

HOW TYPE OF CONVEXITY OF THE CORE FUNCTION AFFECTS THE CSISZÁR f -DIVERGENCE FUNCTIONAL

MOHSEN KIAN

ABSTRACT. We investigate how the type of Convexity of the Core function affects the Csiszár f -divergence functional. A general treatment for the type of convexity has been considered and the associated perspective functions have been studied. In particular, it has been shown that when the core function is MN-convex, then the associated perspective function is jointly MN-convex if the two scalar mean M and N are the same. In the case where $M \neq N$, we study the type of convexity of the perspective function. As an application, we prove that the *Hellinger distance* is jointly GG-convex. As further applications, the matrix Jensen inequality has been developed for the perspective functions under different kinds of convexity.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the probability theory, the notion of Csiszár f -divergence is well-known in relation with measures between probability distributions. Those kinds of measures have many applications in many directions, like economics, genetics, signal processing and so on. In fact, Csiszár [4, 3] introduced f -divergence functional of a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \sum_{j=1}^n q_j f\left(\frac{p_j}{q_j}\right)$$

for n -tuples of positive real numbers $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$. In above definition, the undefined expressions are interpreted as

$$f(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t), \quad 0f\left(\frac{0}{0}\right) = 0, \quad 0f\left(\frac{p}{0}\right) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f\left(\frac{p}{\epsilon}\right) = p \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}.$$

A useful fact concerning the f -divergence functional was proved by Csiszár and Körner [5] as follows. In fact, they showed that the perspective function of a convex function is sub-additive.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26B25 . 94A17; Secondary 15A45 . 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Csiszár f -divergence, convexity and joint convexity, Matrix Jensen inequality, spectral decomposition.

Theorem A. *If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, then $I_f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ is jointly convex in \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} and*

$$g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j, \sum_{j=1}^n q_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n q_j}\right) \leq I_f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \quad (1.1)$$

for all positive n -tuples $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, where the perspective function g associated to f is defined by

$$g(x, y) := yf\left(\frac{x}{y}\right).$$

When f varies through convex functions, the Csiszár f -divergence produces different known measures. Among others, we mention the following notable measures: -*Kullback–Leibler distance* is defined by $KL(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log\left(\frac{p_j}{q_j}\right)$ and $KL = I_f$, when $f(t) = t \ln t$ ($t > 0$).

-*Total variation distance* is defined by $V(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \sum_{j=1}^n |p_j - q_j|$ and $V = I_f$, when $f(t) = |t - 1|$ ($t \geq 0$).

-*Hellinger distance* is defined by $H^2(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{q_j})^2$ and $H^2 = I_f$, when $f(t) = 2(\sqrt{t} - 1)^2$ ($t \geq 0$).

- χ^2 -*distance* is defined by $D_{\chi^2}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(p_j - q_j)^2}{q_j}$ and $D_{\chi^2} = I_f$, when $f(t) = (t - 1)^2$ ($t \geq 0$).

-*Rényi's divergences* are defined by $R_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} \ln \rho_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, where $\rho_\alpha(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j^\alpha q_j^{1-\alpha}$ and $\rho_\alpha = I_f$, when $f(t) = t^\alpha$ ($t > 0$).

For more information about f -divergence functional and its properties, the reader is referred to [11, 13, 14, 22, 23] and references therein.

For every two positive real numbers x, y and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the most well-known scalar means read as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y) &= \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y && \text{Arithmetic mean} \\ \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y) &= x^\alpha y^{1-\alpha} && \text{Geometric mean} \\ \mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y) &= (\alpha x^{-1} + (1 - \alpha)y^{-1})^{-1} && \text{Harmonic mean.} \end{aligned}$$

The Arithmetic-Geometric-Harmonic means inequality is well-known:

$$\mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y) \leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y) \leq \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y), \quad (x, y \geq 0, \alpha \in [0, 1]). \quad (1.2)$$

2. THE EFFECT OF TYPE OF CONVEXITY OF CORE FUNCTION ON THE f -DIVERGENCE FUNCTIONAL

Convex functions are known to be defined using the Arithmetic mean: A real function f is convex when

$$f(\mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{A}_\alpha(f(x), f(y))$$

for all x, y in domain of f and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. However, when the Arithmetic means are replaced by other means in both sides of the above inequality, different type of convexities for functions can be derived. In the next definition, we limit the domain and the range of our function to the positive half-line, while it will be possible to consider this sets a more general subset of real functions depending on occasions. If \mathbf{M}_α and \mathbf{N}_α are two α -weighted scalar means, a positive real function f on $(0, \infty)$ is said to be MN-convex, when

$$f(\mathbf{M}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{N}_\alpha(f(x), f(y)) \tag{2.1}$$

holds for all $x, y \geq 0$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Note that an AA-convex function is simply called convex. Moreover, some of these functions enjoy well-known titles. HA-convex functions are called Harmonically convex and AG-convex functions are known as log-convex or multiplicative convex functions.

Some of basic facts concerning MN-convex functions are given in the following lemma. The reader is referred to [2, 9, 8, 19, 20, 24] to see the proofs and more information about these functions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let f be a positive real function on $(0, \infty)$.*

- (i) If f is AG-convex if and only if $\log f$ is convex;
- (ii) f is AH-convex if and only if $1/f$ is concave;
- (iii) f is GA-convex (concave) if and only if $f \circ \exp$ is convex (concave);
- (iv) If h is convex (concave), then $f(t) = h(\ln t)$ is GA-convex (concave);
- (v) f is GG-convex if and only if the function $h = \ln \circ f \circ \exp$ is convex;
- (vi) f is GG-convex if and only if $h = \ln \circ f$ is GA-convex;
- (vii) f is GH-convex (concave) if and only if $f \circ \exp$ is AH-convex (concave);
- (iix) f is HG-convex if and only if $h(t) = t \ln f(t)$ is convex;
- (ix) f is HG-convex if and only if $\ln f$ is HA-convex;
- (x) f is HH-convex (concave) if and only if $h(t) = t/f(t)$ is concave (convex).

We remarked that each class of MN-convex functions we mentioned in Lemma 2.1 actually contains many examples. So we give several examples before we continue.

Example 2.2. The functions $t \mapsto 1/\sqrt{t}$ and $t \mapsto -t^{-3}$ are AH-convex on $(0, \infty)$. The functions $t \mapsto \exp t$ and $t \mapsto t^r$ ($r < 0$) are AG-convex on \mathbb{R} and $(0, \infty)$,

respectively.

The function $t \mapsto \log(1+t)$ is GA-convex on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, recall that the well-known digamma function is defined by $\psi(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \log \Gamma(t) = \frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)}$ on $(0, \infty)$, where Γ denotes the gamma function, i.e., $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty x^{t-1} e^{-x} dx$. It is known that [24] the functions $t \mapsto \psi(t) + \frac{1}{2t}$ and $t \mapsto \psi(t) + \frac{1}{2t} + \frac{1}{12t^2}$ are GA-concave and GA-convex, respectively, on $(0, \infty)$.

It has been shown in [19] that if $f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n t^n$ is a real analytic function whose radius of convergence is $r > 0$ and whose coefficients c_n are non-negative, then f is a GG-convex function on $(0, r)$. This implies that the functions \exp , \sinh and \cosh are GG-convex on \mathbb{R} and the functions \sec , \csc and \tan are GG-convex on $(0, \pi/2)$. In addition, the functions $t \mapsto (1-t)^{-1}$ and $t \mapsto \frac{1+t}{1-t}$ are GG-convex on $(0, 1)$, see [6].

The functions $t \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln t}}$ and $t \mapsto -(\ln t)^{-3}$ are GH-convex on $(0, \infty)$.

For all $r \geq 0$ and $r \leq -1$, the function $t \mapsto \exp(t^r)$ are HG-convex on $(0, \infty)$.

The functions $t \mapsto \frac{t}{\ln t}$ and $t \mapsto t^r$ ($0 \leq r \leq 1$) are HH-convex on $(0, \infty)$.

Regarding the Jensen inequality, Lemma 2.1 can be used to demonstrate variants of the Jensen inequality for every MN-convex function. The proof of next lemma easily follows from Lemma 2.1 and the classical Jensen inequality for convex functions. So we do not include details.

Lemma 2.3. *Let f be a non-negative real function on $(0, \infty)$ and for $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $x_i \geq 0$ and $\alpha_i \in [0, 1]$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$.*

(i) If f is AG-convex, then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i)^{\alpha_i}. \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) If f is AH-convex, then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{f(x_i)}\right)^{-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

(iii) If f is GA-convex, then

$$f\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f(x_i). \quad (2.4)$$

(iv) If f is GG-convex, then

$$f\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i)^{\alpha_i}. \quad (2.5)$$

(vi) If f is GH-convex, then

$$f\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i}\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{f(x_i)}\right)^{-1}. \quad (2.6)$$

(vii) If f is HG-convex, then

$$f\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{x_i}\right)^{-1}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i)^{\alpha_i}. \quad (2.7)$$

(iix) If f is HH-convex, then

$$f\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{x_i}\right)^{-1}\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{f(x_i)}\right)^{-1}. \quad (2.8)$$

We begin with modifications of the celebrated result of Csiszár, Theorem A. A consequence of Theorem A is that if f is convex, the associated perspective function g_f is convex in both variables. In the next theorem, we investigate the affect of type of convexity of the generating function f to the convexity of the associated perspective function g_f . When there is not fear of ambiguity, we briefly use g for the associated perspective function of f . Once more, we note that although we restrict the domain and the range of our function to the positive half-line, depending on situation, it is possible to consider this sets more general subsets of real functions.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a real function.*

(i) f is AH-convex if and only if g is AH-convex on the first coordinate and convex on the second coordinate. In particular, the inequality

$$g(\mathbf{A}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{H}_\alpha \{[\mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(a, x), g(a, y))], [\mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(b, x), g(b, y))]\} \quad (2.9)$$

holds for all $a, b, x, y \geq 0$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

(ii) f is AG-convex if and only if g is AG-convex on the first coordinate and convex on the second coordinate. In particular, the inequality

$$g(\mathbf{A}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha \{\mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(a, x), g(a, y)), \mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(b, x), g(b, y))\} \quad (2.10)$$

holds, for all $a, b, x, y \geq 0$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

(iii) f is GG-convex if and only if g is jointly GG-convex. In particular,

$$g(\mathbf{G}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha \{g(a, x), g(b, y)\} \quad (2.11)$$

for all $a, b, x, y \geq 0$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

(iv) f is HH-convex if and only if g is jointly HH-convex. In particular,

$$g(\mathbf{H}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{H}_\alpha \{g(a, x), g(b, y)\} \quad (2.12)$$

for all $a, b, x, y \geq 0$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

(v) f is GH-convex if and only if g is GH-convex in its first variable and GG-convex in its second variable. In particular, the inequality

$$g(\mathbf{G}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{H}_\alpha \{ \mathbf{G}_\alpha(g(a, x), g(a, y)), \mathbf{G}_\alpha(g(b, x), g(b, y)) \} \quad (2.13)$$

holds for all $a, b, x, y \geq 0$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, in this case g is jointly GG-convex, i.e., (2.11) holds.

Before proving Theorem 2.4, we would like to note that if f is MN-convex, then g is not necessarily MN-convex in both variables, unless $M = N$. For example, if f is AH-convex, then part (i) of Theorem 2.4 shows that g is AH-convex on the first coordinate and convex on the second coordinate. However, g is not AH-convex in both variables. To see this, consider the AH-convex function $f(t) = 1/\sqrt{t}$ and put $\alpha = 1/2$, $a = 1$, $x = 2$ and $y = 4$. Then

$$3\sqrt{3} = g(a, \mathbf{A}_{1/2}(x, y)) \not\leq \mathbf{H}_{1/2}(g(a, x), g(a, y)) = \frac{16\sqrt{2}}{4 + \sqrt{2}}.$$

Note in addition that when f is AH-convex, g is AA-convex in both variables. However, the reverse direction does not hold, i.e., if g is AA-convex in both variables, then f is not necessarily AH-convex.

Proof. of Theorem 2.4. First assume that f is AH-convex. For all $x, y, a \geq 0$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b, x) &= xf\left(\frac{1}{x}(\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b)\right) \\ &\leq x\left[\alpha f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{-1} + (1 - \alpha)f\left(\frac{b}{x}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \\ &= \left[\alpha x^{-1}f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^{-1} + (1 - \alpha)x^{-1}f\left(\frac{b}{x}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \\ &= \left[\alpha g(a, x)^{-1} + (1 - \alpha)g(b, x)^{-1}\right]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This ensures that g is AH-convex on the first coordinate. Therefore

$$g\left(\alpha(a, x) + (1 - \alpha)(b, y)\right) \leq \left(\alpha g(a, z)^{-1} + (1 - \alpha)g(b, z)^{-1}\right)^{-1},$$

where $z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$. This means that

$$g(\mathbf{A}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{H}_\alpha \{ g(a, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)), g(b, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)) \}. \quad (2.14)$$

On the other hand we can write

$$f\left(\frac{a}{\mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)}\right) = f\left(\frac{\frac{\alpha a}{yx} + \frac{(1-\alpha)a}{yx}}{\frac{\alpha}{y} + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{x}}\right) = f\left(\beta\left(\frac{a}{x}\right) + (1 - \beta)\left(\frac{a}{y}\right)\right), \quad (2.15)$$

where $\beta = \frac{\frac{\alpha}{y}}{\frac{\alpha}{y} + \frac{1-\alpha}{x}} = \frac{\alpha x}{\mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)}$. Since f is convex, (2.15) implies that

$$f\left(\frac{a}{\mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha x}{\mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)} f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right) + \frac{(1-\alpha)y}{\mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)} f\left(\frac{a}{y}\right).$$

Multiplying both sides by $\mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)$ we get

$$g(a, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(a, x), g(a, y)). \quad (2.16)$$

Similarly

$$g(b, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(b, x), g(b, y)). \quad (2.17)$$

Since the Harmonic mean is monotone, it follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{A}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)) &\leq \mathbf{H}_\alpha \{g(a, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)), g(b, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y))\} \quad (\text{by (2.14)}) \\ &\leq \mathbf{H}_\alpha \{\mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(a, x), g(a, y)), \mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(b, x), g(b, y))\} \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired inequality (2.9). With $x = y$, this gives the AH-convexity of g in the first coordinate and with $a = b$ this implies the convexity of g in the second coordinate.

Conversely, if g is AH-convexity in the first coordinate, then $f(t) = g(t, 1)$ is an AH-convex function, too. This completes the proof of (i).

Next suppose that f is AG-convex. For all $x, y, a \geq 0$ and every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b, x) &= x f\left(\frac{1}{x}(\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b)\right) \\ &\leq x \left[f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right)^\alpha f\left(\frac{b}{x}\right)^{1-\alpha} \right] \\ &= \left[x f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right) \right]^\alpha \left[x f\left(\frac{b}{x}\right) \right]^{1-\alpha} \\ &= g(a, x)^\alpha g(b, x)^{1-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

whence g is AG-convex in its first variable. Hence

$$g(\mathbf{A}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)) \leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha \{g(a, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)), g(b, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y))\}. \quad (2.18)$$

Furthermore, taking into account the Arithmetic-Geometric means inequality, we know that f is a convex function so that (2.16) and (2.17) hold. Regarding the monotonicity of the Geometric mean in its both variables we conclude from (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$\mathbf{G}_\alpha \{g(a, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y)), g(b, \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x, y))\} \leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha \{\mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(a, x), g(a, y)), \mathbf{A}_\alpha(g(b, x), g(b, y))\}. \quad (2.19)$$

Combining (2.18) and (2.19) we infer (2.10). Putting $x = y$, the AG-convexity of g in first coordinate follows from (2.10) and with $a = b$, (2.10) gives the convexity

of g in the second coordinate. Conversely, if g is AG-convex in its first coordinate, then $f(t) = g(t, 1)$ is AG-convex as well.

To prove (iii), let f be a GG-convex function. Then

$$f\left(\frac{\mathbf{G}_\alpha(a, b)}{\mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)}\right) = f(a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} x^{-\alpha} y^{\alpha-1}) = f\left(\mathbf{G}_\alpha\left(\frac{a}{x}, \frac{b}{y}\right)\right) \leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{b}{y}\right)\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{G}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)) &= \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y) f\left(\frac{\mathbf{G}_\alpha(a, b)}{\mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)}\right) \\ &\leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y) \mathbf{G}_\alpha\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{b}{y}\right)\right) \\ &= \mathbf{G}_\alpha\{g(a, x), g(b, y)\} \end{aligned}$$

as required. This proves (iii).

Next suppose that f is a HH-convex function. We write

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{\mathbf{H}_\alpha(a, b)}{\mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y)}\right) &= f\left(\left(\frac{\alpha a^{-1} + (1-\alpha)b^{-1}}{\alpha x^{-1} + (1-\alpha)y^{-1}}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ &= f\left(\left(\frac{\alpha \frac{xy}{a} + (1-\alpha)\frac{xy}{b}}{\alpha y + (1-\alpha)x}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ &= f\left(\left(\beta \frac{x}{a} + (1-\beta)\frac{y}{b}\right)^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

in which we set $\beta = \frac{\alpha y}{\alpha y + (1-\alpha)x}$. Since f is HH-convex, we obtain

$$f\left(\frac{\mathbf{H}_\alpha(a, b)}{\mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y)}\right) = f\left(\mathbf{H}_\beta\left(\frac{a}{x}, \frac{b}{y}\right)\right) \leq \mathbf{H}_\beta\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{b}{y}\right)\right)$$

so that

$$g(\mathbf{H}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y)) = \mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y) f\left(\frac{\mathbf{H}_\alpha(a, b)}{\mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y)}\right) \leq \mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y) \mathbf{H}_\beta\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{b}{y}\right)\right). \quad (2.20)$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$\mathbf{H}_\alpha(x, y) \mathbf{H}_\beta\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{b}{y}\right)\right) = \mathbf{H}_\alpha\{g(a, x), g(b, y)\}.$$

Consequently, (2.12) follows from (2.20). Hence g is jointly HH-convex. Conversely, if g is jointly HH-convex, then $f(t) = g(t, 1)$ is HH-convex. This proves (iv).

Let f be a GH-convex function. For all $a, b, x \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{G}_\alpha(a, b), x) &= xf\left(\frac{\mathbf{G}_\alpha(a, b)}{x}\right) = xf\left(\mathbf{G}_\alpha\left(\frac{a}{x}, \frac{b}{x}\right)\right) \\ &\leq x\mathbf{H}_\alpha\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{b}{x}\right)\right) \\ &= \mathbf{H}_\alpha(g(a, x), g(b, x)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

whence g is GH-convex function in its first coordinate. Furthermore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} g(a, \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)) &= \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)f\left(\frac{a}{\mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)}\right) \\ &= \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)f\left(\mathbf{G}_\alpha\left(\frac{a}{x}, \frac{a}{y}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)\mathbf{H}_\alpha\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{a}{y}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)\mathbf{G}_\alpha\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{a}{y}\right)\right) = \mathbf{G}_\alpha(g(a, x), g(a, y)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where the last inequality follows from the Harmonic-Geometric mean inequality. This ensures that g is GG-convex in the second coordinate. Furthermore, combining (2.21) and (2.22) and using the monotonicity of the Harmonic mean, we reach (2.13). In addition, a similar argument as in (2.21) shows that g is jointly GG-convex. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{G}_\alpha(a, b), \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)) &= \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)f\left(\frac{\mathbf{G}_\alpha(a, b)}{\mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)}\right) \\ &= \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)f\left(\mathbf{G}_\alpha\left(\frac{a}{x}, \frac{b}{y}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)\mathbf{H}_\alpha\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{b}{y}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \mathbf{G}_\alpha(x, y)\mathbf{G}_\alpha\left(f\left(\frac{a}{x}\right), f\left(\frac{b}{y}\right)\right) \\ &= \mathbf{G}_\alpha(g(a, x), g(b, y)), \end{aligned}$$

and so g is jointly GG-convex as we claimed. The converse follows similarly as previous parts. \square

We give some particular corollaries of of Theorem 2.4 for some f -divergence functionals. It is easy to see that there are positive real numbers c_1, c_2 for which the function $f(t) = t \log t$ is AH-convex on (c_1, c_2) . Theorem 2.4 implies that the *Kullback-Leibler distance* $KL(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log\left(\frac{p_j}{q_j}\right)$ is AH-convex on the first coordinate and convex on the second coordinate. As another example, the function $f(t) = t^r$

is AG-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for all $r < 0$. By Theorem 2.4, the generated divergence functional $\rho_r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j^r q_j^{1-r}$ is AG-convex on its first coordinate and convex on its second coordinate. As another example, the function $f(t) = 2(\sqrt{t} - 1)^2$ is GG-convex. Accordingly, the related divergence functional, which is the *Hellinger distance* $H^2(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{q_j})^2$ is jointly GG-convex.

Some applications of Theorem 2.4 will be given in the next section. As we saw in Lemma 2.3, the MN-convexity of f produces variants of the Jensen inequality. Here, we study inequality (1.1) in Theorem A, when the core function f enjoys MN-convexity.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two n -tuples of positive real numbers and let f be a positive real function on $(0, \infty)$.*

(i) If f is an AH-convex function, then

$$g(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \leq \bar{\mathbf{a}}^2 I_{\frac{1}{f}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^{-1} \leq I_f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}). \quad (2.23)$$

(ii) If f is an AG-convex function, then

$$g(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \leq \bar{\mathbf{b}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{\bar{\mathbf{b}}} I_{\log f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \right] \leq I_f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}). \quad (2.24)$$

(iii) If f is a HA-convex function, then

$$g(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} g_\varphi(\bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} g_\phi(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \leq \frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} I_\varphi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} I_\phi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \quad (2.25)$$

where $\varphi(t) = f(1/t)$ and $\phi(t) = tf(t)$.

(iv) If f is an increasing GA-convex function, then

$$g(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \leq g_{f \circ \exp}(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \leq I_{f \circ \exp}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}). \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. Suppose that \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} are n -tuples of positive real numbers. For every $i = 1, \dots, n$, we set $\beta_i = \frac{b_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k}$ so that $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ is a probability vector. First assume that f is an AH-convex function for which we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}\right) &= f\left(\frac{a_1}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k}\right) \\ &= f\left(\frac{a_1}{b_1} \frac{b_1}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{b_n} \frac{b_n}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k}\right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k} \frac{1}{f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right)}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where we use (2.3) with $\beta_i = \frac{b_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k}$. Multiplying both sides of (2.27) with $\sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right) f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}\right) &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k} \frac{1}{f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right)}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{1}{f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right)}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)^2 I_{\frac{1}{f}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the first inequality in (2.23). To get the second inequality we use the convexity of the function $t \mapsto t^{-1}$.

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right)^2 I_{\frac{1}{f}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^{-1} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k} \frac{1}{f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right)}\right)^{-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right) = I_f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}).$$

This completes the proof of (i). Next assume that f is an AG-convex function. Then

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \frac{a_i}{b_i}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right)^{\beta_i}, \quad (2.28)$$

in which we use the same convex coefficients β_i as in the proof of (i). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right)^{\beta_i} &= \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left[\frac{b_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k} \log f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right)\right] \\ &= \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k} \log f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right)\right] = \exp\left[\frac{1}{\bar{\mathbf{b}}} I_{\log f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

The left inequality in (2.24) follows from (2.28) and (2.29). In addition, utilising the Arithmetic-Geometric means inequality we reach

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right)^{\beta_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right) = \frac{1}{\bar{\mathbf{b}}} I_f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \quad (2.30)$$

and the right inequality in (2.24) is derived. This concludes (ii).

Now assume that f is a HA-convex function. It is not hard to see that [6] the functions $\varphi(t) = f(1/t)$ and $\phi(t) = tf(t)$ are convex on proper domains so that Theorem A gives $g_\varphi(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \leq I_\varphi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ and $g_\phi(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \leq I_\phi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$. We consider the convex coefficients $\alpha_i = \frac{a_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ in such a way that

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}\right) = f\left(\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}\right)^{-1}\right) = f\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{a_i} \frac{a_i}{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k}\right)^{-1}\right) = f\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{b_i}{a_i}\right)^{-1}\right).$$

By the HA-convex of f , this concludes that

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right).$$

Multiplying both sides by $\sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ we reach

$$g(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \leq \frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} I_\varphi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}).$$

On the other hand,

$$g(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) = \bar{\mathbf{b}} f\left(\frac{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}\right) = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \bar{\mathbf{a}} \varphi\left(\frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}\right) = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} g_\varphi(\bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} g_\phi(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}).$$

Furthermore, we compute

$$I_\varphi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi\left(\frac{b_i}{a_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{a_i}{b_i} f\left(\frac{a_i}{b_i}\right) = I_\phi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}),$$

so that we arrive at (iii).

For proving (iv), first not that a function f is GA-convex if and only if the function $t \mapsto f(e^t)$ is convex, indeed, when proper domains are considered. So the Csiszár inequality in Theorem A implies the right inequality of (2.26):

$$g_{f \circ \exp}(\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \leq I_{f \circ \exp}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}). \quad (2.31)$$

When f is increasing, we have $f \circ \exp \geq f$ on the positive half line. This ensure that the left inequality in (iv) is valid. \square

3. MATRIX JENSEN INEQUALITY

Let \mathbb{M}_n denote the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices and I denote the identity matrix. It is known that (see for example [10, Theorem 1.2]) an extension of the classical Jensen inequality holds as follows:

$$f(\langle A\eta, \eta \rangle) \leq \langle f(A)\eta, \eta \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

for every continuous convex function $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and every Hermitian matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ with eigenvalues in J and every unit vector $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Our mean by $f(A)$ is the Hermitian matrix defined using the spectral decomposition of A . Indeed, if $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$ is the spectral decomposition of the Hermitian matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, when λ_i 's are eigenvalues of A and P_i 's are projections with $\sum_{i=1}^n P_i = I$, then $f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\lambda_i) P_i$, See [10].

Lemma 2.3 can be applied to derive variants of (3.1) for MN-convex functions. See [1, 12, 15, 16, 18, 21] and references therein for a collection of such inequalities.

Proposition 3.1. *Let f be a continuous real function.*

(i) *If f is AH-convex, then*

$$f(\langle A\eta, \eta \rangle) \leq \langle f(A)^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1}, \quad (3.2)$$

(ii) *If f is AG-convex, then*

$$f(\langle A\eta, \eta \rangle) \leq \exp\langle \log f(A)\eta, \eta \rangle, \quad (3.3)$$

(iii) *If f is GA-convex, then*

$$f(\exp\langle \log A\eta, \eta \rangle) \leq \langle f(A)\eta, \eta \rangle, \quad (3.4)$$

(iv) *If f is GG-convex, then*

$$f(\exp\langle \log A\eta, \eta \rangle) \leq \exp\langle \log f(A)\eta, \eta \rangle, \quad (3.5)$$

(v) *If f is GH-convex, then*

$$f(\exp\langle \log A\eta, \eta \rangle) \leq \langle f(A)^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1}, \quad (3.6)$$

(vi) *If f is HG-convex, then*

$$f\left(\langle A^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1}\right) \leq \exp\langle \log f(A)\eta, \eta \rangle, \quad (3.7)$$

(vii) *If f is HH-convex, then*

$$f\left(\langle A^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1}\right) \leq \langle f(A)^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1}, \quad (3.8)$$

for every unit vector $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and every Hermitian matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, whose eigenvalues are contained in the domain of f .

Proof. We only note that utilising the spectral decomposition of A , the inner product terms in every part of proposition can be described by an scalar mean. For example, if $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$ is the spectral decomposition of A , then $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\langle \log A\eta, \eta \rangle &= \exp\left\langle \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log \lambda_i P_i\right) \eta, \eta \right\rangle \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle \log \lambda_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{\langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle} = G(\alpha; \Lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = (\langle P_1 \eta, \eta \rangle, \dots, \langle P_n \eta, \eta \rangle)$ is a weight vector and $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. \square

Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $B \in \mathbb{M}_m$ be Hermitian matrices with spectral decompositions $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$ and $B = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j Q_j$. When f is a two variable real function defined on $J_1 \times J_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, then we can define a Hermitian matrix $f(A, B)$ as

$$f(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f(\lambda_i, \mu_j) P_i \otimes Q_j$$

and so f becomes a matrix function of two variables from $\mathbb{M}_n \times \mathbb{M}_m$ to \mathbb{M}_{nm} . It has been shown in [17] that if f is a separately convex function on $J_1 \times J_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, then

$$f(\langle A\eta, \eta \rangle, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle) \leq \langle f(A, B)\eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle \quad (3.9)$$

for all unit vectors $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and all Hermitian matrices $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $B \in \mathbb{M}_m$.

As it was shown in Theorem 2.4, the type of convexity of the core function f affects on the convexity of perspective function g . In the rest of this section, we are going to establish matrix Jensen inequality (3.9) for the perspective functions in the case where f is a MN-convex function.

Theorem 3.2. *Let h be a real two-variable function on $J_1 \times J_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$.*

(i) *If h is separately HH-convex, then*

$$h(\langle A^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1}, \langle B^{-1}\zeta, \zeta \rangle^{-1}) \leq \langle h(A, B)^{-1}\eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle^{-1}; \quad (3.10)$$

(ii) *If h is separately GG-convex, then*

$$h(\exp\langle \log A\eta, \eta \rangle, \exp\langle \log B\zeta, \zeta \rangle) \leq \exp\langle \log h(A, B)\eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle; \quad (3.11)$$

for all unit vectors $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and all Hermitian matrices $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $B \in \mathbb{M}_m$.

Proof. Suppose that $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$ and $B = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i Q_i$ are spectral decompositions of Hermitian matrices A and B . Assume that $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^m$ are unit vectors so that $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle = 1 = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(\langle A^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1}, \langle B^{-1}\zeta, \zeta \rangle^{-1}) &= h\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-1} \langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle\right)^{-1}, b\right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle h(\lambda_i, b)^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $b = \langle B^{-1}\zeta, \zeta \rangle^{-1}$ and the inequality follows from the HH-convexity of h in the first variable and (2.8) of Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, the HH-convexity of h in the second variable gives

$$h(\lambda_i, b) = h\left(\lambda_i, \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j^{-1} \langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle\right)^{-1}\right) \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m h(\lambda_i, \mu_j)^{-1} \langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle\right)^{-1}. \quad (3.13)$$

It follows from (3.12) and (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\langle A^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1}, \langle B^{-1}\zeta, \zeta \rangle^{-1}) &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle \langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle h(\lambda_i, \mu_j)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m h(\lambda_i, \mu_j)^{-1} \langle (P_i \otimes Q_j) \eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle \right)^{-1} \\ &= \langle h(A, B)^{-1} \eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain (3.10). Next suppose that h is separately GG-convex and suppose that A and B are Hermitian matrices with the same spectral decompositions as in the first part. Utilising Lemma 2.3 we have

$$h(\exp \langle \log A \eta, \eta \rangle, \exp \langle \log B \zeta, \zeta \rangle) = h\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{\langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle}, b\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n h(\lambda_i, b)^{\langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle}, \quad (3.14)$$

in which $b = \exp \langle \log B \zeta, \zeta \rangle = \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j^{\langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle}$. Moreover, another use of Lemma 2.3 regarding the GG-convexity of h in the second variable gives

$$h(\lambda_i, b) = h\left(\lambda_i, \prod_{j=1}^m \mu_j^{\langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle}\right) \leq \prod_{j=1}^m h(\lambda_i, \mu_j)^{\langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle} \quad (3.15)$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. From (3.14) and (3.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(\exp \langle \log A \eta, \eta \rangle, \exp \langle \log B \zeta, \zeta \rangle) &\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m h(\lambda_i, \mu_j)^{\langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle \langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle} \\ &= \exp \langle \log h(A, B) \eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and we are done. \square

Remark 3.3. Let us give some applications of Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.2 for perspective functions. We show in Theorem 2.4 that if f is HH-convex, then the associated perspective function g is HH-convex in its both variables and so (3.10) holds by Theorem 3.2. For example, the function $f(t) = t^r$ is HH-convex for every $r \in [0, 1]$ and so $g(t, s) = sf(t/s) = s^{1-r}t^r$ is HH-convex in its both variables. Note that in this particular example we have $g(A, B) = A^r \otimes B^{1-r}$. Now (3.10) implies that

$$\langle A^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-r} \langle B^{-1}\zeta, \zeta \rangle^{r-1} \leq \langle (A^r \otimes B^{1-r}) \eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle.$$

Note that because the function $g(t, s)$ in this example can be decomposed as $g(t, s) = g_1(t)g_2(s)$, the above inequality follows directly from (3.1).

Remark 3.4. If the type of convexity in first coordinate of a two variable function h differs from its second coordinate, it is also possible to present Theorem 3.2. For

example, assume that the function h , defined on $J_1 \times J_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, is AH-convex in its first coordinate and convex in the second coordinate. Then

$$h(\langle A\eta, \eta \rangle, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle) \leq \langle h(A, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle)^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1}, \quad (3.16)$$

where we use (3.2) in Proposition 3.1 for the AH-convex function $h_1(t) = h(t, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle)$. Note that $h(A, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle)$ is a Hermitian matrix in \mathbb{M}_n defined by

$$h(A, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^n h(\lambda_i, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle) P_i,$$

in which we use the spectral decomposition of A as before. Since h is convex on the second coordinate, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$h(\lambda_i, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m h(\lambda_i, \mu_j) \langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle.$$

Accordingly,

$$h(A, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m h(\lambda_i, \mu_j) \langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle P_i,$$

whence

$$\langle h(A, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle)\eta, \eta \rangle \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m h(\lambda_i, \mu_j) \langle Q_j \zeta, \zeta \rangle \langle P_i \eta, \eta \rangle = \langle h(A, B)\eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle. \quad (3.17)$$

Now we obtain from (3.16) and (3.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\langle A\eta, \eta \rangle, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle) &\leq \langle h(A, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle)^{-1}\eta, \eta \rangle^{-1} \\ &\leq \langle h(A, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle)\eta, \eta \rangle \\ &\leq \langle h(A, B)\eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it can be shown that

$$h(\langle A\eta, \eta \rangle, \langle B\zeta, \zeta \rangle) \leq \langle h(\langle A\eta, \eta \rangle, B)\zeta, \zeta \rangle \leq \langle h(A, B)\eta \otimes \zeta, \eta \otimes \zeta \rangle.$$

We show in Theorem 2.4 that if f is AH-convex, then its perspective function g is AH-convex in first coordinate and convex in the second coordinate. Hence, the two last series of inequalities holds true for the perspective function of every AH-convex.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, S.S. Dragomir, *A survey of Jensen type inequalities for functions of self-adjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59** (2010), 3785–3812.
- [2] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, *Generalized convexity and inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **335** (2007), 1294–1308.

- [3] I. Csiszár, *Information Measures: A Critical Survey*, Trans. 7'th Prague Conf. on Info. Th., Statist. Decis. Funct., Random Processes and 8'th European Meeting of Statist., Volume B, Academia Prague (1978), 73–86.
- [4] I. Csiszár, *Information-type measures of difference of probability distributions and indirect observations*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. **2** (1967), 299–318.
- [5] I. Csiszár and J. Körner, *Information Theory: Coding Theorems for Discrete Memory-less Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, *Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for MN-convex functions*, to appear in The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, (Ed.), *Inequalities for Csiszár f -divergence in information theory*, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2000.
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, *Inequalities of Jensen type for AH-convex functions*, J. Numer. Anal. Approx. Theory, **45** (2016), 128–146.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, *Inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type for HH-convex functions*, Acta Comm. Univ. Tartuensis Math. **22**, Number 2, (2018), 179–190.
- [10] T. Furuta, J. Mičić, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond–Pečarić method in operator inequalities*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [11] G.L. Gilardoni, *On Pinsker's and Vajda's type inequalities for Csiszár's f -divergences*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **56** (2010), 5377–5386.
- [12] F. Hansen, H. Najafi, M.S. Moslehian, *Operator maps of Jensen-type*, Positivity **22** (2018), no. 5, 1255–1263.
- [13] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty and J.-E. Martínez-Legaz, *Convex solutions of a functional equation arising in information theory*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2007), 1309–1320.
- [14] M. Kian, *A characterization of mean values for Csiszár's inequality and applications*, Indaga. Math. **25** (2014) 505–515.
- [15] M. Kian, *Operator Jensen inequality for superquadratic functions*, Linear Algebra Appl. **456** (2014), 82–87.
- [16] M. Kian and S. S. Dragomir, *Inequalities involving superquadratic functions and operators*, Mediter. J. Math. **11** (2014), 1205–1214.
- [17] J. S. Matharu and J. S. Aujla, *Some majorization inequalities for convex functions of several variables*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **14** (2011), 947–956.
- [18] M.S. Moslehian, A. Dadkhah, and K. Yanagi, *Noncommutative versions of inequalities in quantum information theory*, Anal. Math. Phys. **9** (2019), no. 4, 2151–2169.
- [19] C. P. Niculescu, *Convexity according to the geometric mean*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **3** (2000), 155–167.
- [20] M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor and M. U. Awan, *Some inequalities for geometrically–arithmetically h -convex functions*, Creat. Math. Inform. **23** (2014), No. 1, 91–98.
- [21] J. Roojin, S. Habibzadeh and M.S. Moslehian, *Jensen inequalities for P -class functions*, Period. Math. Hungar. **77** (2018), no. 2, 261–273.
- [22] I. Sason, *On f -divergences: integral representations, local behavior, and inequalities*, Entropy **20** (2018), 383.
- [23] I. Vajda, *On metric divergences of probability measures*, Kybernetika **45** (2009), 885–900.

- [24] X.-M. Zhang, Y.-M. Chu and X.-H. Zhang, *The Hermite-Hadamard type inequality of GA-convex functions and its application*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2010, Article ID 507560, 11 pages.

MOHSEN KIAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BOJNORD, P. O. BOX 1339, BOJNORD 94531, IRAN

Email address: `kian@ub.ac.ir`