

Shock wave in series connected Josephson transmission line

Eugene Kogan^{1,*}

¹*Jack and Pearl Resnick Institute, Department of Physics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel*
(Dated: February 23, 2021)

We analytically calculate the velocity of propagation and structure of shock waves in the Josephson transmission line (JTL), for which the legs are constructed from Josephson junctions (JJ) and linear inductors in series; the capacitors are between the legs of the line. In the absence of ohmic dissipation the shocks are infinitely sharp. As such they remain when ohmic resistors are introduced in series with JJ and linear inductors. However, ohmic dissipation in this case leads to decrease of the shock amplitude with time. When ohmic resistor shunts JJ or is in series with the capacitor, the shocks obtain a finite width. In all the cases considered, ohmic resistors don't influence the shock velocity. Shock wave formation for the dissipationless JTL is studied. We formulate the simple wave approximation for the JTL with ohmic dissipation. Shock wave formation in the framework of the approximation is discussed.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept that in a nonlinear wave propagation system the various parts of the wave travel with different velocities, and that wave fronts (or tails) can sharpen into shock waves, is deeply imbedded in the classical theory of fluid dynamics¹. The methods developed in that field can be profitably used to study signal propagation in nonlinear transmission lines²⁻¹⁸. In the early studies of shock waves in transmission lines, the origin of the nonlinearity was due to nonlinear capacitance in the circuit¹⁹⁻²².

An interesting and potentially important case of nonlinear transmission lines is the circuits containing Josephson junctions (JJ)²³ - Josephson transmission lines (JTL)²⁴⁻²⁷. The unique nonlinear properties of JTL allow to construct soliton propagators, microwave oscillators, mixers, detectors, parametric amplifiers, analog amplifiers²⁵⁻²⁷.

Transmission lines formed by in series connected JJ were studied beginning from 1990s, though much less than transmission lines formed by JJ connected in parallel²⁸. However, the former began to attract quite a lot of attention recently²⁹⁻³⁶, especially in connection with possible JTL traveling wave parametric amplification³⁷⁻⁴⁶.

Although numerical calculations have revealed the existence of shock waves in series connected JTL^{47,48}, the analytical solutions have not been successfully derived so far. (Some recent analytical results were presented recently⁴⁹). In the present paper we study propagation of shock wave in the JTL analytically, paying especial attention to the influence of ohmic resistance which inevitably exists in the system.

The rest of the paper is constructed as follows. In Section II we analyse shocks in the JTL in the absence of any ohmic dissipation. Shocks in several possible cases of introduction of ohmic resistors into the JTL are studied in Section III. Shock wave generation is illustrated in Section IV. Application of the results obtained in the paper are very briefly discussed in Section V. We conclude in

Section VI. JTL equations in the framework of Lagrange approach are derived in Appendix A. In Appendix B we present the method to study moving discontinuities alternative (but equivalent) to that used in Section II.

II. DISSIPATIONLESS JTL

Let us start from the discrete model of JTL constructed from identical JJ, linear inductors and capacitors, and indicated in Fig. 1. Here Δx is the period of the line, ℓ is the linear inductance, c is the capacity. The




FIG. 1: Discrete model of JTL.

circuit equations are given by⁴⁹

$$\frac{1}{c} (q_{n+1} - q_n) = -\ell \frac{dI_n}{dt} - \frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{d\varphi_n}{dt}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{dq_n}{dt} = I_{n-1} - I_n, \quad (1b)$$

$$I_n = I_c \sin \varphi_n, \quad (1c)$$

where the index n enumerates JJ, I_c is the critical current, q_n and φ_n are the charge of the n th capacitor and the phase difference of the n th JJ, I_n is the current through the junction. Equation (1a) is the combination

of Lenz and the second Josephson laws, Eq. (1b) is the Kirchhoff's law, Eq. (1c) is the first Josephson law.

Assuming smooth variance of φ_n and q_n with n , we can go to the continuous approximation, presenting the transition line as indicated in Fig. 2. The nonlinear equations




FIG. 2: Continuous model of JTL.

that characterise the system in this approximation are⁴⁸

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -L \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (I + I_L \varphi), \quad (2a)$$

$$C \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}, \quad (2b)$$

$$I = I_c \sin \varphi, \quad (2c)$$

where $C = c/\Delta x$ is the capacitance per unit length, $L = \ell/\Delta x$ is the linear inductance per unit length and $I_L = \hbar/2eL$.

We can eliminate V from Eq. (2) to obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{u_0^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} (I + I_L \varphi) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $u_0 = 1/\sqrt{LC}$ is the signal propagation velocity in the case when $I_L = 0$, and the line is linear. Remind that such line has characteristic impedance $Z_0 = \sqrt{L/C}$.⁵⁰ Note that it is the second term in the r.h.s. of Eq. (3) which is responsible both for the dispersion and for the nonlinearity, which are hence of the same order.

When we consider propagation of a small amplitude disturbance of a uniform state we can present the current as $I(x, t) = I_0 + \delta I(x, t)$ and linearize with respect to δI . In this approximation (3) is reduced to linear wave equation, describing propagation of the disturbance with the velocity

$$\frac{1}{u^2(I)} = \frac{1}{u_0^2} \left(1 + I_L \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial I} \right) = \frac{1}{u_0^2} \left[1 + \frac{I_L}{(I_c^2 - I^2)^{1/2}} \right]. \quad (4)$$

For the analysis of propagation of strong signals the system of first order differential equations (2) is more convenient than a single second order differential equation (3). Assume the existence of the shock wave propagating with velocity U . Consider two points, x_1 and x_2 ; the point x_1 is assumed to be just to the left of the steep portion of the wave front, while the point x_2 is just to the right of it. Now let us integrate equations (2) between

the two points. This gives

$$\Delta V = -L \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (I + I_L \varphi) dx, \quad (5a)$$

$$C \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} V dx = -\Delta I. \quad (5b)$$

These equations can be assumed to be accurately valid, even in the region where V and I are changing very rapidly.

Now if x_1 , and x_2 are sufficiently close to the steep region, then the integrals involved in Eqs. (5) have a time derivative only because of the motion of the steep portion, and the slower changes due to the motion of the parts of the wave with moderate slope can be neglected. The integral changes because in a time dt a section of line Udt in length and within the range of integration has values $V(x_1)$ and $I(x_1)$ replaced by $V(x_2)$ and $I(x_2)$.¹⁹ Eqs. (5) therefore become:

$$\Delta V = -UL(\Delta I + I_L \Delta \varphi), \quad (6a)$$

$$UC\Delta V = -\Delta I. \quad (6b)$$

Eliminating ΔV from Eqs. (6) we find

$$\frac{1}{U^2(I_1, I_2)} = \frac{1}{u_0^2} \left(1 + I_L \frac{\Delta \varphi}{\Delta I} \right). \quad (7)$$

The values I_1 and I_2 enter into Eq. (7) in a symmetrical way. However, in Section III A Section we'll show that due to Ohmic resistance, inevitably present in the system, that shock always propagate in the direction of larger absolute value of current. We'll also show that for the same reason a single shock exists only between I_1 and I_2 having the same sign.

So let us assume $|I_2| > |I_1|$. Because $\sin^{-1} I$ is concave upward for positive I and concave downward for negative I , from Eq. (7) follows that

$$u(I_1) > U(I_1, I_2) > u(I_2). \quad (8)$$

which is a well known fact for shock propagation: shock velocity is lower than sound velocity in the region behind the shock, but higher than sound velocity in the region before the shock¹.

III. JTL WITH OHMIC DISSIPATION

A. Resistor shunting the JJ

Let us first introduce ohmic resistor which shunts the JJ, thus considering the transmission line presented on Fig. 3. In this case Eq. (2) changes to

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -L \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (I + I_L \varphi), \quad (9a)$$

$$C \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(I + \frac{LI_L}{R} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right), \quad (9b)$$




FIG. 3: JTL with ohmic resistor shunting the JJ.

where R is the ohmic resistance per unit length.

These equations have a set of particularly simple solutions, called traveling waves, in the form

$$(V(x, t), I(x, t)) = (V(X), I(X)), \quad X = x - Ut. \quad (10)$$

These solutions satisfy ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dV}{dX} = UL \frac{d}{dX} (I + I_L \varphi), \quad (11a)$$

$$UC \frac{dV}{dX} = \frac{d}{dX} \left(I - \frac{ULI_L}{R} \frac{d\varphi}{dX} \right). \quad (11b)$$

Eliminating V and integrating once we obtain

$$\frac{I}{UC} - UL(I + I_L \varphi) + A = \frac{L I_L}{RC} \frac{d\varphi}{dX}, \quad (12)$$

where A is an arbitrary constant.

We are looking for a solution which tends to constants at infinity

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow -\infty} I = I_1, \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow +\infty} I = I_2. \quad (13)$$

Note that time independence of I at large X means that the dissipating current flows only in the vicinity of the shock. For given I_1 and I_2 , the arbitrary parameters U , A must satisfy

$$\frac{I_i}{UC} - UL(I_i + I_L \varphi_i) + A = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (14)$$

Eliminating A we recover Eq. (7). Thus the relation between the shock velocity and the values of current on both sides of the shock is dissipation independent. Equation (12) with the boundary conditions (13) can be presented as

$$\frac{Z_0(I_2 - I_1)}{R\bar{U}(I_1, I_2)} \frac{d\varphi}{dX} = M(\varphi), \quad (15)$$

where $\bar{U} = U/u_0$, and

$$M(\varphi) \equiv (\sin \varphi - \sin \varphi_1)(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) - (\varphi - \varphi_1)(\sin \varphi_2 - \sin \varphi_1). \quad (16)$$

Notice that $M(\varphi)$ is antisymmetric with respect to interchange of indices 1 and 2.

The quantity $M(\varphi)$ should not have zeros between φ_1 and φ_2 . (Zero at $\varphi = \varphi_0$ would correspond to splitting of the single shock considered above into two shocks: one between the current I_1 and I_0 , and the other between the currents I_0 and I_2 .) If such φ_0 exists, it should satisfy equation

$$\frac{\sin \varphi_0 - \sin \varphi_1}{\varphi_0 - \varphi_1} = \frac{\sin \varphi_2 - \sin \varphi_1}{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1}. \quad (17)$$

Geometrically, Eq. (17) means that the secant intersecting sine curve at the points φ_1 and φ_2 , intersects the curve also at some other point φ_0 . If I_1 and I_2 (φ_1 and φ_2) have opposite signs, absence of zero between φ_1 and φ_2 poses limitations on the allowed values of I_1 and I_2 , which we will not give here.

However, since $\sin \varphi$ is concave downward for $0 < \varphi < \pi/2$, and concave upward for $-\pi/2 < \varphi < 0$, the secant can't intersect the curve between φ_1 and φ_2 having the same sign. In other words, a single shock can exist between any currents I_1 and I_2 having the same direction.

One can easily prove that in both cases considered above, $\cos \varphi_1 > \cos \varphi_2$. Hence the shock propagates from larger to smaller $\cos \varphi$. The energy dissipated by ohmic currents in the shock region is supplied by the difference between the energy density before and behind the shock.

Integral of Eq. (15) is

$$X = \frac{Z_0}{R\bar{U}(I_1, I_2)} \frac{I_2 - I_1}{I_c} \int \frac{d\varphi}{M(\varphi)}. \quad (18)$$

Notice that, apart from the scale factors, the shape of the shock depends only upon I_1 and I_2 and is independent upon the parameters of the transition line. The width of the shock is obviously inversely proportional to the shunting resistance.

For weak shock ($|I_2 - I_1| \ll |I_2|$), Eq. (18) is simplified to

$$X = \frac{2Z_0 \cot \varphi_1}{R\bar{u}(\varphi_2)} \int \frac{d\varphi}{(\varphi - \varphi_1)(\varphi_2 - \varphi)}. \quad (19)$$

Calculating the integral we obtain

$$I = \frac{I_1 + I_2}{2} + \frac{\Delta I}{2} \tanh(\alpha X), \quad (20)$$

where $\Delta I = I_2 - I_1$, and

$$\alpha = \frac{R\bar{u}(I_0)}{4Z_0} \frac{I \Delta I}{I_c^2 - I_0^2} \quad (21)$$

(I_0 is either I_1 or I_2). Thus the difference between the phase and its limiting values decreases exponentially when $|X| \rightarrow \infty$. (As can be seen from Eq. (15), this is the property of any shock, and not only of weak one.)

B. Resistor in series with the capacitor

Alternatively, we can introduce an ohmic resistor in series with the capacitor, thus considering JTL presented on Fig. 4. Equations (2) in this case change to




FIG. 4: JTL with ohmic resistor in series with the capacitor.

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -L \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (I + I_L \varphi), \quad (22a)$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}, \quad (22b)$$

$$V = \frac{Q}{C} + R_2 \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \quad (22c)$$

where Q is the charge per unit length, R_2 is the ohmic resistance per unit width.

Looking for the solution in the form

$$(V(x, t), I(x, t), Q(x, t)) = (V(X), I(X), Q(X)), \quad (23)$$

we obtain, after elimination of V and Q and one integration, an ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{I}{UC} - UL(I + I_L \varphi) + A = R_2 \frac{dI}{dX}. \quad (24)$$

Presented in Section III A analysis of the shock wave can be repeated almost verbatim, and we recover, in particular, Eq. (7). Instead of Eq. (18) we now obtain

$$X = \frac{R}{Z_0 \bar{u}(I_1, I_2)} \frac{I_2 - I_1}{I_L} \int \frac{\cos \varphi d\varphi}{M(\varphi)}, \quad (25)$$

Eq. (20) remains as it is, and analog of Eq. (21) is

$$\alpha = \frac{Z_0 \bar{u}(I_0)}{4R_2} \frac{I_L I \Delta I}{(I_c^2 - I_0^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (26)$$

The width of the shock is proportional to the ohmic resistance between the legs.

C. Resistor in series with the JJ

Let us finally introduce ohmic resistor in series with the JJ, thus considering transmission line presented on Fig. 5. In this case Eq. (2) changes to

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -L \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (I + I_L \varphi) - RI, \quad (27a)$$

$$C \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}. \quad (27b)$$

In the Appendix B we prove that Eq. (27) allows moving discontinuities. In addition, this will be clear from the following part of the present Section.




FIG. 5: JTL with ohmic resistor in series with the JJ.

So let us look for traveling waves solutions of Eq. (27) (see Eq. (10)). For these solutions Eq. (27) is reduced to a system of ordinary differential equations, and Eq. (27b) can be easily integrated. Substituting the integral into Eq. (27a) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[\frac{I}{UC} - UL(I + I_L \varphi) \right] = RI. \quad (28)$$

Integrating Eq. (28) in the infinitesimal vicinity of discontinuity we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{UC} - UL \right) \Delta I + UI_L \Delta \varphi = 0, \quad (29)$$

recovering Eq. (7). Thus Eq. (27) allows infinitely sharp shocks, same as Eq. (2), with the same velocity of shock propagation.

Equation (28) can be presented as

$$\left[\frac{1}{U^2} - \frac{1}{u^2(I)} \right] \frac{dI}{dx} = \frac{RC}{U} I. \quad (30)$$

The quantity in the square brackets in the l.h.s. of Eq. (30) is positive behind the shock and negative before the shock (see (8)). Thus absolute value of the current behind the shock increases with distance (time) and absolute value of the current before the shock decreases with distance (time) Hence ohmic dissipation in the case considered leads to decrease of amplitude of the shock with time.

IV. FORMATION OF SHOCK WAVE

A relevant question is how the shock waves described above are formed. The aim of this Section is to present qualitative explanation of the process.

A. Dissipationless JTL

Let us consider dissipationless JTL and write down Eq. (2) in a more explicit way

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{Cu^2(I)} \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}, \quad (31a)$$

$$C \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}, \quad (31b)$$

where $u(I)$ is given by Eq. (4).

We shall use the simple wave approximation⁵¹, by seeking a solution of Eq. (31) in the form of a simple wave, i.e, we shall assume that $V = V(I)$. The assumption being made, Eq. (31) takes the form

$$\frac{dV}{dI} \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{Cu^2(I)} \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}, \quad (32a)$$

$$C \frac{dV}{dI} \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}. \quad (32b)$$

These are two equations for one variable and so the coefficients of the derivatives must be the same, i.e,

$$C^2 \left(\frac{dV}{dI} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{u^2(I)}. \quad (33)$$

Solving Eq. (33) for dV/dI and substituting into any one of Eqs. (32) we obtain, for the wave going to the right, the first order partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + u(I) \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (34)$$

(for the wave going to the left, the sign before the derivative with respect to x should be minus.

Equation (3) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{1}{u^2(I)} \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \right] = 0. \quad (35)$$

The simple wave approximation de facto corresponds to changing by brute force Eq. (35) to

$$\dots \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{u(I)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] I = 0, \quad (36)$$

where ellipsis stand for some unspecified differential operator, from where Eq. (34) follows.

Solutions of Eq. (34) can have discontinuities. If I_1 and I_2 are the values of currents to the left and to the right of the discontinuity respectively, such discontinuity will propagate with the velocity^{1,52}

$$\tilde{U} = \frac{1}{\Delta I} \int_{I_1}^{I_2} dI u(I) \quad (37)$$

(and is called shock).

Equation (37) doesn't coincide exactly with Eq. (7). The point is that the simple wave assumption, though traditionally made²², is in general case an uncontrollable approximation. However the results of Eqs. (37) and (7) are very much alike, so one can believe that the assumption is not bad. In particular, for weak shock, when we can restrict ourselves by linear with respect to $I_2 - I_1$ approximation, the results of both equations coincide

$$\tilde{U} = \frac{u(I_1) + u(I_2)}{2} = U(I_1, I_2). \quad (38)$$

Equation (34), in distinction to Eq. (2) (or Eq. (3)), can be easily solved. Solution of Eq. (34), written in a parametric form^{1,52}, is

$$I(x, t) = f(\xi), \quad (39a)$$

$$x = \xi + c(f(\xi))t, \quad (39b)$$

where the function f is determined by the initial conditions

$$f(\xi) = I(\xi, 0). \quad (40)$$

The solution has a very simple meaning^{1,52}. Initial value of I at a given point ξ is propagating without change along the characteristic given by Eq. (39b).

The solution (39) describes, in particular, shock formation. If the initial conditions are such that $|I(\xi)|$ increases with ξ , and hence $u(\xi)$ decreases with ξ , initial values corresponding to larger $|I|$ will propagate slower than those corresponding to smaller $|I|$. The characteristics will start to cross, and the shock will form^{1,52}.

Notice that if initial conditions $I(\xi, 0)$ have discontinuity and $|I_1| > |I_2|$, where I_1 and I_2 are the values of currents to the left and to the right of the discontinuity respectively, the solution, instead of shock, contains an expansion fan⁵². Thus if we take initial conditions in the form

$$I(\xi, 0) = I_1 H(-\xi) + I_2 H(\xi), \quad (41)$$

the solution would be

$$I(x, t) = \begin{cases} I_2, & \text{for } x > u(I_2)t \\ \mathcal{I}(x/t), & \text{for } u(I_1)t \leq x \leq u(I_2)t \\ I_1, & \text{for } x < u(I_1)t \end{cases}, \quad (42)$$

where the function $\mathcal{I}(u)$ is obtained by inverting Eq. (4)

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = [I_c^2 - I_L^2 u^4 / (u_0^2 - u^2)^2]^{1/2}. \quad (43)$$

B. Transmission line with ohmic dissipation

Let us start from JTL containing an ohmic resistor in series with the capacitor, considered in Section III B. Equation (22) after elimination of V and Q takes the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{1}{u^2(I)} \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \right] + R_2 C \frac{\partial^3 I}{\partial t \partial x^2} = 0. \quad (44)$$

We assume that the right going wave satisfies equation

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + u(I) \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} = R_2 C \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial t^2}. \quad (45)$$

If we consider travelling wave solution

$$I(x, t) = I(x - Ut), \quad (46)$$

Eq. (45) is reduced to ordinary differential equation

$$\left[u(I) - U\right] \frac{dI}{dx} = R_2 C U^2 \frac{d^2 I}{dx^2}. \quad (47)$$

If we integrate Eq. (47) with respect to x from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ and take into account boundary conditions (13), the r.h.s. of the equation integrates to zero. Thus we reproduce Eq. (37) - the velocity of shock propagation is dissipation independent.

For weak shock, taking into account Eq. (38), we may present Eq. (47) as

$$\left(I - \frac{I_1 + I_2}{2}\right) \beta(I_0) \frac{dI}{dx} = R_2 C u^2(I_0) \frac{d^2 I}{dx^2}, \quad (48)$$

where

$$\beta(I) = \frac{du}{dI} = -\frac{u^3(I)}{2u_0^2} \frac{I_L I}{(I_c^2 - I^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (49)$$

One can easily check up that the weak shock profile obtained in Section III B (Eq. (20) with α given by Eq. (26)) is the solution of Eq. (48).

Equation (45) can not be solved as easily, as Eq. (34), though by all means is simpler than Eq. (44). In addition, when the signal can be considered as a small amplitude disturbance of a uniform state, we can present the current as $I(x, t) = I_0 + \delta I(x, t)$ and (in the lowest order with respect to δI) simplify Eq. (45), writing it down as

$$\frac{\partial \delta I}{\partial t} + \left[u(I_0) + \beta(I_0) \delta I\right] \frac{\partial \delta I}{\partial x} = R_2 C \frac{\partial^2 \delta I}{\partial t^2}. \quad (50)$$

It can be checked up by inspection that Eq. (50) does not depend upon I_0 , provided it satisfies inequality $|I(x, t) - I_0| \ll |I_0|$. Equation (50) allows, in particular, to study weak shocks formation.

Let us turn now to JTL with ohmic resistor shunting the JJ, considered in Section III A. Equation (9) after elimination of V takes the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{1}{u^2(I)} \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \right] + \frac{L}{R} I_L \frac{\partial^3 \varphi}{\partial t \partial x^2} = 0. \quad (51)$$

We assume that the right going wave satisfies equation

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + u(I) \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} = \frac{L}{R} I_L \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2}. \quad (52)$$

Hence Eq. (47) changes to

$$\left[u(I) - U\right] \frac{dI}{dx} = \frac{L}{R} U^2 I_L \frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2}. \quad (53)$$

The proof of the dissipation independence of the shock propagation velocity presented right after Eq. (47) remains exactly the same. Equation (48) changes to

$$\left(I - \frac{I_1 + I_2}{2}\right) \beta(I_0) \frac{dI}{dx} = \frac{L}{R} \frac{I_L}{(I_c^2 - I^2)^{1/2}} u^2(I_0) \frac{d^2 I}{dx^2}. \quad (54)$$

Again, one can easily check up that the weak shock profile obtained in Section III A (Eq. (20) with α given by Eq. (21)) is the solution of Eq. (54). Finally, Eq. (50) changes to

$$\frac{\partial \delta I}{\partial t} + \left[u(I_0) + \beta(I_0) \delta I\right] \frac{\partial \delta I}{\partial x} = \frac{L}{R} \frac{I_L}{(I_c^2 - I^2)^{1/2}} \frac{\partial^2 \delta I}{\partial t^2}. \quad (55)$$

V. DISCUSSION

We would like here to make one self prising comment and one self criticising one. As far as possible application of the results obtained in the paper is concerned, we hope that they are applicable to kinetic inductance based traveling wave parametric amplifiers based on a coplanar waveguide architecture. Onset of shock-waves in such amplifiers is an undesirable phenomenon. Therefore, shock waves in various JTL should be further studied, which was one of motivations of the present work.

On the other hand, a model for a Josephson junction, more realistic than the one consider in the paper, would include a capacitor and/or inductor in parallel with the JJ. These elements introduce dispersion into the transmission line which is very important for preventing shock formation. In addition, the finite unit cell size introduces dispersion, but is more challenging to treat in a continuum model.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have analytically calculated the velocity of propagation and structure of shock waves in the transmission line, for which the legs are constructed from JJ and linear inductors in series; the capacitors are between the legs of the line. In the absence of any ohmic dissipation the shocks are infinitely sharp. As such they remain when ohmic resistors are introduced in series with JJ and linear inductors. However, ohmic dissipation in this case leads to decrease of the shock amplitude with time. When ohmic resistors shunts JJ or is in series with the capacitor, the shock obtains a finite width. The shock width is inversely proportional to the resistance shunting JJ, or proportional to the resistance in series with the capacitor. In all the cases considered, ohmic resistors don't influence the shock velocity. We also considered shock formation in JTL with ohmic dissipation. We formulated the simple wave approximation for the JTL with ohmic dissipation. The approximation reduces the problem to a single equation containing only first order coordinate derivative (and first and second order time derivatives), which makes studying shock formation in JTL easier. Results of such study will be presented soon.

Acknowledgments

Discussions with L. Friedland, M. Goldstein, H. Katayama, K. O'Brien, B. Ya. Shapiro, A. Sinner, F. Vasko, M. Yarmohammadi, R. Zarghami and A. B. Zorin are gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix A: Derivation of JTL equations in Lagrange approach

Equations (2) simply follows from Kirchhoff's and Josephson law. However, in this Appendix we would like to rederive the equations in the framework of Lagrange approach. We take as dynamical variables the phase at the n th JJ and the charge which has passed through the n th inductor \tilde{q}_n . The charge at the n th capacitor q_n is simply connected with \tilde{q}_n :

$$\tilde{q}_n = q_{n+1} - q_n. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Differentiating Eq. (A1) with respect to t and taking into account the relation $I_n = d\tilde{q}_n/dt$ we recover Eq. (1b).

The Lagrangian \mathcal{L} is²⁶

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{\ell}{2} \sum_n \left(\frac{d\tilde{q}_n}{dt} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2c} \sum_n q_n^2 \\ & + E_J \sum_n \cos \varphi_n + \frac{\hbar}{2e} \sum_n \frac{d\tilde{q}_n}{dt} \varphi_n, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $E_J = \hbar I_c / 2e$. Differentiating the Lagrangian with respect to φ_n we obtain

$$-E_J \sin \varphi_n + \frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{d\tilde{q}_n}{dt} = 0, \quad (\text{A3})$$

thus recovering Eq. (1c). Finally, Lagrange equation corresponding to \tilde{q}_n is

$$\ell \frac{d^2 \tilde{q}_n}{dt^2} + E_J \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_n + \frac{1}{2c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{q}_n} \sum_n q_n^2 = 0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Elementary algebra gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{q}_n} \sum_n q_n^2 = 2(q_{n+1} - q_n), \quad (\text{A5})$$

and we recover Eq. (1a).

Appendix B: Alternative analysis of discontinuities

We present here the method to study discontinuities¹ alternative (though equivalent) to that used in Section II. Consider JTL with resistor in series with the JJ. Asking whether Eq. (27) admits moving discontinuities as part of the solution, we try to look for a solution in the form

$$I(x, t) = I_1(x, t)H(Ut - x) + I_2(x, t)H(x - Ut), \quad (\text{B1a})$$

$$V(x, t) = V_1(x, t)H(Ut - x) + V_2(x, t)H(x - Ut). \quad (\text{B1b})$$

where $H(x)$ is the Heaviside step function and I_1, I_2, V_1, V_2 are continuous functions. On substitution (B1) into Eq. (27) we obtain (keeping only the singular terms)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ [V_2(x) - V_1(x)] + UL[I_2(x) - I_1(x)] \right. \\ & \left. + UI_L[\varphi_2(x) - \varphi_1(x)] \right\} \delta(x - Ut) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2a})$$

$$\left\{ UC[V_2(x) - V_1(x)] + [I_2(x) - I_1(x)] \right\} \delta(x - Ut) = 0. \quad (\text{B2b})$$

We deduce that the multipliers before the δ functions are equal to zero, thus recovering Eq. (5).

Notice, that if we make substitution (B1) into Eq. (9), the second derivative $\partial^2/\partial x \partial t$ will introduce the term with $\delta'(x - Ut)$ and there is no other that singular term to balance it. Thus there are no current or voltage discontinuities in the case of ohmic resistor shunting JJ. Similar arguments explain the absence of discontinuities in the case of ohmic resistor in series with the capacitor.

* Electronic address: Eugene.Kogan@biu.ac.il

¹ G. B. Whitham, *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York (1999).

² R. Hirota and K. Suzuki, *Proc. IEEE* **61**, 1483 (1973).

³ N. S. Kuek, A. C. Liew, E. Schamiloglu, and J. O. Rossi, *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.* **20**, 1129 (2013).

⁴ N. S. Kuek, A. C. Liew, E. Schamiloglu, and J. O. Rossi, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **40**, 2523 (2012).

⁵ A. Steinbrecher and T. Stykel, *Int. J. Circuit Theory Appl.*

41, 122 (2013).

⁶ F. S. Yamasaki, L. P. S. Neto, J. O. Rossi, and J. J. Barroso, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **42**, 3471 (2014).

⁷ D. M. French and B. W. Hoff, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **42**, 3387 (2014).

⁸ H. Fatoorehchi, H. Abolghasemi, and R. Zarghami, *Appl. Math. Model.* **39**, 6021 (2015).

⁹ E. G. L. Rangel, J. J. Barroso, J. O. Rossi, F. S. Yamasaki, L. P. S. Neto, and E. Schamiloglu, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*

- 44, 2258 (2016).
- ¹⁰ B. Nouri, M. S. Nakhla, and R. Achar, *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.* **65**, 673 (2017).
 - ¹¹ L. P. S. Neto, J. O. Rossi, J. J. Barroso, and E. Schamiloglu, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **46**, 3648 (2018).
 - ¹² M. S. Nikoo, S. M.-A. Hashemi, and F. Farzaneh, *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.* **66**, 3234 (2018); **66**, 4757 (2018).
 - ¹³ L. C. Silva, J. O. Rossi, E. G. L. Rangel, L. R. Raimundi, and E. Schamiloglu, *Int. J. Adv. Eng. Res. Sci.* **5**, 121 (2018).
 - ¹⁴ Y. Wang, L.-J. Lang, C. H. Lee, B. Zhang, and Y. D. Chong, *Nat. Comm.* **10**, 1102 (2019).
 - ¹⁵ E. G. L. Range, J. O. Rossi, J. J. Barroso, F. S. Yamasaki, and E. Schamiloglu, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **47**, 1000 (2019).
 - ¹⁶ A. S. Kyuregyan, *Semiconductors* **53**, 511 (2019).
 - ¹⁷ N. A. Akem, A. M. Dikande, and B. Z. Essimbi, *Social Netw. Appl. Sci.* **2**, 21 (2020).
 - ¹⁸ A. J. Fairbanks, A. M. Darr, A. L. Garner, *IEEE Access* **8**, 148606 (2020).
 - ¹⁹ R. Landauer, *IBM J. Res. Develop.* **4**, 391 (1960).
 - ²⁰ S. T. Peng and R. Landauer, *IBM J. Res. Develop.* **17**(1973).
 - ²¹ R. H. Freemant and A. E. Karbowski, *J. Phys. D* **10**, 633 (1977).
 - ²² M. I. Rabinovich and D. I. Trubetskov, *Oscillations and Waves*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht / Boston / London (1989).
 - ²³ B. D. Josephson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1**, 251 (1962).
 - ²⁴ A. Barone and G. Paterno, *Physics and Applications of the Josephson Effect*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York (1982).
 - ²⁵ N. F. Pedersen, *Solitons in Josephson Transmission lines*, in *Solitons*, North-Holland Physics Publishing, Amsterdam (1986).
 - ²⁶ C. Giovanella and M. Tinkham, *Macroscopic Quantum Phenomena and Coherence in Superconducting Networks*, World Scientific, Frascati (1995).
 - ²⁷ A. M. Kadin, *Introduction to Superconducting Circuits*, Wiley and Sons, New York (1999).
 - ²⁸ M. Remoissenet, *Waves Called Solitons: Concepts and Experiments*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg GmbH (1996).
 - ²⁹ O. Yaakobi, L. Friedland, C. Macklin, and I. Siddiqi, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 144301 (2013).
 - ³⁰ K. O'Brien, C. Macklin, I. Siddiqi, and X. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 157001 (2014).
 - ³¹ C. Macklin, K. O'Brien, D. Hover, M. E. Schwartz, V. Bolkhovskiy, X. Zhang, W. D. Oliver, and I. Siddiqi, *Science* **350**, 307 (2015).
 - ³² B. A. Kochetov, and A. Fedorov, *Phys. Rev. B.* **92**, 224304 (2015).
 - ³³ A. B. Zorin, *Phys. Rev. Applied* **6**, 034006 (2016); *Phys. Rev. Applied* **12**, 044051 (2019).
 - ³⁴ D. M. Basko, F. Pfeiffer, P. Adamus, M. Holzmann, and F. W. J. Hekking, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 024518 (2020).
 - ³⁵ T. Dixon, J. W. Dunstan, G. B. Long, J. M. Williams, Ph. J. Meeson, C. D. Shelly, *Phys. Rev. Applied* **14**, 034058 (2020)
 - ³⁶ A. Burshtein, R. Kuzmin, V. E. Manucharyan, and M. Goldstein, arXiv 2010.02630v2 (2020).
 - ³⁷ B. Yurke, L. Corruccini, P. Kaminsky, L. Rupp, A. Smith, A. Silver, R. Simon, and E. Whittaker, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 2519 (1989).
 - ³⁸ T. Yamamoto, K. Inomata, M. Watanabe, K. Matsuba, T. Miyazaki, W. Oliver, Y. Nakamura, and J. Tsai, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 042510 (2008).
 - ³⁹ M. A. Castellanos-Beltran and K. W. Lehnert, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **91**, 083509 (2007)
 - ⁴⁰ M. Hatridge, R. Vijay, D. Slichter, J. Clarke, and I. Siddiqi, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 134501 (2011).
 - ⁴¹ B. Abdo, F. Schackert, M. Hatridge, C. Rigetti, and M. Devoret, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **99**, 162506 (2011).
 - ⁴² J. Mutus et al., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 122602 (2013).
 - ⁴³ C. Eichler, Y. Salathe, J. Mlynek, S. Schmidt, and A. Wallraff, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 110502 (2014).
 - ⁴⁴ T. C. White et al., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **106**, 242601 (2015).
 - ⁴⁵ A. Miano and O. A. Mukhanov, *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **29**, 1501706 (2019).
 - ⁴⁶ Ch. Liu, Tzu-Chiao Chien, M. Hatridge, D. Pekker, *Phys. Rev. A* **101**, 042323 (2020).
 - ⁴⁷ G. J. Chen and M. R. Beasley, *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **1**, 140 (1991).
 - ⁴⁸ H. R. Mohebbi and A. H. Majedi, *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **19**, 891 (2009).
 - ⁴⁹ H. Katayama, N. Hatakenaka, and T. Fujii, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 086018 (2020).
 - ⁵⁰ J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York (1999).
 - ⁵¹ M. B. Vinogradova, O. V. Rudenko and A. P. Sukhorukov, *The Wave Theory*, Nauka Publishers, Moscow (1990).
 - ⁵² J. Billingham and A. C. King, *Wave Motion*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).