

Kinetic theory for structured populations: application to stochastic sizer-timer models of cell proliferation

Mingtao Xia¹ and Tom Chou^{1,2}

¹*Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, 90095-1555, USA*

²*Department of Computational Medicine, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, 90095-1766, USA**

We derive the full kinetic equations describing the evolution of the probability density distribution for a structured population such as cells distributed according to their ages and sizes. The kinetic equations for such a “sizer-timer” model incorporates both demographic and individual cell growth rate stochasticities. Averages taken over the densities obeying the kinetic equations can be used to generate a second order PDE that incorporates the growth rate stochasticity. On the other hand, marginalizing over the densities yields a modified birth-death process that shows how age and size influence demographic stochasticity. Our kinetic framework is thus a more complete model that subsumes both the deterministic PDE and birth-death master equation representations for structured populations.

Keywords: Age Structure, Birth-Death Process, Kinetics, Fission

I. INTRODUCTION

Across many diverse applications, mathematical models have been formulated to describe the evolution of populations according to a number of individual attributes such as age, size, and/or added size since birth. For example, deterministic age-structured models that incorporate age-dependent birth and death were developed by McKendrick and have been applied to human populations [1]. More recently, there has been renewed interest in cell size control [2, 3], cellular division mechanisms [4], and structured cell population models [5, 6].

When considering proliferating cell populations, individual cell growth is interrupted by cell division events that generate smaller daughter cells. Cell division is a process that involves many biochemical steps and complex biophysical mechanisms that involves metabolism, gene expression, protein production, DNA replication, chromosome separation (for eukaryotic cells), and fission or cell wall formation [7–11]. To simplify the understanding of which factors trigger cell division, three basic models that subsume these complex processes have been proposed. Cells can divide based on their age since birth, volume (size), or added volume since birth y [2, 12]. PDE approaches for the timer, sizer, and adder models, as well as combinations of these models, have been well-studied [6, 13, 14]. These PDE approaches implicitly describe the mean density of cells in age, size, and/or added size, and are considered deterministic models.

However, there has been much less development of structured populations models that incorporate stochastic effects. In the presence of stochasticity, how would the PDEs be modified? In the sizer-timer type of structured population models, stochasticity can arise in the growth dynamics of each cell as well as in the random times of cell division and death (demographic stochasticity).

Stochasticity arising from random times of birth and death (demographic stochasticity) has been considered in timer-like models for age-structured populations [15, 16]. This approach generalized the classic deterministic McKendrick equation to a higher dimension (dynamically varying) associated with the number of individuals in the system. This higher-dimensional stochastic “kinetic theory” allows one to systematically connect an age-independent birth-death master equation description to the deterministic age-structured McKendrick model. A comprehensive and general treatment of the age-structured stochastic process using a Doi-Peliti operator formalism has also been developed for calculation of correlation functions [17]. The full kinetic theory has only been developed for age-structured populations and only includes demographic stochasticity (since chronological age is a deterministic quantity proportional to time). Other approaches using stochastic hybrid systems [18] have been used to incorporate the influence of random birth times of population-level variations in cell size. Intrinsic stochasticity in the growth rate of an individual cell has been treated in terms of Langevin equations for cell size [19], effective potentials [3] and stochastic maps [12, 20]. Recently, Chapman-Kolmogorov equations have also been applied to study the effect of different sources of noise in cellular proliferation [21]. However, stochasticity in the intrinsic growth rate has not been considered within demographically stochastic kinetic theory.

*Electronic address: tomchou@ucla.edu

In this paper, we shall derive a kinetic theory for the sizer-timer model of cell proliferation that incorporates both demographic stochasticity and intrinsic stochasticity in the growth of individual cells. In the next section, we derive the Fokker-Planck equation for the size of an individual cell and define the probabilistic quantities needed to construct the full kinetic theory. This equation is then marginalized in Section III to explicitly isolate and show the feature limits of intrinsic stochasticity and demographic stochasticity. Including both sources of stochasticity renders the calculations of marginalized densities rather technical, but by successively taking the marginalized single-density limits, we show how the theory reduces to simpler forms and reveal the procedure for solving the full high-dimensional problem. Moreover, by taking higher moments of the density, an unclosed hierarchy of equations that reflect demographic stochasticity arises. Our results generalize a large body of work on sizer-timer PDE models to include stochastic processes, both at the individual and population levels.

II. DERIVATION OF KINETIC THEORY

Here we outline the derivation of the kinetic equation for a population of dividing cells of different ages a and sizes (volumes) x . We start from the SDE for the size ¹ of a single cell at time t :

$$dX_t = g(X_t, A_t, t)dt + \sigma(X_t, A_t, t)dW_t, \quad X_t, A_t \in \mathbf{\Lambda}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda} := [0, \infty)$, A_t is the cell's age (time that has elapsed after its birth), $g(X_t, A_t, t)$ is the size- and age-dependent growth rate, and W_t is a standard Wiener process with independent, normally distributed increments $W_t - W_s$, zero mean, and variance $t - s$. The parameter $\sigma(X_t, A_t, t)$ represents the strength of stochasticity in cell's growth rate. Here, we assume both g and σ are Lipschitz continuous to ensure the existence and uniqueness of X_t given any initial conditions $X_0 > 0, A_0 \geq 0$. We also assume $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}^1, \sigma(0, t, a) = \partial_x \sigma(0, t, a) = 0$ so that the noise vanishes at $x = 0$ and X_t remains positive.

Next, we investigate a system of $m + 2n$ cells, where m is the number of individual cells (singlets) and n is the number of twins (doublets). A twin means two daughter cells generated from the division of a common mother cell, and therefore they have the identical age. In this section, we use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_t^{(m)} &= (X_t^1, X_t^2, \dots, X_t^m), \quad \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)} = (Y_t^1, \dots, Y_t^{2n}), \\ \mathbf{A}_t^{(m)} &= (A_t^1, A_t^2, \dots, A_t^m), \quad \mathbf{B}_t^{(n)} = (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^n), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{A}_t^{(m)}$ and $\mathbf{B}_t^{(n)}$ are ordered ages such that $A_t^i \geq A_t^j \geq 0, B_t^i \geq B_t^j \geq 0, \forall i > j$ and $\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}$ are the vectors of the volumes of the m singlets and $2n$ doublets that are of ages $\mathbf{A}_t^{(m)}$ and $\mathbf{B}_t^{(n)}$, respectively, at time t . Note that two cells in a doublet have the same age but can have different sizes; thus, the age vector $\mathbf{B}_t^{(n)}$ of the $2n$ twins stores n ages, while the size vector $\mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}$ stores $2n$ sizes.

Formally solving Eq. (1), each X_t^i and Y_t^j satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^i &= X_{t'}^i + \int_{t'}^t g(X_s^i, A_s^i, s)ds + \int_{t'}^t \sigma(X_s, A_s, s)dW_s^i, \\ Y_t^j &= Y_{t'}^j + \int_{t'}^t g(Y_s^j, B_s^{[\frac{j+1}{2}]}, s)ds + \int_{t'}^t \sigma(Y_s^j, B_s^{[\frac{j+1}{2}]}, s)dW_s^{m+j}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where dW_s^i, dW_s^{m+j} are the intrinsic, independent fluctuations in growth rates. We assume that cell division rates are regulated by a ‘‘timer’’ mechanism and does not depend on cell size, *i.e.*, the probability that a cell in a population of m singlets and n doublets divides during $(t, t + \Delta t]$ is $\beta_{m,n}(A_t, t)dt + o(dt)$, a function of its age A_t , time t and population sizes m, n . The mathematical analyses that follow require that the birth rate is independent of a cell's size X_t . Finally, we take the continuous time limit and assume that in a finite number of cells, the possibility of two cells dividing in $(t, t + dt]$ is $o(dt)$ as $dt \rightarrow 0$.

¹ Alternatively, X_t might also represent the log of the cell size

A. The forward equation

We evaluate the increment in time by Ito's formula applied to a function $f_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}; t; \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)})$ of m individual and n twin sizes given initial sizes and ages $\mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}$ at $t' < t$, where the ages are defined to be in the descending order $A^1 \geq A^2 \dots \geq A^m \geq 0$, $B^1 \geq B^2 \dots \geq B^n \geq 0$. Ordering the ages allows us to easily incorporate cell division as a boundary condition in which newborn cells are represented by $B^n = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_{t+dt}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_{t+dt}^{(2n)}, t+dt; \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}) - f_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}, t; \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}) \\
& \int_t^{t+dt} \left[\frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial s} + \sum_{i=1}^m g(X_s^i, A_s^i, s) \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial X_s^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} g(Y_s^j, B_s^{[(j+1)/2]}, s) \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial Y_s^j} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma^2(X_s^i, A_s^i, s) \frac{\partial^2 f_{m,n}}{(\partial X_s^i)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \sigma^2(Y_s^j, B_s^{[(j+1)/2]}, s) \frac{\partial^2 f_{m,n}}{(\partial Y_s^j)^2} \right] ds \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_t^{t+dt} \sigma(X_s^i, A_s^i, s) \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial X_s^i} dW_s^i + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \int_t^{t+dt} \sigma(Y_s^j, B_s^{[(j+1)/2]}, s) \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial Y_s^j} d\tilde{W}_s^j.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

After taking the expectation of Eq. (4) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[f_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_{t+dt}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_{t+dt}^{(2n)}, t+dt; \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)})] - \mathbb{E}[f_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}, t; \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)})] = \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^{t+dt} ds \left(\frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial s} + \sum_{i=1}^m g(X_s^i, A_s^i, s) \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial X_s^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} g(Y_s^j, B_s^{[(j+1)/2]}, s) \frac{\partial f_{m,n}}{\partial Y_s^j} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 f_{m,n}}{(\partial X_s^i)^2} \sigma^2(X_s^i, A_s^i, s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2 f_{m,n}}{(\partial Y_s^j)^2} \sigma^2(Y_s^j, B_s^{[(j+1)/2]}, s) \right) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Specifically, we can take $f_{m,n}$ in Eq. (5) as a distribution of the form

$$f_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}; t; \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \delta(X_t^i - X_t^i) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} \delta(Y_t^j - Y_t^j) S_{1,m}(t; t', \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}) S_{2,n}(t; t', \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}), \tag{6}$$

where $S_{1,m}$ and $S_{2,n}$ are **joint survival possibilities**

$$S_{1,m}(t; t', \mathbf{A}^{(m)}) = \prod_{i=1}^m e^{-\int_{t'}^t \beta_{m,n}(A^i - t' + s, s) ds}, \quad S_{2,n}(t; t', \mathbf{B}^{(n)}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (e^{-\int_{t'}^t \beta_{m,n}(B^j - t' + s, s) ds})^2, \tag{7}$$

where the birth rate $\beta \equiv \beta_{m,n}$ can implicitly depend on the populations m, n .

Next, we define $\hat{p}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}; t | \mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)})$ as the probability density of m singlets of volumes $\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}$ and n doublets of volumes $\mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}$ at time t , conditioned on there being m singlets of volumes $\mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)}$ and ages $\mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}$ and n doublets with volumes $\mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}$ and ages $\mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}$ at time t' , and that no cell division occurs during $[t', t]$. The quantity $\hat{p}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}; t | \mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}) S_{1,m}(t; t', \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}) S_{2,n}(t; t', \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)})$ is thus the probability measure that the cell population at time t contains m singlets of size $\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}$ and n doublets of size $\mathbf{Y}_t^{(n)}$ with no cell division occurring within $[t', t]$, conditioned on it containing m singlets with volumes $\mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)}$ and ages $\mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}$ and n doublets with volumes $\mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}$ and ages $\mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}$ at t' .

After substitution of the $f_{m,n}$ defined in Eq. (6) into Eq. (5), dividing by dt , and taking the $dt \rightarrow 0$ limit, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\hat{p}(\mathbf{X}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}^{(2n)}; t | \mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}) S_{1,m}(t; t', \mathbf{A}_t^{(m)}) S_{2,n}(t; t', \mathbf{B}_t^{(n)}) \right) = \\
& \int_{\Lambda^m} d\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)} \int_{\Lambda^{2n}} d\mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)} \hat{p}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}; t | \mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}) \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^m g(X_t^i, A_t^i, t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_t^i} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} g(Y_t^j, B_t^{[(j+1)/2]}, t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y_t^j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial (X_t^i)^2} \sigma^2(X_t^i, A_t^i, t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial (Y_t^j)^2} \sigma^2(Y_t^j, B_t^{[(j+1)/2]}, t) \right] \\
& = - \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{m,n}(A_t^i, t) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{m,n}(B_t^j, t) \right) \hat{p}_{m,n} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial(\hat{p}g(X_t^i, A_t^i, t))}{\partial X_t^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial(\hat{p}g(Y_t^j, B_t^{[(j+1)/2]}, t))}{\partial Y_t^j} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2(\hat{p}\sigma^2(X_t^i, A_t^i, t))}{(\partial X_t^i)^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2(\hat{p}\sigma^2(Y_t^j, B_t^j, t))}{(\partial Y_t^j)^2} \right] S_{1,m} S_{2,n}, \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality arises from integration by parts.

Finally, we derive the PDE satisfied by the unconditioned probability density $p_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_t^{(n)}; t)$ given $p_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}; \mathbf{A}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_t^{(n)}; t')$. First, we note that if no division has occurred in $[t', t]$ and $t - t' < \min\{A_t^i, B_t^j\}$, a system at t with m singlets of volumes $\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}$ and ages $\mathbf{A}_t^{(m)}$ and n doublets with volumes $\mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}$ and ages $\mathbf{B}_t^{(n)}$ can result only from a system at t' with m singlets with ages $\mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)} = \mathbf{A}_t^{(m)} - (t - t')$ and n doublets with ages $\mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)} = \mathbf{B}_t^{(n)} - (t - t')$. Thus, we use the Chapman-Kolmogorov relation between the two quantities $\hat{p}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}; t | \mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}) S_{1,m}(t; t', \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}) S_{2,n}(t; t', \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)})$ and $p_{m,n}$ to construct

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_t^{(m)} + t - t', \mathbf{B}_t^{(n)} + t - t', t) &= \int_{\Lambda^{+(m+2n)}} \hat{p}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}; t | \mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}) \\
&\quad \times S_{1,m}(t; t', \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}) S_{2,n}(t; t', \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}) p_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_{t'}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_{t'}^{(n)}; t') d\mathbf{X}_{t'}^{(m)} d\mathbf{Y}_{t'}^{(2n)}. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $p_{m,n}$ is continuous and differentiable, and the integration is interchangeable with differentiation in Eq. (9), we take derivatives with respect to all variables t, X^i, Y^j, A^i, B^j to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial p_{m,n}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial(g(X_t^i, A_t^i, t)p_{m,n})}{\partial X_t^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial(g(Y_t^j, B_t^j, t)p_{m,n})}{\partial Y_t^j} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial p_{m,n}}{\partial A_t^i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial p_{m,n}}{\partial B_t^j} = \\
& - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{m,n}(A_t^i, t) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{m,n}(B_t^j, t) \right) p_{m,n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2(\sigma^2(X_t^i, A_t^i, t)p_{m,n})}{(\partial X_t^i)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2(\sigma^2(Y_t^j, B_t^j, t)p_{m,n})}{(\partial Y_t^j)^2}, \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_{m,n} \equiv p_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}_t^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}_t^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}_t^{(n)}; t)$. Hereafter, we will omit the subscript t for notational simplicity. To facilitate further analysis, we define a symmetrized density $\rho_{m,n}$ that is symmetric to the interchange of variables:

$$\rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n; t) = \frac{1}{2^n m! n!} \sum_{\pi^{2n}} p_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^{(m)}, \pi^{2n}(\mathbf{Y}^{(2n)}), \mathbf{A}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}^{(n)}; t) \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{(m)} = (A^{\xi_a(1)}, \dots, A^{\xi_a(m)})$, $\mathbf{B}^{(n)} = (B^{\xi_b(1)}, \dots, B^{\xi_b(m)})$ are ordered ages, $\mathbf{X}^{(m)} = (X^{\xi_a(1)}, \dots, X^{\xi_a(m)})$, $\mathbf{Y}^{(2n)} = (Y^{2\xi_b(1)-1}, \dots, Y^{2\xi_b(n)})$ are the corresponding sizes, and π^{2n} is some permutation $\Lambda^{2n} \rightarrow \Lambda^{2n}$ such that $\pi^{2n}(Y^{2i}), \pi^{2n}(Y^{2i-1}) \in \{Y^{2i}, Y^{2i-1}\}$, $\pi^{2n}(Y^{2i}) \neq \pi^{2n}(Y^{2i-1})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, i.e., π^{2n} can interchange the sizes of two cells in a doublet. Therefore, there are 2^n total permutations π^{2n} . $\xi_a(1), \dots, \xi_a(m)$ is a rearrangement such that $A^{\xi_a(1)} \geq A^{\xi_a(2)} \geq \dots \geq A^{\xi_a(m)}$ and $\xi_b(1), \dots, \xi_b(n)$ is a rearrangement such that $B^{\xi_b(1)} \geq B^{\xi_b(2)} \geq \dots \geq B^{\xi_b(n)}$. Defining such a $\rho_{m,n}$ allows us to remove the restriction that the ages must be presented in a descending order. Moreover, changing the order of two cells within a doublet will not affect the value of $\rho_{m,n}$. Definite integrals over $\rho_{m,n}$ are then related to those over $p_{m,n}$ via

$$\int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^n \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n, t) = \int d\mathbf{X}^{(m)} d\mathbf{Y}^{(2n)} \int_{\Lambda} dA^{\xi_a(1)} \dots \dots \int_0^{A^{\xi_a(m-1)}} dA^{\xi_a(m)} \int_{\Lambda} dB^{\xi_b(1)} \dots \int_0^{B^{\xi_b(n-1)}} dB^{\xi_b(n)} p_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^{(m)}, \mathbf{Y}^{(2n)}, \mathbf{A}^{(m)}, \mathbf{B}^{(n)}, t), \quad (12)$$

so $\rho_{m,n}$ is also a probability density distribution if $p_{m,n}$ is. Furthermore, the differential equation satisfied by $\rho_{m,n}$ for $\mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n > 0$ is the same as the differential equation satisfied by $p_{m,n}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial A^i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial B^j} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial(\rho_{m,n} g(X^i, A^i, t))}{\partial X^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial(\rho_{m,n} g(Y^j, B^{[\frac{j+1}{2}]}, t))}{\partial Y^j} = \\ - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{m,n}(A^i, t) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{m,n}(B^j, t) \right) \rho_{m,n} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2(\sigma^2(X^i, A^i, t) \rho_{m,n})}{(\partial X^i)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2(\sigma^2(Y^j, B^{[\frac{j+1}{2}]}, t) \rho_{m,n})}{(\partial Y^j)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

B. Boundary Conditions

We now specify appropriate boundary conditions for $\rho_{m,n}$ that represent the birth of new cells with age zero. By using ordered ages, it is easy to derive the corresponding boundary conditions for $p_{m,n}$ defined in Eq. (9), which we omitted here, but which are nonzero if $B^n = 0$ and zero if any entry in $\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^{k < n}$ is zero. The boundary conditions for $\rho_{m,n}$ are then derived from the boundary conditions for $p_{m,n}$. Homogeneous boundary conditions also arise at any $X^i = 0, \infty$ or $Y^j = 0, \infty$ indicating that no cell can have 0 or infinite size. If one cell divides at time t in a system of m singlets and n doublets, the system could either convert to $m-1$ singlets and $n+1$ doublets when this dividing cell is a singlet, or $m+1$ singlets and n doublets when the dividing cell is one cell in a doublet. A simpler but similar discussion of boundary conditions for the ‘‘timer’’ model which has no size dependence has been discussed [15, 16]. Hereafter, we use the notation $\mathbf{X}_{-i}^m = (X^1, X^2, \dots, X^{i-1}, X^{i+1}, \dots, X^m)$, $\mathbf{A}_{-i}^m = (A^1, A^2, \dots, A^{i-1}, A^{i+1}, \dots, A^m)$ to describe vectors of one lower dimension in which element i is removed. The boundary conditions are described by

$$\rho_{m,n} = 0 \begin{cases} \text{if any element in } \{\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}\} = 0, \infty, \\ \text{or more than one element in } \mathbf{A}^m = 0, \\ \text{or more than one element in } \mathbf{B}^n = 0, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n} [Y^{2j-1} = y_1, Y^{2j} = y_2], \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n [B^j = 0], t) = \\ \frac{m+1}{n} \int_0^\infty \tilde{\beta}_{m+1, n-1}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, s, t) \rho_{m+1, n-1}(\mathbf{X}^{m+1} [X^{m+1} = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{Y}^{n-1}, \mathbf{A}^{m+1} [A^{m+1} = s], \mathbf{B}^{n-1}, t) ds \\ + \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\beta}_{m-1, n}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, A^i, t) \rho_{m-1, n}(\mathbf{X}_{-i}^m, \mathbf{A}_{-i}^m, \mathbf{B}^n [B^n = A^i], \mathbf{Y}^{2n} [Y^{2n-1} = X^i, Y^{2n} = y_1 + y_2], t), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(x, z, a, t) dz$ is the differential rate that, in a population of m singlets and n doublets, a cell of volume x and age a divides into one cell with volume $\in [z, z + dz]$. From volume conservation, $\tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(x, z, a, t) = \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(x, x-z, a, t)$, and if we assume the form $\tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(x, z, a, t) = h(z/x) \beta_{m,n}(a, t)/x$ [13], $\int_0^x \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(x, z, a, t) dz = \beta_{m,n}(a, t)$ is independent of size x as we have assumed. The notation $\mathbf{X}^{m+1} [X^i = x]$ indicates that the i^{th} component in \mathbf{X}^{m+1} is x , with similar definitions for $\mathbf{Y}^{2n} [Y^j = y]$, $\mathbf{A}^m [A^i = a]$, $\mathbf{B}^n [B^j = b]$. The zero-valued conditions in Eq. (14) enforces that no cell can have zero or infinitely large volume and that no more than one cell can divide at the same time (continuous time assumption). The first term on the RHS of Eq. (15) results from the division of a singlet while the second term results from the division of one cell in a doublet, leaving a singlet and giving rise to a new doublet. Finally, in the Appendix, we explicitly demonstrate that probability conservation is preserved under these boundary conditions.

III. HIERARCHIES AND MOMENT EQUATIONS

In this section, we will assume that $\tilde{\beta}$ and β are independent of the population sizes m, n . Under this assumption, we are able to derive lower-dimensional (*e.g.*, marginalized) projections of our kinetic theory (Eq. (13)) by averaging over a variable number of cell sizes:

$$\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) = \int_{\Lambda} d\mathbf{X}^{h+1:m} d\mathbf{Y}_o^{2k+2\ell+1:2n} d\mathbf{A}^{h+1:m} d\mathbf{B}^{k+\ell+1:n} \rho_{m,n}, \quad (16)$$

where $\rho_{m,n} \equiv \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n, t)$, $\Lambda \equiv \Lambda^{(m-h)+(2n-k-2\ell)+(m-h)+(n-k)}$, and we define the notation $\mathbf{X}^{h+1:m} := (X^{h+1}, \dots, X^m)$, $\mathbf{Y}_o^{2k+2\ell+1:2n} := (Y^1, Y^3, \dots, Y^{2k-1}, Y^{2k+2\ell+1}, \dots, Y^{2n})$, $\mathbf{A}^{h+1:m} := (A^{h+1}, \dots, A^m)$, $\mathbf{B}^{k+\ell+1:n} := (B^{k+\ell+1}, \dots, B^n)$ and $\mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell} := (Y^2, Y^4, \dots, Y^{2k}, Y^{2k+1}, Y^{2k+2}, \dots, Y^{2k+2\ell})$. The marginalized densities require three indices to describe because although the size \mathbf{X}^m and age \mathbf{A}^m have a one-to-one correspondence for singlets, the twins, while carrying the same age, almost surely have different sizes due to asymmetric division and independent growth fluctuations immediately after birth. Thus, the number of ways to exit and enter each state depends on which types of cells are ‘‘integrated over’’. By marginalizing over Eq. (13), we find the kinetic equation satisfied by $\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}$ (in the remaining space $\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{B}^k > 0$) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t)}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}}{\partial A^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{k+\ell} \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}}{\partial B^j} + \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{\partial (g(X^i, A^i, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)})}{\partial X^i} \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\partial (g(Y^{2j}, A^j, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)})}{\partial Y^{2j}} + \sum_{j=1}^{2\ell} \frac{\partial (g(Y^{2k+j}, A^j, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)})}{\partial Y^{2k+j}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{\partial^2 (\sigma^2(X^i, A^i, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)})}{(\partial X^i)^2} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\partial^2 (\sigma^2(Y^{2j}, B^{[\frac{j+1}{2}], t}) \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)})}{(\partial Y^{2j})^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2\ell} \frac{\partial^2 (\sigma^2(Y^{2k+j}, B^{k+[\frac{j+1}{2}]], t) \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)})}{(\partial Y^{2k+j})^2} \\ & = - \sum_{i=1}^h \beta(A^i, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) - \sum_{j=1}^{k+\ell} 2\beta(B^j, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) \\ & - (m-h) \int_{\Lambda^2} dX^{h+1} dA^{h+1} \beta(A^{h+1}, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(h+1,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^{h+1}, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{A}^{h+1}, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) \\ & - 2(n-k-\ell) \int_{\Lambda^2} dY^{2k+2} dB^{k+1} \beta(B^{k+1}, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k+1,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell+2}, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell+1}, t) \\ & + \frac{(n-k-\ell)(m+1)}{n} \int_{\Lambda^2} dX^{h+1} dA^{h+1} \beta(A^{h+1}, t) \rho_{m+1,n-1}^{(h+1,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^{h+1}, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{A}^{h+1}, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) \\ & + \frac{2(n-k-\ell)(m-h)}{m} \int_{\Lambda^2} dY^{2k+2} dB^{k+1} \beta(B^{k+1}, t) \rho_{m-1,n}^{(h,k+1,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell+2}, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell+1}, t) \\ & + \frac{2(n-k-\ell)}{m} \sum_{i=1}^h \beta(A^i, t) \rho_{m-1,n}^{(h-1,k+1,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}_{-i}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2+2\ell} [Y^{2k+2} = X^i], \mathbf{A}_{-i}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell+1} [B^{k+1} = A^i], t), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and the associated boundary conditions become

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell} [Y^{2k} = y], \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell} [B^k = 0], t) = \\ & \frac{m+1}{n} \int_{\Lambda^2} dA^{h+1} ds \tilde{\beta}(s+y, y, A^{h+1}, t) \rho_{m+1,n-1}^{(h+1,k-1,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^{h+1} [X^{h+1} = s+y], \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell-2}, \mathbf{A}^{h+1}, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell-1}, t) \\ & + \frac{2(m-h)}{m} \int_{\Lambda^2} dB^k ds \tilde{\beta}(s+y, y, B^k, t) \rho_{m-1,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k} [Y^{2k} = s+y], \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) \\ & + \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^h \int_{\Lambda} ds \tilde{\beta}(s+y, y, A^i, t) \rho_{m-1,n}^{(h-1,k-1,\ell+1)}(\mathbf{X}_{-i}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell} [Y^{2k+2\ell-1} = s+y, Y^{2k+2\ell} = X^i], \dots \\ & \dots \mathbf{A}_{-i}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell} [B^k = A^i], t), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell} [Y^{2k+2\ell-1} = y_1, Y^{2k+2\ell} = y_2], \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell} [B^{k+\ell} = 0], t) = \\
\frac{m+1}{n} \int_{\Lambda} dA^{h+1} \tilde{\beta}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, A^{h+1}, t) \rho_{m+1, n-1}^{(h+1, k, \ell-1)}(\mathbf{X}^{h+1} [X^{h+1} = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell-2}, \mathbf{A}^{h+1}, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell-1}, t) \\
+ \frac{2(m-h)}{m} \int_{\Lambda} dB^{k+1} \tilde{\beta}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, B^{k+1}, t) \rho_{m-1, n}^{(h, k+1, \ell-1)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell} [Y^{2k+2\ell} = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) \\
+ \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^h \tilde{\beta}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, A^i, t) \rho_{m-1, n}^{(h-1, k, \ell)}(\mathbf{X}_{-i}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell} [Y^{2k+2\ell-1} = y_1 + y_2, Y^{2k+2\ell} = X^i], \dots \\
\dots \mathbf{A}_{-i}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell} [B^{k+\ell} = A^i], t),
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h [X^i = 0], \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) = \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h [X^i = \infty], \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, h, \\
\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell} [Y^j = 0], \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) = \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell} [Y^j = \infty], \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) = 0, \\
j = 2, 4, \dots, 2k, 2k+1, \dots, 2k+2\ell, \\
\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{A}^h [A^i = 0], \mathbf{B}^k, t) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, h, \\
\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2\ell}, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell}, t) = 0, \quad \text{if two or more entries in } \mathbf{B}^{k+\ell} \text{ are 0.}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The first two terms on the RHS of Eq. (17) represent the division of a singlet/doublet in the current system whose age is specified; the third and fourth terms on the RHS stand describe the division of a singlet and one cell of a doublet, respectively, whose age is not specified; the fifth term results from the division of a singlet, whose age and volume are unspecified, that induces the state transition $(m+1, n-1) \rightarrow (m, n)$. The sixth term arises from division of one cell of a doublet that converts the system from $(m-1, n)$ to (m, n) . Finally, the last term represents the division of one cell in a doublet whose age is $A^i, 1 \leq i \leq h$ and its undividing twin has size X^i . In Eq. (18) and (19), the first term on their RHSs represent the division of a singlet, and the second term on their RHSs describe the division of one cell in a doublet, giving rise to a newborn doublet and leaving a singlet whose volume and age are integrated over. The last terms in the boundary conditions in Eq. (18) and (19) result from the division of a cell in a doublet, resulting in a newborn doublet and leaving a singlet whose volume and age are $X^i \in \mathbf{X}^h$ and $A^i \in \mathbf{A}^h$, respectively.

Our kinetic equations subsume all hierarchical equations for $\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}$. First, we consider the lowest order equations ($h = k = \ell = 0$) and the physical quantities that can easily be constructed such as the total number $N = m + 2n$. The total expected cell population can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}[N(t)] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m + 2n) \rho_{m,n}^{(0,0,0)}, \tag{24}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\mathbb{E}[N(t)]}{dt} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[m \int_{\Lambda^2} dX^1 dA^1 \beta(A^1, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(1,0,0)}(\mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{A}^1, t) + 2n \int_{\Lambda^2} dY^2 dB^1 \beta(B^1, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{Y}_e^2, \mathbf{B}^1, t) \right] \\
&= \int_{\Lambda^2} dx da \beta(a, t) n^{(1,0)}(x, a, t)
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and involves the higher-dimensional densities $\rho_{m,n}^{(1,0,0)}$ and $\rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}$. The differential equation for $\mathbb{E}[N(t)]$ does not involve a any boundary condition, but it is not closed because it depends on $n^{(1,0)}$.

Higher dimensional total number-density functions $n^{(k,\ell)}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{y}^{2\ell}, \mathbf{a}^k, \mathbf{b}^\ell, t)$ can also be generally defined:

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{(k,\ell)} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^k \sum_{\xi^{(0,r)} \in S_k} 2^{k+\ell-r} (m)_r (n)_{k+\ell-r} \rho_{m,n}^{(r,k-r,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^r [X^i = x^{\xi^{(0,r)}(i)}], \dots \\
&\dots, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2(k-r)+2\ell} [Y^{2j} = x^{\xi^{(r,k-r)}(j)}, Y^{2(k-r)+p} = y^p], \mathbf{A}^r [A^i = a^{\xi^{(0,r)}(i)}], \dots \\
&\dots, \mathbf{B}^{k-r+\ell} [B^j = a^{\xi^{(r,k-r)}(j)}, B^{k-r+\lfloor \frac{p+1}{2} \rfloor} = b^{\lfloor \frac{p+1}{2} \rfloor}], t), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq k-r, 1 \leq p \leq 2\ell
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $\mathbf{x}^k := (x^1, \dots, x^k)$, $\mathbf{y}^{2\ell} := (y^1, \dots, y^{2\ell})$, $\mathbf{a}^k := (a^1, \dots, a^k)$, $\mathbf{b}^\ell := (b^1, \dots, b^\ell)$, $(m)_r = m!/(m-r)!$ is the falling factorial, $S_k = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. The sum $\sum_{\xi^{(0,r)} \in S_k}$ includes summing over all elements $\xi^{(0,r)} \in \Omega_r$, the set that contains

all possible choices of choosing r elements in S_k , and $\xi^{(r,k-r)} := (\xi(r+1), \xi(r+2), \dots, \xi(k)) = S_k \setminus \xi^{(0,r)}$. We require $\xi^{(0,r)}(i) < \xi^{(0,r)}(j)$, $\xi^{(r,k-r)}(i) < \xi^{(r,k-r)}(j)$, $\forall i < j$ and $r \leq m, k-r \leq n$ in Eq. (26). With a β independent of m, n , the PDE satisfied by $n^{(k,\ell)}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{y}^{2\ell}, \mathbf{a}^k, \mathbf{b}^\ell, t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial n^{(k,\ell)}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial n^{(k,\ell)}}{\partial a^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{\partial n^{(k,\ell)}}{\partial b^j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial(n^{(k,\ell)}g(x^i, a^i, t))}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{2\ell} \frac{\partial(n^{(k,\ell)}g(y^j, b^{\lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor}, t))}{\partial y^j} = \\ & - \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \beta(a^i, t)n^{(k,\ell)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} 2\beta(b^j, t) \right) n^{(k,\ell)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial^2(n^{(k,\ell)}\sigma^2(x^i, a^i, t))}{(\partial x^i)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2\ell} \frac{\partial^2(n^{(k,\ell)}\sigma^2(y^j, b^{\lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor}, t))}{(\partial y^j)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

along with the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} n^{(k,\ell)}(\mathbf{x}^k[x^v = x], \mathbf{a}^k[a^v = 0], \mathbf{y}^{2\ell}, \mathbf{b}^\ell, t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\xi^{(0,r)} \in S_k^{-v}} 2^{\ell+k-r} (m)_r (n)_{k+\ell-r} \times \\ & \rho_{m,n}^{(r,k-r,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^r[X^i = x^{\xi^{(0,r)}(i)}], \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k-2r+2\ell}[Y^{2j} = x^{\xi^{(r,k-r)}(j)}], Y^{2k+p} = y^p], \dots \\ & \dots, \mathbf{A}^r[A^i = a^{\xi^{(0,r)}(i)}], \mathbf{B}^{\ell+k-r}[B^j = a^{\xi^{(r,k-r)}(j)}], B^{k-r+\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \rfloor} = b^{\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \rfloor}], t) \\ &= 2 \int_{\Lambda^2} ds da \tilde{\beta}(x+s, x, a, t) n^{(k,\ell)}(\mathbf{x}^k[x^k = x+s], \mathbf{y}^{2\ell}, \mathbf{a}^k[a^k = a], \mathbf{b}^\ell, t) \\ & + 2 \sum_{u=1, \neq v}^k \int_{\Lambda} ds \tilde{\beta}(x+s, x, a^u, t) n^{(k-2, \ell+1)}(\mathbf{x}_{-u, -v}^k, \mathbf{a}_{-u, -v}^k, \dots \\ & \dots, \mathbf{y}^{2\ell+2}[y^{2\ell+1} = x^u, y^{2\ell+2} = s+x], \mathbf{b}^{\ell+1}[b^{\ell+1} = a^u], t) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n^{(k,\ell)}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{y}^{2\ell}[y^{2v-1} = y_1, y^{2v} = y_2], \mathbf{a}^k, \mathbf{b}^\ell[b^v = 0], t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^k \sum_{\xi^{(0,r)} \in S_k} 2^{\ell+k-r} (m)_r (n)_{k+\ell-r} \times \\ & \rho_{m,n}^{(r,k-r,\ell)}(\mathbf{X}^r[X^i = x^{\xi^{(0,r)}(i)}], \mathbf{Y}_e^{2\ell+k-r}[Y^{2j} = x^{\xi^{(r,k-r)}(j)}], Y^{2k+q} = y^q], \dots \\ & \dots, \mathbf{A}^r[A^i = a^{\xi^{(0,r)}(i)}], \mathbf{B}^{\ell+k-r}[B^j = a^{\xi^{(r,k-r)}(j)}], B^{k-r+\lfloor \frac{q+1}{2} \rfloor} = b^{\lfloor \frac{q+1}{2} \rfloor}], t) \\ &= 2 \int_{\Lambda} da \tilde{\beta}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, a, t) n^{(k+1, \ell-1)}(\mathbf{x}^{k+1}[x^{k+1} = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{y}_{-(2v-1), -2v}^{2\ell}, \mathbf{a}^{\ell+1}[a^{\ell+1} = a], \mathbf{b}_{-v}^\ell, t) \\ & + 2 \sum_{u=1, \neq v}^k \tilde{\beta}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, a^u, t) n^{(k-1, \ell)}(\mathbf{x}_{-u}^k, \mathbf{a}_{-u}^k, \mathbf{y}^{2\ell}[y^{2v-1} = y_1 + y_2, y^{2v} = x^u], \mathbf{b}^\ell[b^v = a^u], t), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{-u}^k := (x^1, \dots, x^{u-1}, x^{u+1}, \dots, x^k)$, $\mathbf{a}_{-u}^k := (a^1, \dots, a^{u-1}, \dots, a^{u+1}, \dots, a^k)$, $\mathbf{x}_{-u, -v}^k := (x^1, \dots, x^{u-1}, x^{u+1}, \dots, x^{v-1}, x^{v+1}, \dots, x^k)$, $\mathbf{a}_{-u, -v}^k := (a^1, \dots, a^{u-1}, a^{u+1}, \dots, a^{v-1}, a^{v+1}, \dots, a^k)$, $\mathbf{y}_{-(2v-1), -2v}^{2\ell} := (y^1, \dots, y^{2v-2}, y^{2v+1}, \dots, y^{2\ell})$, $\mathbf{b}_{-v}^\ell := (b^1, \dots, b^{v-1}, b^{v+1}, \dots, b^\ell)$ and $S_k^{-v} := \{1, 2, \dots, v-1, v+1, \dots, k\}$. The additional conditions,

$$n^{(k,\ell)}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{a}^k, \mathbf{y}^{2\ell}, \mathbf{b}^\ell, t) = 0 \begin{cases} \text{if any } x_i, y_j = 0, \infty \\ \text{if two or more } a_i \text{ or } b_j = 0 \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

are found by using Eq. (26) in Eqs. (19). Note that if we take $k = 1, \ell = 0$, with an m, n -independent β , the ‘‘1-point’’ total mean population density $n^{(1,0)}(x, a, t)$ in volume x and age a at time t is simply

$$n^{(1,0)}(x, a, t) \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m \rho_{m,n}^{(1,0,0)}(\mathbf{X}^1[X^1 = x], \mathbf{A}^1[A^1 = a], t) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2n \rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{Y}_e^2[Y^2 = x], \mathbf{B}^1[B^1 = a], t), \quad (31)$$

and obeys a first-moment (in both dimension and particle number), closed PDE

$$\frac{\partial n^{(1,0)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial n^{(1,0)}}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial (gn^{(1,0)})}{\partial x} = -\beta(a, t)n^{(1,0)}(x, a, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 (\sigma^2 n^{(1,0)})}{\partial x^2} \quad (32)$$

with associated boundary conditions specified at $a = 0, x = 0, x = \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} n^{(1,0)}(x, 0, t) &= 2n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{Y}_e^2[Y^2 = x], \mathbf{B}^1[B^1 = 0], t) \\ &= 2(m+1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_x^{\infty} dX^1 \int_{\Lambda} dA^1 \tilde{\beta}(X^1, x, A^1, t) \rho_{m+1, n-1}^{(1,0,0)}(\mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{A}^1, t) \\ &\quad + 4n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_x^{\infty} dY^2 \int_{\Lambda} dB^1 \tilde{\beta}(Y^2, x, B^1, t) \rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{Y}_e^2, B^1, t) \\ &= 2 \int_x^{\infty} dz \int_{\Lambda} da \tilde{\beta}(z, x, a, t) n^{(1,0)}(z, a, t), \\ n^{(1,0)}(0, a, t) &= n^{(1,0)}(\infty, a, t) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$




FIG. 1: A map of boundary condition interdependences for single-density kinetic theory. In (a) we indicate the dependence of the boundary condition for $n^{(k,\ell)}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{a}^k, \mathbf{y}^{2\ell}, \mathbf{b}^\ell, t)$ if any $a^i = 0$. The boundary condition for $n^{(k,\ell)}$ depends on itself and $n^{(k-2,\ell+1)}$; for example, $n^{(0,1)}$ is required for the boundary condition for $n^{(2,0)}$, so the red arrow points from $n^{(0,1)}$ to $n^{(2,0)}$. In (b) we indicate the dependence of the boundary condition for $n^{(k,\ell)}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{a}^k, \mathbf{y}^{2\ell}, \mathbf{b}^\ell, t)$ if any $b^j = 0$. Here, the boundary condition for $n^{(k,\ell)}$ depends on $n^{(k+1,\ell-1)}$ and $n^{(k-1,\ell)}$. (c) An example of an explicit sequence of calculations to find $n^{(1,2)}$ starting from $n^{(1,0)}$.

Note that the PDEs for all multi-point single-density functions $n^{(k,\ell)}$ are closed. However, the boundary conditions couple $n^{(k,\ell)}, k + \ell > 1$ with $n^{(k+1,\ell-1)}, n^{(k-1,\ell)}$, or $n^{(k-2,\ell+1)}$. Thus, although the full models for $n^{(k,\ell)}, k + \ell > 1$ are not closed, the boundary conditions will only involve $n^{(k',\ell')}$ such that $k' + 2\ell' \leq k + 2\ell$, and therefore all $n^{(k,\ell)}, k + \ell > 1$ can be solved sequentially after we have found $n^{(1,0)}$. For instance, we can calculate $n^{(0,1)}$ from $n^{(1,0)}$, and then $n^{(2,0)}, n^{(1,1)}$, and so on. How the different $n^{(k,\ell)}$ are connected through the boundary conditions are illustrated in Fig. 1, demonstrating the sequence to follow to fully solve the single-density problem. The differential equation satisfied by the lowest order moment $\mathbb{E}[N(t)]$ requires $n^{(1,0)}$, as indicated by the shaded blue arrow in Fig. 1(a). In Fig. 1(c) we show a sequence of boundary condition calculations to find $n^{(1,2)}$: the equations satisfied by $n^{(1,0)}$ are fully closed so $n^{(1,0)}$ can be first calculated. In the second step, we use $n^{(1,0)}$ to construct the boundary condition and solve for $n^{(0,1)}$. The third step is to use $n^{(0,1)}$ to construct the boundary condition and solve for $n^{(2,0)}$. The boundary condition dependence of $n^{(1,0)}, n^{(2,0)}$ is indicated by blue arrows. The fourth step and fifth steps are to solve for $n^{(1,1)}$ and $n^{(3,0)}$, whose boundary condition dependences are indicated by the green arrows. Next, we calculate $n^{(2,1)}, n^{(0,2)}$, and finally $n^{(1,2)}$, whose boundary condition dependences are shown by the red arrows.

These higher dimensional results capture the stochasticity arising only from noisy growth of each cell (through the diffusive terms in Eqs. (27) and (32)). The demographic stochasticity arising from random birth (and death) times

affects the total population and is most directly probed by higher number correlations. For example, the differential equation satisfied by

$$\mathbb{E}[N^2(t)] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+2n)^2 \rho_{m,n}^{(0,0,0)}, \quad (34)$$

is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbb{E}[N^2(t)]}{dt} = & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[(2m^2 + 4mn + m) \int dX^1 dA^1 \beta(A^1; t) \rho_{m,n}^{(1,0,0)}(\mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{A}^1, t) \right. \\ & \left. + (8n^2 + 4mn + 2n) \int dY^2 dB^1 \beta(B^1; t) \rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{Y}^2, B^1, t) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The lowest order Eq. (25) decouples for $\beta(t)$ which does not depend on age, trivially reducing to $d\mathbb{E}[N(t)]/dt = \beta(t)\mathbb{E}[N(t)]$. As for $\mathbb{E}[N^2(t)]$, if the division rate function is not dependent on age, Eq. (35) reduces to

$$\frac{d\mathbb{E}[N^2(t)]}{dt} = 2\beta(t)\mathbb{E}[N^2(t)] + \beta(t)\mathbb{E}[N(t)]. \quad (36)$$

It is also possible to derive the differential equations satisfied by any $d\mathbb{E}[N^k(t)]/dt$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ starting from Eq. (17). Such equations, as well as those for higher number-moments such as $\sum_{m,n} m^k \rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}$ are *not* closed and form complex hierarchies that need additional assumptions to close.

IV. GENERALIZATIONS

A. Incorporation of death

Here, we show how our kinetic theory is modified when an age and size-dependent death, occurring with rate $\mu(a, t)$, is incorporated. By defining

$$\gamma(a, t) = \beta(a, t) + \mu(a, t) \quad (37)$$

the joint survival probabilities $S_{1,m}$ and $S_{2,n}$ in Eq. (6) are modified by

$$\tilde{S}_{1,m}(t; t', \mathbf{A}_{t'}^m) = \prod_{i=1}^m e^{-\int_{t'}^t \gamma(A_i^i, -t'+s, s) ds}, \quad \tilde{S}_{2,n}(t; t', \mathbf{B}_{t'}^n) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left[e^{-\int_{t'}^t \gamma(B_j^j, -t'+s, s) ds} \right]^2. \quad (38)$$

Following the previous derivations, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial A^i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial B^j} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial (g(X^i, A^i, t) \rho_{m,n})}{\partial X^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial (g(Y^j, B^j, t) \rho_{m,n})}{\partial Y^j} = \\ - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \gamma(A^i, t) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma(B^j, t) \right) \rho_{m,n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 (\sigma^2(X^i, A^i, t) \rho_{m,n})}{(\partial X^i)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial^2 (\sigma^2(Y^j, B^j, t) \rho_{m,n})}{(\partial Y^j)^2} \\ + (m+1) \int_{\Lambda^2} dA^{m+1} dX^{m+1} \mu(A^{m+1}, t) \rho_{m+1,n}(\mathbf{X}^{m+1}, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^{m+1}, \mathbf{B}^n, t) \\ + \frac{2(n+1)}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Lambda} dx \mu(A^i, t) \rho_{m-1,n+1}(\mathbf{X}_{-i}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n+2} [Y^{2n+1} = x, Y^{2n+2} = X^i], \mathbf{A}_{-i}^m, \mathbf{B}^{n+1} [B^{n+1} = A^i], t), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where the argument of $\rho_{m,n}$ in the first two lines is $(\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n, t)$.

The boundary conditions for $\rho_{m,n}$ are the same as Eq. (14) and Eq. (15) since only cell division contributes to the boundary term, and no cell can have 0 or infinitely large volume at any time. Similarly, we can define the marginal distribution $\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,l)}(\mathbf{X}^h, \mathbf{Y}_e^{2k+2l}, \mathbf{A}^h, \mathbf{B}^k, t)$ and the population density function with respect to volume x and age a at time t is

$$n^{(1,0)}(x, a, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m \rho_{m,n}^{(1,0,0)}(\mathbf{X}^1[X^1 = x], \mathbf{A}^1[A^1 = a], t) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2n \rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{Y}_e^2[Y^2 = x], \mathbf{B}^1[B^1 = a], t). \quad (40)$$

By similar calculations as in Section (III), we obtain the differential equation satisfied by $n^{(1,0)}(x, a, t)$

$$\frac{\partial n^{(1,0)}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(gn^{(1,0)})}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial n^{(1,0)}}{\partial a} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2(\sigma^2 n^{(1,0)})}{(\partial x)^2} = -(\beta(a, t) + \mu(a, t))n^{(1,0)}(x, a, t), \quad (41)$$

with boundary conditions specified at $a = 0$ and $x = 0, \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} n^{(1,0)}(x, 0, t) &= 2n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{Y}_e^2[Y^2 = x], \mathbf{B}^1[B^1 = 0], t) \\ &= 2(m+1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_x^{\infty} dX^1 \int_{\Lambda} dA^1 \tilde{\beta}(X^1, x, A^1, t) \rho_{m+1, n-1}^{(1,0,0)}(\mathbf{X}^1, \mathbf{A}^1, t) \\ &\quad + 4n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_x^{\infty} dY^2 \int_{\Lambda} dB^1 \tilde{\beta}(Y^2, x, B^1, t) \rho_{m-1, n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{Y}_e^2, \mathbf{B}^1, t) \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\infty} da \int_x^{\infty} dz \tilde{\beta}(z, x, a, t) n^{(1,0)}(z, a, t), \\ n^{(1,0)}(0, a, t) &= n^{(1,0)}(\infty, a, t) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

B. Correlated noise in growth rate

In this subsection we consider a model in which the noise in growth rates are correlated across cells. By defining $\mathbf{Z}^{m,2n} = (\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n})$ and $\mathbf{C}^{m,2n} = (\mathbf{A}^m, B^1, B^1, \dots, B^n, B^n)$ to be the volumes and ages of m singlets and n doublets at time t , we can describe the growth rate as

$$d\mathbf{Z}_t^{m,2n} = G^{m,2n}(\mathbf{Z}_t^{m,2n}, \mathbf{C}_t^{m,2n}, t)dt + \Sigma^{m,2n}(\mathbf{Z}_t^{m,2n}, \mathbf{C}_t^{m,2n}, t)d\mathbf{W}_t^p, \quad (43)$$

where $G^{m,2n} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+2n}$, $\Sigma^{m,2n}(\mathbf{Z}_t^{m,2n}, \mathbf{C}_t^{m,2n}, t) = (\sigma)_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+2n) \times p}$ and \mathbf{W}_t^p is a p -dimensional i.i.d standard Wiener process [22]. For simplicity, we assume that the i^{th} component of $G^{m,2n}$ is $g_i(\mathbf{Z}_t^i, \mathbf{C}_t^i, t) = g(\mathbf{Z}^i, \mathbf{C}^i, t)$, indicating that the deterministic part of the growth rate is identical for all cells. We further assume that the variance in growth rates for all cells is identical: $\sum_{\ell=1}^p \sigma_{i,\ell}^2 = \sigma^2, \forall i$. Following our derivation in Section (II), we find that $\rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n, t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial A^i} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial B^j} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial(g(t, X^i, A^i)\rho_{m,n})}{\partial X^i} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{\partial(g(t, Y^j, B^{[(j+1)/2]})\rho_{m,n})}{\partial Y^j} = \\ - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta(A^i, t) + \sum_{j=1}^n 2\beta(B^j, t) \right) \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n, t) + \sum_{s_1, s_2=1}^{m+2n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2(\rho_{m,n} D_{s_1, s_2})}{\partial Z^{s_1} \partial Z^{s_2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $D_{s_1, s_2} = \sum_{\ell=1}^p \sigma_{s_1, \ell} \sigma_{s_2, \ell}$. The boundary conditions for $\rho_{m,n}$ are the same as that described by Eq. (14) and Eq. (15). Similarly, we can define the marginal distribution density function $\rho_{m,n}^{(h,k,\ell)}$ in the same way as in Section 3, and it can be verified that the differential equations as well as the boundary conditions satisfied by $\rho_{m,n}^{(1,0,0)}(\mathbf{X}^1[X^1 = x], \mathbf{A}^1[A^1 = a], t)$, $\rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{X}^1[X^1 = x], \mathbf{A}^1[A^1 = a], t)$ are the same as those satisfied by $\rho_{m,n}^{(1,0,0)}(\mathbf{X}^1[X^1 = x], \mathbf{A}^1[A^1 = a], t)$ and $\rho_{m,n}^{(0,1,0)}(\mathbf{Y}^1[Y^1 = x], \mathbf{B}^1[B^1 = a], t)$ in Eq. (17) and Eq. (19), although the differential equations satisfied

by $\rho_{m,n}$ in Eq. (44) and in Eq. (11) are different. The equation and boundary conditions for the “1-point” density function $n^{(1,0)}(x, a, t)$ are identical to those in Eq. (32) and Eqs. (33) since correlations are not captured by a mean-field description of only one coordinate (x, a) . The differences between correlated and uncorrelated growth noise among cells may arise in the differential equations for $n^{(k,\ell)}(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{a}^k, \mathbf{y}^\ell, \mathbf{b}^\ell, t)$, $\ell + k \geq 2$.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we rigorously constructed a kinetic theory for structured populations, in particular for age- and size-structured cell proliferation models. We considered stochasticity in both an individual cell’s growth rate (“intrinsic” stochasticity) and the cell number fluctuations from random birth and death event times (“demographic” stochasticity). Derivations of the kinetic theory requires separation of ‘singlet’ and ‘doublet’ populations, as was proposed in [16]. However, taking into account both the size and age dependence as well as randomness in growth rates leads to the much more complex computation which we performed here.

One of our main results are the kinetic equations and boundary conditions described by Eqs. (13), (14), and (15). Marginalized densities are also found to obey more complex equations that form a hierarchy (Eqs. (17), (19), and (23)). By taking single-density averages over these equations, we find closed PDEs that govern multi-point density functions (Eq. (27)). However, the associated boundary conditions, Eq. (28), couple density functions of different dimensions. Nonetheless, density function of all dimensions can be successively solved starting from the “1-point” density $n^{(1,0)}(x, a, t)$ which obeys Eqs. (32) and (33), a 2+1-dimensional second order PDE and boundary condition that is analogous to the classic McKendrick equation but that includes a diffusive size term arising from stochasticity in growth rates. The explicit equations for the first and second moments of the total population are given by Eqs. (25) and (35), respectively.

Generalizations and extensions to our basic kinetic theory are also investigated. For example, we derived the kinetic equations when a Markovian age-dependent death process is included (Eqs. (39), and (41), (42)). We also considered noise in growth rates that are correlated across cells and showed these effects arising in “cross-diffusion” terms in the associated kinetic (and higher moment) equations.

Our unifying kinetic theory enables one to systematically analyze cell populations at both the individual and population levels. A full kinetic theory may be useful for studying other processes such as failure in multicomponent systems that age and evolve [23]. Further extensions of our kinetic equations that are feasible are to include spatial distribution [24] or correlations in growth rates across *generations* [13]. It is also possible to consider stochasticity for different cell division strategies [21]. Finally, efficient numerical methods for solving our kinetic equations can be developed, for instance in [25] equations similar to Eq. (32) and Eq. (33) which describes the dynamics of $n^{(1,0)}$ are solved accurately and efficiently.

Acknowledgements

This research was made possible through funding support from the Army Research Office (W911NF-18-1-0345), the NIH (R01HL146552), and the National Science Foundation (DMS-1814364).

References

-
- [1] von Foerster H 1959 *The Kinetics of Cellular Proliferation*, Grune and Stratton 382–407
 - [2] Taheri-Araghi S, Bradde S, Sauls J T, Hill N S, Levin P A, Paulsson J, Vergassola M and Jun S 2015 *Current Biology* **25** 385–391
 - [3] Burov S and Kessler D 2018 *Bulletin of the American Physical Society* **63**
 - [4] Robert L, Hoffmann M, Krell N, Aymerich S, Robert J and Doumic M 2014 *BMC Biology* **12** 17
 - [5] Perthame B 2008 *Introduction to Structured Equations in Biology*
 - [6] Metz J A J and Diekmann O 1986 *The Dynamics of Physiologically Structured Populations* (Springer)
 - [7] Sompayrac L and Maaloe O 1973 *Nature: New Biology* **241** 133–135
 - [8] Huisman O and D’Ari R 1981 *Nature* **290** 797–799
 - [9] Chandler-Brown D, Schmoller K M, Winetraub Y and Skotheim J M 2017 *Current Biology* **27** 2774–2783
 - [10] Delarue M, Weissman D and Hallatschek O 2017 *PLoS ONE* **12** e0182633

- [11] Wessels J G H 1994 *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **32** 413–437
 [12] Modi S, Vargas-Garcia C A, Ghusinga K R and Singh A 2017 *Biophysical Journal* **112** 2408–2418
 [13] Xia M, Greenman C D and Chou T 2020 *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* **80** 1307–1335
 [14] Bernard E, Doumic M and Gabriel P 2016 *Kinetic and Related Models* **12** 551–571
 [15] Greenman C D and Chou T 2016 *Physical Review E* **93** 012112
 [16] Chou T and Greenman C D 2016 *Journal of Statistical Physics* **164** 49–76
 [17] Greenman C D 2017 *Journal of Statistical Mechanics* **2017** 033101
 [18] Vargas-Garcia C A, Soltani M and Singh A 2016 *IEEE Life Sciences Letters* **2** 47–50
 [19] Ho P Y, Lin J and Amir A 2018 *Annual Review of Biophysics* **47** 251–271
 [20] Kessler D A and Burov S 2017 *Physical Review E* **96**(4) 042139
 [21] Nieto C, Vargas-Garcia C and Pedraza J M 2020 *bioRxiv:2020.09.29.319251*
 [22] Durrett R 2005 *Cambridge U Press* **39** 320–353
 [23] Popescu D M and Sun S X 2018 *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* **15** 20180086
 [24] Auger P, Magal P and Ruan S 2008 *Structured Population Models in Biology and Epidemiology* vol 1936 (Springer)
 [25] Xia M, Shao S and Chou T 2020 *arXiv:2009.13170*

Appendix: conservation of probability

We now define probability fluxes

$$J_{m,n;m+1,n-1}(t) = (m+1) \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n-2} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^{n-1} \int_{\Lambda^3} dy_1 dy_2 ds \tilde{\beta}_{m+1,n-1}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, s, t) \times \\ \rho_{m+1,n-1}(\mathbf{X}^{m+1}[\mathbf{X}^{m+1} = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{Y}^{2n-2}, \mathbf{A}^{m+1}[\mathbf{A}^{m+1} = s], \mathbf{B}^{n-1}, t),$$

$$J_{m,n;m-1,n}(t) = \frac{2n}{m} \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n-2} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^{n-1} \int_{\Lambda^2} dy_1 dy_2 \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\beta}_{m-1,n}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, A^i, t) \times \\ \rho_{m-1,n}(t, \mathbf{X}_{-i}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}[Y^{2n-1} = X^i, Y^{2n} = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{A}_{-i}^m, \mathbf{B}^n[B^n = A^i], t),$$

$$J_{m,n;m',n'}(t) = 0, \quad \text{if } m + 2n - m' - 2n' \neq 1. \quad (45)$$

$J_{m,n;m',n'}(t)dt$ is the probability flux within time $[t, t + dt]$ from state (m', n') to state (m, n) arising from cell division. When dt is sufficiently small, the probability that more than one cell divides during $[t, t + dt]$ is $o(dt)$, which is negligible, allowing us to set $J_{m,n;m',n'}(t) = 0$ if $m + 2n - m' - 2n' \neq 1$. We now verify the conservation of probability flux

$$J_{m-1,n+1;m,n}(t) + J_{m+1,n;m,n}(t) \\ = \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{m,n}(A^i, t) \rho_{m,n} + \sum_{i=j}^n 2\beta_{m,n}(B^j, t) \rho_{m,n} \right) \\ = \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^n \left(m\beta_{m,n}(A^m, t) \rho_{m,n} + 2n\beta_{m,n}(B^n, t) \rho_{m,n} \right), \quad (46)$$

where $\rho_{m,n} = \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n, t)$. The first term is

$$J_{m-1,n+1;m,n}(t) = m \int d\mathbf{X}^{m-1} d\mathbf{Y}^{2m} d\mathbf{A}^{m-1} d\mathbf{B}^n \int_{\Lambda^3} dy_1 dy_2 dA^m \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, A^m, t) \times \\ \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m[X^m = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n, t) \\ = m \int d\mathbf{X}^{m-1} d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^{m-1} d\mathbf{B}^n \int_{\Lambda^2} dA^m d(y_1 + y_2) \int_0^{y_1+y_2} dy_2 \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, A^m, t) \times \\ \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m[X^m = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n, t) \\ = m \int d\mathbf{X}^{m-1} d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^{m-1} d\mathbf{B}^n \int_{\Lambda^2} dA^m dX^m \beta_{m,n}(A^m, t) \rho_{m,n} \quad (47)$$

which is exactly the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (46). The second term

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{m+1,n;m,n}(t) &= \frac{2n}{m+1} \int d\mathbf{X}^{m+1} d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^{m+1} d\mathbf{B}^{n-1} \int_{\Lambda^2} dy_1 dy_2 \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, A^i, t) \times \\
&\quad \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_{-i}^{m+1}, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}[Y^{2n-1} = X^i, Y^{2n} = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{A}_{-i}^{m+1}, \mathbf{B}^n[B^n = A^i], t) \\
&= \frac{2n}{m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \int d\mathbf{X}^{m+1} d\mathbf{Y}^{2n-2} d\mathbf{A}^{m+1} d\mathbf{B}^{n-1} \int_{\Lambda} d(y_1 + y_2) \int_0^{y_1+y_2} dy_1 \tilde{\beta}_{m,n}(y_1 + y_2, y_1, A^i, t) \times \\
&\quad \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}_{-i}^{m+1}, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}[Y^{2n-1} = X^i, Y^{2n} = y_1 + y_2], \mathbf{A}_{-i}^{m+1}, \mathbf{B}^n[B^n = A^i], t) \\
&= 2n \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^n \beta_{m,n}(B^n, t) \rho_{m,n}
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

which is precisely the second term on the right hand side of Eq. (46). We have thus verified that the probability flux out of state (m, n) due to cell division is the sum of probability currents into $(m-1, n+1)$ and into $(m+1, n)$. Summing up over m and n , we obtain for $m+n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(J_{m-1,n+1;m,n}(t) + J_{m+1,n;m,n}(t) \right) &= \\
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^n \left(m\beta_{m,n}(A^m, t)\rho_{m,n} + 2n\beta_{m,n}(B^n, t)\rho_{m,n} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Finally, it is readily observed that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^n \frac{\partial \rho_{m,n}}{\partial t} &= \\
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}_{-j}^n \rho_{m,n}(\mathbf{X}^m, \mathbf{Y}^{2n}, \mathbf{A}^m, \mathbf{B}^n[B^j = 0], t) \\
&\quad - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{m,n}(A^i, t)\rho_{m,n} + \sum_{j=1}^n 2\beta_{m,n}(B^j, t)\rho_{m,n} \right) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (J_{m,n;m-1,n} - J_{m-1,n+1;m,n}) - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{m+1,n;m,n} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{m,n;m+1,n-1} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Therefore, we have verified that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d\mathbf{X}^m d\mathbf{Y}^{2n} d\mathbf{A}^m d\mathbf{B}^n \rho_{m,n}$$

is time-independent.