## A HIGHER-ORDER GENERALIZED SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITION FOR RANK DEFICIENT MATRICES<sup>∗</sup>

IDRIS KEMPF† , PAUL J. GOULART† , AND STEPHEN R. DUNCAN†

Abstract. The higher-order generalized singular value decomposition (HO-GSVD) is a matrix factorization technique that extends the GSVD to  $N \geq 2$  data matrices, and can be used to identify shared subspaces in multiple large-scale datasets with different row dimensions. The standard HO-GSVD factors N matrices  $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i \times n}$  as  $A_i = U_i \Sigma_i V^T$ , but requires that each of the matrices  $A_i$  has full column rank. We propose a reformulation of the HO-GSVD that extends its applicability to rank-deficient data matrices  $A_i$ . If the matrix of stacked  $A_i$  has full rank, we show that the properties of the original HO-GSVD extend to our reformulation. The HO-GSVD captures shared right singular vectors of the matrices  $A_i$ , and we show that our method also identifies directions that are unique to the image of a single matrix. We also extend our results to the higher-order cosine-sine decomposition (HO-CSD), which is closely related to the HO-GSVD. Our extension of the standard HO-GSVD allows its application to datasets with  $m_i < n$ , such as are encountered in bioinformatics, neuroscience, control theory or classification problems.

Key words. Higher-Order Generalized Singular Value Decomposition, Higher-Order Cosine-Sine Decomposition, Diagonalization, Multimodal Data Fusion.

AMS subject classifications. 65F15, 65F55.

1. Introduction. The *generalized singular value decomposition* (GSVD) [\[12\]](#page-7-0) is an extension of the wellknown singular value decomposition (SVD) to  $N = 2$  matrices. The GSVD is concerned with the decomposition of two matrices,  $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n}$  and  $A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2 \times n}$  with  $m_1 \geq n$ , and factorizes each of the matrices as  $A_i = U_i \Sigma_i V^T$ . The matrix of right basis vectors  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , with det  $V \neq 0$ , is shared among the decompositions but is not an orthogonal matrix, in contrast to the standard SVD. The columns of the matrices  $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i \times m_i}$  are commonly referred to as left generalized singular vectors, each satisfying  $U_i^{\mathrm{T}}U_i = I$ . The matrices  $\Sigma_i = \text{diag}(\sigma_{i,1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i,r}),$ with  $\sigma_{i,k} \geq 0$  and  $r = \text{rank}[A_1^T, A_2^T]^T$ , contain the *generalized singular values*. In contrast to an SVD, where the singular values represent an amplification in a particular direction, the generalized singular values measure the relative significance of the right basis vectors in the image of each  $A_i$ . If  $\sigma_{1,k} = \sigma_{2,k}$ , then  $v_k$  contributes equally to the images of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ .

The higher-order GSVD (HO-GSVD) [\[11\]](#page-7-1) is an extension of the GSVD to  $N \geq 2$  matrices. Given N matrices  $A_1, \ldots, A_N$ , the HO-GSVD decomposes each  $A_i$  as

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
(1.1) \t\t A_i = U_i \Sigma_i V^{\mathrm{T}},
$$

where  $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i \times n}$ ,  $\Sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with det  $V \neq 0$  is shared among all factorizations. The matrix V is obtained from the eigensystem  $S_{\pi}V = V\Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma := diag(\varsigma_1, \ldots, \varsigma_n)$  and  $S_{\pi}$  is the arithmetic mean of all pairwise quotients  $D_{i,\pi} D_{j,\pi}^{-1}$ ,

<span id="page-0-1"></span>(1.2) 
$$
S_{\pi} := \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \left( D_{i,\pi} D_{j,\pi}^{-1} + D_{j,\pi} D_{i,\pi}^{-1} \right),
$$

with  $D_{i,\pi}$  defined as

<span id="page-0-2"></span>
$$
(1.3) \t\t D_{i,\pi} := A_i^{\mathrm{T}} A_i + \pi A^{\mathrm{T}} A,
$$

where  $A := [A_1^T, \ldots, A_N^T]^T$ . The standard HO-GSVD framework [\[11\]](#page-7-1) corresponds to the case  $\pi = 0$ . We introduce the term  $\pi A^T A$  with  $\pi > 0$  and will show that it allows us to accommodate rank-deficient matrices  $A_i$ .

As in the case of the GSVD, the columns of the matrices  $U_i$  are the left generalized singular vectors and the diagonal matrices  $\Sigma_i$  contain the generalized singular values. It can be shown that the GSVD is a special case of the HO-GSVD with  $N = 2$  and that the standard SVD of  $A_j$  can be obtained from setting  $A_i = I$  for  $i \neq j$ and  $N \ge 2$  [\[11\]](#page-7-1). For the case  $\pi = 0$  it was shown in [11] that the subspace associated with the unit eigenvalues of  $S_{\pi}$  forms a *common HO-GSVD subspace*, and we show that this property is preserved for  $\pi > 0$ . This subspace

<sup>∗</sup>Submitted February 22, 2021.

Funding: The research leading to these results is supported by Diamond Light Source and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) with a CASE studentship.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PJ, UK [\(idris.kempf@eng.ox.ac.uk](mailto:idris.kempf@eng.ox.ac.uk)[,paul.goulart@eng.ox.ac.uk,](mailto:paul.goulart@eng.ox.ac.uk) [stephen.duncan@eng.ox.ac.uk\)](mailto:stephen.duncan@eng.ox.ac.uk).

is spanned by the right basis vectors  $v_k$  that are of equal significance in all  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  and therefore associated with the generalized singular values for which  $\sigma_{i,k} = \sigma_{j,k}$ .

The HO-GSVD is a technique that can be attributed to the field of multimodal data fusion [\[10\]](#page-7-2), which aims to indentify common features across multiple data sets that describe related phenomena. The HO-GSVD can be compared to other matrix or tensor decompositions, such as the parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC [\[6\]](#page-6-0) or PARAFAC2 [\[7\]](#page-6-1)), multilinear SVDs [\[2\]](#page-6-2) or multilinear principal component analysis [\[4\]](#page-6-3). On one hand, some of these decompositions allow constraints to be imposed on the factor matrices  $U_i$ ,  $\Sigma_i$  and V in [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0), such as orthogonality or rank conditions, but the matrices  $A_i$  are often approximated as  $A_i \approx U_i \Sigma_i V^T$  by minimizing  $\sum_{i=1}^N ||A_i U_i \Sigma_i V^{\mathrm{T}} \|_{\mathrm{F}}$ . On the other, tensor decompositions require that the matrices  $A_i$  share the same dimensions, e.g. a third-order tensor  $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \ldots \times A_N$  requires that all matrices  $A_i$  have dimensions  $m \times n$ , which imposes constraints on the data acquisition. In contrast, the HO-GSVD is an exact matrix factorization so that  $A_i =$  $U_i \Sigma_i V^T$  for  $i = 1, ..., N$ , and it can accommodate  $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i \times n}$  with different row dimensions  $m_i$ , although no constraints are imposed on the factor matrices.

One shortcoming of the original HO-GSVD framework [\[11\]](#page-7-1) is that the arithmetic mean [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) is only well defined for matrices  $A_i$  that have full column rank. If rank  $A_i < n$  or  $m_i < n$  for some i, then the inverse  $(A_i^T A_i)^{-1}$  does not exist and so  $S_0$  in [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) is not well defined. In addition, computing (1.2) may be inaccurate when one or more of the  $A_i$  have small singular values. In Section [7,](#page-5-0) we describe several applications in which the problem data generally has  $m_i < n$ .

To extend the HO-GSVD framework to rank-deficient  $A_i$ , we introduce the term  $\pi A^T A$  with parameter  $\pi > 0$ in [\(1.3\)](#page-0-2). Provided that the matrix A of stacked  $A_i$  has full rank, this has the effect of shifting the eigenvalues of each  $D_{i,\pi}$ , so that the terms  $D_{i,\pi}$  are guaranteed to be invertible and the HO-GSVD can be computed for  $A_i$ with arbitrary rank. When all  $A_i$  have full column rank, we show that  $S_\pi$  with  $\pi > 0$  and  $S_0$  both capture the common subspaces of  $A_1, \ldots, A_N$ , so that our reformulation is equivalent to the original HO-GSVD [\[11\]](#page-7-1). We introduce the notion of an *isolated HO-GSVD subspace* that accounts for the fact that a rank deficient  $A_i$  can have a non-empty (right) nullspace. An isolated HO-GSVD subspace captures directions that are in the kernel of all but one  $A_i$ .

The GSVD is closely related to the (thin) cosine-sine decomposition (CSD) [\[3,](#page-6-4) Ch. 2.5.4]. In essence, the CSD states that the SVDs of  $Q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n}$  and  $Q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2 \times n}$  satisfying  $Q_1^T Q_1 + Q_2^T Q_2 = I$  share the same matrix of right singular vectors. The term CSD originates from the fact that the singular values  $\mu_{i,k}$  of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ satisfy  $\mu_{1,k}^2 + \mu_{2,k}^2 = 1$ . The GSVD can be obtained from applying a CSD to the matrices  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  that are obtained from the thin QR factorization of the stacked matrices  $[A_1^T, A_2^T]^T = QR$ , where Q is conformably partitioned such that  $A_i = Q_iR$ . Analogous to the GSVD and the CSD, the HO-GSVD is closely related to the higher-order CSD (HO-CSD) [\[13\]](#page-7-3). The HO-GSVD of N matrices  $A_i$  can be obtained from the HO-CSD of  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_N$  that are obtained from the thin QR factorization of the stacked matrices  $[A_1^{\rm T}, \ldots, A_N^{\rm T}]^{\rm T}$ . As in the case of the HO-GSVD, the computation of the HO-CSD proposed in [\[13\]](#page-7-3) is limited to the case that all  $Q_i$ have full rank. In this paper, we also propose to compute the HO-CSD in a different way, which allows for the factorization of rank-deficient  $Q_i$  satisfying  $Q_1^T Q_1 + \cdots + Q_N^T Q_N = I$ .

The paper is organized as follows. Section [2](#page-1-0) presents the HO-CSD and the HO-GSVD that are applicable to rank-deficient matrices. In Section [3,](#page-3-0) we extend the notion of common HO-CSD and HO-GSVD subspaces to rank-deficient matrices. In Section [4,](#page-3-1) the canonical decompositions are derived by applying the results from Section [3](#page-3-0) to the decompositions from Section [2.](#page-1-0) Finally, we relate our findings to existing methods in Section [5](#page-4-0) and provide a numerical example in Section [6.](#page-5-1)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**2. Main results.** Given N matrices  $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i \times n}$ , let A denote the matrix of stacked  $A_i$  and  $QR = A$  be its thin QR factorization,

<span id="page-1-2"></span>(2.1) 
$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_N \end{bmatrix} = QR = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ \vdots \\ Q_N \end{bmatrix} R, \qquad Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i \times n}, \qquad R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.
$$

The matrices  $A_i = Q_i R$  can individually have arbitrary rank, but we assume that rank  $A = n$  so that det  $R \neq 0$ . Because  $Q^T Q = I$ , it holds that  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^T Q_i = I$  and  $||Q_i||_2 \leq 1$ . The quotient terms  $D_{i,\pi}$  [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1) of the arithmetic mean  $S_{\pi}$  [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) can be rewritten as

<span id="page-1-1"></span>(2.2) 
$$
D_{i,\pi} = A_i^{\mathrm{T}} A_i + \pi A^{\mathrm{T}} A = R^{\mathrm{T}} \left( Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i + \pi I \right) R,
$$

with parameter  $\pi > 0$ . Since  $A_i^T A_i \succeq 0$  and  $\pi A^T A \succ 0$ , the terms  $D_{i,\pi}$  are guaranteed to be invertible.

The majority of our developments on the HO-GSVD are based on the HO-CSD. Define the mean of reciprocal inverses,  $T_{\pi}$ , as

<span id="page-2-0"></span>(2.3) 
$$
T_{\pi} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i + \pi I)^{-1}.
$$

The eigensystem of  $T_{\pi}$  will lead us to the HO-CSD of the matrices  $Q_i$ . It can be shown (Appendix [A\)](#page-7-4) that  $S_{\pi}$ and  $T_{\pi}$  are related by:

<span id="page-2-1"></span>(2.4) 
$$
R^{-T}S_{\pi}R^{T} = \frac{1}{N-1}((1+\pi N)T_{\pi}-I).
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>THEOREM 2.1. The matrix  $T_{\pi}$  is non-defective. There exists  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $Z^{T}T_{\pi}Z = \text{diag}(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n})$  with  $Z^T Z = I$ , where the columns of Z are eigenvectors of  $T_{\pi}$  and the eigenvalues  $\tau_i$  of  $T_{\pi}$  satisfy

$$
\tau_i \in \left[\frac{N}{1+\pi N}, \, \frac{\pi N + N - 1}{\pi N(1+\pi)}\right]
$$

.

*Proof.* From [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0), the matrix  $T_{\pi}$  is real and symmetric, hence non-defective with an orthonormal eigenbasis [\[3,](#page-6-4) Ch. 8.1]. For the bounds on  $\tau_i$ , see Appendix [B.](#page-7-5) П

<span id="page-2-3"></span>THEOREM 2.2. The matrix  $S_{\pi}$  is non-defective. There exists an invertible  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $V^{-1}S_{\pi}V =$  $diag(\varsigma_1,\ldots,\varsigma_n)$ , where the columns of V are eigenvectors of  $S_\pi$  and the eigenvalues  $\varsigma_i$  satisfy

$$
\varsigma_i \in \left[1, 1 + \frac{1}{\pi N(1+\pi)}\right].
$$

*Proof.* Pre- and post-multiplying  $(2.4)$  with  $Z<sup>T</sup>$  and  $Z$  from Thm. [2.1](#page-2-2) yields

$$
ZTR-TS\piRTZ = \frac{1}{N-1} ((1+\pi N)ZTT_{\pi}Z - I).
$$

Since  $Z^{T}T_{\pi}Z = \text{diag}(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}),$  the matrix  $Z^{T}R^{-T}S_{\pi}R^{T}Z$  is diagonal. Set  $V := R^{T}Z$ , which is invertible because det  $R \neq 0$  and  $Z^{T}Z = I$ , then the columns of V are eigenvectors for  $S_{\pi}$  associated with eigenvalues  $\varsigma_i = ((1 + \pi N)\tau_i - 1)/(N - 1)$ . The bounds on  $\varsigma_i$  are obtained from the bounds on  $\tau_i$ .  $\Box$ 

The significance of Thm. [2.1](#page-2-2) and [2.2](#page-2-3) is that the diagonalizable matrices  $T_{\pi}$  and  $S_{\pi}$  have eigenvalues that are both bounded away from zero and contained in finite intervals, in contrast to the formulation [\[11\]](#page-7-1) that requires the full rank condition, which corresponds to  $\pi = 0$ . More precisely, the range of eigenvalues of  $S_{\pi}$  is contracted from  $[1,\infty)$  for the full-rank case to  $[1,1+1/(\pi N(1+\pi))]$  in our case, which bounds the condition number as  $\kappa(S_{\pi}) \leq 1 + 1/(\pi N(1+\pi)).$ 

Before examining the eigenvalues of  $S_{\pi}$  and  $T_{\pi}$  further, we state our version of the HO-CSD and HO-GSVD. The HO-CSD and HO-GSVD have already been described in [\[13\]](#page-7-3) and [\[11\]](#page-7-1), respectively, but our modified  $D_{i,\pi}$ from  $(2.2)$  allows us to omit the requirements that  $A_i$  and  $Q_i$  be full rank.

DEFINITION 2.3 (HO-CSD). If  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^T Q_i = I$ , then the HO-CSD of  $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i \times n}$  is given by  $Q_i = U_i \Sigma_i Z^T$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ , where  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is such that  $Z^{T}Z = I$  and  $Z^{T}T_{\pi}Z = \text{diag}(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$ , with  $T_{\pi}$  defined as in [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0). The matrices  $\Sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with  $\Sigma_i = \text{diag}(\sigma_{i,1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i,n}) \succeq 0$  are obtained from

$$
B_i := Q_i Z,
$$
  $B_i = [b_{i,1},..., b_{i,n}],$   $\sigma_{i,k} = ||b_{i,k}||_2,$ 

and  $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i \times n}$  with  $U_i = [u_{i,1}, \ldots, u_{i,n}]$  from

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
u_{i,k} = \begin{cases} b_{i,k} / \sigma_{i,k} & \text{if } \sigma_{i,k} > 0 \\ u \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i} \text{ with } \|u\|_2 = 1 & \text{if } \sigma_{i,k} = 0. \end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-2-5"></span>Because we allow for rank  $Q_i < n$ , it is possible that  $Q_i z_k = 0$  for some eigenvector  $z_k$  of  $T_\pi$ , consequently making the corresponding generalized singular value  $\sigma_{i,k} = 0$ . In these cases, the column  $u_{i,k}$  can be chosen freely or the corresponding row of  $\Sigma_i$  can be dropped. The columns of  $U_i$  have unit 2-norm and are, under certain circumstances, orthogonal (see Section [3\)](#page-3-0).

DEFINITION 2.4 (HO-GSVD). If the matrix A in  $(2.1)$  has full column-rank, then the HO-GSVD of the N matrices  $A_1, \ldots, A_N$  is given by  $A_i = U_i \Sigma_i V^T$ , where  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is such that  $V^{-1} S_{\pi} V = \text{diag}(\varsigma_1, \ldots, \varsigma_n)$  where  $S_{\pi}$  is defined in [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) using [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1). The matrices  $\Sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with  $\Sigma_i = \text{diag}(\sigma_{i,1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i,n}) \succeq 0$  are obtained from

$$
VB_i^T := A_i^T, \qquad B_i = [b_{i,1}, \ldots, b_{i,n}], \qquad \sigma_{i,k} = ||b_{i,k}||_2,
$$

and  $U_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i \times n}$  with  $U_i = [u_{i,1}, \ldots, u_{i,n}]$  from

$$
u_{i,k} = \begin{cases} b_{i,k}/\sigma_{i,k} & \text{if } \sigma_{i,k} > 0\\ u \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i} \text{ with } \|u\|_2 = 1 & \text{if } \sigma_{i,k} = 0. \end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>3. Common and isolated subspaces. The HO-CSD and HO-GSVD identify directions, corresponding to columns of Z and V, that contribute equally to the right images of each  $Q_i$  and  $A_i$ , respectively. These directions are the right singular vectors that are shared across each  $Q_i$  and  $A_i$  and associated with identical singular values. The shared right singular vectors form subspaces [\[11,](#page-7-1) [13\]](#page-7-3), which are referred to as the common HO-CSD and HO-GSVD subspaces. However, these have been defined for rank  $Q_i = n$  and rank  $A_i = n$ . The following two theorems extend the notion of common subspaces to consider rank-deficient matrices.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>THEOREM 3.1 (Common and isolated HO-CSD subspaces). Suppose the assumptions on  $Q_i$  from Def. [2.3](#page-2-4) hold. The common HO-CSD subspace is defined as

$$
\mathcal{T}_N\left\{Q_1,\ldots,Q_N\right\}:=\left\{z\in\mathbb{R}^n\;\left|\;T_\pi z=\tau_{min}z\right.\right\},\,
$$

and the isolated HO-CSD subspace as

$$
\mathcal{T}_1\left\{Q_1,\ldots,Q_N\right\}:=\left\{z\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid T_\pi z=\tau_{max}z\right\},\,
$$

where  $\tau_{min}$  and  $\tau_{max}$  correspond to the left and right boundaries on the eigenvalues of  $T_{\pi}$  defined in Thm. [2.1.](#page-2-2) A vector  $z \in \mathcal{T}_N$  is a right singular vector for each  $Q_i$  and associated with a singular value equal to  $1/\sqrt{N}$ . A vector  $z \in \mathcal{T}_1$  is in the nullspace of all but one  $Q_i$ .

Proof. See Appendix [B.](#page-7-5)

Note that for an arbitrary set of matrices  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_N$ , the subspaces defined in Thm. [3.1](#page-3-2) are likely to be empty. However, as conjectured in [\[11\]](#page-7-1), the eigenvectors associated with eigenvalues for  $T_{\pi}$ , which lie close to the boundaries  $\tau_{\min}$  or  $\tau_{\max}$ , are likely to be directions that are equally amplified across all  $Q_i$  or singular to one particular  $Q_i$ .

<span id="page-3-4"></span>THEOREM 3.2 (Common and isolated HO-GSVD subspaces). Suppose the assumptions on  $A_i$  from Def. [2.4](#page-2-5) hold. The common HO-GSVD subspace is defined as

$$
S_N\left\{A_1,\ldots,A_N\right\}:=\left\{v\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid S_{\pi}v=\varsigma_{min}v\right\},\,
$$

and the isolated HO-GSVD subspace as

$$
\mathcal{S}_1\left\{A_1,\ldots,A_N\right\}:=\left\{v\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid T_{\pi}v=s_{max}v\right\},\,
$$

where  $\varsigma_{min}$  and  $\varsigma_{max}$  correspond to the left and right boundaries on the eigenvalues of  $S_{\pi}$  defined in Thm. [2.2.](#page-2-3) A vector  $v \in S_N$  is a right singular vector for each  $A_i$  and associated with an identical singular value. A vector  $v \in \mathcal{S}_1$  is in the nullspace of all but one  $A_i$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Thm. [2.2](#page-2-3) and [3.1,](#page-3-2) since if z is an eigenvector for  $T_\pi$ , then  $v = R^T z$  is an eigenvector of  $S_\pi$ . Therefore if z is a right singular vector for each  $Q_i$  associated with an identical singular value, then v is a right singular vector for each  $A_i$  associated with an identical singular value.  $\mathsf{\Pi}$ 

<span id="page-3-1"></span>4. Canonical Forms. The common subspaces capture the right singular vectors that are shared among matrices and have equal amplifications. For the HO-CSD and HO-GSVD, these directions are given by the eigenvectors of  $T_{\pi}$  and  $S_{\pi}$ , respectively, associated with a particular eigenvalue. It turns out that these directions produce the left basis vectors, given by the columns of  $U_i$  in Def. [2.3](#page-2-4) and [2.4,](#page-2-5) that are orthogonal to all other columns of  $U_i$ . The following corollaries consider this additional property.

<span id="page-3-3"></span>COROLLARY 4.1 (Canonical HO-CSD). Suppose that the assumptions on  $Q_i$  from Def. [2.3](#page-2-4) hold and let  $Z^T T_{\pi} Z =$  $diag(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)$  be the Schur decomposition of  $T_\pi$  with  $Z = [z_1, \ldots, z_n]$  and  $\mathcal{T}_N\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_N\} = \text{span}\{z_1, \ldots, z_p\}$ the common HO-CSD subspace. Then, using Def. [2.3,](#page-2-4)  $Q_i = U_i \Sigma_i Z^T$  with

$$
U_i = \begin{bmatrix} U_i^c & | & U_i^u \end{bmatrix}, \qquad Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z^c & | & Z^u \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \Sigma_i = \begin{bmatrix} I_p / \sqrt{N} & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_i^u \end{bmatrix},
$$

where  $\Sigma_i^u$  is diagonal. The columns of each  $U_i^c$  are orthonormal and  $(U_i^c)^T U_i^u = 0$ . If, in addition, one of the  $n-p$  column vectors  $z_k$  of  $Z^u$  is such that  $z_k \in \mathcal{T}_1\{Q_1,\ldots,Q_N\}$ , then the corresponding column  $u_{i,k}$  of each  $U_i^u$ can be chosen to be orthogonal to all other columns of  $U_i$  and the corresponding generalized singular value  $\sigma_{i,k}$  is either zero or equal to 1.

*Proof.* From Thm. [3.1,](#page-3-2) a vector  $z_k \in T_N\{Q_1,\ldots,Q_N\}$  is a right singular vector for each  $Q_i$  associated with a (generalized) singular value  $\sigma_{i,k} = 1/\sqrt{N}$ , whereas a vector  $z_k \in \mathcal{T}_1\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_N\}$  is a right singular vector for each  $\sigma_{i,k}$  $Q_i$  associated with a (generalized) singular value  $\sigma_{i,k}$  that is either zero or equal to 1. For  $z_k \in \mathcal{T}_N\{Q_1,\ldots,Q_N\}$ , let  $b_{i,k} = Q_i z_k = \sigma_{i,k} z_k$  denote the columns of the matrix  $B_i$  from Def. [2.3.](#page-2-4) Then for  $k \neq j$  and each  $Q_i$ 

$$
b_{i,k}^{\mathrm{T}} b_{i,j} = (Q_i z_k)^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i z_j = (Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i z_k)^{\mathrm{T}} z_j = \sigma_{i,k} z_k^{\mathrm{T}} z_j = 0.
$$

The property  $(U_i^c)^{\mathrm{T}}U_i^u = 0$  follows from Def. [2.3.](#page-2-4) For  $z_k \in \mathcal{T}_1\{Q_1,\ldots,Q_N\}$ , Def. [2.3](#page-2-4) allows for a free choice of the corresponding column  $u_{i,k}$ . Choose this particular column to be the (standard) left singular vector associated with a singular value that is either zero or 1. The orthogonality property follows.  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 4.2 (Canonical HO-GSVD). Suppose that the assumptions on  $A_i$  from Def. [2.4](#page-2-5) hold and let  $V^{-1}S_{\pi}V = \text{diag}(\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_n)$  be the Schur decomposition of  $S_{\pi}$  with  $V = [v_1,\ldots,v_n]$  and  $S_N\{A_1,\ldots,A_N\}$ span  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_p\}$  the common HO-CSD subspace. Then, using Def. [2.4,](#page-2-5)  $A_i = U_i \Sigma_i V^T$  with

$$
U_i = \begin{bmatrix} U_i^c & | & U_i^u \end{bmatrix}, \qquad V = \begin{bmatrix} V^c & | & V^u \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \Sigma_i = \begin{bmatrix} I_p/\sqrt{N} & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_i^u \end{bmatrix},
$$

where  $\Sigma_i^u$  is diagonal. The columns of each  $U_i^c$  are orthonormal and  $(U_i^c)^T U_i^u = 0$ . If, in addition, one of the  $n-p$  columns vectors  $v_k$  of  $V^u$  is such that  $v_k \in S_1\{A_1,\ldots,A_N\}$ , then the corresponding column  $u_{i,k}$  of each  $U_i^u$  is orthogonal to all other columns of  $U_i$  and the corresponding generalized singular value  $\sigma_{i,k}$  is either zero or equal to 1.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>*Proof.* Follows directly from Corollary [4.1](#page-3-3) and  $v_k = R^T z_k$ .

5. Comparison with standard HO-GSVD, GSVD and SVD. When one out of two matrices is the identity matrix, the GSVD reduces to the standard SVD [\[12\]](#page-7-0). The same has been shown for the full-rank HO-GSVD [\[11\]](#page-7-1). When  $N-1$  matrices  $A_i$  are identity matrices, then the full-rank HO-GSVD reverts to the standard SVD of  $A_i$ ,  $j \neq i$ . Here, this fact is demonstrated for our HO-GSVD as given in Def. [2.4.](#page-2-5)

<span id="page-4-4"></span>LEMMA 5.1. Suppose that  $N = 2$ ,  $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n}$ ,  $A_2 = I_n$  and  $\text{rank}[A_1^T, A_2^T]^T = n$ . Then the HO-GSVD of  $A_1$ and  $A_2$  yields the standard SVD of  $A_1$ .

<span id="page-4-5"></span>Proof. See Appendix [C.](#page-9-0)

THEOREM 5.2. Suppose that  $N > 2$ . The HO-GSVD of  $N - 1$  matrices satisfying  $A_i = I$  yields the SVD of  $A_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

Proof. See Appendix [D.](#page-9-1)

The HO-GSVD from Def. [2.4](#page-2-5) can also be related to the GSVD. For the special case that  $N = 2$ ,  $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n}$ with  $m_1 \ge n$  and rank  $A_1 = n$  and an arbitrary  $A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2 \times n}$ , it can be shown that the HO-GSVD yields  $\Sigma_i$  with  $\Sigma_1^{\mathrm{T}}\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2^{\mathrm{T}}\Sigma_2 = I$  and orthonormal  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ .

LEMMA 5.3. Let  $N = 2$ , then  $T_{\pi}$  from Def. [2.3,](#page-2-0)  $(Q_i^T Q_i + \pi I)^{-1}$  and  $Q_i^T Q_i$  with  $i = 1, 2$  and  $Q_1^T Q_1 + Q_2^T Q_2 = I$ share the same eigenspace for any  $\pi \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Proof. See Appendix [E.](#page-9-2)

THEOREM 5.4. For  $N = 2$ , the HO-CSD from Def. [2.3](#page-2-4) yields the CSD.

*Proof.* Using Lemma [5.3,](#page-4-1) the eigenvectors  $z_k$  for  $T_\pi$  can be chosen such that they are right singular vectors for  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . Let  $b_{i,k}$  denote the columns of  $B_i = Q_i Z$ , then for  $j \neq k$ ,

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
b_{i,k}^{\mathrm{T}}b_{i,j} = (Q_iz_k)^{\mathrm{T}} Q_iz_j = (\bar{\sigma}_{i,k}\bar{u}_{i,k})^{\mathrm{T}} \bar{\sigma}_{i,j}\bar{u}_{i,j} = 0,
$$

where  $\bar{\sigma}_{\times}$  and  $\bar{u}_{\times}$  denote standard singular values and left singular vectors, respectively. Hence, from  $U_i\Sigma_i = B_i$ , the columns of  $U_i$  are either zero or orthonormal. Substituting  $Q_i = U_i \Sigma_i V^T$  in  $Q_1^T Q_1 + Q_2^T Q_2 = I$  yields  $Z\Sigma_1^T \Sigma_1 Z^T + Z \Sigma_2^T \Sigma_2 Z^T = I$ , and from  $Z^T Z = I$ , follows  $\Sigma_1^T \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2^T \Sigma_2 = I$ .

<span id="page-4-3"></span>COROLLARY 5.5. For  $N = 2$ ,  $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n}$  with  $m_1 \geq n$  and rank  $A_1 = n$  and an arbitrary  $A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2 \times n}$ , the HO-GSVD from Def. [2.4](#page-2-5) yields the GSVD [\[12\]](#page-7-0).

*Proof.* Follows from Thm. [5.4](#page-4-2) with  $V = R^{T}Z$ .

 $\Box$ 

Remark 5.6. Note that Thm. [5.4](#page-4-2) and Corollary [5.5](#page-4-3) must be handled with caution. Lemma [5.3](#page-4-1) shows that the three matrices,  $T_{\pi}$ ,  $Q_1^T Q_1$  and  $Q_2^T Q_2$ , share the same eigenspace, but not every eigendecomposition of  $T_{\pi}$  yields eigenvectors that are parallel to those of  $Q_1^TQ_1$  and  $Q_2^TQ_2$ . For example, suppose that  $\dim(\ker(Q_i)) = 1$  and that  $q_i \in \text{ker}(Q_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are linearly independent. Note that from  $Q_1^T Q_1 + Q_2^T Q_2 = I$ , it holds that  $q_1^T q_2 = 0$ . It follows that  $\dim(\mathcal{T}_1) = 2$ , so that  $T_\pi$  has an eigenvalue with algebraic and geometric multiplicity of two. When the associated eigenvectors are computed using numerical software, these will not necessarily be parallel to  $q_1$ and  $q_2$ , and the HO-CSD will not necessarily yield orthonormal matrices  $U_i$ .

The HO-GSVD from Def. [2.4](#page-2-5) can also be compared with the full-rank HO-GSVD [\[11\]](#page-7-1). For  $N = 2$  and full-rank matrices  $A_i$ , both HO GSVDs have been shown to be equivalent to the GSVD. Both HO GSVDs have also been shown to yield the SVD of  $A_i$  when  $A_i = I$  for  $i \neq j$ .

For  $N > 2$ , however, the HO-GSVD from Def. [2.4](#page-2-5) and [\[11\]](#page-7-1) will in general not yield identical factorizations  $A_i = U_i \Sigma_i V^T$ , even when rank  $A_i = n$ . This can be seen by comparing the eigenspaces of  $T_\pi$  from [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0) for varying  $\pi$ , where  $\pi = 0$  corresponds to the standard HO-CSD [\[13\]](#page-7-3). For  $N = 2$ , Lemma [5.3](#page-4-1) shows that the eigenvectors of  $T_{\pi}$  are independent of the value of  $\pi$  because its eigenvectors are fixed by the orthogonality property  $Q_1^T Q_1 + Q_2^T Q_2 = I$ , while for  $N > 2$  this property is lost. From  $V = R^T Z$ , it follows that the same holds for the HO-GSVD. However, it can be shown that in case the matrices  $A_i$  and  $Q_i$  have full rank, then the common HO-CSD and HO-GSVD subspaces will be the same for any value of  $\pi$ .

LEMMA 5.7. The common and isolated subspaces from Thm. [3.1](#page-3-2) and [3.2](#page-3-4) are independent of the value of  $\pi$ .

Proof. Follows from the definitions of the common and isolated subspaces (see Appendix [B\)](#page-7-5), which require that for  $z \in \mathcal{T}_N$  and  $v \in \mathcal{S}_N$ , z and v must be right singular vectors for each  $Q_i$  and  $A_i$ , respectively. This requirement is independent of the value of  $\pi$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>6. Numerical Example. To illustrate the common subspaces of order  $N - P$ , we consider the following example with  $N = 3$  matrices,

(6.1) 
$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A_1}{A_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ -\frac{a_1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) \text{ for case } 1 \\ (1, 1) \text{ for case } 2 \end{cases}
$$

where rank  $A = 2$ . The matrix  $A_2$  ( $A_3$ ) has right singular vectors  $[1, 0]^T$  and  $[0, 1]^T$  associated with singular values 0 and 1 (1 and 0), respectively. For each of the cases, the matrix  $S_{\pi}$  from [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) is obtained as

(6.2) 
$$
S_{\pi}\Big|_{\text{case 1}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1+6\pi+12\pi^2}{6\pi+12\pi^2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1+3\pi+3\pi^2}{3\pi+3\pi^2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad S_{\pi}\Big|_{\text{case 2}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1+4\pi+6\pi^2}{4\pi+6\pi^2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1+4\pi+6\pi^2}{4\pi+6\pi^2} \end{bmatrix},
$$

with eigenvalues that can be read from the diagonal.

For case 1,  $A_1 = A_3$  and comparison with Thm. [3.2](#page-3-4) shows that the eigenvector  $[0, 1]^T$  spans  $S_1$  and is a common right singular vector for each  $A_i$  associated with a zero singular value for  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  and with a singular value equal to 1 for  $A_2$ .

For case 2, none of the  $A_i$  have common right singular vectors associated with identical singular values. Compar-ison with Thm. [3.2](#page-3-4) shows that none of the eigenvalues of  $S_{\pi}$  correspond to a value that would yield a non-empty  $S_3$  or  $S_1$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>7. Applications. The HO-GSVD has already been applied in various fields, and the following paragraphs outline applications for which the rank-deficient case is relevant.

**Bioinformatics:** The (HO-)GSVD has been used for genomic signal processing [\[11,](#page-7-1) [15,](#page-7-6) [9\]](#page-7-7). In [\[11\]](#page-7-1), the  $N > 2$ matrices  $A_i$  represent measurements taken from different organism with the rows of  $A_i$  representing organism specific genes and the columns time instants. Because the study includes several thousands of genes, but only few time instants, the matrices have full-column rank. In other studies, such as [\[15\]](#page-7-6) or [\[17\]](#page-7-8), the n columns of  $A_i$ are genes that are shared across different organism. In these cases, the standard HO-GSVD cannot be applied because the matrices typically satisfy  $m_i < n$ . In [\[9\]](#page-7-7),  $N = 2$  vaccines are analyzed by comparing datasets  $A_i$ that represents n genes and measurements across  $m_i$  patients. These matrices also have dimensions  $m_i < n$  and our HO-GSVD extension could be used to extend the study to  $N > 2$  vaccines.

**Neuroscience:** In [\[16\]](#page-7-9), a tensor decomposition has been used to factorize matrices obtained from  $N > 2$  trials, where the n columns of  $A_i$  model a large number of neurons, while the  $m_i$  rows represent the time instants at which the measurements are taken. These matrices typically have more columns than rows and the tensor

decomposition used in [\[16\]](#page-7-9) requires that  $m_i = m_j$  for  $i, j = 1, ..., N$ . Our HO-GSVD extension could be used for such a factorization and, in contrast to the tensor decomposition, our decomposition would allow for varying  $m_i$  across the trials.

Artificial Intelligence: Tensor decompositions are widely used in artificial intelligence applications [\[4\]](#page-6-3). The decompositions serve to classify data or extract useful information from a vast amount of data. In a classification problem, for example, the data is composed out of  $m_i$  classified examples with n features that are shared among N data classes. For the case that  $m_i < n$ , our HO-GSVD extension could be used to identify shared and unshared features of the different data classes.

Control Theory: In [\[8\]](#page-6-5), the GSVD has been used to diagonalize the orbital dynamics of electrons in a synchrotron with  $N = 2$  actuator (magnet) arrays. The actuator matrices typically have full row-rank and fewer rows than columns. Our HO-GSVD extension could be used to diagonalize a system with  $N > 2$  actuator arrays, such as encountered in the cross-directional control of paper machines [\[14\]](#page-7-10). In [\[1\]](#page-6-6), the GSVD has been used to design an observer. Our HO-GSVD extension could be used to simoultaneously design the observer and the controller.

8. Conclusion. In this paper, we have extended the standard HO-GSVD [\[11\]](#page-7-1) to accommodate column rank-deficient matrices. By adding the term  $\pi A^T A$  to each of the quotient terms  $D_{i,\pi} = A_i^T A_i + \pi A^T A$ , we shifted their eigenvalues and bounded them away from zero. This allowed for omitting the full-rank requirement on each  $A_i$  and extending the notion of common subspaces to consider the right nullspace of each  $A_i$ .

The choice of adding a multiple of  $A<sup>T</sup>A$  was motivated by the relationship between the arithmetic mean of pairwise quotients  $S_{\pi}$  and the mean of reciprocal inverses  $T_{\pi}$ , which yielded the same relationship than in [\[11\]](#page-7-1) for  $\pi = 0$ . The parameter  $\pi$  was assumed to be positive, but otherwise left unspecified. The common HO-CSD and HO-GSVD subspaces are identified irrespective of the value of  $\pi$ . We could have chosen to define  $D_{i,\pi}$  as  $D_{i,\pi_i} = A_i^T A_i + \pi_i A^T A$  with a parameter  $\pi_i$  that is different for each term. The resulting relationship between  $S_{\pi}$  and  $T_{\pi}$  would have been similar to [\(2.4\)](#page-2-1). An indexed parameter  $\pi_i$ , for example, could account for the case that the matrices  $A_i$  share a right singular vector  $v$  associated with different singular values and an appropriate choice of  $\pi_i$  could make v an eigenvector for  $S_\pi$ . Future research could investigate the role of the weight  $\pi$  or the application of indexed parameter  $\pi_i$ .

The majority of our developments were based on the HO-CSD. Using the QR factorization of  $A = [A_1^T, \ldots, A_N^T]^T$ , each  $A_i$  was represented as  $A_i = Q_iR$  and our findings were developed for  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_N$ , from which we inferred the corresponding properties for  $A_1, \ldots, A_N$ . This procedure allowed us to exploit the orthogonality property  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i = I$  and ensure the invertibility of the factor matrix V in  $A_i = U_i \Sigma_i V^{\mathrm{T}}$ , but required a full columnrank A, so that det  $R \neq 0$ . For a column rank-deficient A, the HO-GSVD remains applicable iff the  $D_{i,\pi}$  are invertible, but it is unclear whether the matrix  $V$  remains invertible. The invertibility of  $V$  is a key requirement for the application of matrix factorizations, as it allows one to switch from the original space to the modal space. A further investigation could focus on elaborating properties for the arithmetic mean of pairwise quotients  $S_{\pi}$ that are not based on the HO-CSD.

We bounded the eigenvalues of  $T_{\pi}$  ( $S_{\pi}$ ) and showed that the extremal eigenvalues are attained iff the corresponding eigenvectors are right singular vectors for each  $Q_i$  ( $A_i$ ) associated with a particular singular value. This lead to the definition of the common and isolated HO-CSD (HO-GSVD) subspaces. In Appendix [B,](#page-7-5) we also showed that if the  $Q_i$  share a right singular vector v associated with a zero singular value for P matrices  $Q_i$  and with an identical singular value for the other  $N - P$  matrices  $Q_j$ , then  $T_\pi$  will have a particular eigenvalue  $\tau(P)$ associated with the eigenvector  $v$ . Future research could investigate whether a biconditional ("iff") connection holds.

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span>[3] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, JHU Press, Baltimore, MD, USA, 4 ed., 2013.
- [4] Haiping Lu, K. N. Plataniotis, and A. N. Venetsanopoulos, *A survey of multilinear subspace learning for tensor data*, Pattern Recognit., 44 (2011), pp. 1540–1551.
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[5] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Polya ´ , *Inequalities*, CUP, Cambridge, UK, 1 ed., 1934.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[6] R. A. Harshman, *Foundations of the PARAFAC procedure: Models and conditions for an "explanatory" multimodal factor analysis*, UCLA Work. Pap. Phon., 16 (1970), pp. 1–84.
- <span id="page-6-5"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>[7] R. A. Harshman, *PARAFAC2: Mathematical and technical notes*, UCLA Work. Pap. Phon., 22 (1972), pp. 30–44.
- [8] I. Kempf, S. R. Duncan, P. J. Goulart, and G. Rehm, *Multi-array electron beam stabilization using block-circulant transformation and generalized singular value decomposition*, in Proc. 59th CDC, Jeju Island, Republic of Korea, Dec. 2020.

<span id="page-6-6"></span><sup>[1]</sup> G. P. Dada and A. Armaou, *110th anniversary: Generalized singular value decomposition reduced-order observers for linear time-invariant systems with noisy measurements*, Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev., 58 (2019), pp. 23201–23210.

<span id="page-6-2"></span><sup>[2]</sup> L. De Lathauwer, B. De Moor, and J. Vandewalle, *A multilinear singular value decomposition*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 21 (2000), pp. 1253—-1278.

- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[9] K.Van Deun, A. K. Smilde, L. Thorrez, H. A. L. Kiers, and I. Van Mechelen, *Identifying common and distinctive processes underlying multiset data*, Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst., 129 (2013), pp. 40–51.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[10] D. Lahat, T. Adali, and C. Jutten, *Multimodal data fusion: An overview of methods, challenges, and prospects*, Proc. IEEE, 103 (2015), pp. 1449–1477.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[11] S. P. Ponnapalli, M. A. Saunders, C. F. Van Loan, and O. Alter, *A higher-order generalized singular value decomposition for comparison of global mRNA expression from multiple organisms*, PLOS ONE, 6 (2011), pp. 1–11.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>[12] C. F. Van Loan, *Generalizing the singular value decomposition*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 13 (1976), pp. 76–83.
- [13] C. F. Van Loan, *Lecture 6. The higher-order generalized singular value decomposition*, June 2015, [http://www.dm.unibo.it/](http://www.dm.unibo.it/%7Esimoncin/CIME/Vanloan_Lec6.pdf) [%7Esimoncin/CIME/Vanloan](http://www.dm.unibo.it/%7Esimoncin/CIME/Vanloan_Lec6.pdf) Lec6.pdf.
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[14] J. G. VanAntwerp, A. P. Featherstone, R. D. Braatz, and B. A. Ogunnaike, *Cross-directional control of sheet and film processes*, Automatica, 43 (2007), pp. 191–211.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[15] L. J. Van't Veer, D. Hongyue, M. J. Van de Vijver, Y. D. He, A. A. M. Hart, M. Mao, H. L. Peterse, K. Van der Kooy, M. J. Marton, A. T. Witteveen, G. J. Schreiber, R. M. Kerkhoven, C. Roberts, P. S. Linsley, R. Bernards, and S. H. Friend, *Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer*, Nature, 415 (2002), pp. 530–536.
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[16] A. H. Williams, T. H. Kim, F. Wang, S. Vyas, S. I. Ryu, K. V. Shenoy, M. Schnitzer, T. G. Kolda, and S. Ganguli, *Unsupervised discovery of demixed, low-dimensional neural dynamics across multiple timescales through tensor component analysis*, Neuron, 98 (2018), pp. 1099–1115.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span><span id="page-7-4"></span>[17] B. Zhang and S. Horvath, *A general framework for weighted gene co-expression network analysis*, Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol., 4 (2005).

Appendix A. Relation between  $S_{\pi}$  and  $T_{\pi}$ . Let  $D_{i,\pi} = Q_i^T Q_i + \pi I$  and define  $K_i := Q_i^T Q_i + \pi I$ . Using [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1), the matrix  $S_{\pi}$  is written as

$$
S_{\pi} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \left( D_{i,\pi} D_{j,\pi}^{-1} + D_{j,\pi} D_{i,\pi}^{-1} \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{N(N-1)} R^{\mathrm{T}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} K_i K_j^{-1} + K_j K_i^{-1} \right) R^{-\mathrm{T}},
$$

so that by considering  $\sum_i (K_i + \pi I) = (1 + \pi N)I$ 

$$
R^{-T}S_{\pi}R^{T} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=i+1}^{N}K_{i}K_{j}^{-1} + K_{j}K_{i}^{-1} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}K_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{N}K_{j}^{-1} - \frac{1}{N-1}I
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{N-1}((1+\pi N)T_{\pi}-I).
$$

<span id="page-7-5"></span>**Appendix B. Characterizing**  $T_{\pi}$ . The eigenspace of  $T_{\pi}$  defined in [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0) with  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^{\text{T}} Q_i = I$  and  $||Q_i||_2 \leq 1$ has properties that can be used to characterize common subspaces of  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_N$ , i.e. common features of the matrices  $Q_i$ . By setting  $u = (Q_i^T Q_i + \pi I)^{\frac{1}{2}} t$  and  $v = (Q_i^T Q_i + \pi I)^{-\frac{1}{2}} t$  with  $||t||_2 = 1$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $(u^Tv)^2 \leq ||u||_2^2 ||v||_2^2$  becomes

<span id="page-7-11"></span>(B.1) 
$$
t^{\mathrm{T}} \left( Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i + \pi I \right)^{-1} t \ge \left( t^{\mathrm{T}} (Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i + \pi I) t \right)^{-1},
$$

with equality iff t is an eigenvector for  $Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i$  [\[5,](#page-6-7) Thm.  $\overline{q}$ ]. Using [\(B.1\)](#page-7-11) and the harmonic-mean arithmetic-mean (HM-AM) inequality [\[5,](#page-6-7) Thm. 16], a lower bound on  $t^{T}T_{\pi}t$ ,  $||t||_{2} = 1$ , can be established as

<span id="page-7-12"></span>(B.2a) 
$$
t^{T}T_{\pi}t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{T} \left(Q_{i}^{T}Q_{i} + \pi I\right)^{-1} t \geq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{t^{T} (Q_{i}^{T}Q_{i} + \pi I)t}
$$

<span id="page-7-13"></span>(B.2b) 
$$
\geq \frac{N}{\pi N + \sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{T} (Q_{i}^{T} Q_{i}) t} = \frac{N}{\pi N + 1}.
$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, [\(B.2a\)](#page-7-12) becomes an equality iff t is a common eigenvector for each  $Q_i^T Q_i + \pi I$ or equivalently for each  $Q_i^T Q_i$ . From the HM-AM inequality, inequality [\(B.2b\)](#page-7-13) becomes an equality iff  $t^T (Q_i^T Q_i +$  $\pi I)t = t^{\mathrm{T}}(Q_j^{\mathrm{T}}Q_j + \pi I)t$  for  $i, j = 1, ..., N$ . In this case, it follows that

<span id="page-7-14"></span>
$$
1 = t^{T} Q^{T} Q t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{T} (Q_{i}^{T} Q_{i}) t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{Q} = N \mu_{Q},
$$

so that the common eigenvalue of  $Q_i^T Q_i$  associated with the common eigenvector y is  $\mu_Q = 1/N$ . The common vector t is therefore a common right singular vector for each  $Q_i$  with singular value  $\sigma_{i,k} = 1/\sqrt{N}$ . According to [\(B.2\)](#page-7-14), t is an eigenvector for  $T_{\pi}$  associated with the smallest eigenvalue  $\tau_i = N/(1 + \pi N)$ .

An upper bound on the eigenvalues of  $T_{\pi}$  can be found by noticing that for each term,  $t^{\mathrm{T}} (Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i + \pi I)^{-1} t \leq 1/\pi$ for  $||t||_2 = 1$ , which results in

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
t^{\mathrm{T}}T_{\pi}t < 1/\pi,
$$

so that the maximum eigenvalue of  $T_\pi$  is bounded above by  $1/\pi$ . Note that the strict inequality in [\(B.3\)](#page-8-0) holds because  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i = I$  and t can not simultaneously be in the nullspace of each  $Q_i$ . The orthogonality condition  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i = I$  leads to a tighter bound that is established in the following theorem.

THEOREM B.1. It holds that  $\rho(T_\pi) \leq (\pi N + N - 1)/(\pi N(1 + \pi))$ , where  $T_\pi$  is defined in [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0) and  $\rho(T_\pi)$  denotes the maximum eigenvalue of  $T_{\pi}$ . Equality holds iff there exists a vector that lies in the kernel of all but one  $Q_i^T Q_i$ . *Proof.* Let  $\bar{V}_i \bar{\Sigma}_i^2 \bar{V}_i^{\mathrm{T}} = Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i$  be the eigendecomposition of  $Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i$  and set  $\bar{\Sigma}_i^2 = \text{diag}(\bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2), j = 1, \ldots, n$ , so that  $\left(\bar{\Sigma}_i^2 + \pi I\right)^{-1} = \text{diag}\left(\bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2 + \pi\right)^{-1}$ . Let t with  $||t||_2 = 1$  be an eigenvector of  $T_\pi$  associated with  $\rho(T_\pi)$ , then

$$
\rho(T_{\pi}) = t^{T}T_{\pi}t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{T} \bar{V}_{i} \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}^{2} + \pi}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,n}^{2} + \pi}) \bar{V}_{i}^{T}t.
$$

For each *i*, express t as  $t = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j} \bar{v}_{i,j}$ , where  $\bar{v}_{i,j}$  are the columns of the orthonormal matrices  $\bar{V}_i$  and  $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ with  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}^2 = 1$  because  $||\mathbf{t}||_2 = 1$ . In addition, define  $y_{i,j} := \bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2 + \pi \in [\pi, 1 + \pi]$  and rewrite  $\rho(T_\pi)$  as

$$
\rho(T_{\pi}) = t^{T}T_{\pi}t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i,j}^{2}}{y_{i,j}}, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}^{2} = 1, \qquad y_{i,j} \in [\pi, 1 + \pi].
$$

Next, bound each of the terms  $1/y_{i,j}$  by a function that is linear in  $y_{i,j}$ , i.e.  $f(y_{i,j}) = my_{i,j} + c$  with  $1/y_{i,j} \le f(y_{i,j})$ for  $y_{i,j} \in [\pi, 1 + \pi]$ . Note that the function  $1/y_{i,j}$  is convex on  $y_{i,j} \in [\pi, 1 + \pi]$  and m and c can be chosen such that equality holds at  $y_{i,j} = \pi$  and  $y_{i,j} = 1 + \pi$ , i.e. by selecting m and c as

$$
m = \frac{-1}{\pi(1+\pi)},
$$
  $c = \frac{1+2\pi}{\pi(1+\pi)}.$ 

We obtain a bound on  $\rho(T_\pi)$  as

$$
\rho(T_{\pi}) \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}^{2} \frac{-y_{i,j} + 1 + 2\pi}{\pi(1+\pi)} = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{-a_{i,j}^{2} y_{i,j}}{\pi(1+\pi)}\right) + \frac{1+2\pi}{\pi(1+\pi)},
$$

where we used that  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}^2 = 1$ . Clearly, equality holds iff the  $y_{i,j}$  associated with non-zero  $a_{i,j}^2$  are at the boundaries of  $[\pi, 1 + \pi]$ . Finally, exploit the orthogonality condition  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{V}_i \bar{\Sigma}_i^2 \bar{V}_i^{\mathrm{T}} = I$ . By adding  $N\pi I$ , we can express the same condition using  $y_{i,j}$  as  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{V}_i \text{diag}(y_{i,j}) \bar{V}_i^{\text{T}} = (1 + N\pi)I$ . Left- and rightmultiplying the modified orthogonality condition by  $t^{\text{T}}$  and t, respectively, and expressing t using the columns  $\bar{v}_{i,j}$  as above yields

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{\mathrm{T}} \bar{V}_i \operatorname{diag}(y_{i,j}) \bar{V}_i^{\mathrm{T}} t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}^2 y_{i,j} = 1 + N\pi.
$$

Substituting in the upper bound on  $\rho(T_\pi)$  yields

$$
\rho(T_{\pi}) \leq -\frac{1+N\pi}{\pi(1+\pi)} + \frac{1+2\pi}{\pi(1+\pi)} = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{N-1}{\pi} + \frac{1}{1+\pi} \right) = \frac{\pi N + N - 1}{\pi N(1+\pi)}.
$$

Equality holds iff each  $y_{i,j} = \bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2 + \pi$  is at the boundaries of  $[\pi, 1 + \pi]$ , i.e. either  $y_{i,j} = \pi$ , in which case  $\bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2 = 0$ , or  $y_{i,j} = 1 + \pi$ , in which case  $\bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2 = 1$ . Note that the orthogonality condition requires at least one non-zero  $\bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2$ . In addition, if  $\bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2 = 1$  associated with  $\bar{v}_{i,j}$  for some i and j, then the vector  $\bar{v}_{i,j}$  must be shared among all  $\tilde{V}_i$ and associated with  $\bar{\sigma}_{k,j}^2 = 0$  for  $k \neq i$ , because  $\bar{v}_{i,j}^T(\sum_{i=1}^N \bar{V}_i \bar{\Sigma}_i^2 \bar{V}_i^T) \bar{v}_{i,j} > 1$  otherwise. It therefore holds that  $\rho(T_{\pi}) = (\pi N + N - 1)/(\pi N(1+\pi))$  iff the  $Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i$  have a shared eigenvector associated with a zero eigenvalue for  $N-1$  matrices and a unit eigenvalue for one matrix. П Finally, note that if there exists a vector t with  $||t||_2 = 1$  in the nullspace of P matrices  $Q_j$ , but in the range of all other  $Q_i$  with index  $i \in \mathcal{R}$ , then the inequalities [\(B.2a\)](#page-7-12)-[\(B.2b\)](#page-7-13) can be reformulated as

$$
t^{T}T_{\pi}t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{T} (Q_{i}^{T}Q_{i} + \pi I)^{-1} t = \frac{P}{\pi N} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} t^{T} (Q_{i}^{T}Q_{i} + \pi I)^{-1} t
$$
  
(B.4a)  

$$
\geq \frac{P}{\pi N} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{t^{T} (Q_{i}^{T}Q_{i} + \pi I)t}
$$

<span id="page-9-3"></span>(B.4b) 
$$
\geq \frac{P}{\pi N} + \frac{N - P}{N} \frac{N - P}{\pi (N - P) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} t^{\mathrm{T}} (Q_i^{\mathrm{T}} Q_i) t} = \frac{P(1 - \pi N) + \pi N^2}{\pi N (1 + \pi (N - P))}.
$$

The term on the right-hand side of [\(B.4b\)](#page-9-3) corresponds to the minimum and maximum eigenvalues of  $T_{\pi}$  for  $P = 0$  and  $P = N - 1$ , respectively. If there exists a shared vector t in the nullspace of P matrices  $Q_j$ , but in the range of all other  $Q_i$ , then an eigenvalue of  $T_\pi$  will be equal to the corresponding value on the right-hand side of  $(B.4b)$ . Note that  $(B.4)$  does not prove the converse.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>Appendix C. Proof of Lemma [5.1.](#page-4-4) Let  $\overline{U}_1 \overline{\Sigma}_1 \overline{V}_1^T = A_1$  be the standard SVD of  $A_1$  with  $\overline{\Sigma}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n} \succeq 0$ . Substitute the SVD and  $A_2 = I_n$  in [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) using [\(2.2\)](#page-1-1):

<span id="page-9-5"></span>(C.1) 
$$
S_{\pi} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{V}_1 \left( \left( \tilde{\pi} \bar{\Sigma}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \bar{\Sigma}_1 + I \right) \left( \tilde{\pi} I + \bar{\Sigma}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \bar{\Sigma}_1 \right)^{-1} + \left( \tilde{\pi} I + \bar{\Sigma}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \bar{\Sigma}_1 \right) \left( \tilde{\pi} \bar{\Sigma}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \bar{\Sigma}_1 + I \right)^{-1} \right) \bar{V}_1^{\mathrm{T}},
$$

where  $\tilde{\pi} = (1 + \pi)/\pi$ . Therefore,  $S_{\pi} = S_{\pi}^{T} \succ 0$ , hence non-defective with an orthonormal eigenbasis  $V = \bar{V}_1$ . According to Def. [2.4,](#page-2-5) the HO-GSVD  $A_1 = U_1 \Sigma_1 V^T$  is obtained from  $VB_1^T = A_1^T$  and  $U_1 \Sigma_1 = B_1$ . We show that  $B_1$  has orthogonal columns. From the QR factorization [\(2.1\)](#page-1-2),  $A_2 = I = Q_2 R$  so that  $Q_2 = R^{-1}$ ,

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
I = Q_1^{\mathrm{T}} Q_1 + Q_2^{\mathrm{T}} Q_2 = Q_1^{\mathrm{T}} Q_1 + R^{-\mathrm{T}} R^{-1},
$$

and

<span id="page-9-6"></span>(C.2) 
$$
R^{T}R - I = R^{T}Q_{1}^{T}Q_{1}R = A_{1}^{T}A_{1}.
$$

Therefore,

<span id="page-9-7"></span>(C.3) 
$$
B_1^{\mathrm{T}} B_1 = V^{\mathrm{T}} A_1^{\mathrm{T}} A_1 V = V^{\mathrm{T}} (R^{\mathrm{T}} R - I) V^{Z=RV} Z^{\mathrm{T}} Z - V^{\mathrm{T}} V,
$$

where the columns of Z are the orthogonal eigenvectors of  $T_{\pi} = T_{\pi}^{T}$ , thus the matrix on the right-hand side is diagonal. We follow that  $B_1$  has orthogonal columns. If  $A_1$  has  $r = \text{rank } A_1 < n$ , then  $n - r$  columns of  $B_1$  will be zero and, from  $U_1\Sigma_1 = B_1$ , the associated columns of  $U_1$  can be chosen freely. These can always be chosen to have unit length and such that  $U_1$  has at least  $m_1$  orthogonal columns. We obtain a factorization  $A_1 = U_1 \Sigma_1 V^T$ , where  $\Sigma_1 \succeq 0$  is diagonal and V is orthonormal. If  $U_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n}$  has more columns than rows, i.e.  $m_1 < n$ , we remove  $n - m_1$  of the linear dependent columns of  $U_1$  and the corresponding rows of  $\Sigma_1$ , which are necessarily zero-rows. The remaining part of  $U_1$  is orthonormal, thus the HO-GSVD of  $A_1$  must be the standard SVD of  $A_1$  [\[11,](#page-7-1) Thm. S5]. П

<span id="page-9-1"></span>Appendix D. Proof of Lemma [5.2.](#page-4-5) Follows from Lemma [5.1](#page-4-4) and from the fact that the matrices  $M$ and  $\tilde{M} := aM + bI$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , share the same eigenspace so that all right singular vectors for  $A_i \neq I$  are eigenvectors for  $S_{\pi}$  as in [\(C.1\)](#page-9-5). For N −1 matrices  $A_i = I$ ,  $i \neq j$ , equation [\(C.2\)](#page-9-6) becomes  $A_j^T A_j = R^T R - (N-1)I$ . From substituting  $A_j^T A_j$  in equation [C.3,](#page-9-7) it can be seen that  $B_j^T B_j$  is orthogonal.

<span id="page-9-2"></span>**Appendix E. Proof of Lemma [5.3.](#page-4-1)** Let t be an eigenvector for  $Q_1^T Q_1$  associated with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then, using  $Q_1^{\text{T}} Q_1 + Q_2^{\text{T}} Q_2 = I$ ,

$$
Q_2^{\rm T} Q_2 t = (I - Q_1^{\rm T} Q_1) t = (1 - \lambda)t,
$$

so that t is an eigenvector for  $Q_2^T Q_2$  associated with eigenvalue  $1 - \lambda$ . Note that t is also an eigenvector for  $(Q_1^TQ_1 + \pi I)^{-1}$  and  $(Q_2^TQ_2 + \pi I)^{-1}$  associated with eigenvalues  $1/(\pi + \lambda)$  and  $1/(1 + \pi - \lambda)$ , respectively. From [2.3,](#page-2-0)

$$
T_{\pi}t = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( Q_1^{\mathrm{T}} Q_1 + \pi I \right)^{-1} + \left( Q_2^{\mathrm{T}} Q_2 + \pi I \right)^{-1} \right) t = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\pi + \lambda} + \frac{1}{1 + \pi - \lambda} \right) t,
$$

i.e. t is an eigenvector for  $T_{\pi}$  associated with eigenvalue  $\frac{1/2+\pi}{(\pi+\lambda)(1+\pi-\lambda)}$ .

 $\Box$