

ON VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES FOR METRIC MEAN DIMENSION

RUXI SHI

ABSTRACT. In this note, we show several variational principles for metric mean dimension. First we prove a variational principles in terms of Shapira's entropy related to finite open covers. Second we establish a variational principle in terms of Katok's entropy. Finally using these two variational principles we develop a variational principle in terms of Brin-Katok local entropy.

1. INTRODUCTION

The topological entropy is a basic invariant of dynamical systems which was studied for a long time. The interplay between ergodic theory and topological entropy was first investigated by Goodman [Goo71]. Gromov [Gro99] introduced a new topological invariant of dynamical systems called mean topological dimension. Mean topological dimension measures the complexity of dynamical systems of infinite entropy. Lindenstrauss and Weiss [LW00] introduced metric mean dimension as an invariant of dynamical systems which majors mean topological dimension. The connection between ergodic theory and metric mean dimension was pioneered by Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto [LT18]. They established a variational principle which states metric mean dimension as a supremum of certain rate distortion functions over invariant measures of the system. Very recently, Gutman and Śpiewak [GŚ20a] showed that it is enough to take supremum over ergodic measures in Lindenstrauss-Tsukamoto variational principle. For further applications of metric mean dimension, we refer to [Tsu18a, Tsu18b, GŚ19, GŚ20b] and the references therein.

One of our motivation of this note is Problem 3 in [GŚ20a], where Gutman and Śpiewak asked whether metric mean dimension can be expressed in terms of Brin-Katok local entropy. In this note, we give an affirmative answer to this problem and consequently build a variational principle for metric mean dimension in terms of Brin-Katok local entropy. The proof of this variational principle is involved in Section 5. To this end, we show a variational principle in terms of Shapira's entropy of an open cover. Furthermore, we prove an alternative variational principle for metric mean dimension in terms of Katok's entropy, which drops $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0}$ in [VV17]. We remark that Brin-Katok local entropy and

Shapira's entropy are only defined for ergodic measures. Thus the variational principles established in terms of Brin-Katok local entropy or Shapira's entropy take the supremum over the set of ergodic measures of the system.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Topological entropy and variational principle. Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system, i.e. (\mathcal{X}, d) is a compact metric space and $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a homeomorphism. Define

$$d_n(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} d(T^k x, T^k y),$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $K \subset X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. A subset $E \subset K$ is said to be (n, ϵ) -separated of K if distinct $x, y \in E$ implies $d_n(x, y) > \epsilon$. Denote by $s_n(d, T, K, \epsilon)$ (simply $s_n(K, \epsilon)$ when d, T are fixed) the largest cardinality of any (n, ϵ) -separated subset of K . Define

$$S(d, T, K, \epsilon) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_n(d, T, K, \epsilon).$$

We sometimes write $S(K, \epsilon)$ when d, T are fixed.

A subset $F \subset \mathcal{X}$ is said to be (n, ϵ) -spanning of $K \subset \mathcal{X}$ if for any $x \in K$ there exists $y \in F$ such that $d_n(x, y) \leq \epsilon$. Denote by $r_n(d, T, K, \epsilon)$ (simply $r_n(K, \epsilon)$ when d, T are fixed) the smallest cardinality of any (n, ϵ) -spanning subset in K . Define

$$R(d, T, K, \epsilon) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_n(d, T, K, \epsilon).$$

We sometimes write $R(K, \epsilon)$ when d, T are fixed. It is easy to check that

$$r_n(K, \epsilon) \leq s_n(K, \epsilon) \leq r_n(K, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$$

and consequently

$$R(K, \epsilon) \leq S(K, \epsilon) \leq R(K, \frac{\epsilon}{2}).$$

The *topological entropy* of K is defined by

$$h_{\text{top}}(T, K) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S(d, T, K, \epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} R(d, T, K, \epsilon),$$

which is independent of d .

Let μ be a T -invariant measure on \mathcal{X} , i.e. $T\mu = \mu$. For a Borel partition P of \mathcal{X} , the entropy $H_\mu(P)$ of P is defined by

$$H_\mu(P) = - \sum_{A \in P} \mu(A) \log \mu(A).$$

For convention, we set $0 \cdot \infty = 0$. Moreover, the (dynamical) entropy of P is defined as

$$h_\mu(P) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\mu(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i} P),$$

where $P \vee Q = \{A \cap B : A \in P, B \in Q\}$. The *measure-theoretic entropy* $h_\mu(T)$ of μ is defined by

$$h_\mu(T) = \sup_P h_\mu(P),$$

where the suprema are taken over all Borel partitions P of \mathcal{X} . One of the links between topological entropy and measure-theoretic entropy in ergodic theory is the variational principle, which was proved originally by Goodman [Goo71].

Theorem 2.1. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Then*

$$h(\mathcal{X}, T) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu(T) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu(T),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_T(\mathcal{X})$ is the collection of T -invariant measures and $\mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{M}_T(\mathcal{X})$ consists of ergodic ones.

2.2. Metric mean dimension. Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. The *upper metric mean dimension* of the system (\mathcal{X}, d, T) is defined by

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(d, T, \mathcal{X}, \epsilon)}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}},$$

which is also equal to $\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{R(d, T, \mathcal{X}, \epsilon)}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}$. Similarly, the *lower metric mean dimension* is defined by

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(d, T, \mathcal{X}, \epsilon)}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}.$$

If the upper and lower metric mean dimensions coincide, then we call their common value the *metric mean dimension* of (\mathcal{X}, d, T) and denote it by $\text{mdim}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T)$. Unlike the topological entropy, the metric mean dimension depends on the metric d .

Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto [LT19] provided a variational principle for metric mean dimension in terms of certain rate-distortion functions. Velozo and Velozo [VV17] proved an alternative formulation in terms of Katok entropy. Gutman and Śpiewak [GS20a] showed another one in terms of Rényi information dimension.

2.3. Entropy of an open cover. Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Let \mathcal{U} be a finite open cover of \mathcal{X} . The *topological entropy of \mathcal{U}* is defined as

$$h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{U}, T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}^n),$$

where $\mathcal{U}^n = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ the minimal cardinality of a subcover of \mathcal{U} .

The following version of the local variational principle for the entropy of an open cover was first conjectured by Romagnoli [Rom03] and then proved by Glasner and Weiss [GW06].

Theorem 2.2 ([GW06], Theorem 7.11). *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system and let \mathcal{U} be a finite open cover of \mathcal{X} . Then*

$$h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{U}, T) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{\mathcal{P} \succ \mathcal{U}} h_{\mu}(\mathcal{P}, T) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{\mathcal{P} \succ \mathcal{U}} h_{\mu}(\mathcal{P}, T)$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite Borel partitions \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{X} which refine \mathcal{U} (i.e. $A \in \mathcal{P}$ implies that $A \subset B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{U}$).

Let \mathcal{U} be a finite open cover of \mathcal{X} . We denote by $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U})$ the diameter of the cover, that is, the maximal diameter of any element of \mathcal{U} . We denote by $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U})$ the Lebesgue number of \mathcal{U} , that is, the largest number δ with the property that every open ball of radius δ is contained in an element of \mathcal{U} . A simple fact which we need is as follow. Indeed it follows by $s_n(\mathcal{X}, 3\text{diam}(\mathcal{U})) \leq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}^n) \leq s_n(\mathcal{X}, \text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}))$. See [GS20a, Lemma 3.5] or [Dow11, Theorem 6.1.8] for details.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Let \mathcal{U} be a finite open cover of \mathcal{X} . Then*

$$S(\mathcal{X}, 3\text{diam}(\mathcal{U})) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{U}, T) \leq S(\mathcal{X}, \text{Leb}(\mathcal{U})).$$

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$. Let \mathcal{U} be a finite open cover. For $\delta \in (0, 1)$, define $\mathcal{N}_{\mu}(\mathcal{U}, \delta)$ to be the minimum number of elements of \mathcal{U} , needed to cover a subset of \mathcal{X} whose μ -measure is at least δ . Define

$$(2.1) \quad h_{\mu}^S(\mathcal{U}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log \mathcal{N}_{\mu}(\mathcal{U}^n, \delta).$$

The above limit exists and is independent of δ due to Shapira [Sha07, Theorem 4.2]. Moreover, Shapira proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 ([Sha07], Theorem 4.4). *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system and let \mathcal{U} be a finite open cover of \mathcal{X} . Let $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$. Then*

$$h_{\mu}^S(\mathcal{U}) = \inf_{\mathcal{P} \succ \mathcal{U}} h_{\mu}(\mathcal{P}),$$

where \mathcal{P} runs over all partitions refining \mathcal{U} .

Combining Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we have an alternative local variational principal of a finite open cover as follows:

Theorem 2.5. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system and let \mathcal{U} be a finite open cover of \mathcal{X} . Then*

$$h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{U}, T) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_{\mu}^S(\mathcal{U}).$$

We remark that $h_{\mu}^S(\mathcal{U})$ is only defined for ergodic measure μ .

2.4. Brin-Katok local entropy. Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. For an invariant measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\mathcal{X})$ and a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the *Brin-Katok local entropy* at x is defined by

$$h_\mu^{BK}(x, \epsilon) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \mu(B_n(x, \epsilon)),$$

where $B_n(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in \mathcal{X} : d_n(x, y) < \epsilon\}$. The limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} h_\mu^{BK}(x, \epsilon)$ is denoted by $h_\mu^{BK}(x)$. If additionally μ is ergodic, then for μ -a.e. x ,

- $h_\mu^{BK}(x, \epsilon)$ is a constant, denoted by $h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon)$.
- $h_\mu^{BK}(x) = h_\mu(T)$.

See [BK83] for more details.

Gutman and Śpiewak showed a lower bound for metric mean dimension in terms of Brin-Katok local entropy and asked whether it is also the upper bound. More precisely, they asked [GS20a, Problem 3]:

Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Does the following equality hold?

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon).$$

We show a positive answer to this question in Section 5.

2.5. Katok's entropy. Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. For $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, define $N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon)$ to be the smallest number of any (n, ϵ) -dynamical balls (i.e. the balls have radius ϵ in the metric d_n) whose union has μ -measure larger than δ . The *Katok's entropy* is defined by

$$h_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon).$$

Katok [Kat80] proved that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} h_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta) = h_\mu(T)$$

for every $\delta > 0$.

2.6. Local entropy function. Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. For each $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$, we define the *local entropy function*

$$h_d(x, \epsilon) = \inf\{S(K, \epsilon) : K \text{ is a closed neighborhood of } x\},$$

and

$$\tilde{h}_d(x, \epsilon) = \inf\{R(K, \epsilon) : K \text{ is a closed neighborhood of } x\},$$

Clearly, $h_d(x, \epsilon) \geq \tilde{h}_d(x, \epsilon)$. Ye and Zhang showed that [YZ07, Proposition 4.4],

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} h_d(x, \epsilon) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{h}_d(x, \epsilon) = h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{X}, T).$$

3. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE I: SHAPIRA'S ENTROPY

Let F be a $(1, \frac{\epsilon}{4})$ -spanning set of \mathcal{X} . Obviously, the finite open cover $\mathcal{U} := \{B_1(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) : x \in F\}$ satisfies that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon$ and $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. Then we have the following lemma. See also [GS20a, Lemma 3.4] for the details.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d) be a compact metric space. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite open cover \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{X} such that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon$ and $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$.*

Now we show our first variational principle.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Then*

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}),$$

and

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}),$$

where \mathcal{U} runs over all finite open covers.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 3.1, we can find a finite open cover \mathcal{U}_0 of \mathcal{X} with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}_0) \leq \epsilon$ and $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}_0) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$. By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}) &\leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}_0) = h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{U}_0, T) \\ &\leq S(\mathcal{X}, \text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}_0)) \leq S(\mathcal{X}, \frac{\epsilon}{4}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is clear that for any finite cover \mathcal{U} with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8}$, the cover \mathcal{U}^n refines \mathcal{U}_0^n and as a consequence $\mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{U}^n, \delta) \geq \mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{U}_0^n, \delta)$ for any $0 < \delta < 1$. Thus $\inf_{\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8}} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}) \geq h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}_0)$. Then by Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{8}} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}) &\geq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}_0) = h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{U}_0, T) \\ &\geq S(\mathcal{X}, 3\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}_0)) \geq S(\mathcal{X}, 3\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$S(\mathcal{X}, 12\epsilon) \leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}) \leq S(\mathcal{X}, \frac{\epsilon}{4})$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore we complete the proof by the definition of metric mean dimension. \square

4. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE II: KATOK'S ENTROPY

Let (\mathcal{X}, d) be a compact metric space. For $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, define $\tilde{N}_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon)$ to be the smallest number of sets with diameter at most ϵ in the metric d_n whose union has μ -measure larger than δ . Recall that $N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon)$ is the smallest number of any (n, ϵ) -dynamical balls whose union has μ -measure larger than δ . Clearly,

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{N}_\mu^\delta(n, 2\epsilon) \leq N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon) \leq \tilde{N}_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon).$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Let μ be an ergodic measure. Let \mathcal{U} be a finite open cover of \mathcal{X} with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon_1$ and $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) \geq \epsilon_2$. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then*

$$\tilde{N}_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon_1) \leq \mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{U}^n, \delta) \leq N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon_2).$$

Proof. The inclusion $\tilde{N}_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon_1) \leq \mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{U}^n, \delta)$ is trivial. Let F be a collection of (n, ϵ_2) -dynamical balls with $\sharp F = N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon_2)$ whose union has μ -measure larger than δ . Then for each $B \in F$, there is $U_B \in \mathcal{U}^n$ such that $B \subset U_B$. Then the union of $U_B, B \in F$, has μ -measure larger than δ . Thus $\mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{U}^n, \delta) \leq N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon_2)$. \square

Our second result on variational principle is as follow.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Then for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta),$$

and

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we can find a finite open cover \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{X} with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon$ and $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. Fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$. Let $\sigma > 0$. By Lemma 4.1 and (4.1), we have

$$N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon) \leq \mathcal{N}_\mu(\mathcal{U}^n, \delta) \leq N_\mu^\delta(n, \frac{\epsilon}{4}).$$

It follows that

$$(4.2) \quad h_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta) \leq h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}) \leq h_\mu^K(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, \delta).$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta) &\leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}) = h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{U}, T) \\ &\leq S(\mathcal{X}, \text{Leb}(\mathcal{U})) \leq S(\mathcal{X}, \frac{\epsilon}{4}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^K\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, \delta\right) &\geq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}) = h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{U}, T) \\ &\geq S(\mathcal{X}, 3\text{diam}(\mathcal{U})) \geq S(\mathcal{X}, 3\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $S(\mathcal{X}, 12\epsilon) \leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta) \leq S(\mathcal{X}, \frac{\epsilon}{4})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Therefore we complete the proof by the definition of metric mean dimension. \square

5. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE III: BRIN-KATOK ENTROPY

In this section, we show the variational principle for metric mean dimension in terms of Brin-Katok local entropy, which also gives a positive answer to Problem 3 in [GS20a].

Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. For a cover \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{X} and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\mathcal{X})$, we define

$$h_\mu^{BK}(x, \mathcal{U}) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \mu(\mathcal{U}_x^n),$$

where \mathcal{U}_x^n is the union of all cells of the cover \mathcal{U}^n which contain x . If additionally μ is ergodic, then $h_\mu^{BK}(x, \mathcal{U})$ is a constant for μ -a.e. x , denoted by $h_\mu^{BK}(\mathcal{U})$. Moreover, if \mathcal{U} is a partition, then $h_\mu^{BK}(\mathcal{U}) = h_\mu(\mathcal{U})$ by Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem

Lemma 5.1. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Let \mathcal{U} be a finite cover of \mathcal{X} . Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$. Suppose $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon_1$ and $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) \geq \epsilon_2$. Then*

$$h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon_1) \leq h_\mu^{BK}(\mathcal{U}) \leq h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon_2),$$

for any $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof. It follows by the inclusion $B_n(x, \epsilon_2) \subset \mathcal{U}_x^n \subset B_n(x, \epsilon_1)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. \square

Now we present our main result in this section.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Then*

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon),$$

and

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon).$$

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 3.1, we can find a finite open cover \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{X} with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon$ and $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. Since $\text{diam}(\mathcal{P}) \leq \epsilon$ for any

partition $\mathcal{P} \succ \mathcal{U}$, by Lemma 5.1, we have $h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon) \leq \inf_{\mathcal{P} \succ \mathcal{U}} h_\mu(\mathcal{P})$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$. Then by Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon) &\leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{\mathcal{P} \succ \mathcal{U}} h_\mu(\mathcal{P}) = h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{U}, T) \\ &\leq S(\mathcal{X}, \text{Leb}(\mathcal{U})) \leq S(\mathcal{X}, \frac{\epsilon}{4}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies LHS \geq RHS.

It remains to show LHS \leq RHS. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$. Let $\sigma > 0$ and let

$$G_{n,\sigma} = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : -\frac{1}{n} \log \mu(B_n(x, \epsilon)) < h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon) + \sigma\}.$$

Since $\mu(\cup_{N \geq 1} \cap_{n \geq N} G_{n,\sigma}) = 1$ and $\cap_{n \geq N} G_{n,\sigma}$ is increasing as N grows, we have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\cap_{n \geq N} G_{n,\sigma}) = 1$. Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$, $\mu(G_{n,\sigma}) > \delta$. Pick arbitrary $n \geq n_0$. Let H_n be a maximal $(n, 2\epsilon)$ -separated set of $G_{n,\sigma}$. It follows that H_n is a $(n, 2\epsilon)$ -spanning set of $G_{n,\sigma}$. Thus the union of the balls $B_n(x, 3\epsilon)$, $x \in H_n$, cover $G_{n,\sigma}$. It implies that

$$\mu(\cup_{x \in H_n} B_n(x, 3\epsilon)) \geq \mu(G_{n,\sigma}) > \delta.$$

That is to say, $\#H_n \geq N_\mu^\delta(n, 3\epsilon)$. On the other hand, since H_n is the $(n, 2\epsilon)$ -separated set, the balls $B_n(x, \epsilon)$, $x \in H_n$, are disjoint. It follows that

$$1 \geq \mu(\cup_{x \in H_n} B_n(x, \epsilon)) = \sum_{x \in H_n} \mu(B_n(x, \epsilon)) \geq \#H_n e^{-n(h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon) + \sigma)},$$

where the last inequality is due to the fact that $H_n \subset G_{n,\sigma}$. Then $\#H_n \leq e^{n(h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon) + \sigma)}$. Therefore we get

$$N_\mu^\delta(n, 3\epsilon) \leq e^{n(h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon) + \sigma)},$$

and consequently

$$h_\mu^K(3\epsilon, \delta) \leq h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon) + \sigma.$$

Since σ is chosen arbitrarily, by Theorem 4.2, this completes the proof. \square

Example 5.3. Let $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the infinite product of the unit interval. Let $\sigma : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the (left) shift defined by $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Define a distance d on \mathcal{X} by

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-|n|} |x_n - y_n|.$$

It is known that $\text{mdim}_M([0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}, d, \sigma) = 1$ (see for instance [LT19, Example 1.1]). Let \mathcal{L} be the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and $\mu = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}}$. We will calculate $h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon > 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Set $\ell = \lceil \log_2 \frac{4}{\epsilon} \rceil$. Then $\sum_{|n| > \ell} 2^{-|n|} \leq \epsilon/2$. Let

$$I_n(x, \epsilon) := \{y \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}} : y_k \in x_k + [-\frac{\epsilon}{6}, \frac{\epsilon}{6}], \forall -\ell \leq k \leq n + \ell\},$$

and

$$J_n(x, \epsilon) := \{y \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}} : y_k \in x_k + [-\epsilon, \epsilon], \forall 0 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$I_n(x, \epsilon) \subset B_n(x, \epsilon) \subset J_n(x, \epsilon).$$

Since $\mu(I_n(x, \epsilon)) \geq (\frac{\epsilon}{6})^{n+\ell}$ and $\mu(J_n(x, \epsilon)) \leq (4\epsilon)^n$, we obtain that

$$\log \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \leq h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon) \leq \log \frac{3}{\epsilon}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon)}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} = 1 = \text{mdim}_M([0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}, d, \sigma).$$

6. DISCUSSION ON LOWER BRIN-KATOK LOCAL ENTROPY AND LOWER KATOK'S ENTROPY

Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. For an invariant measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\mathcal{X})$ and a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$, analogous to Brin-Katok entropy, we define the *lower Brin-Katok local entropy* by

$$\underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(x, \epsilon) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \mu(B_n(x, \epsilon)).$$

The limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(x, \epsilon)$ is denoted by $\underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(x)$. If additionally μ is ergodic, then $\underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(x, \epsilon)$ is a constant for μ -a.e. x , denoted by $\underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon)$ and as a consequence $\underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(x) = h_\mu(T)$.

Recall that $N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon)$ is the smallest number of any (n, ϵ) -dynamical balls whose union has μ -measure larger than δ . Analogous to Katok's entropy, we define the *lower Katok's entropy* by

$$\underline{h}_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_\mu^\delta(n, \epsilon).$$

For a cover \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{X} and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\mathcal{X})$, we define

$$\underline{h}_\mu(x, \mathcal{U}) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \mu(\mathcal{U}_x^n).$$

If additionally μ is ergodic, then $\underline{h}_\mu(x, \mathcal{U})$ is a constant for μ -a.e. x , denoted by $\underline{h}_\mu(\mathcal{U})$. Same as Lemma 5.1, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Let \mathcal{U} be a finite open cover of \mathcal{X} . Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$. Suppose that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon_1$ and $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) \geq \epsilon_2$. Then*

$$\underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon_1) \leq \underline{h}_\mu(\mathcal{U}) \leq \underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon_2),$$

for any $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$.

In the proof of Theorem 5.2, we see that h_μ^K is bounded from above by h_μ^{BK} . We show in the following proposition that h_μ^K is bounded from below by \underline{h}_μ^{BK} .

Proposition 6.2. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Then for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$h_\mu^K\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, \delta\right) \geq \underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon), \forall \mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we can find a finite open cover \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{X} with $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \epsilon$ and $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. Fix $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$. Let $\sigma > 0$. Let

$$F_{n,\sigma} = \left\{x \in \mathcal{X} : -\frac{1}{n} \log \mu(\mathcal{U}_x^n) > \underline{h}_\mu(\mathcal{U}) - \sigma\right\}.$$

Since $\mu(\cup_{N \geq 1} \cap_{n \geq N} F_{n,\sigma}) = 1$ and $\cap_{n \geq N} F_{n,\sigma}$ is increasing as N grows, we have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\cap_{n \geq N} F_{n,\sigma}) = 1$. Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$, $\mu(F_{n,\sigma}) > 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$. Since $\text{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$, we see that a $(n, \frac{\epsilon}{4})$ -dynamical ball containing a point $x \in F_{n,\sigma}$ is entirely contained in \mathcal{U}_x^n , so its measure is at most $e^{-n(\underline{h}_\mu(\mathcal{U}) - \sigma)}$. For $n > n_0$, note that the μ -measure of the intersection between the complement of $F_{n,\sigma}$ and any union of $(n, \frac{\epsilon}{4})$ -dynamical balls in \mathcal{X} whose measure larger than δ is smaller or equal to $\delta/2$. Thus

$$N_\mu^\delta\left(n, \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} e^{n\underline{h}_\mu(\mathcal{U}) - n\sigma}, \forall n > n_0.$$

It follows that $h_\mu^K\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, \delta\right) \geq \underline{h}_\mu(\mathcal{U}) - \sigma$. Since σ is arbitrary, by Lemma 6.1 we get

$$h_\mu^K\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, \delta\right) \geq \underline{h}_\mu(\mathcal{U}) \geq \underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon).$$

This completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 4.1 and the fact that limit (2.1) exists, it follows from the same proof of (4.2) that

$$(6.1) \quad \underline{h}_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta) \leq h_\mu^S(\mathcal{U}) \leq \underline{h}_\mu^K\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, \delta\right).$$

Using (6.1) and the same proof of Theorem 4.2, we get the variational principle for metric mean dimension hold in terms of \underline{h}_μ^K . We omit the proof here and leave it to the readers to work out the details.

Proposition 6.3. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Then for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} \underline{h}_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta),$$

and

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})} \underline{h}_\mu^K(\epsilon, \delta).$$

We end up this section with open problems as follows.

Problem 1: Does the variational principle for metric mean dimension hold in terms of \underline{h}_μ^K ?

Problem 2: Is \underline{h}_μ^{BK} bounded from below by \underline{h}_μ^K ? That is to say, does there exist a constant c such that for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, one has that $\underline{h}_\mu^K(c\epsilon, \delta) \leq \underline{h}_\mu^{BK}(\epsilon), \forall \mu \in \mathcal{E}_T(\mathcal{X})$?

We remark that the affirmative answer to Problem 2 will give a positive answer to Problem 1.

7. ON LOCAL ENTROPY FUNCTION

In this section, we show that the metric mean dimension is related to the local entropy function. Tsukamoto [Tsu18b, Lemma 2.5] showed a formula of metric mean dimension in terms of local quantity. We develop an alternative formula in terms of local entropy function.

Theorem 7.1. *Let (\mathcal{X}, d, T) be a topological dynamical system. Then*

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h_d(x, \epsilon).$$

Proof. Since $h_d(x, \epsilon) \leq S(\mathcal{X}, \epsilon)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, it is obvious that LHS \geq RHS. The other inequality follows from Lemma 7.2 and $h_d(x, \epsilon) \geq \tilde{h}_d(x, \epsilon)$. \square

By same argument, we also have that

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_M(\mathcal{X}, d, T) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h_d(x, \epsilon).$$

Lemma 7.2. *Let K be a closed subset of X . Then $\sup_{x \in K} \tilde{h}_d(x, \epsilon) \geq R(K, \epsilon)$.*

Proof. Let $\{B_1^1, B_2^1, \dots, B_{n_1}^1\}$ be a cover of K consisting of closed balls with diameter at most 1. Then there exists j_1 such that

$$R(K, \epsilon) = R(B_{j_1}^1 \cap K, \epsilon).$$

Cover $B_{j_1}^1 \cap K$ by closed balls $B_1^2, B_2^2, \dots, B_{n_2}^2$ with diameter at most $\frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists j_2 such that

$$R(K, \epsilon) = R(B_{j_2}^1 \cap K, \epsilon).$$

By induction, for every $k \geq 2$, there exists a closed ball $B_{j_k}^k$ with diameter at most $\frac{1}{k}$ such that

$$R(K, \epsilon) = R(B_{j_k}^k \cap K, \epsilon).$$

Let $\bar{x} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} B_{j_k}^k$ (which is equal to $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (B_{j_k}^k \cap K)$ by above construction). For any closed neighborhood K' of \bar{x} , we can find sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B_{j_k}^k \cap K \subset K'$, which implies that

$$R(K', \epsilon) \geq R(B_{j_k}^k \cap K) = R(K, \epsilon),$$

that is, $h_d(\bar{x}, \epsilon) \geq R(K, \epsilon)$. This completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Adam Śpiewak for pointing out a mistake on an earlier draft. We are grateful to Yonatan Gutman for valuable remarks.

REFERENCES

- [BK83] Michael Brin and Anatole Katok. On local entropy. In *Geometric dynamics*, pages 30–38. Springer, 1983.
- [Dow11] Tomasz Downarowicz. *Entropy in dynamical systems*, volume 18. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [Goo71] Tim NT Goodman. Relating topological entropy and measure entropy. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(2):176–180, 1971.
- [Gro99] Misha Gromov. Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps. I. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 2(4):323–415, 1999.
- [GŚ19] Yonatan Gutman and Adam Śpiewak. New uniform bounds for almost lossless analog compression. In *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 1702–1706. IEEE, 2019.
- [GŚ20a] Yonatan Gutman and Adam Śpiewak. Around the variational principle for metric mean dimension. *arXiv:2010.14772*, 2020.
- [GŚ20b] Yonatan Gutman and Adam Śpiewak. Metric mean dimension and analog compression. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2020.
- [GW06] E. Glasner and B. Weiss. On the interplay between measurable and topological dynamics. In *Handbook of dynamical systems. Vol. 1B*, pages 597–648. Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [Kat80] Anatole Katok. Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms. *Publications Mathématiques de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 51(1):137–173, 1980.
- [LT18] Elon Lindenstrauss and Masaki Tsukamoto. From rate distortion theory to metric mean dimension: variational principle. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(5):3590–3609, 2018.
- [LT19] Elon Lindenstrauss and Masaki Tsukamoto. Double variational principle for mean dimension. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, pages 1–62, 2019.
- [LW00] Elon Lindenstrauss and Benjamin Weiss. Mean topological dimension. *Israel J. Math.*, 115:1–24, 2000.
- [Rom03] Pierre-Paul Romagnoli. A local variational principle for the topological entropy. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 23(5):1601, 2003.
- [Sha07] Uri Shapira. Measure theoretical entropy of covers. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 158(1):225–247, 2007.
- [Tsu18a] Masaki Tsukamoto. Large dynamics of yang–mills theory: mean dimension formula. *Journal d’Analyse Mathématique*, 134(2):455–499, 2018.
- [Tsu18b] Masaki Tsukamoto. Mean dimension of the dynamical system of brody curves. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 211(3):935–968, 2018.
- [VV17] Anibal Velozo and Renato Velozo. Rate distortion theory, metric mean dimension and measure theoretic entropy. *arXiv:1707.05762*, 2017.
- [YZ07] Xiangdong Ye and Guohua Zhang. Entropy points and applications. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 359(12):6167–6186, 2007.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. ŚNIADECKICH
8, 00-656 WARSZAWA, POLAND
Email address: rshi@impan.pl