

Analysis on Lambert-Tsallis functions

Hideto Nakashima and Piotr Graczyk

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the Lambert-Tsallis W function, which is a generalization of the Lambert W function with two real parameters. We give a condition on the parameters such that there exists a complex domain touching zero on boundary which is mapped bijectively to the upper half plane by the Lambert-Tsallis function.

INTRODUCTION

The Lambert W function, which is the multivalued inverse of the function $z \mapsto ze^z$ has many applications in many areas of mathematics. In a theory of random matrices, it appears in a formula of a eigenvalue distribution of a certain Wishart-type ensemble (cf. Cheliotis [4]). In the previous paper [8], we found that, for a certain class of Wishart-type ensembles, the corresponding eigenvalue distributions can be described by using the main branch of the inverse function of $z \mapsto \frac{z}{1+\gamma z} \left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right)^\kappa$, where γ, κ are real parameters. The aim of this paper is to give a complete analysis of this function, which we call the Lambert-Tsallis function.

1. PRELIMINARIES

For a non zero real number κ , we set

$$\exp_\kappa(z) := \left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right)^\kappa \quad \left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}\right),$$

where we take the main branch of the power function when κ is not integer. If $\kappa = \frac{1}{1-q}$, then it is exactly the so-called Tsallis q -exponential function (cf. [2, 9]). By virtue of $\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \exp_\kappa(z) = e^z$, we regard $\exp_\infty(z) = e^z$.

For two real numbers κ, γ such that $\kappa \neq 0$, we introduce a holomorphic function $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(z)$, which we call *generalized Tsallis function*, by

$$f_{\kappa, \gamma}(z) := \frac{z}{1 + \gamma z} \exp_\kappa(z) \quad \left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}\right).$$

Analogously to Tsallis q -exponential, we also consider $f_{\infty, \gamma}(z) = \frac{ze^z}{1+\gamma z}$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}$). In particular, $f_{\infty, 0}(z) = ze^z$. Let $\mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma})$ be the domain of $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$, that is, if κ is integer then $\mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\frac{1}{\gamma}\}$ if κ is not integer, then

$$\mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}; 1 + \frac{x}{\kappa} \leq 0, \text{ or } x = -\frac{1}{\gamma}\right\}.$$

The purpose of this work is to study an inverse function to $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ in detail. A multivariate inverse function of $f_{\infty, 0}(z) = ze^z$ is called the Lambert W function and studied in [6]. Hence, we call an inverse function to $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ the *Lambert-Tsallis W function*. Since we have

$$f'_{\kappa, \gamma}(z) = \frac{\gamma z^2 + (1 + 1/\kappa)z + 1}{(1 + \gamma z)^2} \left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right)^{\kappa-1}, \quad (1)$$

the function $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(z)$ has the inverse function $w_{\kappa, \gamma}$ in a neighborhood of $z = 0$ by the fact $f'_{\kappa, \gamma}(0) = 1 \neq 0$.

Let $z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}$ and we set for $\kappa \neq \infty$

$$\theta(x, y) := \text{Arg}\left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right),$$

where $\text{Arg}(w)$ stands for the principal argument of w ; $-\pi < \text{Arg}(w) \leq \pi$. Since we now take the main branch of power function, we have for $\kappa \neq \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right)^\kappa &= \exp\left(\kappa \left(\log \left|1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right| + i \text{Arg}\left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right)\right)\right) = \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{\kappa}\right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{\kappa^2}\right)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} e^{i\kappa\theta(x,y)} \\ &= \left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{\kappa}\right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{\kappa^2}\right)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \left(\cos(\kappa\theta(x,y)) + i \sin(\kappa\theta(x,y))\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $\kappa = \infty$, then we regard $\kappa\theta(x,y)$ as y because we have $\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow +\infty} \exp_\kappa(z) = e^z = e^x(\cos y + i \sin y)$. Since

$$\frac{z}{1 + \gamma z} = \frac{z(1 + \gamma \bar{z})}{|1 + \gamma z|^2} = \frac{(x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2) + i(y + \gamma xy - \gamma xy)}{(1 + \gamma x)^2 + \gamma^2 y^2} = \frac{(x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2) + iy}{(1 + \gamma x)^2 + \gamma^2 y^2},$$

we have

$$f_{\kappa,\gamma}(z) = \frac{\left(\left(1 + x/\kappa\right)^2 + (y/\kappa)^2\right)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}}{(1 + \gamma x)^2 + \gamma^2 y^2} \begin{pmatrix} (x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2) \cos(\kappa\theta(x,y)) - y \sin(\kappa\theta(x,y)) \\ + i\{(x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2) \sin(\kappa\theta(x,y)) + y \cos(\kappa\theta(x,y))\} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Then, $f_{\kappa,\gamma}(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ implies

$$(x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2) \sin(\kappa\theta(x,y)) + y \cos(\kappa\theta(x,y)) = 0. \quad (3)$$

If $\sin(\kappa\theta(x,y)) = 0$, then $\cos(\kappa\theta(x,y))$ does not vanish so that y needs to be zero. Thus, if $z = x + yi \in f_{\kappa,\gamma}(\mathbb{R})$ with $y \neq 0$, then we have $\sin(\kappa\theta(x,y)) \neq 0$. Thus, the equation (3) for $\sin(\kappa\theta(x,y)) \neq 0$ can be rewritten as

$$F(x,y) := (x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2) + y \cot(\kappa\theta(x,y)) = 0. \quad (4)$$

For $y = 0$, we set $F(x,0) := \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} F(x,y)$. If $x = 0$, then we have $\theta(0,y) = \text{Arctan}(\frac{y}{\kappa})$ and hence $F(0,0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y \cot(\kappa \text{Arctan}(\frac{y}{\kappa})) = 1$. Let us introduce the connected set $\Omega = \Omega_{\kappa,\gamma}$ by

$$\Omega = \Omega_{\kappa,\gamma} := \{z = x + yi \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma}); F(x,y) > 0\}^\circ,$$

where A° is the connected component of an open set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing $z = 0$. Note that since F is an even function on y , the domain Ω is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Set

$$\mathcal{S} := \mathbb{R} \setminus f_{\kappa,\gamma}(\Omega_{\kappa,\gamma} \cap \mathbb{R}). \quad (5)$$

Definition 1.1. If there exists a unique holomorphic extension $W_{\kappa,\gamma}$ of $w_{\kappa,\gamma}$ to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{S}}$, then we call $W_{\kappa,\gamma}$ the main branch of Lambert-Tsallis W function. In this paper, we only study and use $W_{\kappa,\gamma}$ among other branches so that we call $W_{\kappa,\gamma}$ the Lambert-Tsallis function for short. Note that in our terminology the Lambert-Tsallis W function is multivalued and the Lambert-Tsallis function $W_{\kappa,\gamma}$ is single-valued.

Our goal is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ be a generalized Tsallis function. Then, there exists the main branch of Lambert-Tsallis function if and only if (i) $0 < \kappa < 1$ and $\gamma \leq 0$, (ii) $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa})^2$, (iii) $-1 < \kappa < 0$ and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}$, (iv) $\kappa \leq -1$ and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa})^2$, and (v) $\kappa = \infty$ and $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Remark 1.3. In the case $\kappa > 1$ and $D(0) < 0$, the function $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ maps $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ to \mathbb{C}^+ two-to-one, and hence the extension exists on a smaller domain in Ω which $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ maps to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{S}}$, but it is not unique. In the case $0 < \kappa < 1$ and $\gamma > 0$, we need to extend the defining domain of $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ so that there does not exist a complex domain such that $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ maps to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{S}}$.

The proof of this theorem is done in two steps, that is, we first give an explicit expression of $\Omega = \Omega_{\kappa,\gamma}$, and then show that $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ maps Ω to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ bijectively. At first, we suppose that $0 < \kappa < +\infty$. Let us change variables in (4) by

$$r e^{i\theta} = 1 + \frac{z}{\kappa} \quad (r > 0, \theta \in (0, \pi)), \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \begin{cases} x = \kappa(r \cos \theta - 1), \\ y = \kappa r \sin \theta, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and set $a := \gamma\kappa$ and

$$b(\theta) = (1 - 2a) \cos \theta + \sin \theta \cot(\kappa\theta). \quad (7)$$

Then, the equation (4) can be written as

$$ar^2 + b(\theta)r + a - 1 = 0. \quad (8)$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa(r \cos \theta - 1) + \gamma \{ (\kappa(r \cos \theta - 1))^2 + (\kappa r \sin \theta)^2 \} + \kappa r \sin \theta \cot(\kappa \theta) = 0 \\ \iff & \gamma \kappa^2 r^2 + \{ \kappa \cos \theta - 2\gamma \kappa^2 \cos \theta + \kappa \sin \theta \cot(\kappa \theta) \} r + (\gamma \kappa^2 - \kappa) = 0 \\ \iff & \gamma \kappa r^2 + \{ (1 - 2\gamma \kappa) \cos \theta + \sin \theta \cot(\kappa \theta) \} r + \gamma \kappa - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sin(\kappa \theta) \neq 0$, then (8) has a solution

$$r = r_{\pm}(\theta) = \frac{-b(\theta) \pm \sqrt{b(\theta)^2 - 4a(a-1)}}{2a}.$$

Thus, for each angle θ , there exists at most two points on $f_{\kappa, \gamma}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Since the change (6) of variables is the polar transformation, we need to know whether $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ is positive real or not. We note that

$$r'_{\varepsilon}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2a} \left(-b'(\theta) + \varepsilon \frac{2b(\theta)b'(\theta)}{2\sqrt{D(\theta)}} \right) = \frac{-\varepsilon b'(\theta)}{2a} \cdot \frac{-b(\theta) + \varepsilon \sqrt{D(\theta)}}{\sqrt{D(\theta)}} = -\varepsilon b'(\theta) \frac{r_{\varepsilon}(\theta)}{\sqrt{D(\theta)}} \quad (9)$$

for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Set $D(\theta) := b(\theta)^2 - 4a(a-1)$. Then, $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ are real if and only if $D(\theta) \geq 0$, and we have

$$D'(\theta) = 2b(\theta)b'(\theta).$$

Let α_1, α_2 be the two solutions of

$$q(z) := \gamma z^2 + (1 + 1/\kappa)z + 1 = 0. \quad (10)$$

If α_i are real, then we assume that $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$, and if not, then we assume that $\text{Im } \alpha_1 > 0$ and $\text{Im } \alpha_2 < 0$. Then, $f'(z) = 0$ implies $z = \alpha_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) or $z = -\kappa$ if $\kappa > 1$. It is clear that α_1, α_2 are real numbers if and only if

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^2 - 4\gamma \geq 0 \iff \gamma \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^2.$$

These two points α_i , $i = 1, 2$ correspond to the solutions of (8) with parameter $\theta = 0$. In fact, if $\theta = 0$, then $r = 1 + \frac{x}{\kappa}$ and

$$b(0) := \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} b(\theta) = \frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa} - 2a, \quad (11)$$

and hence the equation (8) with $\theta = 0$ is described as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= ar^2 + b(0)r + a - 1 = a(r-1)^2 + (1 + \frac{1}{\kappa})(r-1) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \\ &= a \cdot \frac{x^2}{\kappa^2} + (1 + \frac{1}{\kappa})\frac{x}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left(\gamma x^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)x + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$q\left(-\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) = \frac{a-1}{a}, \quad q(-\kappa) = (a-1)\kappa, \quad (12)$$

and

$$D(0) = b(0)^2 - 4a(a-1) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^2 - \frac{4a}{\kappa}.$$

In order to know whether the equation (8) has a positive solution, we need to study the signature of $D(\theta)$, and hence that of $b(\theta)$ and $b'(\theta)$. To do so, we introduce three functions H_{α} , F_{κ} and J_{κ} as below, and investigate them in detail.

For $\alpha > 0$, we set

$$H_{\alpha}(x) := \sin(\alpha x) - \alpha \sin(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Let us investigate the signature of $H_{\alpha}(x)$ on the interval $I_1 = (0, \min(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}, 2\pi))$. Note that if $\alpha = 1$ then we have $H_1 \equiv 0$, and hence we exclude the case $\alpha = 1$. By differentiating, we have

$$H'_{\alpha}(x) = \alpha \cos(\alpha x) - \alpha \cos x = -2\alpha \sin\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}x\right) \sin\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2}x\right).$$

$H'_{\alpha}(x) = 0$ implies that $x = \frac{2n\pi}{\alpha+1}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) or $x = \frac{2m\pi}{\alpha-1}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Lemma 1.4. (1) If $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then one has $H_{\alpha}(x) > 0$ for any $x \in I_1$.

(2) If $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, then there exists a unique y_* such that $H_{\alpha}(y_*) = 0$, and one has $H_{\alpha}(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, y_*)$ and $H_{\alpha}(x) < 0$ for $x \in (y_*, 2\pi)$.

(3) If $1 < \alpha < 2$, then there exists a unique $y_* \in I_1$ such that $H_{\alpha}(y_*) = 0$, and one has $H_{\alpha}(x) < 0$ for $x \in (0, y_*)$ and $H_{\alpha}(x) > 0$ for $x \in (y_*, \frac{2\pi}{\alpha})$.

(4) If $\alpha \geq 2$, then one has $H_\alpha(x) < 0$ for any $x \in I_1$.

Proof. It is obvious that $H_\alpha(0) = 0$. First, we assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$. In this case, since $\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{\alpha+1} < 1$, we have

$$0 < \frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1} < 2\pi < \min\left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1}, \frac{-2\pi}{\alpha-1}\right).$$

This means that $H'_\alpha(x) > 0$ when $x \in (0, \frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1})$, and $H'_\alpha(x) < 0$ when $x \in (\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1}, 2\pi)$. On the other hand, we have $H_\alpha(2\pi) = \sin(2\pi\alpha) - \alpha \sin(2\pi) = \sin(2\pi\alpha)$. If $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then we have $0 < 2\alpha\pi \leq \pi$ and thus $H_\alpha(2\pi) \geq 0$, and if $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ then we have $\pi < 2\pi\alpha < 2\pi$ which implies $H_\alpha(2\pi) < 0$. Thus, we obtain the assertions (1) and (2).

Next we assume that $\alpha > 1$. In this case, since $\frac{2}{\alpha+1} > \frac{1}{\alpha}$, we have

$$0 < \frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1} < \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} < \min\left(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha+1}, \frac{2\pi}{\alpha-1}\right).$$

This means that $H'_\alpha(x) < 0$ when $x \in (0, \frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1})$, and $H'_\alpha(x) > 0$ when $x \in (\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1}, \frac{2\pi}{\alpha})$. On the other hand, we have $H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}) = \sin(2\pi) - \alpha \sin(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}) = -\alpha \sin(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha})$. If $1 < \alpha < 2$, then we have $\pi < \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} < 2\pi$ and hence $H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}) > 0$. If $\alpha \geq 2$, then we have $0 < \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \leq \pi$ so that $H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}) \leq 0$. Therefore, we have proved the assertion (3) and (4). \square

For $\kappa > 0$, we set

$$F_\kappa(x) := \tan x \cdot \cot(\kappa x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Let us investigate the behavior of $F_\kappa(x)$ on the interval $I_0 = (0, \min(\frac{\pi}{\kappa}, \pi))$. Since $F_1 \equiv 1$, we exclude the case $\kappa = 1$. Notice that if $\kappa < 2$, then $F_\kappa(x)$ has a pole at $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in the interval I_0 . At first, we see that

$$F_\kappa(0) := \lim_{x \rightarrow +0} F_\kappa(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +0} \frac{\sin x}{\sin(\kappa x)} \cdot \frac{\cos(\kappa x)}{\cos x} = \frac{1}{\kappa} > 0.$$

If $\kappa < 1$, then it is obvious that $F_\kappa(\pi) = 0$. On the other hand, if $1 < \kappa < 2$, then $\frac{\pi}{2} < \frac{\pi}{\kappa} < \pi$, and if $\kappa \geq 2$ then $0 < \frac{\pi}{\kappa} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, and hence we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{\kappa} - 0} F_\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty & (\text{if } 1 < \kappa < 2), \\ -\infty & (\text{if } \kappa \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

By differentiating, we have

$$F'_\kappa(x) = \frac{\cot(\kappa x)}{\cos^2 x} - \frac{\kappa \tan x}{\sin^2(\kappa x)} = \frac{H_\kappa(2x)}{2(\cos x \sin(\kappa x))^2}.$$

For the case $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 2$ ($\kappa \neq 1$), Lemma 1.4 tells us that H_κ has a unique zero point y_* in the interval $(0, \min(2\pi, \frac{2\pi}{\kappa}))$. If we set $x_* = \frac{y_*}{2}$, then we have $x_* \in I_0$ and $F_\kappa(x_*) = 0$.

Lemma 1.5. *If $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$, then one has $F_\kappa(x_*) < 1$, and if $1 < \kappa < 2$, then one has $F_\kappa(x_*) > 1$.*

Proof. We first assume that $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$. In this case, since $0 < 1 - \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$ and since $\frac{\pi}{2} < x_* < \pi$, we have $\sin((1 - \kappa)x_*) > 0$. Thus, since $\cos x_* < 0$ and $\sin(\kappa x_*) > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sin((1 - \kappa)x_*) > 0 &\iff \sin x_* \cos(\kappa x_*) - \cos x_* \sin(\kappa x_*) > 0 \\ &\iff \sin x_* \cos(\kappa x_*) > \cos x_* \sin(\kappa x_*) \\ &\iff F_\kappa(x_*) = \frac{\sin x_* \cos(\kappa x_*)}{\cos x_* \sin(\kappa x_*)} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we assume that $1 < \kappa < 2$. In this case, since $0 < \kappa - 1 < 1$ and since $\frac{\pi}{2} < x_* < \frac{\pi}{\kappa} < \pi$, we have $\sin((\kappa - 1)x_*) > 0$. Thus, since $\cos x_* < 0$ and $\sin(\kappa x_*) > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sin((\kappa - 1)x_*) > 0 &\iff \cos x_* \sin(\kappa x_*) - \sin x_* \cos(\kappa x_*) > 0 \\ &\iff \cos x_* \sin(\kappa x_*) > \sin x_* \cos(\kappa x_*) \\ &\iff F_\kappa(x_*) = \frac{\sin x_* \cos(\kappa x_*)}{\cos x_* \sin(\kappa x_*)} > 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved the lemma. \square

Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5 yield the following table.

Lemma 1.6. *One has the following increasing/decreasing table of F_κ .*

(A) $0 < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{2}$	x	0	\dots	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	\dots	π			
	F'_κ		+	\times		+			
	F_κ	$\frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\nearrow^{+\infty}$	\times	$-\infty$	\nearrow	0		
(B) $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$	x	0	\dots	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	\dots	x_*	\dots	π	
	F'_κ		+	\times	+	0		-	$F_\kappa(x_*) < 1$
	F_κ	$\frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\nearrow^{+\infty}$	\times	$-\infty$	\nearrow	$F_\kappa(x_*)$	\searrow	0
(C) $1 < \kappa < 2$	x	0	\dots	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	\dots	x_*	\dots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$	
	F'_κ		-	\times	-	0		+	$F_\kappa(x_*) > 1$
	F_κ	$\frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\searrow^{-\infty}$	\times	$+\infty$	\searrow	$F_\kappa(x_*)$	$\nearrow^{+\infty}$	\times
(D) $\kappa \geq 2$	x	0	\dots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$					
	F'_κ			-	\times				
	F_κ	$\frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\searrow^{-\infty}$	\times					

For $\kappa > 0$, we set

$$J_\kappa(x) := \frac{2x - 2\kappa - 1}{4\kappa x - 2\kappa - 1} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \cdot \frac{x - \frac{2\kappa+1}{2}}{x - \frac{2\kappa+1}{4\kappa}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} - \frac{4\kappa^2 - 1}{8\kappa^2} \cdot \frac{1}{x - \frac{2\kappa+1}{4\kappa}}.$$

Then, we have

$$J_\kappa(0) = 1, \quad J_\kappa(1) = -1, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} J_\kappa(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} J_\kappa(x) = \frac{1}{2\kappa}. \quad (13)$$

Note that, if we set $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, then $J_{\frac{1}{2}} \equiv 1$. The following lemma is trivial.

Lemma 1.7. *Suppose that $\kappa \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Then, J_κ has a pole at $x = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4\kappa}$. If $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$, then it is monotonic decreasing on \mathbb{R} , and if $\kappa > \frac{1}{2}$, then it is monotonic increasing on \mathbb{R} .*

We now consider the function $b(\theta)$. If $\kappa = 1$, then we have $b(\theta) = 2(1 - a) \cos \theta$. Otherwise, since $b(\theta)$ can be described as

$$b(\theta) = ((1 - 2a) + F_\kappa(\theta)) \cos \theta \quad (\text{if } \cos \theta \neq 0),$$

the signature of $b(\theta)$ can be determined by using F_κ . Note that, $\cos \theta = 0$ occurs when $\kappa < 2$, and in this case, we have

$$b\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0 + 1 \cdot \cot \frac{\kappa\pi}{2} = \cot \frac{\kappa\pi}{2}.$$

It is positive if $\kappa < 1$, and negative if $1 < \kappa < 2$. These observations together with Lemma 1.5 yield the following table.

Lemma 1.8. *The signature of $b(\theta)$ on the interval $I_0 = (0, \min(\pi, \frac{\pi}{\kappa}))$ is given as follows.*

$0 < \kappa \leq \frac{1}{2}$									
$2a - 1 > \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	φ	\cdots	π			$\varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}$
			-	0	+				
$0 \leq 2a - 1 \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	π					
			+						
$2a - 1 < 0$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	φ	\cdots	π			$\varphi > \frac{\pi}{2}$
			+	0	-				
$\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$									
$2a - 1 > \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	φ	\cdots	π			$\varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}$
			-	0	+				
$F_\kappa(\theta_*) < 2a - 1 \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	π					
			+						
$0 \leq 2a - 1 \leq F_\kappa(\theta_*)$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	φ_1	\cdots	φ_2	\cdots	π	$\varphi_i > \frac{\pi}{2}$
			+	0	-	0	+		
$2a - 1 < 0$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	φ	\cdots	π			$\varphi > \frac{\pi}{2}$
			+	0	-				
$1 < \kappa < 2$									
$2a - 1 \geq F_\kappa(\theta_*)$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	φ_1	\cdots	φ_2	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$	$\varphi_i > \frac{\pi}{2}$
			-	0	+	0	-		
$\frac{1}{\kappa} \leq 2a - 1 < F_\kappa(\theta_*)$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$					
			-						
$2a - 1 < \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	φ	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$			$\varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}$
			+	0	-				
$\kappa \geq 2$									
$2a - 1 \geq \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$					
			-						
$2a - 1 < \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$\frac{\theta}{b(\theta)}$	0	\cdots	φ	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$			
			+	0	-				

In this table, φ or φ_i ($i = 1, 2$) are solutions in I_0 of $b(\theta) = 0$. If $2a - 1 = F_\kappa(x_*)$, then $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$.

Let us consider the function $b'(\theta)$. If $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, then we have

$$b'(\theta) = (1 - 2a) \cos \theta + 2 \sin \theta \frac{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}} = (1 - 2a) \cos \theta + 2 \cos^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) = 2(a - 1) \sin \theta. \quad (14)$$

Let us assume that $\kappa \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Since the function $b(\theta)$ can be also written as

$$\begin{aligned} b(\theta) &= \cos \theta + \sin \theta \cot(\kappa \theta) - 2a \cos \theta = \frac{\cos \theta \sin(\kappa \theta) + \sin \theta \cos(\kappa \theta)}{\sin(\kappa \theta)} - 2a \cos \theta \\ &= \frac{\sin((\kappa + 1)\theta)}{\sin(\kappa \theta)} - 2a \cos \theta, \end{aligned}$$

its derivative can be written by using H_α as

$$b'(\theta) = \frac{H_{2\kappa+1}(\theta)}{2 \sin^2(\kappa \theta)} + 2a \sin \theta. \quad (15)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
b'(\theta) &= \frac{(\kappa+1) \cos((\kappa+1)\theta) \sin \kappa\theta - \kappa \sin((\kappa+1)\theta) \cos \kappa\theta}{\sin^2 \kappa\theta} + 2a \sin \theta \\
&= \frac{-\kappa \sin \theta + \cos((\kappa+1)\theta) \sin \kappa\theta}{\sin^2 \kappa\theta} + 2a \sin \theta \\
&= \frac{-\kappa \sin \theta + \frac{1}{2}(\sin((2\kappa+1)\theta) - \sin \theta)}{\sin^2 \kappa\theta} + 2a \sin \theta \\
&= \frac{\sin((2\kappa+1)\theta) - (2\kappa+1) \sin \theta}{2 \sin^2 \kappa\theta} + 2a \sin \theta \\
&= \frac{H_{2\kappa+1}(\theta)}{2 \sin^2(\kappa\theta)} + 2a \sin \theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us set

$$B(\theta) := 2 \sin^2(\kappa\theta) b'(\theta) = H_{2\kappa+1}(\theta) + 4a \sin \theta \sin^2(\kappa\theta), \quad \ell(\kappa, a) := 4a\kappa - 2\kappa - 1. \quad (16)$$

Then, the signatures of $b'(\theta)$ and $B(\theta)$ are the same, and the derivative of $B(\theta)$ is given as, if $\ell(\kappa, a) \neq 0$ then

$$B'(\theta) = 2\ell(\kappa, a) \cos \theta \sin^2(\kappa\theta) \left(F_\kappa(\theta) + J_\kappa(a) \right), \quad (17)$$

and if $\ell(\kappa, a) = 0$ then

$$B'(\theta) = \frac{1 - 4\kappa^2}{\kappa} \cos \theta \sin^2(\kappa\theta). \quad (18)$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B'(\theta) &= -2(2\kappa+1) \sin((\kappa+1)\theta) \sin(\kappa\theta) + 4a(\sin \kappa\theta)(\cos \theta \sin(\kappa\theta) + 2\kappa \sin \theta \cos(\kappa\theta)) \\
&= (4a - 4\kappa - 2) \cos \theta \sin^2(\kappa\theta) + (8a\kappa - 4\kappa - 2) \sin \theta \sin(\kappa\theta) \cos(\kappa\theta) \\
&= 2 \cos \theta \sin^2(\kappa\theta) (2a - 2\kappa - 1 + (4a\kappa - 2\kappa - 1) \tan \theta \cot(\kappa\theta)) \\
&= 2(4a\kappa - 2\kappa - 1) \cos \theta \sin^2(\kappa\theta) \left(F_\kappa(\theta) + \frac{2a - 2\kappa - 1}{4a\kappa - 2\kappa - 1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that two conditions $\ell(\kappa, a) = 0$ and $2a - 2\kappa - 1 = 0$ occur simultaneously only when $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$. Set

$$G(x) := \frac{2x^2 + 3x + 1}{6x}.$$

- Lemma 1.9.** (1) Suppose that $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$, or $\kappa > 1$. If $a > G(\kappa)$, then there exists a unique $\varphi_* \in I_0$ such that $b'(\varphi_*) = 0$, and one has $b'(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta \in (0, \varphi_*)$, and $b'(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \in (\varphi_*, \pi)$. If $a \leq G(\kappa)$, then one has $b'(\theta)$ for any $\theta \in I_0$.
- (2) Suppose that $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$. If $a < G(\kappa)$, then there exists a unique $\varphi_* \in I_0$ such that $b'(\varphi_*) = 0$, and one has $b'(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \in (0, \varphi_*)$, and $b'(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta \in (\varphi_*, \pi)$. If $a > G(\kappa)$, then one has $b'(\theta) > 0$ for any $\theta \in I_0$.

Proof. Since we have (17) or (18), we can use Lemmas 1.5 and 1.7. This lemma can be obtained by dividing cases and by elementary but tedious calculations. Note that $\frac{2\kappa^2+3\kappa+1}{6\kappa}$ comes from the equation $0 = F_\kappa(0) + J_\kappa(a)$. By differentiating $b(\theta)$ by using expression (7), we obtain

$$b'(\theta) = (2a - 1) \sin \theta + \cos \theta \cot(\kappa\theta) - \frac{\kappa \sin \theta}{\sin^2(\kappa\theta)},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
b'(\theta) \sin^2(\kappa\theta) &= (2a - 1) \sin \theta (1 - \cos^2(\kappa\theta)) + \cos \theta \sin(\kappa\theta) \cos(\kappa\theta) - \kappa \sin \theta \\
&= (2a - \kappa - 1) \sin \theta + (2a - 1) \sin \theta \cos^2(\kappa\theta) + \cos \theta \sin(\kappa\theta) \cos(\kappa\theta) \\
&= (2a - \kappa - 1) \sin \theta + \cos \theta \sin(\kappa\theta) \cos(\kappa\theta) ((2a - 1) F_\kappa(\theta) + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

If φ_i satisfies $B'(\varphi_i) = 0$, then we have $F_\kappa(\varphi_i) + J_\kappa(a) = 0$ and hence

$$(2a - 1) F_\kappa(\varphi_i) + 1 = \frac{-(2a - 1)(2a - 2\kappa - 1) + (4a\kappa - 2\kappa - 1)}{\ell(\kappa, a)} = \frac{4a(a - 1)}{\ell(\kappa, a)}. \quad (19)$$

The non-trivial cases are given as (i) $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$ and $0 \leq -J_\kappa(a) \leq F_\kappa(x_*)$, or (ii) $1 < \kappa < 2$ and $-J_\kappa(x) > F_\kappa(x_*)$.

first we consider the case (i). In this case, Lemma 1.7 tells us that we have $\ell(\kappa, a) > 0$. Since $-J_\kappa(a)$ is monotonic decreasing when $\ell(\kappa, a) > 0$ and since $-J_\kappa(1) = 1$ by (13), we see that a need satisfy $a \in (1, \frac{1}{2} + \kappa)$ because $F_\kappa(x_*) < 1$ by Lemma 1.5. Thus, we have $2a - \kappa - 1 > 0$. Again by

Lemma 1.5, the equation $B'(\theta) = F_\kappa(\theta) + J_\kappa(a) = 0$ has at most two solutions $\varphi_i \in (\frac{\pi}{2\kappa}, \pi)$, $i = 1, 2$. Set $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$. Then, since

$$B(0) = 0, \quad B(\pi) = \sin(2\kappa\pi) > 0,$$

we have

θ	0	\dots	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	\dots	φ_1	\dots	φ_2	\dots	π
$\ell(\kappa, a)$		+		+		+		+	
$\cos \theta$		+	0	-		-		-	
$F_\kappa + J_\kappa$		+		-	0	+	0	-	
$B'(\theta)$		+		+	0	-	0	+	
$B(\theta)$	0	\nearrow		\nearrow		\searrow		\nearrow	$B(\pi) > 0$

We shall show that $B(\varphi_i) > 0$, ($i = 1, 2$), which implies $b'(\theta) > 0$. Since $a > 1$, we have $(2a - 1)F_\kappa(\varphi_i) + 1 > 0$ by (19). Since $\varphi_i \in (\frac{\pi}{2\kappa}, \pi)$, we see that $\cos \varphi_i \sin(\kappa\varphi_i) \cos(\kappa\varphi_i) > 0$ and hence we obtain $B(\varphi_i) > 0$.

Next, we consider the case (ii). Then, since $F_\kappa(x_*) > 1$ by Lemma 1.5 and since $J_\kappa(1) = -1$ by (13), we need to have $\ell(\kappa, a) > 0$ and $a < 1$, whence $2a - \kappa - 1 < 0$. Lemma 1.7 tells us that the function $-J_\kappa(a)$ is monotonic decreasing if $\ell(\kappa, a) > 0$. Again by Lemma 1.5, the equation $B'(\theta) = F_\kappa(\theta) + J_\kappa(a) = 0$ has at most two solutions $\varphi_i \in (\frac{\pi}{2\kappa}, \pi)$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$. Then, since

$$B(0) = 0, \quad B\left(\frac{\pi}{\kappa}\right) = -2\kappa \sin \frac{\pi}{\kappa} < 0,$$

we have

θ	0	\dots	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	\dots	φ_1	\dots	φ_2	\dots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$
$\ell(\kappa, a)$		+		+		+		+	
$\cos \theta$		+	0	-		-		-	
$F_\kappa + J_\kappa$		-		+	0	-	0	+	
$B'(\theta)$		-		-	0	+	0	-	
$B(\theta)$	0	\searrow		\searrow		\nearrow		\searrow	$B(\frac{\pi}{\kappa}) < 0$

Since $a < 1$, we have $(2a - 1)F_\kappa(\varphi_i) + 1 < 0$ by (19). Since $\varphi_i \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{\kappa})$, we have $\cos \varphi_i \sin(\kappa\varphi_i) \cos(\kappa\varphi_i) > 0$ so that we obtain $B(\varphi_i) < 0$. \square

2. THE DOMAIN Ω FOR $\kappa < +\infty$

In this section, we shall determine Ω for finite $\kappa > 0$, which is the connected component of the set $\{z = x + yi \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); F(x, y) > 0\}$ containing $z = 0$. Let $r_\pm(\theta)$ be the solutions of the equation (8) and let α_i , $i = 1, 2$ are the solutions of the equation (10). Since $F(x, y)$ is a continuous function, the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is included in the set $\{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; F(x, y) = 0\} \subset f_{\kappa, \gamma}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Since F is even function on y , the domain Ω is symmetric with respect to the real axis, and hence we consider $D := \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $\kappa = 1$.*

- (1) *If $\gamma > 1$, then one has $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\frac{1}{\gamma}\}$.*
- (2) *If $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, then one has $\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); (x + \frac{1}{\gamma})^2 + y^2 > \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma^2}\}$.*
- (3) *If $\gamma = 0$, then one has $\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); 1 + 2x > 0\}$.*
- (4) *If $\gamma < 0$, then one has $\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); (x + \frac{1}{\gamma})^2 + y^2 < \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma^2}\}$. In particular, Ω is bounded.*

Proof. For $z = x + yi$, we have

$$f_{1, \gamma}(z) = \frac{(x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2 + yi)(x + 1 + yi)}{(1 + \gamma x)^2 + \gamma^2 y^2} = \frac{1}{(1 + \gamma x)^2 + \gamma^2 y^2} \begin{pmatrix} (x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2)(1 + x) - y^2 \\ iy(1 + 2x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $f_{1, \gamma}(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ implies $y = 0$ or

$$1 + 2x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2 = 0 \iff \begin{cases} \left(x + \frac{1}{2\gamma}\right)^2 + y^2 = \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma^2} & (\text{if } \gamma \neq 0), \\ x = -\frac{1}{2} & (\text{if } \gamma = 0). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have $\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); \operatorname{Re} z > -\frac{1}{2}\}$ when $\gamma = 0$ because it contains $z = 0$. Suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$ and set $C = \{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; 1 + 2x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2 = 0\}$. If $\gamma > 1$, then $C = \emptyset$, which implies $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\frac{1}{\gamma}\}$. Assume that $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. Then, $C \neq \emptyset$ and $z = 0$ does

not contained in the interior of C and hence Ω is the out side of C , which is written as $\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; 1 + 2x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2 > 0\}$. Assume that $\gamma < 0$. Then, $C \neq \emptyset$ and $z = 0$ is contained in the interior of C , and thus Ω is the interior of C , which is written as

$$\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma}); 1 + 2x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2 > 0\}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Recall that $a = \kappa\gamma$. Set $\theta_0 := \frac{\pi}{\kappa}$ and let I_0 be the interval $(0, \min(\pi, \theta_0))$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\kappa > 0$ with $\kappa \neq 1$. For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{x \leq -\kappa\}$, one sets $re^\theta = 1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}$. Then, Ω can be described as follows.*

- (1) *If $a < 0$, then there exists a unique $\theta_* \in (0, \frac{\pi}{\kappa+1})$ such that $r_+(\theta_*) = r_-(\theta_*)$ and that $r_\pm(\theta)$ are both positive with $r_-(\theta) \leq r_+(\theta)$ on $(0, \theta_*)$. Moreover, one has*

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_* \text{ and } r_-(\theta) < r < r_+(\theta)\}.$$

In particular, Ω is bounded. One has $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \partial\Omega$ and $-\frac{1}{\gamma} \in \bar{\Omega}$, whereas $-\kappa \notin \bar{\Omega}$.

- (2) *If $a = 0$, then one has $r(\theta) = r_\pm(\theta) = \frac{\sin(\kappa\theta)}{\sin((\kappa+1)\theta)}$ which is positive on the interval $(0, \frac{\pi}{\kappa+1})$. Moreover, one has*

$$\Omega = \left\{ z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma}); |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{\kappa+1} \text{ and } r > r(\theta) \right\}.$$

Ω has an asymptotic line $y = \pm(x + \frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa+1}) \tan \theta_1$. One has $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = -\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1} \in \partial\Omega$ and $-\kappa \notin \bar{\Omega}$.

- (3) *If $0 < a < 1$, then $r_+(\theta)$ is the only positive solution of (8) on I_0 , and one has*

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma}); |\theta| < \min(\theta_0, \pi) \text{ and } r > r_+(\theta)\}.$$

If $\kappa > 1$, then Ω has an asymptotic line $y = \pm(\tan \theta_0)(x + \kappa - \frac{1}{a})$. One has $\alpha_2 \in \partial\Omega$, whereas $\alpha_1, -\frac{1}{\gamma}, -\kappa \notin \bar{\Omega}$.

- (4) *Suppose that $a = 1$ and $\kappa \neq 1$.*

(a) *If $0 < \kappa < 1$, then one has $r_+(\theta) = 0$ and $r_-(\theta) = -b(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \in I_0$, and $\Omega = \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma})$. One has $\alpha_1 \in \partial\Omega$ and $\alpha_2 = -\kappa = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \in \partial\Omega$.*

(b) *If $\kappa > 1$, then one has $r_+(\theta) = -b(\theta) > 0$ and $r_-(\theta) = 0$ for $\theta \in I_0$, and one has*

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_0 \text{ and } r > r_+(\theta)\}.$$

One has $\alpha_2 = -1 \in \partial\Omega$, while $\alpha_1 = -\kappa = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \notin \bar{\Omega}$. Moreover, Ω has an asymptotic line $y = \pm(\tan \theta_0)(x + \kappa - \frac{1}{a})$.

- (5) *Suppose that $a > 1$.*

(a) *If $\kappa > 1$ with $D(0) \geq 0$, then $r_\pm(\theta)$ are both positive in I_0 with $r_-(\theta) \leq r_+(\theta)$, and one has*

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_0 \text{ and } r > r_+(\theta)\}.$$

Ω has an asymptotic line $y = \pm(\tan \theta_0)(x + \kappa - \frac{1}{a})$. One has $\alpha_2 \in \partial\Omega$, while $-\kappa, -\frac{1}{\gamma}, \alpha_1 \notin \bar{\Omega}$.

(b) *If $\kappa > 1$ and $D(0) < 0$, then there exists a unique $\theta_* \in (0, \theta_0)$ such that $D(\theta_*) = 0$, and $r_\pm(\theta)$ are both positive in the interval (θ_*, θ_0) . Moreover, one has*

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_0 \text{ and if } |\theta| \geq \theta_* \text{ then } 0 < r < r_-(\theta) \text{ or } r > r_+(\theta)\}.$$

In this case, $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2$ are both non-real and one has $\alpha_i, -\kappa \in \partial\Omega$ and $-\frac{1}{\gamma} \in \bar{\Omega}$. Moreover, Ω has an asymptotic line $y = \pm(\tan \theta_0)(x + \kappa - \frac{1}{a})$.

(c) *If $0 < \kappa < 1$, then there are no θ such that $D(\theta) > 0$, and one has $\Omega = \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa,\gamma})$.*

Recall that, since Ω is symmetric with respect to the real axis, it is enough to determine the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω in the upper half plane.

Proof. (1) Assume that $a < 0$. Since $r_+(\theta) \cdot r_-(\theta) = \frac{a-1}{a} > 0$, we see that $r_\pm(\theta)$ have the same signature if $r_\pm(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $a < 0$, we have $b(0) = 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} - 2a > 0$ by (11). Let $\theta_1 := \frac{\pi}{\kappa+1}$. Then, Lemmas 6.2 and 1.4 tells us that $b'(\theta) \leq 0$ for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_1)$. In fact, if κ does not satisfy $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$, then it is a direct consequence of Lemma 6.2, and if $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$ then we can verify it by Lemma 6.2 and by the fact that $b'(\theta_1) = H_{2\kappa+1}(\theta_1) + 2a \sin \theta_1 < 0$ by Lemma 1.4. Therefore, $b(\theta)$ is

monotonic decreasing on the interval $(0, \theta_1)$. If $b(\theta_1) < 0$, then there exists a unique $\varphi \in (0, \theta_1)$ such that $b(\varphi) = 0$, and if $b(\theta_1) \geq 0$ then we set $\varphi = \theta_1$. Since we have

$$D(0) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^2 - \frac{4a}{\kappa} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D(\theta_1) = (-2a \cos \theta_1)^2 - 4a(a-1) = 4a^2 \cos^2 \theta_1 - 4a^2 + 4a \\ = 4a - 4a^2 \sin^2 \theta_1 = 4a(1 - a \sin^2 \theta_1) < 0$$

and $D'(\theta) = 2b(\theta)b'(\theta)$, the increasing/decreasing table of $D(\theta)$ is given as

θ	0	\dots	φ	\dots	θ_1
$b(\theta)$		+	0	-	
$b'(\theta)$		-	-	-	
$D'(\theta)$		-	0	+	
$D(\theta)$	$D(0) > 0$	\searrow	$D(\varphi)$	\nearrow	$D(\theta_1) < 0$

and hence there exists a unique $\theta_* \in (0, \varphi)$ such that $D(\theta_*) = 0$. In particular, D is monotonic decreasing in the interval $(0, \theta_*)$, and $D(\theta_* + \delta) < 0$ for $\delta > 0$ such that $\theta_* + \delta < \varphi$. Therefore, since $r_+(\theta) + r_-(\theta) = -b(\theta)/a$, the signatures of $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ is the same as that of $b(\theta)$ if r_{\pm} are real so that r_{\pm} are positive on $(0, \theta_*]$ and r_{\pm} are not real for $\theta \in (\theta_*, \varphi)$. Since $r_+(\theta_*) = r_-(\theta_*)$ by the fact $D(\theta_*) = 0$, the curves $r_+(\theta)$, $\theta \in (0, \theta_*]$ followed by $r_-(\theta_* - \theta)$, $\theta \in (0, \theta_*]$, form a continuous curve going from α_2 to α_1 in the upper half-plane. Let α_i , $i = 1, 2$ be the solutions of (10). Since $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{\gamma} < 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$, we have $\alpha_1 < 0 < \alpha_2$ so that

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_* \text{ and } r_-(\theta) < r < r_+(\theta)\}.$$

Since $q(-\frac{1}{\gamma}) > 0$ and $q(-\kappa) < 0$ by (12), where q is defined in (11), we see that $-\frac{1}{\gamma} \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $-\kappa \notin \bar{\Omega}$.

(2) Assume that $a = 0$. In this case, the equation (8) reduces to $b(\theta)r - 1 = 0$ so that

$$r_{\pm}(\theta) = r(\theta) = \frac{1}{b(\theta)} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta + \sin \theta \cot(\kappa \theta)} = \frac{\sin(\kappa \theta)}{\sin((\kappa + 1)\theta)}.$$

Let $\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{\kappa + 1}$. Since $\sin(\kappa \theta)$ and $\sin((\kappa + 1)\theta)$ are both positive in the interval $(0, \theta_1)$, and since $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_1 - 0} \sin((\kappa + 1)\theta) = 0$, we see that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_1 - 0} r(\theta) = +\infty.$$

Thus, it has an asymptotic line with slope $\tan \theta_1$, which is determined later. Since $\gamma = a/\kappa = 0$, the solutions α_i , $i = 1, 2$ are given as $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = -\frac{\kappa}{\kappa + 1}$. Since $q(0) = 1 > 0$ and $q(-\kappa) = -\kappa < 0$, we see that the domain Ω is given as

$$\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_1, r > r(\theta)\}$$

and $-\kappa \notin \bar{\Omega}$.

(3) Assume that $0 < a < 1$. In this case, we have

$$D(\theta) = b(\theta)^2 + 4a(1 - a) > 0$$

for any $\theta \in I_0$ so that the solutions of (8) are always real. Moreover, since $r_+(\theta) \cdot r_-(\theta) = -\frac{1-a}{a} < 0$, they have the different signatures, and $r_+(\theta)$ is the positive real solution of (8) by the fact $|b(\theta)| < \sqrt{D(\theta)}$. In particular, $r_+(\theta)$, $\theta \in I_0$ forms a continuous curve in \mathbb{C}^+ . The solutions α_i , $i = 1, 2$ of (10) are both negative because we have $\gamma = a/\kappa > 0$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = -(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa})/\gamma < 0$. Since $q(-\frac{1}{\gamma}) < 0$ and $q(-\kappa) < 0$ by (12) and since $\frac{1}{\gamma} > \kappa$, we see that

$$\alpha_1 < -\frac{1}{\gamma} < -\kappa < \alpha_2 < 0. \quad (20)$$

(a) We first assume that $\kappa \geq 1$. Then, $b(\theta)$ is defined on the interval $I_0 = (0, \theta_0)$, and we have

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} b(\theta) = -\infty, \text{ which implies}$$

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} r_+(\theta) = +\infty.$$

Therefore, the curve $r_+(\theta)$, $\theta \in (0, \theta_0)$ has the asymptotic line with gradient $\tan \theta_0$, which is determined later. By (20), we see that Ω is given as

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_0, r > r_+(\theta)\},$$

and $\alpha_1, -\frac{1}{\gamma}, -\kappa \notin \bar{\Omega}$.

(b) Next, we assume that $0 < \kappa < 1$. Then, $b(\theta)$ is defined for any $\theta \in I_0 = (0, \pi)$. If $\theta = 0$, then the positive solution of (8) corresponds to $\alpha_2 < 0$, and if $\theta = \pi$ then, since $b(\pi) = 2a - 1$, the positive

solution of (8) corresponds to $-\frac{1}{\gamma}$. Thus, the curve $r_+(\theta)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ connects $z = \alpha_2$ and $z = -\frac{1}{\gamma}$ passing in the upper half plane. This means that $z = -\kappa$ is in the interior of the curve, whereas $z = 0$ is in its outside. Since Ω is the connected component including $z = 0$, Ω is the outside of the curve and hence

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); |\theta| < \pi, r > r_+(\theta)\}.$$

(4) Assume that $a = 1$ and $\kappa \neq 1$. In this case, the equation (8) reduces to $r^2 + b(\theta)r = 0$, whose solutions are $r(\theta) = 0, -b(\theta)$. Recall that $I_0 = (0, \min(\pi, \frac{\pi}{\kappa}))$. By Lemmas 1.8 and 1.5, we see that if $0 < \kappa < 1$ then $b(\theta) > 0$ and if $\kappa > 1$ then $b(\theta) < 0$ for any $\theta \in I_0$. This means that if $0 < \kappa < 1$ then the equation (8) does not have a positive solution, and hence we have

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); |\theta| < \pi, r > 0\} = \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}),$$

which shows the assertion (4)-(a). If $\kappa > 1$, then since $b'(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \in I_0$ and since $b(0) = 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} - 2 < 0$ and $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} b(\theta) = -\infty$, the function $D(\theta)$ is monotonic increasing on I_0 and hence we have

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} r_+(\theta) = +\infty.$$

Thus, the curve $r_+(\theta)$, $\theta \in I_0$ has an asymptotic line with gradient $\tan \theta_0$, which is determined later. Thus, we have

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_0 \text{ and } r > r_+(\theta)\}.$$

(5) Suppose that $a > 1$. In this case, we have $b(0) = 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} - 2a$ and

$$b\left(\frac{\pi}{\kappa}\right) = (1 - 2a) \cos \frac{\pi}{\kappa} \quad (\text{if } \kappa > 1), \quad b(\pi) = 2a - 1 > 0 \quad (0 < \kappa < 1).$$

Note that $b(0) > 0$ if $\kappa > 1$. Since $r_+(\theta) \cdot r_-(\theta) = \frac{a-1}{a} > 0$, two solutions $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ of (8) have the same signature if $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ are real.

(a) We first consider the case $\kappa > 1$ and $D(0) \geq 0$. Let us show that $D(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta \in I_0$. Set

$$K(x) := \frac{x}{4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^2, \quad G(x) = \frac{2x^2 + 3x + 1}{6x} \quad (x > 0).$$

Then, the condition $D(0) \geq 0$ is equivalent to $a \leq K(\kappa)$, and hence we have $a \leq G(\kappa)$ because

$$G(x) - K(x) = \frac{x^2 - 1}{12x} > 0 \quad \text{if } x > 1.$$

By the assumption $\kappa > 1$, we see that $b'(\theta) < 0$ for any $\theta \in I_0$ by Lemma 6.2 so that b is monotonic decreasing in this interval. Since $b(0) < 0$, the function b is negative in I_0 , and hence $D'(\theta) = 2b(\theta)b'(\theta) > 0$ so that $D(\theta)$ is monotonic increasing in the interval I_0 , and in particular, it is positive on I_0 . By (9), we have $r'_+(\theta) > 0$, and hence the function $r_+(\theta)$ is monotonic increasing, whereas $r_-(\theta)$ is monotonic decreasing because $r_-(\theta) = \frac{a-1}{ar_+(\theta)}$. Note that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} r_+(\theta) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} r_-(\theta) = 0.$$

Thus, $r_+(\theta)$, $\theta \in I_0$ draws an bounded curve connecting $z = \alpha_2$ to ∞ , and $r_-(\theta)$, $\theta \in I_0$ draws a bounded curve connecting $z = \alpha_1$ to $z = -\kappa$. Since we have $-\kappa < -\frac{1}{\gamma} < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < 0$ and since Ω is the connected component including $z = 0$, we have

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_0, r > r_+(\theta)\}.$$

(b) Next, we assume that $\kappa > 1$ and $D(0) < 0$. According to Lemma 1.9 (1), we consider the function $b'(\theta)$ in two cases, that is, (i) $a \leq G(\kappa)$ and (ii) $a > G(\kappa)$.

(i) Assume that $a \leq G(\kappa)$. Then, $b(\theta)$ is monotonic decreasing. Since $b(0) < 0$, we see that $b(\theta) < 0$ for any $\theta \in I_0$ and therefore $D'(\theta) = 2b(\theta)b'(\theta) > 0$ for any $\theta \in I_0$. Thus, $D(\theta)$ is monotonic increasing with $D(0) < 0$. In particular, there exists a unique θ_* such that $D(\theta_*) = 0$, and $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ are real for $\theta \in (\theta_*, \theta_0)$. In this interval, since $r_+(\theta) + r_-(\theta) = -b(\theta)/a > 0$, we see that $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ are both positive. By (9), we have $r'_+(\theta) > 0$ and thus the function $r_+(\theta)$ is monotonic increasing, whereas $r_-(\theta)$ is monotonic decreasing because $r_-(\theta) = \frac{a-1}{ar_+(\theta)}$. By taking a limit $\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} r_+(\theta) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} r_-(\theta) = 0.$$

This means that $r_+(\theta)$ draws an unbounded curve connecting $z = \alpha_1$ and $z = \infty$, and $r_-(\theta)$ draws a bounded curve connecting $z = \alpha_1$ and $z = -\kappa$, where α_1 is the complex solution of (10) with positive imaginary part. Since we have $-\kappa < -\frac{1}{\gamma} < 0$, the domain Ω is given as

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_0, \text{ if } |\theta| \geq \theta_* \text{ then } 0 < r < r_-(\theta) \text{ or } r > r_+(\theta)\}.$$

(ii) Assume that $a > G(\kappa)$. Then, there are two possibilities on $b(\varphi_*)$. If $b(\varphi_*) \leq 0$, then we have

θ	0	\cdots	φ_*	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$
$b'(\theta)$		+	0	-	
$b(\theta)$	-	-		-	
$D'(\theta)$		-	0	+	
$D(\theta)$	$D(0) < 0$	\searrow		$\nearrow^{+\infty}$	\times

and if $b(\varphi_*) > 0$, then there exist exactly two $\varphi_1 < \varphi_2$ such that $b(\varphi_i) = 0$ so that

θ	0	\cdots	φ_1	\cdots	φ_*	\cdots	φ_2	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$
$b'(\theta)$			+		0		-		
$b(\theta)$	-	-	0		+		0	-	
$D'(\theta)$		-	0	+	0	-	0	+	
$D(\theta)$	$D(0) < 0$	\searrow		\nearrow		\searrow		$\nearrow^{+\infty}$	\times

We note that $D(\varphi_i) = b(\varphi_i)^2 - 4a(a-1) < 0$. Since $r_+(\theta) + r_-(\theta) = -b(\theta)/a$, if $D(\varphi_*) > 0$, then $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ are both negative so that we do not deal with this case. Thus, in both cases, there exists a unique $\theta_* \in I_0$ such that $D(\theta_*) = 0$ and $r_{\pm}(\theta) > 0$ for any $\theta \in (\theta_*, \theta_0)$. By (9), we see that $r_+(\theta)$ is monotonic increasing on (θ_*, θ_0) , whereas $r_-(\theta)$ is monotonic decreasing. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} r_+(\theta) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0 - 0} r_-(\theta) = 0,$$

and hence the curves $r_{\pm}(\theta)$, $\theta \in (\theta_*, \theta_0)$ form an unbounded curve connecting $z = \alpha_1$ and $z = \infty$. Since $-\kappa < -\frac{1}{\gamma} < 0$, we have

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma}); |\theta| < \theta_0, \text{ and if } |\theta| \geq \theta_* \text{ then } 0 < r < r_-(\theta) \text{ or } r > r_+(\theta)\}.$$

(c) We finally assume that $0 < \kappa < 1$. In this case, we have $D(\pi) = (2a-1)^2 - 4a(a-1) = 1$. We note that $b(0) < 0$ implies $D(0) < 0$. In fact, $b(0) < 0$ means $1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} < 2a$ so that

$$D(0) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^2 - \frac{4a}{\kappa} < 2a\left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right) - \frac{4a}{\kappa} = 2a\left(1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\right) < 0. \quad (21)$$

Since $a > 1$, the signatures of $r_{\pm}(\theta)$ are the same, and they are the opposite to the signature of $b(\theta)$.

Let $I_D \subset I_0$ be the maximal interval such that D is positive on I_D . We shall show that there are no suitable solutions of (8), that is, $r_{\pm}(\theta) < 0$ for any $\theta \in I_D$, which yields that $\Omega = \mathcal{D}(f_{\kappa, \gamma})$. Let us recall Lemma 1.9.

(i) Assume that $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$ and $a > G(\kappa)$. In this case, we have

θ	0	\cdots	φ_*	\cdots	π
$b'(\theta)$		+	0	-	
$b(\theta)$	$b(0)$	\nearrow		\searrow	$b(\pi) > 0$

If $b(0) \geq 0$, then we see that $b(\theta) > 0$ for any $\theta \in I_0$, which implies $r_{\pm}(\theta) < 0$ for any $\theta \in I_D$. If $b(0) < 0$, then there exists a unique $0 < \varphi < \varphi_*$ such that $b(\varphi) = 0$, and we have $D(0) < 0$ by (21). Hence,

θ	0	\cdots	φ	\cdots	φ_*	\cdots	π
$b'(\theta)$		+	+	+	0	-	
$b(\theta)$	-	\nearrow	0	\nearrow	+	\searrow	+
$D'(\theta)$		-	0	+	0	-	
$D(\theta)$	$D(0) < 0$	\searrow	-	\nearrow	+	\searrow	1

This table yields that b is negative on I_D , whence $r_{\pm}(\theta) < 0$ for any $\theta \in I_D$.

(ii) Assume that $0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$ and $a \leq G(\kappa)$. Then, Lemma 1.8 tells us that $b'(\theta) < 0$ for any $\theta \in I_0$. Thus, $b(\theta)$ is monotonic decreasing on the interval I_0 with $b(\pi) > 0$, and hence $b(\theta) > 0$ for any $\theta \in I_0$. This means that $D'(\theta) < 0$ and $D(\theta)$ is monotonic decreasing on I_0 . Since $D(\pi) = 1$, we see that $I_D = I_0$ and hence $r_{\pm}(\theta) < 0$ for any $\theta \in I_D$.

(iii) Assume that $\frac{1}{2} \leq \kappa < 1$. In this case, we have we have $b'(\theta) > 0$ for any $\theta \in I_0$ so that $b(\theta)$ is monotonic increasing. In fact, if $\kappa > \frac{1}{2}$, then since $\frac{(1+\sqrt{2})^2}{6} < G(\kappa) < 1$ for $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$ and since $a > 1$, we always have $a > G(\kappa)$ so that $b'(\theta) > 0$ by Lemma 1.8, and if $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ then we have $b'(\theta)2(a-1)\sin\theta$ so that $b'(\theta) > 0$ by (14). If $b(0) \geq 0$, then we have $b(\theta) \geq 0$ for any $\theta \in \theta$ and hence we see that $r_{\pm}(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \in I_D$. If $b(0) < 0$ then there exists a unique φ such that $b(\varphi) = 0$ and we have

θ	0	...	φ	...	π
$b'(\theta)$		+	+	+	
$b(\theta)$	-	\nearrow	0	\nearrow	+
$D'(\theta)$		-	0	+	
$D(\theta)$	-	\searrow	-	\nearrow	1

This table indicates that for $\theta \in I_D$ we have $b(\theta) > 0$, which implies $r_{\pm}(\theta) < 0$.

We shall determine an asymptotic line with respect to Ω when $r_+(\theta) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{\kappa}$ or $\frac{\pi}{\kappa+1}$. To calculate them in a one scheme, we set $\vartheta = \frac{\pi}{\kappa}$ or $\frac{\pi}{\kappa+1}$, and denote its denominator by α . A line having gradient $\tan \vartheta$ can be written as $x \sin \vartheta - y \cos \vartheta = A$ with some constant A . Since $x = \kappa(r(\theta) \cos \theta - 1)$ and $y = \kappa r(\theta) \sin \theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \sin \vartheta - y \cos \vartheta &= \kappa \{ \sin \vartheta (r(\theta) \cos \theta - 1) - \cos \vartheta r(\theta) \sin \theta \} \\ &= \kappa \{ r(\theta) (\cos \theta \sin \vartheta - \sin \theta \cos \vartheta) - \sin \vartheta \} \\ &= -\kappa \{ r(\theta) \sin(\theta - \vartheta) + \sin \vartheta \}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\theta \rightarrow \vartheta$, we have $r_+(\theta) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $b(\theta) \rightarrow -\infty$, and

$$r_+(\theta) = \frac{-b(\theta) + \sqrt{b(\theta)^2 - 4a(a-1)}}{2a} = -\frac{b(\theta)}{2a} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4a(a-1)}{b(\theta)^2}} \right).$$

Since

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \vartheta-0} \frac{\sin(\theta - \vartheta)}{\sin(\alpha\vartheta)} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \vartheta-0} -\frac{\sin(\theta - \vartheta)}{\sin(\alpha(\theta - \vartheta))} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \vartheta-0} -\frac{\theta - \vartheta}{\alpha(\theta - \vartheta)} = -\frac{1}{\alpha},$$

we have

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \vartheta-0} b(\theta) \sin(\theta - \vartheta) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \vartheta-0} \left((1 - 2a) \cos \theta \sin(\theta - \vartheta) + \sin \theta \cos(\alpha\theta) \frac{\sin(\theta - \vartheta)}{\sin(\alpha\theta)} \right) = \frac{\sin \vartheta}{\alpha\alpha},$$

whence

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \vartheta-0} r(\theta) \sin(\theta - \vartheta) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \vartheta-0} -\frac{b(\theta) \sin(\theta - \vartheta)}{2a} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4a(a-1)}{b(\theta)^2}} \right) = -\frac{\sin \vartheta}{\alpha\alpha}.$$

Thus, we have

$$A = \begin{cases} -\kappa \left(-\frac{\sin \theta_0}{\alpha\kappa} + \sin \theta_0 \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - \kappa \right) \sin \theta_0 & (\text{if } \alpha = \kappa), \\ -\kappa \left(-\frac{\sin \theta_1}{\kappa+1} + \sin \theta_1 \right) = -\frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa+1} \sin \theta_1 & (\text{if } \alpha = \kappa + 1), \end{cases}$$

and therefore the proof is now complete. \square

3. THE DOMAIN Ω FOR $\kappa = +\infty$

In this section, we deal with the case $\kappa = \infty$. Since $\kappa\theta(x, y)$ is regarded as y in this case, the equation (4) can be written as

$$F(x, y) = x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2 + y \cot y = 0. \quad (22)$$

Assume that $\gamma = 0$, that is, the case of the classical Lambert function. Then, the above equation reduces to $F(x, y) = x + y \cot y = 0$. Since the point $z = x + yi = 0$ satisfies $F(0, 0) = 1 > 0$, we have $\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; x > -y \cot y, |y| < \pi\}$.

Assume that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then, the equation (22) can be calculated as

$$x^2 + \frac{x}{\gamma} + y^2 + \frac{y \cot y}{\gamma} = 0 \iff \left(x + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4\gamma^2} - y^2 - \frac{y \cot y}{\gamma}. \quad (23)$$

Let us consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} h(y) &:= \frac{1}{4\gamma^2} - y^2 - \frac{y \cot y}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{4\gamma^2} - \left(y + \frac{\cot y}{2\gamma}\right)^2 + \frac{\cot^2 y}{4\gamma^2} = \frac{1}{4\gamma^2 \sin^2 y} - \left(y + \frac{\cot y}{2\gamma}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1 - (2\gamma y \sin y + \cos y)^2}{4\gamma^2 \sin^2 y}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$h(0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} h(y) = \frac{1}{4\gamma^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{y}{\sin y} = \frac{1-4\gamma}{4\gamma^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \pi-0} |h(y)| = +\infty.$$

In order that the equation (22) has a real solution in x and y , the function $h(y)$ needs to be non-negative, and it is equivalent to the condition that the absolute value of the function $g(y) := \cos y + 2\gamma y \sin y$ is less than or equal to 1. At first, we observe that $g(0) = 1$ and $g(\pi) = -1$, and its derivative is

$$g'(y) = -\sin y + 2\gamma(\sin y + y \cos y) = -(1-2\gamma)\sin y + 2\gamma y \cos y = (2\gamma-1)\left(\frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma-1}y + \tan y\right) \cos y.$$

Set $c_\gamma = \frac{2\gamma}{2\gamma-1}$. Then, the signature of g' can be determined by the signatures of $2\gamma-1$, $\cos y$ and $c_\gamma y + \tan y$.

Assume that $\gamma > \frac{1}{4}$. If $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$, then we have $c_\gamma > 1$ and hence there exists a unique $y_* \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ such that $c_\gamma y + \tan y = 0$ and we have

y	0	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	\cdots	y_*	\cdots	π
g'		+		+	0	-	
g	1	\nearrow		\nearrow		\searrow	-1

If $\frac{1}{4} < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$, then we have $c_\gamma < -1$ and hence there exists a unique $y_* \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that $c_\gamma y_* + \tan y_* = 0$ and we have

y	0	\cdots	y_*	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	\cdots	π
g'		+		-		-	
g	1	\nearrow		\searrow		\searrow	-1

If $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, then we have $g'(y) = y \cos y$ so that g is monotonic decreasing on the interval $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ with $g(\frac{\pi}{2}) > 1$, and in this case we set $y_* = \frac{\pi}{2}$. These observation shows that, if $\gamma > \frac{1}{4}$, then there exists one and only one $y_0 \in (y_*, \pi)$ such that $g(y_0) = 1$ and $g(y_0 - \varepsilon) > 1$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, y_0 - y_*)$. In this case, $h(y)$ is non-negative in the interval $[y_0, \pi)$, and $h(y_0 - \varepsilon) < 0$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, y_0 - y_*)$. Let $x_i(y)$, $i = 1, 2$ be the real solutions of the equation (23) with $x_1(y) \leq x_2(y)$. Then, since we have $x_1(y_0) = x_2(y_0)$ and

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \pi-0} x_1(y) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \pi-0} x_2(y) = +\infty,$$

the curves $x_i(y)$, $y \in (y_0, \pi)$ form a connected curve, and hence we have

$$\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; |y| < \pi \text{ and if } |y| \geq y_0 \text{ then } x < x_1(y) \text{ or } x > x_2(y)\}.$$

Assume that $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Then, we have $-1 \leq c_\gamma < 1$ and hence there are no $y \in (0, \pi)$ such that $c_\gamma y + \tan y = 0$. Thus, we obtain $g'(y) < 0$ for any $y \in (0, \pi)$ so that g is monotonic decreasing from $g(0) = 1$ to $g(\pi) = -1$. This shows that $h(y)$ is non-negative in the interval $(0, \pi)$. Let $x_i(y)$, $i = 1, 2$ be the solutions of the equation (23) with $x_1(y) \leq x_2(y)$. Then, since we have

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \pi-0} x_1(y) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \pi-0} x_2(y) = +\infty$$

and $x_1(0) < x_2(0) < 0$, we have

$$\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; |y| < \pi \text{ and } x > x_2(y)\}.$$

Assume that $\gamma < 0$. Then, there exists a unique $y_* \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ such that $c_\gamma y_* + \tan y_* = 0$, and we have

y	0	\cdots	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	\cdots	y_*	\cdots	π
g'		-		-	0	+	
g	1	\searrow		\searrow		\nearrow	-1

This observation shows that there exists one and only one $y_0 \in (0, y_*)$ such that $g(y_0) = -1$ and $g(y_0 + \varepsilon) < -1$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, y_* - y_0)$. Thus, $h(y)$ is non-negative on $y \in [0, y_0]$, and $h(y_0 + \varepsilon) < 0$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, y_* - y_0)$. Let $x_i(y)$, $i = 1, 2$ be the solutions of the equation (23) with $x_1(y) \leq x_2(y)$. Then,

since $x_1(0) < 0 < x_2(0)$ and $x_1(y_0) = x_2(y_0)$, these two paths $(x_{\pm}(y), y)$ form a continuous curve connecting $x_+(0)$ and $x_-(0)$ and we have

$$\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; |y| < y_0 \text{ and } x_1(y) < x < x_2(y)\}.$$

We summarize these calculations as a proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $\kappa = +\infty$ and let $x_i(y)$, $i = 1, 2$ be solutions of (23) with $x_1(y) \leq x_2(y)$ if they are real.*

- (1) *If $\gamma = 0$, then one has $\Omega = \{z = x + yi; |y| < \pi \text{ and } x > -y \cot y\}$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $\gamma > \frac{1}{4}$. In this case, there exists a unique $y_0 \in (0, \pi)$ such that $x_1(y_0) = x_2(y_0)$ and if $y \geq y_0$ then $x_i(y)$, $i = 1, 2$ are real. Moreover, one has*

$$\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; |y| < \pi \text{ and if } |y| \geq y_0 \text{ then } x < x_1(y) \text{ or } x > x_2(y)\}.$$

- (3) *If $0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}$, then $x_i(y)$, $i = 1, 2$ are both real for any $y \in (0, \pi)$, and one has*

$$\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; |y| < \pi \text{ and } x > x_2(y)\}.$$

- (4) *Suppose that $\gamma < 0$. Then, there exists a unique $y_0 \in (0, \pi)$ such that $x_1(y_0) = x_2(y_0)$ and if $y \leq y_0$ then $x_i(y)$, $i = 1, 2$ are real for (23). Moreover, one has*

$$\Omega = \{z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}; |y| < y_0 \text{ and } x_1(y) < x < x_2(y)\}.$$

In particular, Ω is bounded.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we shall show Theorem 1.2 for $\kappa > 0$ or $\kappa = \infty$. To do so, we consider the domain $D := \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$, and show that $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ maps D to \mathbb{C}^+ bijectively.

The key tool is the argument principle (see [1, Theorem 18, p.152], for example).

Theorem 4.1 (The argument principle). *If $f(z)$ is meromorphic in a domain Ω with the zeros a_j and the poles b_k , then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) - \sum_k n(\gamma, b_k)$$

for every cycle γ which is homologous to zero in Ω and does not pass through any of the zeros or poles. Here, $n(\gamma, a)$ is the winding number of γ with respect to a .

We also use the following elementary property of holomorphic functions.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ be a holomorphic function. The implicit function $v(x, y) = 0$ has an intersection point at $z = x + yi$ only if $f'(z) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $p(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ be a continuous path in $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying $v(p(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. We assume that $(x'(t), y'(t)) \neq (0, 0)$. Set

$$g(t) := u(p(t)) = u(x(t), y(t)), \quad h(t) := v(p(t)) = v(x(t), y(t)).$$

Obviously, we have $h'(t) \equiv 0$ for any t , and

$$h'(t) = v_x x'(t) + v_y y'(t) = (v_x, v_y) \cdot (x'(t), y'(t)).$$

Assume that $g'(t_0) = 0$ for some point $t_0 \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g'(t) &= u_x x'(t) + u_y y'(t) = (u_x, u_y) \cdot (x'(t), y'(t)) \\ &= (v_x, v_y) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot (x'(t), y'(t)) = (v_x, v_y) \cdot (-y'(t), x'(t)), \end{aligned}$$

the condition $g'(t_0) = 0$ implies that the vector (v_x, v_y) is orthogonal both to $(x'(t_0), y'(t_0))$ and $(-y'(t_0), x'(t_0))$, which are non-zero vectors and mutually orthogonal. Such vector is only zero vector in \mathbb{R}^2 , that is, $(v_x, v_y) = (0, 0)$, and hence $(u_x, u_y) = (0, 0)$ by Cauchy-Riemann equations. Thus, if $g'(t_0) = 0$ then $p(t_0)$ needs to satisfy $f'(p(t_0)) = 0$. \square

4.1. **The case $\gamma < 0$.** Assume that $\gamma < 0$. By Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 3.1, it is equivalent to the condition that Ω is bounded. We first consider the set \mathcal{S} (see (5) for definition). Let α_i , $i = 1, 2$ be solutions of (10). Since $\gamma < 0$, these are distinct real numbers. Set $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$. Then, we have $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

Lemma 4.3. *One has $f(\alpha_2) < f(\alpha_1) < 0$ and $\mathcal{S} = (f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2), f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_1))$.*

Proof. Assume that $\kappa < +\infty$. Since $\gamma < 0$, we have by (1)

x	$-\kappa$	\cdots	α_1	\cdots	0	\cdots	$-\frac{1}{\gamma}$	\cdots	α_2	\cdots
$f'_{\kappa, \gamma}$		$-$	0	$+$	$+$	$+$	\times	$+$	0	$-$
$f_{\kappa, \gamma}$		\searrow	$f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_1)$	\nearrow	0	$\nearrow^{+\infty}$	\times	$-\infty \nearrow$	$f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2)$	\searrow

The inequality $f(\alpha_1) < 0$ is obvious by the above table. We shall show $f(\alpha_1) > f(\alpha_2)$. By the fact that $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{\gamma}$, we have

$$\frac{f(\alpha_2)}{f(\alpha_1)} = \frac{\alpha_2(1 + \gamma\alpha_1)}{(1 + \gamma\alpha_2)\alpha_1} \cdot \left(\frac{1 + \alpha_2/\kappa}{1 + \alpha_1/\kappa} \right)^\kappa = \frac{\alpha_2 + 1}{\alpha_1 + 1} \cdot \left(\frac{1 + \alpha_2/\kappa}{1 + \alpha_1/\kappa} \right)^\kappa.$$

Since $1 + \gamma\alpha_2 < 0$ and $\alpha_1 < 0$, we have $\alpha_1 + 1 = (1 + \gamma\alpha_2)\alpha_1 > 0$. Moreover, the facts that $1 + \alpha_1/\kappa > 0$ and $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ yield that

$$\frac{\alpha_2 + 1}{\alpha_1 + 1} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 + \alpha_2/\kappa}{1 + \alpha_1/\kappa} > 1,$$

whence we obtain $\frac{f(\alpha_2)}{f(\alpha_1)} > 1$. Since $f(\alpha_2) < 0$ because $\alpha_2 > -\frac{1}{\gamma}$ and $\gamma < 0$, we conclude that $0 > f(\alpha_1) > f(\alpha_2)$.

Assume that $\kappa = +\infty$. Since $\gamma < 0$ and $\gamma(-\frac{1}{\gamma})^2 + (-\frac{1}{\gamma}) + 1 = 1 > 0$, we have the following variation table of $f(x)$:

x	$-\infty$	\cdots	α_1	\cdots	0	\cdots	$-\frac{1}{\gamma}$	\cdots	α_2	\cdots	$+\infty$
f'		$-$	0		$+$		\times	$+$	0		$-$
f	0	\searrow	$f(\alpha_1)$	\nearrow	0	\nearrow	\times	\nearrow	$f(\alpha_2)$	\searrow	$-\infty$

Since $\gamma\alpha_i + 1 = -\frac{1}{\alpha_i}$, we see that $f(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i^2 e^{\alpha_i} < 0$. By $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{\gamma}$, we have

$$\frac{f(\alpha_2)}{f(\alpha_1)} = \frac{\alpha_2(1 + \gamma\alpha_1)}{\alpha_1(1 + \gamma\alpha_2)} e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} = \frac{\alpha_2 + 1}{\alpha_1 + 1} e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} > 1,$$

whence $f(\alpha_2) < f(\alpha_1) < 0$. Thus, the proof is now completed. \square

Now we show that $f_{\kappa, \gamma}: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^+$ is bijective. Since the proof is completely analogous, we only prove the case $\kappa < +\infty$.

We take a path $C = C(t)$ ($t \in [0, 1]$) in such a way that by starting from $z = -\frac{1}{\gamma}$, it goes to $z = \alpha_2$ along the real axis, next goes to $z = \alpha_1$ along the curve r_{+-} defined by (4) and connecting α_2 and α_1 in the upper half plane, and then it goes to $z = -\frac{1}{\gamma}$ along the real axis (see Figure 1). Here, we can assume that $C'(t) \neq 0$ whenever $C(t) \neq \alpha_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Actually, the curve $v(x, y) = 0$ has a tangent line unless f' vanishes. If we take an arc-length parameter t , then $C'(t)$ represents the direction of the tangent line at $(x, y) = C(t)$. We note that $C(t)$ describes the boundary of D .

We show that $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ maps the boundary of D to \mathbb{R} bijectively. We take t_i , $i = 1, 2$ as $C(t_i) = \alpha_i$. Note that the sub-curve $C(t)$, $t \in (t_2, t_1)$ describes the curve $r_{+-}(t)$, and $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ does not have a pole or singular point on $C(t)$, $t \in (t_2, t_1)$. Set $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$. By Lemma 4.2, the implicit function $v(x, y) = 0$ may have an intersection point only if $f'(x + iy) = 0$, i.e. at $x + iy = \alpha_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) or at $x + iy = -\kappa$ if $\kappa > 1$. Then, the function $g(t) = u(C(t))$, $t \in [t_2, t_1]$ attains maximum and minimum in the interval because it is a continuous function on a compact set. Moreover, g' never vanishes in (t_2, t_1) by the above argument and by the fact that $f'(C(t)) \neq 0$ for $t \in (t_2, t_1)$. Therefore, g is monotone and hence it takes maximal and minimal values at the endpoints $t = t_2, t_1$. Now we have $f(\alpha_1) > f(\alpha_2)$ by the last claim so that the image of g is $[f(\alpha_2), f(\alpha_1)]$, and the function g is bijective.

We shall show that for any $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}^+$ there exists one and only one $z_0 \in D$ such that $f(z_0) = w_0$. Let us take an $R > 0$ such that $|w_0| < R$. For $\delta > 0$, let $C' = C_\delta$ be a path obtained from C in such a way that the pole $z = -1/\gamma$ is avoided by a semi-circle $-\frac{1}{\gamma} + \delta e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ of radius δ (see Figure 3). Denote by D' the domain surrounded by the curve C' .

Then, we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| f\left(-\frac{1}{\gamma} + \delta e^{i\theta}\right) \right| > R \quad (\text{for all } \theta \in (0, \pi)).$$

In fact, if $z = -\frac{1}{\gamma} + \delta e^{i\theta}$, then we have

$$|1 + \gamma z| = |\gamma|\delta, \quad |z| = \left| -\frac{1}{\gamma} + \delta e^{i\theta} \right| > \frac{1}{2|\gamma|} \quad (\text{if } \delta < \frac{1}{2|\gamma|}),$$

and

$$\left| 1 + \frac{z}{\kappa} \right| = \left| 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa\gamma} + \frac{\delta}{\kappa} e^{i\theta} \right| > \frac{\kappa\gamma - 1}{2\kappa\gamma} \quad (\text{if } \delta < \frac{\kappa}{2} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa\gamma} \right|),$$

so that

$$\left| f\left(-\frac{1}{\gamma} + \delta e^{i\theta}\right) \right| > \frac{1}{2|\gamma|^2} \left(\frac{\kappa\gamma - 1}{2\kappa\gamma} \right)^\kappa \cdot \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

Thus it is enough to take

$$\delta = \min\left(\frac{1}{2|\gamma|^2 R} \left(\frac{\kappa\gamma - 1}{2\kappa\gamma} \right)^\kappa, \frac{1}{2|\gamma|}, \frac{\kappa}{2} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa\gamma} \right| \right).$$

Since f is non-singular on the semi-circle $-\frac{1}{\gamma} + \delta e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, the curve $\theta \mapsto f(-\frac{1}{\gamma} + \delta e^{i\theta})$ does not have a singular angular point, so that it is homotopic to a large semicircle (with radius larger than R) in the upper half-plane (see Figure 5).

Note that

$$\text{Im } f(x + yi) = \frac{\left((1 + x/\kappa)^2 + (y/\kappa)^2 \right)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}}{(1 + \gamma x)^2 + \gamma^2 y^2} \left\{ (x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2) \sin(\kappa\theta(x, y)) + y \cos(\kappa\theta(x, y)) \right\}.$$

By changing variables as in (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } f(re^{i\theta}) &= \text{positive factor} \times \sin(\kappa\theta) \cdot (ar^2 + b(\theta)r + a - 1) \\ &= \text{positive factor} \times \sin(\kappa\theta) \cdot a(r - r_-(\theta))(r - r_+(\theta)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the inside of the path C can be written as $\{re^{i\theta}; \theta \in (0, \theta_*)\}$, $r \in (r_-(\theta), r_+(\theta))$ in (r, θ) coordinates. Since $a < 0$ and $\sin(\kappa\theta) > 0$ when $\theta \in (0, \theta_*)$, we see that $\text{Im } f(z) > 0$ if z is inside of the path C . In particular, the inside set of the curve $f(C')$ is a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^+ including w_0 .

Since the winding number of the path $f(C')$ with respect to $w = w_0$ is exactly one, we see that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - w_0} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{f(C')} \frac{dw}{w - w_0} = 1.$$

By definition of f , we see that $f(z) - w_0$ does not have a pole in D' . Therefore, by the argument principle, the function $f(z) - w_0$ has only one zero point, say $z_0 \in D' \subset D$. Thus, we obtain $f(z_0) = w_0$, and such $z_0 \in D$ is unique. We conclude that the map f is a bijection from D to the upper half-plane \mathbb{C}^+ .

4.2. The case $\gamma \geq 0$. Assume that $\gamma \geq 0$. By Propositions 2.2, 2.1 and 3.1, it is equivalent to the condition that Ω is unbounded. We first consider the behavior of $|f_{\kappa, \gamma}(z)|$ as $\partial\Omega \ni z \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$|f_{\kappa, \gamma}(z)| = \frac{1}{\left| \gamma + \frac{1}{z} \right|} \cdot \left| 1 + \frac{z}{\kappa} \right|^\kappa.$$

We consider the change variables $1 + \frac{z}{\kappa} = re^{i\theta}$ for $z \in \Omega$. Then, we have

$$\left| \gamma + \frac{1}{z} \right| \leq |\gamma| + \frac{1}{|\kappa|} \cdot \frac{1}{|re^{i\theta} - 1|} \leq |\gamma| + \frac{1}{|\kappa| \cdot |r - 1|},$$

so that

$$|f_{\kappa, \gamma}(z)| \geq \frac{r^\kappa}{|\gamma| + \frac{1}{|\kappa| \cdot |r - 1|}} \rightarrow +\infty \quad (\text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty).$$

Propositions 2.2, 2.1 and 3.1 show that, if $\kappa > 1$ or $\gamma = 0$, then $\partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ can be described as a connected curve $C(t)$, $t \in [0, 1)$ with $C(0) = \alpha_2$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-0} C(t) = \infty$. In this case, if $z \in \partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$, then we have $F(x, y) = 0$ and

$$f_{\kappa, \gamma}(z) = \frac{\left| 1 + \frac{z}{\kappa} \right|^\kappa}{\left| 1 + \gamma z \right|^2} \cdot \frac{-y}{\sin(\kappa\theta(x, y))} < 0. \quad (24)$$

This means that, if $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ goes to ∞ along the path $\partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$, then $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ must tend to $-\infty$.

We next consider \mathcal{S} for cases $\kappa \geq 1$ or $\gamma = 0$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let α_i , $i = 1, 2$ be the solutions of (8).*

- (1) *Assume that $\kappa > 1$ or $\kappa = \infty$, and also $D(0) \geq 0$. Then, α_i are both real and $\mathcal{S} = (-\infty, f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2))$ with $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2) < 0$.*
- (2) *Assume that $\gamma = 0$. Then, one has $\alpha_i = -\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}$ if $\kappa < +\infty$ and $\alpha_i = -1$ if $\kappa = \infty$ ($i = 1, 2$). Moreover, one has $\mathcal{S} = (-\infty, f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2))$.*
- (3) *Assume that $\kappa = 1$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then, α_i are both real, and one has $\mathcal{S} = (f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_1), f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2))$ with $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2) < 0$.*
- (4) *Assume that $\kappa \geq 1$ or $\kappa = \infty$, and also $D(0) < 0$. Then, α_i are both non-real, and one has $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$. On the other hand, one has $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+) = (-\infty, 0)$.*

Proof. (1) If $\kappa > 1$ and $D(0) \geq 0$, then Proposition 2.2 (5-a) tells us that $\Omega \cup \mathbb{R} = (\alpha_2, +\infty)$. On the other hand, if $\kappa = \infty$ and $D(0) \geq 0$, that is, $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}$, then we also have $\Omega \cup \mathbb{R} = (\alpha_2, +\infty)$ by Proposition 3.1 (1) and (3). Since it is easily verified that $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ is monotonic increasing on this interval for both cases, we have $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2) < 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) = +\infty$. Thus, by definition (5), we obtain

$\mathcal{S} = (-\infty, f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2))$ for both cases.

(2) Assume that $\gamma = 0$. Propositions 2.2 (2) and 3.1 (1) show that we have $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R} = (\alpha, +\infty)$ where $\alpha = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = -\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}$ if $\kappa < +\infty$, and if $\kappa = \infty$ then $\alpha = -1$. Since it is easily verified that $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ is monotonic increasing on this interval, we have $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2) < 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f_{\kappa, \gamma}(x) = +\infty$. Thus, by definition (5), we obtain $\mathcal{S} = (-\infty, f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha))$.

(3) Assume that $\kappa = 1$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$. By Proposition 2.1, we have $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \alpha_1) \cup (\alpha_2, +\infty)$. An elementary calculation yields that the image of this set by $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ is $(-\infty, f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_1)) \cup (f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2), +\infty)$ with $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_1) < f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2) < 0$, and therefore we obtain $\mathcal{S} = (f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_1), f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_2))$.

(4) Assume that $\kappa \geq 1$ or $\kappa = \infty$, and also assume that $D(0) < 0$. Then, Propositions 2.2 (5-b) and 3.1 (2) tell us that $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R} = (-\kappa, +\infty)$, where if $\kappa = \infty$ then we regard $-\kappa$ as $-\infty$. Since we have $-\kappa < -\frac{1}{\gamma} < 0$, we have the following increasing/decreasing table of $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$.

x	$-\kappa$	\cdots	$-\frac{1}{\gamma}$	\cdots	0	\cdots
$f'_{\kappa, \gamma}$		+	×	+	+	+
$f_{\kappa, \gamma}$	0	$\nearrow +\infty$	×	$-\infty \nearrow$	0	$\nearrow +\infty$

Thus, we obtain $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$. Let $C(t)$, $t \in [0, 1)$ be a path describing $\partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ with $C(0) = \alpha_2$. Assume that $C(t_1) = \alpha_1$. Then, by (24) and by the discussion below of it, we see that $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\alpha_1) < 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} f_{\kappa, \gamma}(C(t)) = -\infty$. Thus, by Lemma 4.2, we conclude that $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(\partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+) = (-\infty, 0)$. \square

We note that if $(\kappa, \gamma) = (1, 1)$, then we have $f_{\kappa, \gamma}(z) = z$, and hence we omit this case. Recall that $D = \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$. We shall show that $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ maps D to \mathbb{C}^+ bijectively. We divide cases according to the above lemma.

4.2.1. The case (1) and (2). Assume that $\kappa > 1$ or $\gamma = 0$. We also assume that $D(0) \geq 0$. Let us take a path $C = C(t)$, $t \in (0, 1)$ in such a way that by starting from $z = \infty$, it goes to $z = \alpha_2$ along the curve r_+ defined by (4) in the upper half plane, and then goes to $z = \infty$ along the real axis (see Figure 2). Here, we can assume that $C'(t) \neq 0$ whenever $C(t) \neq \alpha_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Actually, the curve $v(x, y) = 0$ has a tangent line unless f' vanishes. If we take an arc-length parameter t , then $C'(t)$ represents the direction of the tangent line at $(x, y) = C(t)$. We note that $C(t)$ describes the boundary of D . Lemma shows that $g(t) := f_{\kappa, \gamma}(C(t))$ is a monotonic increasing function on $(0, 1)$ such that $g(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ if $t \rightarrow 0$ and $g(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ if $t \rightarrow 1$.

We shall show that for any $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}^+$ there exists one and only one $z_0 \in D$ such that $f(z_0) = w_0$. Note that we have $\text{Im } f_{\kappa, \gamma}(z) > 0$ for any $z \in D$ by definition. Let us take an $R > 0$ such that $|w_0| < R$. For $L > 0$, let Γ_L be the circle $-\kappa + Le^{i\theta}$ of origin $z = -\kappa$ with radius L . Let $L - \kappa$ and z_L be two distinct intersection points of C and Γ_L . Let $C' := C_L$ be a closed path obtained from C by connecting $L - \kappa$ and z_L via the arc A of Γ_L included in the upper half plane, see Figure 4.

Since f is non-singular on the arc A , the curve $f(A)$ does not have a singular point so that it is homotopic to a large semi-circle (whose radius is larger than R) in the upper half plane (see Figure 6). In particular, the inside set $f(D')$ of the curve $f(C')$ is a bounded domain including $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Since the winding number of the path $f(C')$ about $w = w_0$ is exactly one, we see that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - w_0} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{f(C')} \frac{dw}{w - w_0} = 1.$$

We know by definition of f that f does not have a pole on D' . Therefore, by the argument principle, the function $f(z) - w_0$ has the only one zero point, say $z_0 \in D'$. Then, we obtain $f(z_0) = w_0$, and such z_0 is unique. We conclude that the map f is bijection from the interior set D of C to the upper half plane.

4.2.2. *The case (3).* Assume that $\kappa = 1$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then, Ω is given in Proposition 2.1. By a simple calculation, we see that α_i , $i = 1, 2$ are both real such that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < 0$, and we have $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \alpha_1) \cup (\alpha_2, +\infty)$. Moreover,

$$f_{\kappa,\gamma}([\alpha_1, +\infty)) = [f_{\kappa,\gamma}(\alpha_1), +\infty), \quad f_{\kappa,\gamma}((-\infty, \alpha_2]) = (-\infty, f_{\kappa,\gamma}(\alpha_2)],$$

and we have $\mathcal{S} = (f_{\kappa,\gamma}(\alpha_1), f_{\kappa,\gamma}(\alpha_2))$. Let $C = C(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ be a path in \mathbb{C}^+ connecting $z = \alpha_1$ to $z = \alpha_2$ along $\partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$. Then, since $f'_{\kappa,\gamma}(C'(t)) \neq 0$ for any $t \in (0, 1)$ and since $f_{\kappa,\gamma}(C(t)) \in \mathbb{R}$, Lemma 4.2 tells us that $f_{\kappa,\gamma}(C(t))$ is monotonic increasing on the interval $(0, 1)$, and hence $f_{\kappa,\gamma}(C([0, 1])) = \overline{\mathcal{S}}$. This shows that $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ maps ∂D to \mathbb{R} bijectively.

We can show the bijectivity of $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ on D to \mathbb{C}^+ similarly to the case $\kappa > 1$ and $D(0) \geq 0$ by taking Γ_L to be the semi-circle $-1 + Le^{i\theta}$ of origin $z = -1$ with radius L , contained in the upper half plane. Thus, we omit the detail.

4.2.3. *The case (4).* Assume that $\kappa \geq 1$ and $D(0) < 0$. Then, the solutions α_i , $i = 1, 2$ of (10) are both non-real complex numbers by $D(0) < 0$. Let $\text{Im } \alpha_1 > 0$. In this case, we have $-\kappa < -\frac{1}{\gamma} < 0$ and

x	$-\kappa$	\cdots	$-\frac{1}{\gamma}$	\cdots	0	\cdots
$f'_{\kappa,\gamma}$		$+$	\times	$+$	$+$	$+$
$f_{\kappa,\gamma}$	0	$\nearrow^{+\infty}$	\times	$-\infty \nearrow$	0	$\nearrow^{+\infty}$

Note that if $\kappa = \infty$, then we regard $-\kappa$ as $-\infty$. By the above table, we have $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, and set $\mathcal{S}' = (-\infty, 0)$. Since we have for $z = x + yi \in \partial\Omega$

$$f_{\kappa,\gamma}(z) = C((x + \gamma x^2 + \gamma y^2) \cos(\kappa\theta(x, y)) - y \sin(\kappa\theta(x, y))) = -C \frac{y}{\sin(\kappa\theta(x, y))},$$

we see that $f_{\kappa,\gamma}(\alpha_1) < 0$. This shows that the image of the function $f_{\kappa,\gamma}(z(\theta))$, $\theta \in (0, \theta_0)$ is $(-\infty, 0)$. Let us adopt a similar argument of the proof of bijectivity of the map $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ as in unbounded cases. since the winding number of the path of the boundary ∂D is two, we see that the map $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ maps D to \mathbb{C}^+ in two-to-one, and hence $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ does not map D to \mathbb{C}^+ bijectively.

4.2.4. Assume that $0 < \kappa < 1$ and $\gamma > 0$. In this case, Proposition 2.2 tells us that if $0 < a = \kappa\gamma < 1$ then $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R} = (\alpha_2, +\infty)$, and if $a > 1$ then $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}; x > -\kappa \text{ and } x \neq -\frac{1}{\gamma} \right\}$. If $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ maps $D = \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ to \mathbb{C}^+ bijectively, then it needs map $\partial D = \mathcal{I}$ to \mathbb{R} . However, if $x \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $x < \min(\alpha_1, -\kappa)$, then $\exp_{\kappa}(z)$ to $\left| 1 + \frac{x}{\kappa} \right|^{\kappa} e^{i\kappa\pi}$ as $z \rightarrow x$ via the arc $re^{i\theta}$ where $r = \left| 1 + \frac{x}{\kappa} \right|$. Since $0 < \kappa < 1$, then we see that $\lim_{z \rightarrow x} f_{\kappa,\gamma}(z)$ is not real and hence $f_{\kappa,\gamma}$ cannot map D to \mathbb{C}^+ bijectively.

Now we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2 for the case $\kappa > 0$ or $\kappa = \infty$. \square

5. THE CASE OF $\kappa < 0$

We shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 by proving it for the case $\kappa < 0$. To do so, let us recall the homographic (linear fractional) action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{C} . For $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, we set

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot z := \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

For each $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, the corresponding homographic action map \mathbb{C}^+ to \mathbb{C}^+ bijectively. Let $\kappa = -\kappa'$ with positive $\kappa' > 0$. Consider the transformation

$$1 + \frac{z'}{\kappa'} = \left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa} \right)^{-1}.$$

Then, it can be written as

$$z' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1/\kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{z}{1 + z/\kappa} \iff z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1/\kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot z' = \frac{z'}{1 - z'/\kappa}.$$

Note that since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1/\kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, it maps \mathbb{C}^+ to \mathbb{C}^+ bijectively. Then, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z}{1+\gamma z} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1/\kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot z' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma - 1/\kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot z' \\ &= \frac{z'}{1 + (\gamma - 1/\kappa)z'} = \frac{z'}{1 + (\gamma + 1/\kappa')z'} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right)^\kappa = \left(\left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-\kappa} = \left(1 + \frac{z'}{\kappa'}\right)^{\kappa'}$$

(recall that we are taking the main branch so that $\log z = -\log(z^{-1})$), we obtain

$$f_{\gamma, \kappa}(z) = \frac{z}{1+\gamma z} \left(1 + \frac{z}{\kappa}\right)^\kappa = \frac{z'}{1 + (\gamma + 1/\kappa')z'} \left(1 + \frac{z'}{\kappa'}\right)^{\kappa'} = f_{\gamma+1/\kappa', \kappa'}(z').$$

Set $\gamma' = \gamma + 1/\kappa'$. Since homographic actions map \mathbb{C}^+ to \mathbb{C}^+ bijectively, there exists a domain Ω such that $f_{\kappa, \gamma}$ maps $D = \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ to \mathbb{C}^+ bijectively if and only if it holds for $f_{\gamma', \kappa'}$. Thus,

$$\gamma' \leq 0 \iff \gamma \leq \frac{1}{\kappa},$$

and $\kappa' > 1$ and $\gamma' > 0$ with $\gamma' \leq \frac{1}{4}(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa'})^2$ is equivalent to

$$\gamma > \frac{1}{\kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa < -1 \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma - \frac{1}{\kappa} \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^2 \iff \gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^2.$$

This shows the case $\kappa < 0$ in Theorem 1.2, and hence we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L. (1979), *Complex Analysis, An introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable*. Third edition. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1978.
- [2] Amari, S., Ohara, A. (2011), Geometry of q -exponential family of probability distributions, *Entropy* **13**, no. 6, 1170–1185.
- [3] Borodin, A. (1999), Biorthogonal ensembles, *Nuclear Phys.* **B536**, no. 3, 704–732.
- [4] Cheliotis, D. (2018), Triangular random matrices and biorthogonal ensembles, *Statist. Probab. Letter* **134**, 36–44.
- [5] Claeys, T., Romano, S. (2014), Biorthogonal ensembles with two-particle interactions, *Nonlinearity* **27**, no. 10, 2419–2443.
- [6] Corless, R. M., Gonnet, G. H., Hare, D. E. G., Jeffrey, D. J., Knuth, D. E. (1996), On the Lambert W function, *Adv. Comput. Math.* **5**, no. 4, 329–359.
- [7] Dykema, K., Haagerup, U. (2004), DT-operator and decomposability of Voiculescu's circular operator, *Amer. J. Math.* **126**, 121–189.
- [8] Nakashima, H., Graczyk, P., Wigner and Wishart ensembles for graphical models, submitted, 30 pages. arXiv:2008.10446
- [9] Zhang, F. D., Ng, H. K. T., Shi, Y. M. (2018), Information geometry on the curved q -exponential family with application to survival data analysis, *Phys. A* **512**, 788–802.

6. APPENDIX

6.1. **Tables.** This subsection contains increasing/decreasing tables of H_α and $b'(\theta)$.

Lemma 6.1. *One has the following increasing/decreasing table of H_α .*

(A) $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$	x	0	\dots	$\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1}$	\dots	2π	$H_\alpha(2\pi) \geq 0$
	H'_α	0	+	0		+	
	H_α	0	\nearrow	$H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1})$	\searrow	$H_\alpha(2\pi)$	
(B) $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$	x	0	\dots	$\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1}$	\dots	2π	$H_\alpha(2\pi) < 0$
	H'_α	0	+	0		-	
	H_α	0	\nearrow	$H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1})$	\searrow	$H_\alpha(2\pi)$	
(C) $1 < \alpha < 2$	x	0	\dots	$\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1}$	\dots	$\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}$	$H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}) > 0$
	H'_α	0	-	0		+	
	H_α	0	\searrow	$H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1})$	\nearrow	$H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha})$	
(D) $\alpha \geq 2$	x	0	\dots	$\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1}$	\dots	$\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}$	$H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}) \leq 0$
	H'_α	0	-	0		+	
	H_α	0	\searrow	$H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha+1})$	\nearrow	$H_\alpha(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha})$	

Lemma 6.2. *The signature of $b'(\theta)$ on the interval I is given as follows.*

$0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$								
(1)	θ	0	\dots	φ_*	\dots	π	(i) $\ell(\kappa, a) \geq 0$, (ii) $\ell(\kappa, a) < 0$, $-J_\kappa(a) > \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$a > \frac{2\kappa^2+3\kappa+1}{6\kappa}$
	$b'(\theta)$		+	0	-			
(2)	$b'(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \in I$						(i) $\ell(\kappa, a) < 0$, $-J_\kappa(a) \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$a \leq \frac{2\kappa^2+3\kappa+1}{6\kappa}$
$\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$								
(1)	$b'(\theta) = 0$ for $\theta \in I$						$\ell(\kappa, a) = 0$	$a = 1$
(2)	$b'(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta \in I$						$\ell(\kappa, a) > 0$	$a > 1$
(3)	$b'(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \in I$						$\ell(\kappa, a) < 0$	$a < 1$
$\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1$								
(1)	θ	0	\dots	φ_*	\dots	π	(i) $\ell(\kappa, a) < 0$, (ii) $\ell(\kappa, a) = 0$, (iii) $\ell(\kappa, a) > 0$, $-J_\kappa(a) > \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$a < \frac{2\kappa^2+3\kappa+1}{6\kappa}$
	$b'(\theta)$		-	0	+			
(2)	$b'(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta \in I$						$-J_\kappa(a) \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}$, $\ell(\kappa, a) > 0$	$a \geq \frac{2\kappa^2+3\kappa+1}{6\kappa}$
$\kappa > 1$								
(1)	θ	0	\dots	φ_*	\dots	$\frac{\pi}{\kappa}$	$\ell(\kappa, a) > 0$, $-J_\kappa(a) < \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$a > \frac{2\kappa^2+3\kappa+1}{6\kappa}$
	$b'(\theta)$		+	0	-			
(2)	$b'(\theta) < 0$ for $\theta \in I$						(i) $\ell(\kappa, a) < 0$, (ii) $\ell(\kappa, a) = 0$, (iii) $\ell(\kappa, a) > 0$, $-J_\kappa(a) \geq \frac{1}{\kappa}$	$a \leq \frac{2\kappa^2+3\kappa+1}{6\kappa}$

If $\kappa = 1$, then one has

$$b'(\theta) = 2(a-1) \sin \theta.$$

6.2. **Figures.** This subsection collects figures of graphs of $f_{\kappa,\gamma}(x)$ (for real x), F_κ , and of shapes of Ω with some deformation.




FIGURE 1. The case of (i)




FIGURE 2. The case of (ii), when $\kappa > 2$




FIGURE 3. Curve C' in case (i)




FIGURE 4. Curve C' in case (ii)




FIGURE 5. Curve $f(C')$ in case (i)




FIGURE 6. Curve $f(C')$ in case (ii)

- Graphs of $f_{\kappa,\gamma}(x)$ for real x .




FIGURE 7. $f(x)$ for $x \geq -\kappa$, case (i)











FIGURE 8. $f(x)$ for $x \geq -\kappa$, case (ii)



- Graphs of F_κ



- Shapes of Ω



(Hideto Nakashima) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN

Email address: h-nakashima@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

(Piotr Graczyk) LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES LAREMA, UNIVERSITÉ D'ANGERS 2, BOULEVARD LAVOISIER, 49045 ANGERS CEDEX 01, FRANCE

Email address: piotr.graczyk@univ-angers.fr