Phase modulated domain walls and dark solitons for surface gravity waves

Harneet Kaur^{a,b}, Shailza Pathania^a, Amit Goyal^{c,*}, C. N. Kumar^a, Daniela Milovic^d

^aDepartment of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh 160014, India ^bDepartment of Physics, Government College for Women, Karnal 132001, India ^cDepartment of Physics, Goswami Ganesh Dutta Sanatan Dharma College, Chandigarh

160030, India

^d Faculty of Electronic Engineering, Department of Telecommunications, University of Nis, Aleksandra, Medvedeva 14, 18000 Nis, Serbia

Abstract

We report theoretical prediction of exact localized solutions for dynamics of surface gravity waves, at the critical point $kh \approx 1.363$, modelled by higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The model possess domain walls (kink solitons) and dark solitons modulated through different phase profiles. The parametric domains are delineated for the existence of soliton solutions. The effect of wave parameters have been discussed on the amplitude of surface gravity waves. Our work is motivated by Tsitoura et al. [1], on experimental and analytical observation of phase domain walls for deep water surface gravity waves modelled by nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

Keywords: Domain walls, Rational solution, Surface gravity waves,

Higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation

2010 MSC: 35C08, 35Q55, 76B15

1. Introduction

Domain walls (DWs) are the defects in the system which appear due to symmetry breaking. These are localized and shape preserving structures, which

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: amit.goyal@ggdsd.ac.in (Amit Goyal), cnkumar@pu.ac.in (C. N. Kumar), daniela.milovic@elfak.ni.ac.rs (Daniela Milovic)

rise or descend from one asymptotic state to another. DWs appear as interface separating two stable states of the system such as region of different alignment of spin in magnetic materials [2], regions of trivial and nontrivial phase for the phenomena of convection in pure and binary fluids [3, 4], collision of travelling waves [5, 6, 7]. DWs connect the region having different amplitude and phase. These are also called as wave-jumps which appear as kink-type solutions of nonlinear evolution equations. DWs are also observed in nonlinear optics [8, 9] and surface gravity waves [10, 11]. Recently, Tsitoura et al. [1] have reported experimental observation of phase domain walls for deep water surface gravity waves modelled by nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE).

In earlier works, stable wave pattern for deep water surface gravity waves are studied numerically [12, 13]. Stability of these waves is analyzed by adding dissipation to the system [14]. Dissipation stabilizes the system by bounding the growth of perturbation before nonlinearity comes into play. Hammack et al. [15] have experimentally studied progressive surface waves in deep water using standard and coupled NLSE. They analyzed the persistence of these waves in different parameter regime. In Ref. [16], authors have obtained stable biperiodic pattern on deep water in the presence of damping. In this work, we consider the surface gravity waves at the critical point, $kh \approx 1.363$ where k is wave number and h is undisturbed water depth, as it travels from deep to shallow water. Stability of periodic waves around this critical point is studied in the Refs. [17, 18]. Due to phenomena of modulational instability, periodic wave train becomes stable when kh < 1.363 (shallow water) and unstable for kh > 1.363 (deep water). Thus for kh > 1.363, modulation instability leads to formation of solitons. At the critical value of $kh \approx 1.363$, cubic term vanishes therefore higher order terms should be added to NLSE [19]. In ref. [19], author have studied the dynamics of water waves near critical point and presented the modulational instability regions . Slunyav extended this work and derived higher-order NLSE for the case of vanishing cubic nonlinearity [20]. Grimshaw et al. [21] obtained rescaled higher-order NLSE for water waves at $kh \approx 1.363$ and analyzed the behavior of wave as it propagates from deep to shallow water. Similar kind of equations are studied in various physical contexts to obtain bright and dark solitons [22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

In this paper, we analyzed higher-order NLSE to obtain kink and rational dark soliton solutions, modulated through different phase profiles, for surface gravity waves at the critical point $kh \approx 1.363$. The rational solution was firstly studied for ϕ^6 model [27] and also explored in the field of nonlinear optics [28, 29, 30]. Here, our exact analysis takes recourse to identify these solutions for the ensuing model under certain parametric conditions. We demonstrate the control of these solutions for judicious choice of model and wave parameters.

An outline of the paper is as follow. In section 2, model equation and rescaled parameters are briefly discussed. In section 3, we outline the procedure to obtain exact localized solutions. We present domain wall and dark solitons for the governing model. We make the concluding remarks in section 4.

2. Model Equation

We considered the higher-order NLSE for the evolution of surface gravity waves at $kh \approx 1.363$ as [21]

$$iA_{\tau} + \lambda_0 A_{\chi\chi} + \mu_0 |A|^2 A + i\alpha_0 |A|^2 A_{\chi} + i\beta_0 A^2 A_{\chi}^* + \nu_0 |A|^4 A = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where A is slowing varying complex envelope of carrier wave, τ denotes time, χ is spatial coordinate, $\lambda_0, \mu_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0$ and ν_0 are model parameters. For surface gravity waves near $kh \approx 1.363$, these parameters have the values $\lambda_0 = -0.2657\omega k^{-2}, \mu_0 = 0.0002\omega k^2, \alpha_0 = 0.6833\omega k, \beta_0 = -0.2678\omega k$ and $\nu_0 = -0.3864\omega k^4$ [20, 21]. Introducing dimensionless variables $U = kA, x = k\chi$ and $\tau' = \omega\tau$, the model equation (1) can be rewritten in dimensionless form as

$$iU_{\tau'} + \lambda' U_{xx} + \mu' |U|^2 U + i\alpha' |U|^2 U_x + i\beta' U^2 U_x^* + \nu' |U|^4 U = 0, \qquad (2)$$

where $\lambda' = -0.2657, \mu' = 0.0002, \alpha' = 0.6833, \beta' = -0.2678$ and $\nu' = -0.3864$. The number of model parameters is reduced by dividing the Eq. (2) with λ' and using the transformation $t = \lambda' \tau'$, which modifies the model parameters as $\mu = \mu' / \lambda'$, $\alpha = \alpha' / \lambda'$, $\beta = \beta' / \lambda'$ and $\nu = \nu' / \lambda'$. Rescaled higher-order NLSE reads

$$iU_t + U_{xx} + \mu |U|^2 U + i\alpha |U|^2 U_x + i\beta U^2 U_x^* + \nu |U|^4 U = 0,$$
(3)

where the dimensionless parameters have the values $\mu = -0.0008$, $\alpha = -2.5717$, $\beta = 1.0079$ and $\nu = 1.4543$. Here, the dimensionless variables are related to model variables through the relations A = U/k, $\chi = x/k$ and $\tau = t/(\lambda'\omega)$. For negative range of dimensionless variable t, the time variable τ will have positive range.

3. Exact localized solutions

In order to solve the Eq. (3) for exact localized solutions, we choose ansatz of the form

$$U(x,t) = a(\xi)e^{i(\psi(\xi) - \Omega t)},\tag{4}$$

where a and ψ are real functions of ξ , $\xi = x - vt$ is a travelling coordinate, and Ω, v are real parameters. Substituting Eq. (4) into Eq. (3) and separating the real and imaginary parts, we obtain

$$a\Omega + av\psi' + a'' - \psi'^2 a + \mu a^3 - \alpha a^3 \psi' + \nu a^5 + \beta a^3 \psi' = 0,$$
 (5)

and

$$-a'v + 2a'\psi' + \psi''a + \alpha a'a^2 + \beta a'a^2 = 0.$$
 (6)

Integrating Eq. (6) w.r.t. ξ , gives

$$\psi' = \frac{I}{2a^2} + \frac{v}{2} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)a^2}{4},\tag{7}$$

where I is constant of integration. Substituting expression for ψ' into Eq. (5), we obtain

$$a^{''} + b_1 a^5 + b_2 a^3 + b_3 a = \frac{I^2}{4a^3},$$
(8)

where

$$b_1 = \nu - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{16} - \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{4}, \quad b_2 = \mu + (\beta - \alpha)\frac{v}{2}, \quad b_3 = \Omega + \frac{v^2}{4} + \frac{(3\beta - \alpha)}{4}I.$$

Eq. (7) gives the phase profile for specific form of $a(\xi)$ obtained by solving the Eq. (8). Eq. (8) is studied in different contexts [31, 32], and possesses a class of solutions such as rational solution [27], bright and dark soliton [33], and kink and periodic solution [34], in different parameter regimes. In this work, we present kink and rational solutions for which surface gravity waves have domain wall and dark soliton-like amplitude profiles, respectively.

3.1. Domain walls

In order to obtain kink type domain walls for Eq. (8), we use transformation $a = \sqrt{\sigma + \gamma}$, where γ is a real constant. Using this transformation, Eq. (8) can be rewritten as

$$\sigma'' + \frac{8}{3}b_1\sigma^3 + 2(\frac{3}{2}b_2 + 4b_1\gamma)\sigma^2 + 2(2b_3 + 3b_2\gamma + 4b_1\gamma^2)\sigma + 4b_3\gamma + 3b_2\gamma^2 + \frac{8}{3}b_1\gamma^3 - 4k = 0,$$
(9)
where k is a constant of integration. For $\gamma = -\frac{-3b_2}{2}$ and $k = -\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{3b_2^3 - 16b_1b_2b_3}{2}\right)$

where k is a constant of integration. For $\gamma = \frac{-3b_2}{8b_1}$ and $k = \frac{3}{128} \left(\frac{3b_2^3 - 16b_1b_2b_3}{b_1^2} \right)$, Eq. (9) reduces to the cubic elliptic equation of the form

$$\sigma^{''} + (4b_3 - \frac{9b_2^2}{8b_1})\sigma + \frac{8}{3}b_2\sigma^3 = 0.$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

For $b_2 < 0$ and $(4b_3 - \frac{9b_2^2}{8b_1}) > 0$, Eq. (10) has standard kink solution. The corresponding solution for Eq. (8) reads

$$a(\xi) = \sqrt{m \tanh(l\xi) + \gamma},\tag{11}$$

such that $m = \sqrt{\frac{27b_2}{64b_1} - \frac{3b_3}{2b_2}}$ and $l = \sqrt{2b_3 - \frac{9b_2^2}{16b_1}}$. Parametric condition $b_2 < 0$ fixes the range of velocity as $v < \frac{-2\mu}{\beta - \alpha}$ and $(4b_3 - \frac{9b_2^2}{8b_1}) > 0$ gives range of integration constant as $I > \frac{4}{(3\beta - \alpha)} \left(\frac{9b_2^2}{32b_1} - (\Omega + \frac{v^2}{4})\right)$. The corresponding phase profile is given as

$$\psi(\xi) = \frac{v\xi}{2} - \frac{1}{4} (\alpha + \beta) \left(\gamma \xi + \frac{m \log(\cosh(l\xi))}{l} \right) + \frac{I(-l\gamma\xi + m \log(\gamma \cosh(l\xi) + m \sinh(l\xi)))}{2 (lm^2 - l\gamma^2)}.$$
 (12)

For the given values of model parameters (listed in the section 2), the kink soliton given by Eq. (11) and phase profile are shown in Fig. 1 for different



Figure 1: (a) Kink solution represented by Eq. (11), and (b) the corresponding phase profile, for I = -0.1 (solid line) and I = -0.2 (dashed line). The other parameters are given in the text.

values of constant of integration 'I' and v = -0.05. For I = -0.1 and -0.2, the parameter ω is chosen as 0.14011 and 0.28000, respectively. For this choice, the other wave parameters are listed in Table 1. From Eq. (12), one can observe

Ι	m	1	γ
(constant of integration)	(amplitude)	(width)	(background)
-0.1	0.00425	0.00147	0.01253
-0.2	0.01005	0.00348	0.01253

Table 1: Wave parameters for different values of constant of integration

that phase would be singular under the condition $m^2 - \gamma^2 = 0$, which imposes constraint on the value of I for specific choice of v and Ω . The complex solution for Eq. (3) reads

$$U(x,t) = \sqrt{m \tanh(l\xi) + \gamma} e^{i(\psi(\xi) - \Omega t)}.$$
(13)

In Fig. 2, we depicted the amplitude profile of surface gravity waves for the model equation (1), for different values of I, modulated through different phase profiles. In the limiting case of vanishing higher order contribution i.e. $\nu = 0$,



Figure 2: Amplitude profile of kink solitons for surface gravity waves modelled by Eq. (1) for different values of I, (a) I = -0.1 and (b) I = -0.2, respectively. The other parameters are given in the text. The variables A and χ are plotted in units of 1/k, and τ is in units of $1/\omega$.

the allowed range of wave parameter v or Ω will get modified. It is observed that for $\Omega = 0.28$ and I = -0.2, the allowed range of v is -0.0506 < v < 0.0004 for quintic parameter $\nu = 1.4543$ and -0.0213 < v < 0.0004 for quintic parameter $\nu = 0$.

3.2. Dark solitons

In this section, we demonstrate the existence of dark solitons for surface gravity waves. For I = 0, Eq. (8) admits rational solution of the form [27, 28, 35]

$$a(\xi) = \frac{p \, \sinh(q\xi)}{\sqrt{\epsilon + \sinh(q\xi)^2}},\tag{14}$$

where $p = \sqrt{-\frac{2b_3}{b_2} \left(\frac{2\epsilon-3}{\epsilon-3}\right)}$, $q = \sqrt{-b_3 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-3}\right)}$ and $\frac{b_1b_3}{b_2^2} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{(\epsilon-1)(\epsilon-3)}{(2\epsilon-3)^2}$. The phase profile is given as

$$\psi(\xi) = \frac{v \xi}{2} - \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{4}\right) p^2 \left(\xi - \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon} \operatorname{arctanh}(\frac{\sqrt{-1 + \epsilon} \operatorname{tanh}(q\xi)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}})}{q\sqrt{-1 + \epsilon}}\right).$$
(15)

The corresponding complex envelope for the model Eq. (3) reads

$$U(x,t) = \frac{p \sinh(q\xi)}{\sqrt{\epsilon + \sinh(q\xi)^2}} e^{i(\psi(\xi) - \Omega t)}.$$
 (16)

Here, the parameters p and q should be real which impose the condition on the

Range for ϵ	Condition on the coefficients b_1 , b_2 and b_3	Parametric constraints
$\epsilon < 0$	$b_1 < 0, b_2 > 0$ and $b_3 < 0$	$v > \frac{-2\mu}{\beta - \alpha}, \ \Omega < -\frac{v^2}{4}$
$0 < \epsilon < 1$	$b_1 > 0, b_2 < 0 \text{ and } b_3 > 0$	$v < \frac{-2\mu}{\beta - \alpha}, \ \Omega > -\frac{v^2}{4}$
$1 < \epsilon < \frac{3}{2}$	$b_1 < 0, b_2 < 0 \text{ and } b_3 > 0$	$v < \frac{-2\mu}{\beta - \alpha}, \ \Omega > -\frac{v^2}{4}$
$\frac{3}{2} < \epsilon < 3$	$b_1 < 0, b_2 > 0$ and $b_3 > 0$	$v > \frac{-2\mu}{\beta - \alpha}, \ \Omega > -\frac{v^2}{4}$
$\epsilon > 3$	$b_1 < 0, b_2 > 0$ and $b_3 < 0$	$v > \frac{-2\mu}{\beta - \alpha}, \ \Omega < -\frac{v^2}{4}$

Table 2: Parametric constraint for different range of ϵ



Figure 3: (a) Kink solution represented by Eq. (14), and (b) the corresponding phase profile, for v = -1.0 (solid line) and v = -0.6 (dashed line). The other parameters are given in the text.

sign of coefficients b_1 , b_2 and b_3 for different ranges of ϵ , under some constraints on wave parameters, as summarize in the table 2. For the given set of model parameters, listed in the section 2, the coefficient b_1 turns out to be greater than zero, hence solution given by Eq. (14) is possible in the range $0 < \epsilon < 1$ (see table 2) and v < 0.0004. The profile of kink solution is shown in Fig. 3(a) for different values of v = -1.0 & -0.6 and $\Omega = -0.2$ & -0.08, respectively. Here, it is shown that the amplitude of the kink solution can be controlled by varying the value of v and Ω which in turn modulate the phase profile as shown in Fig. 3(b). For the choice of v = -1.0 and -0.6, the wave parameters comes out to



Figure 4: Amplitude profile of dark solitons for surface gravity waves modelled by Eq. (1) for different values of v, (a) v = -1.0 and (b) v = -0.6. The other parameters are given in the text. The variables A and χ are plotted in units of 1/k, and τ is in units of $1/\omega$.

be p = 0.1709, q = 0.1544, $\epsilon = 0.9687$ and p = 0.0976, q = 0.0698, $\epsilon = 0.9835$, respectively. The corresponding amplitude profile of the complex solution of Eq. (1) will be of the form of dark solitons as depicted in Fig. 4.

In the limit $\epsilon = 1$, the coefficients would be $b_2 < 0$, $b_3 > 0$ and $b_1 = 0$ i.e. the Eq. (8) reduces to the cubic elliptic equation and the solution given by Eq. (14) reduces to standard kink solution as

$$a(\xi) = a_0 \tanh(m_0\xi),\tag{17}$$

where $a_0 = \sqrt{\frac{-b_3}{b_2}}$ and $m_0 = \sqrt{\frac{b_3}{2}}$. Condition on b_2 and b_3 implies that $v < \frac{-2\mu}{\beta - \alpha}$ and $\Omega > -\frac{v^2}{4}$, and the condition $b_1 = 0$ imposes constraint on model parameters as $\nu = \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{4} + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{16}$.

4. Conclusions

We have examined the new class of exact localized solutions for surface gravity waves modulated through different phase profiles. We consider the model which governs water waves at critical value, $kh \approx 1.363$, and presented the domain wall and rational dark soliton for the set of model parameters obtained in the Refs. [20, 21] for fixed range of wave parameters v and Ω . We discuss the effect of wave parameters on the amplitude of surface gravity waves. Experimental realization of domain walls for deep water surface gravity waves in the framework of NLSE, reported by Tsitoura et al. [1], motivated us to look for domain wall solutions for surface gravity waves modelled by higher-order NLSE at critical point $kh = \approx 1.363$.

Acknowledgements

A.G. and H.K. would like to thank Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India for the award of SERB Start-Up Research Grant (Young Scientists) and student fellowship, respectively, under the sanction no: YSS/2015/001803, during the course of this work. S.P. would like to thank Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India for Junior Research Fellowship through Inspire Scheme under the sanction no: IF170725. We would like to thank the referees for their useful and constructive comments.

References

- F. Tsitoura, U. Gietz, A. Chabchoub, N. Hoffmann, Phase domain walls in weakly nonlinear deep water surface gravity waves, Phy. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 224102.
- [2] P. L. Weiss, Hypothesis of the molecular field and ferromagnetism, J. Phys. 6 (1907) 661–690.
- [3] M. C. Cross, G. Tesauro, H. S. Greenside, Wavebumber selection and persistent dynamics in models of convection, Physica D 23 (1986) 12–18.
- [4] B. A. Malomed, A. A. Nepomnyashchy, M. L. Tribelsky, Domain boundaries in convection patterns, Phys. Rev. A 42 (1990) 7244–7263.
- [5] P. Habdas, M. J. Case, J. R. D. Bruyn, Behavior of sink and source defects in a one-dimensional traveling finger pattern, Phy. Rev. E 63 (2001) 066305.

- [6] B. A. Malomed, Domain wall between traveling waves, Phy. Rev E 50 (1994) R3310-R3313.
- [7] I. Aranson, L. Tsimring, Domain walls in wave patterns, Phy. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 3273–3277.
- [8] M. Haelterman, A. P. Sheppard, Bifurcation of the dark soliton and polarization domain walls in nonlinear dispersive media, Phys. Rev. E 49 (1994) 4512–4518.
- [9] B. A. Malomed, Optical domain walls, Phy. Rev. E 50 (1994) 1565–1571.
- [10] D. H. Peregrine, Wave jumps and caustics in the propagation of finiteamplitude water waves, J. Fluid Mech. 136 (1983) 435–452.
- [11] A. J. Roberts, Highly nonlinear short-crested water waves, J. Fluid Mech. 135 (1983) 301–321.
- [12] D. P. Nicholls, Traveling water waves: Spectral continuation methods with parallel implementation, J. Comput. Phys. 143 (1998) 224–240.
- [13] W. Craig, D. P. Nicholls, Traveling two and three dimensional capillary gravity water waves, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 32 (2000) 323–359.
- H. Segur, D. Henderson, J. Carter, J. Hammack, C. M. Li, D. Pheiff,
 K. Socha, Stabilizing the Benjamin-Feir instability, J. Fluid Mech. 539 (2005) 229–271.
- [15] J. Hammack, D. M. Henderson, H. Segur, Progressive waves with persistent two-dimensional surface patterns in deep water, J. Fluid Mech. 532 (2005) 1–52.
- [16] D. M. Henderson, H. Segur, J. D. Carter, Experimental evidence of stable wave patterns on deep water, J. Fluid Mech. 658 (2010) 247–278.
- [17] T. B. Benjamin, Instability of periodic wavetrains in nonlinear dispersive systems., Proc. R. Soc. A 299 (1967) 59–75.

- [18] T. B. Benjamin, J. E. Feir, The disintegration of wavetrains in deep water Part 1. Theory, J. Fluid Mech. 27 (1967) 417–430.
- [19] R. S. Johnson, On the modulation of water waves in the neighbourhood of $kh \approx 1.363$, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 357 (1977) 131–141.
- [20] A. V. Slunyav, A higher order nonlinear envelope equation for gravity waves in finite-depth water, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 101 (2005) 926–941.
- [21] H. J. Grimshaw, S. Y. Annenkov, Water wave packets over variable depth, Stud. Appl. Math. 126 (2011) 409–427.
- [22] Y. V. Sedletsky, The fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation for the envelope of stokes waves on the surface of a finite-depth fluid, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 97 (2003) 180–193.
- [23] E. Kengne, W. M. Liu, Exact solutions of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation for a nonlinear transmission line, Phys. Rev. E 73 (2006) 026603.
- [24] I. S. Gandzha, Y. V. Sedletsky, Bright and dark solitons on the surface of finite-depth fluid below the modulation instability threshold, Phys. Lett. A 381 (2017) 1784–1790.
- [25] W. Liu, Y. Zhang, Optical soliton solutions, explicit power series solutions and linear stability analysis of the quintic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Opt. Quant. Electron. 65 (2019) 1–13.
- [26] F. Tsitoura, T. P. Horikis, D. J. Frantzeskakis, Dark solitons for an extended quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation: Application to water waves at kh = 1.363, Rom. Rep. Phys. 71 (2019) 1–11.
- [27] N. H. Christ, T. D. Lee, Quantum expansion of soliton solutions, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1975) 1606–1627.
- [28] Alka, A. Goyal, R. Gupta, C. N. Kumar, T. S. Raju, Chirped femtosecond solitons and double-kink solitons in the cubic-quintic nonlinear Schrödinger

equation with self-steepening and self-frequency shift, Phy. Rev. A 84 (2011) 063830.

- [29] L. E. A. Meza, A. de Souza Dutra, M. B. Hott, Wide localized solitons in systems with time- and space-modulated nonlinearities, Phys. Rev. E 86 (2012) 026605.
- [30] L. E. A. Meza, A. de Souza Dutra, M. B. Hott, P. Roy, Wide localized solutions of the parity-time-symmetric nonautonomous nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Phys. Rev. E 91 (2015) 013205.
- [31] V. M. Vyas, P. Patel, P. K. Panigrahi, C. N. Kumar, W. Greiner, Chirped chiral solitons in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with self-steepening and self-frequency shift, Phys. Rev. A 78 (2008) 021803.
- [32] C. N. Kumar, P. Durganandini, New phase modulated solutions for a higher-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Pramana-J. Phys 53 (1999) 271–277.
- [33] S. N. Behra, A. Khare, Classical φ⁶- field theory in (1+1) dimensions. A model for structural phase transitions, Pramana-J. Phys. 15 (1980) 245– 269.
- [34] E. Magyari, Kinks and periodons at a T = 0 first order phase transition point in one-dimensional anharmonic lattices, Z. Phys. B 43 (1981) 345– 351.
- [35] A. Goyal, V. K. Sharma, T. S. Raju, C. N. Kumar, Chirped double-kink and fractional-transform solitons in an optical gain medium with two-photon absorption, J. Mod. Opt. 61 (2014) 315–321.