

THE GEOMETRY OF LOOP SPACES III: DIFFEOMORPHISMS OF CONTACT MANIFOLDS

SATOSHI EGI, YOSHIAKI MAEDA, AND STEVEN ROSENBERG

ABSTRACT. We study the diffeomorphism and isometry groups of manifolds \overline{M}_p , $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, which are circle bundles over a closed $4n$ -dimensional integral symplectic manifold. Equivalently, \overline{M}_p is a compact $(4n + 1)$ -dimensional contact manifold with closed Reeb orbits. We use Wodzicki-Chern-Simons forms to prove that $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ are infinite for $|p| \gg 0$. For the Kodaira-Thurston manifold, we explicitly compute that this result holds for all p . We also give the first examples of nonvanishing Wodzicki-Pontryagin forms.

1. Introduction

In the papers *The Geometry of Loop Spaces I, II* [16, 17], we studied natural Riemannian metrics on the free loop space $L\overline{M}$ of a closed manifold \overline{M} , developed a theory of characteristic classes on the tangent bundle $T\overline{M}$, and used secondary classes to obtain results on the fundamental group of the diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(\overline{M})$, when \overline{M} is a circle bundle over a Kähler surface. In this paper, we give nontrivial extensions of these results to the diffeomorphism and isometry groups of manifolds \overline{M}_p , $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, which are circle bundles with first Chern class proportional to p over closed $4n$ -dimensional integral symplectic manifolds M . Equivalently, \overline{M}_p is a compact $(4n + 1)$ -dimensional contact manifold with closed Reeb orbits. We determine that $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ are infinite for $|p| \gg 0$ by studying the secondary Wodzicki-Chern-Simons (WCS) forms as a polynomial in p . We also use this polynomial to give the first examples of nonvanishing Wodzicki-Pontryagin (WP) forms. For specific examples, like the Kodaira-Thurston non-Kähler, symplectic 4-manifold, we can explicitly compute that these results hold for all p .

Overall, even the question of whether $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}))$ is finite or infinite seems difficult. As a trivial example, if $\overline{M} = M \times S^1$, then the subgroup of $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}))$ given by diffeomorphisms independent of $m \in M$ ($f(\theta)(m, \psi) = (m, f'(\theta, \psi))$) is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ by $f \mapsto f'$ for fixed $m \in M$. Thus $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}))$ is infinite.

In more generality, suppose \overline{M} admits a nontrivial circle action $a : S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$. This gives a loop $a^D : S^1 \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ of diffeomorphisms and hence an element of $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}))$. If the circle action is free, \overline{M} is the total space of a circle bundle over the orbit space M , with the action given by rotation of the circle fibers. It is natural to conjecture that this loop of diffeomorphisms has infinite order in $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}))$. This is not always true: for the canonical bundle $\overline{M} = S^{2n+1}$ over $M = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$, the fiber

rotation is an isometry of the standard metric on S^{2n+1} . In fact, a^D is the generator of the isometry group $SO(2n+2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$.

In this example, the first Chern number of the canonical bundle is 1. The main result is that for sufficiently high Chern number, rotation in the circle fiber gives an element of infinite order in $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}))$. More precisely:

Theorem 3.4: *Let (M, ω) be a closed integral symplectic manifold of dimension $4n$. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, let \overline{M}_p be the circle bundle over M with first Chern class $p[\omega]$. Then for $|p| \gg 0$, $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ are infinite. Equivalently, let \overline{M} be a closed $(4n+1)$ -dimensional contact manifold with closed Reeb orbits. Then \overline{M} covers infinitely many such contact manifolds \overline{M}_p with $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ infinite.*

In fact, the only example we know where a^D does not have infinite order in $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ is for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.

As an alternative approach, an elementary argument, due to Yoshihiko Mitsumatsu and discussed in §3.3, shows that the circle action generates an element of infinite order in $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ if π_1 of the circle fiber injects into $\pi_1(\overline{M}_p)$. In the concrete example of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, the injectivity hypothesis fails, so our methods are necessary. Other than this argument and Theorem 3.4, we know of no other nontrivial results on $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$.

For a rough outline of our approach, a loop of diffeomorphisms $a : S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ can be thought of as a map $a' : \overline{M} \rightarrow L\overline{M}$. As discussed in the proof of Theorem 3.4, characteristic classes of $TL\overline{M}$ can detect nontrivial elements of $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}))$. The WP classes are constructed using the Wodzicki residue of powers of the curvature, where the Wodzicki residue replaces the ordinary matrix trace used in finite dimensions. However, the WP classes always vanish, so it is natural to turn to the secondary WCS classes. In fact, it was conjectured [15] that the WP forms vanish pointwise, which we disprove in §5.

Our technique is to compute various WCS invariants \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1}^W , $k \leq 2n+1$, associated to the Chern character of $TL\overline{M}_p$. As a function of p , these invariants are polynomials in p with values in forms on $L\overline{M}_p$ (see (5.2):

$$\widetilde{CS}_{2k-1}^W = \sum_{i=1}^k \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1, 2i}^W p^{2i} \in \Lambda^{2k-1}(L\overline{M}_p).$$

In the proof of Theorem 3.4, we show that $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ is infinite for $p \gg 0$ if this WCS polynomial is not identically zero. Thus it suffices to show that any coefficient $\widetilde{CS}_{2k-1, 2i}^W$ in the WCS form is nonzero. In [17], we proved that for the top form \widetilde{CS}_5^W associated to a Kähler surface, the coefficient $\widetilde{CS}_{5,6}^W$ of p^6 is nonzero, but we had no interpretation for this coefficient. In this paper, we prove that for the top form \widetilde{CS}_{4n+1}^W associated to the symplectic manifold M^{4n} , the coefficient $\widetilde{CS}_{4n+1, 4n+2}^W$

of the highest power p^{4n+2} is a constant times the symplectic volume of M , where the constant depends only on $\dim(M)$. We also prove in Proposition 5.2 that for any k , the coefficient of the lowest power p^2 in \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1}^W is related to a Pontryagin-type form on a Kähler manifold M^{4n} . In Theorem 5.3, we use the Proposition to prove that the WP forms on LS^{4k+1} are not identically zero, the first known example of this non-vanishing. We do not have a geometric or topological interpretation for the other coefficients of these WCS polynomials.

In summary, the advances of this paper over [17] are the extension of the results in Theorem 3.4 from Kähler surfaces to higher dimensional symplectic manifolds, and to give geometric/topological interpretations of two of the coefficients in the WCS polynomials.

The type of contact manifolds we treat have at least two physical interpretations. The bundles \overline{M}_p are basic components of geometric quantization of symplectic manifolds, in that the L^2 sections of the associated line bundles form the Hilbert space of the prequantum theory. In addition, for $\dim(M) = 4$, \overline{M}_p is a Riemannian version of Kaluza-Klein theory, which is a classical unification of gravity and electromagnetism. In this setting, the nontriviality of $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ becomes the nontriviality of the fundamental group of the gauge group \mathcal{G} of the circle bundle. As a result, there could be a global gauge anomaly in the quantized theory, such as the appearance of a phase factor in correlators computed around a nontrivial element in $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$.

In §2 we give background material on pseudodifferential operators and WCS classes on loop spaces. In §3 we prove the main result, both by direct calculation and computer verification. The main technical difference between the Kähler and symplectic case occurs in Lemma 3.3, where we cannot use the Kähler condition $\nabla J = 0$ in curvature computations.

In §4, we apply our theory to an example, perhaps the first, of a symplectic, non-Kähler manifold due to Kodaira-Thurston. Through explicit calculations, we get the results in Theorem 3.4 for all p . These calculations are possible because the Wodzicki residue is computed pointwise, unlike *e.g.* the operator trace, so finding an explicit compatible Riemannian metric on the Kodaira-Thurston manifold makes a computation possible. The online files [5, 6] include this long computation and computer codes verifying the main results. In contrast, there are several known classes of non-Kähler, symplectic manifolds [10, 11, 19] with no explicit metric, and in these cases Theorem 3.4 is the only method to obtain information on $\text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ at present.

In §5, we relate Pontryagin forms on M to WCS forms on \overline{M}_p in the Kähler case (Proposition 5.2). Using this Proposition, we prove that the WP forms on the free loop space LS^{4n+1} are nonvanishing (Theorem 5.3). As mentioned above, these are the first examples of nonvanishing Wodzicki characteristic forms. In Appendix A, we discuss why symplectic manifolds of dimension $4k + 2$ are more difficult to treat.

To place our results in context, current knowledge of the homotopy type of $\text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ is meager and mostly limited to dimensions at most three [13], where in all known cases the Smale conjecture that $\text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ has the same homotopy type as $\text{Isom}(\overline{M})$ holds. It is elementary that $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ has the homotopy type of $O(2)$. The theorems that $\text{Diff}(S^2) \sim O(3)$ and $\text{Diff}(S^3) \sim O(4)$, due to Smale [22] and Hatcher [12] respectively, are much more difficult. The homotopy type of $\text{Diff}_0(\Sigma^2)$ (the identity component of $\text{Diff}(\Sigma^2)$) for a closed Riemann surface is known [4]. For most classes of closed geometric 3-manifolds \overline{M}^3 , the Smale conjecture is valid [9, 18], and so *e.g.* the components of $\text{Diff}(\overline{M}^3)$ are contractible for \overline{M}^3 hyperbolic. Recently, Ricci flow has been successfully applied in two and three dimensions to the Smale conjecture [1]. In dimensions four and above, the Smale conjecture fails for spheres [13, 24]. Farrell-Hsiang [7] used algebraic K-theory to prove that some rational higher homotopy groups $\pi_k(\text{Diff}(S^n)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are finite extensions of $\pi_k(SO(n+1))$ in the stable range.

We are grateful to Yoshihiko Mitsumatsu for providing us with Proposition 3.5 and its application in §4.

2. Background material

2.1. Finite dimensional background material. The complexified tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold (M^{4n}, g) has Chern character $ch(M) \in H^{ev}(M, \mathbb{Q})$ with $2k$ -component

$$ch_{[2k]}(M) = \frac{1}{k!(2\pi)^k} [\text{Tr}(\Omega^k)] \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Omega = \Omega_M$ is the curvature form of g . There are associated Pontryagin-type forms $\tilde{p}_k(\Omega) = (-1)^k / [(2k)!(2\pi)^{2k}] \text{Tr}(\Omega^{2k})$ and classes

$$\tilde{p}_k(M) = [\tilde{p}_k(\Omega)] = (-1)^k ch_{[2k]}(M) \in H^{4k}(M, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The usual Pontryagin classes $p_k(M) := (-1)^k c_{2k}(M)$ are built from the even Chern classes $c_{2k}(M)$. By invariant theory for $SO(n)$, the rings generated by $\{\tilde{p}_{2k}\}$ and $\{p_{2k}\}$ are the same, so we can work with either classes [20, §16].

As part of Chern-Weil theory, for connections ∇^0, ∇^1 on TM with connection forms ω_0, ω_1 and curvature forms Ω^0, Ω^1 , the Chern-Simons form $\widetilde{CS}_{4k-1}(\nabla^0, \nabla^1) \in \Lambda^{4k-1}(M)$,

$$\widetilde{CS}_{4k-1}(\nabla^0, \nabla^1) = 2k \int_0^1 \text{Tr}((\omega_1 - \omega_0) \wedge \overbrace{\Omega_t \wedge \dots \wedge \Omega_t}^{2k-1}) dt,$$

satisfies

$$d\widetilde{CS}_{4k-1}(\nabla^0, \nabla^1) = \tilde{p}_k(\Omega^0) - \tilde{p}_k(\Omega^1). \quad (2.2)$$

Here $\omega_t = t\omega_0 + (1-t)\omega_1$, $\Omega_t = d\omega_t + \omega_t \wedge \omega_t$. Our sign convention is $\Omega(\partial_k, \partial_j)_b^a = g(R(\partial_k, \partial_j)\partial_b, \partial_a)$, where R is the curvature tensor of g .

2.2. Infinite dimensional background material. This material is taken from [16, 17]. Let $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ be a Riemannian manifold of any dimension, where the bars are used to be consistent with the notation in the rest of the paper. For fixed $s_0 \gg 0$, the loop space $L\overline{M}$ of s_0 -differentiable loops is a Banach manifold with tangent space at a loop $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \overline{M}$ given by $T_\gamma L\overline{M} = \Gamma(\gamma^* T\overline{M} \rightarrow S^1)$, where the sections of the pullback bundle are $s_0 - 1$ differentiable. $L\overline{M}$ has two preferred connections, the L^2 or $s = 0$ Levi-Civita connection ∇^0 associated to the L^2 inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$, and the $s = 1$ Levi-Civita connection ∇^1 associated to the inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$:

$$\langle \overline{X}, \overline{Y} \rangle_0 = \int_{S^1} \overline{g}(\overline{X}_t, \overline{Y}_t)_{\gamma(\theta)} dt, \quad \langle \overline{X}, \overline{Y} \rangle_1 = \int_{S^1} \overline{g}((1 + \Delta)\overline{X}_t, \overline{Y}_t)_{\gamma(\theta)} dt.$$

Here $\Delta = \nabla^* \nabla$ is the Laplacian associated to the pullback connection $\nabla = \gamma^* \nabla^{\overline{M}}$ of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{\overline{M}}$ on \overline{M} . While the connection and curvature forms ω_0, Ω_0 for ∇^0 at γ take values in $\text{End}(\gamma^* T\overline{M})$, the corresponding forms ω_1, Ω_1 for ∇^1 take values in $\Psi\text{DO}_{\leq 0}$, the Lie algebra of zeroth order pseudodifferential operators (ΨDO s) on $\Gamma(\gamma^* T\overline{M} \otimes \mathbb{C})$, with the understanding that zeroth order means order at most zero. Since endomorphisms of a bundle are zeroth order ΨDO s, we can consider ∇^0, ∇^1 to be ΨDO_0^* -connections, where the Lie group ΨDO_0^* of zeroth order invertible ΨDO s with bounded inverse has Lie algebra $\Psi\text{DO}_{\leq 0}$. In particular, the curvature forms for these connections take values in skew-adjoint operators in $\Psi\text{DO}_{\leq 0}$.

In contrast to finite dimensions, there are two natural traces on $\Psi\text{DO}_{\leq 0}$. Recall that a zeroth order ΨDO P on $\Gamma(\gamma^* T\overline{M} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ has a symbol sequence $P \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{-k}^P(x, \xi)$, where $x \in S^1, \xi \in T_x^* S^1$; for $\pi : T^* S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ the projection, $\sigma_{-k}^P(x, \xi) \in \text{End}(\pi^* \gamma^* T\overline{M}|_{(x, \xi)})$ is homogeneous of degree $-k$ in ξ . The first trace is the leading order trace

$$\text{Tr}^{lo}(P) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^* S^1} \text{tr}(\sigma_0(x, \xi)) d\xi dx,$$

where $S^* S^1$ is the unit cotangent bundle of S^1 . For example, if $P \in \text{End}(\gamma^* T\overline{M} \otimes \mathbb{C})$, then $\text{Tr}^{lo}(P) = (1/2\pi) \int_{S^1} \text{tr}(P(x)) dx$. The second is the Wodzicki residue (see [8])

$$\text{res}^W(P) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^* S^1} \text{tr}(\sigma_{-1}(x, \xi)) d\xi dx.$$

For $P \in \text{End}(\gamma^* T\overline{M} \otimes \mathbb{C})$, $\text{res}^W(P) = 0$. The trace in (2.1) can be replaced by either trace to give a theory of characteristic classes on $TL\overline{M}$:

$$ch_{[2k]}^{lo}(LM) := \frac{1}{k!} [\text{Tr}^{lo}(\Omega^k)] \in H^{2k}(L\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}), \quad ch_{[2k]}^W(LM) := \frac{1}{k!} [\text{res}^W(\Omega^k)] \in H^{2k}(L\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}).$$

In fact, the $ch_{[2k]}^W(L\overline{M})$ always vanish, while there are many examples of nonvanishing $ch_{[2k]}^{lo}(L\overline{M})$ [15].

From here on, we only consider the Wodzicki residue trace. There are corresponding Wodzicki-Pontryagin (WP) classes

$$p_k^W(L\overline{M}), \tilde{p}_k^W(L\overline{M}) \in H^{4k}(L\overline{M}, \mathbb{R}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Since these classes vanish, we focus on the associated Wodzicki-Chern-Simons (WCS) forms

$$\widetilde{CS}_{2k-1}^W = k \int_0^1 \text{res}^W((\omega_1 - \omega_0) \wedge \overbrace{\Omega_t \wedge \dots \wedge \Omega_t}^{k-1}) dt \in \Lambda^{2k-1}(L\overline{M}).$$

Fix a loop $\gamma(\theta) \in L\overline{M}$ and complexified tangent vectors $\overline{X}_1, \dots, \overline{X}_{2k-1} \in \Gamma(\gamma^*T\overline{M} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ at γ . By [17, Prop. 2.5], for the L^2 and $s = 1$ Sobolev connections, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1}^W(g)(\overline{X}_1, \dots, \overline{X}_{2k-1}) & \quad (2.3) \\ &= \frac{k}{2^{k-1}} \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \int_{S^1} \text{tr} [(-2\overline{R}(\overline{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \dot{\gamma}) - \overline{R}(\cdot, \dot{\gamma})\overline{X}_{\sigma(1)} + \overline{R}(\overline{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \cdot)\dot{\gamma}) \\ & \quad \cdot (\overline{\Omega})^{k-1}(\overline{X}_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, \overline{X}_{\sigma(2k-1)})] d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{R}, \overline{\Omega}$ are the curvature tensor and curvature two-form of \overline{g} , \mathfrak{S}_{2k-1} is the permutation group of $\{1, \dots, 2k-1\}$, and we have omitted the θ dependence of $\dot{\gamma}, \overline{X}_i$.

The analog of (2.2) in this context is $d\widetilde{CS}_{4k-1}^W(\nabla^0, \nabla^1) = \tilde{p}_k(\Omega^0) - \tilde{p}_k(\Omega^1)$, where Ω_0 , resp. Ω_1 , are the curvature of the L^2 , resp. Sobolev $s = 1$, metrics on $L\overline{M}$. Since Ω_0 takes values in endomorphisms of $TL\overline{M}$, its Wodzicki residue vanishes. Thus

$$d\widetilde{CS}_{4k-1}^W(\nabla^0, \nabla^1) = -\tilde{p}_k^W(\Omega^1) \in \Lambda^{4k}(L\overline{M}). \quad (2.4)$$

3. The higher dimensional symplectic case

In §3.1, we prove the main result Theorem 3.4. We first discuss the Riemannian geometry of circle bundles \overline{M}_p , $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, over symplectic manifolds (M, ω) , where $c_1(\overline{M}_p) = (2\pi)^{-1}[p\omega]$. We compute the curvature as a function of p . Using the curvature calculations, we prove that the WCS class on $L\overline{M}_p$ is a polynomial in p^2 with nonzero top coefficient. As we explain, this proves the Theorem. In §3.2, we discuss computer calculations that verify our calculations.

3.1. Geometry of line bundles over integral symplectic manifolds. Let (M, ω) be a compact integral symplectic manifold of real dimension $4n$. The symplectic form $\omega \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ determines a Riemannian metric $g(X, Y) = -\omega(JX, Y)$, where J is a compatible almost complex structure.

We compute the symplectic volume form of M in local coordinates, where $J = J_i^j dx^i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ and $\omega = \omega_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j$.

Lemma 3.1. (i) $J_{ij} := g_{bj}J_i^b = \omega_{ij}$.

(ii) Let $\{e^1, \dots, e^{4n}\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of T^*M . The symplectic volume form of M is

$$\frac{1}{(2n)!}\omega^{2n} = \frac{1}{(2n)!2^{2n}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{4n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) J_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)} \cdots J_{\sigma(4k-1)\sigma(4k)} e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{4n},$$

where \mathfrak{S}_{4n} is the permutation group on $\{1, \dots, 4n\}$.

Our convention throughout the paper is that $J_j^b = J_j^b$, so $g_{ab}J_j^b = J_{ja}$, not J_{aj} .

Proof. (i) Since $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$, we get

$$\omega_{ij} = g(J\partial_i, \partial_j) = g(J_i^b \partial_b, \partial_j) = J_i^b g_{bj} = J_{ij}.$$

(ii) This follows from (i), since

$$\omega^{2n} = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{4n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \omega_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)} \cdots \omega_{\sigma(4k-1)\sigma(4k)} e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{4n}.$$

□

Because ω is integral, it has an associated line bundle $L = L_1$ over M . Let \overline{M}_p be the total space of the circle bundle $L_p \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ associated to $p\omega$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. L_p comes with a connection $\overline{\eta}$ with $d\overline{\eta} = p\pi^*\omega$, the curvature of $\overline{\eta}$. The metric g induces a metric $\overline{g} = \overline{g}_p$ on \overline{M}_p by

$$\overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = g(\pi_*\overline{X}, \pi_*\overline{Y}) + \overline{\eta}(\overline{X})\overline{\eta}(\overline{Y}).$$

We also denote $\overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$ by $\langle \overline{X}, \overline{Y} \rangle$.

Let $\overline{\xi}$ be a vector tangent to the circle fiber with $\overline{\eta}(\overline{\xi}) = 1$, and let X^L denote the horizontal lift to \overline{M}_p of a tangent vector X to M . We have $\overline{\eta}(X^L) = 0$.

We compute the Levi-Civita connection $\overline{\nabla}$ for \overline{g} .

Lemma 3.2. (i) $\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\xi} = \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\xi} = 0$;

(ii) $\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L = (\nabla_X Y)^L - pg(JX, Y)\overline{\xi}$;

(iii) $\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}\overline{\xi} = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}X^L = p(JX)^L$.

Here \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative.

Proof. (i) As in [17, §3.2], each circle fiber is the orbit of an isometric S^1 action on \overline{M}_p , so each circle is a geodesic ($\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\xi} = 0$), with $\overline{\xi}$ preserved by the action ($\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\xi} = 0$). Alternatively, for the first part, since $d\overline{\eta}(\cdot, \overline{\xi}) = 0$, we get $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\eta} = di_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\eta} + i_{\overline{\xi}}d\overline{\eta} = d1 + d\overline{\eta}(\overline{\xi}, \cdot) = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{g} = \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}}(g^L + \overline{\eta} \otimes \overline{\eta}) = 0$, so $\overline{\xi}$ is a Killing vector field. This implies $\overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\xi}, Z) + \overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}Z) = 0$. Setting $Z = \overline{\xi}$ and then $Z \perp \overline{\xi}$, we get $\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}}\overline{\xi} = 0$.

(ii) We define $H(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}$, $FX = F(X) \in TM$ by

$$\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L = (\nabla_X Y)^L + H(X, Y)\overline{\xi}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_{X^L} \overline{\xi} = (FX)^L, \quad (3.2)$$

These definitions are valid, since for (3.1), it follows from [21, Lem. 1] that $\pi_*(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L} Y^L) = \nabla_X Y$, so $\overline{\nabla}_{X^L} Y^L = (\nabla_X Y)^L + H(X, Y)\overline{\xi}$ for some $H(X, Y)$. For (3.2), $\langle \overline{\xi}, \overline{\xi} \rangle = 1$ implies $\langle \overline{\nabla}_{X^L} \overline{\xi}, \overline{\xi} \rangle = 0$, so $\overline{\nabla}_{X^L} \overline{\xi} = (FX)^L$ for some FX .

We note that $H(X, Y) = -H(Y, X)$: using $\overline{\eta}(\overline{X}) = \overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{X})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}} \overline{g})(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{\eta})(\overline{Y}) + (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{\eta})(\overline{X}) = \overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y}) + \overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{X}) \\ &= H(X, Y) + H(Y, X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} p\omega(X, Y) &= d\overline{\eta}(X^L, Y^L) = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\nabla}_{X^L} \overline{\eta}(Y^L) - \overline{\eta}(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L} Y^L) - \overline{\nabla}_{Y^L} \overline{\eta}(X^L) - \overline{\eta}(\overline{\nabla}_{Y^L} X^L)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-\overline{\eta}(\overline{\nabla}_{X^L} Y^L) + \overline{\eta}(\overline{\nabla}_{Y^L} X^L)) = -\overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{\nabla}_{X^L} Y^L) + \overline{g}(\overline{\xi}, \overline{\nabla}_{Y^L} X^L) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-H(X, Y) + H(Y, X)) = -H(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$H(X, Y) = -p\omega(X, Y) = p\omega(J^2 X, Y) = -pg(JX, Y).$$

(iii) From $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}} X^L = 0$, we get the first equality in (iii):

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}} X^L - \overline{\nabla}_{X^L} \overline{\xi} = [\overline{\xi}, X^L] = \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\xi}} X^L = 0.$$

(This also gives an alternative proof of (i): since $\langle X^L, \overline{\xi} \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\langle \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}} \overline{\xi}, X^L \rangle = \langle \overline{\xi}, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}} X^L \rangle = \langle \overline{\xi}, \overline{\nabla}_{X^L} \overline{\xi} \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\langle \overline{\xi}, \overline{\xi} \rangle = 1$ implies $\langle \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}} \overline{\xi}, \overline{\xi} \rangle = 0$, we get $\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}} \overline{\xi} = 0$. Another proof that the circle fibers are geodesics is in [14, Thm. 5.2.13].)

It follows from $\langle Y^L, \overline{\xi} \rangle = 0$ that

$$\langle \overline{\nabla}_{X^L} Y^L, \overline{\xi} \rangle + \langle Y^L, \overline{\nabla}_{X^L} \overline{\xi} \rangle = 0, \text{ or } H(X, Y) + \langle Y^L, (FX)^L \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\overline{g}(X^L, Y^L) = g(X, Y)$, we have $-pg(JX, Y) = H(X, Y) = -g(FX, Y)$, so $FX = pJX$. □

For curvature conventions for \overline{M}_p , we set

$$\overline{R}(\partial_k, \partial_j)_b^a = \overline{R}_{kjb}^a, \quad \overline{R}(\partial_k, \partial_j, \partial_b, \partial_a) = \langle \overline{R}(\partial_k, \partial_j) \partial_b, \partial_a \rangle = \overline{R}_{k j b a},$$

with

$$\overline{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \overline{Z} = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z} - \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Z} - \overline{\nabla}_{[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]} \overline{Z}.$$

The curvature tensor \overline{R} of \overline{g} is related to the curvature tensor R of g as follows:

Lemma 3.3.

- (i) $\bar{g}(\bar{R}(X^L, Y^L)Z^L, W^L) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W) + p^2[-g(JY, Z)g(JX, W) + g(JX, Z)g(JY, W) + 2g(JX, Y)g(JZ, W)],$
- (ii) $\bar{g}(\bar{R}(X^L, Y^L)Z^L, \bar{\xi}) = -pg((\nabla_X J)Y, Z) + pg((\nabla_Y J)X, Z),$
- (iii) $\bar{g}(\bar{R}(X^L, \bar{\xi})Y^L, \bar{\xi}) = -p^2g(X, Y),$
- (iv) $\bar{g}(\bar{R}(X^L, \bar{\xi})Y^L, Z^L) = pg((\nabla_X J)Y, Z).$

Proof. (i) and (ii). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\nabla}_{X^L}\bar{\nabla}_{Y^L}Z^L &= \bar{\nabla}_{X^L}((\nabla_Y Z)^L - pg(JY, Z)\bar{\xi}) \\
 &= \bar{\nabla}_{X^L}(\nabla_Y Z)^L - p\bar{\nabla}_{X^L}(g(JY, Z)\bar{\xi}) \\
 &= \nabla_X(\nabla_Y Z)^L - pg(JX, \nabla_Y Z)\bar{\xi} - p[X^L(g(JY, Z))\bar{\xi} + g(JY, Z)\bar{\nabla}_{X^L}\bar{\xi}] \\
 &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z)^L - pg(JX, \nabla_Y Z)\bar{\xi} \\
 &\quad - g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z)\bar{\xi} + g(J\nabla_X Y, Z)\bar{\xi} \\
 &\quad + g(JY, \nabla_X Z)\bar{\xi} + g(JY, Z)(p(JX)^L), \\
 [X^L, Y^L] &= \bar{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L - \bar{\nabla}_{Y^L}X^L \\
 &= (\nabla_X Y)^L - pg(JX, Y)\bar{\xi} - (\nabla_Y X)^L + pg(JY, X)\bar{\xi} \\
 &= -2pg(JX, Y)\bar{\xi} + [X, Y]^L,
 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{R}(X^L, Y^L)Z^L &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z)^L - pg(JX, \nabla_Y Z)\bar{\xi} \\
 &\quad - p(g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z)\bar{\xi} + g(J\nabla_X Y, Z)\bar{\xi} + g(JY, \nabla_X Z)\bar{\xi} + pg(JY, Z)(JX)^L) \\
 &\quad - [(\nabla_Y \nabla_X Z)^L - pg(JY, \nabla_X Z)\bar{\xi} \\
 &\quad - p(g((\nabla_Y J)X, Z)\bar{\xi} + g(J\nabla_Y X, Z)\bar{\xi} + g(JX, \nabla_Y Z)\bar{\xi} + pg(JX, Z)(JY)^L)] \\
 &\quad - [(\nabla_{[X, Y]^L}Z^L) - 2pg(JX, Y)\nabla_{\bar{\xi}}Z^L] \\
 &= (R(X, Y)Z)^L - p^2g(JY, Z)(JX)^L + p^2g(JX, Z)(JY)^L + 2p^2g(JX, Y)(JZ)^L \\
 &\quad - pg((\nabla_X J)Y, Z)\bar{\xi} + pg((\nabla_Y J)X, Z)\bar{\xi}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{g}(\bar{R}(X^L, Y^L)Z^L, W^L) &= \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle - p^2g(JY, Z)g(JX, W) \\
 &\quad + p^2g(JX, Z)g(JY, W) + 2p^2g(JX, Y)g(JZ, W), \\
 \bar{g}(\bar{R}(X^L, Y^L)Z^L, \bar{\xi}) &= -pg((\nabla_X J)Y, Z) + pg((\nabla_Y J)X, Z).
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) and (iv). Using $[X^L, \bar{\xi}] = 0$ and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\bar{R}(X^L, \bar{\xi})Y^L = \bar{\nabla}_{X^L}\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\xi}}Y^L - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\xi}}\bar{\nabla}_{X^L}Y^L$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \overline{\nabla}_{X^L}(p(JY)^L) - \overline{\nabla}_{\bar{\xi}}((\nabla_X Y)^L - pg(JX, Y)\bar{\xi}) \\
&= p\overline{\nabla}_{X^L}((JY)^L) - p(J\nabla_X Y)^L \\
&= p[(\nabla_X(JY))^L - pg(JX, JY)\bar{\xi}] - p(J\nabla_X Y)^L \\
&= p((\nabla_X J)Y)^L - p^2g(X, Y)\bar{\xi}.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}(\overline{R}(X^L, \bar{\xi})Y^L, Z^L) &= pg((\nabla_X J)Y, Z), \\
\bar{g}(\overline{R}(X^L, \bar{\xi})Y^L, \bar{\xi}) &= -p^2g(X, Y).
\end{aligned}$$

□

In fact, (ii) and (iv) are equivalent; this uses the symmetry of \overline{R} and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -d\omega(X, Y, Z) = d(g(J\cdot, \cdot))(X, Y, Z) \\
&\Rightarrow g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z) + g((\nabla_Y J)Z, X) - g((\nabla_Z J)Y, X) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From now on, we assume that M is connected. Here is the main result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (M, ω) be a closed integral symplectic manifold of dimension $4n$. Then for $|p| \gg 0$, $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ are infinite. Equivalently, let \overline{M} be a closed $(4n+1)$ -dimensional contact manifold with closed Reeb orbits. Then \overline{M} covers infinitely many such contact manifolds \overline{M}_p with $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ infinite*

In fact, \overline{M}_p is diffeomorphic to \overline{M}_{-p} , since L_p is diffeomorphic to $L_{-p} = L_p^*$ via the fiberwise map $v \mapsto \langle \cdot, v \rangle$.

Proof. For the equivalence, we note that the line bundle L_1 covers L_p by the map $z \mapsto z^p$ in each fiber, as can be seen by the Čech construction of $c_1(L_p)$. The equivalence of line bundles over symplectic manifolds and contact manifolds with closed Reeb orbits is given by the Boothby-Wang fibration theorem [2, Thm. 3.9].

We recall the approach of [17]. For any set X , the following sets are in bijection:

$$\text{Maps}(S^1 \times X, X) \leftrightarrow \text{Maps}(S^1, \text{Maps}(X, X)) \leftrightarrow \text{Maps}(X, \text{Maps}(S^1, X)).$$

In particular, let $a : S^1 \times \overline{M}_p \rightarrow \overline{M}_p$ be the isometric S^1 action of rotation in the fibers of \overline{M}_p . This gives equivalent maps $a^D \in \pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ defined by $a^D(\theta)(\overline{m}) = a(\theta, \overline{m})$, and $a^L : \overline{M}_p \rightarrow L\overline{M}_p$ defined by $a^L(\overline{m})(\theta) = a(\theta, \overline{m})$. This induces $a_*^L : H_{4n+1}(\overline{M}_p) \rightarrow H_{4n+1}(L\overline{M}_p)$. If a^D is trivial, then the trivializing homotopy $F : [0, 1] \times S^1 \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p)$, $F(0, \cdot) = a^D$, $F(1, \cdot) = \text{Id} : \overline{M}_p \rightarrow \overline{M}_p$, induces $F' : [0, 1] \times \overline{M}_p \rightarrow L\overline{M}_p$ with $F'(0, \cdot) = a^L$, $F'(1, m) = (\theta \mapsto m)$ for all θ , i.e., $F'(1, m)$ is the constant loop at m . Thus if a^D is trivial, $a_*^L = F'(1, \cdot)_*$ takes the fundamental homology class $[\overline{M}_p]$ in \overline{M}_p to the class $[\overline{M}_{p,c}]$ of constant loops in $L\overline{M}_p$.

If $\beta \in \Lambda^{4n+1}(L\overline{M}_p)$ is closed and has

$$\int_{a^L_*[\overline{M}_p]} \beta = \int_{[\overline{M}_p]} (a^L)^* \beta \neq 0, \quad \int_{[\overline{M}_{p,c}]} \beta = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

then a^L is not homotopic to the constant loop map. It follows that a^D must be nontrivial in $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and in fact has infinite order [17, Prop. 3.4].

For our purposes, $\beta = \widetilde{CS}_{4n+1}^W$; this is closed by [17, Thm. 2.6]. By (2.3), \widetilde{CS}_{4n+1}^W is the zero form on constant loops, since $\dot{\gamma} = 0$. Thus the second condition $\int_{[\overline{M}_{p,c}]} \beta = 0$ in (3.3) is satisfied.

For the first condition in (3.3), we want to compute $a^{L,*}\widetilde{CS}_{4n+1}^W$ in a local frame. In our setting, $a^L(m)$ is the loop $\gamma = \gamma_m$ given by the fiber $\overline{M}_{p,m}$. As in [17, (3.5)], we may assume that $\dot{\gamma} = \bar{\xi} = e_0$, the first element of an orthonormal frame $\{e_0, \dots, e_{4n}\}$. Then (2.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & a^{L,*}\widetilde{CS}_{4n+1,\gamma}^W \\ &= \frac{2n+1}{2^{2n-1}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{4n+1}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \overline{R}_{\sigma_0 \ell_1 0^r} \cdot \overline{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ell_2}^{\ell_1} \cdot \overline{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 \ell_3}^{\ell_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \overline{R}_{\sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n} r}^{\ell_{2k}} \\ & \quad \cdot e_0 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{4n} \\ &:= \frac{2n+1}{2^{2n-1}} \sum_{q=1}^{4n+2} S_{4n+1,q} p^q e_0 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{4n}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where \mathfrak{S}_{4n+1} is the permutation group of $\{0, 1, \dots, 4n\}$, and $\ell_i, r \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4n\}$. We have used Lemma 3.3 to write $a^{L,*}\widetilde{CS}_{4n+1}^W$ on \overline{M}_p as a polynomial in p . In particular, it is easy to see that the top power of p in S_{4n+1} is p^{4n+2} and that there is no term with power p^0 .

We focus on the top term.

Claim:

$$S_{4n+1,4n+2} = (-1)^{n+1} 2^{2n+1} (2n+1) \sum_{\sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_{4n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma') J_{\sigma'_1 \sigma'_2} \dots J_{\sigma'_{4n-1} \sigma'_{4n}}, \quad (3.5)$$

where \mathfrak{S}_{4n} is the permutation group of $\{1, \dots, 4n\}$.

By Lemma 3.1, the right hand side of (3.5) is a nonzero multiple of the symplectic volume form. As a result,

$$0 < \int_{\overline{M}_p} a^{L,*}\widetilde{CS}_{4n+1}^W,$$

for $|p| \gg 0$, which is the first condition in (3.3). Thus the Claim implies that $[a^D]$ has infinite order in $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$. The action is by isometries of the fibers, so if $[a^D]$ had finite order in $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$, it would have finite order in $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$.

Thus the Theorem follows from the Claim, which we now prove. By Lemma 3.3, the only way to get p^{4n+2} in a term in (3.4) is if $\sigma_0 = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{4n+1,4n+2} p^{4n+2} \\
& \equiv \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \bar{R}_{0\ell_1 0}^r \bar{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ell_2}^{\ell_1} \bar{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 \ell_3}^{\ell_2} \cdots \\
& \quad \cdot \bar{R}_{\sigma_{4n-3} \sigma_{4n-2} \ell_{2n}}^{\ell_{2n-1}} \bar{R}_{\sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n} r}^{\ell_{2n}} \pmod{p^{4n+2}} \\
& \equiv \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \bar{R}_{0a_1 0}^r \bar{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 a_2}^{a_1} \bar{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_2} \bar{R}_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 a_4}^{a_3} \bar{R}_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_4} \cdots \\
& \quad \cdot \bar{R}_{\sigma_{4n-3} \sigma_{4n-2} a_{2n}}^{a_{2n-1}} \bar{R}_{\sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n} r}^{2n} \pmod{p^{4n+2}} \\
& \equiv \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) (-p^2 \delta_{a_1}^r) \bar{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 a_2}^{a_1} \bar{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_2} \bar{R}_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 a_4}^{a_3} \bar{R}_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_4} \cdots \\
& \quad \cdot \bar{R}_{\sigma_{4n-3} \sigma_{4n-2} a_{2n}}^{a_{2n-1}} \bar{R}_{\sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n} r}^{2k} \pmod{p^{4n+2}} \\
& \equiv -p^2 \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) (\bar{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 a_2}^{a_1} \bar{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_2}) (\bar{R}_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 a_4}^{a_3} \bar{R}_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_4}) \cdots \\
& \quad \cdot (\bar{R}_{\sigma_{4n-3} \sigma_{4n-2} a_{2n}}^{a_{2n-1}} \bar{R}_{\sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n} a_1}^{2n}) \pmod{p^{4n+2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\pmod{p^{4n+2}}$ denotes all terms with power p^{4n+2} . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{4n+1,4n+2} p^{4n+2} \\
& = -p^{4n+2} \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) (A'_1)_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_1} (A'_2)_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_3} \\
& \quad \cdots \cdots (A'_n)_{\sigma_{4n-3} \sigma_{4n-2} \sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n} a_1}^{a_{2n-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A'_1)_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_1} \\
& = [-J_{\sigma_2 a_2} J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_1 a_2} J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} + 2J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{a_2}^{a_1}] [-J_{\sigma_4 a_3} J_{\sigma_3}^{a_2} + J_{\sigma_3 a_3} J_{\sigma_4}^{a_2} + 2J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{a_3}^{a_2}] \\
& (A'_2)_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_3} \\
& = [-J_{\sigma_6 a_4} J_{\sigma_5}^{a_3} + J_{\sigma_5 a_4} J_{\sigma_6}^{a_3} + 2J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} J_{a_4}^{a_3}] [-J_{\sigma_8 a_5} J_{\sigma_7}^{a_4} + J_{\sigma_7 a_5} J_{\sigma_8}^{a_4} + 2J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} J_{a_5}^{a_4}] \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& (A'_n)_{\sigma_{4n} \sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n-2} \sigma_{4n-3} a_1}^{a_{2n-1}} \\
& = [-J_{\sigma_{4n-2} a_{2n}} J_{\sigma_{4n-3}}^{a_{2n-1}} + J_{\sigma_{4n-3} a_{2n}} J_{\sigma_{4n-2}}^{a_{2n-1}} + 2J_{\sigma_{4n-3} \sigma_{4n-2}} J_{a_{2n}}^{a_{2n-1}}] \\
& \quad \cdot [-J_{\sigma_{4n} a_1} J_{\sigma_{4n-1}}^{a_{2n}} + J_{\sigma_{4n-1} a_1} J_{\sigma_{4n}}^{a_{2n}} + 2J_{\sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n}} J_{a_1}^{a_{2n}}].
\end{aligned}$$

We expand out (A'_1) :

$$\begin{aligned}
& (A'_1)_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_1} \\
& = J_{\sigma_2 a_2} J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_4 a_3} J_{\sigma_3}^{a_2} - J_{\sigma_2 a_2} J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 a_3} J_{\sigma_4}^{a_2} - 2J_{\sigma_2 a_2} J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{a_3}^{a_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - J_{\sigma_1 a_2} J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_4 a_3} J_{\sigma_3}^{a_2} + J_{\sigma_1 a_2} J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 a_3} J_{\sigma_4}^{a_2} + 2 J_{\sigma_1 a_2} J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{a_3}^{a_2} \\
 & - 2 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{a_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_4 a_3} J_{\sigma_3}^{a_2} + 2 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{a_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 a_3} J_{\sigma_4}^{a_2} + 4 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{a_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{a_3}^{a_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, *e.g.*, $J_{\sigma_2 a_2} J_{\sigma_3}^{a_2} = g_{\sigma_2 \sigma_3}$, (A'_1) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A'_1)_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_1} & = J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_4 a_3} g_{\sigma_2 \sigma_3} - J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 a_3} g_{\sigma_2 \sigma_4} - 2 J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} g_{\sigma_2 a_3} \\
 & - J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_4 a_3} g_{\sigma_1 \sigma_3} + J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 a_3} g_{\sigma_1 \sigma_4} + 2 J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} g_{\sigma_1 a_3} \\
 & + 2 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_4 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} - 2 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} - 4 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Terms in (3.6) containing $g_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}$ (as opposed to terms containing $g_{\sigma_i a_j}$) do not contribute to $S_{4n+1, 4n+2}$. Indeed, by the symmetry of g , for fixed σ the term in $S_{4n+1, 4n+2}$ formally of the form $\text{sgn}(\sigma) J \cdot J \cdots J \cdot g_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}$ is cancelled by the term with $(ij)\sigma$ in cycle notation.

As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{4n+1, 4n+2} p^{4n+2} & = p^{4n+2} \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (A_1)_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_1} (A'_2)_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_3} \\
 & \quad \cdots (A'_n)_{\sigma_{4n} \sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n-2} \sigma_{4n-3} a_1}^{a_{2n-1}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A_1)_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_1} & = -2 J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} g_{\sigma_2 a_3} + 2 J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} g_{\sigma_1 a_3} + 2 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_4 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} \\
 & \quad - 2 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} - 4 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1} \\
 & = -4 J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_2 a_3} - 4 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} - 4 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1} \\
 & = -2^2 [J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_2 a_3} + J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1}] \\
 & = -2^2 J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} (J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_4 a_3} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

(In the last line, we replaced $J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_2 a_3}$ with $J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_4 a_3}$ using the sign preserving “change of variables” $\sigma \mapsto (13)(24)\sigma$; strictly speaking, this is valid only after we plug (A_1) back into (3.7).)

Doing the same computations for $(A'_2), \dots, (A'_n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{4n+1, 4n+2} & \tag{3.8} \\
 & = \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (A_1)_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_1} (A_2)_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_3} \cdots (A_n)_{\sigma_{4n} \sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n-2} \sigma_{4n-3} a_1}^{a_{2n}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A_2)_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_3} & = (-2^2) [J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} (J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_3} + J_{\sigma_7}^{a_3} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_3})] \\
 & \vdots \\
 (A_n)_{\sigma_{4n-3} \sigma_{4n-2} \sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n} a_1}^{a_{2n-1}} & \\
 & = (-2^2) [J_{\sigma_{4n-3} \sigma_{4n-2}} (J_{\sigma_{4n-1} a_1} \delta_{\sigma_{4n}}^{a_{2n-1}} + J_{\sigma_{4n-1}}^{a_{2n-1}} g_{\sigma_{4n} a_1} + J_{\sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n}} \delta_{a_1}^{a_{2n-1}})].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

We now begin to simplify (3.8).

$$\begin{aligned} S_{4n+1,4n+2} & \tag{3.10} \\ & = (-1) \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) (A_{12})_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_1} (A_3)_{\sigma_9 \sigma_{10} \sigma_{11} \sigma_{12} a_6}^{a_5} \cdots \cdots \\ & \quad (A_n)_{\sigma_{4n} \sigma_{4n-1} \sigma_{4n-2} \sigma_{4n-3} a_1}^{a_{2n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_{12})_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_1} \\ & := (A_1)_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 a_3}^{a_1} (A_2)_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 \sigma_7 \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_3} \\ & = (-2^2)^2 [J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} (J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} g_{\sigma_4 a_3} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1})] \\ & \quad \cdot [J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} (J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_3} + J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} J_{\sigma_5}^{a_3} g_{\sigma_6 a_5} + J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1})] \\ & = (-2^2)^2 \cdot J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} [J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{a_5}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_4 a_3} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1}] [J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{a_8}^{a_3} + J_{\sigma_7}^{a_3} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_3}] \\ & = (-2^2)^2 \cdot J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} [J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_3} + J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_7}^{a_3} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_3 a_3} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_3} \\ & \quad + J_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_4 a_3} J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_3} + J_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_4 a_3} J_{\sigma_7}^{a_3} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_4 a_3} J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_3} \\ & \quad + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_3} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_7}^{a_3} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \delta_{a_3}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_1}] \tag{3.11} \\ & = (-2^2)^2 \cdot J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} [J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_8} J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_3 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} J_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_4} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_3}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_4 a_5} J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \\ & \quad + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_7}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_1}] \\ & = (-2^2)^2 \cdot J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} [-J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} - J_{\sigma_7}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_7}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \\ & \quad + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_7}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_1}] \\ & = (-2^2)^2 \cdot J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} [J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} (-J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_1} - J_{\sigma_7}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_7}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_1} \\ & \quad + J_{\sigma_7}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_1})] \\ & = (-2^2)^2 \cdot J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} [J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_7}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing to simplify (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_{123})_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{12} a_7}^{a_1} \\ & := (A_{12})_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_8 a_5}^{a_1} (A_3)_{\sigma_9 \sigma_{10} \sigma_{11} \sigma_{12} a_6}^{a_5} \\ & = (-2^2)^2 \cdot J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} [J_{\sigma_7 a_5} \delta_{\sigma_8}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_7}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_8 a_5} + J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} \delta_{a_5}^{a_1}] \\ & \quad \cdot (-2^2) \cdot J_{\sigma_9 \sigma_{10}} [J_{\sigma_{11} a_7} \delta_{\sigma_{12}}^{a_5} + J_{\sigma_{11}}^{a_5} g_{\sigma_{12} a_7} + J_{\sigma_{11} \sigma_{12}} \delta_{a_7}^{a_5}] \\ & = (-2^2)^3 \cdot J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} J_{\sigma_7 \sigma_8} J_{\sigma_9 \sigma_{10}} [J_{\sigma_{11} a_7} \delta_{\sigma_{12}}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_{11}}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_{12} a_7} + J_{\sigma_{11} \sigma_{12}} \delta_{a_7}^{a_1}], \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from computations as in (3.8).

In the end, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{4n+1,4n+2} \\ & (-1)^{n+1} 2^{2n} \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \cdots \cdots J_{\sigma_{4n-3} \sigma_{4n-2}} [J_{\sigma_{4n-1} a_1} \delta_{\sigma_{4n}}^{a_1} + J_{\sigma_{4n-1}}^{a_1} g_{\sigma_{4n} a_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + J_{\sigma_{4n-1}\sigma_{4n}} \delta_{a_1}^{a_1}] \\
 = & (-1)^{n+1} 2^{2n+1} (2n+1) \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \text{sgn}(\sigma) J_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3\sigma_4} \cdots J_{\sigma_{4n-1}\sigma_{4n}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

This proves the Claim and finishes the proof of Theorem 3.4. □

3.2. A computer verification. Using the code at egison.org, we obtain the following results for $S_{4n+1,4n+2}$ in Theorem 3.4 [5].

dim(M)	4	6	8
$S_{4n+1,4n+2}$	-192	0	61440

In this pointwise calculation, we have put the almost complex structure into the normal form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This agrees with (3.12): for $\dim(M) = 4$ (i.e. $n = 1$), $\sum_{\sigma_0=0} J_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3\sigma_4} = -2!2^2$, so $S_{4n+1,4n+2} = (-1)^2 2^3 (3)(-8) = -192$; for $\dim(M) = 8$ (i.e. $n = 2$), the corresponding sum over permutations gives $4!2^4$, so $S_{4n+1,4n+2} = (-1)^3 2^5 5(384) = 61440$.

The fact that $c_n = 0$ for $\dim(M) = 6$ is proven in Appendix A, and applies to all manifolds of dimension $4n + 2$.

3.3. An elementary alternative. We present an argument due to Yoshihiko Mitsumatsu that proves a variant of Theorem 3.4.

Proposition 3.5. *Let \overline{M} be a manifold with an S^1 action $a : S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$, and let \mathcal{O} be an orbit whose corresponding element in $\pi_1(\overline{M})$ has infinite order. Then the element $a^D \in \pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}))$ associated to a has infinite order. In particular, if the action is free with corresponding fibration $S^1 \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}/S^1$, and if $\pi_1(S^1)$ injects into $\pi_1(\overline{M})$, then $a^D \in \pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}))$ has infinite order.*

Proof. If a^D has finite order k , then there is a homotopy $H : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ with $H(\theta, 0)(m) = (k \cdot a^D)(\theta)(m)$, $H(\theta, 1)(m) = m$. Here we don't distinguish between $a^D : S^1 \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ and its homotopy class. Pick $m \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $H_m : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{M}$, $H_m(\theta, s) = H(\theta, s)(m)$, is a homotopy from $k \cdot \mathcal{O}$ to the constant loop at m . Thus \mathcal{O} has finite order in $\pi_1(\overline{M})$, a contradiction. □

4. THE KODAIRA-THURSTON EXAMPLE

We calculate explicitly the WCS class for the example given by Thurston [23], and apparently known to Kodaira, of a non-Kähler symplectic manifold M^4 . By putting an explicit Riemannian metric on M , we can compute that $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ are infinite for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

4.1. The metric. M is a T^2 fibration over T^2 . To construct M , we take coordinates $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4 \in [0, 1]$. The base T^2 has coordinates θ_1, θ_2 , where we glue θ_1, θ_2 as usual to get a torus. For the fiber T^2 , we take the linear transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(\theta_3, \theta_4)\}$ (so now these coordinates are real numbers), which glues the unit (θ_3, θ_4) -square to the parallelogram with sides given by the vectors $\vec{\theta}_3, \vec{\theta}_3 + \vec{\theta}_4$. We do this gluing in the θ_2 direction, so that M is given by $[0, 1]^4$ with the relations/gluing

$$(0, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) \sim (1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4), \quad (\theta_1, 0, \theta_3, \theta_4) \sim (\theta_1, 1, \theta_3, \theta_3 + \theta_4).$$

We claim that the metric

$$d\theta_1^2 + d\theta_2^2 + d\theta_3^2 - \theta_2 d\theta_3 d\theta_4 + (1 + \theta_2) d\theta_4^2 \quad (4.1)$$

is well-defined on M . Since ∂_{θ_4} at $\theta_2 = 0$ is glued to $\partial_{\theta_3} + \partial_{\theta_4}$ at $\theta_2 = 1$, this means we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\theta_j} \rangle_{(0, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)} &= \langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\theta_j} \rangle_{(1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ \langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\theta_j} \rangle_{(\theta_1, 0, \theta_3, \theta_4)} &= \langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\theta_j} \rangle_{(\theta_1, 1, \theta_3, \theta_4)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\theta_4} \rangle_{(\theta_1, 0, \theta_3, \theta_4)} &= \langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\theta_3} + \partial_{\theta_4} \rangle_{(\theta_1, 1, \theta_3, \theta_4)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad j = 4, \\ \langle \partial_{\theta_4}, \partial_{\theta_4} \rangle_{(\theta_1, 0, \theta_3, \theta_4)} &= \langle \partial_{\theta_3} + \partial_{\theta_4}, \partial_{\theta_3} + \partial_{\theta_4} \rangle_{(\theta_1, 1, \theta_3, \theta_4)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the metric is independent of $\theta_1 \in [0, 1]$, the first equation holds; since the metric is independent of θ_2 for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, the second equation holds. For the third equation, the left hand side is 0; the right hand side is also 0 for $i = 1, 2$, and for $i = 3$ we get

$$\langle \partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\theta_3} + \partial_{\theta_4} \rangle_{(\theta_1, 1, \theta_3, \theta_4)} = 1 - (\theta_2 = 1) = 0.$$

For the last equation, the left hand side is 1, and the right hand side is

$$\langle \partial_{\theta_3}, \partial_{\theta_3} \rangle_{\theta_2=1} + 2\langle \partial_{\theta_3}, \partial_{\theta_4} \rangle_{\theta_2=1} + \langle \partial_{\theta_4}, \partial_{\theta_4} \rangle_{\theta_2=1} = 1 + 2(-1) + 2 = 1.$$

(Since $g_{33} = 1$ is independent of θ_2 , from the gluing $\partial_{\theta_3}|_{\theta_2=0} = \partial_{\theta_3}|_{\theta_2=1}, \partial_{\theta_4}|_{\theta_2=0} = (\partial_{\theta_3} + \partial_{\theta_4})|_{\theta_2=1}$, $g_{34}(\theta_2)$ must satisfy $g_{34}(0) = 0, g_{34}(1) = -1$ and $g_{44}(\theta_2)$ must satisfy $g_{44}(0) = 1, g_{44}(1) = 2$, so our choice of metric is the simplest one possible.)

As a check, we note that the volume form is

$$(1 + \theta_2 - \theta_2^2) d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2 \wedge d\theta_3 \wedge d\theta_4,$$

which is equal at $\theta_2 = 0$ and $\theta_2 = 1$. It is also positive definite, since $1 + \theta_2 - \theta_2^2$ has no roots in $[0, 1]$.

4.2. The compatible AC structure and the new metric. Given a symplectic form ω and a Riemannian metric g , we want to find an AC structure J and a new metric \tilde{g} with the compatibility condition $\omega(u, v) = \tilde{g}(Ju, v)$. The usual procedure is to write

$$\omega(u, v) = g(Au, v) \quad (4.2)$$

for some skew-adjoint transformation A . (The matrix of A is not necessarily skew-symmetric in the basis $\{\partial_{\theta_i}\}$, since this basis is only orthogonal at $\theta_2 = 0$.) For A^* the adjoint of A with respect to g , we set

$$J = \sqrt{AA^*}^{-1} A = \sqrt{-A^2}^{-1} A, \quad \tilde{g}(u, v) = g(\sqrt{AA^*}u, v). \quad (4.3)$$

It is easy to check that $J^2 = -1$ and that (4.2) holds. Note that $\tilde{g}(u, v) = g((AA^*)^{1/4}u, (AA^*)^{1/4}v)$ is positive definite.

We take the symplectic form $\omega = d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2 + \kappa d\theta_3 \wedge d\theta_4$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, so (M, ω) is integral. (For $\kappa < 0$, ω^2 is the volume form for the reverse of the standard orientation.) For the metric g , we first have to compute A . (4.2) is equivalent to

$$\omega_{ij} = A_i^k g_{kj}. \quad (4.4)$$

A straightforward calculation gives

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\theta_2 \kappa}{1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2} & \frac{\kappa}{1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(-1-\theta_2)\kappa}{1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2} & \frac{-\theta_2 \kappa}{1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We now have to compute $\sqrt{AA^*}$. From (4.2) and

$$\omega(u, v) = -\omega(v, u) = -g(Av, u) = g(-A^*u, v),$$

we get $A^* = -A$. Thus

$$AA^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\kappa^2}{1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\kappa^2}{1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2} \end{pmatrix} \implies \sqrt{AA^*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\kappa}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\kappa}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$J = \sqrt{AA^*}^{-1} A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\theta_2}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} & \frac{1}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1-\theta_2}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} & \frac{-\theta_2}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.5)$$

Note that J is independent of κ .

To compute \tilde{g} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{AA^*} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \\ u_4 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \frac{\kappa}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} u_3 \\ \frac{\kappa}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} u_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ \implies \tilde{g} = g(\sqrt{AA^*} \cdot, \cdot) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\kappa}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} & \frac{-\theta_2 \kappa}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-\theta_2 \kappa}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} & \frac{(1+\theta_2)\kappa}{(1+\theta_2-\theta_2^2)^{1/2}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

4.3. The top WCS form. Let (e_0, \dots, e_4) be a local orthonormal frame of \overline{M}_p , with $e_0 = \overline{\xi}$. By (2.3) with $k = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_5^W(e_0, \dots, e_4) &= \widetilde{CS}_5^W(a_*^L e_0, \dots, a_*^L e_4) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \int_{S^1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_5} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \overline{R}_{\sigma_0 \ell_1 0}{}^r \overline{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ell_2}{}^{\ell_1} \overline{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 r}{}^{\ell_2} d\theta_0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where \mathfrak{S}_5 is the permutation group on $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\ell_1, \ell_2, r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4)$, and θ_0 is the fiber coordinate with $\partial_{\theta_0} = \overline{\xi}$. We have used that $a^L(\overline{m})$ is the circle fiber of $\overline{m} \in \overline{M}_p$, so $\dot{\gamma}$ in (2.3) equals $\overline{\xi}$. Thus the integral over S^1 is the integral over the circle fiber in \overline{M}_p .

Set $\beta = \beta(\theta_2) = 1 + \theta_2 - \theta_2^2$.

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$\int_{\overline{M}_p} a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_5^W = \frac{3\kappa\pi^2 p^{3/2}}{8} \int_0^1 (3072p^4 - 640p^2\beta^{-2} - 25\beta^{-4}) d\theta_2. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. We explain the constants on the right hand side of (4.8). By the construction of \overline{g} , a acts via isometries on \overline{M}_p . This makes the integrand in (4.7) independent of θ_0 , so the integral is replaced with a factor of 2π . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{M}_p} a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_5^W &= \int_{\overline{M}_p} a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_5^W(e_0, \dots, e_4) e^0 \wedge \dots \wedge e^4 \\ &= \frac{2\pi \cdot 3}{2} \int_{\overline{M}_p} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_5} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \overline{R}_{\sigma_0 \ell_1 0}{}^r \overline{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ell_2}{}^{\ell_1} \overline{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 r}{}^{\ell_2} d\text{vol}. \end{aligned}$$

We now switch to the coordinates $\{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_4\}$, so \overline{R} is now computed in these coordinates, and $d\text{vol} = \kappa d\theta_0 \wedge \dots \wedge d\theta_4$. The integrand is again independent of the point in the fiber, so the integral over the fiber just detects the length of the fiber. By the construction of \overline{g} , the fiber in \overline{M}_1 has length $2\pi = \int_0^{2\pi} |\overline{\xi}|$. For \overline{M}_p , \overline{g} has the fiber

term $p d\eta \otimes d\eta$, so $|\bar{\xi}| = p^{1/2}$, and we only integrate from 0 to $2\pi/p$. Thus the length of the fiber is $\int_0^{2\pi/p} p^{1/2} = 2\pi/p^{1/2}$. So

$$\int_{\overline{M}_p} a^{L,*} \widetilde{C} S_5^W = \left(\frac{2\pi \cdot 3}{2} \right) \left(\frac{2\pi\kappa}{p^{1/2}} \right) \int_M \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_5} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \overline{R}_{\sigma_0 \ell_1 0}{}^r \overline{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ell_2}{}^{\ell_1} \overline{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 r}{}^{\ell_2} d\theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\theta_4.$$

Thus the Proposition follows if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_5} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \overline{R}_{\sigma_0 \ell_1 0}{}^r \overline{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ell_2}{}^{\ell_1} \overline{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 r}{}^{\ell_2} d\theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\theta_4 \\ &= \frac{p^2}{16} \int_0^1 (3072p^4 - 640p^2\beta^{-2} - 25\beta^{-4}) d\theta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The long calculation of (4.9) is in [6]. This result is verified by the computer calculations in a file at [5]. \square

Since the top coefficient of p is nonzero, we conclude from Theorem 3.4 that $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ are infinite for $|p| \gg 0$. We will improve this to all p as follows:

Theorem 4.2. $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))$ and $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))$ are infinite for all p .

Proof. For $p = 0$, this follows as in the Introduction (cf. [17, Rmk. 3.2]). For $p \neq 0$, by (4.8), (4.9), it suffices to show that

$$\int_0^1 (3072p^4 - 640p^2\beta^{-2} - 25\beta^{-4}) d\theta_2 \neq 0, \quad (4.10)$$

for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Either by a direct calculation or by Wolfram Alpha, we get (for $\theta = \theta_2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \int \beta^{-2} d\theta &= \frac{2\theta - 1}{5(1 + \theta - \theta^2)} - \frac{2(\ln(-2\theta + \sqrt{5} + 1))}{5\sqrt{5}} + \frac{2(\ln(2\theta + \sqrt{5} - 1))}{5\sqrt{5}} + C, \\ \int \beta^{-4} d\theta &= -\frac{1}{375} \left(\frac{1}{(1 + \theta - \theta^2)^3} (-60\theta^5 + 150\theta^4 + 50\theta^3 - 225\theta^2 - 75\theta + 80) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 12\sqrt{5} (\ln(2\theta + \sqrt{5} - 1) - \ln(-2\theta + \sqrt{5} + 1)) \right) + C. \end{aligned}$$

The definite integrals are

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \beta^{-2} d\theta &= \frac{2}{25} \left(5 + 4\sqrt{5} \coth^{-1}(\sqrt{5}) \right), \\ \int_0^1 \beta^{-4} d\theta &= \frac{16}{375} \left(10 + 3\sqrt{5} \coth^{-1}(\sqrt{5}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into (4.10), we must show that

$$10(-1 - 24p^2 + 288p^4) - 3\sqrt{5}(1 + 64p^2) \coth^{-1}(\sqrt{5}) \neq 0.$$

This quadratic equation in p^2 has solutions $p \approx \pm 0.159514i, \pm 0.424868$. Since there are no integral solutions, the theorem follows. \square

A second computer program verifying these calculations is in [5].

Remark 4.1. Proposition 3.5 provides an alternative proof of Theorem 4.2. By the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration $T^2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow T^2$, $\pi_2(M) = 1$. Then the homotopy exact sequence for $S^1 \rightarrow \overline{M}_p \rightarrow M$ implies that $\pi_1(S^1)$ injects into $\pi_1(M)$. Our proof depending on Theorem 3.4 is longer, but is available in cases where Proposition 3.5 cannot be applied.

5. The higher dimensional Kähler case

In this section, we prove that the lowest order term in the WCS form has a geometric/topological interpretation on Kähler manifolds (Proposition 5.2); this appears to fail for general symplectic manifolds. We use this result to give non-vanishing results for Wodzicki-Pontryagin forms (Theorem 5.3). In contrast, the Wodzicki-Pontryagin classes vanish in $H^{4k}(LM)$; this non-vanishing of the representative forms gives the first known examples in arbitrarily high dimensions.

We start with some general remarks about the real homology/cohomology of loop spaces. For a manifold N , define the ring homomorphism $L : \Lambda^*(N) \rightarrow \Lambda^*(LN)$, $\delta \mapsto \delta_L$ by

$$\delta_L(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \delta(X_1(0), \dots, X_k(0)).$$

Then

$$a^{L,*} \circ L = \text{Id}. \tag{5.1}$$

To see this, take $v \in T_p N$ and a curve $\gamma(s)$ tangent to v at p . Then $a_{L,*}(v) = (d/ds)|_{s=0} a_L(\gamma(s))$, a vector field along the loop $a_L(p)(t)$. Since $a_L(\gamma(s)(0) = \gamma(s)$, we get $a_{L,*}(v)(t=0) = v$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a^{L,*} \delta_L(X_1, \dots, X_r) &= \delta_L(a_{L,*} X_1, \dots, a_{L,*}(X_r)) = \delta[(a_{L,*} X_1](0), \dots, [a_{L,*}(X_r)](0)) \\ &= \delta(X_1, \dots, X_r). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. L induces an injection $L^* : H^k(N, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow H^k(LN, \mathbb{R})$ for all k .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(d_{LN} \circ L)\delta](X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} X_i(\delta_L(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \leq j} (-1)^{i+j} \delta_L([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} X_i(\delta(X_1(0), \dots, \hat{X}_i(0), \dots, X_{k+1}(0))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i \leq j} (-1)^{i+j} \delta([X_i, X_j](0), X_1(0), \dots, \hat{X}_i(0), \dots, \hat{X}^j(0), \dots, X_{k+1}(0)), \\
 & [(L \circ d_N) \delta](X_1, \dots, X_{k+1}) = d_N \delta(X_1(0), \dots, X_{k+1}(0)) \\
 & = \sum_i (-1)^{i-1} X_i(0) (\delta(X_1(0), \dots, \hat{X}_i(0), \dots, X_{k+1}(0))) \\
 & + \sum_{i \leq j} (-1)^{i+j} \delta([X_i, X_j](0), X_1(0), \dots, \hat{X}_i(0), \dots, \hat{X}^j(0), \dots, X_{k+1}(0)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\gamma_s(t)$ be a family of loops with tangent vector $X_i \in T_{\gamma_0} LN$. Extend the X_j to vector fields near $\gamma = \gamma_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_i(\delta(X_1(0), \dots, \hat{X}_i(0), \dots, X_{k+1}(0))) & = (d/ds|_{s=0}) \delta_{\gamma(s)(0)}(X_1(0), \dots, \hat{X}_i(0), \dots, X_{k+1}(0)) \\
 & = X_i(0)(\delta(X_1(0), \dots, \hat{X}_i(0), \dots, X_{k+1}(0))).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similar computations imply $d_{LN} \circ L = L \circ d_N$, so $L : \Lambda^*(N) \rightarrow \Lambda^*(LN)$ induces $L^* : H^*(N) \rightarrow H^*(LN)$. Then $a^{L,*} L^* = Id$ implies $L^* : H^*(N) \rightarrow H^*(LN)$ is injective. \square

In contrast to this general cohomological result, our goal is to obtain information on the Wodzicki-Pontryagin forms from the characteristic cohomology ring of TM .

Let (M, ω) be an integral Kähler manifold of real dimension $4n$; equivalently, M is projective algebraic. The Kähler form $\omega \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ determines the Riemannian metric $g(X, Y) = -\omega(JX, Y)$, where J is the complex structure.

The key feature of the Kähler case for us is that $\nabla J = 0$. Thus in Lemma 3.3, the terms (ii) and (iv) vanish. By (2.3) and Lemma 3.3, the WCS forms \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1}^W on $L\overline{M}_p$ and their pullbacks $a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1}^W$ to \overline{M}_p are polynomials in p^2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1}^W(L\overline{M}_p) & = \sum_{i=1}^k \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1,2i}^W p^{2i} \in \Lambda^{2k-1}(L\overline{M}_p), \\
 a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1}^W(L\overline{M}_p) & = \sum_{i=1}^k a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_{2k-1,2i}^W p^{2i} \in \Lambda^{2k-1}(\overline{M}_p),
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where the forms $\widetilde{CS}_{2k-1,2i}^W$ are curvature expressions independent of p . (In the symplectic case, odd powers of p appear.)

We can explicitly compute the relevant part of $\widetilde{CS}_{2k-1,2}^W$ used in the computations below. Let $\Omega = \Omega_M$ be the curvature of the Kähler metric.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\pi : \overline{M}_p \rightarrow M$ be the fibration. For $\bar{\xi}$ the unit tangent vector to the fibers of π ,*

$$\iota_{\bar{\xi}} a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W = (2k+1)2 \cdot \pi^* \text{tr}(\Omega_M^{2k}) = (-1)^k (4k+2)(2\pi)^{2k} (2k)! \cdot \pi^* \tilde{p}_k(\Omega_M).$$

Thus the Pontryagin-type form $\tilde{p}_k(\Omega_M)$ is related to $\widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W$.

Proof. Let $\bar{\xi} = \bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \dots, \bar{e}_{4n+1}$ be an orthonormal frame of \overline{M}_p at \bar{m} with $\bar{\xi}$ tangent to the fiber of the S^1 action and $\{\bar{e}_i\}_{i=2}^{4n+1}$ a horizontal lift of an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ at $\pi(\bar{m})$. We must show that $a^{L,*}\widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W(\bar{\xi}, \bar{e}_2, \dots, \bar{e}_{4k+1})$ at \bar{m} is a specific multiple of $\text{tr}(\Omega_M^{2k})(\pi_*e_2, \dots, \pi_*e_{4n+1})$ at $\pi(\bar{m})$.

We denote e.g. $\overline{R}(\bar{e}_{\sigma(2)}, \bar{e}_{\sigma(3)}, \bar{e}_{\ell_2}, \bar{e}_{\ell_1})$ by $\overline{R}(\sigma(2), \sigma(3), \ell_2, \ell_1)$, and denote \bar{e}_r by r . As in (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a^{L,*}CS_{4k+1}^W(g)(\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_{4k+1}) \\ &= \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \int_{S^1} \text{tr}[(R(e_{\sigma(1)}, \cdot)\bar{\xi})(\Omega^M)^{2k}(e_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(4k+1)})] \\ &= \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \int_{S^1} \overline{R}(\sigma(1), \ell_1, \bar{\xi}, r) \overline{R}(\sigma(2), \sigma(3), \ell_2, \ell_1) \overline{R}(\sigma(4), \sigma(5), \ell_3, \ell_2) \cdots \\ & \quad \cdot \overline{R}(\sigma(4k-2), \sigma(4k-1), \ell_{4k}, \ell_{4k-1}) \overline{R}(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), r, \ell_{4k}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We want to compute the terms in (5.3) of order p^2 . These terms come from (α) permutations with $\sigma(1) = 1$, and (β) permutations with $\sigma(1) \neq 1$.

We claim the (β) terms contribute zero (for all powers of p^2). The term $\overline{R}(\sigma(1), \ell_1, \bar{\xi}, n)$ with $\sigma(1) \neq 1$ is zero unless $\ell_1 = 1$ and $\sigma(1) = n$. Note that $\overline{R}(n, 1, \bar{\xi}, n) = -1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta) &= \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot p^2 \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{S^1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(1)=r \\ \ell_1=1}} -\text{sgn}(\sigma) \overline{R}(\sigma(2), \sigma(3), \ell_2, 1 = \bar{\xi}) \cdots \overline{R}(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), r = \sigma(1), \ell_{4k}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

In the term $\overline{R}(\sigma(2), \sigma(3), \ell_2, 1 = \bar{\xi})$, we get zero unless either $[\sigma(2) = 1 \text{ and } \ell_2 = \sigma(3)]$ or $[\sigma(3) = 1 \text{ and } \ell_2 = \sigma(2)]$. Therefore

$$(\beta) = \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot p^2 \tag{5.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \int_{S^1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(1)=r \\ \ell_1=1}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(2)=1 \\ \sigma(3)=\ell_2}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \overline{R}(\sigma(4), \sigma(5), \ell_3, \ell_2) \cdots \overline{R}(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), r = \sigma(1), \ell_{4k}) \\ & - \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot p^2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

$$\cdot \int_{S^1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(1)=r \\ \ell_1=1}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(3)=1 \\ \sigma(2)=\ell_2}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \overline{R}(\sigma(4), \sigma(5), \ell_3, \ell_2) \cdots \overline{R}(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), r = \sigma(1), \ell_{4k}).$$

For fixed ℓ_2 , there is a bijection between $\{\sigma : \sigma(2) = 1, \sigma(3) = \ell_2\}$ and $\{\tau : \tau(3) = 1, \tau(2) = \ell_2\}$ given by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(1\ell_2)$ in cycle notation. Since $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = -\text{sgn}(\sigma(1\ell_2))$,

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\beta) &= \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot p^2 \\
 &\cdot \int_{S^1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(1)=r \\ \ell_1=1}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(2)=1 \\ \sigma(3)=\ell_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \bar{R}(\sigma(4), \sigma(5), \ell_3, \ell_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \bar{R}(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), r = \sigma(1), \ell_{4k}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

The last term in (5.7) is

$$\bar{R}(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), r = \sigma(1), \ell_{4k}) = \bar{R}(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), \bar{\xi}, \ell_{4k}). \tag{5.8}$$

This term vanishes if $\ell_{4k} = \bar{\xi}$. If $\ell_{4k} \neq \bar{\xi}$, then since $\sigma(2) = 1$, we have $\sigma(4k) \neq \bar{\xi}, \sigma(4k+1) \neq \bar{\xi}$. Thus (5.8) vanishes in all cases. Therefore $(\beta) = 0$.

The (α) term is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha) &= \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot p^2 \\
 &\cdot \int_{S^1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(1)=1 \\ \ell_1=r \neq 1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \bar{R}(\sigma(2), \sigma(3), \ell_2, \ell_1 = n) \cdot \dots \cdot \bar{R}(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), \ell_1 = r, \ell_{4k})
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

In Lemma 3.3, a nonzero product of terms of types (i) and (iii) with one term having $\bar{\xi}$ and having a power p^2 must include exactly one term from (iii) and only the first term on the right hand side of (i). Therefore

$$(\alpha) = \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot p^2 \tag{5.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \cdot \int_{S^1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma(1)=1 \\ \ell_1=r \neq 1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) R(\sigma(2), \sigma(3), \ell_2, \ell_1 = r) \cdot \dots \cdot R(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), \ell_1 = r, \ell_{4k}) \\
 &= \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot p^2 \\
 &\cdot \sum_{\substack{\sigma(1)=1 \\ \ell_1=r \neq 1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) R(\sigma(2), \sigma(3), \ell_2, \ell_1 = r) \cdot \dots \cdot R(\sigma(4k), \sigma(4k+1), \ell_1 = r, \ell_{4k}) \\
 &= \frac{2k+1}{2^{2k-1}} \cdot p^2 \cdot 2^{2k} \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(\Omega^{2k})(e_2, \dots, e_{4k+1}), \\
 &= (-1)^k (4k+2)(2\pi)^{2k} (2k)! \cdot p^2 \cdot \tilde{p}_k(\Omega)(e_2, \dots, e_{4k+1}) \\
 &= (-1)^k (4k+2)(2\pi)^{2k} (2k)! \cdot p^2 \cdot \pi^* \tilde{p}_k(\Omega)(\bar{e}_2, \dots, \bar{e}_{4k+1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

□

While the Wodzicki-Pontryagin classes $\tilde{p}_k^W(LS^{4n+1})$ vanish, we now prove that the representative Wodzicki-Pontryagin forms, also denoted $\tilde{p}_k^W(LS^{4n+1})$, are nonzero for $k \leq n$. This answers a conjecture in [15] in the negative.

Theorem 5.3. *For $k \leq n$, the Wodzicki-Pontryagin forms $\tilde{p}_k^W(LS^{4n+1})$ are not identically zero.*

Proof. The integral Pontryagin classes \tilde{p}_k^{top} in the torsion-free ring $H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$ are nonzero, so there is an integral cycle $z = \sum_i n_i \sigma_i, \sigma_i : \Delta^{4k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n}, [z] \in H_{4k}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$, with $\langle \tilde{p}_k^{top}, [z] \rangle \neq 0$. By results of Thom, some nonzero integer multiple $s[z]$ of the homology class of z is represented by a smooth, closed $4k$ -submanifold Z . For simplicity of notation, we assume $s = 1$. We then have $\int_Z \tilde{p}_k(\Omega) \neq 0$.

Set $M = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n}$. For the projection $\pi : \overline{M}_1 = S^{4n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n}$, $\pi^{-1}(Z)$ is a closed $(4k+1)$ -submanifold on S^{4n+1} and determines the homology class $[\pi^{-1}(Z)] \in H_{4k+1}(S^{4n+1}, \mathbb{R})$. Of course, this class is zero for $k \neq n$. Also, $Z' := a^L(\pi^{-1}(Z))$ is a closed $(4k+1)$ -submanifold of LS^{4n+1} .

By Proposition 5.2, for an explicit constant c_k ,

$$a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W = c_k \bar{\xi}^* \wedge \pi^* \tilde{p}_k + \beta_2,$$

where β_2 has no $\bar{\xi}^*$ term. Then

$$\int_{Z'} \widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W = \int_{\pi^{-1}(Z)} a^{L,*} \widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W = \int_{\pi^{-1}(Z)} c_k \bar{\xi}^* \wedge \pi^* \tilde{p}_k,$$

since the integral vanishes on terms not containing $\bar{\xi}^*$. Integrating over the fiber gives

$$\int_{Z'} \widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W = c \cdot c_k \int_Z \tilde{p}_k \neq 0,$$

where c is the length of the fiber of π .

If $d\widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W \equiv 0$, then $[\widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W] \in H^{4k+1}(LS^{4n+1}, \mathbb{R})$ defines a nonzero cohomology class. However, by *e.g.*, [3], LS^{4n+1} has no cohomology in the range $1, \dots, 4n$. Thus

$$0 \neq d\widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2}^W \tag{5.12}$$

on \overline{M}_1 .

We claim that (5.12) implies $\tilde{p}_k^W \neq 0$. We want to use (5.2), but because these forms live on different \overline{M}_p , we have to pull them back to the common space $\overline{M}_1 = LS^{4n+1}$. The $p : 1$ covering map $\pi_p : S^{4n+1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_p$ induces the map $L\pi_p : LS^{4n+1} \rightarrow L\overline{M}_p$, $L\pi(\gamma)(\theta) = (\pi_p \circ \gamma)(\theta)$. By (5.2), as forms on LS^{4n+1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L\pi_p)^* \tilde{p}_k^W(\overline{M}_p) &= (L\pi_p)^* d\widetilde{CS}_{4k+1}^W(\overline{M}_p) = (L\pi_p)^* d \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2i}^W p^{2i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k} d(L\pi_p)^* \widetilde{CS}_{4k+1,2i}^W p^{2i}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

π_p is a covering map, so for $X \in T_\gamma LS^{4n+1}$, $[(L\pi_p)_* X](\theta) \in T_{\pi(\gamma(\theta))} \overline{M}_p$ is canonically identified with $X(\theta) \in T_{\gamma(\theta)} S^{4n+1}$. $\widetilde{CS}_{4k+1}^W(\overline{M}_p)$ is the integral of expressions in Lemma

3.3 which are invariant in the circle fibers of $S^{4n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n}$, and π_p preserves these fibers, so for $X_i \in T_\gamma LS^{4n+1}$,

$$(L\pi_p)^* \widetilde{C}S_{4k+1,2i}^W(X_1, \dots, X_{4k+1}) = \widetilde{C}S_{4k+1,2i}^W((L\pi_p)_*(X_1), \dots, (L\pi_p)_*(X_{4k+1}))$$

is independent of p . In particular,

$$(L\pi_p)^* \widetilde{C}S_{4k+1,2i}^W = (L\pi_1)^* \widetilde{C}S_{4k+1,2i}^W = \widetilde{C}S_{4k+1,2i}^W \in \Lambda^{4k+1}(LS^{4n+1}).$$

Similarly, $(L\pi_p)^* \tilde{p}_k^W(\overline{M}_p) = \tilde{p}_k^W(\overline{M}_1) = \tilde{p}_k^W(LS^{4n+1})$.

Thus (5.13) becomes

$$\tilde{p}_k^W = \sum_{i=1}^{2k} d\widetilde{C}S_{4k+1,2i}^W p^{2i} \in \Lambda^{4k+1}(LS^{4n+1}) \quad (5.14)$$

for all p . If we assume $\tilde{p}_k^W \equiv 0$, then (5.14) implies $d\widetilde{C}S_{4k+1,2i}^W \equiv 0$ for all i . Indeed, if a polynomial vanishes for all values of p , then all the coefficients vanish. For $i = 1$, this contradicts (5.12). \square

Corollary 5.4. *On $\overline{M}_p = S^{4n+1}/\mathbb{Z}_p$, for $k \leq n$, the Wodzicki-Pontryagin forms $\tilde{p}_k^W(L\overline{M}_p)$ are not identically zero.*

Proof. Because $\tilde{p}_k^W(LS^{4n+1})$ is computed by integrating a curvature expression on S^{4n+1} , if $\tilde{p}_k^W \neq 0$ at a loop $\gamma \in LS^{4n+1}$, then $\tilde{p}_k^W(L\overline{M}_p) \neq 0$ at the loop $(L\pi_p)(\gamma) \in L\overline{M}_p$. \square

Remark 5.1. In contrast to finite dimensions, there may be nonzero Wodzicki-Pontryagin forms $\text{res}^W(\Omega^{2k+1})$ in dimensions $4k + 2$ on loop spaces. Since Ω for the $s = 1$ Levi-Civita connection is a skew-adjoint Ψ DO, its leading order symbol is a skew-symmetric bundle endomorphism. Thus the leading order characteristic form $\text{Tr}^{lo}(\Omega^{2k+1})$ vanishes pointwise, as in finite dimensions. In contrast, the -1 order symbol of Ω is not skew-symmetric in general [16, Lem. A.1] (and skew-symmetric bundle endomorphisms may not give skew-adjoint operators for the $s = 1$ metric). Thus $\text{res}^W(\Omega^{2k+1})$ does not vanish *a priori*, although the argument in Theorem 5.3 only works for even powers of Ω .

APPENDIX A. MANIFOLDS OF DIMENSION $4n + 2$

We show that the coefficient of the highest power p^{4n+4} of $\widetilde{C}S_{4n+3}^W(\overline{M}_p)$ vanishes if $\dim M = 4n + 2$. We note that $\widetilde{C}S_3^W = 0$ for any 3-manifold by [17, Prop. 2.7].

Proposition A.1. *For $\dim M = 4n + 2$, $\widetilde{C}S_{4n+3}^W(\overline{M}_p) = 0 \pmod{p^{4n+3}}$.*

We only do the case where $\dim M = 6$ to keep the notation manageable.

Proof. In the notation of (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{7,8} &= \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \bar{R}_{0\ell_1 0}{}^n \bar{R}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \ell_2}{}^{\ell_1} \bar{R}_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4 \ell_3}{}^{\ell_2} \bar{R}_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6 n}{}^{\ell_3} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) (p^2 \delta_{a_1}^b) \cdot p^2 [-J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_2 a_2} + J_{\sigma_1 a_2} J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} + 2J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{a_2}^{a_1}] \\
&\quad \cdot p^2 [-J_{\sigma_3}^{a_2} J_{\sigma_4 a_3} + J_{\sigma_3 a_3} J_{\sigma_4}^{a_2} + 2J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{a_3}^{a_2}] \cdot p^2 [-J_{\sigma_5}^{a_3} J_{\sigma_6 b} + J_{\sigma_5 b} J_{\sigma_6}^{a_3} + 2J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} J_b^{a_3}] \\
&= p^8 \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) [-J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_2 a_2} + J_{\sigma_1 a_2} J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} + 2J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{a_2}^{a_1}] \\
&\quad \cdot [-J_{\sigma_3}^{a_2} J_{\sigma_4 a_3} + J_{\sigma_3 a_3} J_{\sigma_4}^{a_2} + 2J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{a_3}^{a_2}] \cdot [-J_{\sigma_5}^{a_3} J_{\sigma_6 a_1} + J_{\sigma_5 a_1} J_{\sigma_6}^{a_3} + 2J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} J_{a_1}^{a_3}]. \tag{A.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Because $J_{ab} J_c^b = J_b^d g_{da} J_c^b = -\delta_b^d g_{da} = -g_{ba}$, the product of the first two expressions in square brackets simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{7,8} &= p^8 \sum_{\sigma_0=0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) [-2J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} g_{\sigma_2 a_3} + 2g_{\sigma_1 a_3} J_{\sigma_2}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} - 2J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} (-\delta_{\sigma_3}^{a_1}) J_{\sigma_4 a_3} \\
&\quad + 2J_{\sigma_3 a_3} (-\delta_{\sigma_4}^{a_1}) J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} + 4J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} (-\delta_{a_3}^{a_1})] \\
&\quad \cdot [-J_{\sigma_5}^{a_3} J_{\sigma_6 a_1} + J_{\sigma_5 a_1} J_{\sigma_6}^{a_3} + 2J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} J_{a_1}^{a_3}].
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the product of the terms inside the first square brackets with the terms inside the second square brackets, we get 15 terms, all of which simplify. For example, the product of the first terms in each square brackets gives

$$2J_{\sigma_1}^{a_1} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} g_{\sigma_2 a_3} J_{\sigma_5}^{a_3} J_{\sigma_6 a_1} = -2g_{\sigma_1 \sigma_6} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_2 \sigma_5}.$$

For a term with a Kronecker delta, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-2J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} (-\delta_{\sigma_3}^{a_1}) J_{\sigma_4 a_3} \cdot J_{\sigma_5}^{a_3} J_{\sigma_6 a_1} &= -2J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_4 \sigma_3} J_{\sigma_5}^{a_3} J_{\sigma_6 \sigma_3} = -2J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{a_3}^k g_{k \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_5}^{a_3} J_{\sigma_6 \sigma_3} \\
&= -2J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} (-\delta_{\sigma_5}^k) g_{k \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_6 \sigma_3} = -2J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} g_{\sigma_5 \sigma_4} J_{\sigma_6 \sigma_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, every product is of the form $g_{\sigma_i \sigma_j} J_{\sigma_k \sigma_\ell} J_{\sigma_m \sigma_n}$, except for the product of the two last terms, which is

$$8J_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} J_{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} (-\delta_{a_3}^{a_1}) J_{\sigma_5 \sigma_6} J_{a_1}^{a_3} = 0,$$

since $\delta_{a_3}^{a_1} J_{a_1}^{a_3} = J_{a_1}^{a_1} = 0$.

In summary, every nonzero term in $S_{7,8}$ is of the form $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) g_{\sigma_i \sigma_j} J_{\sigma_k \sigma_\ell} J_{\sigma_m \sigma_n}$, where $\tau = (i, j, k, \ell, m, n) \in \mathfrak{S}_6$. Under the change of variables $\tau \mapsto \tau(12)$, the sign of σ changes sign, but the term $g_{\sigma_i \sigma_j} J_{\sigma_k \sigma_\ell} J_{\sigma_m \sigma_n}$ does not change sign. Thus the terms corresponding to τ and $\tau(12)$ in $S_{7,8}$ cancel, so $S_{7,8} = 0$. \square

Remark A.1. In this proof, it was crucial that $S_{7,8}$ contains an odd number of terms in (A.1). This is where the hypothesis $\dim M = 4n + 2$ is used.

REFERENCES

1. Bamler, R. and Kleiner, B., *Ricci flow and diffeomorphism groups of 3-manifolds*, arXiv:1712.06197.
2. Blair, D., *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 203, Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010.
3. Cohen, R., Jones, J. D. S., and Yan, J., *The loop homology algebra of spheres and projective spaces*, Categorical decomposition techniques in algebraic topology (Isle of Skye, 2001), Progr. Math., vol. 215, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, pp. 77–92.
4. Earle, C. J. and Eells, J., *The diffeomorphism group of a compact Riemann surface*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 557–559.
5. Egi, S., *Calculation of the coefficient of the highest power of p (Theorem 3.4)*, 2020 (Accessed July 14, 2020), <https://github.com/egisatoshi/EMR-Paper-Computation>.
6. ———, *Thurston-calculation.pdf*, 2020 (Accessed July 14, 2020), <https://github.com/egison/egison/blob/master/sample/math/geometry/thurston.egi>.
7. Farrell, F. and Hsiang, W. C., *On the rational homotopy groups of the diffeomorphism groups of discs, spheres and aspherical manifolds*, Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1978, pp. 325–337.
8. Leichtnam, E. Fedosov, B., Golse, F. and Schrohe, E., *The noncommutative residue for manifolds with boundary*, J. Funct. Analysis **142** (1996), 1–31.
9. Gabai, D., *The Smale conjecture for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: $\text{Isom}(M^3) \simeq \text{Diff}(M^3)$* , J. Differential Geom. **58** (2001), 113–149.
10. Gompf, R., *A new construction of symplectic manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **142** (1995), no. 3, 527–595.
11. ———, *Symplectically aspherical manifolds with nontrivial π_2* , Math. Res. Lett. **5** (1998), no. 5, 599–603.
12. Hatcher, A., *A proof of the Smale conjecture, $\text{Diff}(S^3) \simeq \text{O}(4)$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 3, 553–607.
13. ———, *A 50-year view of diffeomorphism groups*, 2012 (Accessed July 14, 2020), <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/Papers/Diff%28M%292012.pdf>.
14. Klingenberg, W., *Lectures on closed geodesics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 230.
15. Larrain-Hubach, A., Rosenberg, S., Scott, S., and Torres-Ardila, F., *Characteristic classes and zeroth order pseudodifferential operators*, Spectral Theory and Geometric Analysis, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 532, AMS, 2011.
16. Maeda, Y., Rosenberg, S., and Torres-Ardila, F., *The geometry of loop spaces I: H^s Riemannian metrics*, Int. J. Math. **26** (2015).
17. ———, *The geometry of loop spaces II: Characteristic classes*, Adv. in Math. **287** (2016), 485–518.
18. McCullough, D. and Soma, T., *The Smale conjecture for Seifert fibered spaces with hyperbolic base orbifold*, J. Differential Geom. **93** (2013), 327–353.
19. McDuff, D., *Examples of simply-connected symplectic non-Kählerian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), no. 1, 267–277.
20. Milnor, J. and Stasheff, J., *Characteristic classes*, Princeton U. Press, Princeton, 1974.
21. O’Neill, B., *The fundamental equations of a submersion*, Michigan J. Math. **13** (1966), 459–469.
22. Smale, S., *Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 621–626.
23. Thurston, W. P., *Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1976), 467–468.

24. Watanabe, T., *Some exotic nontrivial elements of $\text{Diff}(S^4)$* , arXiv:1812.02448.

RAKUTEN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, RAKUTEN, INC.

Email address: `satoshi.egi@rakuten.com`

TOHOKU FORUM FOR CREATIVITY, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY

Email address: `yoshimaeda@tohoku.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Email address: `sr@math.bu.edu`