
A measure concentration effect for matrices of high, higher, and even higher dimension

Harry Yserentant

March 1, 2021

Abstract Let $n > m$ and A be an $(m \times n)$ -matrix of full rank. Then obviously the estimate $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\|\|x\|$ holds for the euclidean norm of Ax . We study in this paper the sets of all x for which conversely $\|Ax\| \geq \delta \|A\|\|x\|$ holds for some $\delta < 1$. It turns out that these sets fill in the high-dimensional case almost the complete space once δ falls below a bound that depends only on the condition number of A and on the ratio of the dimensions m and n , but not on their size.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 15A99

1 Introduction

Let $n > m$ and let A be a real $(m \times n)$ -matrix of rank m . The kernel of such a matrix has the dimension $n - m$ and hence can, in dependence of the dimensions m and n , be a large subspace of the \mathbb{R}^n . Nevertheless, the set of all x for which

$$\|Ax\| \geq \delta \|A\|\|x\| \tag{1.1}$$

holds fills in the high-dimensional case almost the complete \mathbb{R}^n once δ falls below a certain bound; the involved norms are here and throughout the paper the euclidean norm on the \mathbb{R}^m and the \mathbb{R}^n , respectively, and the assigned spectral norm of matrices. Let κ be the condition number of the matrix A , the ratio of its maximum and its minimum singular value, let λ be the volume measure on the \mathbb{R}^n , and assume for the moment that the ratio of m/n is kept fixed. The volume ratio

$$\frac{\lambda(\{x \mid \|Ax\| < \delta \|A\|\|x\|\} \cap \{x \mid \|x\| \leq R\})}{\lambda(\{x \mid \|x\| \leq R\})} \tag{1.2}$$

can then be bounded by an expression that depends only on m , n , κ , and δ , but not on the matrix A itself or on the radii of the balls, and that tends on the interval

$$0 \leq \delta < \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} \quad (1.3)$$

pointwise and on every of its closed subintervals uniformly and exponentially to zero as m goes to infinity. A proof can be found in [5], but this follows also directly from the results in Sect. 4 of the present paper. In the limit of large dimensions m , the given bound jumps at the endpoint of the interval (1.3) from zero to one.

Such effects are related to the concentration of measure phenomenon [3], which plays a fundamental role in the analysis of problems in high space dimensions, and to the concentration inequalities known from probability theory [1]. Our aim is to study such effects in detail and to derive bounds of the described type for the expression (1.2). Properties of this kind have been used in [5] to analyze iterative methods for the solution of high-dimensional Laplace-like equations that widely preserve the separable structure of the involved functions. The first section of the present paper deals with the geometric properties of the n -dimensional space underlying the mentioned effects. It is largely based on the discussion in [5] and adapts the arguments there to the present situation. The next two sections are devoted to the examination of the derived bound and extend the analysis in [5]. Finally, it is discussed how more detailed information about the matrix could be taken into account.

2 A property of matrices in high dimensions

We begin with the examination of a special, very simply built matrix and prove an identity in which the basic effect already comes to light.

Lemma 2.1 *Let P be the $(m \times n)$ -matrix that extracts from a vector in \mathbb{R}^n its first m components. For $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and all radii $R > 0$ then*

$$\frac{\lambda(\{x \mid \|Px\| < \delta \|x\|, \|x\| \leq R\})}{\lambda(\{x \mid \|x\| \leq R\})} = \psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) \quad (2.1)$$

holds, where λ is the volume measure on the \mathbb{R}^n and

$$\psi(\varepsilon) = \frac{2\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma(m/2)\Gamma((n-m)/2)} \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{t^{m-1}}{(1+t^2)^{n/2}} dt. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof We split the vectors in \mathbb{R}^n into parts $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$. The set whose volume has to be estimated consists then of the points in the given ball for which

$$\|x\| < \delta \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

or, resolved for the norm of the component $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\|x\| < \varepsilon \|y\|, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}},$$

holds. By homogeneity reasons, we can restrict ourselves to the ball of radius $R = 1$. The volume can, by Fubini's theorem, then be expressed as double integral

$$\int \left(\int H(\varepsilon\|y\| - \|x\|) \chi(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) dx \right) dy,$$

where $H(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, $H(t) = 1$ for $t > 0$, $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \leq 1$, and $\chi(t) = 0$ for arguments $t > 1$. In terms of polar coordinates, it reads

$$(n-m)v_{n-m} \int_0^\infty \left(mv_m \int_0^{\varepsilon s} \chi(r^2 + s^2) r^{m-1} dr \right) s^{n-m-1} ds,$$

with v_d the volume of the d -dimensional unit ball. Substituting $t = r/s$ in the inner integral, the upper bound becomes independent of s and the integral can be written as

$$(n-m)v_{n-m} \int_0^\infty \left(mv_m s^m \int_0^\varepsilon \chi(s^2(1+t^2)) t^{m-1} dt \right) s^{n-m-1} ds,$$

and interchanging the order of integration, it attains finally the value

$$\frac{(n-m)v_{n-m}mv_m}{n} \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{t^{m-1}}{(1+t^2)^{n/2}} dt.$$

Dividing this by the volume v_n of the unit ball itself and remembering that

$$v_d = \frac{2}{d} \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)},$$

this completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The following lemma is an unsurprising consequence of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2 *The function on the right-hand side of (2.1) attains the limit value*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1^-} \psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof The proof starts from the integral representation

$$\lambda(\{x \mid \|Px\| < \delta \|x\|, \|x\| \leq 1\}) = \int H(\delta \|x\| - \|Px\|) \chi(\|x\|) dx,$$

where the functions H and χ are defined as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. The set of all x for which $\|Px\| = \|x\|$ holds consists of the vectors whose last $n-m$ components are zero. It is thus a set of measure zero and by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1^-} \lambda(\{x \mid \|Px\| < \delta \|x\|, \|x\| \leq 1\}) = \lambda(\{x \mid \|x\| \leq 1\})$$

follows. In view of (2.1), this proves the proposition. \square

The next lemma closes the gap between the matrix P in Lemma 2.1 and general matrices of full rank. It will immediately lead to the central result of this section.

Lemma 2.3 *Let A be a $(m \times n)$ -matrix of full rank m and κ the condition number of A , the ratio of its maximum and minimum singular value. For all $R > 0$ then*

$$\lambda(\{x \mid \|Ax\| < \delta \|A\| \|x\|, \|x\| \leq R\}) \leq \lambda(\{x \mid \|Px\| < \kappa \delta \|x\|, \|x\| \leq R\}) \quad (2.4)$$

holds, where the two volumes are equal if and only if $\kappa = 1$.

Proof We split the vectors in \mathbb{R}^n again into parts $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ and start from a singular value decomposition $A = U\Sigma V^t$ of A . Because the multiplication with the orthogonal matrices U and V^t , respectively, does not change the euclidean length of a vector, the set whose volume has to be estimated consists of the points with components x and y in the ball of radius R around the origin for which

$$\left\| \Sigma V^t \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\| < \delta \|A\| \left\| V^t \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

holds. Because the volume is invariant under orthogonal transformations, the volume of this set coincides with the volume of the set of all points in the ball for which

$$\left\| \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\| < \delta \|A\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

holds. Let $0 < \sigma_1 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_m$ be the diagonal elements of the diagonal matrix Σ , the singular values of the matrix A , and let Σ_0 be the $(m \times m)$ -submatrix of Σ with these diagonal elements. The last inequality is then equivalent to the inequality

$$\|\Sigma_0 x\|^2 < \sigma_m^2 \delta^2 (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2).$$

Because of $\|\Sigma_0 x\| \geq \sigma_1 \|x\|$, this inequality implies the inequality

$$\|x\|^2 < \kappa^2 \delta^2 (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2).$$

This proves (2.4). If all singular values coincide, the two inequalities are equivalent and equality holds in (2.4). Otherwise let $\Sigma_0 x_0 = \sigma_m x_0$ and choose y_0 such that

$$\frac{1 - \kappa^2 \delta^2}{\kappa^2 \delta^2} \|x_0\|^2 < \|y_0\|^2 < \frac{1 - \delta^2}{\delta^2} \|x_0\|^2$$

holds. At the point with these components the first inequality is violated, it is

$$\|\Sigma_0 x_0\|^2 > \sigma_m^2 \delta^2 (\|x_0\|^2 + \|y_0\|^2),$$

and the second one satisfied. The same holds by continuity reasons on an open neighborhood of this point. This means that equality can no longer hold in (2.4). \square

Combining Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, we reach our first aim and get the main result of this section, which is the direct counterpart of Theorem 5.1 in [5].

Theorem 2.1 *Let $n > m$, let A be an $(m \times n)$ -matrix of full rank m , and let κ be the condition number of A . If $\kappa \delta$ is less than one, for all $R > 0$ then*

$$\frac{\lambda(\{x \mid \|Ax\| < \delta \|A\| \|x\|, \|x\| \leq R\})}{\lambda(\{x \mid \|x\| \leq R\})} \leq \psi\left(\frac{\kappa \delta}{\sqrt{1 - \kappa^2 \delta^2}}\right) \quad (2.5)$$

holds, where ψ is the function (2.2) and equality holds if and only if $\kappa = 1$.




Fig. 1 The bound from (2.5) as function of $0 \leq \kappa\delta < 1$ for $m = 2, 4, 8, \dots, 4096$ and $n = 2m$

As the set of all x at which the condition $\|Ax\| \geq \delta \|A\| \|x\|$ is violated contains with every x also the scalar multiples of x , Theorem 2.1 can be reformulated as estimate for a solid angle. Starting point is again the decomposition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\int_0^\infty f(r\eta) r^{n-1} dr \right) d\eta \quad (2.6)$$

of the integrals of functions in L_1 into an inner radial and an outer angular part. It follows from this representation that the left-hand side of the estimate (2.5) is the normalized surface measure $\mu(C(\delta))$ of the subset

$$C(\delta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|Ax\| < \delta \|A\| \|x\|, \|x\| = 1\} \quad (2.7)$$

of the n -dimensional unit sphere S^{n-1} . Theorem 2.1 thus states that

$$\mu(C(\delta)) \leq \psi\left(\frac{\kappa\delta}{\sqrt{1-\kappa^2\delta^2}}\right). \quad (2.8)$$

The behavior of this bound will be carefully studied in the next sections. The message is that it can also for rather large δ still attain extremely small values, which means that in most of the space the euclidean norm of x can be estimated by that of Ax . For $m = 128$ and $n = 256$, for example, the bound takes for $\kappa\delta \leq 1/4$ values less than $1.90 \cdot 10^{-42}$, and even for $\kappa\delta \leq 1/2$ still values less than $6.95 \cdot 10^{-10}$. For $m = 1024$ and $n = 2048$, these values fall to $2.68 \cdot 10^{-66}$ and $3.54 \cdot 10^{-325}$, respectively, that is, de facto to zero. Figure 1 reflects this behavior.

3 Representations of the bound

Aim of this and of the next section is a comprehensive study of the bound from Theorem 2.1 and from the estimate (2.8), respectively, that is, of the function

$$\psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right), \quad 0 \leq \delta < 1, \quad (3.1)$$

and of its limit behavior when the dimensions increase and tend to infinity. Starting point is at first an integral representation of this function, which can also serve as basis for its approximate calculation via a quadrature formula.

Theorem 3.1 *The function (3.1) possesses for $0 \leq \delta < 1$ the representation*

$$\psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) = \frac{2\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma(m/2)\Gamma((n-m)/2)} \int_0^\delta (1-t^2)^\alpha t^{m-1} dt, \quad (3.2)$$

where the exponent $\alpha \geq -1/2$ is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{n-m-2}{2} \quad (3.3)$$

and takes nonnegative values for dimensions $n \geq m+2$.

Proof For abbreviation, we introduce the function

$$f(\delta) = g\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right), \quad g(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{t^{m-1}}{(1+t^2)^{n/2}} dt,$$

on the interval $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Its derivative is the there continuous function

$$f'(\delta) = (1-\delta^2)^\alpha \delta^{m-1}.$$

Because $f(0) = 0$, it possesses therefore the representation

$$f(\delta) = \int_0^\delta (1-t^2)^\alpha t^{m-1} dt.$$

This already proves the proposition. \square

The function (3.1) tends by Lemma 2.2 to one as δ goes to one. This means that

$$1 = \frac{2\Gamma(n/2)}{\Gamma(m/2)\Gamma((n-m)/2)} \int_0^1 (1-t^2)^\alpha t^{m-1} dt \quad (3.4)$$

holds for all in the given context admissible values of m and n .

The integral (3.2) can by means of the substitution $t = \sin \varphi$ be transformed into an integral over a trigonometric polynomial and can thus be calculated in terms of elementary functions. This does, however, not help too much because of the inevitably arising cancellation effects as soon as one tries to evaluate the result numerically. Such problems can be avoided if α is an integer, that is, if the dimensions m and n are both even or both odd. The bound turns then out to be a polynomial that is composed of positive terms, which can as such be summed up in a numerically stable way.

Theorem 3.2 *If the difference $n-m$ of the dimensions is even, the bound*

$$\psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\Gamma(k+l+1)}{\Gamma(k-j+1)\Gamma(l+j+1)} (1-\delta^2)^{k-j} \delta^{2(l+j)} \quad (3.5)$$

is a polynomial of degree $n-2$, where k and l are given by

$$k = \frac{n-m-2}{2}, \quad l = \frac{m}{2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof Let $v < k + 1$ be a nonnegative integer and set for $j = 0, \dots, v$

$$a_j = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(l)}{2\Gamma(k-j+1)\Gamma(l+j+1)}.$$

Because of $2la_0 = 1$ and $(l+j)a_j - (k-j+1)a_{j-1} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, v$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^v a_j (1-t^2)^{k-j} t^{2(l+j)} \right\} = (1-t^2)^k t^{2l-1} + R_v(t)$$

holds on the set of all t between -1 and 1 , where the remainder is given by

$$R_v(t) = 2(v-k)a_v(1-t^2)^{k-v-1}t^{2(l+v)+1}.$$

If k is, as in the present case, itself an integer and $v = k$ is chosen, this remainder vanishes. As the bound possesses, in terms of the given k and l , the representation

$$\psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) = \frac{2\Gamma(k+l+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(l)} \int_0^\delta (1-t^2)^k t^{2l-1} dt,$$

its derivative and that of the right-hand side of equation (3.5) thus coincide. As both sides of this equation take at $\delta = 0$ the value zero, this proves the proposition. \square

The coefficients in (3.5) are rational numbers. They can be calculated recursively starting from the last one, which takes independent of m and n or k and l the value one. Things become particularly simple when m and n are both even and k and l are then both integers. The representation (3.5) turns then into the sum

$$\psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) = \sum_{j=l}^{k+l} \binom{k+l}{j} (1-\delta^2)^{k+l-j} \delta^{2j} \quad (3.7)$$

of Bernstein polynomials of order $k+l = n/2 - 1$ in the variable δ^2 . One can even go a step further. Let χ be a step function with values $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t < m/n$ and $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t > m/n$. The representation can then be considered as the approximation

$$\psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+l} \chi\left(\frac{j}{k+l}\right) \binom{k+l}{j} (1-\delta^2)^{k+l-j} \delta^{2j} \quad (3.8)$$

of χ by the Bernstein polynomial of order $n/2 - 1$ in the variable δ^2 . If the ratio of m and n is kept fixed, these polynomials tend at all points δ less than

$$\delta_0 = \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} \quad (3.9)$$

to zero and at all points $\delta > \delta_0$ to one. The convergence is even uniform outside every open neighborhood of δ_0 . This follows from the theory of Bernstein polynomials [4], but also from the theorems in the next section.

If the difference $n - m$ of the dimensions is odd, one gets at least still an inclusion for the bound on the interval $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Provided the dimensions differ sufficiently, this inclusion is outside of a small neighborhood of the interval endpoint very sharp and the resulting estimate there up to many digits accurate.

Theorem 3.3 *If the difference $n - m$ of the dimensions is odd, if the quantities k and l are defined as in the previous theorem, and if $\nu = k + 1/2$, the upper estimate*

$$\psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} \frac{\Gamma(k+l+1)}{\Gamma(k-j+1)\Gamma(l+j+1)} (1-\delta^2)^{k-j} \delta^{2(l+j)} \quad (3.10)$$

for the bound holds. If $n \geq m + 3$, it is complemented by the lower estimate

$$\psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{\Gamma(k+l+1)}{\Gamma(k-j+1)\Gamma(l+j+1)} (1-\delta^2)^{k-j} \delta^{2(l+j)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof The proof follows the same line as that of Theorem 3.2. The derivative of the right-hand side differs on the interval $0 \leq \delta < 1$ from the derivative of the bound in the first case by a positive and in the second case by a negative term. \square

We remark that, for n kept fixed, the bound (3.1) decreases when m increases. This follows from its representation in Lemma 2.1 as volume ratio and offers another possibility to get an inclusion for odd values of $n - m$. This inclusion is, however, for the relevant values of δ far less sharp than that from Theorem 3.3.

4 The limit behavior of the bound

The aim of this section is the study of the limit behavior of the bound (3.1) when the dimensions tend to infinity. We rely on a proof technique that has its origin in probability theory and is based on the Markov inequality; see [1] or [2], for example. We do not make use of the integral representation (3.2) of the bound but start directly from its characterization (2.1) as volume ratio. The proofs exploit the properties of Gauss functions and utilize the already normalized measure

$$\tilde{\lambda}(A) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^n \int_A \exp(-\|x\|^2) dx \quad (4.1)$$

on the Borel subsets of the \mathbb{R}^n . The key observation is that the volume ratios (2.1) and with that the bound (3.1) can be written as

$$\frac{\lambda(\{x \mid \|Px\| < \delta \|x\|, \|x\| \leq R\})}{\lambda(\{x \mid \|x\| \leq R\})} = \tilde{\lambda}(\{x \mid \|Px\| < \delta \|x\|\}), \quad (4.2)$$

where P was the $(m \times n)$ -matrix that extracts from a vector in \mathbb{R}^n its first m components. This can be shown by means of the radial-angular decomposition (2.6) using the homogeneity of the characteristic function of the set of all x for which $\|Px\| < \delta \|x\|$ holds and reflects the fact that the volume ratios (2.1) measure a solid angle. The subsequent estimates are expressed in terms of the function

$$\phi(\vartheta) = \vartheta \exp\left(\frac{1-\vartheta^2}{2}\right). \quad (4.3)$$

It increases on the interval $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1$ strictly, attains at the point $\vartheta = 1$ its maximum value one, and decreases from there again strictly.

Theorem 4.1 For dimensions m and n whose ratio m/n is bounded from below by a constant δ_0^2 , the bound (3.1) satisfies on the interval $0 \leq \delta < \delta_0$ the estimate

$$0 \leq \psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) \leq \phi\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta_0}\right)^m. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof The set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $\|Px\| < \delta\|x\|$ holds coincides with the set of all x for which, with an arbitrarily given positive real number t ,

$$\exp(t(\delta^2\|x\|^2 - \|Px\|^2)) > 1$$

holds. If $\delta^2 t < 1$, the measure (4.1) of this set can be estimated by the integral

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^n \int \exp(t(\delta^2\|x\|^2 - \|Px\|^2)) \exp(-\|x\|^2) dx.$$

This integral splits into one-dimensional integrals and takes the value

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-\delta^2 t + t}\right)^{m/2} \left(\frac{1}{1-\delta^2 t}\right)^{(n-m)/2}.$$

This expression attains its minimum on the interval $0 < t < 1/\delta^2$ at

$$t = \frac{\xi^2 - \delta^2}{(1-\delta^2)\delta^2}, \quad \xi = \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}},$$

and takes at this point t the value

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\xi} \left(\frac{1-\delta^2}{1-\xi^2}\right)^\gamma\right)^m, \quad \gamma = \frac{1-\xi^2}{2\xi^2}.$$

Because of $\ln(x) \leq x - 1$ for arguments $x > 0$, we have

$$\ln\left(\left(\frac{1-\delta^2}{1-\xi^2}\right)^\gamma\right) = \frac{1-\xi^2}{2\xi^2} \ln\left(\frac{1-\delta^2}{1-\xi^2}\right) \leq \frac{\xi^2 - \delta^2}{2\xi^2},$$

so that the bound can, by (2.1) and (4.2), finally be estimated as

$$\psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) \leq \phi\left(\frac{\delta}{\xi}\right)^m.$$

As by assumption $\delta/\xi \leq \delta/\delta_0 < 1$, this proves the theorem. \square

For dimensions m and n whose ratio is bounded from below by a constant δ_0^2 , the bound (3.1) tends therefore on the interval $0 \leq \delta < \delta_0$ pointwise and on its subintervals $0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_1$ uniformly and exponentially to zero as m goes to infinity.

As as far as it concerns the size of the interval on which convergence to zero can be expected, the theorem cannot be improved. This follows from the next theorem, which covers the case when the ratio of the dimensions is bounded from above.

Theorem 4.2 For dimensions m and n whose ratio m/n is bounded from above by a constant δ_0^2 , the bound (3.1) satisfies on the interval $\delta_0 < \delta < 1$ the estimate

$$0 \leq 1 - \psi\left(\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1-\delta^2}}\right) \leq \phi\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta_0}\right)^m. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof The proof is almost identical to the proof of the previous theorem. Now we have to estimate the measure (4.1) of the complement of the set considered there, of the set of the points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for which $\|Px\| \geq \delta\|x\|$ or, with some $t > 0$,

$$\exp(t(\|Px\|^2 - \delta^2\|x\|^2)) \geq 1$$

holds. If $t < 1$, the measure of this set can be estimated by the integral

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^n \int \exp(t(\|Px\|^2 - \delta^2\|x\|^2)) \exp(-\|x\|^2) dx,$$

which reduces to a product of one-dimensional integrals and takes the value

$$\left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^2 t - t}\right)^{m/2} \left(\frac{1}{1+\delta^2 t}\right)^{(n-m)/2}.$$

As function of $0 < t < 1$ it attains its minimum at

$$t = \frac{\delta^2 - \xi^2}{(1-\delta^2)\delta^2}, \quad \xi = \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}},$$

and takes at this point t again the value

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{\xi} \left(\frac{1-\delta^2}{1-\xi^2}\right)^\gamma\right)^m, \quad \gamma = \frac{1-\xi^2}{2\xi^2}.$$

From here one proceeds as before using that $\delta/\xi \geq \delta/\delta_0 > 1$ holds. \square

For dimensions m and n whose ratio is bounded from above by a constant δ_0^2 , the bound (3.1) tends therefore on the interval $\delta_0 < \delta < 1$ pointwise and on its subintervals $\delta_1 \leq \delta < 1$ uniformly and exponentially to one as m goes to infinity.

If the ratio δ_0^2 of the dimensions remains fixed, as in the case mentioned in the introduction and as depicted in Fig. 1, or even if it only tends to δ_0^2 , one gets in the limit a step function with jump discontinuity at δ_0 . If the ratio m/n tends to one, the bound tends on the interval $0 \leq \delta < 1$ pointwise to zero. And if m/n tends to zero, the bound tends on the interval $0 < \delta \leq 1$ pointwise to one. This is in many respects more important of the two limit cases and an unwanted but expectable effect. It has, however, often less severe implications than it might first appear. Figure 2 shows as example the corresponding curves for the dimensions

$$m = 3 \times N, \quad n = 3 \times \left(1 + \frac{(N-1)N}{2}\right), \quad N = 3, 4, \dots, 25, \quad (4.6)$$

values as they could arise from applications in quantum theory.




Fig. 2 The bound (3.1) as function of $0 \leq \delta < 1$ for the dimensions from (4.6)

5 Toward an analysis incorporating the matrix structure

With the same kind of arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 it is possible to estimate the volume ratio (2.5) directly as measure of the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which

$$\exp(t(\delta^2 \|A\|^2 \|x\|^2 - \|Ax\|^2)) > 1 \quad (5.1)$$

holds for some $t > 0$ and to take at the same time the matrix structure into account. The measure (4.1) of this set can for sufficiently small t be estimated by the integral

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^n \int \exp(t(\delta^2 \|A\|^2 \|x\|^2 - \|Ax\|^2)) \exp(-\|x\|^2) dx. \quad (5.2)$$

Let $A = U\Sigma V^t$ be a singular value decomposition of A . Because the multiplication with the orthogonal matrices U and V^t , respectively, does not change the euclidean length of a vector and because the integral is invariant to orthogonal transformations, it attains the same value as the integral

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^n \int \exp(t(\delta^2 \|\Sigma\|^2 \|x\|^2 - \|\Sigma x\|^2)) \exp(-\|x\|^2) dx. \quad (5.3)$$

Because Σ is a rectangular diagonal matrix of dimension $m \times n$ with the singular values $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_m$ of A on the diagonal, this integral breaks down into a product of one-dimensional integrals and takes for $0 \leq t < 1/(\delta^2 \sigma_m^2)$ the value

$$X(t) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - \delta^2 \sigma_k^2 t + \sigma_k^2 t}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \delta^2 \sigma_m^2 t}\right)^{(n-m)/2}. \quad (5.4)$$

The even order derivatives of $X(t)$ are all greater than zero, as follows by differentiation under the integral sign. The odd order derivatives increase therefore strictly from their value at $t = 0$ to infinity as t approaches the right endpoint of the interval. The first order derivative of $X(t)$ thus possesses a zero $t^* > 0$ if and only if

$$X'(0) = \frac{n}{2} \delta^2 \sigma_m^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_k^2 \quad (5.5)$$

is less than zero, or equivalently if δ satisfies the condition

$$\delta^2 < \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_m} \right)^2. \quad (5.6)$$

The strictly convex function $X(t)$ attains then and only then its sole minimum in the interior of the interval and takes there then a value less than $X(0) = 1$.

Using that $\|\Sigma\| = \sigma_m$ and $\|\Sigma x\| \geq \sigma_1 \|Px\|$, one can show that the minimization of the integral (5.2) or (5.3) with respect to t leads to an estimate that is at least as good as the estimate by the expression that one obtains minimizing the integral

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^n \int \exp(t(\kappa^2 \delta^2 \|x\|^2 - \|Px\|^2)) \exp(-\|x\|^2) dx \quad (5.7)$$

and thereby at least as good as that resulting from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 4.1. Depending on the distribution of the singular values, it can actually be much better. As the point at which the function (5.4) attains its minimum can in general not be given in closed form, this effect is, however, not always easy to quantify.

References

1. Boucheron, S., Lugosi, G., Massart, P.: Concentration inequalities. Oxford University Press (2013)
2. Klenke, A.: Probability Theory. Springer (2020)
3. Ledoux, M.: The Concentration of Measure Phenomenon. American Mathematical Society (2001)
4. Lorentz, G.G.: Bernstein Polynomials. Chelsea Publishing Co. (1986)
5. Yserentant, H.: On the expansion of solutions of Laplace-like equations into traces of separable higher-dimensional functions. Numer. Math. **146**, 219–238 (2020)