Comodule Structures, Equivariant Hopf Structures, and Generalized Schubert Polynomials

XIONG Rui

October 30, 2020

Abstract

In this article, the comodule structure of Chow rings of Flag manifolds $CH(G/B)$ is described by Schubert cells. Its equivariant version gives rise to a Hopf structure of the equivariant cohomology of flag manifolds $H_B^*(G/B)$. We get two identities of generalized Schubert polynomials as explanations of the geometric facts.

I would politely express my gratitude to Victor Petrov, Haibao Duan, Xuezhi Zhao, Paul Zinn-Justin and Shintarô Kuroki for discussion.

1 Main Results

Comodule structure of CH (G/B) . Let G be a reductive group, B its Borel subgroup. Denote the Weyl group by $W = N_G(T)/T$, the length function ℓ . For $u, v, w \in W$, we write $w = u \odot v$ if $w = uv$ and $\ell(w) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$. It follows from the Bruhat decomposition (for example, [\[20\]](#page-11-0)) that the flag G/B has a cellular structure by B-orbits, $\{BwB/B : w \in W\}$. So the Chow ring CH(G/B) is freely generated by the fundamental class of $\{ \overline{BwB/B} : w \in W \}$. We denote $[\Sigma_w]$ the class corresponding to Bw_0wB/B , so that $[\Sigma_w] \in \text{CH}^{2\ell(w)}(G/B)$. Denote $\pi: G \to G/B$ the natural projection.

Theorem 1 The map induced by the left group action $G \times G/B \rightarrow G/B$ is given by

$$
\text{CH}(G/B) \longrightarrow \text{CH}(G) \otimes \text{CH}(G/B) \qquad [\Sigma_w] \longmapsto \sum_{w=u\odot v} \pi^* [\Sigma_u] \otimes [\Sigma_v].
$$

It can be generalized to any parabolic subgroup P . Assume P correspondent to the subset Θ of simple reflection generators of W. Now the B-orbit $\{BwP/P:$ $w \in W^P$ forms a cellular structure, where W^P the set of $w \in W$ with $\ell(ws) =$ $\ell(w) + 1$ for all $s \in \Theta$. We similarly denote $[\Sigma_w] = [\overline{Bw_0wP/P}]$. Since $G/B \to$ G/P is cellular and $CH(G/P) \to CH(G/B)$ is injective, we can conclude the following corollary.

Corollary 2 The map induced by the left group action $G \times G/P \rightarrow G/P$ is given by the same formula as in theorem [1.](#page-0-0)

It follows from Grothendieck [\[10\]](#page-11-1) that π induces a surjection onto CH(G). Hence as a partial corollary, we have the following description of Hopf structure of Chow ring.

Theorem 3 The Hopf structure of $CH(G)$ can be described by

$$
\Delta: \text{CH}(G) \longrightarrow \text{CH}(G) \otimes \text{CH}(G) \qquad \pi^*[\Sigma_w] \longmapsto \sum_{w=u\odot v} \pi^*[\Sigma_u] \otimes \pi^*[\Sigma_v],
$$

and the antipode

$$
S: \operatorname{CH}(G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{CH}(G) \qquad \pi^*[\Sigma_w] \longmapsto (-1)^{\ell(w)} \pi^*[\Sigma_{w^{-1}}].
$$

From the paper of Kac [\[14\]](#page-11-2), we know that image of π^* is far from being injection, but this formula provides a uniform description. Historically, the determination of Hopf structure of compact Lie groups are quite tough. The classic approach is the cohomology operators, see for example [\[13\]](#page-11-3). The determination based on computer-assisted computation can be found in Duan and Zhao [\[5\]](#page-10-0), [\[6\]](#page-10-1). The method using motives can be found in Petrov and Semenov [\[19\]](#page-11-4).

Equivariant version. In general, for a topological group G of CW-type, X a G-space, we denote $X_G = EG \times_G X$, where EG is the total space of Milnor's universal G-bundle. Note that if X is acted freely by G , then X_G is homotopy equivalent to the orbit space $G\backslash X$. For a left G-set X and a right G-set Y, we denote

$$
X * Y = (X \times Y)_G = EG \times_G (X \times Y).
$$

Under this notation, $X_G = \text{pt} * X$. We denote $H^*_G(X) = H^*(X_G)$ and call it the G-equivariant cohomology.

Now turn to the case of reductive group. We can consider the map induced by group multiplication and inverse

$$
\mu: \operatorname{pt}\nolimits_B^* G\underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*}\operatorname{pt}\nolimits \to \operatorname{pt}\nolimits_B^* G\underset{B}{*}\operatorname{pt}, \qquad \nu: \operatorname{pt}\nolimits_B^* G\underset{B}{*}\operatorname{pt}\nolimits \longrightarrow \operatorname{pt}\nolimits_B^* G\underset{B}{*}\operatorname{pt}.
$$

Note that $\mathsf{pt} \underset{B}{*} G *_{B} \mathsf{pt} = (G/B)_{B}$. Denote the $[\Sigma_{w}]_{B}$ the equivariant fundamental class in $H^*_{B}(G/B) = H^*(\text{pt} *_{B}^{*} G *_{B}^{*} \text{pt})$ of the *B*-equivariant subvariety $\overline{w_0Bw_0wB/B}$. Consider the following two maps

$$
\pi_1: \operatorname{pt} \underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} \operatorname{pt} \to \operatorname{pt} \underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} \operatorname{pt} = \operatorname{pt} \underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} \operatorname{pt},
$$

$$
\pi_2: \operatorname{pt} \underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} \operatorname{pt} \to \operatorname{pt} \underset{G}{*} G\underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} \operatorname{pt} = \operatorname{pt} \underset{B}{*} G\underset{B}{*} \operatorname{pt},
$$

Theorem 4 The map induced by μ is given by

$$
\mu^*: H^*(\mathrm{pt}_B^*G_{B}^*\mathrm{pt})\longrightarrow H^*_B(\mathrm{pt}_B^*G_{B}^*G_{B}^*\mathrm{pt})\qquad [\Sigma_w]_B\longmapsto \sum_{w=u\odot v}\pi_1^*[\Sigma_u]_B\smile \pi_2^*[\Sigma_v]_B,
$$

and the map induced by ν is given by

$$
\nu^*: H^*(\mathsf{pt}\ _B^*G \ _B^*\mathsf{pt}) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathsf{pt}\ _B^*G \ _B^*\mathsf{pt}) \qquad [\Sigma_w]_B \longmapsto (-1)^{\ell(w)}[\Sigma_{w^{-1}}]_B.
$$

 (x) ⁿ, it is

Generalized Schubert Polynomials. proved by Borel in [\[3\]](#page-10-2) that

$$
H^*_B(G/B;\mathbb{Q})=\frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n,t_1,\ldots,t_n]}{\langle f(x)-f(t):f(X)\in\mathbb{Q}[X_1,\ldots,X_n]^W\rangle}.
$$

Then $[\Sigma_w]_B$ is uniquely presented by a polynomial $\mathfrak{S}_w(x,t)$ module the ideal factored as above. We fix a choice of the polynomials, and call them generalized Schubert polynomials. Note that we follow the classic convention, writing the t the indeterminant from $H^*_B(\mathsf{pt})$. As a result, $\mathfrak{S}_w(x) = \mathfrak{S}_w(x,0)$ is the classic Kostant polynomial [\[2\]](#page-10-3), but up to some element in the ideal generated by polynomials of $\mathbb{Q}[X_1,\ldots,X_n]^W$ with zero constant term.

Theorem 5 On the generalized Schubert polynomials, we have

$$
\mathfrak{S}_w(x,t) \equiv \sum_{w=u\odot v} \mathfrak{S}_v(x,y) \mathfrak{S}_u(y,t) \mod \begin{cases} f(x) - f(y) \\ f(t) - f(y) \end{cases} ; f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]^W
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{S}_w(x,t) \equiv (-1)^{\ell(w)} \mathfrak{S}_{w^{-1}}(t,x) \mod \langle f(x) - f(t) : f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]^W \rangle.
$$

Corollary 6 In particular, by taking $y = 0$,

$$
\mathfrak{S}_w(x,t) \equiv \sum_{w=u\odot v} (-1)^{\ell(u)} \mathfrak{S}_v(x) \mathfrak{S}_{u^{-1}}(t) \mod \left\langle \mathbb{Q}[x]_+^W \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbb{Q}[t]_+^W \right\rangle
$$

where $\mathbb{Q}[t]_+^W$ stands the set of polynomial of $\mathbb{Q}[t]^W$ without constant term.

In the case $G = GL_n$, we can find a stable choice for each $\mathfrak{S}_w(x, t)$, the classic double Schubert polynomial $[8]$, i.e. a polynomial with each monomial in x no great than $x_1^{n-1} \cdots x_{n-1}$ in dominant order. In this case $\mathfrak{S}_w(x) = \mathfrak{S}_w(x,0)$ is exactly the Schubert polynomials defined by Lascoux and Schützenberger [\[18\]](#page-11-5). This choice is called stable since we can pass to the infinite flag manifold, in which case, the ideal tends to zero. So the above formula recovers the three identities of classic Schubert polynomials which were proved by combinatorial method only, see $[7]$ and $[17]$ (note that the sign convention of t in old papers is distinct from ours).

For other classic groups, Kirillov [\[16\]](#page-11-7) proved that there is a choice which makes Corollary [6](#page-2-0) holds without passing to the ideal.

2 Geometric Part

Reduction to equivariant case. Firstly, $CH(G/B) = H^*(G/B)$ due to the cellular structure, and as we remarked, the image of $H^*(G/B) \to H^*(G)$ is $CH(G)$, so we can use the topological argument. Let us consider the diagram

pt
$$
\times G \times G *_{B} \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \times G *_{B} \text{pt}
$$

\npt $\times G \times \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \times G \times \text{pt}$
\npt $\times G *_{B} \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \times G *_{B} \text{pt}$
\npt $\times G *_{B} \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \times G *_{B} \text{pt}$
\npt $\times G *_{B} \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \times G *_{B} \text{pt}$
\npt $\times G *_{B} \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \times G *_{B} \text{pt}$

So if we know Theorem [4](#page-1-0) is true, then to prove Theorem [1](#page-0-0) and Theorem [3,](#page-1-1) it suffices to prove

$$
H^*(\mathrm{pt}\underset{B}{*}G\underset{B}{*}\mathrm{pt})\longrightarrow H^*(G)\qquad [\Sigma_w]_B\longmapsto \pi^*[\Sigma_w],
$$

and

$$
H^*(\text{pt} \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} \text{pt}) \longrightarrow H^*(G \times G/B) \qquad \begin{cases} \pi_1^*[\Sigma_u]_B \longmapsto \pi^*[\Sigma_u] \\ \pi_2^*[\Sigma_v]_B \longmapsto [\Sigma_v]. \end{cases}
$$

For the first map is clear, since it factors through

$$
H^*(\text{pt} *_{B} G *_{B} \text{pt}) = H^*_B(G/B) \stackrel{\epsilon}{\longrightarrow} H^*(G/B) \stackrel{\pi^*}{\longrightarrow} H^*(G),
$$

where ϵ is the augment map which maps $[\Sigma_w]_B$ to $[\Sigma_w]$. For the second, note that

pt
$$
\times G \times G \underset{B}{*}
$$
 pt \longrightarrow pt $\times G \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow pt $\underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow pt $\underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow pt $\underset{B}{*} G \times G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow pt $\underset{B}{*} G \times G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow c/ \longrightarrow B
pt $\times G \times G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow pt $\underset{B}{*} G \times G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow pt $\underset{B}{*} G \times G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow c/ \longrightarrow c/ \longrightarrow p
pt $\underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow pt $\underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*}$ pt \longrightarrow (G/B)_B

The first row of each diagram is exactly the first (second respectively) projection.

Reduction to polynomials. Note B is homotopy equivalent to T , so we can freely exchange B by T. It is well-known that $H^*(BT)$ is naturally isomorphism to the ring of polynomials in characters of T. If we fix a choice of $T \cong (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$,

then $H^*(BT) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1,\ldots,t_n]$. More exactly, t_i is the *i*-th projection of $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$. Besides, it is known that $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q})^W = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_r]^W$ the ring fixed by W , for example [\[12\]](#page-11-8).

Since we will use the details of the argument of Borel [\[3\]](#page-10-2), let me firstly briefly repeat them. As BT can be picked to be EG/T , so $BT \to BG$ is a fibre bundle of fibre G/T . Since the BG and G/T are all only of even dimensions, so the Serre–Leray spectral sequence collapses at the second page. In particular, as $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q})$ -module

$$
H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Q}).
$$

So

$$
H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q})} \mathbb{Q}
$$

=
$$
H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q}) / \langle H^+(BG; \mathbb{Q}) \rangle = \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\langle \mathbb{Q}[x]_+^W \rangle}.
$$

Actually, $H^*(BT;\mathbb{Q})$ is free as $H^*(BG;\mathbb{Q})$ -module of rank dim $H^*(G/T;\mathbb{Q})$ = $|W|$. By arguing the same but with fibre at each point, we see that any choice of basis of $H^*(BT;\mathbb{Q})$ over $H^*(BG;\mathbb{Q})$ restricting at each fibre to be a \mathbb{Q} -basis.

Then, consider

$$
\text{pt} \underset{T}{*} G * \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \underset{G}{*} G * \text{pt} \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} BT
$$
\n
$$
BT \longrightarrow \underset{T}{\longrightarrow} \text{pt} \underset{T}{*} G * \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \underset{G}{*} G * \text{pt} \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} BG.
$$

Then the Harish–Leray theorem shows that

$$
H_T^*(G/T; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(\text{pt} * G * \text{pt}; \mathbb{Q})
$$

= $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q})} H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q})$
=
$$
\frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_n]}{\langle f(x) - f(t) : f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]^W \rangle}.
$$

Lastly, learning from the Borel's augment above, we should consider

pt
$$
\underset{T}{\star} G \underset{T}{\star} G \underset{T}{\star} \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt } \underset{G}{\star} G \underset{T}{\star} G \underset{T}{\star} \text{pt} \longleftarrow \text{pt } \underset{G}{\star} G \underset{T}{\star} G \underset{T}{\star} \text{pt}
$$

\n
$$
BT \longrightarrow \underset{T}{\simeq} \text{pt } \underset{T}{\star} G \underset{G}{\star} G \underset{G}{\star} \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt } \underset{G}{\star} G \underset{G}{\star} G \underset{G}{\star} \text{pt} \xleftarrow{\simeq} BG.
$$

Then still the Harish–Leray theorem shows that

$$
H_T^*(G \times_T G/T; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(\text{pt} \underset{T}{*} G \underset{T}{*} G \underset{T}{*} G^* \text{pt}; \mathbb{Q})
$$

\n
$$
= H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q})} H^*(\text{pt} \underset{T}{*} G \underset{T}{*} \text{pt}; \mathbb{Q})
$$

\n
$$
= H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q})} H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q})} H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q})
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, t_1, \dots, t_n]}{\langle f(x) - f(y), f(t) - f(y) : f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]^W \rangle}.
$$

To be exact, let us fix the choice of where the indeterminants $\{t_i, y_i, x_i\}$ from,

$$
H^*(\text{pt} *_{T}^* G *_{G}^* \text{pt}) = H^*(BT) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n],
$$

\n
$$
H^*(\text{pt} *_{G}^* G *_{G}^* \text{pt}) = H^*(BT) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n],
$$

\n
$$
H^*(\text{pt} *_{G}^* G *_{T}^* \text{pt}) = H^*(BT) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n].
$$

Theorem 7 The $H^*_T(G/T;\mathbb{Q}) = H^*(BT;\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{H^*(BG;\mathbb{Q})} H^*(BT;\mathbb{Q})$ forms a Hopf algebra over $H^*(BT;\mathbb{Q})$ under product the cup product \sim , coproduct μ^* with antipole ν^* .

Now, consider the map

$$
\mathsf{pt} \ast_G \mathsf{r}_T^* G \underset{T}{\ast} G \underset{T}{\ast} \mathsf{pt} \longrightarrow \mathsf{pt} \ast_G \mathsf{r}_G^* G \underset{T}{\ast} \mathsf{pt} = \mathsf{pt} \ast_G \mathsf{r}_T^* \mathsf{pt}.
$$

This is nothing but our μ . Since the order of exchanging $*$ by $*$ does not matter, so in conclusion, under our computation,

$$
\mu^*: H^*(\mathsf{pt}\underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} \mathsf{pt}) \longrightarrow H^*_B(\mathsf{pt}\underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} \mathsf{pt}) \qquad f(x,t) \longmapsto f(x,t).
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{array}{llll} \pi_1^* : & H^*(\mathsf{pt} \ast G \ast \mathsf{pt}) \longrightarrow H^*_B(\mathsf{pt} \ast G \ast G \ast \mathsf{pt}) & f(x,t) \longmapsto f(y,t), \\ \pi_2^* : & H^*(\mathsf{pt} \ast G \ast \mathsf{pt}) \longrightarrow H^*_B(\mathsf{pt} \ast G \ast G \ast \mathsf{pt}) & f(x,t) \longmapsto f(x,y). \end{array}
$$

To describe ν , it is not very hard. Note that

pt
$$
\underset{T}{*} G * pt \longrightarrow pt * G * pt \xleftarrow{\simeq} pt * T
$$
pt $\underset{T}{*} F t$
\npt \downarrow \downarrow

where $B\nu$ is the map $BT \to BT$ induced by the inverse $T \to T$. As a result,

$$
\nu^*: H^*(\mathrm{pt}\underset{B}{*}G\underset{B}{*}\mathrm{pt})\longrightarrow H^*(\mathrm{pt}\underset{B}{*}G\underset{B}{*}\mathrm{pt}) \qquad f(x,t)\longmapsto f(-t,-x).
$$

By an easy spectral sequence argument, we see $H^*(\text{pt}\underset{B}{*}G^*\underset{B}{*}\text{pt})$ is free abelian. So to check the expression of μ^* and ν^* , it is harmless to reduce to over Q. As a result, Theorem [4](#page-1-0) follows from Theorem [5.](#page-2-1)

3 Algebraic Part

Demazure operators and Localization. Let us denote the longest element by $w_0 \in W$, and simply write $(-1)^w$ for $(-1)^{\ell(w)}$. Denote $\Sigma = {\alpha_i : i \in I}$ the set of simple root, and $s_i : i \in I$ the corresponding simple reflection of α_i . We define the *Demazure operator* over $H^*(BT)$ to be

$$
\partial_i: H^*(BT) \longrightarrow H^{*-2}(BT) \qquad f \longmapsto \frac{f - s_i f}{\alpha_i}.
$$

Note that $\partial_i^2 = 0$ for each *i*. It is classic that $\{\partial_i\}$ satisfies the Braid relations, so for any $w = s_{i(1)} \dots s_{i(r)} \in W$ a reduced decomposition, the operator

$$
\partial_w = \partial_{i(1)} \circ \ldots \circ \partial_{i(n)}
$$

does not depend on the choice of reduced decomposition, see for example [\[11\]](#page-11-9). We also call ∂_v the *Demazure operator*.

Since we work in more variables, we will use ∂_v^x to stand the operator with respect to x. It is known that ∂_v^x can be reduced to $H_B^*(G/B)$, and well-behaved on Schubert cells,

$$
\partial_v^x : H^*_B(G/B) \longrightarrow H^{*-2\ell(v)}(G/B) \qquad [\Sigma_w]_B \longmapsto \begin{cases} [\Sigma_{wv^{-1}}]_B, & \ell(wv^{-1}) + \ell(v) = \ell(w), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

In other word,

$$
\partial_v^x \mathfrak{S}_w(x,t) \equiv \begin{cases} \mathfrak{S}_{wv^{-1}}(x,t), & \ell(wv^{-1}) + \ell(v) = \ell(w), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{mod} \ \begin{cases} f(x) - f(y) \\ f(t) - f(y) \end{cases} : f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]^W \bigg\rangle.
$$

The nonequivariant form is proved in [\[1\]](#page-10-6), and the equivariant form and further discussion can be found in [\[15\]](#page-11-10).

Consider the localization map

$$
\cdot|_w: H^*_T(G/B)\to H^*_T(wB/B)=H^*_T(\text{pt}).
$$

By the choice of $[\Sigma_w]_B$, for $u \in W$,

$$
\mathfrak{S}_w(x,ux) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow u \geq w.
$$

Actually, the above two properties in above two paragraphs as well as $\mathfrak{S}_1(x,t)$ = 1 characterize $\mathfrak{S}_w(x,t)$.

Affine Nil-Hecke Algebra. Denote the affine Nil-Hecke Algebra NH_W the algebra generated in $H^*(BT)$ by the Demazure operators $\{\partial_w : w \in W\}$ and left multiplication of element in $H^*(BT)$. Abuse of notation, we write $f(x)$ by multiplication by $f(x)$. Since

$$
\partial_i(fg) = \frac{fg - s_ifs_ig}{\alpha} = \frac{fg - s_ifg}{\alpha} + \frac{s_ifg - s_ifs_ig}{\alpha} = (\partial_i f)g + (s_i f)(\partial_i g),
$$

we have the following Leibniz in NH_W ,

$$
\partial_i f = (\partial_i f) + (s_i f) \partial_i.
$$

Lemma 8 In NH_W, we have the following identity,

$$
(-1)^{w_0} \partial_{w_0} F(w_0 x) = \sum_{w \in W} \left(\partial_{ww_0} F(x) \right) \cdot (-1)^w \partial_w.
$$

Or, equivalently, in term of polynomials,

$$
(-1)^{w_0} \partial_{w_0} \big(F(w_0 x) G(x) \big) = \sum_{w \in W} \partial_{w w_0} F(x) \cdot (-1)^w \partial_w G(x).
$$

A geometric proof of this theorem will be given in the next section. But here I present the pure algebraic proof.

Proof. We can assume $(-1)^{w_0} \partial_{w_0} F(w_0 x) = \sum_{w \in W} c_w(x) \cdot (-1)^w \partial_w$. Since whenever we apply ∂_i , the left hand side will vanish, we have

$$
\sum_{w \in W} \left(\partial_i c_w(x) \right) \cdot (-1)^w \partial_w + \sum_{w \in W} c_w(s_i x) \cdot (-1)^w \partial_i \partial_w = 0.
$$

In other word, $\partial_i c_w(x) = \begin{cases} c_{s_iw}(s_i x), & s_iw < w, \\ 0, & \end{cases}$ $0,$ $s_i w > w$. But $\partial_i c_w(x)$ is fixed by s_i , so $0,$ $s_i w > w$.

$$
\partial_i c_w(x) = \begin{cases} c_{s_iw}(x), & s_iw < w, \\ 0, & s_iw > w. \end{cases}.
$$

It is clear that $c_{w_0}(x) = F(x)$. So the lemma follows from induction.

Proof of Theorem [5.](#page-2-1) Now, we are going to prove two identities in Theorem [5.](#page-2-1) We apply Lemma [8](#page-6-0) on $\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}(w_0y, x)\mathfrak{S}_w(y,t)$,

$$
\begin{aligned} (-1)^{w_0} \partial_{w_0}^y \big(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}(w_0 y, x) \mathfrak{S}_w(y, t) \big) & \equiv \sum_u (-1)^u \big(\partial_{uw_0}^y \mathfrak{S}_{w_0}(y, x) \big) \cdot \partial_u^y \mathfrak{S}_w(y, t) \\ & \equiv \sum_{w = w u^{-1} \odot u} (-1)^u \mathfrak{S}_{u^{-1}}(y, x) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{w u^{-1}}(y, t) \\ & \equiv \sum_{w = u \odot v} (-1)^v \mathfrak{S}_{v^{-1}}(y, x) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_u(y, t). \end{aligned}
$$

Since after applying ∂_{w_0} , any polynomial becomes symmetric, so the right hand side is symmetric in y. But the ideal factored allow us to write $f(x, y, t) =$ $f(x, x, t) = f(x, t, t)$ for polynomial f symmetric in y. So in particular,

$$
\sum_{w=u\odot v} (-1)^v \mathfrak{S}_{v^{-1}}(y, x) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_u(y, t)
$$

\n
$$
\equiv \sum_{w=u\odot v} (-1)^v \mathfrak{S}_{v^{-1}}(x, x) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_u(x, t) \equiv \mathfrak{S}_w(x, t),
$$

\n
$$
\equiv \sum_{w=u\odot v} (-1)^v \mathfrak{S}_{v^{-1}}(t, x) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_u(t, t) \equiv (-1)^w \mathfrak{S}_w(t, x).
$$

Since $\mathfrak{S}_w(x,x) = \begin{cases} 1, & w = 1 \\ 0, & x \end{cases}$ $\begin{array}{ll} 0, & \infty \\ 0, & w \neq 1. \end{array}$. This is the second identity. Taking in the second identity to above, finally we get

$$
\mathfrak{S}_w(x,t) \equiv \sum_{w=u\odot v} \mathfrak{S}_v(x,y) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_u(y,t).
$$

The proof is complete.

4 Relation to Convolution Algebra

In this section, I will give a geometric proof of Lemma [8.](#page-6-0)

Convolution Algebra. Lemma [8](#page-6-0) can also be proved taking advantage of the convolution in geometric representation theory. It is generally more convenient to use equivariant Borel–Moore homology see [\[4\]](#page-10-7), rather than equivariant cohomology (while they are dual). In our specific situation, it is useful to use cohomology. Let $p_i: G/B \times G/B \times G/B \rightarrow G/B \times G/B$ be the projection by omitting *i*-factor. The convolution operator $*$ over $H^*_{G}(G/B \times G/B)$ is defined by the following diagram

$$
H_G^*(G/B \times G/B) \xrightarrow{\qquad p_3^* \otimes p_1^*} H_G^*(G/B \times G/B \times G/B)
$$

\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\otimes H_G^*(G/B \times G/B) & \xrightarrow{\qquad p_3^* \otimes p_1^*} & H_G^*(G/B \times G/B \times G/B) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
H_G^*(G/B \times G/B) & \xrightarrow{\qquad (p_2)_*} & H_G^*(G/B \times G/B \times G/B).\n\end{array}
$$

Note that

$$
H_G^*(G/B \times G/B) = H^*(\text{pt} * (G/B \times G/B))
$$

\n
$$
= H^*(\text{pt} * G \times_B G/B)
$$

\n
$$
= H^*(\text{pt} * G/B)
$$

\n
$$
= H^*(\text{pt} * G \times_B \text{pt});
$$

\n
$$
H_G^*(G/B \times G/B \times G/B) = H^*(\text{pt} * (G/B \times G/B \times G/B))
$$

\n
$$
= H^*(\text{pt} * G \times_B G \times_B G/B)
$$

\n
$$
= H^*(\text{pt} * G * G *_B \text{pt})
$$

In this case, p_3 and p_1 are exactly π_1, π_2 defined before, so

$$
\begin{array}{llll} p_3^* & H^*(\mathsf{pt}\ast G\ast \mathsf{pt})\to H^*(\mathsf{pt}\ast G\ast G\ast \mathsf{pt}) & f(x,t)\longmapsto f(y,t) \\ p_1^* & H^*(\mathsf{pt}\ast G\ast \mathsf{pt})\to H^*(\mathsf{pt}\ast G\ast G\ast G\ast \mathsf{pt}) & f(x,t)\longmapsto f(x,y) \\ \end{array}
$$

From the map and the functoriality of push forward

$$
(G/B \times G/B \times G/B)_G \longrightarrow \text{pt} \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \underset{G}{*} G \underset{B}{*} G \underset{B}{*} \text{pt}
$$

$$
(G/B \times G/G \times G/B)_G \longrightarrow \text{pt} \underset{B}{*} G \underset{G}{*} G \underset{B}{*} \text{pt} \longrightarrow \text{pt} \underset{G}{*} G \underset{G}{*} G \underset{B}{*} \text{pt},
$$

we see the push forward takes the form

$$
(p_2)_*:H^*(\mathsf{pt}\underset{B}{*}G\underset{B}{*}G\underset{B}{*}\mathsf{pt})\to H^*(\mathsf{pt}\underset{B}{*}G\underset{B}{*}\mathsf{pt})\qquad f(x,y,t)\longmapsto \partial_{w_0}^yf(x,y,t)\big|_{y=t}.
$$

So the convolution takes the form

$$
f * g = \partial_{w_0}^y g(x, y) f(y, t)|_{y=t}.
$$

Note that we can define the action of $H^*_{G}(G/B\times G/B)$ on $H^*_{G}(G/B) = H^*(BT) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}[t_1,\ldots,t_n]$ which makes $H^*_G(G/B)$ an $H^*_G(G/B \times G/B)$ - $H^*_G(\text{pt})$ -bimodule. In this case the convolution has the same expression.

$$
f * g = \partial_{w_0}^y g(y) f(y, t)|_{y=t}.
$$

Since the action is faithful from the expression (it does depend on x), so Lemma [8](#page-6-0) is equivalent to

$$
f*g = (-1)^{w_0} \sum_{u \in W} (-1)^u \partial_u^y g(y) \partial_{uw_0}^y f((w_0y, t)) \big|_{y=t}
$$

for all $f \in H_B^*(G/B)$ and $g \in H_G(G/B)$.

Schubert Cells in $H_G(G/B \times G/B)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{pt} \underset{B}{*} G/B \times G/B = \operatorname{pt} \underset{B}{*} G/B,
$$

the G-orbits of $G/B \times G/B$ are in bijection with B-orbits of G/B . For $w \in W$, denote $\Psi_w = BwB/B$ the Schubert cell, and Λ_w the G-orbit correspondent to BwB/B , explicitly,

$$
\Lambda_w = \{ (xB, yB) : x^{-1}y \in BwB \}.
$$

Let $[\Psi_w]_B$ the equivariant cohomology class of $\overline{\Psi_w}$ in G/B , and $[\Lambda_w]_G$ the equivariant cohomology class of $\overline{\Lambda_w}$ in $G/B \times G/B$. They are the same under the isomorphism $H_B^*(G/B) = H_G^*(G/B \times G/B)$ from the definition of fundamental class.

On one hand, it is well-known [\[9\]](#page-11-11) that $[\Lambda_w]_G$ acts as the Demazure operator ∂_w over $H^*_G(G/B)$. On the other hand, $[\Psi_w]_B = \mathfrak{S}_{w_0w}(x, w_0t)$ from our computation. In particular, $[\Psi_w]_B$ forms a basis of $H_B(G/B;\mathbb{Q})$ as $H_G(G/B;\mathbb{Q})$ module. So it suffices to check the expression of convolution above for the case $f = \mathfrak{S}_{w_0w}(x, w_0t)$. Besides, it is easy to check the following commuting diagram

So,

$$
(-1)^{w_0} \sum_{u \in W} (-1)^u \partial_u^y g(y) \partial_{uw_0}^y (\mathfrak{S}_{w_0 w}(w_0 y, w_0 t)) \Big|_{y=t}
$$

= $(-1)^{w_0} \sum_{u \in W} (-1)^u \partial_u^y g(y) (-1)^{w_0 u} (\partial_{w_0 u}^y \mathfrak{S}_{w_0 w})((w_0 y, w_0 t)) \Big|_{y=t}$
= $\partial_u^y g(y)$.

This is the geometric proof of Lemma [8.](#page-6-0)

References

- [1] IN Bernstein, IM Gelfand, and SI Gelfand. Schubert cells and the cohomology of the spaces g/p . LMS Lecture Notes, 69:115–140, 1982.
- [2] Sara C Billey et al. Kostant polynomials and the cohomology ring for q/b . Duke mathematical journal, 96(1):205, 1999.
- [3] Armand Borel. Sous-groupes commutatifs et torsion des groupes de lie compacts connexes. Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Second Series, 13(2):216– 240, 1961.
- [4] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg. Representation theory and complex geometry. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [5] Haibao Duan and Xuezhi Zhao. Schubert calculus and the hopf algebra structures of exceptional lie groups. In Forum Mathematicum, volume 26, pages 113–139. De Gruyter, 2014.
- [6] Haibao Duan and Xuezhi Zhao. Schubert presentation of the cohomology ring of flag manifolds g/t . LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics, 18(1):489–506, 2015.
- [7] Sergey Fomin and Anatol N Kirillov. The yang-baxter equation, symmetric functions, and schubert polynomials. Discrete Mathematics, 153(1-3):123– 143, 1996.
- [8] Sergey Fomin and Richard P Stanley. Schubert polynomials and the nilcoxeter algebra. Advances in Mathematics, 103(2):196–207, 1994.
- [9] Victor Ginzburg. Geometric methods in the representation theory of hecke algebras and quantum groups. In Representation theories and algebraic geometry, pages 127–183. Springer, 1998.
- [10] Alexandre Grothendieck. Torsion homologique et sections rationnelles. Séminaire Claude Chevalley, 3:1–29, 1958.
- [11] Howard Hiller. Geometry of Coxeter groups, volume 54. Pitman Publishing, 1982.
- [12] Wu Yi Hsiang. Cohomology theory of topological transformation groups, volume 85. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [13] Kiminao Ishitoya, Akira Kono, and Hirosi Toda. Hopf algebra structure of mod 2 cohomology of simple lie groups. Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 12(1):141–167, 1976.
- [14] VG Kac. Torsion in cohomology of compact lie groups and chow rings of reductive algebraic groups. Inventiones mathematicae, 80(1):69–79, 1985.
- [15] Shizuo Kaji. Three presentations of torus equivariant cohomology of flag manifolds, 2015.
- [16] A. N. Kirillov. On double schubert and grothendieck polynomials for classical groups, 2015.
- [17] Thomas Lam, Seung Jin Lee, and Mark Shimozono. Back stable schubert calculus, 2018.
- [18] Alain Lascoux and Marcel-Paul Schützenberger. Structure de hopf de l'anneau de cohomologie et de l'anneau de grothendieck d'une variété de drapeaux. CR Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math, 295(11):629-633, 1982.
- [19] Victor Petrov and Nikita Semenov. Hopf-theoretic approach to motives of twisted flag varieties, 2019.
- [20] T. A. Springer. Linear algebraic groups. Reprint of the 1998 2nd ed. Basel: Birkhäuser, reprint of the 1998 2nd ed. edition, 2009.