

Mathematical model of brain tumour growth with drug resistance

José Trobia^{1,2}, Kun Tian³, Antonio M Batista^{1,2,4}, Celso Grebogi^{3,5},
 Hai-Peng Ren^{3,6}, Moises S Santos⁴, Paulo R Protachevicz², Fernando S
 Borges⁷, José D Szezech Jr^{1,2}, Ricardo L Viana⁸, Iberê L Caldas⁴, Kelly C
 Iarosz^{4,9,*}

¹*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, State University of Ponta Grossa,
 84030-900, Ponta Grossa, PR, Brazil*

²*Graduate in Science Program - Physics, State University of Ponta Grossa, 84030-900,
 Ponta Grossa, PR, Brazil*

³*Shaanxi Key Lab of Complex System Control and Intelligent Information Processing,
 Xi'an University of Technology, Xi'an 710048, PR, China*

⁴*Institute of Physics, University of São Paulo, 05508-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil*

⁵*Institute for Complex Systems and Mathematical Biology, University of Aberdeen, AB24
 3UE, Aberdeen, Scotland, United Kingdom*

⁶*Xi'an Technological University, Xi'an, 710021, PR, China*

⁷*Center for Mathematics, Computation, and Cognition, Federal University of ABC,
 09606-045, São Bernardo do Campo, SP, Brazil*

⁸*Department of Physics, Federal University of Paraná, 80060-000, Curitiba, PR, Brazil*

⁹*Faculdade de Telêmaco Borba, FATEB, 84266-010, Telêmaco Borba, PR, Brazil*

Abstract

Brain tumours are masses of abnormal cells that can grow in an uncontrolled way in the brain. There are different types of malignant brain tumours. Gliomas are malignant brain tumours that grow from glial cells and are identified as astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, and ependymoma. We study a mathematical model that describes glia-neuron interaction, glioma, and chemotherapeutic agent. In this work, we consider drug sensitive and resistant glioma cells. We show that continuous and pulsed chemotherapy can

*Corresponding author: kiarosz@gmail.com, antoniomarcosbatista@gmail.com

kill glioma cells with a minimal loss of neurons.

Keywords: brain, tumour, chemotherapy, drug resistance, glia-neuron interaction

1. Introduction

Tumour cells are abnormal cells that are classified into benign and malignant. The benign tumours do not invade the normal tissue, while the malignant tumour invade and can spread around the body [1]. The malignant tumours are cancerous tumours and they have a growth rate much faster than normal cells [2, 3]. Cancer is one of the main causes of death worldwide and many treatments have been developed, such as chemotherapy, surgery, and radiation therapy [4].

Thermodynamic analysis related to the energy management of cancer cells was developed by Lucia and Grisolia [5]. In 2013, Lucia [6] proposed the use of engineering thermodynamic approach on data collected from brain and breast tumours, as well as, therapy based on entropy generation approach [7]. One example of this type of therapy is the application of electromagnetic fields [8, 9]. Recently, Bergandi et al. [10] showed the effect of very low frequency electromagnetic field in the cancer growth and developed a thermodynamic model to obtain the type of frequency. Mathematical modelling of tumour growth has been used to understand different aspects of cancer [11, 12, 13]. Pinho et al. [14] analysed a mathematical model of cancer treatment by chemotherapy agent taking metastasis into account. Borges et al. [15] used a model to study tumour growth under treatment by continuous and pulsed chemotherapy. Nani and Freedman [16] studied cancer immunotherapy through models that incorporate tumor-immune interaction [17].

One of the most common type of malignant brain tumour is the glioma that starts in the glial cells [18]. The glial cells provide neuronal support and protection [19]. In the literature, it is possible to find different brain tumour models. Partial [20] and ordinary [21] differential equations have been used to simulate the dynamic behaviour related to the glioma growth.

Drug resistance in cancer is a major problem in chemotherapy treatment [22], due to the ability of cancerous cells to develop resistance to chemotherapeutic agents [23]. Nass and Efferth [24] studied drug targets and resistance mechanisms in myeloma. Recently, He et al. [25] reported mechanisms re-

lated to drug-resistant ovarian-cancer cells. A mathematical modelling of therapy, inducing cancer drug resistance, was analysed by Sun et al. [26]. We propose a model with glioma drug resistance by adding a new differential equation in the model proposed by Iarosz et al. [27] for gliomas with glia-neuron interactions and chemotherapy treatment. The authors computed the values of the infusion of chemotherapy agents in which the glioma is suppressed and a minimum number of neurons is lost, without neurogenesis. In this work, the novelty is that we consider glioma drug resistance. In this way, our model has glia-neuron interactions, resistant and sensitive gliomas, as well as chemotherapy treatment. The tumour treatment occurs through continuous or pulsed chemotherapy. In the continuous chemotherapy, the neuronal lifespan depends on the infusion of chemotherapy agent rate and the mutation rate from drug-sensitive to drug-resistant cells. With regard to the pulsed chemotherapy, we show that the chemotherapy cycle and the time interval of the drug application play important roles in the treatment.

This paper is organised as follows: in Section 2 we introduce the mathematical model, Section 3 presents our results for continuous and pulsed chemotherapy, and in the last Section we draw our conclusions.

2. Brain tumour model with drug resistance

We include drug resistance in the Iarosz model [27]. Figure 1 displays a schematic representation with the interactions considered in the modified model. The sensitive and resistant glioma cells have logistic growth, as well as they attack the glial cells and do not attack the neurons. The glial cells interact with the neurons, attack the glioma cells, and have logistic growth. The chemotherapy agent is a predator that attack the glioma cells, glial cells, and neurons. Due to the chemotherapy, the sensitive glioma cells convert to resistant glioma cells through mutations.

The mathematical model is described by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dG(t)}{dt} &= P_G G(t) \left(1 - \frac{G(t)}{C_1}\right) - \Psi_G G(t)[S(t) + R(t)] - \frac{I_1 G(t) Q(t)}{A_1 + G(t)}, \quad (1) \\ \frac{dS(t)}{dt} &= P_S S(t) \left(1 - \frac{S(t) + R(t)}{C_2}\right) - \Psi_S G(t) S(t) - u F[Q(t)] S(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{I_2 S(t) Q(t)}{A_2 + S(t)}, \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: (Colour online) Schematic representation of the model.

$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = P_R R(t) \left(1 - \frac{S(t) + R(t)}{C_2} \right) - \Psi_R G(t) R(t) + u F[Q(t)] S(t), \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = \psi \dot{G}(t) F \left(-\frac{\dot{G}(t)}{C_1} \right) N(t) - \frac{I_3 N(t) Q(t)}{A_3 + N(t)}, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = \Phi - \zeta Q(t), \quad (5)$$

where G is the glial cells concentration (kg.m^{-3}), S is the drug sensitive glioma cells concentration (kg.m^{-3}), R is the resistant drug glioma cells concentration (kg.m^{-3}), N is the neurons concentration (kg.m^{-3}), Q is the chemotherapeutic agent concentration (mg.m^{-2}), and $F(x)$ is a function defined as

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0, \\ 1, & x > 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Table 1 describes the values of the parameters taken from the referenced literature. In Eqs. (2) and (3), the third term is related to the change from sensitive to resistant glioma cells. In Eq. (4), the first term is associated with the decay of the neuronal population due to the glial cells death.

The normalised model is given by

$$\frac{dg(t)}{dt} = P_G g(t)[1 - g(t)] - \beta_1 g(t)[s(t) + r(t)] - \frac{i_1 g(t) Q(t)}{a_1 + g(t)}, \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds(t)}{dt} = & P_S s(t)[1 - (s(t) + r(t))] - \beta_2 g(t)s(t) - uF[Q(t)]s(t) \\ & - \frac{i_2 s(t) Q(t)}{a_2 + s(t)}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{dr(t)}{dt} = P_R r(t)[1 - (s(t) + r(t))] - \beta_3 g(t)r(t) + uF[Q(t)]s(t), \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{dn(t)}{dt} = \alpha \dot{g}(t)F[-\dot{g}(t)]n(t) - \frac{i_3 n(t) Q(t)}{a_3 + n(t)}, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = \Phi - \zeta Q(t), \quad (11)$$

where $g(t) = \frac{G(t)}{C_1}$, $s(t) = \frac{S(t)}{C_2}$, $r(t) = \frac{R}{C_2}$, $n(t) = \frac{N(t)}{C_3}$, $\beta_1 = \Psi_G C_2$, $\beta_2 = \Psi_S C_1$, $\beta_3 = \Psi_R C_1$, $\alpha = \psi C_1$, $a_i = \frac{A_i}{C_i}$, and $i_i = \frac{I_i}{C_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). The values of the parameters are given in Table 2.

Table 1: Parameters values taken from the referenced literature.

Parameter	Values	Description
P_G	0.0068 day ⁻¹	Proliferation rate [28, 29]
P_S	0.012 day ⁻¹	
P_R	0.002 and 0.006 day ⁻¹	
ψ	0 – 0.02	Loss influences [28]
I_1, I_3	2.4×10^{-5} m ² (mg·day) ⁻¹	Interaction coefficients [28, 30]
I_2	2.4×10^{-2} m ² (mg·day) ⁻¹	
Φ	0 – 200 mg(m ² ·day) ⁻¹	Chemotherapy [31, 32]
ζ	0.2 day ⁻¹	Absorption rate [15]
u	0 – 1	Mutation rate
A_1, A_2, A_3	510 kg·m ⁻³	Holling type 2
Ψ_G	3.6×10^{-5} day ⁻¹	Competition coefficients [28]
Ψ_S, Ψ_R	3.6×10^{-6} day ⁻¹	
C_1, C_2, C_3	510 kg·m ⁻³	Carrying capacity [33]

The equilibria points, which are physiologically feasible, $E(\bar{g}, \bar{s}, \bar{r}, \bar{n}, \bar{Q})$ of the model are obtained through $\dot{g}(t) = 0$, $\dot{s}(t) = 0$, $\dot{r}(t) = 0$, $\dot{n}(t) = 0$, and

Table 2: Values of the parameters for the normalisation.

Parameter	Values
β_1	$1.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$
β_2, β_3	$1.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ day}^{-1}$
α	0 – 10
a_1, a_2, a_3	1
i_1, i_3	$4.7 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2(\text{mg}\cdot\text{day})^{-1}$
i_2	$4.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2(\text{mg}\cdot\text{day})^{-1}$

$\dot{Q}(t) = 0$. First, we analyse the local stability for an undesirable equilibrium, where this equilibrium is given by $E_0(0, 0, 0, 0, \Phi\zeta^{-1})$. The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix are

$$\lambda_1^{(0)} = P_G - \frac{i_1\Phi}{\zeta a_1}, \quad (12)$$

$$\lambda_2^{(0)} = P_S - \frac{i_2\Phi}{\zeta a_2} - u, \quad (13)$$

$$\lambda_3^{(0)} = P_R, \quad (14)$$

$$\lambda_4^{(0)} = -\frac{i_3\Phi}{\zeta a_3}, \quad (15)$$

$$\lambda_5^{(0)} = -\zeta. \quad (16)$$

We identify the stability of the equilibrium through the sign of the real part of each eigenvalue. In a hyperbolic equilibrium, if the real part of each eigenvalue is strictly negative, then the equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable, and if positive, then the equilibrium is unstable. In order to ensure the stability of $E_0(0, 0, 0, \Phi\zeta^{-1})$, it is necessary that

$$\Phi > \frac{P_G a_1 \zeta}{i_1}, \quad (17)$$

$$\Phi > \frac{(P_S - u) a_2 \zeta}{i_2}, \quad (18)$$

where these results are obtained by means of $\lambda_1^{(0)} < 0$ and $\lambda_2^{(0)} < 0$. The values of the normalised parameters are positive (table 2), then the eigenvalues $\lambda_4^{(0)}$ and $\lambda_5^{(0)}$ are negative. However, the eigenvalue $\lambda_3^{(0)}$ is positive. Therefore, the equilibrium $E_0(0, 0, 0, 0, \Phi\zeta^{-1})$ is unstable, due to the fact that the

resistant drug glioma cells are not affected by the chemotherapeutic agent. It is possible to find a stable equilibrium $E_1(0, 0, r^*, 0, \Phi\zeta^{-1})$ for $r^* = 1$. The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix are

$$\lambda_1^{(1)} = P_G - \beta_1 - \frac{i_1\Phi}{\zeta a_1}, \quad (19)$$

$$\lambda_2^{(1)} = -\frac{i_2\Phi}{\zeta a_2} - u, \quad (20)$$

$$\lambda_3^{(1)} = -P_R, \quad (21)$$

$$\lambda_4^{(1)} = -\frac{i_3\Phi}{\zeta a_3}, \quad (22)$$

$$\lambda_5^{(1)} = -\zeta. \quad (23)$$

In order to ensure the stability of $E_1(0, 0, r^*, 0, \Phi\zeta^{-1})$, it is necessary that

$$\Phi > \frac{(P_G - \beta_1)a_1\zeta}{i_1}, \quad (24)$$

where these results are obtained through $\lambda_1^{(0)} < 0$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_2^{(0)}$, $\lambda_3^{(0)}$, $\lambda_4^{(0)}$, and $\lambda_5^{(0)}$ are negative because the values of the normalised parameters are positive. We consider $a_1 = 1$, $P_G = 0.0068$, $\beta_1 = 0.018$, $i_1 = 4.7 \times 10^{-8}$, and $\zeta = 0.2$ (table 2). With these values, we obtain that E_1 is linearly asymptotically stable for $\Phi > -47659, 57$. Therefore, when the chemotherapeutic agent kills all glial cells (g) and drug sensitive glioma cells (s), the normalised resistant drug glioma cells concentration is $r = 1$.

We also consider the equilibrium $E_2(\bar{g}, 0, 0, \bar{n}, \bar{Q})$ that represents the complete elimination of drug sensitive glioma cells and resistant drug glioma cells, in addition, the glial and neuron cells are preserved. This equilibrium is obtained by the solution of

$$P_G(1 - \bar{g}) - \frac{i_1\bar{Q}}{a_1 + \bar{g}} = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$-\frac{i_3\bar{n}\bar{Q}}{a_3 + \bar{n}} = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\Phi - \zeta\bar{Q} = 0, \quad (27)$$

for $\bar{n} = 0$ and $\bar{Q} = \Phi\zeta^{-1}$. Thus, the equilibrium $E_2(\bar{g}, 0, 0, \bar{n}, \bar{Q})$ is given by $E_2(\bar{g}, 0, 0, 0, \Phi\zeta^{-1})$, meaning that all neurons are also eliminated. Equation

(25) can be rewritten as

$$\bar{g}^2 + \bar{g}(a_1 - 1) - a_1 + \frac{i_1 \Phi}{\zeta P_G} = 0. \quad (28)$$

Using the parameters of Table 1 and 2, \bar{g} has a real, positive and non null solution when $\Phi < 28936.17$. The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix for E_2 are

$$\lambda_1^{(2)} = P_G(1 - 2\bar{g}) - \frac{i_1 a_1 \Phi}{\zeta (a_1 + \bar{g})^2}, \quad (29)$$

$$\lambda_2^{(2)} = P_S - \beta_2 \bar{g} - u - \frac{i_2 \Phi}{\zeta a_2}, \quad (30)$$

$$\lambda_3^{(2)} = P_R - \beta_3 \bar{g}, \quad (31)$$

$$\lambda_4^{(2)} = -\frac{i_3 \Phi}{\zeta a_3}, \quad (32)$$

$$\lambda_5^{(2)} = -\zeta. \quad (33)$$

For $a_1 = 1$, $\lambda_1^{(2)}$ is negative in Equation (29) when

$$(1 + \bar{g})^2(1 - 2\bar{g}) < \frac{i_1 \Phi}{\zeta P_G}. \quad (34)$$

From Equation (28), it is obtained $\frac{i_1 \Phi}{\zeta P_G} = 1 - \bar{g}^2$, consequently

$$(1 - \bar{g}^2) - 2\bar{g}^2 - 2\bar{g}^3 < (1 - \bar{g}^2), \quad (35)$$

therefore $\lambda_1^{(2)} < 0$ if $\bar{g} > 0$. $\lambda_2^{(2)}$ is negative for combinations of u and Φ , for example: i) $u = 0$ and $\Phi > 43.41$, ii) $u = 0.001$ and $\Phi > 39.15$, and iii) $u = 0.01$ and $\Phi > 0.85$. The values of $\lambda_4^{(2)}$ and $\lambda_5^{(2)}$ are negative. However, $\lambda_3^{(0)}$ is positive if $P_R > \beta_3$. Using the parameters from Tables 1 and 2, we obtain $\beta_3 = 0.0018$ and $P_R \geq 0.002$. For realistic parameters, the equilibrium E_2 is unstable, due to the fact that the proliferation rate of the resistant drug glioma cells is larger than the normalized competition coefficient between glioma and resistant drug glioma cells.

The equilibrium E_2 , which is related to the elimination of all glioma cells, is unstable. In this case, all neurons are also eliminated, showing that it is impossible find a cure for glioma in the drug resistant case. For this reason, we focus on the neuronal lifespan during the chemotherapeutic treatment.

3. Chemotherapy treatment

3.1. Continuous chemotherapy

In continuous chemotherapy, the anticancer drugs are administered without pauses [34]. Continuous infusion, followed by radiotherapy, was used as a treatment for malignant tumour. Many researchers reported that this combination can improve the tumours regression [35, 36]. We consider continuous chemotherapy as a way to eliminate glioma cells.




Figure 2: (Colour online) Time evolution of (a) glial cells concentration $g(t)$, (b) neurons concentration $n(t)$, (c) drug sensitive glioma cells concentration $s(t)$, and (d) resistant drug glioma cells concentration $r(t)$ for $\Phi = 200$, $P_R = 0.002$, and there mutation rate $u = 0$ (blue line), $u = 10^{-3}$ (red line), and $u = 10^{-2}$ (black line). The green and orange vertical dashed lines correspond to 360 days (12 months) and 540 days (18 months), respectively. We consider $g(0) = 1$, $n(0) = 1$, $s(0) = 0.01$, $r(0) = 0$, and $Q(0) = 0$.

Figure 2 shows the time evolution of normalised (a) glial cells concentration $g(t)$, (b) neurons concentration $n(t)$, (c) drug sensitive glioma cells concentration $s(t)$, and (d) resistant drug glioma cells concentration $r(t)$. We consider $\Phi = 200$, $P_R = 0.002$, $u = 0$ (blue line), $u = 10^{-3}$ (red line), and $u = 10^{-2}$ (black line). The chemotherapeutic agent kills the glial cells, neurons, and sensitive glioma cells. For $u = 0$, there is not resistant glioma, and as a consequence the malignant tumour is suppressed. However, n decreases

from 1 to 0.981 for $t = 360$ days (12 months) (green vertical dashed line) and to 0.977 for $t = 540$ days (18 months) (orange vertical dashed line). The glial cells are killed by glioma and chemotherapy, but they exhibit logistic growth and saturation. For $u = 10^{-3}$, n goes to 0.980 and 0.976 for 360 and 540 days, respectively. Considering $u = 10^{-2}$, we observe $n = 0.971$ for $t = 360$ days, and $n = 0.966$ for $t = 540$ days. In addition, the sensitive glioma cells are absent for t greater than approximately 150 days.

In Fig. 3, we see the time τ to achieve a neuron concentration $n = 0.9$ as a function of Φ . For $u = 0$ (blue line) and $\Phi = 200$, τ is equal to 3926 days, while τ is much less for $u = 10^{-3}$ (red line) and $u = 10^{-2}$ (black line). We obtain τ equal to 3672 and 2910 days for u equal to 10^{-3} and 10^{-2} , respectively. Then, it is possible to verify that u has an important effect on τ .




Figure 3: (Colour online) τ as a function of Φ for $P_R = 0.002$, $u = 0$ (blue line), $u = 10^{-3}$ (red line), and $u = 10^{-2}$ (black line).

We also calculate τ by varying Φ and u , as shown in Fig. 4. The colour bar represents the values of τ . In our simulations, the blue region corresponds to τ greater than 700 days. In the orange, black and red regions, the τ values are for about 650, 500 and 350 days, respectively. The τ values less than 300 days are in the green region. Figure 4(a), for $P_R = 0.002$, is separated into four regions denoted by I, II, III, and IV. In the region I, we have $s(t) < 0.01$ and $r(t) < 0.01$, namely when $n = 0.9$ both sensitive and resistant gliomas have a concentration less than the initial glioma concentration. Region II, $s(t) < 0.01$ and $r(t) > 0.01$, shows that only the sensitive glioma is suppressed. The sensitive glioma grows in region III, $s(t) > 0.01$ and $r(t) < 0.01$. In the region

IV, both sensitive and resistant glioma have a concentration greater than the initial glioma concentration, $s(t) > 0.01$ and $r(t) > 0.01$. For $P_R = 0.006$ and $\Phi \leq 200$ (Fig. 4(b)), there is no region I.




Figure 4: (Colour online) Time (τ) to achieve the concentration ($n = 0.9$) (colour bar) as a function of $\Phi \times u$ for (a) $P_R = 0.002$ and (b) $P_R = 0.006$.

3.2. Pulsed chemotherapy

Pulsed chemotherapy is the use of intermittent schedules of chemotherapeutic agents to treat diseases [37]. Researchers have been carrying out various treatment types with different protocols to eliminate cancerous cells. In literature, it is possible to find results based on theoretical studies [38, 39] and experiments [40]. Our intermittent schedule is illustrated in Fig. 5(a), where Δt_1 and Δt_2 correspond to the time intervals with (days on) and without (days off) chemotherapy, respectively. Figure 5(b) displays the temporal evolution of $q(t)$. We observe an exponential growth of drug concentration $q(t)$ during the drug application and an exponential decay after the application.




Figure 5: (a) Intermittent schedule of the pulsed chemotherapy, where Δt_1 and Δt_2 are the time intervals with (days on) and without (days off) chemotherapy, respectively. (b) Temporal evolution of drug concentration $q(t)$.

Figure 6 shows (a) $g(t)$, (b) $n(t)$, (c) $s(t)$, and (d) $r(t)$ for $\Delta t_1 = 7$ days with $\Phi = 200$ and $\Delta t_2 = 21$ days. We consider $P_R = 0.002$, $u = 0$ (blue line), $u = 10^{-3}$ (red line), and $u = 10^{-2}$ (black line). At $t = 360$ days (green vertical dashed line), we find $n = 0.964$, $n = 0.963$, and $n = 0.956$ for $u = 0$, $u = 10^{-3}$, and $u = 10^{-2}$ respectively. When $t = 540$ days, $n = 0.963$ for $u = 0$, $n = 0.962$ for $u = 10^{-3}$, and $n = 0.951$ for $u = 10^{-2}$. In this intermittent schedule, the n values are less than the results found considering the continuous chemotherapy.

There are many types of treatment schedules. With this in mind, we vary the number of days on and off to analyse the effects of the drug resistance on different chemotherapy protocols. Figure 7 exhibits τ (colour bar) as a function of $\Delta t_2 \times \Delta t_1$. In Fig. 7(a), we verify the existence of the four regions, where the region IV is very small and it is between the regions II and III. For $u = 10^{-2}$, there are only the regions I and II, as shown in Fig. 7(b). The region I is larger for $u = 10^{-3}$ (Fig. 7(a)) than for $u = 10^{-2}$ (Fig. 7(b)). Therefore, the region I decreases and the region II increases when u increases, i.e., the number of treatment schedules that control the growth of




Figure 6: (Colour online) Time evolution of (a) $g(t)$, (b) $n(t)$, (c) $s(t)$, and (d) $r(t)$ for $\Delta t_1 = 7$ days with $\Phi = 200$ and $\Delta t_2 = 21$ days with $\Phi = 0$, $P_R = 0.002$, $u = 0$ (blue line), $u = 10^{-3}$ (red line), and $u = 10^{-2}$ (black line). The green and orange vertical dashed lines correspond to 360 and 540 days, respectively.

both sensitive and resistant glioma cells decreases.

4. Conclusions

There are many different types of brain tumours. The treatments depend on the tumour characteristics. One of the most common malignant tumours in the brain is the glioma. This tumour begins in the glial cells and affects the support for the neurons. Due to this fact, without support and protection, the number of neurons decreases.

We extend the mathematical model of brain tumour growth proposed by Iarosz et al. [27]. The Iarosz model describes glia-neuron interaction and chemotherapy treatment. In this work, we modify the model separating the equation of the glioma cells into two equations. The new equations correspond to sensitive and resistant glioma cells.

We consider continuous and pulsed chemotherapy to destroy glioma cells without harming a large number of neurons. With regard to the continuous chemotherapy, the time τ to achieve $n = 0.9$ decreases when the mutation




Figure 7: (Colour online) τ (colour bar) as a function of $\Delta t_2 \times \Delta t_1$ for $P_R = 0.002$, (a) $u = 10^{-3}$ and (b) $u = 10^{-2}$.

rate u from sensitive to resistant glioma cells increases. The τ values depend on Φ and u . For small P_R values, we find values in the parameter space $\Phi \times u$ (region I) where the continuous chemotherapy kills both sensitive and resistant gliomas. In the pulsed chemotherapy, the region of the best treatment according to days on and off decreases for larger u values.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the support: Araucária Foundation, National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES), and São Paulo Research Foundation (Processes 2015/07311-7, 2017/18977-1, and 2018/03211-6). The authors would like to thank the 105 Group Science (www.105groupscience.com) for the fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] G.M. Cooper, The Development and Causes of Cancer. The Cell: A Molecular Approach 2nd edition, Sunderland (MA), Sinauer Associates (2000).
- [2] M.C. Perry, The chemotherapy sourcebook, Baltimore, Williams & Wilkins (2008).
- [3] M. Tubiana, Tumor Cell Proliferation Kinetics and Tumor Growth Rate, *Acta Oncologica* 28 (1980) 113-121.
- [4] P. Karlsson, B.F. Cole, K.N. Price, R.D. Gelber, A.S. Coates, A. Goldhirsch, M. Castiglione, M. Colleoni, G. Gruber, Timing of radiation therapy and chemotherapy after breast-conserving surgery for node-positive breast cancer: long-term results from international breast cancer study group trial VI and VII. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics* 96 (2016) 273-279.
- [5] U. Lucia, G. Grisolia, Constructal law and ion transfer in normal and cancer cells, *Proceedings of the romanian academy, Series A* 2018 (2018) 213-218.
- [6] U. Lucia, Thermodynamics and cancer stationary states, *Physica A* 392 (2013) 3648-3653.
- [7] U. Lucia, Bioengineering thermodynamics: An engineering science for thermodynamics of biosystems, *International Journal of Thermodynamics* 18 (2015) 254-265.
- [8] U. Lucia, A. Ponzetto, T.S. Deisboeck, A thermodynamic approach to the 'mitosis/apoptosis' ratio in cancer, *Physica A* 436 (2015) 246-255.
- [9] U. Lucia, Bioengineering thermodynamics of biological cells, *Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling* 12 (2015) 1-16.
- [10] L. Bergandi, U. Lucia, G. Grisolia, R. Granata, I. Gesmundo, A. Ponzetto, E. Paolucci, R. Borchiellini, E. Ghigo, F. Silvagno, The extremely low frequency electromagnetic stimulation selective for cancer cells elicits growth arrest through a metabolic shift, *BBB - Molecular Cell Research* 1866 (2019) 1389-1397.

- [11] A.G. López, K.C. Iarosz, A.M. Batista, J.M. Seoane, R.L. Viana, M.A.F. Sanjuán, The dose-dense principle in chemotherapy, *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 430 (2017) 169-176.
- [12] A.G. López, K.C. Iarosz, A.M. Batista, J.M. Seoane, R.L. Viana, M.A.F. Sanjuán, Nonlinear cancer chemotherapy: Modelling the Norton-Simon hypothesis, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 70 (2019) 307-317.
- [13] A.G. López, K.C. Iarosz, A.M. Batista, J.M. Seoane, R.L. Viana, M.A.F. Sanjuán, The role of dose density in combination cancer chemotherapy, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 79 (2019) 104918.
- [14] S.T.R. Pinho, H.I. Freedman, F. Nani, A chemotherapy model for the treatment of cancer with metastasis, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 36 (2002) 773-803.
- [15] F.S. Borges, K.C. Iarosz, H.P. Ren, A.M. Batista, M.S. Baptista, R.L. Viana, S.R. Lopes, C. Grebogi, Model for tumour growth with treatment by continuous and pulsed chemotherapy, *BioSystems* 116 (2014) 43-48.
- [16] F. Nani, H.I. Freedman, A mathematical model of cancer treatment by immunotherapy, *Mathematical Biosciences* 163 (2000) 159-199.
- [17] L.G. de Pillis, A.E. Radunskaya, C.L. Wiseman, A validated mathematical model of cell-mediated immune response to tumor growth, *Cancer Research* 65 (2005) 7950-7958.
- [18] R. Stupp, M.E. Hegi, M.R. Gilbert, A. Chakravarti, Chemoradiotherapy in malignant glioma: Standard of care and future directions, *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 25 (2007) 4127-4136.
- [19] S. Jäkel, L. Dimou, Glial cells and their function in the adult brain: A journey through the history of their ablation, *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* 11 (2017) 1-17.
- [20] R. Rockne, E.C. Alvord Jr., J.K. Rockhill, K.R. Swanson, A mathematical model for brain tumor response to radiation therapy, *Journal of Mathematical Biology* 58 (2009) 561-578.

- [21] Kansal AR, Torquato S, Chiocca EA, Deisboeck TS. Emergence of a subpopulation in a computational model of tumor growth. *J Theor Biol* 2000;207:431-441.
- [22] G.J. Peters, Cancer drug resistance: a new perspective, *Cancer drug resistance* 1 (2018) 1-5.
- [23] G. Housman, S. Byler, S. Heerboth, K. Lapinska, M. Longacre, N. Snyder, S. Sarkar, Drug resistance in cancer: An overview, *Cancers* 6 (2014) 1769-1792.
- [24] J. Nass, T. Efferth, Drug targets and resistance mechanisms in multiple myeloma, *Cancer Drug Resistance* 1 (2018) 87-117.
- [25] Y.J. He, K. Meghani, M.-C. Caron, C. Yang, D.A. Ronato, J. Bian, A. Sharma, J. Moore, J. Niraj, A. Detappe, J.G. Doench, G. Legube, D.E. Root, A.D. D'Andrea, P. Drané, S. De, P.A. Konstantinopoulos, J.-Y. Masson, D. Chowdhury, DYNLL1 binds to MRE11 to limit DNA end resection in BRCA1-deficient cells, *Nature* 563 (2018) 522-526.
- [26] X. Sun, J. Bao, Y. Shao, Mathematical modeling of therapy-induced cancer drug resistance: Connecting cancer mechanisms to population survival rates. *Scientific Reports* 6 (2016) 22498.
- [27] K.C. Iarosz, F.S. Borges, A.M. Batista, M.S. Baptista, R.A.N. Siqueira, R.L. Viana, S.R. Lopes, Mathematical model of brain tumour with glianeuron interactions and chemotherapy treatment, *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 368 (2015) 113-121.
- [28] S.T.R. Pinho, F.S. Barcelar, R.F.S. Andrade, H.I. Freedman, A mathematical model for the effect of anti-angiogenic therapy in the treatment of cancer tumours by chemotherapy, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* 14 (2013) 815-828.
- [29] J.S. Spratt, T.L. Spratt, Rates of growth of pulmonary metastases and host survival, *Annals of Surgery* 159 (1964) 161-171.
- [30] W. Rzeski, S. Pruskil, A. Macke, U. Felderhoff-Mueser, A.K. Reiher, F. Hoerster, C. Jansma, B. Jarosz, V. Stefovaska, P. Bittigau, C. Ikonomidou, Anticancer agents are potent neurotoxins in vitro and in vivo, *Annals of Neurology* 56 (2004) 351-360.

- [31] R. Stupp, W.P. Mason, M.J. Van den Bent, M. Weller, B. Fisher, M.J.B. Taphoorn, K. Belanger, A.A. Brandes, C. Marosi, U. Bogdahn, J. Curschmann, R.C. Janzer, S.K. Ludwin, T. Gorlia, A. Allgeier, D. Lacombe, J.G. Cairncross, E. Eisenhauer, R.O. Mirimanoff, Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma, *The New England Journal of Medicine* 352 (2005) 987-996.
- [32] H.M. Strik, C. Marosi, B. Kaina, B. Neyns, Temozolomide dosing regimens for glioma patients, *Current Neurology and Neuroscience Reports* 12 (2012) 286-293.
- [33] F.A.C. Azevedo, L.R.B. Carvalho, L.T. Grinberg, J.M. Farfel, R.E.L. Ferretti, R.E.P. Leite, W.J. Filhos, R. Lent, S. Herculano-Houzel, Equal numbers of neuronal and nonneuronal cells make the human brain an isometrically scaled-up primate brain, *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 513 (2009) 532-541.
- [34] N.J. Vogelzang, Continuous infusion chemotherapy: A critical review, *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2 (1984) 1289-1304.
- [35] M. Rotman, C.J. Rosenthal, Concomitant continuous infusion chemotherapy and radiation, Berlin, Springer-Verlag (1991).
- [36] J. Lai, P. Xu, X. Jiang, S. Zhou, A. Liu, Successful treatment with anti-programmed-death-1 antibody in a relapsed natural killer/T-cell lymphoma patient with multi-line resistance: a case report, *BMC Cancer* 17 (2017) 507.
- [37] T.M. Beer, M. Garzotto, W.D. Henner, K.M. Eilers, E.M. Wersinger, Multiple cycles of intermittent chemotherapy in metastatic androgen-independent prostate cancer, *British Journal of Cancer* 91 (2004) 1425-1427.
- [38] J. Foo, F. Michor, Evolution of resistance to targeted anti-cancer therapies during continuous and pulsed administration strategies, *PLOS Computational Biology* 5 (2009) e1000557.
- [39] H.-P. Ren, Y. Yang, M.S. Baptista, C. Grebogi, Tumour chemotherapy strategy based on impulse control theory, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A* 375 (2017) 20160221.

- [40] H. Wasan, A.M. Meade, R. Adams, R. Wilson, C. Pugh, D. Fisher, B. Sydes, A. Madi, B. Sizer, C. Lowdell, G. Middleton, R. Butler, R. Kaplan, T. Maughan, Intermittent chemotherapy plus either intermittent or continuous cetuximab for first-line treatment of patients with KRAS wild-type advanced colorectal cancer (COIN-B): A randomised phase 2 trial, *The Lancet Oncology* 14 (2014) 631-639.