

# Ramsey Numbers for Non-trivial Berge Cycles

Jiaxi Nie\*

Jacques Verstraëte†

Department of Mathematics  
University of California, San Diego  
9500 Gilman Drive  
La Jolla CA 92093-0112.

September 21, 2021

## Abstract

In this paper, we consider an extension of cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers to Berge cycles in hypergraphs: for  $k \geq 2$ , a *non-trivial Berge  $k$ -cycle* is a family of sets  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$  such that  $e_1 \cap e_2, e_2 \cap e_3, \dots, e_k \cap e_1$  has a system of distinct representatives and  $e_1 \cap e_2 \cap \dots \cap e_k = \emptyset$ . In the case that all the sets  $e_i$  have size three, let  $\mathcal{B}_k$  denotes the family of all non-trivial Berge  $k$ -cycles. The *Ramsey numbers*  $R(t, \mathcal{B}_k)$  denote the minimum  $n$  such that every  $n$ -vertex 3-uniform hypergraph contains either a non-trivial Berge  $k$ -cycle or an independent set of size  $t$ . We prove

$$R(t, \mathcal{B}_{2k}) \leq t^{1 + \frac{1}{2k-1} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\log t}}}$$

and moreover, we show that if a conjecture of Erdős and Simonovits [12] on girth in graphs is true, then this is tight up to a factor  $t^{o(1)}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

---

\*E-mail: jin019@ucsd.edu

†Research supported by NSF Award DMS-1952786. E-mail: jacques@ucsd.edu

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of  $r$ -graphs and  $t \geq 1$ . The Ramsey numbers  $R(t, \mathcal{F})$  denote the minimum  $n$  such that every  $n$ -vertex  $r$ -graph contains either a hypergraph in  $\mathcal{F}$  or an independent set of size  $t$ . For  $k \geq 2$ , a *Berge  $k$ -cycle* is a family of sets  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$  such that  $e_1 \cap e_2, e_2 \cap e_3, \dots, e_k \cap e_1$  has a system of distinct representatives, and a Berge cycle is *non-trivial* if  $e_1 \cap e_2 \cap \dots \cap e_k = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_k^r$  denote the family of non-trivial Berge  $k$ -cycles all of whose sets have size  $r$ . When  $r = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_k^2 = \{C_k\}$ , where  $C_k$  denotes the graph cycle of length  $k$ . In this paper, we let  $\mathcal{B}_k = \mathcal{B}_k^3$ .

It is a notoriously difficult problem to determine even the order of magnitude of  $R(t, C_k)$  – the cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers. Kim [18] proved  $R(t, C_3) = \Omega(t^2/\log t)$ , which gives the order of magnitude of  $R(t, C_3)$  when combined with the results of Ajtai, Komlós and Szemerédi [2] and Shearer [30]. The current state-of-the-art results on  $R(t, C_3)$  are due to Fiz Pontiveros, Griffiths and Morris [13] and Bohman and Keevash [6], using the random triangle-free process, which determines  $R(t, C_3)$  up to a small constant factor.

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} - o(1)\right) \frac{t^2}{\log t} \leq R(t, C_3) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{t^2}{\log t}.$$

The case  $R(t, C_4)$  is the subject of a notorious conjecture of Erdős [7], where he conjectured that  $R(t, C_4) = o(t^{2-\epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . The current best upper bounds on  $R(t, C_{2k})$  is

$$O\left(\left(\frac{t}{\log t}\right)^{k/(k-1)}\right),$$

which come from the work of Caro, Li, Rousseau and Zhang [9]. For  $R(t, C_{2k+1})$ , the best upper bound is

$$O\left(\frac{t^{(k+1)/k}}{\log^{1/k} t}\right)$$

due to Sudakov [31]. Recent results using pseudorandom graphs by Mubayi and the second author [26] give the best lower bounds on cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers:

$$R(C_k, n) = \Omega\left(\frac{t^{(k-1)/(k-2)}}{\log^{2/(k-2)} t}\right).$$

In particular, via random block constructions, they show that

$$R(C_5, t) \geq (1 + o(1))t^{11/8}, \quad R(C_7, t) \geq (1 + o(1))t^{11/9}.$$

For  $k \geq 3$ , a *loose  $k$ -cycle* is a non-trivial Berge  $k$ -cycle, denoted  $C_k^r$ , with sets  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$  of size  $r$  such that  $|e_1 \cap e_2| = 1, |e_2 \cap e_3| = 1, \dots, |e_k \cap e_1| = 1$ , and for any other pairs of edges  $e_i, e_j$ ,  $e_i \cap e_j = \emptyset$ . Ramsey type problems for loose cycles in  $r$ -graphs have been studied extensively [4, 10, 11, 14, 16–20, 24, 26]. For  $r$ -uniform hypergraphs with  $r \geq 3$ , Kostochka, Mubayi and the second author [19] proved for all  $r \geq 3$ , there exist constants  $a, b > 0$  such that

$$\frac{at^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(\log t)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \leq R(t, C_3^r) \leq bt^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (1)$$

The following conjecture was proposed in [19]:

**Conjecture I.** For  $r, k \geq 3$ ,

$$R(t, C_k^r) = t^{\frac{k}{k-1} + o(1)}. \quad (2)$$

The conjecture is true for  $k = 3$  due to (1). It is shown in [28] that  $R(t, C_4^3) \leq t^{4/3 + o(1)}$ . M eroueh [24] showed  $R(t, C_k^3) = O(t^{1+1/\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor})$  for  $k \geq 3$  and  $R(t, C_k^r) = O(t^{1+1/\lfloor k/2 \rfloor})$  for  $r \geq 4$  and every odd integers  $k \geq 5$ , improving earlier results of Collier-Cartaino, Graber and Jiang [10]. Conjecture I motivates our current study of non-trivial Berge  $k$ -cycles. In support of the above conjecture, we prove the following result for non-trivial Berge cycles of even length:

**Theorem 1.** For  $k \geq 3$ , and  $t$  large enough,

$$R(t, \mathcal{B}_{2k}) \leq t^{\frac{2k}{2k-1} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\log t}}}.$$

Erdős and Simonovits [12] conjectured that there exists an  $n$ -vertex graph of girth more than  $2k$  with  $\Theta(n^{1+1/k})$  edges. This notoriously difficult conjecture remains open, except when  $k \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ , largely due to the existence of generalized polygons [3, 32, 33]. Towards this conjecture, Lazebnik, Ustimenko and Woldar [22] gave the densest known construction, which has  $\Omega(n^{1+2/(3k-2)})$  edges. We prove the following theorem relating this conjecture to lower bounds on Ramsey numbers for non-trivial Berge cycles:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $k \geq 2$ ,  $r \geq 3$ . Suppose there exists an  $n$ -vertex graph of girth more than  $2k$  with  $cn^{1+1/k}$  edges for any integer  $n$  large enough and some positive constant  $c$ . Then for  $t$  large enough and some positive constant  $c_{k,r}$  dependent on  $k$  and  $r$ ,

$$R(t, \mathcal{B}_k^r) \geq c_{k,r} \left( \frac{t}{\log t} \right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}. \quad (3)$$

This shows that if the Erdős-Simonovits Conjecture is true, then Theorem 1 is tight up to a

$t^{o(1)}$  factor. Indeed, following the proof of Theorem 2, the known construction of Lazebnik, Ustimenko and Woldar [22] would give a weaker lower bound of  $\Omega((t/\log t)^{(3k-2)/(3k-4)})$ .

Let  $B_k$  be the family of 3-uniform Berge  $k$ -cycles without non-triviality. Random graphs together with the Lovász local lemma give  $R(t, B_k) \geq t^{(2k-2)/(2k-3)-o(1)}$ , see [1] for similar computation. We prove the following theorem, which gives a substantially better lower bound for  $B_4$  if the Erdős-Simonovits Conjecture is true.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose there exists an  $n$ -vertex graph of girth more than 8 with  $c_1 n^{5/4}$  edges for any integer  $n$  large enough and some positive constant  $c_1$ . Then for  $t$  large enough and some positive constant  $c_2$ ,*

$$R(t, B_4) \geq \left( \frac{c_2 t}{\sqrt{\log t}} \right)^{16/13}.$$

In fact, this is also a lower bound for  $R(t, \{B_2, B_3, B_4\})$ . A natural 3-uniform analog of the Erdős-Simonovits conjecture is that there exist  $n$ -vertex  $\{B_2, B_3, \dots, B_k\}$ -free 3-graphs with  $n^{1+1/\lfloor k/2 \rfloor - o(1)}$  edges. This is true for  $k = 3$  due to Ruzsa and Szemerédi [29]. The proof of Theorem 3 makes use of the fact that there exist  $n$ -vertex  $\{B_2, B_3, B_4\}$ -free 3-graphs with  $\Omega(n^{3/2})$  edges, that is, the conjecture is true for  $k = 4$ , which is due to Lazebnik and the second author [23]. More generally, following the proof of Theorem 3, if the 3-uniform analog of the Erdős-Simonovits Conjecture is true, then we have  $R(t, \{B_2, B_3, \dots, B_{2k}\}) \geq t^{2k^2/(2k^2-k-2)-o(1)}$  and  $R(t, \{B_2, B_3, \dots, B_{2k+1}\}) \geq t^{2k(k-1)/(2k^2-3k-1)-o(1)}$ , which are substantially better than the lower bounds obtained by random graphs.

We prove Theorem 1 in Section 5, Theorem 2 in Section 2 and Theorem 3 in Section 3. Theorem 2 is valid for all values of  $k \geq 2$  and  $r \geq 3$ , while Theorem 1 only works for even values of  $k$  and  $r = 3$ . We believe that Theorem 1 should extend to odd values of  $k$  and all  $r \geq 3$ :

**Conjecture II.** *For all  $r, k \geq 3$ ,*

$$R(t, \mathcal{B}_k^r) \leq t^{\frac{k}{k-1} + o(1)}. \tag{4}$$

**Notation and terminology.** For a hypergraph  $H$ , let  $V(H)$  denote the vertex set of  $H$ ,  $v(H) = |V(H)|$  and let  $|H|$  be the number of edges in  $H$ . If all edges of  $H$  have size  $r$ , we say  $H$  is an  $r$ -uniform hypergraph, or an  $r$ -graph for short. For  $v \in V(H)$ , let  $d_H(v) = |\{e \in H : v \in e\}|$  be the *degree of  $v$*  in  $H$ . We denote the average degree of  $H$  by  $d(H)$ , denote the minimum degree of  $H$  by  $\delta(H)$ , and the maximum degree of  $H$  by  $\Delta(H)$ . For  $u, v \in V(H)$ , let  $d_H(u, v) = |\{w : uvw \in H\}|$  denote the *codegree* of the pair  $\{u, v\}$ . An

*independent set* in a hypergraph is a set of vertices containing no edge of the hypergraph. Let  $\alpha(H)$  denote the largest size of an independent set in a hypergraph  $H$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 2

We will use the following lemma to get a large bipartite subgraph with large minimum degree and small maximum degree:

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $k \geq 3$ ,  $c > 0$ , and let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph of girth more than  $2k$  with more than  $2cn^{1+1/k}$  edges. Then there exists a bipartite subgraph  $G'$  of  $G$  such that  $\delta(G') \geq cn^{1/k}$ ,  $\Delta(G') \leq n^{1/k}/c^{k-1}$ , and  $v(G') \geq c^k n$ .*

*Proof.* A maximum cut of  $G$  gives a bipartite subgraph with at least  $cn^{1+1/k}$  edges. A subgraph  $G'$  of this bipartite subgraph of minimum degree at least  $cn^{1/k} + 1$  may be obtained by repeatedly removing vertices of degree at most  $cn^{1/k}$ . Let  $\Delta := \Delta(G')$  be the maximum degree of  $G'$ , and let  $v$  be a vertex of maximum degree, then the number of vertices at distance  $k$  from  $v$  is at least  $\Delta c^{k-1} n^{(k-1)/k}$ , since  $G$  has girth larger than  $2k$ . In particular,  $\Delta c^{k-1} n^{(k-1)/k} \leq n$  and so  $\Delta \leq n^{1/k}/c^{k-1}$ . The number of vertices in  $G'$  is at least  $c^k n$ , since  $G'$  has minimum degree at least  $cn^{1/k} + 1$  and girth larger than  $2k$ .  $\square$

Let  $r \geq 2$ , a *star* with vertex set  $V$  is an  $r$ -graph on  $V$  consisting of all edges containing a fixed vertex of  $V$ , i.e., the edge set of a star is  $\{e \subset V : |e| = r, v \in e\}$  for some vertex  $v \in V$ . Let integers  $d \geq m$  and let  $S_{d,m}$  be a  $d$ -vertex  $r$ -graph consisting of  $m$  vertex-disjoint stars of size  $\lfloor d/m \rfloor$  or  $\lceil d/m \rceil$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let integer  $r \geq 2$ , and let integers  $d \geq m$ . The probability that a uniformly chosen set of  $s$  vertices of  $S_{d,m}$  is independent is at most*

$$\exp\left(-\frac{m(s - rm)}{2d}\right).$$

*Proof.* Let the vertex sets of these stars be  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m$ . The probability that a uniformly chosen set of  $s_i$  vertices in  $V_i$  is independent in  $S_{d,m}$  is at most  $1 - s_i/\lceil d/m \rceil \leq 1 - ms_i/2d$  if  $s_i \geq r$ , and is 1 if  $s_i < r$ . Hence, this probability is at most  $1 - m(s_i - r)/2d$  for  $0 \leq s_i \leq d$ . Therefore a uniformly chosen set  $I \subset S_{d,m}$  of  $s$  vertices with  $|I \cap V_i| = s_i$  is independent with probability at most

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{m(s_i - r)}{2d}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{m(s_i - r)}{2d}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{m(s - rm)}{2d}\right).$$

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* It suffices to show that for  $n$  large enough, there exists an  $n$ -vertex  $\mathcal{B}_k^r$ -free  $r$ -graph with independence number  $O(n^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \log n)$ . Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph of girth more than  $2k$  with  $2cn^{1+1/k}$  edges for some positive constant  $c$ . By Lemma 4, there exists a bipartite subgraph  $G'$  of  $G$  with at least  $N = c^k n$  vertices, minimum degree at least  $cn^{1/k}$  and maximum degree at most  $n^{1/k}/c^{k-1}$ . Let  $X, Y$  be the parts of this bipartite graph where  $|Y| \geq |X|$ . Let  $m = 8 \log n / c^k$ . We form an  $r$ -graph  $H$  with vertex set  $Y$  by placing a random copy of  $S_{d(x), m}$  on the vertex set  $N_{G'}(x)$ , the neighborhood of  $x$  in  $G'$ , independently for each  $x \in X$ . Since  $G'$  has girth more than  $2k$ , it is straightforward to check that  $H$  does not contain any non-trivial Berge  $k$ -cycle. We now compute the expected number of independent sets of size  $t = rmn^{1-1/k}/c^{k+1}$  in  $H$ . Clearly,  $\log t \geq (1 - 1/k) \log n$ . If  $H$  has no independent set of size  $t$  with positive probability, then since  $v(H) \geq N/2$ , we find that

$$R(t, \mathcal{B}_k^r) \geq N/2 \geq \frac{c^k}{2} \left( \frac{c^{2k+1}t}{8r \log n} \right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \geq c_{k,r} \left( \frac{t}{\log t} \right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}},$$

for some positive constant  $c_{k,r}$ . This is enough to prove Theorem 2.

For an independent  $t$ -set  $I$  in  $H$ ,  $I \cap N_{G'}(x)$  is an independent set in  $S_{d(x), m}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since these events are independent, setting  $s(x) = |I \cap N_{G'}(x)|$ , and applying Lemma 5 gives:

$$\mathbb{P}(I \text{ independent in } H) \leq \prod_{x \in X} \exp \left( -\frac{m(s(x) - rm)}{2d(x)} \right) = \exp \left( -\sum_{x \in X} \frac{ms(x)}{2d(x)} + \sum_{x \in X} \frac{rm^2}{2d(x)} \right).$$

For every  $x \in X$ ,  $cn^{1/k} \leq d(x) \leq n^{1/k}/c^{k-1}$  and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(I \text{ independent in } H) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{c^{k-1}m \sum_{x \in X} s(x)}{2n^{1/k}} + \frac{|X|rm^2}{2cn^{1/k}} \right).$$

Now  $\sum_{x \in X} s(x)$  is precisely the number of edges of  $G'$  between  $X$  and  $I$ . Since every vertex in  $I$  has degree at least  $cn^{1/k}$ , this number of edges is at least  $cn^{1/k}t = rmn/c^k$ . Consequently, using  $|X| < n/2$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(I \text{ independent in } H) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{c^k mt}{2} + \frac{c^k mt}{4} \right) = \exp \left( -\frac{c^k mt}{4} \right).$$

The expected number of independent sets of size  $t$  is at most

$$\binom{n}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{c^k mt}{4}\right) < \exp\left(t \log n - \frac{c^k mt}{4}\right) = \exp(-t \log n).$$

This is vanishing as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 3

Lazebnik and the second author [23] showed that there exist  $n$ -vertex  $B_4$ -free 3-graphs with  $(1/6 + o(1))n^{3/2}$  triples. More specifically, for  $n$  large enough, there exists a linear  $n$ -vertex  $B_4$ -free 3-graphs  $J_n$  with  $n^{3/2}/10$  triples and maximum degree at most  $n^{1/2}$ . We want to find an upper bound for the probability that a random  $s$ -set is independent in  $J_n$ . We make use of the following lemma, where we make no effort to optimize the constants.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $n, s$  be integers such that  $s < \sqrt{n}/2$ . For  $n$  large enough, the probability that a uniformly chosen set of  $s$  vertices of  $J_n$  is independent is at most*

$$\exp\left(-\frac{s^3 - 216}{80n^{3/2}}\right).$$

When  $s \geq \sqrt{n}/2$ , the probability is at most  $639/640$ .

*Proof.* This is trivial when  $s < 6$ . When  $6 < s < \sqrt{n}/2$ , let  $X$  be the uniformly chosen  $s$ -set. For any edge  $e \in E(J_n)$ , let  $A_e$  be the event that  $e \in X$ . Then by inclusion-exclusion principle, for  $n$  large enough, the probability that  $X$  is not independent is at least

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{e \in E(J_n)} \mathbb{P}(A_e) - \sum_{\{e, f\} \subset E(J_n)} \mathbb{P}(A_e \wedge A_f) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \left( \frac{n^{3/2}}{10} \binom{n-3}{s-3} - n \binom{n^{1/2}}{2} \binom{n-5}{s-5} - \binom{n^{3/2}/10}{2} \binom{n-6}{s-6} \right) \\ & \geq \frac{s^3}{40n^{3/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{4s^3}{n^{3/2}} \right) \\ & \geq \frac{s^3}{80n^{3/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $s > 6$  and  $n$  large enough, the probability that  $X$  is independent is at most

$$1 - \frac{s^3}{80n^{3/2}} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{s^3}{80n^{3/2}}\right) < \exp\left(-\frac{s^3 - 216}{80n^{3/2}}\right).$$

When  $s \geq \sqrt{n}/2$ , the probability is at most

$$1 - \frac{(\sqrt{n}/2)^3}{80n^{3/2}} = \frac{639}{640}.$$

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph of girth more than 8 with  $2c_1n^{5/4}$  edges for some positive constant  $c_1$ . By Lemma 4, there exists a bipartite subgraph  $G'$  of  $G$  with at least  $N = c_1^4n$  vertices, minimum degree at least  $c_1n^{1/4}$  and maximum degree at most  $n^{1/4}/c_1^3$ . Let  $X, Y$  be the parts of this bipartite graph where  $|Y| \geq |X|$ . We form a 3-graph  $H$  with vertex set  $Y$  by placing a random copy of  $J_{d(x)}$  on the vertex set  $N_{G'}(x)$ , the neighborhood of  $x$  in  $G$ , independently for each  $x \in X$ . Since  $G$  has girth more than  $2k$ , it is straightforward to check that  $H$  does not contain any Berge 4-cycle. Let  $m = 8c_1^{1/4}\sqrt{\log n}$ , and let  $t = mn^{13/16}$ . Clearly,  $\log t > 13 \log n/16$ . If  $H$  has no independent sets of size  $t$  with positive probability, then since  $v(H) \geq N/2$ , we conclude that

$$R(t, B_4) \geq N/2 \geq \frac{c_1^4}{2} \left( \frac{t}{8c_1^{1/4}\sqrt{\log n}} \right)^{16/13} \geq c_2 \left( \frac{t}{\sqrt{\log t}} \right)^{16/13},$$

for some positive constant  $c_2$ . This is enough to prove Theorem 3.

Let  $A$  be a  $t$ -set in  $Y$ , and let  $X_A = \{x \in X \mid |N_{G'}(x) \cap A| \geq \sqrt{t}/2\}$ ,  $\bar{X}_A = X \setminus X_A$ . We now evaluate the probability that  $A$  is independent in  $H$  in two cases.

**Case 1:** When  $|X_A| < n^{5/6}$ . Since the induced bipartite subgraph of  $G'$  on  $X_A \cup A$  has girth 8, the number of edges of  $G'$  between  $X_A$  and  $A$  is less than  $(n^{5/6})^{5/4} = n^{25/24}$ . If  $A$  is independent in  $H$ , then  $N_{G'}(x) \cap A$  is also independent in  $J_{d(x)}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since these events are independent, setting  $s(x) = |N_{G'}(x) \cap A|$ , and applying Lemma 6 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A \text{ independent in } H) &\leq \prod_{x \in \bar{X}_A} \exp\left(-\frac{s(x)^3 - 216}{80d(x)^{3/2}}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{x \in \bar{X}_A} \frac{s(x)^3}{80d(x)^{3/2}} + \sum_{x \in \bar{X}_A} \frac{27}{10d(x)^{3/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For every  $x \in X$ ,  $c_1n^{1/4} \leq d(x) \leq n^{1/4}/c_1^3$  and hence together with Jensen's inequality we

have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A \text{ independent in } H) &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{c_1^{9/2} \sum_{x \in \overline{X}_A} s(x)^3}{80n^{3/8}} + \frac{27|\overline{X}_A|}{10c_1^{3/2}n^{3/8}}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{c_1^{9/2} (\sum_{x \in \overline{X}_A} s(x))^3}{80n^{3/8}|\overline{X}_A|^2} + \frac{27|\overline{X}_A|}{10c_1^{3/2}n^{3/8}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\sum_{x \in \overline{X}_A} s(x)$  is exactly the number of edges of  $G'$  between  $\overline{X}_A$  and  $A$ , which is at least  $tc_1n^{1/4} - n^{25/24} = (1 - o(1))c_1mn^{17/16}$ . Also note that  $|\overline{X}_A| < N/2 = c_1^4n/2$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A \text{ independent in } H) &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{(1 - o(1))m^3n^{13/16}}{20c_1^{1/2}} + \frac{27c_1^{5/2}n^{5/8}}{20}\right) \\ &< \exp\left(-\frac{m^3n^{13/16}}{32c_1^{1/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:** When  $|X_A| \geq n^{5/6}$ . Applying Lemma 6 gives

$$\mathbb{P}(A \text{ independent in } H) \leq (639/640)^{|X_A|} \leq \exp(-n^{5/6}/640) < \exp\left(-\frac{m^3n^{13/16}}{32c_1^{1/2}}\right).$$

In both cases we have  $\mathbb{P}(A \text{ independent in } H) < \exp\left(-\frac{m^3n^{13/16}}{32c_1^{1/2}}\right)$ . Therefore the expected number of independent sets of size  $t$  in  $H$  is at most

$$\binom{n}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{m^3n^{13/16}}{32c_1^{1/2}}\right) < \exp\left(mn^{13/16} \log n - \frac{m^3n^{13/16}}{32c_1^{1/2}}\right) = \exp(-mn^{13/16} \log n).$$

This is vanishing as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

## 4 Degrees, codegrees and independent sets

We make use of the following elementary lemma, whose proof is a standard probabilistic argument, included for completeness:

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $d \geq 1$ , and let  $H$  be a 3-graph of average degree at most  $d$ . Then*

$$\alpha(H) \geq \frac{2v(H)}{3d^{1/2}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a subset of  $V(H)$  whose elements are chosen independently with probability  $p = d^{-1/2}$ . We can get an independent set by deleting a vertex for each edge of  $H$  contained in  $X$ . Then the expected size of such independent set is at least

$$pv(H) - p^3|H| = pv(H) - \frac{p^3 dv(H)}{3} = \frac{2v(H)}{3d^{1/2}}.$$

Hence, there must exist an independent set of size at least the desired lower bound, which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $H$  be a 3-graph on  $n$  vertices, and  $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ . Then there exists an induced subgraph  $G$  of  $H$  satisfying the following properties:*

1.  $v(G) \geq n^{1 - \frac{2}{\log_2(\frac{1}{\epsilon})}}$ ,
2.  $\Delta(G) \leq \frac{d(G)}{\epsilon}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H = G^{(0)}$ . We do the following for  $i \geq 0$ . If  $\Delta(G^{(i)}) \leq d(G^{(i)})/\epsilon$ , we let  $G = G^{(i)}$ . Otherwise, iteratively delete vertices of  $G^{(i)}$  with degree at least  $d(G^{(i)})$ . Each deleted vertex will result in the loss of at least  $d(G^{(i)})$  edges. So we can delete at most

$$\frac{|G^{(i)}|}{d(G^{(i)})} = \frac{v(G^{(i)}) \cdot d(G^{(i)})}{3 \cdot d(G^{(i)})} = \frac{v(G^{(i)})}{3} < \frac{v(G^{(i)})}{2}$$

vertices in this step. Let  $G^{(i+1)}$  be the subgraph induced by the remaining vertices. Then we have  $v(G^{(i+1)}) > v(G^{(i)})/2$ . If  $\Delta(G^{(i+1)}) \leq d(G^{(i+1)})/\epsilon$ , then we let  $G = G^{(i+1)}$ . Otherwise, we have

$$d(G^{(i+1)}) \leq \epsilon \Delta(G^{(i+1)}) < \epsilon d(G^{(i)}).$$

Let  $K = 2 \log_{1/\epsilon} n$ . We must obtain an induced subgraph  $G$  with  $\Delta(G) \leq d(G)/\epsilon$  after at most  $K$  repetitions. Otherwise, after  $K$  repetitions, since the average degree decreases by at least a factor of  $\epsilon$  after each repetition, the remaining graph  $G^{(K)}$  will have no edge, which satisfies the condition  $\Delta(G^{(K)}) \leq d(G^{(K)})/\epsilon$ . Suppose after  $m \leq K$  repetitions we have the desired induced subgraph  $G$  with  $\Delta(G) < d(G)/\epsilon$ . Since the number of vertices decreases by at most a factor of 2, we also have

$$v(G) > \frac{n}{2^m} \geq n^{1 - \frac{2}{\log_2(\frac{1}{\epsilon})}}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

We use the following slightly weaker version of a lemma due to M eroueh [24]; the lemma is in fact valid for 3-graphs  $H$  with no loose  $k$ -cycles:

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $H$  be a  $\mathcal{B}_k$ -free 3-graph. Then there exists a subgraph  $H^*$  of  $H$  such that  $|H^*| > |H|/(3k^2)$  and each edge of  $H^*$  contains a pair of codegree 1.*

*Proof.* Given a 3-graph  $G$  and a pair of vertices  $x, y$ , we say that  $\{x, y\}$  is  $G$ -light if  $d_G(x, y) < k$ . Let  $G_1 = H$ , and let  $H_1$  consist of all edges of  $G_1$  containing a  $G_1$ -light pair, and let  $G_2 = G_1 \setminus H_1$ . For  $i \geq 2$ , let  $H_i$  consist of all edges of  $G_i$  containing a  $G_i$ -light pair, and let  $G_{i+1} = G_i \setminus H_i$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $G_k$  is not empty. Let  $e_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  be an edge in  $G_k$ , then by definition,  $\{v_2, v_3\}$  is not a  $G_{k-1}$ -light pair, and hence, there exists an edge  $e_2 = \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  such that  $v_4 \neq v_1$ . For  $2 \leq i \leq k-1$ , let  $e_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}\}$  be an edge in  $G_{k+1-i}$ . By definition,  $\{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}\}$  is not a  $G_{k-i}$ -light pair, and hence, there exists an edge  $e_{i+1} = \{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\}$  in  $G_{k-i}$  such that  $v_{i+3}$  is distinct from all  $v_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq i$ . Therefore, we have a tight path of length  $k$  in  $G_1 = H$ , that is, a hypergraph consisting of  $k+2$  distinct vertices  $v_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k+2$ , and  $k$  edges  $e_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . This is also a non-trivial Berge  $k$ -cycle. Indeed, when  $k$  is even,  $\{v_2, v_4, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, v_{k-1}, \dots, v_3\}$  forms a system of distinct representatives of  $\{e_1 \cap e_2, e_2 \cap e_4, e_4 \cap e_6, \dots, e_{k-2} \cap e_k, e_k \cap e_{k-1}, e_{k-1} \cap e_{k-3}, \dots, e_3 \cap e_1\}$ , and when  $k$  is odd,  $\{v_2, v_4, \dots, v_{k+1}, v_k, v_{k-2}, \dots, v_3\}$  forms a system of distinct representatives of  $\{e_1 \cap e_2, e_2 \cap e_4, e_4 \cap e_6, \dots, e_{k-3} \cap e_{k-1}, e_{k-1} \cap e_k, e_k \cap e_{k-2}, \dots, e_3 \cap e_1\}$ . This results in a contradiction, since  $H$  is  $\mathcal{B}_k$ -free. Therefore,  $G_k$  must be empty, and hence  $H$  can be partitioned into  $k-1$  subgraphs  $H_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , such that each  $H_i$  consists of edges containing a  $G_i$ -light pair, which is also  $H_i$ -light. Let  $H'$  be a subgraph  $H_i$  with the most edges, then by the pigeonhole principle,

$$|H'| > \frac{|H|}{k}.$$

Now consider a graph  $J$  whose vertex set is the set of 3-edges of  $H'$ , and two 3-edges of  $H'$  form an edge of  $J$  if they share an  $H'$ -light pair. It is easy to see that  $J$  has maximum degree at most  $3k-6$ . Then we can greedily take an independent set of  $J$  of size at least  $v(J)/(3k-5)$ , and this independent set correspond to a subgraph  $H^*$  of  $H'$  such that

$$|H^*| > \frac{|H'|}{3k-5} > \frac{|H|}{3k^2},$$

and each edge of  $H^*$  contains a pair of codegree 1. □

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1

A key ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1 is a supersaturation theorem for cycles in graphs: we make use of the following result proved by Simonovits [8] (see Morris and Saxton [25] for stronger supersaturation):

**Lemma 10.** *For every  $n, k \geq 2$ , there exist constants  $\gamma, b_0 > 0$  such that for every  $b \geq b_0$ , any  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  with at least  $bn^{1+1/k}$  edges contains at least  $\gamma b^{2k} n^2$  copies of  $C_{2k}$ .*

We next give a simple lemma which says that if a graph has many cycles of length  $2k$  containing a fixed edge, then it has many edges.

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $G$  be a graph containing  $m$  cycles of length  $2k$ , each containing an edge  $e \in G$ . Then  $|G| \geq m^{1/(k-1)}/2$ .*

*Proof.* For each cycle  $C$  of length  $2k$  containing  $e$ , let  $M(C)$  be the perfect matching of  $C$  containing  $e$ . Fixing a matching  $M \subset G$  of size  $k$  containing  $e$ , at most  $(k-1)!2^{k-1}$  cycles  $C$  have  $M(C) = M$ . It follows that the number of distinct matchings  $M \subset G$  of size  $k$  containing  $e$  is at least  $m/(k-1)!2^{k-1}$ , and therefore

$$\binom{|G| - 1}{k - 1} \geq \frac{m}{(k - 1)!2^{k-1}}.$$

We conclude  $|G|^{k-1} \geq m/2^{k-1}$  and therefore  $|G| \geq m^{1/(k-1)}/2$ . □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It suffices to show that for every large enough integer  $n$ , an  $n$ -vertex  $\mathcal{B}_{2k}$ -free 3-graph  $H$  contains an independent set of size at least  $n^{(2k-1)/(2k)-5/(2\sqrt{\log n})}$ . By Lemma 8 with  $\epsilon = \exp(-\sqrt{\log_2 n})$ , we find an induced subgraph  $H_0$  of  $H$  with  $n_0$  vertices, average degree  $d_0$  and maximum degree  $D_0$  such that  $n_0 \geq n^{1-2/\sqrt{\log_2 n}}$  and  $D_0 < d_0/\epsilon$ . By Lemma 9, there is a subgraph  $H_1$  of  $H_0$  with at least  $|H_0|/(4k^2)$  edges such that each edge of  $H_1$  contains a pair of codegree 1 in  $H_1$ . Let  $\chi : V(H_1) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$  be a random 3-coloring and let  $H_2$  consist of all triples in  $H_1$  such that the pair of vertices of colors 1 and 2 has codegree 1 in  $H_1$  and the last vertex in the triple has color 3. The probability that an edge in  $H_1$  is also an edge in  $H_2$  is at least  $1/27$ , and therefore the expected number of edges in  $H_2$  is at least  $|H_1|/27 \geq |H_0|/(108k^2)$ . Fix a coloring so that  $|H_2| \geq |H_0|/(108k^2)$ . Consider the bipartite graph  $G$  comprising all pairs of vertices of colors 1 and 2 contained in an edge of  $H_2$ . Thus,  $|G| = |H_2|$  and  $G$  has average degree  $d_G \geq d_0/(108k^2)$ . For convenience, let

$b > 0$  be defined by  $d_G = 2bn_0^{1/k}$  so  $|G| = bn_0^{1+1/k}$ . By Lemma 10, there exist constants  $\gamma, b_0 > 0$  such that if  $b > b_0$ , then  $G$  must contain at least  $\gamma b^{2k} n_0^2$  copies of  $C_{2k}$ . Notice that we must have  $1/\epsilon > b_0$  when  $n$  is large enough. The proof is split into two cases.

**Case 1.**  $b \geq 1/\epsilon$ . By the pigeonhole principle, there exists an edge  $e$  such that the number of  $C_{2k}$  containing  $e$  in  $G$  is at least

$$\frac{2k\gamma b^{2k} n_0^2}{|G|} = 2k\gamma b^{2k-1} n_0^{1-\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Let  $G'$  be the union of all  $2k$ -cycles in  $G$  containing  $e$ . Then by Lemma 11, for some constant  $c$ ,

$$|G'| \geq cb^{2+\frac{1}{k-1}} n_0^{\frac{1}{k}} = \frac{1}{2} cb^{1+\frac{1}{k-1}} d_G \geq \frac{1}{216k^2} c\epsilon^{-1-\frac{1}{k-1}} d_0 > D_0$$

provided  $n$  is large enough. Let  $C$  be a  $2k$ -cycle in  $G$  containing  $e$ . Then there exist edges  $e_1 \cup \{v_1\}, e_2 \cup \{v_2\}, \dots, e_{2k} \cup \{v_{2k}\}$  in  $H_2$  where  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2k} \in C$  and  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k}$  have color 3. Since  $H_2$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{2k}$ -free, for some vertex  $z$  we have  $v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_{2k} = z$ . Since each cycle  $C$  in  $G'$  contain  $e$ , they must have the same  $z$ . Now the degree of  $z$  in  $H_2$  is at least  $|G'| > D_0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $H_0$  has maximum degree at most  $D_0$ .

**Case 2.**  $b < 1/\epsilon$ . In this case,  $d_G < 2n_0^{1/k}/\epsilon$  and so  $d_0 < (216k^2/\epsilon)n_0^{1/k}$ . By Lemma 7 on  $H_0$ ,

$$\alpha(H) \geq \alpha(H_0) \geq \frac{2n_0}{3d_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{216k^2}{\epsilon} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} n_0^{\frac{2k-1}{2k}} \geq \frac{1}{9\sqrt{6}k} n^{\frac{2k-1}{2k} - \frac{5k-2}{2k\sqrt{\log 2n}}} > n^{\frac{2k-1}{2k} - \frac{5}{2\sqrt{\log n}}}.$$

Now let  $n = t^{\frac{2k}{2k-1} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\log t}}}$ . Clearly,  $\log n > \frac{2k}{2k-1} \log t$ . Hence, an  $n$ -vertex  $\mathcal{B}_{2k}$ -free 3-graph  $H$  contains an independent set of size

$$n^{\frac{2k-1}{2k} - \frac{5}{2\sqrt{\log n}}} = t^{(\frac{2k}{2k-1} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\log t}})(\frac{2k-1}{2k} - \frac{5}{2\sqrt{\log n}})} > t$$

provided  $n$  is large enough. Therefore, we have  $R(t, \mathcal{B}_{2k}) < t^{\frac{2k}{2k-1} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\log t}}}$ .  $\square$

In fact, by more careful computation, we can obtain a slightly better upper bound  $R(t, \mathcal{B}_{2k}) < t^{\frac{2k}{2k-1} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log t}}}$ , where  $c > \frac{5k-2}{2k-1} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(2k)\log 2}{2k-1}}$ .

## 6 Concluding remarks

- Notice that Theorem 2 is valid for odd values of  $k$ , we believe that Theorem 1 should extend to odd values of  $k$ . An obstacle to applying the same idea as in the proof for

even values of  $k$  is that we don't have "good" supersaturation for odd cycles. New ideas may be required to complete the proof for odd values.

- It seems likely that Theorem 1 can be extended to  $r$ -uniform hypergraphs with  $r \geq 4$ , however when following the proof of Theorem 1, two obstacles arise. The first is that one requires supersaturation for Berge cycles in  $r$ -uniform hypergraphs for  $r \geq 3$  (in other words, an  $r$ -uniform version of Lemma 8). A second obstacle is that an  $r$ -uniform analog of Lemma 9 is not straightforward: for instance if an edge  $e$  in an  $r$ -graph is contained in  $m$  Berge cycles of length  $2k$ , then the number of edges may be as low as  $m^{1/(2k-1)}$ : take a graph  $2k$ -cycle, and replace one edge with the hyperedge  $e$ , and each other edge with  $m^{1/(2k-1)}$  hyperedges. We believe these technical obstacles may be overcome (some of the ideas in the recent paper of Mubayi and Yepremyan [27] may apply).

## 7 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the paper and helpful suggestions. In particular, one of the referee's comments on Berge cycles without non-triviality leads to Theorem 3.

## References

- [1] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, The probabilistic method. John Wiley & Sons, (2016)
- [2] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós and E. Szemerédi. A note on Ramsey numbers. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 29, 354–360, (1980).
- [3] C. T. Benson. Minimal regular graphs of girths eight and twelve. Canad. J. Math., 18 (1966), 1091–1094.
- [4] B. Bollobás, E. Győri. Pentagons vs. triangles. Discrete Math., 308 (2008), no. 19, 4332–4336.
- [5] J. A. Bondy, M. Simonovits. Cycles of even length in graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 16 (1974), 97–105.

- [6] T. Bohman P. Keevash. Dynamic concentration of the triangle-free process. In: Nešetřil J, Pellegrini M, eds. The Seventh European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications. Pisa: Edizioni della Normale; 2013:pp. 489–495.
- [7] F. Chung. Open problems of Paul Erdős in graph theory. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 25 (1997), 3–36.
- [8] R. Faudree and M. Simonovits. Cycle-supersaturated graphs. in preparation.
- [9] Y. Caro, Y. Li, C. Rousseau, Y. Zhang. Asymptotic bounds for some bipartite graph-complete graph Ramsey numbers. *Discrete Math.*, 220 (2000), 51–56.
- [10] C. Coller-Cartaino, N. Graber, T. Jiang. Linear Turán Numbers of Linear Cycles and Cycle-Complete Ramsey Numbers. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 27(3), 358–386, (2018)
- [11] S. Das, C. Lee and B. Sudakov. Rainbow Turán Problem for Even Cycles. *European J. Combin.* 34 (2013), 905–915.
- [12] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. Compactness results in extremal graph theory. *Combinatorica* 2 (1982) no. 3, 275–288.
- [13] G. Fiz Pontiveros, S. Griffiths, and R. Morris. The triangle-free process and the Ramsey number  $R(3, k)$ . *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 263 (2020), no. 1274, v+125 pp.
- [14] Z. Füredi, T. Jiang. Hypergraph Turán numbers of linear cycles. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 123, 252–270, 2014.
- [15] A. Frieze, D. Mubayi. On the chromatic number of simple triangle-free 3-graphs. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 15 (2008), no. 1, Research Paper 121, 27 pp.
- [16] E. Győri, N. Lemons. 3-uniform hypergraphs avoiding a given odd cycle. *Combinatorica* 32, 2 (March 2012), 187–203.
- [17] E. Győri, N. Lemons. Hypergraphs with no odd cycle of given length. *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.* 34(2009), 359–362.
- [18] J.H. Kim. The Ramsey number  $R(3, t)$  has order of magnitude  $t^2/\log t$ . *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 7 (1995) 173–207.
- [19] A. Kostochka, D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte. Hypergraph Ramsey Numbers: Triangles versus Cliques. *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A*, 120 (2013), no. 7, 1491–1507.

- [20] A. Kostochka, D. Mubayi and J. Verstraëte. Turán problems and shadows I: Paths and cycles. *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A*, 129 (2015), 57–79.
- [21] J. Louck, C. Timmons. Triangle-free induced subgraphs of polarity graphs. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.06347>
- [22] F. Lazebnik, V.A. Ustimenko, A.J. Woldar. New upper bounds on the order of cages. *Electron. J. Combin.* 14(R13), 1–11 (1997)
- [23] F. Lazebnik, J. Verstraëte. On hypergraphs of girth five. *Electron. J. Combin.* 10 (2003): R25.
- [24] A. M eroueh. The Ramsey number of loose cycles versus cliques. *J. Graph Theory*, 2019, 90: 172–188.
- [25] R. Morris, D. Saxton. The number of  $C_{2l}$ -free graphs. *Advances in Mathematics*, Vol. 298, 2016, 534–580, ISSN 0001-8708.
- [26] D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte. A survey of Turán problems for expansions, *Recent trends in combinatorics*. 117–143, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 159, Springer, New York, 2016. Vii 706. ISBN: 978-3-319-24296-5.
- [27] D. Mubayi, L. Yepremyan. Random Turán theorem for hypergraph cycles. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.10320>
- [28] J. Nie, J. Verstraëte. Loose Cycle-Complete Hypergraph Ramsey Numbers. Preprint (2021+).
- [29] I.Z. Ruzsa and E. Szemerédi, Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles. *Combinatorics (Keszthely, 1976)*, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, 18, 939–945 (1978).
- [30] J. B. Shearer. A note on the independence number of triangle-free graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 46, 83–87, (1983).
- [31] B. Sudakov. A note on odd cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers. *Electron. J. Combin.* 9 (2002), no. 1, Note 1, 4 pp.
- [32] H. van Maldeghem. Generalized polygons, *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkh user 1998. <http://cage.ugent.be/~fdc/contactforum/vanmaldeghem.pdf>
- [33] J. Verstraëte. Extremal problems for cycles in graphs. *Recent trends in combinatorics*, 83–116, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 159, Springer, [Cham], 2016.