SUBCRITICAL POLARISATIONS OF SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS HAVE DEGREE ONE

HANSJÖRG GEIGES, KEVIN SPORBECK, AND KAI ZEHMISCH

ABSTRACT. We show that if the complement of a Donaldson hypersurface in a closed, integral symplectic manifold has the homology of a subcritical Stein manifold, then the hypersurface is of degree one. In particular, this demonstrates a conjecture by Biran and Cieliebak on subcritical polarisations of symplectic manifolds. Our proof is based on a simple homological argument using ideas of Kulkarni–Wood.

1. DONALDSON HYPERSURFACES AND SYMPLECTIC POLARISATIONS

Let (M, ω) be a closed, connected, integral symplectic manifold, that is, the de Rham cohomology class $[\omega]_{dR}$ lies in the image of the homomorphism $H^2(M) \to H^2_{dR}(M) = H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$ induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$. The cohomology classes in $H^2(M)$ mapping to $[\omega]_{dR}$ are called *integral lifts*, and by abuse of notation we shall write $[\omega]$ for any such lift. Following McDuff and Salamon [10, Section 14.5], we call a codimension 2 symplectic submanifold $\Sigma \subset M$ a **Donaldson hypersur**face if it is Poincaré dual to $d[\omega] \in H^2(M)$ for some integral lift $[\omega]$ and some (necessarily positive) integer d. Donaldson [4] has established the existence of such hypersurfaces for any sufficiently large d.

The pair (M, Σ) is called a **polarisation** of (M, ω) , and the number $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the **degree** of the polarisation. Biran and Cieliebak [2] studied these polarisations in the Kähler case, where ω admits a compatible *integrable* almost complex structure J. In that setting, the complement $(M \setminus \Sigma, J)$ admits in a natural way the structure of a Stein manifold.

As shown recently by Giroux [7], building on work of Cieliebak–Eliashberg, even in the non-Kähler case the complement of a symplectic hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$ found by Donaldson's construction admits the structure of a Stein manifold. Here, of course, the complex structure on $M \setminus \Sigma$ does not, in general, extend over Σ . Complements of Donaldson hypersurfaces are also studied in [3].

2. Subcritical polarisations

The focus of Biran and Cieliebak [2] lay on *subcritical* polarisations of Kähler manifolds, which means that $(M \setminus \Sigma, J)$ admits a plurisubharmonic Morse function φ all of whose critical points have, for dim M = 2n, index less than n. (They also assumed that φ coincides with the plurisubharmonic function defining the natural Stein structure outside a compact set containing all critical points of φ .)

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D35, 57R17, 57R19, 57R95.

This research is part of a project in the SFB/TRR 191 Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics, funded by the DFG (Project-ID 281071066 – TRR 191).

More generally, McDuff and Salamon [10, p. 504] propose the study of polarisations (M, Σ) where the complement $M \setminus \Sigma$ is homotopy equivalent to a subcritical Stein manifold (of finite type). We relax this condition a little further and call (M, Σ) **homologically subcritical** if $M \setminus \Sigma$ has the *homology* of a subcritical Stein manifold, that is, of a CW-complex containing finitely many cells up to dimension at most n-1. This means that there is some $\ell \leq n-1$ such that $H_k(M \setminus \Sigma)$ vanishes for $k \geq \ell + 1$ and $H_\ell(M \setminus \Sigma)$ is torsion-free.

Motivated by the many examples they could construct, Biran and Cieliebak [2, p. 751] conjectured that subcritical polarisations necessarily have degree 1. They suggested an approach to this conjecture using either symplectic or contact homology. A rough sketch of a proof along these lines, in the language of symplectic field theory, was given by Eliashberg–Givental–Hofer [5, p. 661]. A missing assumption $c_1(M \setminus \Sigma) = 0$ of that argument and a few more details — still short of a complete proof — were added by J. He [8, Proposition 4.2], who appeals to Gromov–Witten theory and polyfolds.

Here is our main result, which entails the conjecture of Biran–Cieliebak.

Theorem 1. Let (M, ω) be a closed, integral symplectic manifold, and $\Sigma \subset M$ a compact symplectic submanifold of codimension 2, Poincaré dual to the integral cohomology class $d[\omega]$ for some (positive) integer d. If (M, Σ) is homologically subcritical, then $d[\omega]$ /torsion is indivisible in $H^2(M)$ /torsion. In particular, d = 1.

Our proof is devoid of any sophisticated machinery. The assumption on (M, Σ) to be homologically subcritical guarantees the surjectivity of a certain homomorphism in homology described by Kulkarni and Wood [9]; this implies the claimed indivisibility.

3. The Kulkarni–Wood homomorphism

We consider a pair (M, Σ) consisting of a closed, connected, oriented manifold M of dimension 2n, and a compact, oriented hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$ of codimension 2. No symplectic assumptions are required in this section.

Write $i: \Sigma \to M$ for the inclusion map. The Poincaré duality isomorphisms on M and Σ from cohomology to homology, given by capping with the fundamental class, are denoted by PD_M and PD_{Σ} , respectively.

In their study of the topology of complex hypersurfaces, Kulkarni and Wood [9] used the following composition, which we call the *Kulkarni–Wood homomorphism*:

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{KW}} \colon H^{k}(M) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} H^{k}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{PD}_{\Sigma}} H_{2n-2-k}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H_{2n-2-k}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{PD}_{M}^{-1}} H^{k+2}(M).$$

Lemma 2. The Kulkarni–Wood homomorphism equals the cup product with the cohomology class $\sigma := \text{PD}_M^{-1}(i_*[\Sigma]) \in H^2(M)$.

Proof. For $\alpha \in H^k(M)$ we compute

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{KW}}(\alpha) = \mathrm{PD}_{M}^{-1} i_{*} \mathrm{PD}_{\Sigma} i^{*} \alpha = \mathrm{PD}_{M}^{-1} i_{*} (i^{*} \alpha \cap [\Sigma]) \\
= \mathrm{PD}_{M}^{-1} (\alpha \cap i_{*} [\Sigma]) = \mathrm{PD}_{M}^{-1} (\alpha \cap \mathrm{PD}_{M}(\sigma)) \\
= \mathrm{PD}_{M}^{-1} (\alpha \cap (\sigma \cap [M])) = \mathrm{PD}_{M}^{-1} ((\alpha \cup \sigma) \cap [M]) \\
= \alpha \cup \sigma. \quad \Box$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

Lemma 3. If the complement $M \setminus \Sigma$ has the homology type of a CW-complex of dimension ℓ for some $\ell \leq n-1$, then Φ_{KW} : $H^k(M) \to H^{k+2}(M)$ is surjective in the range $\ell - 1 \leq k \leq 2n - \ell - 2$.

Proof. Write $\nu\Sigma$ for an open tubular neighbourhood of Σ in M. By homotopy, excision, duality, and the universal coefficient theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(M,\Sigma) &\cong & H_k(M,\nu\Sigma) \cong H_k(M \setminus \nu\Sigma, \partial(\nu\Sigma)) \\ &\cong & H^{2n-k}(M \setminus \nu\Sigma) \cong FH_{2n-k}(M \setminus \Sigma) \oplus TH_{2n-k-1}(M \setminus \Sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where F and T denotes the free and the torsion part, respectively. This vanishes for $2n - k - 1 \ge \ell$, that is, for $k \le 2n - \ell - 1$. It follows that the homomorphism $i_*: H_{2n-2-k}(\Sigma) \to H_{2n-2-k}(M)$ is surjective for $2n-2-k \le 2n-\ell-1$, or $k \ge \ell-1$. Similarly (or directly by Poincaré–Lefschetz duality) we have

$$H^k(M, \Sigma) \cong H_{2n-k}(M \setminus \Sigma),$$

which vanishes for $2n - k \ge \ell + 1$, that is, for $k \le 2n - \ell - 1$. Hence, the homomorphism $i^* \colon H^k(M) \to H^k(\Sigma)$ is surjective for $k + 1 \le 2n - \ell - 1$, that is, for $k \le 2n - \ell - 2$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the homomorphism Φ_{KW} : $H^k(M) \to H^{k+2}(M)$ is surjective at least in the range $n-2 \leq k \leq n-1$; simply set $\ell = n-1$ in Lemma 3. Thus, we can pick an even number k = 2m in this range. The free part of $H^{2m+2}(M)$ is non-trivial, since this cohomology group contains the element $[\omega]^{m+1}$ of infinite order.

On the other hand, $\Phi_{\rm KW}$ is given by the cup product with $d[\omega]$, as shown in Lemma 2. If $d[\omega]/$ torsion were divisible, so would be all elements in the image of $\Phi_{\rm KW}$ in $H^{2m+2}(M)/$ torsion, and $\Phi_{\rm KW}$ would not be surjective.

Remark 4. The real Euler class of the circle bundle $\partial(\nu\Sigma)$ equals $d[\omega]_{dR}$, and the natural Boothby–Wang contact structure on this bundle has an exact convex filling by the complement $M \setminus \nu\Sigma$, see [6, Lemma 3], [7, Proposition 5] and [3, Lemma 2.2]. With [1, Theorem 1.2] the condition 'homologically subcritical' of Theorem 1 may be replaced by assuming the existence of *some* subcritical Stein filling of this Boothby–Wang contact structure.

References

- K. BARTH, H. GEIGES AND K. ZEHMISCH, The diffeomorphism type of symplectic fillings, J. Symplectic Geom. 17 (2019), 929–971.
- [2] P. BIRAN AND K. CIELIEBAK, Symplectic topology on subcritical manifolds, Comment. Math. Helv. 76 (2001), 712–753.
- [3] L. DIOGO AND S. T. LISI, Symplectic homology of complements of smooth divisors, J. Topol. 12 (2019), 967–1030.
- [4] S. K. DONALDSON, Symplectic submanifolds and almost-complex geometry, J. Differential Geom. 44 (1996), 666–705.
- [5] YA. ELIASHBERG, A. GIVENTAL AND H. HOFER, Introduction to symplectic field theory, Geom. Funct. Anal. 2000, Special Volume, Part II, 560–673.
- [6] H. GEIGES AND A. I. STIPSICZ, Contact structures on product five-manifolds and fibre sums along circles, *Math. Ann.* 348 (2010), 195–210.
- [7] E. GIROUX, Remarks on Donaldson's symplectic submanifolds, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 13 (2017), 369–388.

- [8] J. HE, Correlators and descendants of subcritical Stein manifolds, Internat. J. Math. 24 (2013), 1350004, 38 pp.
- R. S. KULKARNI AND J. W. WOOD, Topology of nonsingular complex hypersurfaces, Adv. in Math. 35 (1980), 239–263.
- [10] D. MCDUFF AND D. SALAMON, Introduction to Symplectic Topology (3rd edn), Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

Mathematisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86–90, 50931 Köln, Germany

 $Email \ address: \tt geiges@math.uni-koeln.de$

Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, 44780 Bochum, Germany

Email address: kevin.sporbeck@rub.de, kai.zehmisch@rub.de

4