

Gaussian and non - Gaussian distributed random analytical and entire functions

Maria Rosaria FORMICA ¹, Eugeny OSTROVSKY ² and Leonid SIROTA ³

¹ Università degli Studi di Napoli “Parthenope”, via Generale Parisi 13,
Palazzo Pacanowsky, 80132, Napoli, Italy.

e-mail: mara.formica@uniparthenope.it

^{2,3} Bar-Ilan University, Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
52900, Ramat Gan, Israel.

e-mail: eugostrovsky@list.ru

e-mail: sirota3@bezeqint.net

Abstract

We investigate the complex Gaussian as well as non-Gaussian distributed random analytical and entire functions (complex entire random field) and calculate their domain of definiteness (radius of convergence) as well as some important characteristics: order and type.

As a consequence we deduce that all the mentioned characteristics, under very natural conditions, are deterministic (non-random) with probability one and we calculate them. Moreover we exhibit some examples to show the exactness of the obtained results.

Keywords: Gaussian and non-Gaussian distributed random variables, entire functions, Taylor (power) series, radius of convergence, tail function, centered variables and random functions, Lemma of Borel-Cantelli, complex variables and functions, order and type of an entire function, distribution of zeros.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D20, 30D10, 30B20

1 Introduction.

Define the following random entire Gaussian distributed centered complex function of the form (power, Taylor series)

$$f(z) = f(z, \omega) = f[\{\xi_k\}](z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_k z^k. \quad (1.1)$$

Here z is a *complex* variable, $\{\xi_k\}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are centered (mean zero) *Gaussian distributed* complex random variables (r.v.), defined on some sufficiently rich probability space $\Omega = (\{\omega\}, B, \mathbf{P})$:

$$\xi_k = \eta_k + i \zeta_k, \quad i^2 = -1,$$

not necessarily independent and, in general case, the variables $\eta_k, \zeta_k,$ are also dependent. Here the random variables η_k, ζ_k are real valued.

In Section 2 we analyze the Gaussian case while in Section 3 we consider the non - Gaussian case.

Denote also

$$\beta_k^2 = \text{Var } \eta_k^2 = \mathbf{E}\eta_k^2, \quad \gamma_k^2 = \text{Var } \zeta_k^2 = \mathbf{E}\zeta_k^2, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sigma_k^2 = \beta_k^2 + \gamma_k^2. \quad (1.3)$$

In this short report we study the following characteristics of the introduced function: radius of convergence, order and type, etc.

Some properties of these random entire functions are studied in many works, see e.g. [3, 6, 8, 11, 12, 16, 17].

Throughout this paper the letters $C, C_j(\cdot)$ will denote, as ordinary, various non-essential positive finite constants which may differ from one formula to the next even within a single string of estimates and which does not depend on the variables. We make no attempts to obtain the best values for these constants.

2 Main results. Gaussian entire random function.

RADIUS OF CONVERGENCE. GAUSSIAN CASE.

Theorem 2.1. *The radius R of convergence of the Taylor series (1.1) is non-random a.e. and may be calculated by the formula*

$$R = \frac{1}{\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\sigma_n}}. \quad (2.1)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of the following auxiliary important lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{\xi_n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots,$ be a sequence of centered Gaussian distributed random variables. We state that, with probability one,*

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\xi_n|} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\sigma_n}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof.

Upper estimate.

We follow the arguments in [3, Lemma 7]. Suppose, without loss of generality, that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\sigma_n} = 1.$$

Let us consider at first the case when ξ_n are numerical valued variables. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There exists an integer number $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon)$ for which

$$\sigma_n < (1 + \epsilon)^n, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

The probability $\mathbf{P}_n(\epsilon) := \mathbf{P}\left(\sqrt[n]{|\xi_n|} > (1 + \epsilon)^2\right)$ allows the following estimate

$$\mathbf{P}_n(\epsilon) \leq 2 \exp(-0.5(1 + \epsilon)^n). \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_n(\epsilon) < \infty,$$

we deduce, by virtue of the lemma of Borel - Cantelli, that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\xi_n|} \leq 1 \text{ a.e.}$$

Lower estimate.

One can suppose, without loss of generality, $\sigma_n = 1$, $n \geq 1$. Let again $\epsilon = \text{const} \in (0, 1)$. Consider the probability

$$\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\epsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{P}\left(\sqrt[n]{|\xi_n|} \leq 1 - \epsilon\right).$$

We have

$$P^{(n)}(\epsilon) = \mathbf{P}(|\xi|_n \leq (1 - \epsilon)^n) \leq C (1 - \epsilon)^n,$$

so that, as before,

$$\forall \epsilon \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^{(n)}(\epsilon) < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\xi_n|} \geq 1 \text{ a.e.}$$

Let us return to the general case, i.e. the complex case (1.2), (1.3). As above, $\xi_n = \eta_n + i \zeta_n$, $i^2 = -1$, so that the value $\|\xi_n\|^2$ may be represented in the form

$$\|\xi_n\|^2 = \beta_n^2 \eta_n^2 + \gamma_n^2 \zeta_n^2,$$

where $\text{Law}\{\eta_n\} = \text{Law}\{\zeta_n\} = \text{N}(0, 1)$, and one can suppose, without loss of generality,

$$\beta_n^2 + \gamma_n^2 = \sigma_n^2 = 1.$$

Denote, as usually, by $\|\tau\|_p$ the ordinary Lebesgue - Riesz L_p norm of the random variable τ :

$$\|\tau\|_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mathbf{E}|\tau|^p]^{1/p}, \quad p \geq 1.$$

It is well known that if $\text{Law}(\tau) = \text{N}(0, 1)$, then

$$\|\tau\|_p \asymp C_1 p^{1/2}, \quad p \geq 1,$$

therefore

$$\|\tau^2\|_p \asymp C_2 p, \quad p \geq 1.$$

We apply the triangle inequality for the Lebesgue - Riesz norm

$$\|\xi_n\|_p^2 \leq C_3 p,$$

or equally

$$\|\xi_n\|_p \leq C_4 \sqrt{p}, \quad p \geq 1.$$

Hence, the r.v. - s $\{\tau_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are uniformly subgaussian:

$$\sup_n \mathbf{P}(|\xi_n| > u) \leq 2 \exp(-C_5 u^2), \quad u \geq 0.$$

It follows immediately as before, from the last relation, that with probability one

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\xi_n|} \leq 1.$$

The inverse relation

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\xi_n|} \geq 1$$

may be proved quite analogously to that in the one - dimensional case.

Lemma 2.2 and consequently Theorem 2.1, are proved. □

As a slight consequence, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\sigma_n} = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

then $R = \infty$.

ORDER AND TYPE FOR THE GAUSSIAN ENTIRE FUNCTIONS.

Suppose in this subsection that the condition (2.4) is satisfied; then the random function $f = f(z)$ from the definition of the Taylor (power) series (1.1) is entire on the whole complex plane with probability one: $R = \infty$.

Recall the following classical definitions from the theory of an analytical complex functions, see e.g. [10, chapter 1]. Let the complex valued entire function $g = g(z)$ be defined (and be analytical) on the whole complex plane. Denote

$$M_g(r) := \sup_{z:|z|=r} |g(z)| = \sup_{z:|z|\leq r} |g(z)|.$$

The value

$$\rho[g] \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\ln \ln M_g(r)}{\ln r} \right\}$$

is said to be *Order* of the function g . The *Type* $\beta[g]$ of these function g is defined by an equality

$$\beta[g] \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\ln M_g(r)}{r^{\rho[g]}} \right\}.$$

Let us return to the source random complex function $f = f(z)$ in (1.1).

Theorem 2.3. *Both the characteristics for the random Gaussian function $f = f(z)$, order and type, are a.e. non-random and may be calculated correspondingly by the relations*

$$\rho[f] = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n \ln n}{|\ln \sigma_n|} \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\beta[f] = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ n^{1/\rho[f]} \sqrt[n]{\sigma_n} \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. The equality (2.6) and in particular, the non-randomness of the value $\beta[f]$, can be ground quite alike ones in the Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2.

Further, it is known, see e.g. [10, chapter 1, pp. 5-15], that for the entire function of the form

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$$

there holds

$$\rho[g] = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n \ln n}{|\ln |c_n||} \right\}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\beta[g] = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ n^{1/\rho[g]} \sqrt[n]{|c_n|} \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore

$$\rho[f] = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n \ln n}{|\ln |\xi_n||} \right\}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\beta[f] = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ n^{1/\rho[f]} \sqrt[n]{|\xi_n|} \right\}. \quad (2.10)$$

It is no hard to ground alike to the proof of Lemma 2.2 that both the last expressions in the right - hand sides of equations (2.9) and (2.10) are actually non-random and coincide, correspondingly, with the ones in Theorem 2.1.

□

We will ground in the next section a more general case, where the r.v. $\{\xi_n\}$ are not necessarily Gaussian.

3 Non-Gaussian case.

Let us consider now the series of the form

$$h(z) = h(z, \omega) = h[\{\eta_k\}](z) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_k z^k. \quad (3.1)$$

Here $\{\eta_k\}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are random variables, not necessarily independent, Gaussian or centered.

Introduce the following tail functions

$$T_k(u) := \mathbf{P}(|\eta_k| > u), \quad S_k(u) := \mathbf{P}(|\eta_k| \leq u) = 1 - T_k(u), \quad u \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 3.1. (*Upper bound*). *Suppose that there exists a positive sequence of deterministic numbers σ_k such that the uniform tail function*

$$T(u) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_k \mathbf{P}(|\eta_k|/\sigma_k > u), \quad u \geq 1 \quad (3.3)$$

satisfies the following condition

$$\forall Q > 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T(Q^k) < \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Then, with probability one,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\eta_n|} \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\sigma_n}. \quad (3.5)$$

More generally assume that, for all the values $Q > 1$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(|\eta_k|/\sigma_k > Q^k) < \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

Then the relation (3.5) again holds true by virtue of the well-known Lemma of Borel - Cantelli.

Remark 3.1. The variables σ_k in (3.3) may be chosen, for instance, as follows. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be some rearrangement invariant norm defined on spaces of random variables, for example, Lebesgue-Riesz, Orlicz or Grand Lebesgue Space one, see [5, 4, 9]. One can put

$$\sigma_k := \|\eta\|_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

if, of course, $\sigma_k \in (0, \infty)$.

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose that there exists a finite constant $C < \infty$ and a non - negative r.v. ν for which*

$$\sup_{k=0,1,\dots} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{|\eta_k|}{\sigma_k} > y \right) \leq C \mathbf{P}(\nu > y), \quad y \geq 1.$$

Then the condition (3.4) is quite equivalent to the following one

$$\mathbf{E} \ln(e + \nu) < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

(See [13]).

Note that the condition (3.7) is very weak; it is satisfied if, for example,

$$\exists b = \text{const} > 0 : \mathbf{P}(\nu > z) \leq C z^{-b}, \quad z \geq 3. \quad (3.8)$$

Let us ground an opposite estimate for the radius of convergence. We find quite analogously that if

$$\forall q \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{|\eta_k|}{\sigma_k} < q^k \right) < \infty, \quad (3.9)$$

then

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|\eta_n|} \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\sigma_n}. \quad (3.10)$$

To summarize:

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that both the relations (3.6) and (3.9) are satisfied. Then the radius R of convergence for the power (Taylor) series (3.1) is deterministic almost surely and may be calculated by the formula*

$$R = \frac{1}{\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\sigma_n}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that there exists a non-negative r.v. τ for which $\tau \geq 4$ and, for some finite constant $C < \infty$, holds*

$$\sup_{k=0,1,\dots} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{\sigma_k}{|\eta_k|} > y \right) \leq C \mathbf{P}(\tau > y), \quad y \geq 1.$$

Then the condition (3.9) is quite equivalent to the following one

$$\mathbf{E} \ln(e + \tau) < \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

Example 3.1. Evidently, if the r. v.-s $\{\eta_k\}$ are independent, then the radius of convergence of the series (3.1) is non-random.

Let now the sequence of coefficients $\{\eta_k\}$ in the (3.1) be such that

$$\mathbf{P}(\forall k = 0, 1, \dots \Rightarrow \eta_k = 1) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\mathbf{P}(\forall k = 0, 1, \dots \Rightarrow \eta_k = 2^{-k}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

On the other words, the infinite-dimensional vector of coefficients $\eta = \{\eta_k\}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, has the following distribution

$$\mathbf{P}(\eta = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \dots)) = 1/2,$$

$$\mathbf{P}(\eta = (1, 1/2, 1/4, \dots, 1/2^k, \dots)) = 1/2.$$

Then the radius of convergence R of the series (3.1) is a random variable such that

$$\mathbf{P}(R = 1) = \mathbf{P}(R = 2) = 1/2.$$

Example 3.2. Let $\sigma_k = 1$ and τ be a positive random variable such that $\tau \geq e$ and

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau \geq y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln y}}, \quad z \geq e.$$

Let us consider the following power series

$$g_\tau(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau \cdot z^k,$$

i.e. here $\eta_k = \tau$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$. The radius of convergence is equal to one, despite that

$$\forall Q > 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\tau > Q^k) = \infty.$$

Let us ground the relations (2.5) and (2.6) of the Theorem 2.3 in the general case, i.e. non - Gaussian case.

Denote as before

$$\rho \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \ln n}{|\ln \sigma_n|}.$$

QUESTION: UNDER WHICH CONDITIONS THE FOLLOWING RELATION

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \ln n}{|\ln |\eta_n||} = \rho \tag{3.13}$$

HOLDS WITH PROBABILITY ONE?

Namely one can assume, without loss of generality, $\rho \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\epsilon \in (0, \min(1, \rho/2))$ be an arbitrary constant. We have, for all the sufficiently greatest values $n \geq n_0 = n_0(\epsilon)$,

$$\frac{n \ln n}{|\ln \sigma_n|} \leq \rho(1 + \epsilon).$$

One can suppose $\sigma_n \in (0, 1)$; therefore

$$0 < \sigma_n \leq \frac{n^{-n \ln n}}{\rho^n (1 + \epsilon)^n} = n^{-n/\rho(1+\epsilon)}.$$

We deduce, from the direct definition of the variables σ_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{k \ln k}{|\ln |\eta_k||} < \rho(1 + 2\epsilon) \right) &= \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{|\eta_k|}{\sigma_k} > k^{k\epsilon/[\rho(1+\epsilon)(1+2\epsilon)]} \right) \\ &\leq T_k \left(k^{k\epsilon/[\rho(1+\epsilon)(1+2\epsilon)]} \right), \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude again as a consequence, by virtue of lemma of Borel - Cantelli, the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *If*

$$\forall \delta > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k \left(k^{\delta k} \right) < \infty, \quad (3.14)$$

then, with probability one,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n \ln n}{|\ln |\eta_n||} \right\} \leq \rho. \quad (3.15)$$

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that there exists a random variable ν , $\nu \geq 4$, and a constant $C < \infty$ for which*

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{P}(|\eta_k| > z) \leq C \mathbf{P}(\nu > z), \quad z \geq 4.$$

The condition (3.15) is satisfied if, for instance,

$$\forall \delta > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\nu \geq k^{\delta k} \right) < \infty.$$

The last relation may be transformed in turn as follows:

$$\mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{\ln \nu}{\ln \ln \nu} \right\} < \infty. \quad (3.16)$$

Analogously can be justified the following fact.

Proposition 3.4. (Lower bound)

Suppose that

$$\forall \delta > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{\sigma_k}{|\eta_k|} > k^{\delta} \right) < \infty. \quad (3.17)$$

Then, with probability one,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n \ln n}{|\ln |\eta_n||} \right\} \geq \rho. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. Indeed, suppose for simplicity

$$\frac{n \ln n}{|\ln \sigma_n|} = \rho = \text{const} \in (0, \infty), \quad n \geq 4,$$

then

$$\sigma_n = n^{-n/\rho}.$$

Let as above ϵ be an arbitrary number from the (open) interval $(0, \min(1, \rho/2))$. Consider a *sequence* of events

$$E_k(\epsilon) = \left\{ \frac{k \ln k}{|\ln |\eta_k||} \leq \rho - \epsilon \right\}.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{P}(E_k(\epsilon)) = \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{|\eta_k|}{\sigma_k} < k^{-k\epsilon/(\rho(\rho-\epsilon))} \right) = \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{|\sigma_k|}{\eta_k} > k^{k\epsilon/(\rho(\rho-\epsilon))} \right).$$

It follows immediately, from the condition (3.17), that

$$\forall \epsilon \in (1, \min(1, \rho/2)) \Rightarrow \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(E_k(\epsilon)) < \infty.$$

It remains to mention once again the lemma of Borel - Cantelli.

□

Remark 3.2. As above, the condition (3.17) is satisfied in turn if there exists a random variable ζ , $\zeta \geq 4$, for which

$$\sup_{k \geq 4} \mathbf{P} \left(\frac{\sigma_k}{|\eta_k|} < z \right) \leq C \cdot \mathbf{P}(\zeta > z), \quad z \geq 4,$$

and such that

$$\mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{\ln \zeta}{\ln \ln \zeta} \right\} < \infty.$$

Remark 3.3. Of course, if all the conditions of the Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 are satisfied, then with probability one

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{n \ln n}{|\ln |\eta_n||} \right\} = \rho. \quad (3.19)$$

Remark 3.4. The mentioned problem was considered in many works, e.g. [1, 2, 14, 15], etc. As a rule, it was investigated only the case when the coefficients $\{\eta_k\}$ are independent or at last pairwise independent.

4 Concluding remarks.

A. Note that the classical methods offered by V.M. Zolotarev in [18] and, after by W. Höeffding in [7], bring more complicated computations.

B. The asymptotical behavior of the coefficients and, as a consequence, the asymptotical behavior of the function itself, allows to calculate the asymptotical distribution of its zeros, see e.g. the classical monograph on B.Ya. Levin ([10]); see also [8, 12, 16, 17].

Acknowledgement. The first author has been partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and by Università degli Studi di Napoli Parthenope through the project "sostegno alla Ricerca individuale".

References

- [1] **L. Arnold.** *Über die Konvergenz einer zufälligen Potenzreihe.* J. Reine Angew. Math. (German), **222** (1966), 79 – 112. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll>.
- [2] **L. Arnold.** *Konvergenzprobleme bei zufälligen Potenzreihen mit Lücken.* Math. Zeitschr. (German), **92** (1966), 356 – 365. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01112215>
- [3] **G. Cheng, X. Fang, K. Guo and C. Liu.** *A generalization of Littlewood's theorem on random Taylor series via Gaussian processes.* arXiv:2007.06285v1 [math.FA] 13 Jul 2020.
- [4] **A. Fiorenza, M.R. Formica and J.M. Rakotoson.** *Pointwise estimates for GT-functions and applications.* Differential Integral Equations, **30**, (2017), no. 11 - 12, 809 – 824.
- [5] **A. Fiorenza, M.R. Formica and A. Gogatishvili.** *On grand and small Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces and some applications to PDE's,* Differ. Equ. Appl. 10 (2018), n. 1, 21–46.
- [6] **F. Gao.** *A characterization of random Bloch functions.* J. Math. Anal. Appl., 252 (2), (2000), 959 – 966.
- [7] **W. Höeffding.** *On a theorem of V.M. Zolotarev.* Probab. Theory Applications, 1964, Issue 1, Vol.9, 96 – 99.

- [8] **J.B. Hough, M. Krishnapur, Y. Peres and B. Virág.** *Zeros of Gaussian Analytic Functions and Determinantal Point Processes.* University Lecture Series, vol. 51, American Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [9] **Yu.V. Kozachenko and E. Ostrovsky.** *Equivalence between tails, Grand Lebesgue Spaces and Orlicz norms for random variables without Kramer's condition.* Bulletin of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, series Physic and Mathematic, 2018, **4**, 220 – 231.
- [10] **B.Ja. Levin.** *Distribution of zeros of entire functions.* Revised Edition. American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Translation from Russian, 1980, Volume 5.
- [11] **F. Nazarov, M. Sodin.** *What is a Gaussian entire function?* Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **57**, (3), (2010), 375 – 377.
- [12] **Y. Peres, B. Virág.** *Zeros of the i.i.d. Gaussian power series: A conformally invariant determinantal process.* Acta Math., **194** (1), (2005), 1 – 35.
- [13] **V.K. Rohatgi.** *On Random Power Series with Nonidentically Distributed Coefficients.* JJ. Multivariate Anal., **5** (1975) 265 – 270.
- [14] **M. Roters.** *Convergence of random power series with pairwise independent Banach - space valued coefficients.* Statistics and Probability Letters, **18**, (1993), 121 - 123. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-7152\(93\)90179-m](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-7152(93)90179-m)
- [15] **L.O. Shapovalovska and O. B. Skaskiv.** *On the radius of convergence of random gap power series.* International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, V.9, 2015, no 38, 1889 - 1893.
- [16] **M. Sodin.** *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions.* Math. Res. Lett. **7**, (4), (2000), 371 – 381.
- [17] **M. Sodin.** *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions.* In: European Congress of Mathematics, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2005. 445 – 458.
- [18] **V.M. Zolotarev.** *About one probabilistic problem.* Probab. Theory Applications, 1961, Vol. 6, Issue 2, 219 – 222.