

On w -Optimization of the Split Covariance Intersection Filter

Hao Li

This preprint note is extracted from the officially published book [1] written by the author.

Abstract—The split covariance intersection filter (split CIF) is a useful tool for general data fusion and has the potential to be applied in a variety of engineering tasks. An indispensable optimization step (referred to as w -optimization) involved in the split CIF concerns the performance and implementation efficiency of the Split CIF, but explanation on w -optimization is neglected in the paper [2] that provides a theoretical foundation for the Split CIF. This note complements [2] by providing a theoretical proof for the convexity of the w -optimization problem involved in the split CIF (convexity is always a desired property for optimization problems as it facilitates optimization considerably).

I. INTRODUCTION

The paper [2] provides a theoretical foundation for the split covariance intersection filter (split CIF). A reference closely related to [2] is [3] which presents the Split CIF heuristically without theoretical analysis — [3] originally coined it simply as “split covariance intersection”. In [2], the term “filter” is added to form an analogy of the Split CIF to the well-known Kalman filter. Although the Split CIF is called “filter”, it is not limited to temporal recursive estimation but can be used as a pure data fusion method besides the filtering sense, just as the Kalman filter can also be treated as a data fusion method — The split CIF can reasonably handle both known independent information and unknown correlated information in source data; it is a useful tool for general data fusion and has the potential to be applied in a variety of engineering tasks [4] [5] [6] [7] [8].

An indispensable optimization step (referred to as w -optimization) involved in the split CIF concerns the performance and implementation efficiency of the Split CIF; however, explanation on this w -optimization problem is neglected in [2]. As a consequence, readers may find it difficult to follow the split CIF completely as they are not informed of how the w -optimization problem can be handled or whether the w -optimization problem satisfies certain property (especially convexity) that facilitates optimization. To enable readers to better follow the split CIF and incorporate it into their prospective research works, this note complements [2] by providing a theoretical proof for the convexity of the w -optimization problem involved in the split CIF (convexity is always a desired property for optimization problems as it facilitates optimization considerably).

II. THE w -OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

Matrices mentioned in this note are symmetric matrices by default. Given matrices \mathbf{P}_{1d} , \mathbf{P}_{1i} , \mathbf{P}_{2d} , and \mathbf{P}_{2i} that are positive semi-definite, i.e. $\mathbf{P}_{1d} \geq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{P}_{1i} \geq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{P}_{2d} \geq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{P}_{2i} \geq \mathbf{0}$; denotations \mathbf{P}_{1d} , \mathbf{P}_{1i} , \mathbf{P}_{2d} , and \mathbf{P}_{2i} are used for presentation of the Split CIF in [2]. For $w \in [0, 1]$, define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_1(w) &= \mathbf{P}_{1d}/w + \mathbf{P}_{1i} \\ \mathbf{P}_2(w) &= \mathbf{P}_{2d}/(1-w) + \mathbf{P}_{2i} \\ \mathbf{P}(w) &= (\mathbf{P}_1(w)^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2(w)^{-1})^{-1}\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

When $w = 0$ or $w = 1$, $\mathbf{P}(w)$ denotes the limit value as $w \rightarrow 0$ or $w \rightarrow 1$ respectively. For $w \in (0, 1)$, we further assume that $\mathbf{P}_1(w)$ and $\mathbf{P}_2(w)$ are positive definite i.e. $\mathbf{P}_1(w) > \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{P}_2(w) > \mathbf{0}$; in fact, this fair assumption is well rooted in real applications where $\mathbf{P}_1(w)$ and $\mathbf{P}_2(w)$ normally correspond to covariances of certain estimates and hence are always positive definite. With this assumption, we naturally have $\mathbf{P}(w) > \mathbf{0}$.

The w -optimization problem involved in the split CIF [2] can be formalized as follows:

$$w = \arg \min_{w \in [0, 1]} \det(\mathbf{P}(w)) \quad (2)$$

III. CONVEXITY OF THE w -OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

We provide a theoretical proof for the convexity of the w -optimization problem formalized in the previous section. This is equivalent to proving that the second-order differential of $\det(\mathbf{P}(w))$ in (2) is always non-negative for $w \in (0, 1)$:

$$\frac{d^2}{dw^2} \det(\mathbf{P}(w)) \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det(\mathbf{P}(w)) \\ &= \frac{\det(\mathbf{P}(w)) \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \det(\mathbf{P}(w)) - (\frac{d}{dw} \det(\mathbf{P}(w)))^2}{\det(\mathbf{P}(w))^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{d^2}{dw^2} \det(\mathbf{P}(w))}{\det(\mathbf{P}(w))}\end{aligned}$$

So if the following inequality (4) is proved, then (3) holds true as well.

$$\frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det(\mathbf{P}(w)) \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

A detailed theoretical proof for (4) is given below. For denotation conciseness in the following proof, we omit explicit writing of “ (w) ” for w -parameterized variables; for example,

we denote above mentioned $\mathbf{P}_1(w)$, $\mathbf{P}_2(w)$, and $\mathbf{P}(w)$ simply as \mathbf{P}_1 , \mathbf{P}_2 , and \mathbf{P} .

Lemma 1. *Given a first-order differentiable w -parameterized matrix $\mathbf{M}(w)$ (denoted shortly as \mathbf{M}) satisfying $\mathbf{M}(w) > 0$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dw} \ln \det(\mathbf{M}) = \text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw}\}$$

Proof. According to the Jacobi's formula [9]

$$\frac{d}{dw} \det(\mathbf{M}) = \det(\mathbf{M}) \text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw}\}$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{d}{dw} \ln \det(\mathbf{M}) = \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{M})} \frac{d}{dw} \det(\mathbf{M}) = \text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw}\} \quad \square$$

Lemma 2. *Given a second-order differentiable matrix $\mathbf{M}(w)$ satisfying $\mathbf{M}(w) > 0$, we have*

$$\frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det(\mathbf{M}) = \text{tr}\{-\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw} + \mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d^2\mathbf{M}}{dw^2}\}$$

Proof. Note that the differential of a matrix inverse can be computed as follows [9]:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{M}^{-1}}{dw} = -\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$$

Following **Lemma.1** we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det(\mathbf{M}) &= \frac{d}{dw} \text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw}\} = \text{tr}\left\{\frac{d}{dw} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw}\right)\right\} \\ &= \text{tr}\left\{\frac{d\mathbf{M}^{-1}}{dw} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw} + \mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d^2\mathbf{M}}{dw^2}\right\} \\ &= \text{tr}\left\{-\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dw} + \mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{d^2\mathbf{M}}{dw^2}\right\} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Following **Lemma.2** we can compute the second-order differential of $\ln \det(\mathbf{P}(w))$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det \mathbf{P} &= \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det((\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1})^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det \mathbf{P}_1 + \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det \mathbf{P}_2 - \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2) \\ &= \text{tr}\left\{-\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{P}_1}{dw} \mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{P}_1}{dw} + \mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \frac{d^2\mathbf{P}_1}{dw^2}\right\} \\ &+ \text{tr}\left\{-\mathbf{P}_2^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{P}_2}{dw} \mathbf{P}_2^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{P}_2}{dw} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1} \frac{d^2\mathbf{P}_2}{dw^2}\right\} \\ &- \text{tr}\left\{-(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1} \frac{d(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)}{dw} (\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1} \frac{d(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)}{dw} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1} \frac{d^2(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)}{dw^2}\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Lemma 3. *Given two matrices \mathbf{M}_1 and \mathbf{M}_2 whose dimensions are consistent with each other for multiplication $\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{M}_2$ and $\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1$, we have $\text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{M}_2\} = \text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1\}$.*

The proof for **Lemma.3** can be found in [10]. More generally, given matrices \mathbf{M}_1 , \mathbf{M}_2 , and \mathbf{M}_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{M}_2\dots\mathbf{M}_k\} &= \text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_3\dots\mathbf{M}_k\mathbf{M}_1\} \\ &= \dots = \text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}_k\mathbf{M}_1\dots\mathbf{M}_{k-2}\mathbf{M}_{k-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

which is called *cyclic property* of trace operation.

Define $\mathbf{D}_1(w) = \mathbf{P}_{1d}/w$ and $\mathbf{D}_2(w) = \mathbf{P}_{2d}/(1-w)$ for $w \in (0, 1)$. As $\mathbf{P}_{1d} \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{P}_{2d} \geq 0$, we also have $\mathbf{D}_1 \geq 0$, $\mathbf{D}_2 \geq 0$. Like \mathbf{P}_{1d} and \mathbf{P}_{2d} , \mathbf{D}_1 and \mathbf{D}_2 are also symmetric matrices. From definitions given in (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{P}_1}{dw} &= -\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w} & \frac{d\mathbf{P}_2}{dw} &= \frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w} \\ \frac{d^2\mathbf{P}_1}{dw^2} &= \frac{2\mathbf{D}_1}{w^2} & \frac{d^2\mathbf{P}_2}{dw^2} &= \frac{2\mathbf{D}_2}{(1-w)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Substitute above formulas into (5) and use **Lemma.3** (the cyclic property of trace operation) when necessary in following derivation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det \mathbf{P} &= \text{tr}\left\{-\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \left(-\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\right) \mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \left(-\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\right) + \mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \frac{2\mathbf{D}_1}{w^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathbf{P}_2^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\right) \mathbf{P}_2^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\right) + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1} \frac{2\mathbf{D}_2}{(1-w)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w} - \frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\right) (\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w} - \frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1} \left(\frac{2\mathbf{D}_1}{w^2} + \frac{2\mathbf{D}_2}{(1-w)^2}\right)\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{w^2} \mathbf{T}_1 + \frac{1}{(1-w)^2} \mathbf{T}_2 - \frac{2}{w(1-w)} \mathbf{T}_3 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1 &= \text{tr}\{2\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{D}_1 - 2(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{D}_1 - \mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{D}_1\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{D}_1 \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{D}_1\} \\ \mathbf{T}_2 &= \text{tr}\{2\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\mathbf{D}_2 - 2(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{D}_2 - \mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\mathbf{D}_2\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\mathbf{D}_2 \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{D}_2\} \\ \mathbf{T}_3 &= \text{tr}\{(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{D}_2\} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *Given two positive semi-definite matrices \mathbf{M}_1 and \mathbf{M}_2 (i.e. $\mathbf{M}_1 \geq 0$, $\mathbf{M}_2 \geq 0$), we have $\text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{M}_2\} = \text{tr}\{\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1\} \geq 0$.*

The proof for **Lemma.4** can be found in [10].

Lemma 5. *Given symmetric matrices \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Y} , and \mathbf{Z} satisfying $0 < \mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{Y}$ and $0 \leq \mathbf{Z} \leq \mathbf{X}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}\{2\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} - 2\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\} \\ \geq \text{tr}\{(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{Y}^{-1})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{Y}^{-1})\mathbf{Z}\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. **Lemma.3** is used in following derivation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}\{2\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} - 2\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\} \\ - \text{tr}\{(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{Y}^{-1})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{Y}^{-1})\mathbf{Z}\} \\ = \text{tr}\{2\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} - 2\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} - 2\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} \\ + \mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\} \\ = \text{tr}\{2\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} - 2\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} - 2\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} + 2\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}\} \\ = 2 \text{tr}\{(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z})(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{Y}^{-1})\mathbf{Z}\} \\ = 2 \text{tr}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{Z})(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{Y}^{-1})\} \\ = 2 \text{tr}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}^{-1} - \mathbf{X}^{-1})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{Y}^{-1})\} \end{aligned}$$

As $\mathbf{Z}^{-1} - \mathbf{X}^{-1} \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}^{-1} - \mathbf{X}^{-1})\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}^T(\mathbf{Z}^{-1} - \mathbf{X}^{-1})\mathbf{Z} \geq 0$$

Besides, as $\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{Y}^{-1} \geq 0$; following **Lemma.4** we have $\text{tr}\{\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}^{-1} - \mathbf{X}^{-1})\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}^{-1} - \mathbf{Y}^{-1})\} \geq 0$. The proof is done \square

Note that $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{D}_1, \mathbf{D}_2$, and $\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2$ are symmetric matrices satisfying $\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2 > \mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{D}_1 + \mathbf{P}_{1i} \geq \mathbf{D}_1 \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2 > \mathbf{P}_2 = \mathbf{D}_2 + \mathbf{P}_{2i} \geq \mathbf{D}_2 \geq 0$; following **Lemma.5** we have (denote $\mathbf{P}_3 = \mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1 &\geq \text{tr}\{(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1})\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1})\mathbf{D}_1\} \\ \mathbf{T}_2 &\geq \text{tr}\{(\mathbf{P}_2^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1})\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{P}_2^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1})\mathbf{D}_2\} \end{aligned}$$

Substitute above inequalities into (6) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det \mathbf{P} &\geq \text{tr}\{(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1})\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1})\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\} \\ &\quad + \text{tr}\{(\mathbf{P}_2^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1})\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}(\mathbf{P}_2^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1})\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\} \\ &\quad - 2 \text{tr}\{\mathbf{P}_3^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\mathbf{P}_3^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Denote $\mathbf{B}_3 = \mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_3^{-1} &= (\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^{-1} = (\mathbf{P}_1(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1})\mathbf{P}_2)^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_2^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } \mathbf{P}_3^{-1} = (\mathbf{P}_2(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1})\mathbf{P}_1)^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_1^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1} &= \mathbf{P}_1^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_2^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \\ &= ((\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1}) - \mathbf{P}_2^{-1})(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_1^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\mathbf{P}_2^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_3^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}$$

Therefore, (7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det \mathbf{P} &\geq \text{tr}\{\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\} \\ &\quad + \text{tr}\{\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\} \\ &\quad - 2 \text{tr}\{\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\} \\ &= \text{tr}\{\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\} \\ &\quad + \text{tr}\{\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\} \\ &\quad - 2 \text{tr}\{\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\} \\ &= \text{tr}\{\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{C}\} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{P}_1^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{w}\mathbf{P}_1^{-1} - \mathbf{P}_2^{-1}\frac{\mathbf{D}_2}{1-w}\mathbf{P}_2^{-1}$$

As matrices $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{D}_1$, and \mathbf{D}_2 are all symmetric, so is \mathbf{C} . Note that $\mathbf{B}_3 = \mathbf{P}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{P}_2^{-1} > 0$ (\mathbf{B}_3 is symmetric as well) and hence $\mathbf{B}_3^{-1} > 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}^T\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{C} \geq 0$$

Follow (8) and **Lemma.4** and we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dw^2} \ln \det \mathbf{P} \geq \text{tr}\{\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}_3^{-1}\mathbf{C}\} \geq 0$$

So all the proof for (4) is presented. As we have already explained at the beginning of this section, (3) also holds true and the convexity of the w -optimization problem is proved.

IV. CONCLUSION

Explanation on an indispensable optimization step (i.e. the w -optimization problem) involved in the split CIF is neglected in [2], this note complements [2] by providing a theoretical proof with details for the convexity of the w -optimization problem. As convexity facilitates optimization considerably, readers can resort to convex optimization techniques to solve the w -optimization problem when they intend to incorporate the split CIF into their prospective research works.

APPENDIX

Demo code: <https://github.com/LI-Hao-SJTU/SplitCIF>

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Li, *Fundamentals and applications of recursive estimation theory*. Shanghai Jiao Tong University Press, 2022, 中国大陆出版书名《迭代估计理论基础与应用 (英文版)》.
- [2] H. Li, F. Nashashibi, and M. Yang, "Split covariance intersection filter: Theory and its application to vehicle localization," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1860–1871, 2013.
- [3] S. Julier and J. Uhlmann, "General decentralized data fusion with covariance intersection (ci)," *Handbook of Data Fusion*, 2001.
- [4] H. Li and F. Nashashibi, "Cooperative multi-vehicle localization using split covariance intersection filter," *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 33–44, 2013.
- [5] T. R. Wanasinghe, G. K. I. Mann, and R. G. Gosine, "Decentralized cooperative localization for heterogeneous multi-robot system using split covariance intersection filter," in *Canadian Conference on Computer and Robot Vision*, 2014, pp. 167–174.
- [6] C. Pierre, R. Chapuis, R. Aufrère, J. Laneurit, and C. Debain, "Range-only based cooperative localization for mobile robots," in *International Conference on Information Fusion*, 2018, pp. 1933–1939.
- [7] X. Chen, M. Yang, W. Yuan, H. Li, and C. Wang, "Split covariance intersection filter based front-vehicle track estimation for vehicle platooning without communication," in *IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium*, 2020, pp. 1510–1515.
- [8] C. Allig and G. Wanielik, "Unequal dimension track-to-track fusion approaches using covariance intersection," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2021.
- [9] R. Horn and C. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [10] —, *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.