

L-ORTHOGONALITY IN DAUGAVET CENTERS AND NARROW OPERATORS

ABRAHAM RUEDA ZOCA

ABSTRACT. We study the presence of L -orthogonal elements in connection with Daugavet centers and narrow operators. We prove that, if $\text{dens}(Y) \leq \omega_1$ and $G : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Daugavet center, then $G(W)$ contains some L -orthogonal for every non-empty w^* -open subset of $B_{X^{**}}$. In the context of narrow operators, we show that if X is separable and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a narrow operator, then given $y \in B_X$ and any non-empty w^* -open subset W of $B_{X^{**}}$ then W contains some L -orthogonal u so that $T^{**}(u) = T(y)$. In the particular case that $T^*(Y^*)$ is separable, we extend the previous result to $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_1$. Finally, we prove that none of the previous results holds in larger density characters (in particular, a counterexample is shown for ω_2 under continuum hypothesis).

1. INTRODUCTION

A Banach space X is said to have the Daugavet property if every rank-one operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the equality

$$(1.1) \quad \|T + I\| = 1 + \|T\|,$$

where I denotes the identity operator. The previous equality is known as *Daugavet equation* because I. Daugavet proved in [6] that every compact operator on $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ satisfies (1.1). Since then, a lot of examples of Banach spaces enjoying the Daugavet property have appeared such as $\mathcal{C}(K)$ for a compact Hausdorff and perfect topological space K , $L_1(\mu)$ and $L_\infty(\mu)$ for a non-atomic measure μ or the space of Lipschitz functions $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ over a metrically convex space M (see [10, 15, 21, 22] and the references therein for details). Moreover, in [15] (respectively [21]) a characterisation of the Daugavet property in terms of the geometry of the slices (respectively non-empty weakly open subsets) of B_X appeared. Namely, a Banach space X has the Daugavet property if, and only if, given any $x \in S_X$, any non-empty weakly open subset W of B_X and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $y \in W$ such that $\|x + y\| > 2 - \varepsilon$. Despite the strong geometric spirit of this characterisation,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B04; 46B20; 46B26.

Key words and phrases. L -orthogonality; narrow operators; Daugavet centers; Daugavet equation.

The author was supported by MICINN (Spain) Grant PGC2018-093794-B-I00 (MCIU, AEI, FEDER, UE), by Junta de Andalucía Grant A-FQM-484-UGR18 and by Junta de Andalucía Grant FQM-0185.

it has been useful to extend the Daugavet equation from rank one operators to wider classes of operators such as operators which do not fix any copy of ℓ_1 [21, Theorem 3].

Very recently, the previous geometric characterisation of the Daugavet property was put further in the following sense: let X be a Banach space with the Daugavet property. Is it true that, given any w^* -open subset W of $B_{X^{**}}$, there exists $v \in W$ so that

$$(1.2) \quad \|x + v\| = 1 + \|x\|$$

holds for every $x \in X$?

The motivation for studying this question came from the paper [8, Lemma 9.1], where it is proved that, if X is a separable Banach space, the existence of $u \in S_{X^{**}}$ satisfying (1.2) is equivalent to the fact that *the norm of X is octahedral* (see Remark 3.3 for a formal definition). Since it is well known that the Daugavet property implies octahedrality of the norm [15, Lemma 2.8], the author proved in [19, Theorem 3.2] that, if X is a separable Banach space with the Daugavet property, then the set of those $v \in S_{X^{**}}$ satisfying (1.2) (and called *L -orthogonal elements* in [18]) is w^* -dense in $B_{X^{**}}$. Apart from being a natural extension of the Daugavet property, this abundance of L -orthogonal elements showed to be useful to study L -embedded Banach spaces with the Daugavet property [19, Theorem 3.4] and to study the Daugavet property in projective tensor products of an L -embedded Banach space [19, Theorem 3.7].

Motivated by the previous result and by the question whether [8, Lemma 9.1] holds in non-separable cases, in [18] it was proved that the characterisation of Daugavet property in terms of abundance of elements satisfying (1.2) is characteristic of Banach spaces with small density character. Indeed, in [18, Theorem 3.6] it is proved that if X is a Banach space with the Daugavet property and $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_1$ then there are a w^* -dense subset of L -orthogonal elements in $B_{X^{**}}$. However, there are Banach spaces X with $\text{dens}(X) = \text{card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}))$ having the Daugavet property but for which there is no element $v \in S_{X^{**}}$ satisfying (1.2) (in particular, this proves that the above mentioned [18, Theorem 3.6] is sharp under the continuum hypothesis) [18, Example 3.8].

From here two consequences are derived. On the one hand, as we have pointed out, the presence of L -orthogonality in connection with the Daugavet property is a phenomenon that does not happen in large density characters. However, for $\text{dens}(X) \leq \omega_1$, there is a strong interplay between the Daugavet property and the abundance of elements L -orthogonal to X which goes further from octahedrality because, to the best of our knowledge, the question whether or not the result [8, Lemma 9.1] holds for Banach spaces of density character equal to ω_1 remains open.

In view of this fact, in this paper we aim to study how deep the L -orthogonality is connected with the Daugavet property and the Daugavet

equation. To do so, we will study its presence in connection with two important concepts coming from the Daugavet equation: the concept of Daugavet center and the concept of narrow operator.

After introducing necessary notation and preliminary results in Section 2, in Section 3 we will make a study of the Daugavet centers. The main result is Theorem 3.6, where we prove that if $G : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Daugavet center with $G(X)$ separable and $\text{dens}(Y) \leq \omega_1$ then, given any non-empty w^* -open subset W of $B_{X^{**}}$, there exists $u \in W$ so that $\|G^{**}(u) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$ holds for every $y \in Y$. Based on [18, Example 3.8], we show in Example 3.9 that the previous result does not hold if $\text{dens}(Y)$ is larger.

In Section 4 we study the presence of L -orthogonality for narrow operators. Here we obtain two different results. In Theorem 4.3 we prove that if X is a separable Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a narrow operator, then given any $y \in B_X$ and any non-empty w^* -open subset W containing y there exists an element $u \in W$ with $T^{**}(u) = T^{**}(y)$ and such that $\|x + u\| = 1 + \|x\|$ holds for every $x \in X$. When $T^*(Y^*)$ is separable, we prove in Theorem 4.4 the same result when $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_1$. Again, we show in Example 4.6 that the previous result is no longer true when $\text{dens}(X)$ is larger.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We will consider only real Banach spaces. Given a Banach space X , we will denote the unit ball and the unit sphere of X by B_X and S_X respectively. Moreover, given $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, we will denote $B(x, r) = x + rB_X = \{y \in X : \|x - y\| \leq r\}$. We will also denote by X^* the topological dual of X . Given a bounded subset C of X , we will mean by a *slice of C* a set of the following form

$$S(C, x^*, \alpha) := \{x \in C : x^*(x) > \sup x^*(C) - \alpha\}$$

where $x^* \in X^*$ and $\alpha > 0$. If X is a dual Banach space, the previous set will be called a *w^* -slice* if x^* belongs to the predual of X . Note that finite intersections of slices of C (respectively of w^* -slices of C) form a basis for the inherited weak (respectively weak-star) topology of C . Throughout the text ω_1 (respectively ω_2) will denote the first uncountable ordinal (respectively the first ordinal whose cardinal is strictly bigger than the cardinality of ω_1).

Let Z be a subspace of a Banach space X . We say that Z is an *almost isometric ideal* (ai-ideal) in X if X is locally complemented in Z by almost isometries. This means that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each finite-dimensional subspace $E \subseteq X$ there exists a linear operator $T : E \rightarrow Z$ satisfying

- (1) $T(e) = e$ for each $e \in E \cap Z$, and
- (2) $(1 - \varepsilon)\|e\| \leq \|T(e)\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|e\|$ for each $e \in E$,

i.e. T is a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ isometry fixing the elements of E . If the T satisfies only (1) and the right-hand side of (2) we get the well-known concept of Z being an *ideal* in X [9].

Note that the Principle of Local Reflexivity means that X is an ai-ideal in X^{**} for every Banach space X .

Throughout the text we will make use of the following two results, which we include here for the sake of completeness and for easy reference.

Theorem 2.1. [2, Theorem 1.4] *Let X be a Banach space and let Z be an almost isometric ideal in X . Then there is a linear isometry $\varphi : Z^* \rightarrow X^*$ such that*

$$\varphi(z^*)(z) = z^*(z)$$

holds for every $z \in Z$ and $z^ \in Z^*$ and satisfying that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, every finite-dimensional subspace E of X and every finite-dimensional subspace F of Z^* , we can find an operator $T : E \rightarrow Z$ satisfying*

- (1) $T(e) = e$ for every $e \in E \cap Z$,
- (2) $(1 - \varepsilon)\|e\| \leq \|T(e)\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|e\|$ holds for every $e \in E$, and;
- (3) $f(T(e)) = \varphi(f)(e)$ holds for every $e \in E$ and every $f \in F$.

Following the notation of [1], to such an operator φ we will refer as an almost-isometric Hahn-Banach extension operator. Notice that if $\varphi : Z^* \rightarrow X^*$ is an almost isometric Hahn-Banach extension operator, then $\varphi^* : X^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$ is a norm-one projection (see e.g. [17, Theorem 3.5]).

Another central result in our main theorems will be the following, coming from [1, Theorem 1.5]

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space, let Y be a separable subspace of X and let $W \subseteq X^*$ be a separable subspace. Then there exists a separable almost isometric ideal Z in X containing Y and an almost isometric Hahn-Banach extension operator $\varphi : Z^* \rightarrow X^*$ such that $\varphi(Z^*) \supset W$.*

According to [8], given a Banach space X , the *ball topology*, denoted by b_X , is defined as the coarsest topology on X so that every closed ball is closed in b_X . As a consequence, a basis for the topology b_X is formed by the sets of the following form

$$X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, r_i),$$

where x_1, \dots, x_n are elements of X and r_1, \dots, r_n are positive numbers.

Let us extract the following result from the proof of [8, Lemma 9.1], which will be used several times throughout the text.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a separable Banach space and let $\{O_n\}$ be a separable basis for the b_X -topology of B_X . If a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq S_X$ satisfies that*

$$x_n \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n O_k$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence (say $\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}$) satisfying that, if u is any w^ -cluster point of $\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}$, then*

$$\|x + u\| = 1 + \|x\|$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. DAUGAVET CENTERS

Let us start with one central definition of the section.

Definition 3.1. Let X and Y be two Banach spaces and $G : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded operator. We say that G is a *Daugavet center* if

$$\|G + T\| = \|G\| + \|T\|$$

holds for every rank-one operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$.

Note that a Banach space X has the Daugavet property if, and only if, the identity operator $I : X \rightarrow X$ is a Daugavet center. Daugavet centers were introduced in [4] to generalise one known result about existence of equivalent renormings with the Daugavet property. Namely, it is proved that if $G : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Daugavet center, Y is a subspace of E and $J : Y \rightarrow E$ is the natural embedding operator, then E admits an equivalent renorming so that $J \circ G : X \rightarrow E$ is also a Daugavet center [4, Theorem 1.3]. See [4, 11, 20] and references therein for background and examples of Daugavet centers.

Let us write a characterisation of Daugavet centers, coming from [4].

Lemma 3.2. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces and $G : X \rightarrow Y$ be a Daugavet center. Then, given a finite-dimensional subspace E of Y , a non-empty relatively weakly open subset U of B_X and $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find another non-empty relatively weakly open subset $V \subseteq U$ such that*

$$\|e + \lambda G(v)\| > (1 - \varepsilon)(\|e\| + |\lambda|)$$

holds for every $v \in V$, every $e \in E$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.3. Recall that the norm of a Banach space X is *octahedral* if, given a finite-dimensional subspace E of X and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in S_X$ such that

$$\|e + \lambda x\| > (1 - \varepsilon)(\|e\| + |\lambda|)$$

holds for every $e \in E$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. See [7, 8] for background. Note that Lemma 3.2 implies that if $G : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Daugavet center then the norm of Y is octahedral. Consequently, if O_1, O_2 are non-empty b_Y -open subsets of B_Y , then $O_1 \cap O_2 \neq \emptyset$ [8, Lemma 9.1].

With the previous lemma in mind let us prove the following preliminary lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, let $G : X \rightarrow Y$ be a Daugavet center and O be a non-empty b_Y -open subset of B_Y . Then, given any non-empty relatively weakly open subset W of B_X we get that*

$$G(W) \cap O \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Up to take a smaller b_Y open subset, we can assume that $O := \bigcap_{i=1}^k B_X \setminus B(x_i, r_i)$. Notice that, since O is non-empty then $r_i < 1 + \|x_i\|$ holds for every $1 \leq i \leq k$. Pick $0 < \varepsilon < \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} 1 + \|x_i\| - r_i$. Pick also a non-empty relatively weakly open subset W of B_X . By Lemma 3.2 we can find $x \in W$ with

$$\|x_i + G(x)\| > 1 + \|x_i\| - \varepsilon$$

holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Given $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we get that

$$\|x_i + G(x)\| > 1 - \|x_i\| - \varepsilon > 1 + \|x_i\| - (1 + \|x_i\| - r_i) = r_i,$$

so $G(x) \in B_X \setminus B(x_i, r_i)$. Since i was arbitrary $G(x) \in G(W) \cap O$, and the proof is complete. ■

Now we are ready to prove one of the main results in this part.

Theorem 3.5. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces and $G : X \rightarrow Y$ be a Daugavet center. Assume that Y is separable. Then, given $u \in B_{X^{**}}$ and $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq S_{X^*}$, we can find $v \in S_{X^{**}}$ such that*

- (1) $v(g_n) = u(g_n)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and,
- (2) $\|G^{**}(v) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$ holds for every $y \in Y$.

In particular, given any non-empty relatively w^ -open subset W of $B_{X^{**}}$ there exists $v \in W$ satisfying (2).*

Proof. Pick $\{O_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be a basis of the b_Y topology of B_Y . Since G is a Daugavet center, by Lemma 3.4 we can find $x_n \in \{z \in B_X : |g_i(z) - G^{**}(u)| < \frac{1}{n}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, which is a non-empty relatively weakly open subset of B_X , such that $G(x_n) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n O_i$ (note that an easy inductive argument

together with Remark 3.3 implies that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n O_i$ is non-empty for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$).

By Lemma 2.3 there exists a subsequence of $G(x_n)$, which we will denote in the same way, so that any w^* -limit point is u satisfies that $\|y + u\| = 1 + \|y\|$ holds for every $y \in Y$. Pick $v \in \{x_n\}'$, where the last accumulation is in the w^* -topology of $B_{X^{**}}$. It is obvious that $v(g_n) = u(g_n)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, since G^{**} is $w^* - w^*$ -continuous we get that $G^{**}(v)$ is a limit point of $\{G(x_n)\}$. From here $\|G^{**}(v) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$ holds for every $y \in Y$, and the proof is complete. ■

Let us now generalise the previous theorem to the case when $\text{dens}(Y) = \omega_1$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces and $G : X \rightarrow Y$ be a Daugavet center such that $G(X)$ is separable. If $\text{dens}(Y) = \omega_1$ then, for every $u \in B_{X^{**}}$ and every $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ we can find $v \in B_{X^{**}}$ such that*

- (1) $v(g_n) = u(g_n)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and,
- (2) $\|G^{**}(v) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$ holds for every $y \in Y$.

In particular, given any non-empty relatively w^* -open subset W of $B_{X^{**}}$ there exists $v \in W$ satisfying (2).

Proof. The proof will follow the lines of [18, Theorem 3.3]. Pick $\{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ be a dense subset of S_Y . Let us construct, by transfinite induction, a family $\{(Z_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha, \{f_{\alpha, \beta} : \beta < \alpha\}, v_\alpha) : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ satisfying that, for every $\alpha < \omega_1$, then:

- (1) Z_α is a separable almost isometric ideal in Y containing $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} Z_\beta \cup \{y_\alpha\}$ and $G(X) \subseteq Z_\alpha$.
- (2) $\varphi_\alpha : Z_\alpha^* \rightarrow Y^*$ is an almost-isometric Hahn-Banach extension operator such that $\varphi_\alpha(Z_\alpha^*) \supset \{f_{\beta, \gamma} : \gamma < \beta < \alpha\}$.
- (3) The equality

$$\|G^{**}(v_\alpha) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$$

holds for every $y \in Z_\alpha$, and $\{f_{\alpha, \beta} : \beta < \alpha\} \subseteq S_{Y^*}$ is norming for $Z_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{R}v_\alpha$.

- (4) $v_\alpha(G^*(f_{\beta, \gamma})) = v_\beta(G^*(f_{\beta, \gamma}))$ holds for every $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$ and

$$v_\alpha(g_n) = u(g_n)$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The case $\alpha = \omega_0$ follows finding, in virtue of Theorem 2.2, a separable almost isometric ideal Z_α containing $G(X) \cup \{y_\beta : \beta \leq \omega_0\}$. Notice that, if we consider $G_\alpha : X \rightarrow Z_\alpha$ to be the restriction to the codomain, then G_α is clearly a Daugavet center. An application of Theorem 3.5 yields an element $v_\alpha \in S_{X^{**}}$ so that $v_\alpha(g_n) = u(g_n)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that

$$\|G_\alpha^{**}(v_\alpha) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$$

holds for every $y \in Z_\alpha$. Pick $\{g_{\alpha, \beta} : \beta < \alpha\} \subseteq S_{Z_\alpha^*}$ to be a norming subset for $Z_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{R}G_\alpha^{**}(v_\alpha)$ and define $f_{\alpha, \beta} := \varphi_\alpha^*(g_{\alpha, \beta}) \in S_{Y^*}$. The proof of the case $\alpha = \omega_0$ will be complete when we prove the following:

Claim 3.7. *For every $z^* \in Z_\alpha^*$ the following equality*

$$G_\alpha^{**}(v_\alpha)(z^*) = G^{**}(v_\alpha)(\varphi_\alpha(z^*))$$

holds.

Proof. Pick $z^* \in Z_\alpha^*$ and pick a net $(x_s) \subseteq B_{X^{**}}$ such that $x_s \rightarrow v_\alpha$ in the weak-star topology of B_X . Then $G(x_s) \rightarrow G^{**}(v_\alpha)$ in the weak-star topology of $B_{Y^{**}}$ because G^{**} is $w^* - w^*$ continuous. In particular, $G(x_s)(\varphi_\alpha(z^*)) \rightarrow G^{**}(v_\alpha)(\varphi_\alpha(z^*))$. A similar argument shows that $G_\alpha(x_s)(z^*) \rightarrow G_\alpha^{**}(v_\alpha)(z^*)$. Now, given s , taking into account that $G(x_s) \in G(X) \subseteq Z_\alpha$ (and so $G_\alpha(x_s) = G(x_s)$) and the fact that φ_α is a Hahn-Banach extension operator we deduce that

$$G_\alpha(x_s)(z^*) = \varphi_\alpha(z^*)(G(x_s)).$$

Using the uniqueness of the limit the claim is proved. ■

Summarising, we have constructed $(Z_{\omega_0}, \varphi_{\omega_0}, \{f_{\omega_0, \beta} : \beta < \omega_0\}, v_{\omega_0})$.

Now assume by induction hypothesis that $\{(Z_\beta, \varphi_\beta, \{f_{\beta, \gamma} : \gamma < \beta\}, v_\beta)\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ has been constructed, and let us construct $(Z_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha, \{f_{\alpha, \gamma} : \gamma < \alpha\}, v_\alpha)$. To this end, pick a cluster point $v \in B_{X^{**}}$ of the net $\{v_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ (where we consider on $[0, \alpha[$ the classical order). Notice that, by induction hypothesis and the cluster point condition, we get that

$$v(G^*(f_{\beta, \gamma})) = v_\beta(G^*(f_{\beta, \gamma}))$$

holds for every $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$ and

$$v(g_n) = u(g_n)$$

holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Find, again by Theorem 2.2, a separable almost isometric ideal Z_α in Y containing the separable set $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} Z_\beta \cup \{y_\alpha\}$ and take an almost-isometric

Hahn-Banach extension operator $\varphi_\alpha : Z_\alpha^* \rightarrow Y^*$ such that $\varphi_\alpha(Z_\alpha^*)$ contains the countable set $\{f_{\beta, \gamma} : \gamma < \beta < \alpha\}$. By the same argument as in the case ω_0 , by an application of Theorem 3.5 we find $v_\alpha \in B_{X^{**}}$ such that

- (1) $v_\alpha(G^*(f_{\beta, \gamma})) = v(G^*(f_{\beta, \gamma}))$ for $\gamma < \beta$ and $v_\alpha(g_n) = v(g_n)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $\|G^{**}(v_\alpha) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$ holds for every $y \in Y$.

Pick $\{f_{\alpha, \beta} : \beta < \alpha\} \subseteq S_{Y^*}$ to be a norming set for $Z_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{R}G^{**}(v_\alpha)$. All the above proves that $(Z_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha, \{f_{\alpha, \gamma} : \gamma < \alpha\}, v_\alpha)$ satisfies our requirements and completes the inductive argument.

Now we have proved the existence of the chain $(Z_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha, \{f_{\alpha, \gamma} : \gamma < \alpha\}, v_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$. Take $v \in B_{X^{**}}$ to be a limit point of the net $\{v_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ (where we again consider on $[0, \omega_1[$ the classical order). It is immediate that $v(g_n) = u(g_n)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us prove, to finish, that

$$\|G^{**}(v) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$$

holds for every $y \in Y$. By a homogeneity argument, we can assume with no loss of generality that $y \in S_Y$. To this end, pick $\varepsilon > 0$ and find, by the denseness of $\{y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$, an element y_α so that $\|y - y_\alpha\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Since $\|G^{**}(v_\alpha) + y_\alpha\| = 2$ and $\{f_{\alpha, \beta} : \beta < \alpha\}$ is norming for $Z_\alpha \oplus G^{**}(v_\alpha)$ we can find $\beta < \alpha$ so that

$$G^{**}(v_\alpha)(f_{\alpha, \beta}) + f_{\alpha, \beta}(y_\alpha) > 2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since $G^{**}(v_\delta)(f_{\alpha, \beta}) = G^{**}(v_\alpha)(f_{\alpha, \beta})$ holds for every $\delta > \alpha$ and it is clear because of the $w^* - w^*$ continuity of G^{**} that $G^{**}(v)$ is a cluster point of the net $(G^{**}(v_\delta))_{\delta < \omega_1}$, we conclude that $G^{**}(v)(f_{\alpha, \beta}) = G^{**}(v_\alpha)(f_{\alpha, \beta})$ and so

$$\|y_\alpha + G^{**}(v)\| \geq 2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

thus $\|G^{**}(v) + y\| > 2 - \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we conclude that $\|G^{**}(v) + y\| = 2$, and the proof is complete. ■

Remark 3.8. The converse of Theorem 3.6 is true in complete generality (and so it is actually a complete characterisation of when an operator with separable image is a Daugavet center via L -orthogonality). To be more precise, assume that $G : X \rightarrow Y$ is an operator such that, for every non-empty w^* -open subset of $B_{X^{**}}$ there exists $u \in W$ with $\|G^{**}(u) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$ holds for every $y \in Y$. Then G is a Daugavet center.

In order to see it, fix $y_0 \in S_Y$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a slice $S = S(B_X, f, \alpha)$. Since $S(B_{X^{**}}, f, \alpha)$ is non-empty and w^* -open, by assumption there exists $u \in S(B_{X^{**}}, f, \alpha)$ such that $\|G^{**}(u) + y\| = 1 + \|y\|$ holds for every $y \in Y$. Find a net $\{x_s\}$ in B_X weak-star convergent to u . The $w^* - w^*$ continuity of G^{**} implies that $G(x_s) = G^{**}(x_s)$ converges to $G^{**}(u)$ in the w^* topology. The w^* -lower semicontinuity of the norm of Y^{**} implies that

$$2 = \|G^{**}(u) + y_0\| \leq \liminf_s \|G(x_s) + y_0\|,$$

so we can find s large enough so that $f(x_s) > 1 - \alpha$ (i.e. $x_s \in S$) and $\|G(x_s) + y_0\| > 2 - \varepsilon$. According to [4, Theorem 2.1 (iii)], G is a Daugavet center.

We do not know whether Theorem 3.6 remains true if we remove the assumption that $T(X)$ is separable. In order to make use of Theorem 3.6 together with an inductive argument we would need any result which guarantee that the codomain restriction of a Daugavet center is a Daugavet center.

However, we know that $\text{dens}(Y) = \omega_1$ can not be removed in general, as the following example shows.

Example 3.9. Take $X = Y = \ell_2(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon C([0, 1])$. Then X has the Daugavet property [22, P. 81], but there exists no element $v \in S_{X^{**}}$ such that

$$\|x + v\| = 1 + \|x\|$$

holds for every $x \in X$ [18, Example 3.8]. Hence, the identity operator $I : X \rightarrow X$ is a Daugavet center which does not satisfies the thesis of Theorem 3.6.

Note that, under continuum hypothesis, $\text{dens}(\ell_2(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}))) = \text{card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})) = \omega_2$, and so the result is sharp.

Remark 3.10. Very recently, E. R. Santos considered in [20] the concept of *polynomial Daugavet center*. Given two Banach spaces X and Y , it is said that a norm-one polynomial $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *polynomial Daugavet center* if the Daugavet equation

$$\|Q + P\| = 1 + \|P\|$$

holds for every continuous rank-one polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(X, Y)$. After the proof of [20, Proposition 2.6], the author posed the following question: if Q

is a polynomial Daugavet center and $S = S(P, \alpha)$ is a polynomial slice of $B_{X^{**}}$, is there any $u \in S \cap S_{X^{**}}$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \|\hat{Q}(u) + \text{sign}(P(u))y\| = 1 + \|y\|$$

holds for every $y \in Y$?

Incidentally, Remark 3.9 also provides a negative answer to Santos' question. Indeed, given $X = \ell_2(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon C([0, 1])$, the identity operator $I : X \rightarrow X$ is actually a polynomial Daugavet center because X has the *polynomial Daugavet property* [5, Corollary 2.5] which, in the language of polynomial Daugavet centers, means nothing but that I is a polynomial Daugavet center. However, there is no u satisfying equation 3.1 because there exists no $u \in S_{X^{**}}$ such that

$$\|x + u\| = 1 + \|x\|$$

holds for every $x \in X$.

4. NARROW OPERATORS

Different notions of narrow operators have appeared in the literature for concrete classes of Banach spaces (see [22, Section 4]). Here we will consider the general notion considered in [22, Section 4].

Definition 4.1. Let X and Y be two Banach spaces. We say that a bounded operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is *narrow* if, given $x, y \in S_X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a slice S containing y , there exists $z \in S$ such that $\|x + z\| > 2 - \varepsilon$ and $\|T(y - z)\| < \varepsilon$.

It is clear, by the celebrated characterisation of the Daugavet property from [15, Lemma 2.1], that a Banach space X has the Daugavet property if, and only if, there exists a narrow operator from X to any Banach space. One reason why narrow operators are interesting is that if $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a narrow operator then T satisfies the Daugavet equation (see e.g. [22, Lemma 4.3]). Another interest of narrow operators is that they are useful in order to pass the Daugavet property from a space to a subspace (see [22, Section 5] for background on *rich subspaces*). See [3, 12, 13, 14, 16, 22] and references therein for background.

Let us announce the following result, coming from [16, Proposition 4.12], which we include here for easy future reference.

Theorem 4.2. [16, Proposition 4.12] *Let X be a Banach space with the Daugavet property, Y be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a narrow operator. Then, for every finite-dimensional subspace E of X , every $y \in B_X$, every weakly open subset W of B_X containing y and every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $x \in W$ such that:*

- (1) $\|e + \lambda x\| > (1 - \varepsilon)(\|e\| + |\lambda|)$ holds for every $e \in E$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
- and
- (2) $\|T(x - y)\| < \varepsilon$.

The previous theorem allows us to get the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let X be a separable Banach space, Y be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be narrow operator. Then, given any $y \in B_X$ and any subset $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ we can find $u \in S_{X^{**}}$ such that*

- (1) $\|x + u\| = 1 + \|x\|$ holds for every $x \in X$.
- (2) $T^{**}(u) = T(y)$.
- (3) $u(g_n) = g_n(y)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, given any non-empty w^ -open subset W of $B_{X^{**}}$ there exists $u \in W$ satisfying (1) and (2).*

Proof. Pick $\{O_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a basis of the b_X -topology for B_X . By Theorem 4.2 we can find, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, an element $x_n \in \{z \in B_X : |g_n(z - y)| < \frac{1}{n} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^n O_k$ such that $\|T(x_n - y)\| < \frac{1}{n}$. Up passing to a subsequence, by Lemma 2.3, we can assume that every cluster point u of $\{x_n\}$ in the w^* -topology of $B_{X^{**}}$ satisfies that

$$\|x + u\| = 1 + \|x\|$$

holds for every $x \in X$. Take one such cluster point u . From the condition on the sequence it is clear that $u(g_n) = g_n(y)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us prove that $T^{**}(u) = T(y)$. To this end, take a subnet $\{x_s\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_s \rightarrow u$ in the w^* -topology of $B_{X^{**}}$. Then, the $w^* - w^*$ continuity of T^{**} implies that

$$T(x_s) = T^{**}(x_s) \rightarrow T^{**}(u),$$

where the last convergence is in the w^* -topology of Y^{**} . Hence $T^{**}(x_s) - T(y) \rightarrow T^{**}(u) - T(y)$ in the w^* -topology. The w^* -lower semicontinuity of the norm of Y^{**} implies that

$$\|T^{**}(u) - T(y)\| \leq \liminf \|T(x_s) - T(y)\| = 0,$$

from where $T^{**}(u) = T(y)$, and we are done. ■

We do not know whether the previous Theorem holds for $\text{dens}(X) = \omega_1$. Note that, in order to use an inductive argument similar to the one of [18, Theorem 3.3], we would need to guarantee that Theorem 4.3 holds if we take $y \in B_{X^{**}}$. Let us exhibit, however, a class of narrow operators where Theorem 4.3 extends to the non-separable case.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a Banach space with the Daugavet property and Y be any Banach space. Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bounded operator such that $T^*(Y^*)$ is separable. Then, given $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq S_{X^*}$ and any $u \in B_{X^{**}}$ we can find $v \in S_{X^{**}}$ such that*

- (1) $\|x + u\| = 1 + \|x\|$ holds for every $x \in X$.
- (2) $T^{**}(v) = T^{**}(u)$.
- (3) $v(g_n) = u(g_n)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, given any non-empty w^ -open subset W of $B_{X^{**}}$ there exists $u \in W$ satisfying (1) and (2).*

Remark 4.5. It is known that, if X is a Banach space with the Daugavet property and Y be any Banach space, then any bounded operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $T^*(Y^*)$ is separable satisfies that T is narrow.

Indeed, if A is any closed, bounded and convex subset of B_X , then $T(A)$ is *slicely countably determined* by a sequence of slices $S(T(A), y_n^*, \delta_n)$, where $\{y_n^*\} \subseteq S_{Y^*}$ satisfies that $T^*(y_n^*)$ is dense in $T^*(S_{Y^*})$ and δ_n is a null sequence of positive scalars (see [3] for formal definition). This implies, in the language of [3], that T is *hereditary slicely countably determined* and so, by [3, Theorem 5.11], T is narrow. We thank Miguel Martín for pointing out this remark.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Take $\{y_n^*\} \subseteq B_{Y^*}$ be such that $\{T^*(y_n^*)\}$ is dense in $T^*(B_{Y^*})$. Now we can apply [18, Theorem 3.3] to find $v \in S_{X^{**}}$ satisfying that $u = v$ on $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{T^*(y_n^*) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and such that

$$\|x + v\| = 1 + \|x\|$$

holds for every $x \in X$. In only remains to prove that $T^{**}(v) = T^{**}(u)$. To this end notice that, since $\{T^*(y_n^*)\}$ is dense in $T^*(B_{Y^*})$, a density argument implies that $v = u$ on $T^*(B_{Y^*})$. Hence

$$T^{**}(v)(y^*) = v(T^*(y^*)) = u(T^*(y^*)) = T^{**}(u)(y^*)$$

holds for every $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$. From here it is immediate to get that $T^{**}(v) = T^{**}(u)$, and the proof is complete. ■

Note that for $\text{dens}(X) > \omega_1$ the result is no longer true.

Example 4.6. Let $X = \ell_2(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon C([0, 1])$, Y be any Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be the zero operator. Then T is narrow since X has the Daugavet property. However there is no $u \in S_{X^{**}}$ such that $\|x + u\| = 1 + \|x\|$ holds for every $x \in X$, so T can not satisfy the thesis of Theorem 4.3.

Note that, under continuum hypothesis, $\text{dens}(\ell_2(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}))) = \text{card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})) = \omega_2$, and so the result is sharp.

Acknowledgements: The author thanks V. Kadets and M. Martín for fruitful conversations on the topic of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. A. Abrahamsen, *Linear extensions, almost isometries, and diameter two*, Extracta Math. **30**, 2 (2015), 135–151.
- [2] T. A. Abrahamsen, V. Lima and O. Nygaard, *Almost isometric ideals in Banach spaces*, Glasgow Math. J. **56** (2014), 395–407.
- [3] A. Avilés, V. Kadets, M. Martín, J. Merí and V. Shepelska. *Slicely countably determined Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 4871–4900.
- [4] T. Bosenko and V. Kadets, *Daugavet centers*, Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. **6**, 1 (2010), 3–20.
- [5] Y. S. Choi, D. García, M. Maestre and M. Martín, *The Daugavet equation for polynomials*, Stud. Math. **178**, 1 (2007), 63–82.
- [6] I.K. Daugavet, *On a property of completely continuous operators in the space C* , Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **18** (1963), 157-158 (Russian).

- [7] G. Godefroy, *Metric characterization of first Baire class linear forms and octahedral norms*, Studia Math. **95** (1989), 1-15.
- [8] G. Godefroy and N. J. Kalton, *The ball topology and its applications*, Contemporary Math. **85** (1989), 195-238.
- [9] G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton, and P. D. Saphar, *Unconditional ideals in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **104** (1993), 13-59.
- [10] Y. Ivakhno, V. Kadets and D. Werner, *The Daugavet property for spaces of Lipschitz functions*, Math. Scand. **101** (2007), 261-279.
- [11] T. Ivashyna, *Daugavet centers are separably determined*, Matematychni Studii. **40**, 1 (2013), 66-70.
- [12] V. Kadets, N. J. Kalton, D. Werner, *Remarks on rich subspaces of Banach spaces*, Stud. Math. **159**, 2 (2003), 195-206.
- [13] V. Kadets, N. J. Kalton, D. Werner, *Unconditionally convergent series of operators and narrow operators on L_1* , Bull. London Math. Soc. **37**, 2 (2005) 265-274.
- [14] V. Kadets, V. Shepelska and D. Werner, *Quotients of Banach spaces with the Daugavet property*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. **56**, 2 (2008), 131-147.
- [15] V. Kadets, R. V. Shvidkoy, G. G. Sirotkin, and D. Werner. *Banach spaces with the Daugavet property*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **352**, 2 (2000), 855-873.
- [16] V. Kadets, R. V. Shvidkoy and D. Werner, *Narrow operators and rich subspaces of Banach spaces with the Daugavet property*, Studia Math. **147**, 3 (2001), 269-298.
- [17] N. J. Kalton, *Locally complemented subspaces and \mathcal{L}_p -spaces for $0 < p < 1$* , Math. Nachr. **115** (1984), 71-97.
- [18] G. López-Pérez and A. Rueda Zoca, *L-orthogonality, octahedrality and Daugavet property in Banach spaces*, preprint. Available at ArXiv.org with reference: arXiv:1912.09039.
- [19] A. Rueda Zoca, *Daugavet property and separability in Banach spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **68**, 1 (2018), 68-84.
- [20] E. R. Santos, *Polynomial Daugavet centers*, Quarterly J. Math. 00 (2020), 1-15; doi:10.1093/qmathj/haaa029.
- [21] R. Shvidkoy, *Geometric aspects of the Daugavet property*, J. Funct. Anal. **176**, 2 (2000), 198-212.
- [22] D. Werner, *Recent progress on the Daugavet property*, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. **46** (2001) 77-97.

UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CAMPUS DE ESPINARDO
30100 MURCIA, SPAIN

Email address: abrahamrueda@ugr.es

URL: <https://arzenGLISH.wordpress.com>