

Reduction of divisors and Clebsch system

A.V. Tsiganov
Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences,
Moscow, Russia
andrey.tsiganov@gmail.com

Abstract

There are a few Lax matrices of the Clebsch system. Poles of the Baker-Akhiezer function determine the class of equivalent divisors on the corresponding spectral curves. According to the Riemann-Roch theorem, each class has a unique reduced representative. We discuss properties of such reduced divisor on the spectral curve of 3×3 Lax matrix having a natural generalization to $gl^*(n)$ case.

1 Introduction

The Clebsch's system was proposed in 1870 and it represents a specific famous case of the Kirchoff equations which describes the motion of a rigid body in an ideal fluid [13]. A full description of the long history of this system can be found in the book of Borisov and Mamaev pages 218-220, [9]. We will limit ourselves to listing a few points from this book.

The Clebsch's system is isomorphic or belongs to a few families of integrable systems:

- rigid body motion in a central Newtonian field, see textbooks [3, 9] and papers by Brun [10], Tisserand [40] and Weber [51] about integrable electrodynamic systems;
- geodesic motion on ellipsoid [29] and Kowalevski gyrostat [22];
- Frahm- Schottky-Manakov system on $so(4) = so(2) \times so(2)$, see [6, 42];
- Landau-Lifshits equation and antisymmetric chiral $O(3)$ field model, see [11, 50] and references in [7];
- integrable systems on $gl^*(n, R)$ obtained by Perelomov, see [33];
- n -site elliptic Gaudin model on $so(2) \times so(2) \cdots \times so(2)$, see [37] and references in [7];
- quasi-Stäckel integrable systems [27, 28].

So, we have a set of equivalent two-dimensional integrable systems appearing in the different physical applications. Let us briefly describe known approaches to solution of the corresponding equations of motion:

- in 1859 Neumann integrated equations of motion of a point on the sphere which coincide with Clebsch's equations of motion in a partial case [32]
- in 1879 Weber solved Clebsch's equations of motion for the Neumann partial case [51];
- in 1887 Halphan solved Clebsch's equations in terms of elliptic functions appearing in another partial case [19];
- in 1891 Schottky integrated the Frahm- Schottky-Manakov equations of motion [36];
- in 1892 Kötter solved Clebsch's equations of motion in generic case [23];

- in 1895 Kobb obtained quadratures for a rigid body motion in a central Newtonian field [21]. Construction of these quadratures involves solution of the fourth order algebraic equation;
- in 1893 Steklov studied quadratures in generic and particular cases of Clebsch's system [38, 39];
- in 1900 Chaplygin studied particular solutions of Clebsch's equations using characteristic function theory [12];
- In 1959 Harlamova obtained quadratures generalising Chaplygin's method in the generic case. These quadratures also involve solutions of the fourth order algebraic equation [20];
- in 1963 and 1974 Arkhangel'skii, Demin and Kiselev studied periodic solutions of Clebsch's equations under certain restrictions [3, 14];
- in 1987 Bobenko presented theta-functions formulae for all the classical tops using finite-gap theory and 2×2 Lax matrices for the Clebsch and Frahm-Schottky-Manakov systems [7];
- in 1998 Zhivkov and Christov presented theta-functions formulae using finite-gap theory and 4×4 Lax matrices [52];
- in 1998 Sklyanin and Takebe obtained variables of separation for the elliptic Gaudin magnet [37];
- in 2008 Sokolov and Marikhin obtained quadratures for a pair of quasi-Stäckel Hamiltonians [27, 28]

$$H_1 = ap_1^2 + 2bp_1p_2 + cp_2^2 + dp_1 + ep_2 + f$$

$$H_2 = Ap_1^2 + 2Bp_1p_2 + Cp_2^2 + Dp_1 + Ep_2 + F.$$

In this case the construction of quadratures involves solutions of the cubic algebraic equation;

- in 2015 Magri and Skrypnik found quadratures for the complex variables of separation, which are two roots of the cubic algebraic equation [26];
- in 2021 Fedorov, Magri and Skrypnik solved Clebsch system in theta-function using complex variables of separation, which consist of one of the eight solutions of the system of quadratic algebraic equations and its derivative [17, 18].

Following to Borisov and Mamaev [9] we fully agree with Chaplygin [12] and Magnus [25] that these solutions of equations of motion belong rather to the field of mathematical sport and add nothing to the description of motion. Nevertheless, it is natural to assume that this list will be continued and, one day, we will see unambiguously defined real variables of separation depending on real time, similar to the Kowalevski top [24] and Euler's two fixed centers problem [5].

In this note we do not study solutions of equations of motion in theta-functions obtained via quadratures or without quadratures. We also do not discuss relations between different quadratures. Our aim is to study properties of the Lax matrix for integrable systems on $gl^*(n, R)$ obtained by Perelomov [33]. For all these matrices, number degrees of freedom n is more then genus of the corresponding spectral curve, similar to the Heisenberg and Gaudin magnets.

For instance, when $n = 3$ the corresponding Baker-Akhiezer vector function ψ has three poles P_1, P_2 and P_3 on the genus one spectral curve. So, there is a chain of equivalent divisors

$$D = P_1 + P_2 + P_3 \rightarrow D' = P'_1 + P'_2 \rightarrow \rho(D) = D'' = P''$$

according to the Riemann-Roch theorem for divisors on algebraic curves [30]. Below we calculate all these points on a spectral curve using standard Abel's reduction algorithm [1] and prove that:

- affine coordinates of points $P'_{1,2}$ are functions on integrals of motion and one variable X , which also can be found in the Kobb and Harlamova quadratures;
- affine coordinates of the point P'' in the reduced divisor $\rho(D)$ are functions only on integrals of motion.

Thus, we prove that reduced divisor for the $gl^*(n, R)$ system at $n = 3$ has the same properties as reduced divisors for the harmonic oscillator and Kepler problem [45], for the Drach systems [47], for the Heisenberg and Gaudin magnets [46], for the Kowalevski top [48] and the Euler two fixed centers problem [49].

The main result is to prove for 3×3 Lax matrix of the Clebsch system the non-obvious assumption that reduced divisor is fixed, i.e. independent on time, when the dimension of the configurational space is more then genus of the corresponding spectral curve.

1.1 Kirchhoff equations in the Clebsch case

In the Clebsch case, the Kirchhoff equations for the motion of a rigid body in an ideal incompressible fluid are equal to

$$\dot{M} = p \times A p, \quad \dot{p} = p \times M. \quad (1.1)$$

Here p and M are three-dimensional vectors, \times denotes the vector product and A is a diagonal matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Vector M is the total angular momentum vector, whereas p represents the total linear momentum of a system [13]. There are two geometric integrals of motion

$$c_1 = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2, \quad c_2 = p_1 M_1 + p_2 M_2 + p_3 M_3$$

and two Hamiltonians

$$\begin{aligned} H &= M_1^2 + M_2^2 + M_3^2 + a_1(p_2^2 + p_3^2) + a_2(p_1^2 + p_3^2) + a_3(p_1^2 + p_2^2), \\ K &= a_1 M_1^2 + a_2 M_2^2 + a_3 M_3^2 + a_2 a_3 p_1^2 + a_1 a_3 p_2^2 + a_1 a_2 p_3^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

which Poisson commutes with respect to Lie-Poisson bracket on the Lie algebra $e^*(3)$

$$\{M_i, M_j\} = \varepsilon_{ijk} M_k, \quad \{M_i, p_j\} = \varepsilon_{ijk} p_k, \quad \{p_i, p_j\} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where ε_{ijk} is a skew-symmetric tensor. All these definitions are invariant under the cyclic permutation of indexes.

We know reductions of these equations of motion (1.1) to quadratures, solutions of these equations in terms of theta-functions, bi-Hamiltonian structure of these equations [41, 43], various Lax pair representations [2, 4, 33, 52], topological invariants [8], Hirota-Kimura type discretization [34], numerical solutions [35], etc.

2 Lax matrix and spectral curve

If we know Lax representation of the original equations of motion (1.1)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(x) = [\mathcal{L}(x), \mathcal{A}(x)], \quad (2.1)$$

for two $N \times N$ matrix functions $\mathcal{L}(x)$ and $\mathcal{A}(x)$ on phase space depending on the auxiliary spectral parameter x , then we can directly integrate equations (2.1) in terms of theta-functions by using finite-gap integration theory. Indeed, the time-independent spectral equation

$$\mathcal{L}(x) \psi(x, y) = y \psi(x, y) \quad (2.2)$$

allows us to represent the Baker-Akhiezer vector function ψ in terms of the Riemann theta function on a nonsingular compactification of the spectral curve defined by equation

$$\Gamma : \quad f(x, y) = \det(L(x) - y) = 0.$$

The second equation defines time

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi(x, y) = -\mathcal{A}(x)\psi(x, y), \quad (2.3)$$

and evolution of original variables $p_i(t)$ and $M_i(t)$ with respect to this time, see details in [6, 52].

For integrable systems on $gl^*(n, R)$ Lax representation (2.1) was found by Perelomov [33]. When $n = 3$ we have the following 3×3

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = A + \lambda M + \lambda^2 p \otimes p = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 p_1^2 + a_1 & \lambda^2 p_1 p_2 + M_3 \lambda & \lambda^2 p_1 p_3 - M_2 \lambda \\ \lambda^2 p_1 p_2 - M_3 \lambda & \lambda^2 p_2^2 + a_2 & \lambda^2 p_2 p_3 + M_1 \lambda \\ \lambda^2 p_1 p_3 + M_2 \lambda & \lambda^2 p_2 p_3 - M_1 \lambda & \lambda^2 p_3^2 + a_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

Here $M \in so^*(3)$ is a skew-symmetric matrix associated with vector $M \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and one of the corresponding second Lax matrices

$$A = -\frac{2}{\lambda}(A + \lambda M) \quad \text{or} \quad A = 2\lambda p \otimes p.$$

in (2.1) defines equations of motion coinciding with the Kirchoff equations (1.1).

2.1 Poles of the Baker-Akhiezer function

Let us emphasize again that we do not discuss equations of motion (1.1) or (2.1) et al, because instead of evolution of poles of the Baker-Akhiezer function we want to study reduction of poles of the Baker-Akhiezer function only.

Indeed, spectral curve Γ

$$\Gamma : \quad f(\mu, \lambda) = c_2^2 \lambda^4 + (c_1 \mu^2 - H\mu + K)\lambda^2 + \det(A - \mu) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

is a 2-fold covering of elliptic curve E at $\lambda^2 = y$

$$E : \quad f(\mu, y) = c_2^2 y^2 + (c_1 \mu^2 - H\mu + K)y + \det(A - \mu) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Reduced divisor is a point P'' on this elliptic curve E (2.6). Our aim is calculate this point and study its affine coordinates.

As a first step in this direction we have to construct a class of linearly equivalent divisors

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i,$$

which are a formal sum of poles $P_i = (\mu_i, \lambda_i)$ of the vector Baker-Akhiezer function ψ (2.2) with some fixed normalization $\vec{\alpha}$

$$\vec{\alpha} \cdot \psi = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \psi_i = 1,$$

Because

$$\psi_j = \frac{(L(\lambda) - \mu)_{jk}^\wedge}{(\vec{\alpha} \cdot (L(\lambda) - \mu)^\wedge)_k}, \quad \forall k = 1, 2, 3,$$

where the wedge denotes the adjoint or co-factor matrix, poles of the Baker-Akhiezer function $\psi(\lambda, \mu)$ are common zeroes of the four algebraic equations

$$f(\mu, \lambda) = \det(L(\lambda) - \mu) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\alpha} \cdot (L(\lambda) - \mu)^\wedge = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

For general normalization $\vec{\alpha}$ algebraic equations (2.7) have five solutions $P_i = (\mu_i, \lambda_i)$, $i = 1..5$, whereas at

$$\vec{\alpha} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$$

there are only three solutions of equations (2.7). Indeed, three solutions of equations (2.7) define three points $P_i = (\mu_i, \lambda_i)$ on spectral curve Γ (2.5) which form positive or semi-reduced divisor

$$D = P_1 + P_2 + P_3, \quad \deg D = 3$$

on the genus three algebraic curve Γ , $g(\Gamma) = 3$. According to the Riemann-Roch theorem, the dimension of linear system $|D|$, which is the set of all the nonnegative divisors linearly equivalent to D

$$|D| = \{D' \in \text{Div}(\Gamma) \mid D' \sim D \text{ and } D' > 0\},$$

is equal to

$$\dim|D| = \deg D - \text{genus}(\Gamma) = 3 - 3 = 0,$$

see definitions and other details in textbook [30].

In our case, $\dim|D| = 0$ and, therefore, divisor D is a unique reduced divisor in the corresponding class of equivalent divisors. Thus, we can not reduce this divisor to prime divisor of degree one on a genus three bielliptic curve Γ .

On elliptic curve E three points $P_i = (\mu_i, y_i)$, where $\lambda_i^2 = y_i$, define semi-reduced divisor on the genus one elliptic curve E (2.6)

$$D = P_1 + P_2 + P_3, \quad \deg D = 3$$

so that

$$\dim|D| = \deg D - \text{genus}(E) = 3 - 1 = 2.$$

According to the Riemann-Roch theorem, we can reduce this semi-reduced divisor D , to equivalent divisors D' and D'' :

$$D \rightarrow D' \rightarrow D'', \quad \dim|D| = 2, \quad \dim|D'| = 1, \quad \dim|D''| = 0.$$

Below we study these semi-reduced D' and reduced $D'' = \rho(D)$ divisors on the elliptic curve E (2.6).

2.2 Semi-reduced divisor of degree three

For generic normalization $\vec{\alpha}$ the last three equations in (2.7) are cubic polynomials in λ

$$e_i = (\vec{\alpha} \times p)_i \lambda^3 + e_i^{(2)}(\mu) \lambda^2 + e_i^{(1)}(\mu) \lambda + e_i^{(0)}(\mu) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

and solutions of these equations for λ and μ are roots of fifths order polynomials

$$A_5(\lambda) = 0, \quad B_5(\mu) = 0,$$

which can be easily obtained using modern computer algebra systems and, therefore, here we do not present these polynomials for brevity.

If $\vec{\alpha} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$, then vector $(\vec{\alpha} \times p) = 0$ is equal to zero and algebraic equations (2.7) have the following form

$$f(\mu, \lambda) = c_2^2 \lambda^4 + (c_1 \mu^2 - H\mu + K) \lambda^2 + \det(A - \mu) = 0,$$

$$e_1 = M_1 c_2 \lambda^2 + ((M_2 p_3 - M_3 p_2) \mu + a_3 M_3 p_2 - a_2 M_2 p_3) \lambda + p_1 (\mu^2 - (a_2 + a_3) \mu + a_2 a_3) = 0,$$

$$e_2 = M_2 c_2 \lambda^2 + ((M_3 p_1 - M_1 p_3) \mu + a_1 M_1 p_3 - a_3 M_3 p_1) \lambda + p_2 (\mu^2 - (a_1 + a_3) \mu + a_1 a_3) = 0,$$

$$e_3 = M_3 c_2 \lambda^2 + ((M_1 p_2 - M_2 p_1) \mu + a_2 M_2 p_1 - a_1 M_1 p_2) \lambda + p_3 (\mu^2 - (a_1 + a_2) \mu + a_1 a_2) = 0.$$

Solutions of these equations for λ and μ are roots of the cubic polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3(\lambda) = & -b_3c_2\lambda^3 + \left(a_1(p_2M_3 - p_3M_2)(c_1M_1 + c_2p_1) \right. \\
& + a_2(p_3M_1 - p_1M_3)(c_1M_2 + c_2p_2) + a_3(p_1M_2 - p_2M_1)(c_1M_3 + c_2p_3) \left. \right) \lambda^2 \\
& - \left((p_2^2 + p_3^2)(a_1 - a_3)(a_1 - a_2)p_1M_1 + (p_1^2 + p_3^2)(a_2 - a_3)(a_2 - a_1)p_2M_2 \right. \\
& + \left. (p_1^2 + p_2^2)(a_3 - a_2)(a_3 - a_1)p_3M_3 \right) \lambda - p_1p_2p_3(a_1 - a_2)(a_2 - a_3)(a_3 - a_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$B_3(\mu) = b_3\mu^3 + b_2\mu^2 + b_1\mu + b_0, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b_3 &= c_1(M_1^2 + M_2^2 + M_3^2) - c_2^2, \\
b_2 &= 2c_2(a_1p_1M_1 + a_2p_2M_2 + a_3p_3M_3) - 2c_1(a_1M_1^2 + a_2M_2^2 + a_3M_3^2) \\
& - a_1(M_2p_3 - M_3p_2)^2 - a_2(M_1p_3 - M_3p_1)^2 - a_3(M_2p_1 - M_1p_2)^2, \\
b_1 &= (a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3)b_3 - p_1^2(a_2 + a_3)\left((a_1 - a_2)M_2^2 + (a_1 - a_3)M_3^2 \right) \\
& - p_2^2(a_1 + a_3)\left((a_2 - a_1)M_1^2 + (a_2 - a_3)M_3^2 \right) - p_3^2(a_1 + a_2)\left((a_3 - a_1)M_1^2 + (a_3 - a_2)M_2^2 \right), \\
b_0 &= a_1a_2a_3c_2^2 - (a_1M_1^2 + a_2M_2^2 + a_3M_3^2)(a_2a_3p_1^2 + a_1a_3p_2^2 + a_1a_2p_3^2).
\end{aligned}$$

The roots of polynomials $A_3(\lambda)$ and $B_3(\mu)$ determine poles $P_i = (\mu_i, \lambda_i)$ of the Baker-Akhiezer function on spectral bielliptic curve Γ (2.5).

To determine the corresponding poles $P_i = (\mu_i, y_i = \lambda_i^2)$ on elliptic curve E we can replace three equations depending on μ and λ

$$e_k(\mu, \lambda) = e_k^{(2)}\lambda^2 + e_k^{(1)}\lambda + e_k^{(0)} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

with three equations depending on μ and $y = \lambda^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{12}(\mu, y) &= e_2^{(1)}e_1 - e_1^{(1)}e_2 = 0, \\
E_{23}(\mu, y) &= e_3^{(1)}e_2 - e_2^{(1)}e_3 = 0, \\
E_{31}(\mu, y) &= e_1^{(1)}e_3 - e_3^{(1)}e_1 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Equations of motion for the Clebsch system (1.1), Hamiltonians $H_{1,2}$ (1.2) and polynomial $B_3(\mu)$ are invariant under cyclic permutations of the indexes. Thus, we consider a linear combination of E_{ik}

$$g(\mu, y) = \sum \varepsilon_{ijk} p_i E_{jk} = p_1 E_{23} + p_2 E_{31} + p_3 E_{12} = c_2 \mathcal{Q}(\mu)y - \mathcal{P}(\mu) = 0,$$

which is also invariant under permutations. Here $\mathcal{Q}(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$ are polynomials of first and second order in μ

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mu) = -\mu b_3 + (a_1M_1^2 + a_2M_2^2 + a_3M_3^2)c_1 - c_2X, \quad \mathcal{P}(\mu) = \mathcal{P}_2\mu^2 + \mathcal{P}_1\mu + \mathcal{P}_0,$$

where

$$X = a_1p_1M_1 + a_2p_2M_2 + a_3p_3M_3. \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_2 &= (a_1p_1^2 + a_2p_2^2 + a_3p_3^2)c_2 - c_1X, \\ \mathcal{P}_1 &= \left((a_1 + a_2 + a_3)c_1 - a_1p_1^2 - a_2p_2^2 - a_3p_3^2 \right)X \\ &\quad - \left((a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3)c_1 - (a_1a_2p_3^2 + a_1a_3p_2^2 + a_2a_3p_1^2) \right)c_2, \\ \mathcal{P}_0 &= a_1a_2a_3c_1c_2 - (a_1a_2p_3^2 + a_1a_3p_2^2 + a_2a_3p_1^2)X.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to prove that three points $P_i = (\mu_i, y_i)$ with coordinates

$$B_3(\mu) = b_3(\mu - \mu_1)(\mu - \mu_2)(\mu - \mu_3) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_i = \frac{\mathcal{P}(\mu_i)}{c_2\mathcal{Q}(\mu_i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

lie on elliptic curve E (2.6). A formal sum of these points

$$D = P_1 + P_2 + P_3, \quad \deg D = 3$$

is a semi-reduced divisor of degree three on the elliptic curve E (2.6).

2.3 Semi-reduced divisor of degree two

Following Abel's idea [1] let us consider variable points of intersection of elliptic curve E with a family of curves depending on time

$$\Upsilon : \quad g(\mu, y) = c_2\mathcal{Q}(\mu)y - \mathcal{P}(\mu) = 0.$$

Substituting

$$y = \frac{\mathcal{P}(\mu)}{c_2\mathcal{Q}(\mu)}$$

into the elliptic curve equation (2.6)

$$f(\mu, y) = c_2^2y^2 + (c_1\mu^2 - H\mu + K)y + \det(A - \mu) = 0$$

we obtain Abel's polynomial

$$\Psi = \theta \cdot B_3(\mu) \cdot B_2'(\mu)$$

which determines an intersection divisor

$$D + D' + D_\infty = (P_1 + P_2 + P_3) + (P_4 + P_5) + D_\infty = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where D_∞ is a linear combination of the points at infinity.

Abscissas of points P_4 and P_5 are the roots of polynomial

$$\begin{aligned}B_2'(\mu) &= b_2'(\mu - \mu_4)(\mu - \mu_5) = \left(c_2^3 - c_1c_2H + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)c_1^2c_2 - c_1^2X \right)\mu^2 \\ &\quad + \left(c_1c_2K - (a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3)c_2c_1^2 + (c_1H - 2c_2^2)X \right)\mu + a_1a_2a_3c_1^2c_2 - c_1KX + c_2X^2.\end{aligned}$$

Ordinates of these points are equal to

$$y_i = \frac{\mathcal{P}(\mu_i)}{c_2\mathcal{Q}(\mu_i)}, \quad i = 4, 5.$$

Affine coordinates of points P_4 and P_5 are functions on integrals of motion c_1, c_2, H, K and one variable X (2.10) so that

$$\{\mu_4, H\} \{\mu_5, K\} - \{\mu_4, K\} \{\mu_5, H\} = 0, \quad \{\mu_4, \mu_5\} \neq 0,$$

and

$$\mu_4 - \mu_5 = c_1(y_4 - y_5), \quad (2.12)$$

i.e. points P_4 and P_5 move along a straight line and slope of this line is equal to c_1^{-1} .

2.4 Reduced divisor of degree one

To directly apply the Euler [16] and Abel [1] formulae we have to rewrite equation (2.6) in the following form

$$z^2 = a_4\mu^4 + a_3\mu^3 + a_2\mu^2 + a_1\mu + a_0$$

using birational transformation

$$y = z - \frac{c_1\mu^2 - \mu H + K}{2c_2^2}.$$

Then we have to consider various points of intersection of E with a family of curves depending on time

$$\Upsilon' : \quad z = \sqrt{a_4}\mu^2 + b_1\mu + b_0, \quad \text{where} \quad a_4 = \frac{c_1^2}{4c_2^4}. \quad (2.13)$$

Here b_1 and b_0 are coefficients of the interpolating polynomial which is defined by equations

$$z_4 = \sqrt{a_4}\mu_4^2 + b_1\mu_4 + b_0, \quad z_5 = \sqrt{a_4}\mu_5^2 + b_1\mu_5 + b_0,$$

where (μ_4, z_4) and (μ_5, z_5) are abscissas and ordinates of points P_4 and P_5 lying on auxiliary curve Υ' . The corresponding intersection divisor of curves E and Υ' has the following form

$$D' + D'' + D_\infty = (P_4 + P_5) + P_6 + D_\infty = 0.$$

According to [1] abscissa μ_6 of point P_6 is equal to

$$\mu_6 = -\mu_4 - \mu_5 - \frac{2b_0\sqrt{a_4} + b_1^2 - a_2}{2b_1\sqrt{a_4} - a_3} \equiv \frac{\nu}{v}. \quad (2.14)$$

In the Clebsch case, it is a function on the integrals of motion with a numerator

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= c_1^6 a_1 a_2 a_3 - c_1^5 (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) K + c_1^4 (c_2^2 (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)^2 + H K) \\ &\quad - c_1^3 (2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) H - K) c_2^2 + c_1^2 c_2^2 (2c_2^2 (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) + H^2) - 2c_1 c_2^4 H + c_2^6 \end{aligned}$$

and denominator

$$\begin{aligned} v &= c_1^2 \left(c_1^4 (a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_3) - c_1^3 ((a_1 + a_2 + a_3) H + K) + c_1^2 (2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) c_2^2 + H^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 3c_1 c_2^2 H + 2c_2^4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Such reduced divisors also appear for various superintegrable systems [45, 46, 47] and for the Kowalevski top [48].

Points P_4, P_5 and P_6 lie on parabola Υ' of a constant size, which leads to the vanishing of determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mu_4 & z_4 & 1 \\ \mu_5 & z_5 & 1 \\ \mu_6 & z_6 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

and to the vanishing of the corresponding Abel's integral relation [1]

$$\frac{d\mu_4}{z_4} + \frac{d\mu_5}{z_5} + \frac{d\mu_6}{z_6} = 0.$$

Because abscissa μ_6 (2.14) is a constant of motion, i.e. $d\mu_6 = 0$, we immediately obtain the following equation for abscissas of points from the support of semi-reduced divisor $D' = P_4 + P_5$ (2.11)

$$\frac{d\mu_4}{z_4} + \frac{d\mu_5}{z_5} = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Because points P_1, P_2, P_3 and P_4, P_5 belong to the intersection divisor (2.11) we also have equation

$$\frac{d\mu_1}{z_1} + \frac{d\mu_2}{z_2} + \frac{d\mu_3}{z_3} = 0$$

involving a regular differential on the elliptic curve E . This equation is a consequence of the fact that the unique reduced divisor in this class of equivalent divisors is a constant of motion.

Variables $\mu_{4,5}$ depend on integrals of motion c_1, c_2, H, K and the one time-dependent variable X (2.10) and, therefore, they satisfy geometric equation (2.12).

Let us introduce elliptic coordinates $u_{1,2}$ on $e^*(3)$ using standard definition

$$\frac{p_1^2}{z - a_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{z - a_2} + \frac{p_3^2}{z - a_3} = \frac{c_1(z - u_1)(z - u_2)}{(z - a_1)(z - a_2)(z - a_3)} = 0,$$

and the corresponding conjugated momenta, see [41]. These coordinates satisfy the Abel's equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u_1}{\sqrt{(u_1 - a_1)(u_1 - a_2)(u_1 - a_3)(u_1^2 - Hu_1 + K)}} + \frac{u_2}{\sqrt{(u_2 - a_1)(u_2 - a_2)(u_2 - a_3)(c_1 u_2^2 - Hu_2 + K)}} &= 0, \\ \frac{u_1 u_1}{\sqrt{(u_1 - a_1)(u_1 - a_2)(u_1 - a_3)(c_1 u_1^2 - Hu_1 + K)}} + \frac{u_2 u_2}{\sqrt{(u_2 - a_1)(u_2 - a_2)(u_2 - a_3)(c_1 u_2^2 - Hu_2 + K)}} &= 4, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where $\tilde{K} = K - 2c_2 X(t)$ is a function on time. If we know $X(t)$, we can try to solve these equations for u_1 and u_2 .

This variable X also appears in the Kobb [21] and Harlamova [20] calculations. We will discuss this topic in the forthcoming publication.

3 Neumann's problem

At the special case

$$c_1 = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 = 1, \quad c_2 = p_1 M_1 + p_2 M_2 + p_3 M_3 = 0$$

the Clebsch system is equivalent to the well-studied Neumann's problem [32] describing the motion of a particle on the unit sphere in the field of quadratic potential, see algebro-geometric description of this system in textbooks [15, 31].

Sphero-conical coordinates u_1 and u_2 on the sphere are defined through equation

$$\frac{p_1^2}{z - a_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{z - a_2} + \frac{p_3^2}{z - a_3} = \frac{(z - u_1)(z - u_2)}{(z - a_1)(z - a_2)(z - a_3)} = 0,$$

which implies that $c_1 = \sum p_i^2 = 1$. Similar to elliptic coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 , these coordinates in \mathbb{S}^2 are also orthogonal and only locally defined. They take values in the intervals

$$a_1 < u_1 < a_2 < u_2 < a_3,$$

so that

$$p_i = \sqrt{\frac{(u_1 - a_i)(u_2 - a_i)}{(a_j - a_i)(a_m - a_i)}}, \quad i \neq j \neq m.$$

If $\pi_{1,2}$ are canonically conjugated momenta

$$\{u_1, \pi_1\} = \{u_2, \pi_2\} = 1$$

with respect to the Poisson brackets (1.3), then

$$M_i = \frac{2\varepsilon_{ijm} p_j p_m (a_j - a_m)}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \left((a_i - \mu_1) \pi_1 - (a_i - \mu_2) \pi_2 \right).$$

At $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 0$ Hamiltonians (1.2) are the second order polynomials in momenta

$$H_0 = \frac{4\varphi_1\pi_1^2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} - \frac{4\varphi_2\pi_2^2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} + \mu_1 + \mu_2, \quad K_0 = \frac{4\mu_2\varphi_1\pi_1^2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} - \frac{4\mu_1\varphi_2\pi_2^2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} + \mu_1\mu_2,$$

where we for brevity denote

$$\varphi_k = \det(A - \mu_k) = (a_3 - \mu_k)(a_2 - \mu_k)(a_1 - \mu_k), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

In this partial case, spectral curve Γ (2.5) is the genus two hyperelliptic curve which can be rewritten in the following form

$$\Gamma_0: \quad \left(\det(\mu - A)\chi\right)^2 = (\mu^2 - H_0\mu + K_0)\det(\mu - A), \quad \chi = -\lambda^{-1}. \quad (3.1)$$

Below we will study the evolution of semi-reduced and reduced divisors on this curve.

At $c_2 = 0$ cubic polynomial $A_3(\lambda)$ (2.8) becomes quadratic polynomial on $\chi = \lambda^{-1}$

$$A_3(\chi) = \sqrt{\varphi_1\varphi_2}(\chi - 2\pi_1)(\chi - 2\pi_2).$$

Two roots of this polynomial define reduced divisor of degree two

$$D = P_1 + P_2, \quad |D| = 0, \quad P_1 = (u_1, 2\pi_1), \quad P_2 = (u_2, 2\pi_2)$$

on the genus two spectral curve Γ_0 (3.1).

In this case we have not third degree semi reduced divisor D and, therefore, we can not apply Abel's reduction in this case .

3.1 Semi-reduced divisor

At $c_2 = 0$ cubic polynomial $B_3(\mu)$ (2.9) remains a cubic polynomial on μ

$$B_3(\mu) = b_3(\mu - \mu_1)(\mu - \mu_2)(\mu - \mu_3) = \frac{4(\mu - u_1)(\mu - u_2)^2\varphi_1\pi_1^2}{u_1 - u_2} - \frac{4(\mu - u_1)^2(\mu - u_2)\varphi_2\pi_2^2}{u_1 - u_2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Three roots of this polynomial μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 define a semi-reduced divisor of poles on the genus two spectral curve Γ_0 (3.1)

$$D = P_1 + P_2 + P_3, \quad P_1 = (u_1, 2\pi_1), \quad P_2 = (u_2, 2\pi_2), \quad P_3 = (\mu_3, \chi_3),$$

where affine coordinates of the third pole are functions on variables $u_{1,2}$ and $\pi_{1,2}$

$$\mu_3 \equiv \frac{K_0 - u_1u_2}{H_0 - u_1 - u_2}, \quad \chi_3 = \frac{4\pi_1\pi_2}{H_0 - u_1 - u_2} \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2}{\varphi_3}} \quad (3.3)$$

Evolution of three poles P_1, P_2 and P_3 along the curve Γ_0 (3.1) is determined by Abel's equations

$$\Omega_1(P_1)\dot{\mu}_1 + \Omega_1(P_2)\dot{\mu}_2 = 0 \quad \Omega_2(P_1)\dot{\mu}_1 + \Omega_2(P_2)\dot{\mu}_2 = 4, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\Omega_1(P_3)\dot{\mu}_3 = \frac{4X}{\sqrt{\varphi_3}(H_0 - u_1 - u_2)},$$

where regular differentials on Γ_0 (3.1) have the form

$$\Omega_1 = \frac{1}{\det(\mu - A)\chi}, \quad \Omega_2 = \frac{\mu}{\det(\mu - A)\chi},$$

and variable X (2.10) at $c_2 = 0$ is equal to

$$X = a_1p_1M_1 + a_2p_2M_2 + a_2p_3M_3 = \frac{2\sqrt{\varphi_1\varphi_2}(\pi_1 - \pi_2)}{u_1 - u_2}.$$

Abscissa and ordinate of the third point P_3 are functions of elliptic coordinates and integrals of motion, and, therefore, two Abel's equations (3.4) completely determine the evolution of the semi-reduced divisor of poles

$$D = P_1 + P_2 + P_3, \quad \deg D = 3, \quad \dim|D| = \deg D - g = 3 - 2 = 1. \quad (3.5)$$

3.2 Reduced divisor

According to the Riemann-Roch theorem, there is a unique reduced divisor D' on genus two hyperelliptic curve Γ_0 (3.1)

$$\rho(D) = D' = P_4 + P_5, \quad \deg D' = 2, \quad \dim |D'| = \deg D' - g = 2 - 2 = 0,$$

which is equivalent to semi-reduced divisor D (3.5).

Following Abel [1], we can identify reduced divisor D' with a part of the intersection divisor

$$D + D' + D_\infty = 0$$

of hyperelliptic curve Γ_0 with a family of parabolas Υ involving three points P_1, P_2 and P_3 on a projective plane. Parabola

$$\Upsilon : y = V_0(\mu)$$

is defined by interpolation polynomial

$$V_0(\mu) = \frac{(\mu - \mu_2)(\mu - \mu_3)}{\mu_1 - \mu_2)(\mu_1 - \mu_3)}\chi_1 + \frac{(\mu - \mu_1)(\mu - \mu_3)}{\mu_2 - \mu_1)(\mu_2 - \mu_3)}\chi_2 + \frac{(\mu - \mu_1)(\mu - \mu_2)}{\mu_3 - \mu_1)(\mu_3 - \mu_2)}\chi_3. \quad (3.6)$$

Substituting $y = V_0(\mu)$ into (3.1) we obtain Abel's polynomial Ψ defining abscissas $\mu_{4,5}$ of points P_4 and P_5

$$\Psi = \theta \cdot B_0(\mu) \cdot B'_0(\mu), \quad B_0 = b_3(\mu - \mu_1)(\mu - \mu_2)(\mu - \mu_3), \quad B'_0 = (\mu - \mu_4)(\mu - \mu_5),$$

see Abel's original calculations for genus two hyperelliptic curves [1] or [44] and references within. In our case

$$B'_0(\mu) = \mu^2 + (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 - H_0)\mu + \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2$$

$$-(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - \mu_1 - \mu_2)H_0 + a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3 + 2\mu_1\mu_2,$$

where

$$\mu_1 = u_1, \quad \mu_2 = u_2, \quad \mu_3 = \frac{K_0 - u_1u_2}{H_0 - u_1 - u_2}.$$

Affine coordinates of this reduced divisor $D' = P_4 + P_5$ satisfy the same Abel's equations (3.4)

$$\Omega_1(P_4)\dot{u}_4 + \Omega_1(P_5)\dot{u}_5 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2(P_4)\dot{u}_5 + \Omega_2(P_5)\dot{u}_4 = 4,$$

but

$$\{\mu_4, \mu_5\} \neq 0.$$

In this case affine coordinates of reduced divisor have properties similar to properties of reduced divisor on genus two algebraic curve for Euler's two fixed centers problem [49].

4 Conclusion

We study properties of the $n \times n$ Lax matrix obtained by Perelomov [33]. When $n = 3$ Lax equations coincide with equations of motion for the Clebsch system. We study reduction of divisors on the corresponding elliptic spectral curve

$$D = P_1 + P_2 + P_3 \rightarrow D' = P'_1 + P'_2 \rightarrow \rho(D) = P''$$

using standard Abel's reduction algorithm [1]. We prove that reduced divisor is fixed, i.e. independent on time, when dimension of the configurational space is more than genus of the corresponding spectral curve.

Affine coordinates of semi reduced divisor D' are functions on integrals of motion and one dynamical variable X , which is related to quadratures by Kobb [21] and Harlamova [20]. Evolution of this semi reduced divisor and its relations with known quadratures will be discussed later.

FUNDING

This work of A.V. Tsiganov was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project no. 19-71--30012) and performed at the Steklov Mathematical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Abel N. H., *Mémoire sur une propriété générale d'une classe très étendue de fonctions transcendentes*, Oeuvres complètes, Tome I, Grondahl Son, Christiania, pages 145-211 1881.
- [2] Adler M., van Moerbeke P., The Kowalewski and Hénon-Heiles motion as Manakov geodesic flow on $SO(4)$ and a two-dimensional family of Lax pairs, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, v.113, pp.659-700, 1988.
- [3] Arkhangel'skii Yu. A., *Analytical Dynamics of a Rigid Body*, Nauka, Moscow, 1977 (Russian).
- [4] Belokolos E.P., Bobenko A.I., Enolski V.Z., Its A.R., Matveev V.B., *Algebro-geometric approach to nonlinear integrable equations*, Springer, 1994.
- [5] Biscani F., Izzo D., A complete and explicit solution to the three-dimensional problem of two fixed centres, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, v. 455:4, pp.3480-3493, 2016.
- [6] Bobenko A.I., The Euler equations on $e(3)$ and $so(4)$. Isomorphism of integrable cases., *Funct. Anal. Pril.*, v.20, pp.64-65, 1986.
- [7] Bobenko A.I., Theta function formulae for classical tops, Preprint LOMI P-10-87, 1987. https://archive.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/id/eprint/559/1/preprint_1991_16.pdf
- [8] Bolsinov A.V., Fomenko A.T., *Integrable Hamiltonian Systems: Geometry, Topology, Classification*, RCD, Izevsk, 1999 (Russian).
- [9] Borisov A.V., Mamaev I.S., *Rigid Body Dynamics*, ICS, Izevsk, 2005 (Russian).
- [10] Brun F., Rotation kring fix punkt, *Öfversigt at Kongl. Svenska Vetenskaps Akad. Förhndl. Stokholm*, v. 7, pp.455-468, 1893.
- [11] Cherednik, I.V. Integrability of the equation of a two-dimensional asymmetric chiral $O(3)$ field and of its quantum analog, *Soviet Journal of Nuclear Physics*, v. 33(1), pp.144-145, 1981.
- [12] Chaplygin S. A., Characteristic function in rigid body dynamics, in *Collected Works*, v. 3., Moscow: Gostekhizdat, pp.260-282, 1950 (Russian).
- [13] Clebsch A., Über die Bewegung eines Körpers in einer Flüssigkeit, *Math. Annalen*, v.3, pp.238-262, 1870.
- [14] Demin V. G., Kiselev F. I., A new class of periodic motions of a solid body with one fixed point in a Newtonian force field, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, v. 214:5, pp.270-272, 1974.
- [15] Dubrovin B., Theta-functions and nonlinear equations, *Russian Math. Surveys*, v.36:2, pp.11-92, 1981.
- [16] Euler L., *Institutionum calculi integralis*, v.1, Acta Petropolitana, 1761.
- [17] Fedorov Yu., Magri F., Skrypnik T., A new approach to separation of variables for the Clebsch integrable system. Part I: Reduction to quadratures, arXiv:2102.03445, 2021.

- [18] Fedorov Yu., Magri F., Skrypnik T., A new approach to separation of variables for the Clebsch integrable system. Part II: Inversion of the Abel–Prym map , [arXiv:2102.03599](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.03599), 2021.
- [19] Halphen G., Sur le mouvement d’un solide dans un liquide, *Comptes Rendus des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences*, Paris, v.104, pp.807-811, 1887.
- [20] Harlamova E.I., On the motion of a rigid body around a fixed point in the central Newtonian field, *Izv. Sibirsk. Otd. Acad. Nauk SSSR*, v.6, pp.7-17, 1959 (Russian).
- [21] Kobb G., Sur le problème de la rotation d’un corps autour d’un point fixe, *Bull. Soc. math. France*, v. 23, pp.210-215, 1895.
- [22] Komarov I.V., Tsiganov A.V., On a trajectory isomorphism of the Kowalevski gyrost and the Clebsch problem, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, v.38, pp.2917-2927, 2005.
- [23] Kötter F., Über die Bewegung eines festen Körpers in einer Flüssigkeit. I.II., *J. Reine und Angew. Math.*, v.109, pp.51-81, 89-111, 1892.
- [24] Kowalevski S., Sur le problème de la rotation d’un corps solide autour d’un point fixe, *Acta Math.*, v.12, pp.177-232, 1889.
- [25] Magnus K., *Kreisel. Theorie und Anwendungen*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [26] Magri F., Skrypnik T., The Clebsch system, [arXiv:1512.04872](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.04872), 2015.
- [27] Marikhin V.G., Sokolov V.V., On quasi-Stäckel Hamiltonians, *Russ. Math.l Surveys*, v.60 (5), pp.981-983, 2005.
- [28] Marikhin V.G., Sokolov V.V., On the reduction of the pair of Hamiltonians quadratic in momenta to canonic form and real partial separation of variables for the Clebsch top, *Rus. J. Nonlin. Dyn.*, v. 4, no. 3, pp.313-322, 2008.
- [29] Minkowski H., Über die Bewegung eines festen Körpers in einer Flüssigkeit, *Sitzungsber. König. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin*, v. 30, pp.1095-1110, 1888.
- [30] Miranda R., *Algebraic Curves and Riemann Surfaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol 5., American Mathematical Society; UK ed., 1995.
- [31] Mumford D., *Tata Lectures on Theta I,II*, Birkhäuser, 1984.
- [32] Neumann C., De problemate quodam mechanico, quod od primam integralium ultraellipticorum slassem revocatur, *Rein. und Agew. Math.*, v.56, pp.46-63, 1859.
- [33] Perelomov A. I., Some remarks on the integrability of the equations of motion of a rigid body in an ideal fluid, *Funct. Anal. Pril.*, v.15, pp.83-85, 1981.
- [34] Petrera M., Pfadler A., Suris Y., On integrability of Hirota-Kimura type discretizations, *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, v.16, pp.245-289, 2011.
- [35] Pop C., Ene R.D., Stability problems and analytical integration for the Clebsch’s system, *Open Math.*, v.17, pp.242-259, 2019.
- [36] Schottky F., Über das analytische Problem der Rotation eines starren Körpers in Raume von vier Dimensionen, *Sitzungsber., König. Preuss. Akad. Wiss.*, Berlin, v.12, pp.227-232, 1891.
- [37] Sklyanin E.K., Takebe T., Separation of variables in the elliptic Gaudin model, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, v.204, pp.17-38, 1999.
- [38] Steklov V. A., *About the motion of a solid body in a liquid*, Kharkov, 1893 (Russian).

- [39] Stekloff V.A., Remarque sur un probleme de Clebsch sur le mouvement d'un corps solide dans un liquide indefini en sur le probleme de M. de Brun, *Comp. Rend. Ac. Sc. Paris*, v. 135, pp.526-528, 1902.
- [40] Tisserand F., Sur le mouvement des planètes autour du Soleil, d'après la loi électrodynamique de Weber, *Comp. Rend. Ac. Sc. Paris*, v.75, pp.760-763, 1872.
- [41] Tsiganov A. V., A note on elliptic coordinates on the Lie algebra $e(3)$, *J. Phys. A: Math. and Gen.*, v.39, pp.L571-L574, 2006.
- [42] Tsiganov A. V., Isomorphism of integrable cases of the Euler equations on the bi-Hamiltonian manifolds $e(3)$ and $so(4)$, *J. Math. Sciences*, v.136:1, pp.3641-3647, 2006.
- [43] Tsiganov A. V., Compatible Lie-Poisson brackets on the Lie algebras $e(3)$ and $so(4)$, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, v.151, pp.459-473, 2007.
- [44] Tsiganov A. V., On auto and hetero Backlund transformations for the Henon-Heiles systems, *Phys. Lett. A*, v.379, pp.2903-2907, 2015.
- [45] Tsiganov A.V., Superintegrable systems and Riemann-Roch theorem, *J. Math. Phys.*, v.61, 012701, 2020.
- [46] Tsiganov A.V., Reduction of divisors for classical superintegrable $GL(3)$ magnetic chain , *J. Math. Phys.*, v.61, 112703, 2020.
- [47] Tsiganov A.V., Discretization and superintegrability all rolled into one, *Nonlinearity*, v.33:9, pp.4924-4939, 2020.
- [48] Tsiganov A.V., Reduction of divisors and Kowalevski top, [arXiv:2009.09624](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.09624), 2020.
- [49] Tsiganov A.V., Reducible Abelian varieties and Lax matrices for Euler's problem of two fixed centres, [arXiv:2104.10362](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.10362), 2021.
- [50] Veselov A. P., The Landau-Lifshits equation and integrable systems of classical mechanics, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, v.270:5, pp.1094-1097, 1983.
- [51] Weber H., Über die Kummersche Fläche vierter - Ordnung mit sechzehn Knoterpunkten und ihre Beziehung zu den Thetafunctionen mit zwei Veränderlichen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, v.84, pp.332-354, 1878.
- [52] Zhivkov A., Christov O., *Effective solutions of Clebsch and C. Neumann systems*, <https://edoc.hu-berlin.de/handle/18452/3324>, 1998.