CLOSURES OF O_n -ORBITS IN THE FLAG VARIETY FOR GL_n , II

WILLIAM M. MCGOVERN

ABSTRACT. We give a necessary and sufficient pattern avoidance criterion for the conjugates of the bottom vertex of the Bruhat graph attached to an O_n orbit \mathcal{O} in the flag variety of GL_n to have degree equal to the rank of this graph as a poset, showing also that this condition is equivalent to the rational smoothness of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. We also give a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of pattern avoidance for $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ to be smooth.

This short note is a continuation of [M19], whose notation and references we shall preserve; there is an additional reference, namely [MS05]. Our main result shows that the graph-theoretic and pattern avoidance criteria for rational smoothness of O_n orbit closures in the flag variety for GL_n is both necessary and sufficient in all cases.

Theorem 1. For all n, the orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi}$ is rationally smooth if and only if all conjugates of w_0 in the order ideal I_{π} have degree $r(\pi)$, or if and only if π avoids all bad patterns of [M19].

Proof. We begin with a small clarification of the proof of Theorem 2 in [M19]: the slice S of the orbit $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ to \mathcal{O}_c at P is defined by the vanishing of all minors in the upper left $i \times j$ submatrix of the Gram matrix G_{π} of size $(\pi_{ij} + 1 \times \pi_{ij} + 1)$, as i, j runs through all indices at most equal to n [MS05]; these minors generate a prime ideal. It was shown in [M19] that if one of the bad patterns is included, then some conjugate of w_0 in I_{π} has degree larger than $r(\pi)$. If all bad patterns are avoided, then repeating the argument in the proof of Theorem 2 and using in particular that the patterns 2137654 and 21435 (the latter in effect a bad pattern for all involutions of odd length) are avoided, we find that the vanishing minors used to define the slice S'' are all distinct and that this slice can be further sliced to obtain a variety rationally smooth away from the origin, so that all three parts of Brion's criterion hold and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi}$ is rationally smooth at the point P defined in the proof of Theorem 2 and so everywhere.

As shown in Theorem 3 of [M19], we can improve this result for n even; in that case $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi}$ is rationally smooth if and only if the degree of just w_0 in I_{π} is $r(\pi)$.

Our other result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for smoothness of orbit closures in terms of pattern avoidance; there is no graph-theoretic analogue of this condition. We find that two additional bad patterns must be avoided, namely 2143 (in all cases, regardless of the number of fixed points between the 21 and the 43) and 1324:

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 22E47,57S25.

Key words and phrases. flag variety, pattern avoidance, rational smoothness.

Theorem 2. For any involution π containing one of the twenty-four bad patterns of [M19] or the pattern 2143 or 1324, the corresponding orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi}$ is not smooth. Conversely, if π avoids all of these patterns then $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi}$ is smooth.

Proof. This follows from [M19] if one of the twenty-four bad patterns is included. If all are avoided but 2143 or 1324 is included, so that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi}$ is rationally smooth, then as in the proof of Theorem 2 we define the slice S by equating to 0 all $(\pi_{ij}+1) \times (\pi_{ij}+1)$ minors in all upper left $i \times j$ submatrices of the matrix G in the proof of Theorem 2, as i, j run through all indices between 1 and n. These minors generate the ideal J_{π} of definition of the orbit closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi}$ [MS05]. Arguing inductively, as the proof of Theorem 1, starting with each of the bad patterns and adding fixed points and pairs of flipped indices one at a time, we see that by equating these minors to 0 (as we did to define the slice S in the proof of Theorem 2) we can solve for some variables in terms of the others; all terms of the equations in the remaining variables have degree at least 2. Thus smoothness fails at the point P defined in the proof of Theorem 2, where all variables are 0. Conversely, if all bad patterns are avoided, then the generators of J_{π} all include terms of degree 1, whence $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\pi}$ is smooth, as desired.

References

- [B00] S. Billey and V. Lakshmibai, Singular loci of Schubert varieties, Progress in Math. 182, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.
- [Br99] M. Brion, Rational smoothness and fixed points of torus actions, Transf. Groups 4 (1999), 127–156.
- [C94] J. Carrell, The Bruhat graph of a Coxeter group, a conjecture of Deodhar, ad rational smoothness of Schubert varieties, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 56 (1994), 53–61.
- [H12] A. Hultman, Criteria for rational smoothness of some symmetric orbit closures, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), 183–200.
- [MO88] T. Matsuki and T. Oshima, Embedding of discrete series into principal series, in The Orbit Method in Representation Theory, Progress in Math. 82, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988, 147–175.
- [M09] W. McGovern, Closures of K-orbits in the flag variety for U(p,q), J. Alg. **322** (2009), 2709–2712.
- [MS05] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, Combinatorial commutative algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics #227, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [MT09] W. McGovern and P. Trapa, Pattern avoidance and smoothness of closures for orbits of a symmetric subgroup in the flag variety, J. Alg. 322 (2009), 2713–2730.
- [M10] W. McGovern, Closures of K-orbits in the flag variety for SU*(2n), Rep. Theory. 15 (2011), 568–573.
- [M19] W. McGovern, Closures of O_n -orbits in the flag variety for GL_n , in Representations of Lie Algebraic Systems and Nilpotent Orbits: in Honour of the 75th Birthday of Tony Joseph, to appear, Birkhhäuser, Boston, 2019.
- [RS90] R. W. Richardson and T. A. Springer, On the Bruhat order for symmetric varieties, Geom. Dedicata 35 (1990), 389–436.
- [V83] D. Vogan, Irreducible characters of semisimple Lie groups III: proof of Kaxhdan-Lusztig conjecture in the integral case. Inv. Math. 71 (1983), 381–417.