabat) equations

$$\partial_{t_n} \mathcal{B}_m - \partial_{t_m} \mathcal{B}_n + [\mathcal{B}_m, \mathcal{B}_n] = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_n} \mathcal{B}_m - \partial_{t_m} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_n + [\mathcal{B}_m, \bar{\mathcal{B}}_n] = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\partial_{t_n} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_m - \partial_{\bar{t}_m} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_n + [\bar{\mathcal{B}}_m, \bar{\mathcal{B}}_n] = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

For example, from (2.5) at $m = n = 1$ we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{t_1} \log c(x) = v(x) - v(x - \eta) \\ \partial_{\bar{t}_1} v(x) = c(x) - c(x + \eta), \end{cases}$$

where $v = U_0$. Excluding $v(x)$, we get the second order differential-difference equation for $c(x)$:

$$\partial_{t_1} \partial_{\bar{t}_1} \log c(x) = 2c(x) - c(x + \eta) - c(x - \eta)$$

which is one of the forms of the 2D Toda equation. After the change of variables $c(x) = e^{\varphi(x) - \varphi(x-\eta)}$ it acquires the most familiar form

$$\partial_{t_1} \partial_{\bar{t}_1} \varphi(x) = e^{\varphi(x) - \varphi(x-\eta)} - e^{\varphi(x+\eta) - \varphi(x)}. \quad (2.7)$$

The zero curvature equations are compatibility conditions for the auxiliary linear problems

$$\partial_{t_m} \psi = \mathcal{B}_m(x) \psi, \quad \partial_{\bar{t}_m} \psi = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_m(x) \psi, \quad (2.8)$$

where the wave function ψ depends on a spectral parameter z : $\psi = \psi(z; \mathbf{t})$. The wave function has the following expansion in powers of z :

$$\psi = z^{x/\eta} e^{\xi(\mathbf{t}, z)} \left(1 + \frac{\xi_1(x, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})}{z} + \frac{\xi_2(x, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})}{z^2} + \dots \right), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\xi(\mathbf{t}, z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} t_k z^k. \quad (2.10)$$

The wave operator is the pseudo-difference operator of the form

$$\mathcal{W}(x) = 1 + \xi_1(x) e^{-\eta \partial_x} + \xi_2(x) e^{-2\eta \partial_x} + \dots \quad (2.11)$$

with the same coefficient functions ξ_k as in (2.9), then the wave function can be written as

$$\psi = \mathcal{W}(x) z^{x/\eta} e^{\xi(\mathbf{t}, z)}. \quad (2.12)$$

The adjoint wave function ψ^\dagger is defined by the formula

$$\psi^\dagger = (\mathcal{W}^\dagger(x-\eta))^{-1} z^{-x/\eta} e^{-\xi(\mathbf{t}, z)} \quad (2.13)$$

(see, e.g., [21]), where the adjoint difference operator is defined according to the rule $(f(x) \circ e^{n\eta \partial_x})^\dagger = e^{-n\eta \partial_x} \circ f(x)$. The auxiliary linear problems for the adjoint wave function have the form

$$-\partial_{t_m} \psi^\dagger = \mathcal{B}_m^\dagger(x-\eta) \psi^\dagger. \quad (2.14)$$

In particular, we have:

$$\partial_{t_1} \psi(x) = \psi(x+\eta) + v(x) \psi(x), \quad (2.15)$$

$$-\partial_{t_1} \psi^\dagger(x) = \psi^\dagger(x-\eta) + v(x-\eta) \psi^\dagger(x),$$

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_1} \psi(x) = c(x) \psi(x-\eta). \quad (2.16)$$

A common solution to the 2DTL hierarchy is provided by the tau-function $\tau = \tau(x, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})$ [22, 23]. The tau-function satisfies the bilinear relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint_{\infty} z^{\frac{x-x'}{\eta}-1} e^{\xi(\mathbf{t}, z) - \xi(\mathbf{t}', z)} \tau(x, \mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}], \bar{\mathbf{t}}) \tau(x' + \eta, \mathbf{t}' + [z^{-1}], \bar{\mathbf{t}}) dz \\ &= \oint_0 z^{\frac{x-x'}{\eta}-1} e^{\xi(\bar{\mathbf{t}}, z^{-1}) - \xi(\bar{\mathbf{t}}', z^{-1})} \tau(x + \eta, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}} - [z]) \tau(x', \mathbf{t}', \bar{\mathbf{t}}' + [z]) dz \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

valid for all $x, x', \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}', \bar{\mathbf{t}}, \bar{\mathbf{t}}'$, where

$$\mathbf{t} \pm [z] = \left\{ t_1 \pm z, t_2 \pm \frac{1}{2}z^2, t_3 \pm \frac{1}{3}z^3, \dots \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

The integration contour in the left hand side is a big circle around infinity separating the singularities coming from the exponential factor from those coming from the tau-functions. The integration contour in the right hand side is a small circle around zero separating the singularities coming from the exponential factor from those coming from the tau-functions.

The coefficient functions of the Lax operators can be expressed through the tau-function. In particular,

$$U_0(x) = v(x) = \partial_{t_1} \log \frac{\tau(x + \eta)}{\tau(x)}, \quad c(x) = \frac{\tau(x + \eta)\tau(x - \eta)}{\tau^2(x)}. \quad (2.19)$$

The Toda equation (2.7) in terms of the tau-function becomes

$$\partial_{t_1} \partial_{\bar{t}_1} \log \tau(x) = -\frac{\tau(x + \eta)\tau(x - \eta)}{\tau^2(x)}. \quad (2.20)$$

The wave function and its adjoint are expressed through the tau-function according to the formulas

$$\psi = z^{x/\eta} e^{\xi(\mathbf{t}, z)} \frac{\tau(x, \mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}], \bar{\mathbf{t}})}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\psi^\dagger = z^{-x/\eta} e^{-\xi(\mathbf{t}, z)} \frac{\tau(x, \mathbf{t} + [z^{-1}], \bar{\mathbf{t}})}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})}. \quad (2.22)$$

One may also introduce the complimentary wave functions $\bar{\psi}, \bar{\psi}^\dagger$ by the formulas

$$\bar{\psi} = z^{x/\eta} e^{\xi(\bar{\mathbf{t}}, z^{-1})} \frac{\tau(x + \eta, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}} - [z])}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\bar{\psi}^\dagger = z^{-x/\eta} e^{-\xi(\bar{\mathbf{t}}, z^{-1})} \frac{\tau(x - \eta, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}} + [z])}{\tau(x, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})}. \quad (2.24)$$

They satisfy the same auxiliary linear problems as the wave functions ψ, ψ^\dagger . It will be more convenient for us to work with the renormalized wave functions

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\tau(x)}{\tau(x + \eta)} \bar{\psi}(x) = z^{x/\eta} e^{\xi(\bar{\mathbf{t}}, z^{-1})} \frac{\tau(x + \eta, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}} - [z])}{\tau(x + \eta, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})}, \quad (2.25)$$

$$\phi^\dagger(x) = \frac{\tau(x)}{\tau(x - \eta)} \bar{\psi}^\dagger(x) = z^{-x/\eta} e^{-\xi(\bar{\mathbf{t}}, z^{-1})} \frac{\tau(x - \eta, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}} + [z])}{\tau(x - \eta, \mathbf{t}, \bar{\mathbf{t}})}. \quad (2.26)$$

They satisfy the linear equations

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_1} \phi(x) = \phi(x - \eta) - \bar{v}(x)\phi(x), \quad -\partial_{\bar{t}_1} \phi^\dagger(x) = \phi^\dagger(x + \eta) - \bar{v}(x - \eta)\phi^\dagger(x), \quad (2.27)$$

where $\bar{v}(x) = \partial_{\bar{t}_1} \log \frac{\tau(x + \eta)}{\tau(x)}$.

Finally, let us point out useful corollaries of the bilinear relation (2.17). Differentiating it with respect to t_m and putting $x = x'$, $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}'$, $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = \bar{\mathbf{t}}'$ after that, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\infty} z^{m-1} \tau(x, \mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}]) \tau(x + \eta, \mathbf{t} + [z^{-1}]) dz \\ &= \partial_{t_m} \tau(x + \eta, \mathbf{t}) \tau(x, \mathbf{t}) - \partial_{t_m} \tau(x, \mathbf{t}) \tau(x + \eta, \mathbf{t}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

or

$$\operatorname{res}_{\infty} \left(z^m \psi(x) \psi^\dagger(x + \eta) \right) = \partial_{t_m} \log \frac{\tau(x + \eta)}{\tau(x)}. \quad (2.29)$$

Equivalently, equation (2.29) can be written in the form

$$\psi(x) \psi^\dagger(x + \eta) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} z^{-m-1} \partial_{t_m} \log \frac{\tau(x + \eta)}{\tau(x)}. \quad (2.30)$$

In a similar way, differentiating the bilinear relation (2.17) with respect to \bar{t}_m and putting $x = x'$, $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}'$, $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = \bar{\mathbf{t}}'$ after that, we obtain the relation

$$\operatorname{res}_0 \left(z^{-m} \phi(x) \phi^\dagger(x + \eta) \right) = -\partial_{\bar{t}_m} \log \frac{\tau(x + \eta)}{\tau(x)}. \quad (2.31)$$

Here $\operatorname{res}_{\infty}$, res_0 are defined according to the convention $\operatorname{res}_{\infty}(z^{-n}) = \delta_{n1}$, $\operatorname{res}_0(z^{-n}) = \delta_{n1}$.

3 Elliptic solutions to the Toda equation and double-Bloch wave functions

The ansatz for the tau-function of elliptic (double-periodic in the complex plane) solutions to the 2DTL hierarchy is given by (1.1). In (1.1)

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(x|\omega, \omega') = x \prod_{s \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{x}{s} \right) e^{\frac{x}{s} + \frac{x^2}{2s^2}}, \quad s = 2\omega m + 2\omega' m' \quad \text{with integer } m, m'$$

is the Weierstrass σ -function with quasi-periods 2ω , $2\omega'$ such that $\operatorname{Im}(\omega'/\omega) > 0$. It is connected with the Weierstrass ζ - and \wp -functions by the formulas $\zeta(x) = \sigma'(x)/\sigma(x)$, $\wp(x) = -\zeta'(x) = -\partial_x^2 \log \sigma(x)$. The monodromy properties of the function $\sigma(x)$ are

$$\sigma(x + 2\omega) = -e^{2\zeta(\omega)(x+\omega)} \sigma(x), \quad \sigma(x + 2\omega') = -e^{2\zeta(\omega')(x+\omega')} \sigma(x), \quad (3.1)$$

where the constants $\zeta(\omega)$, $\zeta(\omega')$ are related by $\zeta(\omega)\omega' - \zeta(\omega')\omega = \pi i/2$.

For elliptic solutions

$$v(x) = \sum_i \dot{x}_i \left(\zeta(x - x_i) - \zeta(x - x_i + \eta) \right) \quad (3.2)$$

is an elliptic function, so one can find *double-Bloch* solutions to the linear problem (2.18). The double-Bloch function satisfies the monodromy properties $\psi(x + 2\omega) = B\psi(x)$, $\psi(x + 2\omega') = B'\psi(x)$ with some Bloch multipliers B , B' . Any non-trivial double-Bloch

function (i.e. not an exponential function) must have poles in x in the fundamental domain. The Bloch multipliers of the wave function (2.21) are:

$$B = z^{2\omega/\eta} \exp\left(-2\zeta(\omega) \sum_i (e^{-D(z)} - 1)x_i\right), \quad B' = z^{2\omega'/\eta} \exp\left(-2\zeta(\omega') \sum_i (e^{-D(z)} - 1)x_i\right), \quad (3.3)$$

where the differential operator $D(z)$ is

$$D(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{z^{-k}}{k} \partial_{t_k}. \quad (3.4)$$

It follows from the equation (2.30) that the Bloch multipliers of the function ψ^\dagger are $1/B$, $1/B'$. Indeed, the right hand side of (2.30) is an elliptic function of x , therefore, the left hand side must be also an elliptic function.

Let us introduce the elementary double-Bloch function $\Phi(x, \lambda)$ defined as

$$\Phi(x, \lambda) = \frac{\sigma(x + \lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x)} e^{-\zeta(\lambda)x} \quad (3.5)$$

($\zeta(\lambda)$ is the Weierstrass ζ -function). The monodromy properties of the function Φ are

$$\Phi(x + 2\omega, \lambda) = e^{2(\zeta(\omega)\lambda - \zeta(\lambda)\omega)} \Phi(x, \lambda),$$

$$\Phi(x + 2\omega', \lambda) = e^{2(\zeta(\omega')\lambda - \zeta(\lambda)\omega')} \Phi(x, \lambda),$$

so it is indeed a double-Bloch function. The function Φ has a simple pole at $x = 0$ with residue 1:

$$\Phi(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{x} + \alpha_1 x + \dots, \quad x \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \wp(\lambda)$. When this does not lead to a misunderstanding, we will suppress the second argument of Φ writing simply $\Phi(x) = \Phi(x, \lambda)$. We will also need the x -derivative $\Phi'(x, \lambda) = \partial_x \Phi(x, \lambda)$.

Below we need the following identities satisfied by the function Φ :

$$\Phi(x, -\lambda) = -\Phi(-x, \lambda), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\Phi'(x) = \Phi(x)(\zeta(x + \lambda) - \zeta(\lambda) - \zeta(x)), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\Phi(x)\Phi(y) = \Phi(x + y)(\zeta(x) + \zeta(y) + \zeta(\lambda) - \zeta(x + y + \lambda)). \quad (3.8)$$

Equations (2.21), (2.22) imply that the wave functions ψ , ψ^\dagger have simple poles at the points x_i . One can expand the wave functions using the elementary double-Bloch functions as follows:

$$\psi = k^{x/\eta} e^{\xi(t, z)} \sum_i c_i \Phi(x - x_i, \lambda) \quad (3.9)$$

$$\psi^\dagger = k^{-x/\eta} e^{-\xi(t, z)} \sum_i c_i^* \Phi(x - x_i, -\lambda) \quad (3.10)$$

(this is similar to expansion of a rational function in a linear combination of simple fractions). Here c_i , c_i^* are expansion coefficients which do not depend on x and k is an additional spectral parameter. Note that the normalization of the functions (2.21),

(2.22) implies that c_i and c_i^* are $O(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. One can see that (3.9) is a double-Bloch function with Bloch multipliers

$$B = e^{\frac{2\omega}{\eta}(\log k - \eta\zeta(\lambda)) + 2\zeta(\omega)\lambda}, \quad B' = e^{\frac{2\omega'}{\eta}(\log k - \eta\zeta(\lambda)) + 2\zeta(\omega')\lambda} \quad (3.11)$$

and (3.10) has Bloch multipliers B^{-1} and B'^{-1} . These Bloch multipliers should coincide with (3.3).

Therefore, comparing (3.3) with (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\omega}{\eta}(\log(k/z) - \eta\zeta(\lambda)) + 2\zeta(\omega)\left(\lambda + (e^{-D(z)} - 1) \sum_i x_i\right) &= 2\pi in, \\ \frac{2\omega'}{\eta}(\log(k/z) - \eta\zeta(\lambda)) + 2\zeta(\omega')\left(\lambda + (e^{-D(z)} - 1) \sum_i x_i\right) &= 2\pi in' \end{aligned}$$

with some integer n, n' . Regarding these equations as a linear system, we obtain the solution

$$\begin{aligned} \log(k/z) - \eta\zeta(\lambda) &= 2n'\eta\zeta(\omega) - 2n\eta\zeta(\omega'), \\ \lambda + (e^{-D(z)} - 1) \sum_i x_i &= 2n\omega' - 2n'\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Shifting λ by a suitable vector of the lattice spanned by $2\omega, 2\omega'$, one gets zeros in the right hand sides of these equalities, so we can represent the connection between the spectral parameters k, z, λ in the form

$$\begin{cases} k = z e^{\eta\zeta(\lambda)}, \\ \lambda = (1 - e^{-D(z)}) \sum_i x_i. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

These two equations for three spectral parameters k, z, λ determine the spectral curve. Another description of the same spectral curve is obtained below as the spectral curve of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider system (it is given by the characteristic polynomial of the Lax matrix $L(\lambda)$ for the Ruijsenaars-Schneider system). It appears in the form $R(k, \lambda) = 0$, where $R(k, \lambda)$ is a polynomial in k whose coefficients are elliptic functions of λ (see below section 5). These coefficients are integrals of motion in involution. The spectral curve in the form $R(k, \lambda) = 0$ appears if one excludes z from the equations (3.12). Equivalently, one can represent the spectral curve as a relation connecting z and λ :

$$R(ze^{\eta\zeta(\lambda)}, \lambda) = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

The second equation in (3.12) can be written as expansion in powers of z :

$$\lambda = \lambda(z) = - \sum_{m \geq 1} z^{-m} \hat{h}_m \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathcal{X} := \sum_i x_i. \quad (3.14)$$

Here \hat{h}_k are differential operators of the form

$$\hat{h}_m = -\frac{1}{m} \partial_{t_m} + \text{higher order operators in } \partial_{t_1}, \partial_{t_2}, \dots, \partial_{t_{m-1}}. \quad (3.15)$$

The first few are

$$\hat{h}_1 = -\partial_{t_1}, \quad \hat{h}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{t_1}^2 - \partial_{t_2}), \quad \hat{h}_3 = \frac{1}{6}(-\partial_{t_1}^3 + 3\partial_{t_1}\partial_{t_2} - 2\partial_{t_3}).$$

As is mentioned above, the coefficients in the expansion (3.14) are integrals of motion, i.e., $\partial_{t_j}\hat{h}_m\mathcal{X} = 0$ for all j, m . It then follows from the explicit form of the operators \hat{h}_m that $\partial_{t_j}\partial_{t_1}\mathcal{X} = 0$. A simple inductive argument then shows that $\partial_{t_j}\partial_{t_m}\mathcal{X} = 0$ for all j, m . This means that $-\hat{h}_m\mathcal{X} = \frac{1}{m}\partial_{t_m}\mathcal{X}$ and so \mathcal{X} is a linear function of times:

$$\mathcal{X} = \sum_i x_i = \mathcal{X}_0 + \sum_{m \geq 1} V_m t_m \quad (3.16)$$

with some constants V_m (which are velocities of the ‘‘center of masses’’ of the points x_i with respect to the times t_m multiplied by N). Therefore, the second equation in (3.12) can be written as

$$\lambda = D(z) \sum_i x_i = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{z^{-j}}{j} V_j. \quad (3.17)$$

In what follows we will show that $H_m = \frac{1}{m} V_m$ are Hamiltonians for the dynamics of the poles in t_m , with H_1 being the standard Ruijsenaars-Schneider Hamiltonian.

4 Dynamics of poles with respect to t_1

The next procedure is standard after the work [9]. We substitute $v(x)$ in the form (3.2) and ψ in the form (3.9) into the left hand side of the linear problem

$$\partial_{t_1}\psi(x) - \psi(x + \eta) - v(x)\psi(x) = 0$$

and cancel the poles at the points $x = x_i$, $x = x_i - \eta$. The highest poles are of second order but it is easy to see that they cancel identically. A simple calculation shows that cancellation of first order poles leads to the conditions

$$\begin{cases} zc_i + \dot{c}_i = \dot{x}_i \sum_{j \neq i} c_j \Phi(x - x_j) + c_i \sum_{j \neq i} \dot{x}_j \zeta(x_i - x_j) - c_i \sum_j \dot{x}_j \zeta(x_i - x_j + \eta) \\ kc_i - \dot{x}_i \sum_j c_j \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here and below dot means the t_1 -derivative. These conditions can be written in the matrix form as a system of linear equations for the vector $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_N)^T$:

$$\begin{cases} L(\lambda)\mathbf{c} = k\mathbf{c} \\ \dot{\mathbf{c}} = M(\lambda)\mathbf{c}, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where $N \times N$ matrices L, M are

$$L_{ij} = \dot{x}_i \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{ij} = & \delta_{ij} \left(k - z + \sum_{l \neq i} \dot{x}_l \zeta(x_i - x_l) - \sum_l \dot{x}_l \zeta(x_i - x_l + \eta) \right) \\
& + (1 - \delta_{ij}) \dot{x}_i \Phi(x_i - x_j) - \dot{x}_i \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

They depend on the spectral parameter λ .

Differentiating the first equation in (4.1) with respect to t_1 , and substituting the second equation, we obtain the compatibility condition of the linear problems (4.1):

$$(\dot{L} + [L, M])\mathbf{c} = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

The Lax equation $\dot{L} + [L, M] = 0$ is equivalent to the equations of motion of the elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider system (see [15] for details of the calculation). Note that our matrix M differs from the standard one by the term $\delta_{ij}(k-z)$ but it does not affect the compatibility condition because it is proportional to the unity matrix. It follows from the Lax representation that the time evolution is an isospectral transformation of the Lax matrix L , so all traces $\text{tr } L^m$ and the characteristic polynomial $\det(kI - L)$, where I is the unity matrix, are integrals of motion. Note that the Lax matrix is written in terms of the momenta p_i as follows:

$$L_{ij} = \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta) e^{p_i} \prod_{l \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_l + \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_l)}. \tag{4.5}$$

A similar calculation shows that the adjoint linear problem for the function (3.10) leads to the equations

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} L(\lambda) \dot{X} = k \mathbf{c}^{*T} \\ \dot{\mathbf{c}}^{*T} = -\mathbf{c}^{*T} M^*(\lambda), \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

where $\dot{X} = \text{diag}(\dot{x}_1, \dots, \dot{x}_N)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{ij}^* = & \delta_{ij} \left(k - z - \sum_{l \neq i} \dot{x}_l \zeta(x_i - x_l) + \sum_l \dot{x}_l \zeta(x_i - x_l - \eta) \right) \\
& + (1 - \delta_{ij}) \dot{x}_j \Phi(x_i - x_j) - \dot{x}_j \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

5 The spectral curve

The first of the equations (4.1) determines a connection between the spectral parameters k, λ which is the equation of the spectral curve:

$$R(k, \lambda) = \det(kI - L(\lambda)) = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

As it was already mentioned, the spectral curve is an integral of motion. The matrix $L = L(\lambda)$, which has an essential singularity at $\lambda = 0$, can be represented in the form $L(\lambda) = e^{\eta \zeta(\lambda)} V \tilde{L}(\lambda) V^{-1}$, where matrix elements of $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ do not have essential singularities and V is the diagonal matrix $V_{ij} = \delta_{ij} e^{-\zeta(\lambda)x_i}$. The matrix $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$ reads

$$\tilde{L}_{ij}(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j - \eta + \lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x_i - x_j - \eta)} e^{p_i} \prod_{l \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_l + \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_l)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Using the connection (3.12) between k and z , we represent the spectral curve in the form

$$\det(zI - \tilde{L}(\lambda)) = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

The spectral curve is an N -sheet covering over the λ -plane. Any point of the curve is $P = (z, \lambda)$, where z, λ are connected by equation (5.3) and there are N points above each point λ .

In order to represent the spectral curve in explicit form, we recall the identity

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \left(\frac{\sigma(x_i - y_j + \lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x_i - y_j)} \right) = \frac{\sigma\left(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - y_i)\right) \prod_{i < j} \sigma(x_i - x_j)\sigma(y_j - y_i)}{\sigma(\lambda) \prod_{i, j} \sigma(x_i - y_j)} \quad (5.4)$$

for the determinant of the elliptic Cauchy matrix. Using this identity, one can represent (5.3) as

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \varphi_n(\lambda) I_n z^{N-n} = 0, \quad (5.5)$$

where I_n are the Ruijsenaars-Schneider integrals of motion (1.3) and

$$\varphi_n(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma(\lambda - n\eta)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma^n(\eta)}. \quad (5.6)$$

Let us fix two distinguished points on the spectral curve. As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\tilde{L}(\lambda) = \dot{X}E\lambda^{-1} + O(1),$$

where E is the rank 1 matrix with matrix elements $E_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j . Therefore, using the formula for determinant of the matrix $I + Y$, where Y is a rank 1 matrix, we can write

$$\det(zI - \tilde{L}(\lambda)) = z^N - z^{N-1}\lambda^{-1}I_1 + O(z^{N-1}),$$

or

$$\det(zI - \tilde{L}(\lambda)) = (z - h_N(\lambda)\lambda^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (z - h_j(\lambda)),$$

where the functions h_j are regular functions at $\lambda = 0$ and $h_N(0) = I_1 = H_1$. We see that among N points above $\lambda = 0$ one is distinguished: it is the point $P_\infty = (\infty, 0)$. Another distinguished point is $P_0 = (0, N\eta)$. Since $\varphi_N(N\eta) = 0$, we see that this point indeed belongs to the curve.

6 Dynamics in higher times

6.1 Positive times

In order to study the dynamics of poles in the higher positive times, we take advantage of the relation (2.29), which, after the substitution of the wave functions for elliptic solutions acquires the form

$$\sum_{i, j} \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^{m-1} c_i c_j^* \Phi(x-x_i, \lambda) \Phi(x-x_j+\eta, -\lambda) \right) = \sum_n \partial_{t_m} x_n \left(\zeta(x-x_n) - \zeta(x-x_n+\eta) \right). \quad (6.1)$$

Equating the residues at $x = x_i - \eta$, we obtain:

$$\partial_{t_m} x_i = - \sum_j \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} c_i^* c_j \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta, \lambda) \right). \quad (6.2)$$

In the matrix form, we can write this relation as

$$\partial_{t_m} x_i = - \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} (\partial_{p_i} L) \mathbf{c} \right). \quad (6.3)$$

Summing (6.3) over i and using (3.17), we can write

$$\operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m \lambda'(z) \right) = \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} L \mathbf{c} \right), \quad (6.4)$$

where we took into account that $\sum_i \partial_{p_i} L = L$. Using the fact that $\mathbf{c} = O(1/z)$, we conclude that

$$k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} L \mathbf{c} = \lambda'(z),$$

or

$$\mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} \mathbf{c} = \lambda'(z). \quad (6.5)$$

Next, having (6.5) at hand, we can continue (6.3) as the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_m} x_i &= - \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} (\partial_{p_i} L) \mathbf{c} \right) \\ &= - \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m \partial_{p_i} \left(k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} L \mathbf{c} \right) \right) + \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \partial_{p_i} \left(\mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} \right) L \mathbf{c} \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} L \partial_{p_i} \mathbf{c} \right) + \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m \partial_{p_i} \left(k^{-1} \right) \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} L \mathbf{c} \right) \\ &= - \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m \partial_{p_i} \lambda'(z) \right) + \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m \partial_{p_i} \left(\mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} \right) \mathbf{c} \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} \partial_{p_i} \mathbf{c} \right) - \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m \partial_{p_i} \log k \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1} \mathbf{c} \right) \\ &= - \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^m \lambda'(z) \partial_{p_i} \log k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\partial_{p_i} \log k = \partial_{p_i} \log k(\lambda, \mathbf{I}) \Big|_{\lambda=\text{const}}$, where \mathbf{I} is the full set of integrals of motion. From (3.17) we see that $\partial_{p_i} \log k = \partial_{p_i} \log z(\lambda, \mathbf{I}) \Big|_{\lambda=\text{const}}$. We consider z as an independent variable, whence

$$0 = \frac{d \log z}{d p_i} = \partial_{p_i} \log z \Big|_{\lambda=\text{const}} + \partial_\lambda \log z \Big|_{\mathbf{I}=\text{const}} \partial_{p_i} \lambda$$

or

$$\partial_{p_i} \log z = - \frac{\partial_{p_i} \lambda}{z \lambda'(z)}. \quad (6.6)$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_{t_m} x_i = \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^{m-1} \partial_{p_i} \lambda(z) \right) = \frac{\partial H_m}{\partial p_i}, \quad (6.7)$$

where the Hamiltonian H_m is given by

$$H_m = \operatorname{res}_\infty \left(z^{m-1} \lambda(z) \right). \quad (6.8)$$

This is the first half of the Hamiltonian equations.

The calculation leading to the second half of the Hamiltonian equations is rather involved. Let us present the main steps of it. First of all we note that

$$\dot{X}^{-1}\partial_{x_i}L = [E_i, B^-] + (\tilde{D}^+ - \tilde{D}^0)E_iA^- - \sum_l E_l\zeta(x_l - x_i + \eta)A^- + \sum_{l \neq i} E_l\zeta(x_l - x_i)A^- := Y_i, \quad (6.9)$$

where we have introduced matrices A^- , B^- and diagonal matrices E_i , \tilde{D}^0 , \tilde{D}^\pm defined by their matrix elements as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{jk}^- &= \Phi(x_j - x_k - \eta), & B_{jk}^- &= \Phi'(x_j - x_k - \eta), \\ (E_i)_{jk} &= \delta_{ij}\delta_{ik}, & \tilde{D}_{jk}^0 &= \delta_{jk} \sum_{l \neq j} \zeta(x_j - x_l), & \tilde{D}_{jk}^\pm &= \delta_{jk} \sum_l \zeta(x_j - x_l \pm \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to prove the second half of the Hamiltonian equations it is enough to obtain the equations

$$\partial_{t_m} p_i = \text{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} Y_i \mathbf{c} \right), \quad (6.10)$$

where Y_i is the right hand side of (6.9). Indeed, repeating the arguments after equation (6.5) with the change $\partial_{p_i} \rightarrow \partial_{x_i}$, we obtain the Hamiltonian equations

$$\partial_{t_m} p_i = - \frac{\partial H_m}{\partial x_i} \quad (6.11)$$

with the same Hamiltonian given by (6.8).

It is clear that

$$p_i = \log \dot{x}_i - \sum_{k \neq i} \log \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_k + \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_k)}. \quad (6.12)$$

The t_m -derivative of this equality yields

$$\partial_{t_m} p_i = \dot{x}_i^{-1} \partial_{t_m} \dot{x}_i - \sum_{k \neq i} (\partial_{t_m} x_i - \partial_{t_m} x_k) (\zeta(x_i - x_k + \eta) - \zeta(x_i - x_k)). \quad (6.13)$$

Let us find the first term in the right hand side. For this we differentiate equation (6.3) which we write here in the form

$$\partial_{t_m} x_i = \text{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} E_i A^- \mathbf{c} \right),$$

with respect to t_1 and use the equations (4.1), (4.6). In this way we get

$$\partial_{t_m} \dot{x}_i = \text{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} (M^* E_i A^- - E_i A^- M - E_i \dot{A}^-) \mathbf{c} \right). \quad (6.14)$$

Here the matrices M , M^* are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= (k - z)I + D^0 - D^+ + \dot{X}A - \dot{X}A^-, \\ M^* &= (k - z)I - D^0 + D^- + A\dot{X} - A^-\dot{X}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

where we have introduced the off-diagonal matrix A and diagonal matrices D^0 , D^\pm by their matrix elements

$$A_{jk} = (1 - \delta_{jk})\Phi(x_j - x_k),$$

$$D_{jk}^0 = \delta_{jk} \sum_{l \neq j} \dot{x}_l \zeta(x_j - x_l), \quad D_{jk}^\pm = \delta_{jk} \sum_l \dot{x}_l \zeta(x_j - x_l \pm \eta).$$

Taking into account that $\mathbf{c}^{*T} A^- = k \mathbf{c}^{*T} \dot{X}^{-1}$, $A^- \mathbf{c} = k \dot{X}^{-1} \mathbf{c}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{c}^{*T} \left(M^* E_i A^- - E_i A^- M - E_i \dot{A}^- \right) \mathbf{c} \\ &= \mathbf{c}^{*T} \left(k(D^- - D^0) E_i \dot{X}^{-1} + k A E_i + E_i A^- (D^+ - D^0) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - E_i (A^- \dot{X} A - A \dot{X} A^-) - k E_i A - E_i \dot{X} B^- + E_i B^- \dot{X} \right) \mathbf{c} \end{aligned}$$

Using the identities (3.7), (3.8), one can see after some calculations that the result is

$$\mathbf{c}^{*T} \left(M^* E_i A^- - E_i A^- M - E_i \dot{A}^- \right) \mathbf{c} = k \mathbf{c}^{*T} [A, E_i] \mathbf{c}. \quad (6.16)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\dot{x}_i^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} k [A, E_i] \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}^{*T} \left(A E_i A^- - A^- E_i A \right) \mathbf{c}.$$

The identities (3.7), (3.8) allow us to prove the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & A E_i A^- - A^- E_i A \\ &= [E_i, B^-] + \sum_{l \neq i} \zeta(x_l - x_i) E_l A^- - \sum_l \zeta(x_l - x_i + \eta) A^- E_l \\ & \quad + \sum_{l \neq i} \zeta(x_l - x_i) A^- E_l - \sum_l \zeta(x_l - x_i - \eta) E_l A^-. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i^{-1} \partial_{t_m} \dot{x}_i &= \text{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \left([E_i, B^-] + 2 \sum_{l \neq i} \zeta(x_l - x_i) E_l A^- \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_l \zeta(x_l - x_i + \eta) A^- E_l - \sum_l \zeta(x_l - x_i - \eta) A^- E_l \right) \mathbf{c} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

Now let us transform the rest part of (6.13):

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{k \neq i} (\partial_{t_m} x_i - \partial_{t_m} x_k) (\zeta(x_i - x_k + \eta) - \zeta(x_i - x_k)) \\ &= \text{res}_\infty \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \left(A^- (\tilde{D}^+ - \tilde{D}^0) E_i - \sum_l \zeta(x_i - x_l + \eta) A^- E_l + \sum_{l \neq i} \zeta(x_i - x_l) A^- E_l \right) \mathbf{c} \right) \\ & \quad = \text{res}_\infty \left(z^m \mathbf{c}^{*T} \left(\dot{X}^{-1} (\tilde{D}^+ - \tilde{D}^0) E_i \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$-\dot{X}^{-1} \sum_l \zeta(x_i - x_l + \eta) E_l + \dot{X}^{-1} \sum_{l \neq i} \zeta(x_i - x_l) E_l) \mathbf{c} \Big).$$

Collecting everything together, we finally find:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_m} p_i &= \operatorname{res}_{\infty} \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \left(k \dot{X}^{-1} (\tilde{D}^+ - \tilde{D}^0) E_i \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - k \dot{X}^{-1} \sum_l \zeta(x_i - x_l + \eta) E_l + k \dot{X}^{-1} \sum_{l \neq i} \zeta(x_i - x_l) E_l \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + [E_i, B^-] + 2k \dot{X}^{-1} \sum_{l \neq i} E_l \zeta(x_l - x_i) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - k \dot{X}^{-1} \sum_l E_l \zeta(x_l - x_i + \eta) - k \dot{X}^{-1} \sum_l E_l \zeta(x_l - x_i - \eta) \right) \mathbf{c} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{res}_{\infty} \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \left([E_i, B^-] + k \dot{X}^{-1} (\tilde{D}^+ - \tilde{D}^0) E_i \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + k \dot{X}^{-1} \sum_{l \neq i} \zeta(x_l - x_i) E_l - k \dot{X}^{-1} \sum_l \zeta(x_l - x_i + \eta) E_l \right) \mathbf{c} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{res}_{\infty} \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} \left([E_i, B^-] + \dot{X}^{-1} A^- (\tilde{D}^+ - \tilde{D}^0) E_i \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{l \neq i} \zeta(x_l - x_i) E_l A^- - \sum_l \zeta(x_l - x_i + \eta) E_l A^- \right) \mathbf{c} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{res}_{\infty} \left(z^m k^{-1} \mathbf{c}^{*T} Y_i \mathbf{c} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where Y_i is the right hand side of (6.9). Therefore, the second half of the Hamiltonian equations is proved.

Let us comment on how the result will be modified if we consider a more general tau-function then (1.1) of the form

$$\tau(x, \mathbf{t}) = e^{Q(x, \mathbf{t})} \prod_{i=1}^N \sigma(x - x_i(\mathbf{t})), \quad (6.18)$$

where

$$Q(x, \mathbf{t}) = cx^2 + x \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j t_j + b(\mathbf{t}) \quad (6.19)$$

with some constants c, a_j . (Here we have put $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = 0$ for simplicity.) Repeating the arguments leading to (3.12), one can see that now the first equation in (3.12) will be modified as

$$k = z e^{\eta \zeta(\lambda) - \alpha(z)}, \quad \alpha(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{a_j}{j} z^{-j}. \quad (6.20)$$

Instead of (6.6) we will have

$$\partial_{p_i} \log k = - \frac{\partial_{p_i} \lambda(z)}{z \lambda'(z)} (1 - z \alpha'(z)), \quad (6.21)$$

so the Hamiltonian for the m -th flow will be a linear combination of H_m and H_j with $1 \leq j < m$.

6.2 Negative times

First of all, let us obtain the relation between the velocities $\dot{x}_i = \partial_{t_1} x_i$ and $x'_i = \partial_{\bar{t}_1} x_i$. The relation follows from the Toda equation (2.20), where we substitute the tau-function (1.1) for elliptic solutions:

$$\sum_i \dot{x}'_i \zeta(x - x_i) - \sum_i \dot{x}_i x'_i \wp(x - x_i) = 1 - \prod_i \frac{\sigma(x - x_i + \eta) \sigma(x - x_i - \eta)}{\sigma^2(x - x_i)}.$$

Equating the coefficients in front of the second order poles, we get

$$\dot{x}_i x'_i = -\sigma^2(\eta) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j + \eta) \sigma(x_i - x_j - \eta)}{\sigma^2(x_i - x_j)}. \quad (6.22)$$

Our strategy is to solve the linear problems (2.27) for the complimentary wave functions ϕ , ϕ^\dagger represented as linear combinations of the elementary double-Bloch functions as

$$\phi(x) = \tilde{k}^{x/\eta} e^{\xi(\mathbf{t}, z^{-1})} \sum_i b_i \Phi(x - x_i + \eta, -\mu), \quad (6.23)$$

$$\phi^\dagger(x) = \tilde{k}^{-x/\eta} e^{-\xi(\mathbf{t}, z^{-1})} \sum_i b_i^* \Phi(x - x_i - \eta, \mu),$$

where μ, \tilde{k} are new spectral parameters to be connected with λ, k later and b_i, b_i^* do not depend on x . Identifying the monodromy properties of the functions (6.23) and (2.25), (2.26), we obtain the relations between \tilde{k}, z and μ in the same way as relations (3.12), (3.17) were obtained:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{k} = z e^{-\eta \zeta(\mu)}, \\ \mu = -\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{z^m}{m} \partial_{\bar{t}_m} \sum_i x_i. \end{cases} \quad (6.24)$$

It then follows that

$$\tilde{k} = k e^{-\eta \zeta(\lambda) - \eta \zeta(\mu)}. \quad (6.25)$$

We note that the spectral parameters \tilde{k} and z here have a different meaning than in (3.12), (3.17): they are local parameters on the spectral curve in the vicinity of the point P_0 while in (3.12), (3.17) k^{-1}, z^{-1} are local parameters in the vicinity of the point P_∞ .

The substitution of (6.23) into (2.27) with

$$\bar{v}(x) = \sum_i x'_i (\zeta(x - x_i) - \zeta(x - x_i + \eta))$$

gives, after cancellation of poles at $x = x_i$, the following conditions:

$$x'_i \sum_j b_j \Phi(x_i - x_j + \eta, -\mu) = \tilde{k}^{-1} b_i, \quad (6.26)$$

$$x'_i \sum_j b_j^* \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta, \mu) = -\tilde{k}^{-1} b_i^*.$$

Using the identity (3.6), we can write them in the matrix form as follows:

$$\mathbf{b}^T X'^{-1} \bar{L}(\mu) X' = -\tilde{k}^{-1} \mathbf{b}^T, \quad \bar{L}(\mu) \mathbf{b}^* = -\tilde{k}^{-1} \mathbf{b}^*. \quad (6.27)$$

Here the matrix $\bar{L}(\mu)$ is

$$\bar{L}_{ij}(\mu) = x'_i \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta, \mu) = -\sigma^2(\eta) e^{-p_i} \Phi(x_i - x_j - \eta, \mu) \prod_{l \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_l - \eta)}{\sigma(x_i - x_l)}, \quad (6.28)$$

where we have used the relation (6.22).

Equations (6.27) allow one to write the equation of the spectral curve in the form

$$\det(\tilde{k}^{-1} I + \bar{L}(\mu)) = 0. \quad (6.29)$$

Let us show that it is the same spectral curve as the one given by (5.1) and discussed in section 5. To show this, we find the inverse of the Lax matrix $L(\lambda)$ given by (4.2). We have $(L^{-1}(\lambda))_{kl} = (-1)^{k+l} \text{minor}_{lk} / \det L(\lambda)$ and use the fact that both numerator and denominator here are determinants of the elliptic Cauchy matrices given explicitly by (5.4). In particular,

$$\det L(\lambda) = e^{N\eta\zeta(\lambda)} \left(\prod_i \dot{x}_i \right) \frac{\sigma(\lambda - N\eta)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma^N(-\eta)} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_j)}{\sigma(x_i - x_j - \eta)}. \quad (6.30)$$

After some simple transformations, we obtain for the $(L^{-1}(\lambda))_{kl}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (L^{-1}(\lambda))_{kl} &= -e^{(x_l - x_k - \eta)\zeta(\lambda)} x'_l \frac{\sigma(N\eta - \lambda + x_l - x_k - \eta)}{\sigma(N\eta - \lambda)\sigma(x_l - x_k - \eta)} \\ &\times \prod_{i \neq l} \frac{\sigma(x_l - x_i)}{\sigma(x_l - x_i + \eta)} \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{\sigma(x_k - x_j + \eta)}{\sigma(x_k - x_j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

Therefore, we see that

$$e^{\eta\zeta(\lambda)} (L^T(\lambda))^{-1} \cong -e^{-\eta\zeta(\mu)} \bar{L}(\mu), \quad \mu = N\eta - \lambda, \quad (6.32)$$

where \cong means equality up to a similarity transformation. Taking into account (6.32), (6.25), we write the spectral curve (6.29) in the form

$$\det(k^{-1} I - L^{-1}(\lambda)) = 0$$

which is the same as (5.1). However, in the previous sections and here we expand the spectral curve in the vicinity of different points: it was the point $P_\infty = (\infty, 0)$ in the previous sections and $P_0 = (0, N\eta)$ here.

Using equation (2.31) and repeating the calculations leading to (6.3), (6.5), we obtain the relations

$$\partial_{\bar{i}_m} x_i = -\text{res}_0 \left(z^{-m} \tilde{k}^{-1} \mathbf{b}^T X'^{-1} \partial_{p_i} \bar{L}(\mu) \mathbf{b}^* \right) \quad (6.33)$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}^T X'^{-1} \mathbf{b}^* = \tilde{k}^2 \mu'(z). \quad (6.34)$$

A similar chain of equalities as the one after (6.5) leads to

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_m} x_i = \operatorname{res}_0 \left(z^{-m-1} \partial_{p_i} \mu(z) \right) = \frac{\partial \bar{H}_m}{\partial p_i}, \quad (6.35)$$

where

$$\bar{H}_m = \operatorname{res}_0 \left(z^{-m-1} \mu(z) \right) = -\operatorname{res}_0 \left(z^{-m-1} \lambda(z) \right). \quad (6.36)$$

We see that the Hamiltonians for the negative time flows are obtained from the expansion of $\lambda(z)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$:

$$\lambda(z) = N\eta - \sum_{m \geq 1} \bar{H}_m z^m. \quad (6.37)$$

The other half of the Hamiltonian equations,

$$\partial_{\bar{t}_m} p_i = -\frac{\partial \bar{H}_m}{\partial x_i}, \quad (6.38)$$

can be proved in the same way as equations (6.11) were proved.

7 Degenerations of elliptic solutions

7.1 Rational limit

In the rational limit $\omega, \omega' \rightarrow \infty$ $\sigma(x) = x$ and

$$L_{ij}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\dot{x}_i}{x_i - x_j - \eta} + \frac{\dot{x}_i}{\lambda} \right) e^{-(x_i - x_j)/\lambda + \eta/\lambda}, \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$\dot{x}_i = e^{p_i} \prod_{l \neq i} \frac{x_i - x_l + \eta}{x_i - x_l}.$$

The equation of the spectral curve is

$$\det \left(kI - e^{\eta/\lambda} (L_{\text{rat}} + \lambda^{-1} \dot{X}E) \right) = 0,$$

where L_{rat} is the Lax matrix of the rational Ruijsenaars-Schneider system with matrix elements

$$(L_{\text{rat}})_{ij} = \frac{\dot{x}_i}{x_i - x_j - \eta}. \quad (7.2)$$

Recalling the connection between the spectral parameters k, z, λ $k = ze^{\eta/\lambda}$, we can write the equation of the spectral curve in the form

$$\det \left(zI - L_{\text{rat}} - \lambda^{-1} \dot{X}E \right) = 0. \quad (7.3)$$

Since E is the rank 1 matrix, we have

$$\det \left(I - \lambda^{-1} \dot{X}E \frac{1}{zI - L_{\text{rat}}} \right) = 1 - \lambda^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \left(\dot{X}E \frac{1}{zI - L_{\text{rat}}} \right) = 0,$$

so

$$\lambda = \text{tr} \left(\dot{X} E \frac{1}{zI - L_{\text{rat}}} \right). \quad (7.4)$$

As $z \rightarrow \infty$ we expand this as

$$\lambda(z) = \sum_{m \geq 1} z^{-m} \text{tr} \left(\dot{X} E L_{\text{rat}}^{m-1} \right). \quad (7.5)$$

It is easy to check the commutation relation

$$X L_{\text{rat}} - L_{\text{rat}} X = \dot{X} E + \eta L_{\text{rat}}. \quad (7.6)$$

Using it, we have

$$\text{tr} \left(\dot{X} E L_{\text{rat}}^{m-1} \right) = \text{tr} \left(X L_{\text{rat}}^m - L_{\text{rat}} X L_{\text{rat}}^{m-1} - \eta L_{\text{rat}}^m \right) = -\eta \text{tr} L_{\text{rat}}^m.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\lambda(z) = -\eta \sum_{m \geq 1} z^{-m} \text{tr} L_{\text{rat}}^m \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty \quad (7.7)$$

and thus the Hamiltonians for positive time flows are

$$H_m = -\eta \text{tr} L_{\text{rat}}^m. \quad (7.8)$$

This agrees with the result of papers [18, 19].

In order to find the Hamiltonians for negative time flows we expand (7.4) as $z \rightarrow 0$:

$$\lambda(z) = - \sum_{m \geq 0} z^m \text{tr} \left(\dot{X} E L_{\text{rat}}^{-m-1} \right) = N\eta + \eta \sum_{m \geq 1} z^m \text{tr} L_{\text{rat}}^{-m}. \quad (7.9)$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{H}_m = -\eta \text{tr} L_{\text{rat}}^{-m}. \quad (7.10)$$

This agrees with the result of the paper [20].

7.2 Trigonometric limit

We now pass to the trigonometric limit. Let $\pi i/\gamma$ be period of the trigonometric (or hyperbolic) functions with the second period tending to infinity. The Weierstrass functions in this limit are

$$\sigma(x) = \gamma^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{6}\gamma^2 x^2} \sinh(\gamma x), \quad \zeta(x) = \gamma \coth(\gamma x) - \frac{1}{3} \gamma^2 x.$$

The tau-function for trigonometric solutions is

$$\tau = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(e^{2\gamma x} - e^{2\gamma x_i} \right), \quad (7.11)$$

so we should consider

$$\tau = \prod_{i=1}^N \sigma(x - x_i) e^{\frac{1}{6}\gamma^2(x-x_i)^2 + \gamma(x+x_i)}. \quad (7.12)$$

Similarly to the KP case [13], equation (3.12) with this choice acquires the form

$$\log k = \log z + \eta\gamma \coth(\gamma\lambda). \quad (7.13)$$

The trigonometric limit of the function $\Phi(x, \lambda)$ is

$$\Phi(x, \lambda) = \gamma \left(\coth(\gamma x) + \coth(\gamma\lambda) \right) e^{-\gamma x \coth(\gamma\lambda)}$$

and $L(\lambda)$ takes the form

$$L_{ij}(\lambda) = \gamma e^{\eta\gamma \coth(\gamma\lambda)} e^{-\gamma \coth(\gamma\lambda)(x_i - x_j)} \left(\dot{x}_i \coth(\gamma(x_i - x_j - \eta)) + \dot{x}_i \coth(\gamma\lambda) \right).$$

For further calculations it is convenient to change the variables as

$$w_i = e^{2\gamma x_i}, \quad q = e^{2\gamma\eta} \quad (7.14)$$

and introduce the diagonal matrix $W = \text{diag}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N)$. In this notation, the equation of the spectral curve acquires the form

$$\det(zI - q^{-1/2}W^{1/2}L_{\text{trig}}W^{-1/2} - \gamma(\coth(\gamma\lambda) - 1)\dot{X}E) = 0, \quad (7.15)$$

where L_{trig} is the Lax matrix of the trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider model:

$$(L_{\text{trig}})_{ij} = 2\gamma q^{1/2} \frac{\dot{x}_i w_i^{1/2} w_j^{1/2}}{w_i - qw_j} \quad (7.16)$$

(see [20]). Again, using the fact that E is the rank 1 matrix, we obtain from (7.15)

$$(\coth(\gamma\lambda) - 1)^{-1} = \gamma \text{tr} \left(\dot{X}E \frac{1}{zI - q^{-1/2}W^{1/2}L_{\text{trig}}W^{-1/2}} \right)$$

or

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \log \left(1 + 2\gamma \text{tr} \left(\dot{X}E \frac{1}{zI - q^{-1/2}W^{1/2}L_{\text{trig}}W^{-1/2}} \right) \right).$$

Applying the formula $\det(I + Z) = 1 + \text{tr} Z$ for any matrix Z of rank 1 in the opposite direction, we have

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \log \det \left(\left(zI - q^{-1/2}W^{1/2}L_{\text{trig}}W^{-1/2} + 2\gamma\dot{X}E \right) \frac{1}{zI - q^{-1/2}W^{1/2}L_{\text{trig}}W^{-1/2}} \right). \quad (7.17)$$

Next, we use the trigonometric analogue of the relation (7.6):

$$2\gamma\dot{X}E = q^{-1/2}W^{1/2}L_{\text{trig}}W^{-1/2} - q^{1/2}W^{-1/2}L_{\text{trig}}W^{1/2} \quad (7.18)$$

which can be easily checked. Using this relation, we obtain from (7.17):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \log \det \left(zI - q^{1/2}W^{-1/2}L_{\text{trig}}W^{1/2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\gamma} \log \det \left(zI - q^{-1/2}W^{1/2}L_{\text{trig}}W^{-1/2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \log \det \frac{1 - z^{-1}q^{1/2}L_{\text{trig}}}{1 - z^{-1}q^{-1/2}L_{\text{trig}}} = - \sum_{m \geq 1} z^{-m} \frac{q^{m/2} - q^{-m/2}}{2\gamma m} \text{tr} L_{\text{trig}}^m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we finally obtain

$$\lambda(z) = - \sum_{m \geq 1} z^{-m} \frac{\sinh(\gamma \eta m)}{\gamma m} \text{tr} L_{\text{trig}}^m \quad (7.19)$$

and so the Hamiltonians are

$$H_m = - \frac{\sinh(\gamma \eta m)}{\gamma m} \text{tr} L_{\text{trig}}^m. \quad (7.20)$$

This agrees with the result of paper [20].

8 Examples of the Hamiltonians

We return to the general elliptic case. Let us introduce renormalized integrals of motion

$$J_m = \frac{\sigma(m\eta)}{\sigma^m(\eta)} I_m, \quad m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm N, \quad (8.1)$$

where I_m are integrals of motion (1.3), (1.7). The equation of the spectral curve (5.5) is

$$z^N + \sum_{n=1}^N \phi_n(\lambda) J_n z^{N-n} = 0, \quad (8.2)$$

where

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma(\lambda - n\eta)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(n\eta)}. \quad (8.3)$$

It can be expanded as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ($z \rightarrow \infty$) as follows:

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\sigma(\lambda)} + \zeta(n\eta) \frac{\lambda}{\sigma(\lambda)} - (\zeta^2(n\eta) - \wp(n\eta)) \frac{\lambda^2}{2\sigma(\lambda)} + O(z^{-2}).$$

Expanding the equation of the spectral curve, we have:

$$1 + \zeta(\eta) J_1 z^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} (\zeta^2(\eta) - \wp(\eta)) J_1^2 z^{-2} + \zeta(2\eta) J_2 z^{-2} = \frac{1}{\lambda z} (J_1 + J_2 z^{-1} + J_3 z^{-2}) + O(z^{-3})$$

or

$$\lambda(z) = \frac{z^{-1}(J_1 + J_2 z^{-1} + J_3 z^{-2} + O(z^{-3}))}{1 + \zeta(\eta) J_1 z^{-1} + \zeta(2\eta) J_2 z^{-2} - \frac{1}{2} (\zeta^2(\eta) - \wp(\eta)) J_1^2 z^{-2} + O(z^{-3})}.$$

Expanding this in the series $\lambda(z) = \sum_{m \geq 1} H_m z^{-m}$, we find the first three Hamiltonians:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= J_1, \\ H_2 &= J_2 - \zeta(\eta) J_1^2, \\ H_3 &= J_3 - (\zeta(\eta) + \zeta(2\eta)) J_1 J_2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} \zeta^2(\eta) - \frac{1}{2} \wp(\eta)\right) J_1^3. \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

In the rational limit one can obtain a general formula for H_m . In this limit

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n\eta} - \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

and the equation of the spectral curve becomes

$$z^N + \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{J_n}{n} z^{N-n} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^N J_n z^{N-n}, \quad (8.5)$$

or, recalling that in the rational limit $J_n = n\eta^{1-n}I_n$,

$$\lambda(z) = \frac{\eta \sum_{n=1}^N n I_n (\eta z)^{-n}}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^N I_n (\eta z)^{-n}}. \quad (8.6)$$

Expanding the right hand side in powers of z^{-1} , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= I_1, \\ H_2 &= \eta^{-1}(2I_2 - I_1^2), \\ H_3 &= \eta^{-2}(3I_3 - 3I_1I_2 + I_1^3). \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

In general, from (8.6) it follows that

$$H_m = (-\eta)^{1-m} \sum_{|\nu|=m} C_\nu^m I_{\nu_1} \dots I_{\nu_\ell}, \quad (8.8)$$

where the sum is taken over all Young diagrams ν having $|\nu| = m$ boxes with non-zero rows ν_1, \dots, ν_ℓ and C_ν^m is the matrix of transition from the basis of elementary symmetric polynomials e_j to power sums p_k . Indeed, it is easy to see that the equality

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (-1)^{n-1} p_n z^{-n} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^N m e_m z^{N-m}}{\sum_{r=0}^N e_r z^{N-r}}$$

is equivalent to the well known Newton's identity

$$m e_m = \sum_{n=1}^m (-1)^{n-1} p_n e_{m-n}$$

for the symmetric functions. The explicit formula is

$$H_m = -m\eta^{1-m} \sum_{\substack{r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + mr_m = m \\ r_1 \geq 0, \dots, r_m \geq 0}} \frac{(r_1 + \dots + r_m - 1)!}{r_1! \dots r_m!} \prod_{i=1}^m (-I_i)^{r_i}. \quad (8.9)$$

Let us now consider Hamiltonians for the negative time flows. The equation of the spectral curve (5.5) can be rewritten in the form

$$\varphi_N(\lambda) + \sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_{N-n}(\lambda) I_{-n} z^n = 0 \quad (8.10)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sigma(\mu) + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\sigma(n\eta - \mu)}{\sigma(n\eta)} J_{-n} z^n = 0, \quad \mu \equiv N\eta - \lambda. \quad (8.11)$$

Expanding $\mu \rightarrow 0$ in powers of z as $\mu(z) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \bar{H}_m z^m$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_1 &= -J_{-1}, \\ \bar{H}_2 &= -J_{-2} - \zeta(\eta) J_{-1}^2, \\ \bar{H}_3 &= -J_{-3} - (\zeta(\eta) + \zeta(2\eta)) J_{-1} J_{-2} - \left(\frac{3}{2} \zeta^2(\eta) - \frac{1}{2} \wp(\eta) \right) J_{-1}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (8.12)$$

In the rational limit we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_1 &= \eta^2 I_{-1}, \\ \bar{H}_2 &= \eta^3 (2I_{-2} - I_{-1}^2), \\ \bar{H}_3 &= \eta^4 (3I_{-3} - 3I_{-1} I_{-2} + I_{-1}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (8.13)$$

One can see that the properly arranged limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ in (8.7) of the Hamiltonians for positive time flows yields Hamiltonians of the Calogero-Moser model while the Hamiltonians (8.13) for negative time flows disappear in this limit.

9 Conclusion

The main result of this paper is establishing the precise correspondence between elliptic solutions of the 2D Toda lattice hierarchy and the hierarchy of the Hamiltonian equations for the integrable elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider model with higher Hamiltonians. The zeros of the tau-function move as particles of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model. We have shown that the m th time flow t_m of the 2DTL hierarchy gives rise to the flow with the Hamiltonian H_m of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model which is obtained as m th coefficient of the expansion of the spectral curve $\lambda(z)$ in negative powers of z as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, the m th time flow \bar{t}_m of the 2DTL hierarchy corresponds to the flow with the Hamiltonian \bar{H}_m of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model which is obtained as m th coefficient of the expansion of the spectral curve $\lambda(z)$ in positive powers of z as $z \rightarrow 0$. The first few Hamiltonians were found explicitly.

For rational and trigonometric degenerations of elliptic solutions the previous results of the papers [18, 19, 20] obtained there by a different method are reproduced: the m th time flow t_m of the 2DTL hierarchy gives rise to the flow with the Hamiltonian H_m proportional to $\text{tr } L^m$, where L is the Lax matrix, while the time flow \bar{t}_m gives rise to the Hamiltonian flow with the Hamiltonian \bar{H}_m proportional to $\text{tr } L^{-m}$.

Acknowledgments

The research of A.Z. has been funded within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program and the Russian Academic Excellence Project '5-100'.

References

- [1] K. Ueno and K. Takasaki, *Toda lattice hierarchy*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **4** (1984) 1–95.
- [2] H. Airault, H.P. McKean, and J. Moser, *Rational and elliptic solutions of the Korteweg-De Vries equation and a related many-body problem*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., **30** (1977) 95–148.
- [3] F. Calogero, *Solution of the one-dimensional N -body problems with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971) 419–436.
- [4] F. Calogero, *Exactly solvable one-dimensional many-body systems*, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **13** (1975) 411–415.
- [5] J. Moser, *Three integrable Hamiltonian systems connected with isospectral deformations*, Adv. Math. **16** (1975) 197–220.
- [6] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Classical integrable finite-dimensional systems related to Lie algebras*, Phys. Rep. **71** (1981) 313–400.
- [7] I.M. Krichever, *Rational solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and integrable systems of N particles on a line*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **12:1** (1978) 59–61.
- [8] D.V. Chudnovsky, G.V. Chudnovsky, *Pole expansions of non-linear partial differential equations*, Nuovo Cimento **40B** (1977) 339–350.
- [9] I.M. Krichever, *Elliptic solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and integrable systems of particles*, Funk. Anal. i Ego Pril. **14:4** (1980) 45–54 (in Russian); English translation: Functional Analysis and Its Applications **14:4** (1980) 282–290.
- [10] T. Shiota, *Calogero-Moser hierarchy and KP hierarchy*, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994) 5844–5849.
- [11] L. Haine, *KP trigonometric solitons and an adelic flag manifold*, SIGMA **3** (2007) 015.
- [12] A. Zabrodin, *KP hierarchy and trigonometric Calogero-Moser hierarchy*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **61** (2020) 043502, arXiv:1906.09846.
- [13] V. Prokofev and A. Zabrodin, *Elliptic solutions to the KP hierarchy and elliptic Calogero-Moser model*, arXiv:2102.03784.
- [14] I. Krichever, A. Zabrodin, *Spin generalization of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model, non-abelian 2D Toda chain and representations of Sklyanin algebra*, Uspekhi Math. Nauk, **50** (1995) 3–56.

- [15] A. Zabrodin, *Elliptic solutions to integrable nonlinear equations and many-body systems*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **146** (2019) 103506, arXiv:1905.11383.
- [16] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars and H. Schneider, *A new class of integrable systems and its relation to solitons*, Ann. Phys. **170** (1986) 370–405.
- [17] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, *Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic function identities*, Commun. Math. Phys. **110** (1987) 191–213.
- [18] P. Iliev, *Rational Ruijsenaars-Schneider hierarchy and bispectral difference operators*, Physica D **229** (2007), no. 2, 184–190, arXiv:math-ph/0609011.
- [19] A. Zabrodin, *The master T-operator for inhomogeneous XXX spin chain and mKP hierarchy*, SIGMA **10** (2014), 006.
- [20] V. Prokofev and A. Zabrodin, *Toda lattice hierarchy and trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider hierarchy*, Journal of Physics A: Math. Theor., **52** (2019) 495202, arXiv:1907.06621.
- [21] A. Zabrodin, *On matrix modified Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy*, Teor. Mat. Fys. **199** (2019) 343–356 (English translation: Matrix modified Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy, Theor. Math. Phys. **199** (2019) 771–783, arXiv:1802.02797).
- [22] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, *Transformation groups for soliton equations: Nonlinear integrable systems – classical theory and quantum theory* (Kyoto, 1981). Singapore: World Scientific, 1983, 39–119.
- [23] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, *Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto **19** (1983) 943–1001.