

On information projections between multivariate elliptical and location-scale families

Frank Nielsen
Sony Computer Science Laboratories Inc
Tokyo, Japan
`Frank.Nielsen@acm.org`

Abstract

We study information projections with respect to statistical f -divergences between any two location-scale families. We consider a multivariate generalization of the location-scale families which includes the elliptical and the spherical subfamilies. By using the action of the multivariate location-scale group, we show how to reduce the calculation of f -divergences between any two location-scale densities to canonical settings involving standard densities, and derive thereof fast Monte Carlo estimators of f -divergences with good properties. Finally, we prove that the minimum f -divergence between a prescribed density of a location-scale family and another location-scale family is independent of the prescribed location-scale parameter. We interpret geometrically this property.

Keywords: Information geometry, information projection, f -divergence, Kullback-Leibler divergence, location-scale family, and location-scale group.

1 Introduction

The concept of an *information projection* was first studied in information theory by Csiszár [9, 11] as the minimization of the Kullback-Leibler divergence (also called I -divergence) between a prescribed measure and a set of measures: When the minimum is unique, it is called the *I -projection* [10]. In information geometry [1, 25], the geometric study of information projections (e.g., conditions for uniqueness) is investigated as the *geodesic projection* with respect to an affine connection of a probability measure point onto a statistical submanifold [24] with orthogonality defined with respect to the Fisher-Rao metric. In this work, we consider information projections with respect to statistical f -divergences [8] when both the prescribed distribution and the subspace to project the distribution onto are multivariate generalizations of location-scale families which include the elliptical families and the spherical subfamilies.

We outline the paper with its main contributions as follows:

We first describe the multivariate generalization of location-scale families and introduce the multivariate location-scale group in §2. We then report several results for calculating the f -divergences between two densities of potentially different location-scale families in §3: Invariance of the f -divergences with respect to the action of the location-scale group (Theorem 1), calculations of the f -divergences by reduction to canonical settings (Corollary 1 exemplified for the Kullback-Leibler divergence in Corollary 3 and instantiated for the multivariate normal distributions), and invariance of f -divergences to scale for scale families (Corollary 2). In §4, we build efficient Monte Carlo

estimators with good properties to estimate the f -divergences between location-scale families when it is not calculable in closed-form. Finally, equipped with these preliminary results, we study in §5 the information projections of a prescribed distribution belonging to one location-scale family onto another location-scale family (Theorem 2), and we interpret geometrically these results.

2 Location-scale families and the location-scale group

2.1 Univariate location-scale families

Let $X \sim p$ be a continuous random variable with cumulative distribution function (CDF) F_X and probability density function (PDF) $p_X(x)$ defined on the support \mathcal{X} . A location-scale random variable $Y \stackrel{d}{=} l + sX$ (equality in distribution) for *location parameter* l and *scale parameter* $s > 0$ has CDF $F_Y(y) = F_X\left(\frac{y-l}{s}\right)$ and PDF $p_Y(y) = \frac{1}{s}p_X\left(\frac{y-l}{s}\right)$. Let $p_{l,s}(x) := \frac{1}{s}p_X\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)$ denote the location-scale density for parameter (l, s) . The density $p = p_{0,1}$ is called the *standard density* of the location-scale family. The *location-scale parameter space* of the *location-scale family* $\mathcal{F}_p = \{p_{l,s}(x) : l \in \mathbb{R}, s > 0\}$ is the upper plane $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}$.

Example 1. For example, the family of univariate normal distributions:

$$\mathcal{N} := \left\{ p_{\mu,\sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right) : (\mu, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \right\} \quad (1)$$

is a location-scale family for the standard density $p^{\mathcal{N}}(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x^2)$ defined on $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$ with location parameter $l = \mu$ (the normal mean) and scale parameter $s = \sigma > 0$ (the normal standard deviation).

Example 2. Another example is the location-scale family of univariate Cauchy distributions:

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ p_{l,s}^{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi s \left(1 + \left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)^2\right)} : (l, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

with standard density $p^{\mathcal{C}}(x) := \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$.

When $E[p]$ is finite, we have $E[Y] = l + sE[X]$, and when $E[p^2]$ is finite, we have $\sigma[Y] = \sqrt{E[(Y - E[Y])^2]} = s\sigma[X]$. Thus if we assume that the standard density p is such that $E_p[X] = 0$ and $E_p[X^2] = 1$ (i.e., p has unit variance), then the random variable $Y \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + \sigma X$ has mean $E[Y] = \mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma(Y) = \sqrt{E[(Y - \mu)^2]} = \sigma$. In the remainder, we do not use the (μ, σ) parameterization of location-scale families but the (l, s) parameterization in order to be more general and consistent with the description of the multivariate location-scale families.

A *location family* is a family of densities $\mathcal{L}_p = \{p_l(x) = p(x-l) : l \in \mathbb{R}\}$. For example, the location family of shifted unit distributions with standard density $p(x) = 1$ on $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]$ is a location family. A location family can be obtained as a subfamily of a location-scale family \mathcal{F}_p by prescribing a scale $s_0 > 0$. For example, the family of normal distributions with unit variance is a location family, a subfamily of the normal location-scale family.

A *scale family* is a family of densities $\mathcal{S}_p = \{p_s(x) = \frac{1}{s}p\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) : s \in \mathbb{R}_{++}\}$. For example, the family of Rayleigh distributions $\mathcal{R} := \left\{\frac{x}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\right\}$ defined on the support $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+$ is a scale

family with standard density $p^{\mathcal{R}}(x) := x \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})$ and scale parameter $s = \sigma^2$. A scale family can be obtained as a subfamily of a location-scale family by prescribing a location $l_0 \in \mathcal{X}$.

A location-scale family is said *regular* when its Fisher information matrix is positive-definite and finite. The location family induced by the uniform standard density on $[0, 1]$ is *not* a regular family since its Fisher information is infinite [14]. In the remainder, we consider regular location-scale families.

The Fisher-Rao geometry of location-scale families and its Riemannian distance [15, 16, 18] is recalled in Appendix A. The α -geometry [1] of location-scale families have been studied in [22] who investigated the α -geometry of univariate elliptical distributions with densities: $\frac{1}{s}h\left(\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)^2\right)$ for $(l, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}$. Thus by defining $p(x) = h(x^2)$, we can convert any univariate elliptical distribution to a corresponding location-scale distribution (but a location-scale family is not necessarily an elliptical family because $h(u) = p(\sqrt{u})$ may not be properly defined for $u < 0$). In particular, the α -geometry of the Cauchy family is shown to be independent of α (and never yielding a dually flat space [22]): Its conformal flattening into a dually flat geometry with applications to the construction of Voronoi diagrams has been studied in [26].

The location-scale parameter space \mathbb{H} form a group $G = (\mathbb{H}, \cdot, \text{id})$, called the *location-scale group*. An element $g_{l,s} \in G$ acts (\odot) on the standard density $p(x)$ as follows:

$$g_{l,s} \odot p(x) := \frac{1}{s} p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right). \quad (3)$$

The identity element is $\text{id} = g_{0,1}$ since $g_{0,1} \odot p = p$, and the group binary associative operation ‘ \cdot ’ is retrieved from the group action as follows:

$$g_{l_2, s_2} \cdot g_{l_1, s_1} \odot p = g_{l_2, s_2} \odot \left(\frac{1}{s_1} p\left(\frac{x-l_1}{s_1}\right) \right), \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} p\left(\frac{\frac{x-l_2}{s_2} - l_1}{s_1}\right), \quad (5)$$

$$=: g_{l_{12}, s_{12}} \odot p, \quad (6)$$

with $g_{l_{12}, s_{12}} \in G$ and $l_{12} = s_2 l_1 + l_2$ and $s_{12} = s_1 s_2$. The group inverse element is $g_{l,s}^{-1} = g_{-\frac{l}{s}, \frac{1}{s}}$ which is obtained by solving $g_{l,s} \cdot g_{l',s'} = g_{\text{id}}$: We $l + sl' = 0$ and $ss' = 1$ solves as $l' = -\frac{l}{s}$ and $s' = \frac{1}{s}$. The *orbit* of the action of the location-scale group on the standard density p defines the location-scale family \mathcal{F}_p :

$$\mathcal{F}_p = G \odot p := \{g \odot p : \forall g \in G\}. \quad (7)$$

The elements of the location-scale group can be *represented* using 2×2 matrices (representation theory): Each group element $g := g_{l,s}$ is represented by a corresponding matrix $M_{g_{l,s}} = M_{l,s} := \begin{bmatrix} s & l \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. This matrix representation of elements yields the *location-scale matrix group* (\mathbb{G}, \times, I) with:

$$\mathbb{G} = \left\{ M_{l,s} = \begin{bmatrix} s & l \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : (l, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where the matrix group operation \times is the matrix multiplication, the identity element the 2×2 matrix identity $M_{g_{\text{id}}} = M_{g_{0,1}} = I$, and the inverse operation the matrix inverse:

$$M_{g^{-1}} = (M_g)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s} & -\frac{l}{s} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The location-scale group is a Lie matrix group [3] (i.e., a “continuous group” modeled as a manifold) which acts transitively on the sample space. The location-scale group is *non-abelian* (i.e., non-commutative) because $g_1.g_2 = g_{l_1+l_2, s_1, s_1 s_2} \neq g_2.g_1$ (since $g_2.g_1 = g_{l_2+l_1, s_2, s_1 s_2}$). However the location subgroups and the scale subgroups are abelian groups. Representing elements by matrices is handy to prove basic properties: For example, we can prove easily that $(g_1.g_2)^{-1} = g_2^{-1}.g_1^{-1}$ since

$$(M_{g_1} \times M_{g_2})^{-1} = M_{g_2}^{-1} \times M_{g_1}^{-1} = M_{g_2^{-1}.g_1^{-1}}. \quad (10)$$

2.2 Multivariate location-scale families: Location-positive families

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ denote the set of probability density functions with support \mathcal{X} .

We begin by first recalling the relationships between the PDFs of two continuous d -dimensional random variables $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d) \sim p_X$ and $Y = t(X) = (t_1(X), \dots, t_d(X)) \sim p_Y$ for a *differentiable and invertible function* t with non-singular Jacobian (i.e., $|\text{Jac}_t(x)| \neq 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ where $|M|$ denotes the determinant of matrix M) where the *Jacobian matrix* of the transformation t is defined by:

$$\text{Jac}_t(x) := \left[\frac{\partial t_i(X)}{\partial x_j} \right]_{i,j}. \quad (11)$$

We can express one density in term of the other density as follows:

$$p_X(x) = |\text{Jac}_t(x)| \times p_Y(t(x)) = |\text{Jac}_t(x)| \times p_Y(y), \quad (12)$$

$$p_Y(y) = |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| \times p_X(t^{-1}(y)) = |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| \times p_X(x). \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, we have the following identity:

$$|\text{Jac}_t(x)| \times |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| = |\text{Jac}_t(x) \times \text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| = |I| = 1, \quad (14)$$

where I denotes the $d \times d$ identity matrix.

For sanity checks, we verify that we have:

$$p_X(x) = |\text{Jac}_t(x)| \times p_Y(t(x)) = |\text{Jac}_t(x)| \times |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| \times p_X(t^{-1}(y)), \quad (15)$$

$$= |\text{Jac}_t(x) \times \text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| \times p_X(x) = |I| p_X(x) = p_X(x), \quad (16)$$

since $\text{Jac}_t(x) \times \text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y) = I$.

Let X be a d -dimensional multivariate random variable, and let $Y \stackrel{d}{=} PX+l$ for $P \succ 0$ a positive-definite $d \times d$ matrix playing the role of the “multidimensional scale” parameter, and $l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ a location parameter. Then using Eq. 13 with $Y \stackrel{d}{=} t_{l,P}(X) = PX+l$ (and $X \stackrel{d}{=} t_{l,P}^{-1}(Y) = P^{-1}(Y-l)$), we find the density of $p_{l,P}$ of continuous random distribution Y as follows:

$$p_{l,P}(y) = |\text{Jac}_{t_{l,P}^{-1}}(y)| p_X(t_{l,P}^{-1}(y)) = |\text{Jac}_{t_{l,P}^{-1}}(y)| p_X(x), \quad (17)$$

$$= |P^{-1}| p(P^{-1}(y-l)), \quad (18)$$

where $p := p_X$ denotes the standard density since $\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y) = P^{-1}$. The space of multivariate location-scale parameters (l, P) is $\mathbb{H}_d = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{P}_{++}$, where \mathbb{P}_{++} denotes the open cone of positive-definite matrices. Observe that by embedding (l, P) as $(\text{diag}(l_1, \dots, l_d), P)$ (where

$M = \text{diag}(l_1, \dots, l_d)$ denotes the diagonal matrix with $M_{ii} = l_i$, we obtain a parameter domain which is a subspace of the Siegel upper plane [27] $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{R}, d) \times \mathbb{P}_{++}$, where $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{R}, d)$ denotes the space of symmetric $d \times d$ matrices.

When $d = 1$ and $P = s$, we have $Y \stackrel{d}{=} t_{l,s}(X) = sX + l$, $X \stackrel{d}{=} t_{l,s}^{-1}(Y) = \frac{1}{s}(Y - l)$ and we recover the univariate location-scale densities $p_{l,s}(y) = \frac{1}{s}p\left(\frac{y-l}{s}\right)$.

We can define equivalently the density of a location-scale family by $p_{l,P}(x) = |P|^{-1}p(P^{-1}(x - l))$ since $|P^{-1}| = |P|^{-1}$. Since P is a positive-definite matrix generalizing the position scalar in the location-scale group, we also call this multivariate generalization of the location-scale group, the *location-positive group*. Thus the location-positive families can be obtained as the action of the location-positive group on a prescribed density $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (or $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_{++}^d)$ for scale only families).

Definition 1 (Multivariate location-scale/location-positive family). *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a probability density function on \mathbb{R}^d . Then the multivariate location-scale family is:*

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \left\{ p_{l,P}(x) = |P|^{-1} p(P^{-1}(x - l)) : (l, P) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{P}_{++} \right\}. \quad (19)$$

For example, the family of multivariate normal distributions (MVNs) is a multivariate location-scale family where the standard PDF is:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^\top x\right). \quad (20)$$

Indeed, the covariance matrix Σ is a positive-definite matrix which admits a unique *symmetric positive-definite square root matrix* $\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (such that $\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Sigma$). This symmetric square root matrix can be calculated from the eigendecomposition of Σ in cubic time $O(d^3)$ as follows: Let $\Sigma = V^\top \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)V^{-1}$ denote the eigendecomposition where the λ_i 's are the positive real eigenvalues and V the matrix of column eigenvectors. Then $\sqrt{\Sigma} = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} = V \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_d})V^{-1}$, and $\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} = V \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_d})V^{-1}V \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_d})V^{-1} = V^\top \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)V^{-1} = \Sigma$ since $V^{-1}V = I$. Notice that $\sqrt{\Sigma}$ is a positive-definite matrix. We have:

$$p_{\mu, \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}}(y) = \left| \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| p\left(\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y - \mu)\right), \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{\left| \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right|}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y - \mu))^\top \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y - \mu)\right), \quad (22)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \sqrt{|\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(y - \mu)\right), \quad (23)$$

since $\left| \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| = \frac{1}{\left| \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Sigma|}}$ and $(\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y - \mu))^\top = (y - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ since $\Sigma = \Sigma^\top$ (and by using the matrix trace cyclic property). Eq. 23 recovers the multivariate normal density. It follows that if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ then we have $Y = \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(X - \mu) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$.

Multivariate location-scale families include the *elliptical families* which have densities of the form [17]:

$$p_{\mu,V}^{\text{ell}}(x) = |V|^{-\frac{1}{2}} h \left((x - \mu)^\top V^{-1} (x - \mu) \right), \quad (24)$$

where h is a profile function. Indeed, let $P = V^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mu = l$ with $p(x) = h(x^\top x)$. Then we have

$$p_{\mu,V^{\frac{1}{2}}} = |V|^{-\frac{1}{2}} h \left((V^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x - \mu))^\top (V^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x - \mu)) \right), \quad (25)$$

$$= |V|^{-\frac{1}{2}} h \left((x - \mu)^\top V^{-1} (x - \mu) \right) := p_{\mu,V}^{\text{ell}}(x). \quad (26)$$

Moreover, the elliptical families include the spherical subfamilies as a special case when $P = I$, see [17]. Last, let us remark that some parametric families of distributions can be both interpreted as location-scale families and exponential families [2] (e.g., normal family, Rayleigh family, inverse Gaussian family, and gamma family).

The multivariate location-scale group \mathbb{G}_d can be defined on the multivariate location-scale parameter space $G_d = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{P}_{++}^d$. The identity element is $\text{id} = (0, I)$, the group operation is $g_{l_2, P_2} \cdot g_{l_1, P_1} = g_{l_2 + P_2 l_1, P_2 P_1}$. This group operation rule can be found by the action of the location-scale group onto the standard density:

$$g_{l_2, P_2} \cdot g_{l_1, P_1} \odot p(x) = |P_2|^{-1} |P_1|^{-1} p \left(P_1^{-1} (P_2^{-1} (x - l_2) - l_1) \right), \quad (27)$$

$$= (P_2 P_1)^{-1} p \left((P_2 P_1)^{-1} x - (P_2 P_1)^{-1} l_2 - P_1^{-1} l_1 \right), \quad (28)$$

$$= (P_2 P_1)^{-1} p \left((P_2 P_1)^{-1} (x - l_2 - P_2 l_1) \right). \quad (29)$$

The action of the multivariate location-scale group on a density p is given by:

$$g_{l,P} \odot p := |P|^{-1} p \left(|P|^{-1} (x - l) \right). \quad (30)$$

The multivariate location-scale family (i.e., set of location-scale models) is obtained by taking the group orbit of the standard density p :

$$\mathcal{F}_p = G_d \odot p. \quad (31)$$

Thus the location-scale group (G_d, \cdot, id) is represented by the location-scale matrix group $(\mathbb{G}_d, \times, I_{d+1})$.

The corresponding multivariate location-scale block matrix group is the following set of $(d + 1) \times (d + 1)$ matrices:

$$\mathbb{G}_d = \left\{ M_{l,P} = \begin{bmatrix} P & l \\ \mathbf{0}_d^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} : (l, P) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{P}_{++}^d \right\}, \quad (32)$$

The inverse element $g_{l,P}^{-1} = g_{-P^{-1}l, P^{-1}}$ can be found from the matrix inverse of $M_{l,P}$. Indeed, we check that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & l \\ \mathbf{0}_d^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P^{-1} & -P^{-1}l \\ \mathbf{0}_d^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & \mathbf{0}_d \\ \mathbf{0}_d^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_{d+1}. \quad (33)$$

The matrix group multiplication is

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 & l_1 \\ \mathbf{0}_d^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} P_2 & l_2 \\ \mathbf{0}_d^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 P_2 & P_1 l_2 + l_1 \\ \mathbf{0}_d^\top & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

The Fisher-Rao geometry and α -geometry of multivariate normal distributions was studied in [37] and is reviewed in [23]. More generally, Mitchell studied the α -geometry of elliptical families [21]. Ohara and Eguchi [32] studied some dually flat geometry of elliptical families. Warped Riemannian metrics have also been studied for location-scale families defined on a Riemannian manifold [36] (including the Euclidean manifold \mathbb{R}^d): For example, the family of d -dimensional isotropic normal distributions is a multivariate location family whose Fisher-Rao metric is a warped Riemannian metric.

3 Statistical divergences between location-scale densities

Let us consider the statistical f -divergences [8] I_f between two continuous distributions p and q of \mathbb{R}^d :

$$I_f(p : q) = \int_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) dx, \quad (35)$$

where f is a convex function, strictly convex at 1, satisfying $f(1) = 0$. When the f -divergence generator is chosen to be $f(u) = -\log(u)$, we retrieve the Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD):

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p : q) = \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx. \quad (36)$$

The reverse f -divergence $I_f^r(p : q) := I_f(q : p)$ is obtained for the *conjugate generator* $f^*(u) := uf\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)$ (convex with $f^*(1) = 0$): $I_f^r(p : q) = I_{f^*}(p : q) = I_f(q : p)$.

Let $p = p_{0,I}$ and $q = q_{0,I}$ be the two standard PDFs with support \mathbb{R}^d defining multivariate location-scale families \mathcal{F}_p and \mathcal{F}_q , respectively. Let $p_{l_1, P_1} \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and $q_{l_2, P_2} \in \mathcal{F}_q$.

We state the following group invariance theorem of the f -divergences:

Theorem 1 (Invariance of f -divergences under the location-scale group). *We have*

$$I_f(g \odot p : g \odot q) = I_f(p : q)$$

for all $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and any $g = g_{l,P}$ in the multivariate location-scale group $G_d = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{P}_{++}^d$.

Proof. We have

$$I_f(g \odot p : g \odot q) = \int |P|^{-1} p(|P|^{-1}(x-l)) \log \left(\frac{|P|^{-1} p(|P|^{-1}(x-l))}{|P|^{-1} q(|P|^{-1}(x-l))} \right) dx, \quad (37)$$

$$= \int p(|P|^{-1}(x-l)) \log \left(\frac{p(y)}{q(y)} \right) dy =: I_f(p : q), \quad (38)$$

after making a change of variable $y = |P|^{-1}(x-l)$ in the multiple integral $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \dots dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots dx_1 \dots dx_d$ with $dy = |P|^{-1} dx$. This change of variable *requires* $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$ [20] and therefore $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Indeed, when the support of the PDFs are dependent of (l, P) (e.g., a uniform distribution on a compact $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$), the KLD diverges and the Fisher information is infinite [14]. Thus we assume in the remainder that all location-scale families are regular. \square

From Theorem 1, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 1 (Canonical settings for f -divergences between location-scale distributions). *The f -divergence between two regular location-scale densities is equivalent to the f -divergence between one standard location-scale density and another affinely shifted location-scale density:*

$$I_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) = I_f\left(p : q_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}\right) = I_f\left(p_{P_2^{-1}(l_1 - l_2), P_2^{-1}P_1} : q\right). \quad (39)$$

Proof. We give two proofs: A short indirect proof relying on Theorem 1 and a direct proof.

- Let $g_1 = g_{l_1, P_1}$ and $g_2 = g_{l_2, P_2}$ so that $p_{l_1, P_1} = p_{g_1}$ and $q_{l_2, P_2} = q_{g_2}$. Applying Theorem 1 with $g = g_1$, we have $I_f(g_1 \odot p : g_2 \odot q) = I_f(g_1^{-1} \cdot g_1 \odot p : g_1^{-1} \cdot g_2 \odot q)$. Since $g_1^{-1} \cdot g_1 = \text{id}$ and $g_1^{-1} \cdot g_2 = g_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}$, we get $I_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) = I_f\left(p : q_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}\right)$. Similarly, Applying Theorem 1 with $g = g_2$, we get $I_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) = I_f\left(p_{P_2^{-1}(l_1 - l_2), P_2^{-1}P_1} : q\right)$ since $g_2^{-1} \cdot g_1 = g_{P_2^{-1}(l_1 - l_2), P_2^{-1}P_1}$.
- The second direct proof makes the change of variable in $\stackrel{*}{=}$ with $y = P_1^{-1}(x - l_1)$, $x = P_1y + l_1$, $dy = |P_1|^{-1}dx$ and $dx = |P_1|dy$, and uses the identity $\frac{|P_2|^{-1}}{|P_1|^{-1}} = |P_1^{-1}P_2|^{-1}$:

$$I_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) := \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_{l_1, P_1}(x) f\left(\frac{q_{l_2, P_2}(x)}{p_{l_1, P_1}(x)}\right) dx, \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int |P_1|^{-1} p(P_1^{-1}(x - l_1)) f\left(\frac{|P_2|^{-1} q(P_2^{-1}(x - l_2))}{|P_1|^{-1} p(P_1^{-1}(x - l_1))}\right) dx, \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \int p(y) f\left(\frac{|P_2|^{-1} q(P_2^{-1}(P_1y + \mu_1) - \mu_2))}{|P_1|^{-1} p(y)}\right) dy, \\ &= \int p(y) f\left(\frac{|P_1^{-1}P_2|^{-1} q((P_1^{-1}P_2)^{-1}(y - P_2^{-1}(l_2 - l_1)))}{p(y)}\right) dy, \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

$$= I_f\left(p : q_{P_2^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_2P_1^{-1}}\right), \quad (42)$$

Using the conjugate generator $f^*(u)$, we get $I_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) = I_f\left(p_{P_2^{-1}(l_1 - l_2), P_2^{-1}P_1} : q\right)$.

□

Thus we obtain the scale invariance of the f -divergence between multivariate scale families (including zero-centered elliptical distributions):

Corollary 2 (Scale invariance of f -divergences between scale densities). *The f -divergence between multivariate scale densities p_{P_1} and q_{P_2} is scale-invariant: For all $\lambda > 0$: $I_f(p_{\lambda P_1} : p_{\lambda P_2}) = I_f(p_{P_1} : p_{P_2}) = I_f(p : q_{P_1^{-1}P_2}) = I_f(p_{P_2^{-1}P_1} : q)$.*

Example 3. Consider the Rayleigh scale family with $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_{++}$ and standard density $p(x) = x \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})$. The KLD between two Rayleigh distributions is

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\sigma_1^2} : p_{\sigma_2^2}) = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - \log \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) - 1. \quad (43)$$

We check that $D_{\text{KL}}(g_\lambda \odot p_{\sigma_1^2} : g_\lambda \odot p_{\sigma_2^2}) = D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\sigma_1^2} : p_{\sigma_2^2})$ since $g_\lambda \odot p_{\sigma^2} = p_{\lambda\sigma^2}$ and $\frac{\lambda\sigma_1^2}{\lambda\sigma_2^2} = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2}$. Similarly, the KLD between two univariate zero-centered normal distributions yields the same formula. In fact the Rayleigh distributions form an exponential family and the KLD amounts to a Bregman divergence which is the Itakura-Saito divergence $D_{\text{IS}}(\theta_1 : \theta_2) := \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} - \log \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} - 1$. We have $D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\sigma_1^2} : p_{\sigma_2^2}) = D_{\text{IS}}(\theta_2 : \theta_1)$ with $\theta_i = -\frac{1}{2\sigma_i^2}$. See [28] for details.

Let us instantiate the invariance property of Corollary 1 for the KLD. We get:

Corollary 3 (KLD between location-scale densities). *The KLD between two regular location-scale densities is equivalent to the f -divergence between one standard location-scale density and another affinely shifted location-scale density:*

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) = D_{\text{KL}}\left(p : q_{P_1^{-1}(l_2-l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}\right) = D_{\text{KL}}\left(p_{P_2^{-1}(l_1-l_2), P_2^{-1}P_1} : q\right). \quad (44)$$

Since KLD $D_{\text{KL}}(p : q)$ amounts to the cross-entropy $h^\times(p : q) = -\int p(x) \log q(x) dx$ minus Shannon's differential entropy $h(p) = h^\times(p : p) = -\int p(x) \log p(x) dx$, let us also report the formula for the cross-entropy/entropy under the action of a location-scale group element $g = g_{l, P}$:

$$h^\times(g \odot p : g \odot q) = h^\times(p : q) + \log |P|, \quad (45)$$

$$h(g \odot p) = h(p) + \log |P|. \quad (46)$$

Thus $D_{\text{KL}}(g \odot p : g \odot q) = h^\times(g \odot p : g \odot q) - h(g \odot p) = h^\times(p : q) - h(p) = D_{\text{KL}}(p : q)$.

Furthermore, we have:

$$h^\times(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) = h^\times(p : q_{P_1^{-1}(l_2-l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}) - \log |P_1|, \quad (47)$$

$$= h^\times(p_{P_2^{-1}(l_1-l_2), P_2^{-1}P_1} : q) - \log |P_2|. \quad (48)$$

Notice that it is well-known that the f -divergence between two continuous densities with full support in \mathbb{R}^d is independent of a diffeomorphism [34] $Y = t(X)$: That is, $I_f(p_X(x) : q_X(x)) = I_f(p_Y(y) : q_Y(y))$. The proof also makes use of a change of variable in a multiple integral and requires [20] $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$:

Proposition 1 (Invariance of f -divergences). *Let $t : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a diffeomorphism, $p_X, q_X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $Y = t(X)$. Then we have $I_f(p_Y(y) : q_Y(y)) = I_f(p_X(x) : q_X(x))$.*

Proof. Let $p_Y(y) = |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| \times p_X(t^{-1}(y))$ and $q_Y(y) = |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| \times q_X(t^{-1}(y))$ with $x = t^{-1}(y)$ and $dx = |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)|dy$. We have:

$$I_f(p_Y : q_Y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_Y(y) f\left(\frac{q_Y(y)}{p_Y(y)}\right) dx \quad (49)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| \times p_X(t^{-1}(y)) f\left(\frac{|\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| \times q_X(t^{-1}(y))}{|\text{Jac}_{t^{-1}}(y)| \times p_X(t^{-1}(y))}\right) dy, \quad (50)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_X(x) f\left(\frac{q_X(x)}{p_X(x)}\right) dx =: I_f(p_X : q_X). \quad (51)$$

□

Letting $Y = PX + l$, $p_Y = g_{l,P} \odot p_X$ and $q_Y = g_{l,P} \odot q_X$, we get $I_f(g_{l,P} \odot p_X : g_{l,P} \odot q_X) = I_f(p_X : q_X)$.

Example 4. Consider the family of log-normal distributions [7] such that if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ then $Y = \exp(X)$ follows a log-normal distribution $\mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma)$ with probability density function:

$$p_{\mu, \sigma}^{\mathcal{LN}}(x) := \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad (52)$$

for $x \in \mathcal{X} = (0, \infty)$. Reciprocally, if $X \sim \mathcal{LN}(\mu, \sigma)$ then $Y = \log(X)$ follows a normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$. It follows from Proposition 1 that the f -divergence $I_f(p_{\mu_1, \sigma_1}^{\mathcal{LN}} : p_{\mu_2, \sigma_2}^{\mathcal{LN}}) = I_f(p_{\mu_1, \sigma_1}^{\mathcal{N}} : p_{\mu_2, \sigma_2}^{\mathcal{N}})$ (see also [13] for the matching formula of the Kullback-Leibler divergence).

We can define the f -mutual information between two random variables X and Y as

$$\text{MI}_f(X; Y) := I_f(p_{(X,Y)} : p_X p_Y). \quad (53)$$

Whenever $p_{(X,Y)} = p_X p_Y$, we say that random variable X is independent to random variable Y , and the f -mutual information is zero: $\text{MI}_f(X; Y) = 0$. We have the following invariance of the mutual information:

Proposition 2 (Invariance of f -mutual information). *For any invertible and differentiable transformations t_1 and t_2 from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathbb{R}^d , we have $\text{MI}_f(t_1(X_1); t_2(X_2)) = \text{MI}_f(X_1 : X_2)$.*

Proof. Let $Y_1 = t_1(X_1)$ and $Y_2 = t_2(X_2)$. We have the joint density $p_{(Y_1, Y_2)}(y_1, y_2) = |\text{Jac}_{t_1^{-1}}(y_1)| |\text{Jac}_{t_2^{-1}}(y_2)| p_{(X_1, X_2)}(x_1, x_2)$ and the marginals $p_{Y_1}(y_1) = |\text{Jac}_{t_1^{-1}}(y_1)| p_{X_1}(x_1)$ and $p_{Y_2}(y_2) = |\text{Jac}_{t_2^{-1}}(y_2)| p_{X_2}(x_2)$. It follows that $\frac{p_{Y_1}(y_1)p_{Y_2}(y_2)}{p_{(Y_1, Y_2)}(y_1, y_2)} = \frac{p_{X_1}(x_1)p_{X_2}(x_2)}{p_{(X_1, X_2)}(x_1, x_2)}$. The f -mutual information $\text{MI}_f(t_1(X_1); t_2(X_2))$ rewrites as:

$$\text{MI}_f(t_1(X_1); t_2(X_2)) = \int_{y_1} \int_{y_2} p_{(Y_1, Y_2)}(y_1, y_2) f\left(\frac{p_{Y_1}(y_1)p_{Y_2}(y_2)}{p_{(Y_1, Y_2)}(y_1, y_2)}\right) dy_1 dy_2, \quad (54)$$

$$= \int_{y_1} \int_{y_2} p_{(Y_1, Y_2)}(y_1, y_2) f\left(\frac{p_{X_1}(x_1)p_{X_2}(x_2)}{p_{(X_1, X_2)}(x_1, x_2)}\right) dy_1 dy_2. \quad (55)$$

Using two changes of variables $x_1 = t_1^{-1}(y_1)$ and $x_2 = t_2^{-1}(y_2)$ with $|\text{Jac}_{t_1^{-1}}(y_1)| dy_1 = dx_1$ and $|\text{Jac}_{t_2^{-1}}(y_2)| dy_2 = dx_2$, we have:

$$p_{(Y_1, Y_2)}(y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2 = |\text{Jac}_{t_1^{-1}}(y_1)| |\text{Jac}_{t_2^{-1}}(y_2)| p_{(X_1, X_2)}(x_1, x_2) dy_1 dy_2, \quad (56)$$

$$= p_{(X_1, X_2)}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2. \quad (57)$$

Thus we have Eq. 55 which rewrites as:

$$\text{MI}_f(t_1(X_1); t_2(X_2)) = \int_{x_1} \int_{x_2} p_{(x_1, x_2)}(x_1, x_2) f\left(\frac{p_{X_1}(x_1)p_{X_2}(x_2)}{p_{(X_1, X_2)}(x_1, x_2)}\right) dx_1 dx_2, \quad (58)$$

$$=: \text{MI}_f(X_1 : X_2). \quad (59)$$

Notice that for the change of variables we require to have both the joint densities and the marginal densities to be defined on the full support \mathbb{R}^d [20]. \square

Let us illustrate the formula of Eq. 44 in the following example:

Example 5. *The KLD between the standard normal $p^{\mathcal{N}}$ and a normal $p_{\mu, \sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} = p_{\mu, \sigma}$ is*

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p^{\mathcal{N}} : p_{\mu, \sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}) = \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \log \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - 1 \right). \quad (60)$$

From this formula, we recover the generic KLD formula between two normal distributions by plugging $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}$ and $\mu = \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}$:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\mu_1, \sigma_1}^{\mathcal{N}} : p_{\mu_2, \sigma_2}^{\mathcal{N}}) = D_{\text{KL}}\left(p^{\mathcal{N}} : p_{\frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}, \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}^{\mathcal{N}}\right), \quad (61)$$

$$= \frac{(\mu_2 - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - \log \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - 1 \right). \quad (62)$$

Equivalently, we could also have used the canonical formula:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\mu_2, \sigma_2}^{\mathcal{N}} : p^{\mathcal{N}}) = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2 + \mu^2 - 1 - \log \sigma^2), \quad (63)$$

and then retrieve the ordinary formula as follows:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p^{\mathcal{N}} : p_{\mu, \sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}) = D_{\text{KL}}\left(p_{\frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\sigma^2}, \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}}^{\mathcal{N}} : p^{\mathcal{N}}\right), \quad (64)$$

$$= \frac{(\mu_2 - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - \log \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - 1 \right). \quad (65)$$

The KLD between the standard multivariate normal (MVN) $p^{\mathcal{N}}$ and a multivariate normal $p_{\mu, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}} = p_{\mu, \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p^{\mathcal{N}} : p_{\mu, \Sigma}^{\mathcal{N}}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}) + \mu^\top \Sigma^{-1} \mu + \log |\Sigma| - d \right). \quad (66)$$

Using Corollary 3, we recover the formula for the KLD between two normal distributions with $\Sigma = \Sigma_1^{-1}\Sigma_2^2$ and $\mu = \Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)$:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma_1}^{\mathcal{N}} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma_2}^{\mathcal{N}}) = D_{\text{KL}}\left(p : p_{\Sigma_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mu_2 - \mu_1), \Sigma_1^{-1}\Sigma_2}\right), \quad (67)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{tr}(\Sigma_2^{-1}\Sigma_1) + (\mu_2 - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma_2^{-1}(\mu_2 - \mu_1) + \log |\Sigma_1^{-1}\Sigma_2| - d \right). \quad (68)$$

Observe that the KLD between two multivariate normal distributions can be decomposed as the sum of a squared Mahalanobis distance

$$D_{\text{Mah}}^Q(\mu_1, \mu_2) := \frac{1}{2}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)^\top Q(\mu_2 - \mu_1), \quad (69)$$

for $Q \succ 0$, and a scale-invariant matrix Itakura-Saito divergence

$$D_{\text{IS}}(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{tr}(\Sigma_2^{-1}\Sigma_1 - I) - \log |\Sigma_2^{-1}\Sigma_1| \right), \quad (70)$$

also called Burg matrix divergence in [12], a matrix Bregman divergence [31]):

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma_1}^{\mathcal{N}} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma_2}^{\mathcal{N}}) = D_{\text{Mah}}^{\Sigma_2^{-1}}(\mu_1, \mu_2) + D_{\text{IS}}(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2). \quad (71)$$

We can also derive similar results for the linear group $Y = AX + b$ of transformations for $A \in \text{GL}(d)$ (group of invertible $d \times d$ matrices) and $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

4 Monte Carlo estimators of f -divergences

Depending on the standard densities p and q , the integrals of the f -divergences may be calculable in closed-form or not. When no closed-form is available, we can *estimate* the f -divergences using Monte Carlo importance sampling [35] as follows: We choose a *propositional distribution* r and use a set $\mathcal{S}_m = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \sim_{\text{iid}} r$ of m i.i.d. variates sampled from r to estimate the f -divergence as follows:

$$\hat{I}_{f, \mathcal{S}_m}(p : q) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{p(x_i)}{r(x_i)} f\left(\frac{q(x_i)}{p(x_i)}\right). \quad (72)$$

In particular, when $r = p$, we end up with the following estimate often met in the literature:

$$\hat{I}_{f, \mathcal{S}_m}(p : q) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f\left(\frac{q(x_i)}{p(x_i)}\right). \quad (73)$$

For example, we estimate the Kullback-Leibler divergence by $\hat{D}_{\text{KL}, \mathcal{S}_m}(p : q) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log\left(\frac{p(x_i)}{q(x_i)}\right)$.

One of the problem of MC estimators is that they may yield inconsistent divergence measures when the proposal distribution depends on the arguments of the f -divergences. That is one realization (i.e., sampling with \mathcal{S}_m) may find that $\hat{I}_{f, \mathcal{S}_m}(p_1 : q) > \hat{I}_{f, \mathcal{S}_m}(p_2 : q)$ while another realization (i.e., sampling with \mathcal{S}'_m) may find that opposite result $\hat{I}_{f, \mathcal{S}'_m}(p_1 : q) < \hat{I}_{f, \mathcal{S}'_m}(p_2 : q)$. This lack of consistency is problematic when implementing algorithms based on divergence comparison predicates.

However, since for location-scale densities we can always reduce the calculation of f -divergences using one standard density, say:

$$I_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) = I_f(p : q_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}), \quad (74)$$

we can estimate the f -divergences with a fixed set \mathcal{S}_m of iid. random variates sampled from the standard density p as follows:

$$\hat{I}_{f, \mathcal{S}_m}(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) = \hat{I}_{f, \mathcal{S}_m}(p : q_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}), \quad (75)$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f\left(\frac{q_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}(x_i)}{p(x_i)}\right). \quad (76)$$

Another problem when estimating the f -divergences with Monte Carlo methods is that depending on the randomly sampled variates, we may end up with negative estimates. To overcome this problem, we shall use the following identity:

$$I_f(p : q) = \int p(x) B_f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} : 1\right) dx = E_p \left[B_f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} : 1\right) \right], \quad (77)$$

where where $B_f(a : b)$ is the *scalar Bregman divergence* [5]:

$$B_f(a : b) = f(a) - f(b) - (a - b)f'(b) \geq 0. \quad (78)$$

Indeed, since $f(1) = 0$, we have

$$\int p(x) B_f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} : 1\right) dx = \int p(x) \left(f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) - \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1\right) f'(1) \right) dx, \quad (79)$$

$$= \int p(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) dx - f'(1) \underbrace{\int (q(x) - p(x)) dx}_0 =: I_f(p : q). \quad (80)$$

Since Bregman divergences are always non-negative and equal to zero iff $a = b$, we get another proof of Gibbs' inequality $I_f(p : q) \geq 0$ (complementing the proof using Jensen's inequality). Thus we can estimate the f -divergences non-negatively using iid. random variates x_1, \dots, x_m from $p(x)$ as follows:

$$\hat{I}_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : q_{l_2, P_2}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m B_f\left(\frac{q_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}(x_i)}{p(x_i)} : 1\right) \geq 0. \quad (81)$$

Furthermore, since the MC estimator of the f -divergence is the average of m scalar Bregman divergences, it follows that the estimator is a *proper divergence* (i.e., $\hat{I}_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : p_{l_2, P_2}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (l_1, P_1) = (l_2, P_2)$) whenever two distinct densities of the location-scale families cannot coincide in more than s points and when the random variates x_i 's have at least $s + 1$ distinct points.

5 Information projections onto location-scale families

We investigate how any two location-scale models \mathcal{F}_p and \mathcal{F}_q (with $p \neq q$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$) relate to each other using information projections induced by f -divergences [9, 24]. For a family of densities

\mathcal{Q} , let $I_f(p : \mathcal{Q}) := \inf_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} I_f(p : q)$ (respectively, $I_f(\mathcal{P} : q) := \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}} I_f(p : q)$). We consider the (possibly multivariate) location-scale models as subspaces of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (infinite-dimensional space) or as submodels of a multivariate location-scale model \mathcal{F}_m . In the former case, we may consider nonparametric information geometry [19, 33, 39] for geometrically modeling $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$. In the latter case, we consider the ordinary statistical manifold structure of \mathcal{F}_m (parametric information geometry [1, 25]). First, let us observe that even if the KLD is asymmetric, one orientation can be finite while the reverse orientation can be infinite: For example, we have $D_{\text{KL}}(p^{\mathcal{N}} : p^{\mathcal{C}}) \simeq 0.26 < \infty$ but $D_{\text{KL}}(p^{\mathcal{C}} : p^{\mathcal{N}}) = +\infty$ where $p^{\mathcal{N}}$ denotes the standard normal density and $p^{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the standard Cauchy density (heavy-tailed).

Recall that $G_d = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{P}_{++}^d$ denotes the d -dimensional location-scale group (or ‘‘location-positive’’ group). We state the remarkable projection property of a location-scale density onto another location-scale model:

Theorem 2 (Information projection on location-scale families). *The f -divergence $I_f(p_g : q_{h^*}) = I_f(p_g : \mathcal{F}_q)$ induced by the right-sided f -divergence minimization of $p_g \in G_d$ with \mathcal{F}_q is independent of g , i.e. $I_f(p_g : \mathcal{F}_q) = I_f(p_{g'} : \mathcal{F}_q)$ for all $g' \in G_d$. Similarly, the f -divergence $I_f(p_{g^*} : q_h) = I_f(\mathcal{F}_p : q_h)$ induced by the left-sided f -divergence minimization of q_h with \mathcal{F}_p is independent of h , i.e. $I_f(\mathcal{F}_p : q_h) = I_f(\mathcal{F}_p : q_{h'})$ for all $h' \in G_d$.*

Proof. Using the invariance of the f -divergence under the action of g^{-1} (Theorem 1), we have

$$\inf_{h \in G_d} I_f(p_g : q_h) = \inf_{h \in G_d} I_f(g^{-1} \odot p_g : g^{-1} \odot q_h), \quad (82)$$

$$= \inf_{\{h' = g^{-1} \cdot h : h \in G_d \in G_d\}} I_f(p : q_{h'}), \quad (83)$$

$$= \inf_{h' \in G_d} I_f(p : q_{h'}), \quad (84)$$

since the left coset $g^{-1} \cdot G_d$ is equal to G_d : Indeed, for any $e \in G_d$, we may find $f \in G_d$ such that $g^{-1} \cdot f = e$ (i.e., choose $f = g \cdot e$). Let $h^* \in G_d$ such that $\inf_{h \in G_d} I_f(p : q_h) = I_f(p : q_{h^*})$. Thus a minimum of $\inf_{h \in G_d} I_f(p_g : q_h)$ is $h^*(g) := g \cdot h^*$ since

$$\inf_{h \in G_d} I_f(p_g : q_h) = I_f(p : q_{h^*}) = I_f(p_g : q_{g \cdot h^*}) = I_f(p_g : q_{h^*(g)}). \quad (85)$$

Similarly, by using the conjugate generator f^* , we prove that $I_f(\mathcal{F}_p : q_h)$ is independent of h , and a minimum of $\inf_{g \in G_d} I_f(p_g : q_h)$ is $g^*(h) := h \cdot g^*$ since

$$\inf_{g \in G_d} I_f(p_g : q_h) = I_f(p_{g^*} : q) = I_f(p_{h \cdot g^*} : q_h) = I_f(p_{g^*(h)} : q_h). \quad (86)$$

□

This property was observed without any proof in [38] for the special case of the Kullback-Leibler divergence between any two univariate location-scale families. We extended this property with a proof to f -divergences between multivariate location-scale families. Notice that the projections with respect to f -divergences link orbits between the subspaces \mathcal{F}_p and \mathcal{F}_q : Namely, we have the mappings $g \mapsto h^*(g) = g \cdot h^*$ and $h \mapsto g^*(h) := h \cdot g^*$.

We shall illustrate the theorem on several examples and provide some geometric interpretations of how the location-scale submodels relate to each others.




Figure 1: Illustrations of the information projections between two location-scale families \mathcal{F}_p and \mathcal{F}_q .

Example 6. The first example consider two location subfamilies of the Gaussian location-scale family: Let $p(x) := p_{l,\sigma_1}^{\mathcal{N}}(x)$ and $q(x) := p_{l,\sigma_2}^{\mathcal{N}}(x)$ for prescribed distinct values $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$. Consider the KLD between one density p_g of \mathcal{F}_p and another density q_h of \mathcal{F}_q :

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_g : q_h) = \frac{(g-h)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} + c_{12}, \quad (87)$$

where $c_{12} = D_{\text{IS}}(\sigma_1^2 : \sigma_2^2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - \log \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - 1 \right)$ is a constant. In that case $D_{\text{KL}}(p_g : \mathcal{F}_q) = c_{12}$ and $h^* = \text{id}$ so that $h^*(g) = g.\text{id} = g$, and $D_{\text{KL}}(\mathcal{F}_p : q_h) = c_{12}$ and $g^* = \text{id}$ so that $g^*(h) = h.g^* = h$. We may interpret the two location families \mathcal{F}_p and \mathcal{F}_q as one-dimensional submanifolds of the dually flat manifold of the family of univariate normal distributions. Then the two submanifolds are at equidivergence from each others as depicted in Figure 1 (left).

The second example reworks the example originally reported in [38]:

Example 7. Consider $p(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})$ and $q(x) = \exp(-x)$ be the standard density of the half-normal distribution and the standard density of the exponential distribution defined over the support $\mathcal{X} = [0, \infty)$, respectively. We consider the scale families $\mathcal{F}_p = \{p_{s_1}(x) = \frac{1}{s_1} p(\frac{x}{s_1}) : s_1 > 0\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_q = \{q_{s_2}(x) = \frac{1}{s_2} q(\frac{x}{s_2}) : s_2 > 0\}$. Using a computer algebra system, we find that

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{s_1} : q_{s_2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(2 \log \frac{s_2}{s_1} + \log \frac{2}{\pi} - 1 \right) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{s_1}{s_2}. \quad (88)$$

Let $r = \frac{s_1}{s_2}$. Then $D_{\text{KL}}(p_{s_1} : q_{s_2}) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} r - \log r + \log \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} - \frac{1}{2}$. That is, the KLD between the scale families depends only on the scale ratio as proved in Corollary 2.




Figure 2: In Euclidean geometry, parallel lines L_1 and L_2 are equidistant to each others.

The KLD is minimized wrt. to s_2 when $-\frac{1}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} = 0$: That is, when $r = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ (i.e., $s_2 = s_1 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$). We check that $D_{\text{KL}}(p_{s_1} : \mathcal{F}_q) = \frac{1}{2} + \log \frac{2}{\pi} \simeq 0.048$ is independent of s_1 . Thus we have $h^* = g_1^* = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ and $g_{s_1}^* = s_1 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$.

Similarly, we find that $D_{\text{KL}}(\mathcal{F}_p : q_{s_2})$ is minimized wrt s_1 for $s_1 = s_2$. and we have $D_{\text{KL}}(\mathcal{F}_p : q_{s_2}) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2}{\pi} \simeq 0.226$. Figure 1 (right) illustrates geometrically the information projections between these two scale families.

Thus the location-scale densities bear some geometric similarity with parallel lines in Euclidean geometry which are equidistant as depicted in Figure 2.

Example 8. The Weibull distributions form a one-parametric family of scale families with densities expressed by:

$$p_{k,s}(x) = \frac{k}{s} \left(\frac{x}{s}\right)^{k-1} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{x}{s}\right)^k\right), \quad (89)$$

for $x \in \mathcal{X} = [0, \infty)$. Parameter s denotes the scale for location $l = 0$. Let $p_k(x) = p_{k,1}(x) = kx^{k-1} \exp(-x^k)$ denotes the standard density of the k -th Weibull scale family.

The Kullback-Leibler divergence between two Weibull distributions [4] is

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{k_1, s_1} : p_{k_2, s_2}) = \log \frac{k_1}{s_1^{k_1}} - \log \frac{k_2}{s_2^{k_2}} + (k_1 - k_2) \left[\log s_1 - \frac{\gamma}{k_1} \right] + \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^{k_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{k_2}{k_1} + 1\right) - 1. \quad (90)$$

We check that the KLD between two scale Weibull families is scale invariant:

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad D_{\text{KL}}(p_{k_1, \lambda s_1} : p_{k_2, \lambda s_2}) = D_{\text{KL}}(p_{k_1, s_1} : p_{k_2, s_2}), \quad (91)$$

and that

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{k_1, s_1} : p_{k_2, s_2}) = D_{\text{KL}}(p_{k_1} : p_{k_2, \frac{s_2}{s_1}}) = D_{\text{KL}}(p_{k_1, \frac{s_1}{s_2}} : p_{k_2}). \quad (92)$$

Indeed, we can rewrite equivalently Eq. 90 as:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{k_1, s_1} : p_{k_2, s_2}) = \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^{k_2} \Gamma\left(\frac{k_2}{k_1} + 1\right) - k_2 \log \frac{s_1}{s_2} + \log \frac{k_1}{k_2} - \left(1 - \frac{k_2}{k_1}\right) \gamma - 1. \quad (93)$$

This last expression highlights the use of the scale invariant ratio $\lambda = \frac{s_1}{s_2}$.
When $k_1 = k_2 = k$, the KLD between two Weibull densities of \mathcal{F}_{p_k} is:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{k,s_1} : p_{k,s_2}) = \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^k - k \log \frac{s_1}{s_2} - 1, \quad (94)$$

since $\Gamma(2) = 1$. In that case, since \mathcal{F}_{p_k} is an exponential family, we check that in the case the KLD amounts to the Itakura-Saito divergence (a Bregman divergence) on the swapped natural parameter $\theta_i = \frac{1}{s_i^k}$.

The KLD between an exponential distribution ($k_1 = 1$) and a Rayleigh distribution ($k_2 = 2$) is

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{s_1}^{\mathcal{E}} : p_{s_2}^{\mathcal{R}}) = 2 \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^2 - \log \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^2 + c, \quad (95)$$

$$= 2\lambda^2 - 2 \log \lambda + c \quad (96)$$

since $\Gamma(2+1) = 2$, and where c denotes a constant. It follows that $D_{\text{KL}}(p_s^{\mathcal{E}} : p_s^{\mathcal{R}}) = \frac{2}{s^2} - \log \frac{1}{s^2} + c$ is minimized for $s = \sqrt{2}$. Conversely, $D_{\text{KL}}(p_s^{\mathcal{E}} : p_s^{\mathcal{R}}) = 2s^2 - \log s^2 + c$ is minimized for $s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

The exponential and Rayleigh scale families are 1D submanifolds of the Weibull manifold whose information-geometric structure has been studied in [6].

Last but not least, these results apply for families of distributions p_X that can be transformed into a location-scale family via an invertible and differentiable transformation (e.g., example 4).

A Fisher-Rao distance between two densities of a location-scale family

Let $\mathcal{F}_p = \{p_{l,s}(x) := \frac{1}{s}p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right) : (l,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}\}$ be a location-scale family induced by the standard density $p(x)$ with support $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$. Location-scale families include the family of normal distributions, the family of Laplace distributions, the family of Student t -distributions (including the family of Cauchy distributions), the family of logistic distributions, the families of univariate elliptical distributions [22], etc.

Under mild regularity conditions (i.e., interchanging derivation and integration operation order), the Fisher information matrix (FIM) $I_\lambda(\lambda)$ with respect to parameter $\lambda = (l, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}$ is given by:

$$I_\lambda(\lambda) = E_{p_\lambda} \left[\nabla_\lambda \log p_\lambda(x) (\nabla_\lambda \log p_\lambda(x))^\top \right], \quad (97)$$

$$= -E_{p_\lambda} \left[\nabla_\lambda^2 \log p_\lambda(x) \right]. \quad (98)$$

Let $g_{ij}(\lambda)$ denote the (i, j) -th coefficient of the FIM so that we have $I_\lambda(\lambda) = [g_{ij}(\lambda)]_{ij}$ with

$$g_{ij}(\lambda) = E_{p_\lambda} [\partial_i \log p_\lambda(x) \partial_j \log p_\lambda(x)], \quad (99)$$

$$= -E_{p_\lambda} [\partial_i \partial_j \log p_\lambda(x)], \quad (100)$$

where $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}$.

When handling location-scale densities $p_{l,s}(x) := \frac{1}{s}p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)$, we shall observe that using a change of variable $y = \frac{x-l}{s}$ (with $dy = \frac{dx}{s}$), we have for any function f the following identity:

$$E_{p_\lambda} \left[f\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right) \right] = \int \frac{1}{s} p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right) f\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right) dx, \quad (101)$$

$$= \int p(y)f(y)dy = E_p[f(x)]. \quad (102)$$

The log-likelihood of a location-scale density is $\log p_{l,s}(x) = \log p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right) - \log s$. Let us compute the coefficients of the FIM using the notations $\partial_l = \frac{\partial}{\partial l}$ and $\partial_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ as follows:

- Let us compute the first diagonal coefficient of the FIM using

$$\partial_l \log p_{l,s}(x) = -\frac{1}{s} \frac{p'\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)}{p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)}, \quad (103)$$

so that it comes that:

$$g_{11}(\lambda) = E_{p_\lambda} [(\partial_l \log p_{l,s}(x))^2], \quad (104)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^2} E_{p_\lambda} \left[\left(\frac{p'\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)}{p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)} \right)^2 \right], \quad (105)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^2} E_p \left[\left(\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (106)$$

- We proceed and compute the second diagonal coefficient of the FIM using

$$\partial_s \log p_{l,s}(x) = -\frac{1}{s^2}(x-l) \frac{p'\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)}{p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)} - \frac{1}{s}, \quad (107)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{s} \left(1 + \frac{x-l}{s} \frac{p'\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)}{p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)} \right), \quad (108)$$

so that it comes that

$$g_{22}(\lambda) = E_{p_\lambda} [(\partial_s \log p_{l,s}(x))^2], \quad (109)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^2} E_{p_\lambda} \left[\left(1 + \frac{x-l}{s} \frac{p'\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)}{p\left(\frac{x-l}{s}\right)} \right)^2 \right], \quad (110)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^2} E_p \left[\left(1 + x \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (111)$$

- Finally, we compute the off-diagonal coefficients of FIM as follows:

$$g_{12}(\lambda) = g_{21} = E_{p_\lambda} [(\partial_l \log p_{l,s}(x))(\partial_s \log p_{l,s}(x))], \quad (112)$$

$$= E_{p_\lambda} [(\partial_l \log p_{l,s}(x))(\partial_s \log p_{l,s}(x))], \quad (113)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^2} E_{p_\lambda} \left[\frac{p' \left(\frac{x-l}{s} \right)}{p \left(\frac{x-l}{s} \right)} \left(1 + \frac{x-l}{s} \frac{p' \left(\frac{x-l}{s} \right)}{p \left(\frac{x-l}{s} \right)} \right) \right], \quad (114)$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^2} E_p \left[\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \left(1 + x \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right) \right]. \quad (115)$$

Thus the FIM of a location-scale family with respect to parameter $\lambda = (l, s)$ writes as follows

$$I_\lambda(\lambda) = \frac{1}{s^2} \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & c \\ c & b^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (116)$$

with the following constants depending on the standard density p :

$$a^2 = E_p \left[\left(\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 \right] \geq 0, \quad (117)$$

$$b^2 = E_p \left[\left(1 + x \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 \right] \geq 0, \quad (118)$$

$$c = E_p \left[\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \left(1 + x \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right) \right]. \quad (119)$$

Proposition 3 (Fisher information of a location-scale family). *The Fisher information matrix $I(\lambda)$ of a location-scale family with continuously differentiable standard density $p(x)$ with full support \mathbb{R} is $I(\lambda) = \frac{1}{s^2} \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & c \\ c & b^2 \end{bmatrix}$, where $a^2 = E_p \left[\left(\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 \right]$, $b^2 = E_p \left[\left(1 + x \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 \right]$ and $c = E_p \left[\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \left(1 + x \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right) \right]$.*

Note that when $c \neq 0$, the parameters l and s are correlated (i.e., non-orthogonal). Assume the standard density is an even function (e.g., the normal, Cauchy, and Laplace standard densities): We have $p(-x) = p(x)$ and its derivative $p'(x)$ is odd: $p'(-x) = -p'(x)$. Then the function $h(x) = \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \left(1 + x \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)$ is odd since $\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)}$ is odd and $\left(1 + x \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)$ is even. We have $E_p[h(x)] = 0$ for any odd function $h(x)$ and even density $p(x)$: Indeed, by a change of variable $y = -x$ in the integral $\int_{-\infty}^0 \dots dx$, we find that

$$E_p[h(x)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)h(x)dx, \quad (120)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^0 p(x)h(x)dx + \int_0^{\infty} p(x)h(x)dx, \quad (121)$$

$$= \int_{+\infty}^0 p(y)h(y)dy + \int_0^{\infty} p(x)h(x)dx, \quad (122)$$

$$= - \int_0^{\infty} p(x)h(x)dx + \int_0^{\infty} p(x)h(x)dx, \quad (123)$$

$$= 0. \quad (124)$$

Notice that even standard density $p(x)$ are symmetric and have zero skewness $E_p[x^3]$ since x^3 is an odd function.

Thus let us consider that the standard density is an even function so that the FIM with respect to parameter $\lambda = (l, s)$ is the following diagonal matrix:

$$I_\lambda(\lambda) = \frac{1}{s^2} \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (125)$$

with

$$a^2 = E_p \left[\left(\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 \right] \geq 0, \quad (126)$$

$$b^2 = E_p \left[\left(1 + x \frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 \right] > 0. \quad (127)$$

Furthermore, let us reparameterize the location-scale density by $\theta(\lambda) = (\frac{a}{b}\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ where $a = \sqrt{a^2}$ and $b = \sqrt{b^2}$ are the positive square roots of a^2 and b^2 , respectively. We have $\lambda(\theta) = (\frac{b}{a}\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Using the covariance transformation of the FIM [25], we get

$$I_\theta(\theta) = \left[\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \theta_j} \right]_{ij}^\top \times I_\lambda(\lambda(\theta)) \times \left[\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \theta_j} \right]_{ij}, \quad (128)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{b}{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a^2}{\theta_2^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{b^2}{\theta_2^2} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \frac{b}{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (129)$$

$$= \frac{b^2}{\theta_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (130)$$

This metric corresponds to a scaled metric of the Poincaré upper plane (conformal metric). It follows that the Gaussian curvature κ is constant and negative:

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{b^2} < 0. \quad (131)$$

Thus the Fisher-Rao distance between two densities of a location-scale family is hyperbolic. Let $\rho_{U,\kappa}$ denote the hyperbolic distance in the hyperbolic geometry of curvature κ [29, 30]:

$$\rho_{U,\kappa}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{\kappa}} \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{1 - \theta_1 \cdot \theta_2}{\sqrt{(1 - \theta_1 \cdot \theta_1)(1 - \theta_2 \cdot \theta_2)}} \right), \quad (132)$$

where $\operatorname{arccosh}(u) = \log(u + \sqrt{u^2 - 1})$ for $u > 1$ and \cdot denotes the scalar product: $\theta \cdot \theta' = \theta^\top \theta' = \theta_1 \theta'_1 + \theta_2 \theta'_2$.

Thus we get the following proposition:

Proposition 4 (Fisher-Rao distance on a location-scale manifold). *The Fisher-Rao distance between two densities p_{l_1, s_1} and p_{l_2, s_2} of a location-scale family \mathcal{F}_p with even standard density $p(x) = p(-x)$ on the support \mathbb{R} is*

$$\rho_p((l_1, s_1), (l_2, s_2)) = b \rho_U \left(\left(\frac{a}{b} l_1, s_1 \right), \left(\frac{a}{b} l_2, s_2 \right) \right),$$

where $a = \sqrt{E_p \left[\left(\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} \right)^2 \right]}$ and $b = \sqrt{E_p \left[x \left(\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)} + 1 \right) \right]} > 0$, and

$$\rho_U((l_1, s_1), (l_2, s_2)) = \operatorname{arccosh} \left(\frac{1 - (l_1 l_2 + s_1 s_2)}{\sqrt{(1 - (l_1^2 + s_1^2)) (1 - (l_2^2 + s_2^2))}} \right).$$

Example 9. *The Fisher-Rao distance between two normal densities $p_{\mu_1, \sigma_1}^{\mathcal{N}}$ and $p_{\mu_2, \sigma_2}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is*

$$\rho_{p^{\mathcal{N}}}((\mu_1, \sigma_1), (\mu_2, \sigma_2)) = \sqrt{2} \rho_U \left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mu_1, \sigma_1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mu_2, \sigma_2 \right) \right) \quad (133)$$

since $a^2 = 1$, $b^2 = 2$, $\kappa = -\frac{1}{2}$.

Example 10. *The Fisher-Rao distance between two Cauchy densities is a scaled hyperbolic distance*

$$\rho_{p^c}((l_1, s_1), (l_2, s_2)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho_U((l_1, s_1), (l_2, s_2)), \quad (134)$$

since $a^2 = b^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\kappa = -\frac{1}{b^2} = -2$.

Consider the mapping $(l, s) \mapsto \frac{a}{b}l + is \in \mathbb{C}$ where $i^2 = -1$. The Poincaré complex upper plane \mathbb{U} can be transformed into the Poincaré complex disk \mathbb{D} using a Cayley transform [29, 27]. Let $\operatorname{SL}_{\mathbb{R}}(2)$ be the group represented by the matrices:

$$\operatorname{SL}_{\mathbb{R}}(2) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \quad ad - bc = 1 \right\}. \quad (135)$$

The action of the group $\operatorname{SL}_{\mathbb{R}}(2)$ on \mathbb{U} is defined by real linear fractional transforms (Möbius transformations) $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}_{\mathbb{R}}(2)$ defined on the extended complex plane $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $\operatorname{SU}_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1)$ denote the special unitary group:

$$\operatorname{SU}_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \quad a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} = 1 \right\}. \quad (136)$$

The group $\operatorname{SU}_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1)$ acts on \mathbb{D} via complex linear fractional transforms: $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{bz+\bar{a}}$. Notice that the group $\operatorname{SL}_{\mathbb{R}}(2)$ is isomorphic to group $\operatorname{SU}_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1)$: Using the matrix representations, we have $A \in \operatorname{SL}_{\mathbb{R}}(2) \mapsto CAC^{-1} \in \operatorname{SU}_{\mathbb{C}}(1, 1)$ where $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{bmatrix}$. Thus we can convert \mathbb{U} to \mathbb{D} using the transformation $\frac{z-i}{z+i}$, and reciprocally we convert \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{U} using the inverse transformation $\frac{i(z+1)}{1-z}$. When performing geometric computing, it is preferable to use the Klein model of hyperbolic geometry since geodesics are straight lines restricted to the open unit disk.

References

- [1] Shun-ichi Amari. *Information Geometry and Its Applications*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer Japan, 2016.
- [2] Ole Barndorff-Nielsen. *Information and exponential families*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [3] Ole E Barndorff-Nielsen, Preben Blæsild, and Poul S Eriksen. *Decomposition and invariance of measures, and statistical transformation models*, volume 58. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [4] Christian Bauckhage. Computing the Kullback-Leibler Divergence between two Weibull Distributions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1310.3713*, 2013.
- [5] Lev M Bregman. The relaxation method of finding the common point of convex sets and its application to the solution of problems in convex programming. *USSR computational mathematics and mathematical physics*, 7(3):200–217, 1967.
- [6] Limei Cao, Huafei Sun, and Xiaojie Wang. The geometric structures of the Weibull distribution manifold and the generalized exponential distribution manifold. *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 39(1):45–51, 2008.
- [7] Edwin L Crow and Kunio Shimizu. *Lognormal distributions*. Marcel Dekker New York, 1987.
- [8] Imre Csiszár. Information-type measures of difference of probability distributions and indirect observation. *studia scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 2:229–318, 1967.
- [9] Imre Csiszár. I -divergence geometry of probability distributions and minimization problems. *The annals of probability*, pages 146–158, 1975.
- [10] Imre Csiszár. Sanov property, generalized I -projection and a conditional limit theorem. *The Annals of Probability*, pages 768–793, 1984.
- [11] Imre Csiszár and Frantisek Matus. Information projections revisited. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 49(6):1474–1490, 2003.
- [12] Jason V Davis and Inderjit S Dhillon. Differential entropic clustering of multivariate Gaussians. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 337–344, 2007.
- [13] Manuel Gil, Fady Alajaji, and Tamas Linder. Rényi divergence measures for commonly used univariate continuous distributions. *Information Sciences*, 249:124–131, 2013.
- [14] Masahito Hayashi. Large deviation theory for non-regular location shift family. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 63(4):689–716, 2011.
- [15] Harold Hotelling. Spaces of statistical parameters. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc*, 36:191, 1930.
- [16] Robert E Kass and Paul W Vos. *Geometrical foundations of asymptotic inference*, volume 908. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [17] Tonu Kollo and Dietrich von Rosen. *Advanced multivariate statistics with matrices*, volume 579. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [18] Fumiyasu Komaki. Bayesian prediction based on a class of shrinkage priors for location-scale models. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 59(1):135–146, 2007.
- [19] John D Lafferty. The density manifold and configuration space quantization. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 305(2):699–741, 1988.
- [20] Peter D Lax. Change of variables in multiple integrals II. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 108(2):115–119, 2001.

- [21] Ann ES Mitchell. The information matrix, skewness tensor and α -connections for the general multivariate elliptic distribution. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 41(2):289–304, 1989.
- [22] Ann FS Mitchell. Statistical manifolds of univariate elliptic distributions. *International Statistical Review/Revue Internationale de Statistique*, pages 1–16, 1988.
- [23] Frank Nielsen. Pattern learning and recognition on statistical manifolds: an information-geometric review. In *International Workshop on Similarity-Based Pattern Recognition*, pages 1–25. Springer, 2013.
- [24] Frank Nielsen. What is... an information projection? *Notices of the AMS*, 65(3):321–324, 2018.
- [25] Frank Nielsen. An elementary introduction to information geometry. *Entropy*, 22(10):1100, 2020.
- [26] Frank Nielsen. On Voronoi diagrams on the information-geometric Cauchy manifolds. *Entropy*, 22(7):713, 2020.
- [27] Frank Nielsen. The Siegel–Klein Disk: Hilbert Geometry of the Siegel Disk Domain. *Entropy*, 22(9):1019, 2020.
- [28] Frank Nielsen and Vincent Garcia. Statistical exponential families: A digest with flash cards. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0911.4863*, 2009.
- [29] Frank Nielsen and Richard Nock. Hyperbolic Voronoi diagrams made easy. In *2010 International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications*, pages 74–80. IEEE, 2010.
- [30] Frank Nielsen and Richard Nock. The hyperbolic Voronoi diagram in arbitrary dimension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1210.8234*, 2012.
- [31] Richard Nock, Brice Magdalou, Eric Briys, and Frank Nielsen. Mining matrix data with Bregman matrix divergences for portfolio selection. In *Matrix Information Geometry*, pages 373–402. Springer, 2013.
- [32] Atsumi Ohara and Shinto Eguchi. Geometry on positive definite matrices deformed by V -potentials and its submanifold structure. In *Geometric Theory of Information*, pages 31–55. Springer, 2014.
- [33] Giovanni Pistone, Carlo Sempì, et al. An infinite-dimensional geometric structure on the space of all the probability measures equivalent to a given one. *The annals of statistics*, 23(5):1543–1561, 1995.
- [34] Yu Qiao and Nobuaki Minematsu. A study on invariance of f -divergence and its application to speech recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 58(7):3884–3890, 2010.
- [35] Christian Robert and George Casella. *Monte Carlo statistical methods*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [36] Salem Said, Lionel Bombrun, and Yannick Berthoumiou. Warped Riemannian metrics for location-scale models. In *Geometric Structures of Information*, pages 251–296. Springer, 2019.
- [37] Lene Theil Skovgaard. A Riemannian geometry of the multivariate normal model. *Scandinavian journal of statistics*, pages 211–223, 1984.
- [38] Cristiano Villa. A property of the Kullback–Leibler divergence for location-scale models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.01983*, 2016.
- [39] Jun Zhang. Nonparametric information geometry: From divergence function to referential-representational biduality on statistical manifolds. *Entropy*, 15(12):5384–5418, 2013.