BERGMAN KERNEL AND PERIOD MAP FOR CURVES

ALESSANDRO GHIGI, CAROLINA TAMBORINI

ABSTRACT. As for any symmetric space the tangent space to Siegel upper-half space is endowed with an operation coming from the Lie bracket on the Lie algebra. We consider the pull-back of this operation to the moduli space of curves via the Torelli map. We characterize it in terms of the geometry of the curve, using the Bergman kernel form associated to the curve. It is known that the second fundamental form of the Torelli map outside the hyperelliptic locus can be seen as the multiplication by a certain meromorphic form. Our second result says that the Bergman kernel form is the harmonic representative - in a suitable sense - of this meromorphic form.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Bergman kernel	3
3.	Lie bracket	5
4.	The form $\hat{\eta}$	10
Beferences		12

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let X be a Riemannian symmetric space. For a fixed point $x \in X$ we have X = G/K, where G is a Lie group (independent of x) and $K = G_x$ is the stabilizer of x. Moreover there is a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ and $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_x X$, the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} gives rise to a kind of operation $B_x : T_x X \times T_x X \cong$ $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}_x$. Let $\mathbf{S} = G \times_K \mathfrak{k}$ be the homogeneous bundle over X corresponding to the adjoint representation of K. Then $\mathbf{S}_x = \mathfrak{g}_x$ for any x, so B is a section of $\Lambda^2 T^* X \otimes \mathbf{S}$. Since the differential geometry of X can be studied by means of Lie theory, the tensor B, which reflects Lie bracket, is of central importance.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14D07, 32A25, 14H15.

The authors were partially supported by MIUR PRIN 2017 "Moduli spaces and Lie Theory", by MIUR, Programma Dipartimenti di Eccellenza (2018-2022) - Dipartimento di Matematica "F. Casorati", Università degli Studi di Pavia and by INdAM (GNSAGA).

1.2. Since the tensor B is invariant by the action of G it makes sense also on any locally symmetric space X. In this paper we consider the case where X is A_g , the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g over \mathbb{C} , which is a locally symmetric space obtained as a quotient of the Siegel upper half-space \mathfrak{S}_g . Denote by M_g the moduli space of curves of genus g. We are interested in the Torelli map $j : M_g \to A_g$, which associates to $[C] \in M_g$ its Jacobian variety $[JC] \in A_g$. Our motivation comes from the study of totally geodesic subvarieties of A_g that are generically contained in $j(M_g)$ [6, 2, 4]. This is also connected to the Coleman-Oort conjecture [8]. The tensor Bcontrols the local geometry of A_g and its pull-back $\mathbf{B} = j^*B$ to M_g should give important information on the extrinsic geometry of the inclusion $j(M_g) \subset A_g$. For example we expect that the study of \mathbf{B} will give constraints on the existence of Lie triples tangent to M_g .

1.3. The first step in this direction is the computation of **B** at a moduli point $[C] \in \mathsf{M}_g$ in terms of the geometry of the curve C. This is the first main result of this note. Let \overline{C} denote the conjugate curve, i.e. with the opposite complex structure. We first show that the dual map of **B** can be seen as map

$$\mathbf{B}^*: H^0(K_C) \otimes H^0(K_{\overline{C}}) \to H^0(2K_C) \otimes H^0(2K_{\overline{C}}).$$

Secondly, we consider the algebraic surface $Z = C \times \overline{C}$. By Künneth formula $H^0(Z, K_Z) \simeq H^0(K_C) \otimes H^0(K_{\overline{C}})$ and $H^0(2K_Z) \simeq H^0(2K_C) \otimes H^0(2K_{\overline{C}})$. With these identifications we prove the following.

Theorem A. The map

$$\mathbf{B}^*: H^0(Z, K_Z) \longrightarrow H^0(Z, 2K_Z)$$

coincides with the multiplication by $-i\mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{K} \in H^0(Z, K_Z)$ is the Bergman kernel of the curve C.

(See Theorem 3.7. See 2.1 for the definition of Bergman kernel in the sense we need.) In other words the Bergman kernel **K** governs the restriction of the Lie bracket to $dj(TM_g)$.

1.4. Another approach to the extrinsic geometry of inclusion $j(\mathsf{M}_g) \subset \mathsf{A}_g$ outside the hyperelliptic locus uses the second fundamental form. If C is non-hyperelliptic, the second fundamental form at [C] has been interpreted as the multiplication by a holomorphic section $\hat{\eta}$ of the line bundle $K_S(2\Delta)$, where $S = C \times C$ and $\Delta \subset S$ is the diagonal (see [2, 3]). This leads back the study of the behavior of the second fundamental form to the study of the 2-form $\hat{\eta} \in H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$.

1.5. The form $\hat{\eta}$ has been further studied in [1] in relation with projective structures on compact Riemann surfaces. Section 5 of [1] is dedicated to the study of the cohomology class of the form $\hat{\eta}$ and contains a characterization of $\hat{\eta}$ as the unique element (up to multiples) of $H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$ with cohomology class in $H^2(S - \Delta)$ of pure type (1, 1).

1.6. In our second result we give an explicit description of the harmonic representative of the cohomology class of $\hat{\eta}$:

Theorem B. The Bergman kernel is the (1, 1)-harmonic representative of the cohomology class of $\hat{\eta} \in H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$ in $H^2(S - \Delta, \mathbb{Z})$. More precisely, there exists $\alpha \in H^0(S, \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(\Delta))$ such that

$$\hat{\eta} - 2\pi \mathbf{K} = d\alpha,$$

that is $\partial \alpha = \hat{\eta}$ and $\bar{\partial} \alpha = -2\pi \mathbf{K}$.

(See Theorem 4.1.) It is quite hard to control the behaviour of $\hat{\eta}$ outside of the diagonal. Only along Δ its behaviour admits an algebraic description, via the second Gaussian map μ_2 , see [3]. We expect the above result to allow some better understanding of $\hat{\eta}$ and the second fundamental form.

Acknowledgements. The idea to study the Lie bracket restricted to moduli space arose from discussions with Gian Pietro Pirola. The idea of using Bergman kernel in the study of the map \mathbf{B}^* was suggested by Indranil Biswas. We heartily thank both of them. We also thank Paola Frediani for several interesting discussions related to the subject of this paper.

2. Bergman kernel

2.1. Let C be a smooth complex projective curve of genus $g \ge 1$. Set $S := C \times C$ and let $p, q : S \to C$ be the projections p(x, y) = x, q(x, y) = y.

Let C denote the conjugate variety and set $Z := C \times \overline{C}$. Z coincides with S as a real manifold, but has a different complex structure. The projections $p: Z \to C$, $q: Z \to \overline{C}$ are holomorphic.

Denote by h the Hodge Hermitian product on $H^0(C, K_C)$, defined by

$$h(\alpha,\beta) =: i \int \alpha \wedge \overline{\beta}.$$

Definition 2.1. Let $\omega_1, ..., \omega_g$ be a unitary basis for $H^0(C, K_C)$. Then

$$\mathbf{K} := \sum_{j=1}^{g} p^* \omega_j \wedge q^* \overline{\omega}_j$$

is a well-defined (1,1)-form on S independent of the choice of the unitary basis. It is called the **Bergman kernel form** of the algebraic curve C.

This is the definition of the Bergman kernel form on an arbitrary complex manifold due to Kobayashi [7]. It generalizes the classical Bergman kernel on open domains in \mathbb{C}^n . **K** can also be seen as a holomorphic 2-form on Z. In particular it is a harmonic form with respect to any Kähler metric on Z. If we consider it as a (1,1)-form on S, it is harmonic for any Kähler metric on S which is Kähler also on Z. In particular it is harmonic for any product metric.

If $x, y \in C$, $T_{(x,y)}S = T_xC \oplus T_yC$. Thus elements of $T_{(x,y)}S$ are pairs (u, v) with $u \in T_xC$ and $v \in T_yC$. Since **K** is a (1,1)-form, its behaviour is controlled by the values $\mathbf{K}((u, 0), (0, \overline{v}))$ for $u \in T_x^{1,0}C$, $v \in T_y^{1,0}C$.

2.2. Although not needed in the following, it is interesting to point out the following relation between the Bergman kernel and the period matrix associated to the algebraic curve C (cf. [10, eq. (2.4)]). Let Qdenote the intersection form on $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $\{a_i, b_i\}$ be a symplectic basis for $(H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}), Q)$. Consider a basis $\omega_1, ..., \omega_g$ of $H^0(C, K_C)$ normalized with respect to $\{a_i, b_i\}$ and the period matrix $Z = (z_{ij})$ with $z_{ij} = \int_{b_j} \omega_i$. Then, with respect this basis, the Bergman kernel has the form

(2.1)
$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\operatorname{Im} Z)^{ij} p^* \omega_j \wedge q^* \overline{\omega}_j$$

where $(\operatorname{Im} Z)^{ij}$ denote the coefficients of $(\operatorname{Im} Z)^{-1}$.

To check this observe first that $h(\omega_i, \omega_j) = 2 \operatorname{Im} z_{ij}$. Indeed let $\mathscr{B} = \{a_i^*, b_j^*\}$ be the dual basis. If $D : H^1(C) \to H_1(C)$ is Poincaré duality, then $Da_i^* = b_i$ and $Db_i^* = -a_i$, so \mathscr{B} is symplectic for $Q^*(\alpha, \beta) = \int_C \alpha \cup \beta$. Since $\omega_i = a_i^* + \sum_{k=1}^n z_{ik} b_k^*$, the result follows.

Now (2.1) is a consequence of the following general fact: if $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_g$ is a basis of $H^0(C, K_C)$ and A is the matrix with entries $a_{ij} := h(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$, then $\mathbf{K} = \sum_{i,j} a^{ij} p^* \alpha_i \wedge q^* \overline{\alpha}_j$.

2.3. Next we show how to recover the Bergman kernel using the socalled elementary potentials. Let (U, z) be a chart centered at $x \in C$ and set $u = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(x)$. Classical results ensure the existence of a harmonic function $f_u \in C^{\infty}(C - \{x\})$ such that $f_u = -\frac{1}{z} + g(z)$ on $U - \{x\}$ for some $g \in C^{\infty}(U)$. The function f_u is unique up to an additive constant and it is called *elementary potential* (see [2, §3]). We recall some of its properties that will be relevant in our analysis. It follows from the definition that $\overline{\partial} f_u$ is smooth on C and that

(2.2)
$$\int_C \omega \wedge (-\bar{\partial}f_u) = 2\pi i\omega(u), \quad \text{for } \omega \in H^0(C, K_C).$$

(See [2, Section 3] for more details.) This shows that elementary potentials are related to evaluation and the canonical map. Therefore they are clearly related to Bergman kernel as we show now. 2.4. For $x \in C$ and $u \in T_x C$, let $ev_u : H^0(C, K_C) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the evaluation map and let $k_u \in H^0(K_C)$ be such that

(2.3)
$$\operatorname{ev}_u = h(\cdot, k_u).$$

Lemma 2.2. For $u \in T_x^{1,0}C$, $v \in T_y^{1,0}C$, with $x, y \in C$, we have $\mathbf{K}((u,0), (0,\overline{v})) = h(k_v, k_u) = k_v(u).$

Proof. Let $\omega_1, ..., \omega_g$ be a unitary basis for $H^0(K_C)$. Then $k_v = \sum_j \lambda_j \omega_j$, with $\lambda_j = h(k_v, \omega_j) = \overline{\omega_j(v)}$. Thus $h(k_v, k_u) = k_v(u) = \sum_j \overline{\omega_j(v)} \omega_j(u) = \mathbf{K}((u, 0), (0, \overline{v}))$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $x, y \in C$, and $u \in T_x^{1,0}C$, $v \in T_y^{1,0}C$. If f_u is an elementary potential, then $\bar{\partial} f_u = 2\pi \overline{k_u}$. In particular

$$\mathbf{K}((u,0),(0,\overline{v})) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\bar{\partial}f_u(\overline{v}).$$

Proof. From (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that $\int_C \omega \wedge (\bar{\partial} f_u) = -2\pi i \omega(u) = -2\pi i h(\omega, k_u) = 2\pi \int_C \omega \wedge \overline{k_u}$. So $\bar{\partial} f_u$ and $2\pi \overline{k_u}$ have the same cohomology class. Since both are harmonic they coincide. Next by the previous Lemma $\mathbf{K}((u, 0), (0, \overline{v})) = h(k_v, k_u) = \overline{h(k_u, k_v)} = \overline{k_u(v)} = \overline{k_u(v)} = \overline{k_u(\overline{v})} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \bar{\partial} f_u(\overline{v})$.

3. LIE BRACKET

In this section we study the Lie bracket i.e. the tensor B on Siegel space, introduced in 1.1, and prove Theorem A. We start by recalling something about Siegel upper half-space. Next we go through several identications of the tangent space to \mathfrak{S}_g and write down Bin terms of them (Proposition 3.4). Given a curve, we apply this to its Jacobian, i.e. we consider \mathbf{B} as in 1.2 (Proposition 3.6). We recall further identifications using the conjugate curve and the surface $Z = C \times \overline{C}$. This allows to understand the dual map \mathbf{B}^* as a map $H^0(Z, K_Z) \to H^0(Z, 2K_Z)$. Finally using the elementary potentials we prove our main result Theorem 3.7.

Let (V, Q) be a real symplectic vector space. If $J \in \text{End } V$ satisfies $J^2 = -I_V$ and $J^*Q = Q$, the bilinear form $g_J(v, v') := Q(v, Jv')$ is symmetric. Siegel upper half-space is defined as

 $\mathfrak{S} := \mathfrak{S}(V, Q) := \{ J \in \operatorname{End} V : J^2 = -I_V, J^*Q = Q, g_J \gg 0 \}.$

For every J we denote $V_{-1,0}(J)$ and $V_{0,-1}(J)$ the $\pm i$ -eigenspaces of J on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. We also set

$$H_J^{1,0} := \operatorname{Ann} V_{0,-1} \quad H_J^{0,1} := \operatorname{Ann} V_{-1,0}(J).$$

We usually drop J in the notation. When $V = \mathbb{R}^{2g}$ and Q is the standard form, we write \mathfrak{S}_g . The symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp} := \operatorname{Sp}(V, Q)$ acts on \mathfrak{S} by conjugation. This action is transitive and \mathfrak{S} is a Hermitian symmetric space. For $X \in \operatorname{End} V$ set $Q_X := Q(\cdot, X \cdot)$. Then $\mathfrak{sp} =$

 $\mathfrak{sp}(V,Q) = \{X \in \operatorname{End} V : Q_X \text{ is symmetric}\}.$ If $\mathfrak{sp} = \mathfrak{sp}_J \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is the Cartan decomposition at $J \in \mathfrak{S}$, then

$$\mathfrak{p}=\{X\in\mathfrak{sp}:XJ+JX=0\},\quad\mathfrak{sp}_J=\{X\in\mathfrak{sp}:[J,X]=0\}.$$

We endow $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_J \mathfrak{S}$ with the complex structure $\hat{I} := (1/2) \mathrm{ad} J$. Then

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} = \{ X \in \mathfrak{sp}_{\mathbb{C}} : X(V_{-1,0}) \subset V_{0,-1} \text{ and } X(V_{0,-1}) \subset V_{-1,0} \}$$

(3.1) $\mathbf{p}^{1,0} = \{ X \in \operatorname{Hom}(V_{0,-1}, V_{-1,0}) : Q_X \text{ is symmetric} \},$

3.1. We have an isomorphism

$$\varphi_Q: V_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}^*, \quad \varphi_Q(v) := Q(\cdot, v).$$

Its inverse is denoted by $\psi_Q := \varphi_Q^{-1}$. For any Lagrangian subspace $L \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}$ the isomorphism φ_Q maps L onto $\operatorname{Ann}(L)$. Therefore φ_Q gives an isomorphism $V_{0,-1} \cong H^{1,0}$.

As mentioned in 1.1 we are interested in the Lie bracket which can be seen as a section of a bundle over the symmetric space \mathfrak{S} . We wish to compute $B_J \in \Lambda^2 T_J^* \mathfrak{S} \otimes \mathfrak{sp}_J$. As usual it is useful to look at Bthough its complexification

$$B_J: (T_J\mathfrak{S})_{\mathbb{C}} \times (T_J\mathfrak{S})_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{sp}_J)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Recall that $(T_J\mathfrak{S})_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^{0,1}$, where $\mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ is given by (3.1) and

(3.2)
$$(\mathfrak{sp}_J)_{\mathbb{C}} = \{ X \in \operatorname{End} V_{\mathbb{C}} : X(V_{-1,0}) \subset V_{-1,0}, \\ X(V_{0,-1}) \subset V_{0,-1}, Q_X \text{ is simmetric} \}$$

Lemma 3.1. The map B_J is of type (1, 1), i.e. it vanishes on vectors of the same type.

Proof. Since B_J is real, it is enough to show that it vanishes on pairs of (1,0)-vectors. If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$, then $X(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset V_{-1,0}$ and $Y|_{V_{-1,0}} = 0$, thus YX = 0. For the same reason also XY = 0. Thus $B_J(X,Y) = XY - YX = 0$.

If $X \in \operatorname{End} V_{\mathbb{C}}$, let $X^* \in \operatorname{End} V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ denote the transpose. The transposition map $X \mapsto X^*$ is a canonical isomorphism $\operatorname{End} V_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \operatorname{End} V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. It is useful to reinterpret everything in terms of $\operatorname{End} V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ rather than $\operatorname{End} V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Set

$$Q^* := \psi_O^* Q.$$

(Notation as in 3.1.) Then Q^* is a symplectic form on $V^*_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 3.2. If $X \in \text{End } V_{\mathbb{C}}$, then Q_X is symmetric iff $Q_{X^*}^*$ is symmetric.

Proof. Define $\tilde{X} \in \text{End} V_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $Q(Xa, b) = Q(a, \tilde{X}b)$. Then

$$X^*\varphi_Q a = X^*Q(\cdot, a) = Q(X \cdot, a) = Q(\cdot, Xa) = \varphi_Q Xa.$$

Given $\alpha, \beta \in V^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ let $a = \psi_Q \alpha, b = \psi_Q \beta$. Then

$$-Q_{X^*}^*(\alpha,\beta) = Q^*(X^*\alpha,\beta) = Q(\psi_Q X^*\varphi_Q a, \psi_Q \varphi_Q b) =$$
$$= Q(\tilde{X}a,b) = Q(a,Xb) = Q_X(a,b).$$

So $-Q_{X^*}^*(\alpha,\beta) = Q_X(a,b)$. The statement follows.

Lemma 3.3. If $X \in \text{End } V_{\mathbb{C}}$, then

$$X|_{V_{-1,0}} = 0 \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} X^* \subset H^{0,1}$$
$$\operatorname{Im} X \subset V_{-1,0} \Longrightarrow X^*|_{H^{0,1}} = 0.$$

Proof. Recall that for any linear map $L : E \to F$ of vector spaces Ann Im $L = \ker L^*$. Now $X|_{V_{-1,0}} = 0 \Longrightarrow V_{-1,0} \subset \ker X \Longrightarrow H^{0,1} =$ Ann $V_{-1,0} \supset$ Ann ker $X = \operatorname{Im} X^*$. And $\operatorname{Im} X \subset V_{-1,0} \Longrightarrow \ker X^* =$ Ann Im $X \supset$ Ann $V_{-1,0} = H^{0,1}$. \Box

Proposition 3.4. There are canonical isomorphisms

(3.3) $\mathfrak{p}^{1,0} \cong \{t \in \operatorname{Hom}(H^{1,0}, H^{0,1}) : Q_t^* \text{ is symmetric}\},\$

$$(\mathfrak{sp}_J)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \operatorname{End} H^{1,0}$$

Using these isomorphism B_J gets identified with the map

$$B_J: \mathfrak{p}^{1,0} \times \overline{\mathfrak{p}^{1,0}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End} H^{1,0} \quad (s,\bar{t}) \mapsto \bar{t}s.$$

Proof. The first isomorphism is simply the restriction to $\mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ of the map $X \mapsto X^*$. Lemmata 3.2 and 3.3 show that indeed the image of $\mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ is the set of $X^* \in \operatorname{End} V^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ that vanish on $H^{0,1}$, have image in $H^{0,1}$ and such that $Q^*_{X^*}$ is symmetric. To describe the second isomorphism start from (3.2). Again the Lemmata show that the map $X \mapsto X^*$ sends $(\mathfrak{sp}_J)_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the set of $X^* \in \operatorname{End} V^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ that preserve each $H^{p,q}$ and such that $Q^*_{X^*}$ is symmetric. The latter means that $Q^*(u, X^*v) = Q^*(v, X^*u)$. This identity is trivial if u and v have the same type, since in that case both term vanish. Hence $X^*|_{H^{1,0}}$ is an arbitrary endomorphism of $H^{1,0}$. On the contrary the identity shows that for any $v \in H^{0,1}$ the value X^*v is determined by $X^*|_{H^{1,0}}$. Hence

$$(3.4) \qquad \qquad (\mathfrak{sp}_J)_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End} H^{1,0}, \quad X \mapsto X^*|_{H^{1,0}}$$

is the desired isomorphism. Now let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ and set $s := X^*$, $t := Y^*$. Then $B(X, \overline{Y})^* = \overline{t}s - s\overline{t}$. Since $\overline{t}|_{H^{1,0}} = 0$, in the isomorphism (3.4) $B(X, Y) \in (\mathfrak{sp}_J)_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponds to $B(X, \overline{Y})^*|_{H^{1,0}} = \overline{t}s$. \Box

Since $H^{1,0} = \operatorname{Ann} V_{0,-1}$, we have $\operatorname{Ann} H^{1,0} = V_{0,-1}$. So there is a canonical isomorphism $(H^{1,0})^* \cong V_{\mathbb{C}}/\operatorname{Ann} H^{1,0} = V_{\mathbb{C}}/V_{0,-1} \cong V_{-1,0}$. We treat this isomorphism as an identity. By 3.1 φ_Q maps $V_{-1,0}$ isomorphically onto $H^{0,1}$. Thus $\psi_Q = \varphi_Q^{-1}$ restricts to an isomorphism

(3.5)
$$\psi_Q: H^{0,1} \xrightarrow{\cong} (H^{1,0})^*.$$

Lemma 3.5. For $\bar{\omega} \in H^{0,1}$, we have $\psi_Q(\bar{\omega}) = Q^*(\bar{\omega}, \cdot)$.

Proof. First we claim that $\varphi_{Q^*}\varphi_Q = -\operatorname{id}_{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$ i.e. $\psi_Q = -\varphi_{Q^*}$. Indeed fix $v \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$. That $\varphi_{Q^*}\varphi_Q(v) = -v$ means that $Q^*(\cdot,\varphi_Q(v)) = -v$, i.e. that $Q^*(\lambda,\varphi_Q(v)) = -\lambda(v)$ for any $\lambda \in V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Assume $\lambda = \varphi_Q(w)$ for $w \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\lambda(v) = Q(v,w)$ and $Q^*(\lambda,\varphi_Q(v)) = Q^*(\varphi_Q(w),\varphi_Q(v)) =$ Q(w,v).

Now consider the period map $j : \mathsf{M}_g \longrightarrow \mathsf{A}_g$. Let $x \in \mathsf{M}_g$ be the moduli point of a curve C: x = [C]. If we fix a symplectic basis of $H_1(C,\mathbb{Z})$ we get a sympletic isomorphism of $H_1(C,\mathbb{R})$ with the intersection form onto (\mathbb{R}^{2g}, Q) . Thus the Hodge decomposition $H^1(C,\mathbb{C}) = H^1(C) \oplus H^{0,1}(C)$ gives a complex structure on $H^1(C,\mathbb{C})$, hence a point $J \in \mathfrak{S}_g$.

In the following we use $T_x M_g$ to denote the *real* tangent space i.e. the tangent space of M_g as a differentiable manifold (and similarly for A_g). Thus $(T_x M_g)_{\mathbb{C}} = T_x^{1,0} M_g \oplus T_x^{0,1} M_g$ and $T_x^{1,0} M_g = H^1(C, T_C)$, while $(T_x A_g)_{\mathbb{C}} = (T_J \mathfrak{S}_g)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^{0,1}$. By a theorem of Griffiths the map $dj_x : H^1(C, T_C) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ using the interpretation (3.3) is given by

$$dj_x(\xi) = \xi \cup \cdot : H^{1,0} \longrightarrow H^{0,1}, \quad dj_x(\xi)(\omega) = \xi \cup \omega.$$

(See e.g. [9, pp. 234ff].) Now $T_x^{0,1}\mathsf{M}_g = \overline{H^1(C,T_C)}$ is the conjugate vector space, i.e. it has the same underlying real vector space as $H^1(C,T_C)$ but multiplication by *i* is replaced with multiplication by -i. Since *j* is holomorphic, its differential is a direct sum of the map $dj_x : H^1(C,T_C) \to \mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ and its conjugate. Hence for $\bar{\eta} \in \overline{H^1(C,T_C)}$ and $\omega \in H^{1,0}(C)$ we have

$$dj_x(\bar{\eta}) = \bar{\eta} \cup \cdot : H^{1,0} \longrightarrow H^{0,1}, \quad dj_x(\bar{\eta})(\bar{\omega}) = \overline{\eta \cup \omega}.$$

As mentioned in the Introduction our goal is to study the map

$$\mathbf{B}_x := dj_x^* B_J : H^1(C, T_C) \times \overline{H^1(C, T_C)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End} H^{1,0}(C).$$

The following is a consequence of Proposition 3.4.

Proposition 3.6. For $\xi, \eta \in H^1(C, T_C)$ and $\omega \in H^{1,0}(C)$, we have $\mathbf{B}(\xi, \bar{\eta})(\omega) = \bar{\eta} \cup (\xi \cup \omega).$

Once again it is useful to dualize. This time we dualize the map **B** itself. Using (3.5) we can describe the domain of **B**^{*} as follows:

$$(\operatorname{End} H^{1,0})^* = (H^{1,0*} \otimes H^{1,0})^* = H^{1,0} \otimes H^{1,0*} \cong H^{1,0} \otimes H^{0,1}.$$

More explicitly, let $\omega, \omega \in H^{1,0}$ and $t \in \text{End } H^{1,0}$. Then $\omega \otimes \bar{\omega}' \in H^{1,0} \otimes H^{0,1}$. Recalling Lemma 3.5 one easily verifies that the corresponding element of $(\text{End } H^{1,0})^*$ is the linear functional mapping t to $Q^*(\bar{\omega}', t\omega)$.

The dual of $H^1(C, T_C)$ is $H^0(C, 2K_C)$. Thus the dual of **B** is defined on $H^0(C, 2K_C) \otimes \overline{H^0(C, 2K_C)}$ and maps to $H^{1,0}(C) \otimes \overline{H^{1,0}(C)}$.

Denoting by \overline{C} the conjugate variety we have

$$\overline{H^0(C, 2K_C)} = H^0(\bar{C}, 2K_{\bar{C}}), \quad \overline{H^{1,0}(C)} = H^{1,0}(\bar{C}).$$

Thus \mathbf{B}^* is a map from $H^{1,0}(C) \otimes H^{1,0}(\overline{C})$ to $H^0(C, 2K_C) \otimes H^0(\overline{C}, 2K_{\overline{C}})$. We further reinterpret domain and target of \mathbf{B}^* as spaces of sections of appropriate bundles on $Z = C \times \overline{C}$. Denoting by $p : Z \to C$ and $q : Z \to \overline{C}$ the projections and given bundles $L \to C$ and $M \to \overline{C}$, set $L \boxtimes M := p^*L \otimes q^*M$. The map

$$H^0(C,L) \otimes H^0(\bar{C},M) \longrightarrow H^0(Z,L \boxtimes M), \quad s \otimes t \mapsto p^* s \otimes q^* t,$$

is an isomorphism. For any positive integer n there is a canonical isomorphism

obtained as follows: if $\alpha \in K_{C,x}$ and $\beta \in K_{\bar{C},y}$, denote by $\alpha^n \in (K_{C,x})^{\otimes n}$ and $\beta^n \in (K_{\bar{C},y})^{\otimes n}$ the tensor powers. Then $\alpha^n \otimes \beta^n \in (K_C^n \boxtimes K_{\bar{C}}^n)_{(x,y)}$, while $(p^*\alpha \wedge q^*\beta)^n \in K_{Z,(x,y)}$. The isomorphism (3.6) maps $\alpha^n \otimes \beta^n$ to $(p^*\alpha \wedge q^*\beta)^n$.

We now prove Theorem A.

Theorem 3.7. The map

$$\mathbf{B}^*: H^0(Z, K_Z) \longrightarrow H^0(Z, 2K_Z)$$

coincides with the multiplication by $-i\mathbf{K}$.

Proof. Fix a point $x \in C$ and a chart (U, z) centered in x. Set $u = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(x)$ and consider the Schiffer variation ξ_u at $x \in C$. We recall that

(3.7)
$$\xi_u \cup = -2\pi \operatorname{ev}_u \otimes \overline{k}_u.$$

Indeed fix a Dolbeault representative $\varphi = \frac{\overline{\partial}b}{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, where $b \in C^{\infty}$ is a bump function which is equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of x. For $\omega \in H^0(K_C)$, with local expression $\omega = h(z)dz$ on U, it holds that

$$dj_x(\xi_u)(\omega) = \xi_u \cup \omega = [\varphi \cdot \omega] = \left[\frac{\bar{\partial}(bh)}{z}\right].$$

If f_u is an elementary potential, the functions

$$\frac{b}{z} + f_u$$
 and $b \cdot \frac{h - h(0)}{z}$

are smooth on C. Hence

$$\bar{\partial}\left(\frac{bh}{z}\right) = \bar{\partial}\left(b \cdot \frac{h - h(0)}{z}\right) + h(0) \cdot \bar{\partial}\left(\frac{b}{z} + f_u\right) - h(0)\bar{\partial}f_u.$$

Thus $dj_x(\xi_u)(\omega) = h(0) \cdot [-\bar{\partial}f_u]$. As usual we identify $H^{0,1}(C)$ with the space of antiholomorphic forms. Thus since $h(0) = \omega(u)$, using Lemma 2.3 and the fact that \overline{k}_u is antiholomorphic, we get (3.7).

We also recall (see [2, Lemma 2.3]) that for $\beta \in H^0(C, 2K_C) = H^1(C, T_C)^*$, we have $\beta(\xi_u) = 2\pi i\beta(u)$. It follows that for $\Phi \in H^0(Z, 2K_Z)$

$$\Phi((u,0),(0,\bar{v})) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \Phi(\xi_u \otimes \xi_{\bar{v}}).$$

Now we can prove the statement. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\Omega = p^* \omega \wedge q^* \overline{\omega'}$, with $\omega, \omega' \in H^0(K_C)$. Then

$$(\mathbf{B}^*\Omega)_{(x,y)}((u,0),(0,\overline{v})) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \mathbf{B}^*(p^*\omega \wedge q^*\overline{\omega'})(\xi_u \otimes \xi_{\overline{v}}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}(p^*\omega \wedge q^*\overline{\omega'})(\mathbf{B}(\xi_u \otimes \xi_{\overline{v}})).$$

It follows from (3.7) that

$$\xi_{\overline{v}} \cup (\xi_u \cup \omega) = -2\pi\omega(u) \cdot \xi_{\overline{v}} \cup \overline{k}_u = -2\pi\omega(u) \cdot \overline{\xi_v \cup k_u} = 4\pi^2\omega(u) \cdot \overline{k_u(v)} \cdot k_v.$$

Using this and Lemma 3.5 we get

$$(\mathbf{B}^*\Omega)_{(x,y)}((u,0),(0,\overline{v})) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}Q^*(\overline{\omega'},\mathbf{B}(\xi_u\otimes\xi_{\overline{v}})\omega) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}Q^*(\overline{\omega'},\xi_{\overline{v}}\cup(\xi_u\cup\omega)) = -\omega(u)\cdot\overline{k_u(v)}\cdot Q^*(\overline{\omega'},k_v).$$

Since $Q^*(\overline{\omega'}, k_v) = i \cdot \overline{\omega'(v)}$ and using Lemma2.2 we finally get

$$(\mathbf{B}^*\Omega)_{(x,y)}((u,0),(0,\overline{v})) = -i\omega(u)\overline{\omega'(v)} \cdot \overline{k_u(v)} = = -i \cdot (\Omega \cdot \mathbf{K})_{(x,y)}((u,0),(0,\overline{v}))$$

4. The form $\hat{\eta}$

Fix a smooth complex projective curve C of genus g > 0 and let $\Delta \subset S = C \times C$ be the diagonal. In this section we recall the definition of the meromorphic form $\hat{\eta} \in H^0(C, K_S(2\Delta))$ constructed in [3, 2], which governs the second fundamental form of the Torelli map with respect to the Siegel metric. Next we recall from [1] the analysis of its cohomology class. Finally we prove our second main result, i.e. Theorem B.

4.1. The construction of the form $\hat{\eta}$ goes as follows. For $x \in C$, let

$$j_x: H^0(C, K_C(2x)) \hookrightarrow H^1(C - \{x\}, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$$

be the map that associates to $\omega \in H^0(C, K_C(2x))$ its de Rham cohomology class. This map is an injection since $C \neq \mathbb{P}^1$. As $H^{1,0}(C) \subset j_x(H^0(C, K_C(2x)))$ and $h^0(C, K_C(2x)) = g+1$, the preimage $j_x^{-1}(H^{0,1}(C))$ is a line. Thus, fixed a chart (U, z) centered at $x \in C$, there exists a unique element φ in this line such that on $U - \{x\}$

$$\varphi = \left(\frac{1}{z^2} + h(z)\right)dz$$

with $h \in \mathcal{O}_C(U)$. Set $u = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(x)$, and define the map

$$\eta_x: T_x^{1,0}C \to H^0(C, K_C(2x)), \quad \lambda u \mapsto \eta_x(\lambda u) := \lambda \varphi.$$

10

It is easy to see that η_x does not depend on the choice of the local coordinate. In the following we will also use the fact that if f_u is an elementary potential, then $\partial f_u = \eta_u$, see [2, Lemma 3.1].

Next consider the line bundle $L := K_S(2\Delta)$ on S and set

$$V := p_*(q^*K_C(2\Delta)), \quad E := p_*L.$$

By the projection formula $E = K_C \otimes V$. Also, since $q^*K_C(2\Delta)|_{\{x\}\times C} = q^*K_C(2x)$, we have that $H^0(p^{-1}(x), q^*K_C(2\Delta)) \simeq H^0(C, K_C(2x))$ and the fiber of the holomorphic vector bundle $V \to C$ on $x \in C$ is isomorphic to $H^0(C, K_C(2x))$. Thus $\eta_x \in E_x$. More precisely, the map $x \mapsto \eta_x$ is a holomorphic section of E ([2, Proposition 3.4]).

Finally, since $E = p_*L$, there is an isomorphism between $H^0(C, E)$ and $H^0(S, L)$ that associates to $\alpha \in H^0(C, E)$ the section $\hat{\alpha}$ of L such that $\alpha_x = \hat{\alpha}|_{\{x\}\times C} \in E_x$. The form $\hat{\eta} \in H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$ is defined as the holomorphic section of L corresponding to $\eta \in H^0(C, E)$. Note that, in particular, for $u \in T_x^{1,0}C$ and $v \in T_x^{1,0}C$ with $x \neq y$, it holds

(4.1)
$$\eta_x(u)(v) = \hat{\eta}(u, v).$$

4.2. The form $\hat{\eta}$ also appears in an unpublished book of Gunning [5] under the name of *intrinsic double differential of the second kind*.

4.3. The importance of $\hat{\eta}$ comes from the fact that the second fundamental form of the Torelli map outside the hyperelliptic locus coincides with the multiplication by $\hat{\eta}$ [3, 2]. The form $\hat{\eta}$ has been further studied in [1] in relation with projective structures on compact Riemann surfaces. Moreover Section 5 in [1] contains an analysis of the cohomology class of the form $\hat{\eta}$. Denoting $j : S - \Delta \hookrightarrow S$ the inclusion map, it follows from the exact sequence of homology groups for the pair (S, Δ) and Poincar \tilde{A} ($\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and Lefschetz dualities, that the homomorphism $j^* : H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S - \Delta, \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective and its kernel is generated by the (pure) class of the diagonal. Consequently, the Hodge decomposition of $H^2(S)$ induces a decomposition of $H^2(S - \Delta)$. In particular, for any $\zeta \in H^0(C, K_S(2\Delta))$ there is $[\gamma] \in H^2(S)$ such that $[\zeta] = j^*[\gamma] \in H^2(S - \Delta)$. Moreover the (0, 2) part of $[\gamma]$ vanishes. So

$$[\gamma] = \gamma^{2,0} + \gamma^{1,1}$$

where $\gamma^{2,0}$ is holomorphic and $\gamma^{1,1}$ is harmonic of type (1,1). (Harmonicity is for any product metric.) In this context, the fundamental result on the cohomology of $\hat{\eta}$ is that

$$[\hat{\eta}] = j^*[\gamma^{1,1}] \in H^2(S - \Delta),$$

where $\gamma^{1,1}$ is a harmonic (1,1)-form on S. That is, $\hat{\eta}$ has cohomology class in $H^2(S-\Delta)$ of pure type (1,1). In fact, this implies the characterization of $\hat{\eta}$ as the unique element (up to multiples) of $H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$ with cohomology class in $H^2(S - \Delta)$ of pure type (1, 1). In the following we give an explicit description of the harmonic representative $\gamma^{1,1}$ of $\hat{\eta}$.

4.4. Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ the sheaf of smooth differential forms of type (p,q) on S. Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}(n\Delta)$ be the sheaf of (p,q)-forms having a pole of order at most n on Δ , i.e. those forms ω such that $x^n \omega$ is smooth of type (p,q), where x = 0 is a local equation of Δ .

For $u \in (T_xC)_{\mathbb{C}}$, denote by $u^{1,0}$ the (1,0)-component of u and set $f_u := f_{u^{1,0}}$. For $u \in (T_xC)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $v \in (T_yC)_{\mathbb{C}}$, set

$$\alpha(u, v) := 2f_v(p) + f_u(q)$$

It is clear that $\alpha \in H^0(S, \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(\Delta)).$

We can now prove Theorem B.

Theorem 4.1. The Bergman kernel is the (1, 1)-harmonic representative of the cohomology class of $\hat{\eta} \in H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$ in $H^2(S - \Delta)$. More precisely,

$$\hat{\eta} - 2\pi \mathbf{K} = d\alpha,$$

that is $\partial \alpha = \hat{\eta}$ and $\bar{\partial} \alpha = -2\pi \mathbf{K}$.

Proof. We first observe that for $u \in (T_x C)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $v \in (T_y C)_{\mathbb{C}}$, denoted by U, V two vector fields on C such that $U_x = u$ and $V_y = v$, since [(U, 0), (0, V)] = 0, we have that

$$d\alpha((u,0),(0,v)) = (U,0)(\alpha(0,v)) - (0,V)(\alpha(u,0)).$$

Now assume $u \in T_x^{1,0}C$, $v \in T_y^{1,0}C$ and that U and V are (1,0). For $x \neq y$, $\partial f_u = \eta_x(u)$ and we get

$$\partial \alpha((u,0),(0,v)) = 2(U,0)f_v - (0,V)f_u =$$

$$= 2\partial f_v(u) - \partial f_u(v) = 2\eta_y(v)(u) - \eta_x(u)(v) = \hat{\eta}((u,0), (0,v)),$$

where for the last equality we used (4.1) and the symmetry of $\hat{\eta}$ (see [2, Lemma 3.5]). Similarly using Lemma 2.3

$$\bar{\partial}\alpha((u,0),(0,\overline{v})) = -(0,\overline{V})f_u = -\bar{\partial}f_u(\overline{v}) = -2\pi\mathbf{K}((u,0),(0,\overline{v})).$$

References

- I. Biswas, E. Colombo, P. Frediani, and G.P. Pirola, *Hodge theoretic projective structure on Riemann surfaces*, Preprint 2020, arXiv:1912.08595. To appear in Jour. Math. Pures. Appl.
- [2] E. Colombo, P. Frediani, and A. Ghigi, On totally geodesic submanifolds in the Jacobian locus, Internat. J. Math., 26 (2015), no. 1, 1550005.
- [3] E. Colombo, G.P. Pirola, and A. Tortora, *Hodge-Gaussian maps*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 30 (2001), 125-146.
- [4] A. Ghigi, On some differential-geometric aspects of the Torelli map, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 12 (2019), no. 1-2, 133-144.
- [5] R.C. Gunning, Some topics in the function theory of compact Riemann surfaces, https://web.math.princeton.edu/~gunning/book.pdf.

- [6] R. Hain. Locally symmetric families of curves and Jacobians, In: "Moduli of curves and abelian varieties", 91–108. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1999.
- [7] S. Kobayashi. Geometry of bounded domains. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 92:267–290, 1959.
- [8] B. Moonen and F. Oort, *The Torelli locus and special subvarieties*, In: "Handbook of Moduli: Volume II", G. Farkas and I. Morrison (eds.), 549–94. International Press, Boston, 2013.
- [9] C. Voisin. Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe, volume 10 of Cours Spécialisés. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.
- [10] R. Wentworth. The asymptotics of the Arakelov-Green's function and Faltings' delta invariant. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 137(3):427– 459, 1991. Publisher: Springer-Verlag.

UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA Email address: alessandro.ghigi@unipv.it Email address: c.tamborini2@campus.unimib.it