

Extremal graphs and classification of planar graphs by MC-numbers¹

Yanhong Gao, Ping Li, Xueliang Li

Center for Combinatorics and LPMC

Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Email: gyh930623@163.com, qdli_ping@163.com, lxl@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

An edge-coloring of a connected graph G is called a *monochromatic connection coloring* (MC-coloring for short) if any two vertices of G are connected by a monochromatic path in G . For a connected graph G , the *monochromatic connection number* (MC-number for short) of G , denoted by $mc(G)$, is the maximum number of colors that ensure G has a monochromatic connection coloring by using this number of colors. This concept was introduced by Caro and Yuster in 2011. They proved that $mc(G) \leq m - n + k$ if G is not a k -connected graph. In this paper we depict all graphs with $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$ and $mc(G) = m - n + k$ if G is a k -connected but not $(k + 1)$ -connected graph. We also prove that $mc(G) \leq m - n + 4$ if G is a planar graph, and classify all planar graphs by their monochromatic connectivity numbers.

Keywords: monochromatic connection coloring (number); connectivity; planar graph; minors.

AMS subject classification (2010): 05C15, 05C40, 05C35.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple, finite and undirected. We use $\kappa(G)$ to denote the connectivity of G , and $\chi(G)$ to denote the chromatic number of G . A planar graph is an *outerplanar graph* if it has an embedding with every vertex on the boundary of the unbounded face. Generally, the notation $[k]$ refers to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ of integers. For k pairwise disjoint vertex-sets U_1, \dots, U_k of G , we say U_1, \dots, U_k to form a complete multipartite graph if every vertex of U_i connects every vertex of U_j in G for any $i \neq j$. If there is no confusion, we always use m and n to denote the numbers of edges and vertices of a graph, respectively. Sometimes, we also

¹Supported by NSFC No.11871034 and 11531011.

use $e(G)$ and $|G|$ to denote the numbers of edges and vertices of graph G , respectively. For a graph G , $d_G(v)$ is defined as the degree of a vertex v , which is the number of neighbors of v in G . If $d_G(v) = t$, then we call v a t -degree vertex of G . A forest is called a *linear forest* if every component of the forest is either a path or a vertex. We use P_n, C_n, S_n, K_n^1 to denote a path with n vertices, a cycle with n edges, a star with n edges and a graph obtained from K_n by removing one edge, respectively. Analogically, a k -path or a k -cycle is a path or a cycle with k edges. For an edge $e = xy$ of G , G/e denotes a graph obtained from G by deleting e and then identifying x and y , which means replacing the two vertices by a *new vertex* incident to all the edges which were incident with either x or y in G . Suppose G and H are vertex-disjoint graphs. Then let $G \vee H$ denote the *join* of G and H , which is obtained from G and H by adding an edge between each vertex of G and every vertex of H , and let $G + H$ denote a graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$. If $G = H$, we also denote $G + H$ by $2G$.

An edge-coloring of G is a mapping from $E(G)$ to a positive integer set, say $[k]$. A monochromatic graph is a graph whose edges are assigned the same color. An edge-coloring of a connected graph G is called a *monochromatic connection coloring* (MC-coloring for short) if any two vertices of G are connected by a monochromatic path in G , and the edge-colored graph G is called *monochromatic connected*. An *extremal monochromatic connection coloring* (extremal MC-coloring for short) of G is a monochromatic connection coloring of G that uses the maximum number of colors. For a connected graph G , the *monochromatic connection number* (MC-number for short) of G , denoted by $mc(G)$, is the number of colors in an extremal monochromatic connection coloring of G .

Suppose Γ is an edge-coloring of G and i is a color of $\Gamma(G)$. The *i -induced subgraph* is a subgraph of G induced by all the edges with color i . We also call an i -induced subgraph a *color-induced subgraph*. Suppose the i -induced subgraph is F . If F is a single edge, then we call the color i and F *trivial*. Otherwise, they are called *nontrivial*. For a subgraph H of G , we denote $\Gamma|_H$ as the edge-coloring of H with restricting the edge-coloring Γ of G to H .

Some properties of the MC-colorings were discussed in [4], we list them here. An edge-coloring of G is *simple* if any two nontrivial color-induced subgraphs intersect in at most one vertex. There exists a simple extremal MC-coloring for every connected graph. Suppose Γ is an extremal MC-coloring of G . Then each color-induced subgraph in G is a tree. If there are t edges in a color-induced subgraph, then we call the color *wastes* $t - 1$ colors. Suppose Γ is an edge-coloring of G and \mathcal{H} is the set of all nontrivial color-induced subgraphs. Then Γ wastes $w(\Gamma) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (e(H) - 1)$ colors. Thus, the number of colors used in G is equal to $m - w(\Gamma)$. If Γ is an extremal MC-coloring of G , then since each color-induced subgraph is a tree, we have that $w(\Gamma) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (e(H) - 1) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (|H| - 2)$, and thus $mc(G) = m - \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (|H| - 2)$.

For a connected graph G , we can obtain an MC-coloring by coloring a spanning tree monochromatically and coloring every other edge with a trivial color. Therefore, $mc(G) \geq m - n + 2$ for every connected graph G . Caro and Yuster showed the following results.

Theorem 1.1 ([4]). *Let G be a connected graph with $n \geq 3$. If G satisfies any of the following properties, then $md(G) = m - n + 2$.*

1. \overline{G} (the complement of G) is 4-connected;
2. G is triangle-free;
3. $\Delta(G) < n - \frac{2m-3(n-1)}{n-3}$;
4. the diameter of G is greater than or equal to three;
5. G has a cut-vertex.

Theorem 1.2 ([4]). *Let G be a connected graph. Then*

1. $mc(G) \leq m - n + \chi(G)$;
2. $mc(G) \leq m - n + k + 1$ if G is not a $(k + 1)$ -connected graph.

A graph is called *s-perfectly-connected* if it can be partitioned into $s + 1$ parts $\{v\}, V_1, \dots, V_s$, such that each V_i induces a connected subgraph, V_1, \dots, V_s form a complete s -partite graph, and v has precisely one neighbor in each V_i .

Proposition 1.3 ([4]). *If $\delta(G) = s$, then $mc(G) \leq m - n + s$, unless G is s -perfectly-connected, in which case $mc(G) = m - n + s + 1$.*

In [7], the authors characterized all graphs with $mc(G) = m - n + \chi(G)$. In [8, 9], the authors generalized the concept of MC-coloring. For more knowledge about the monochromatic connection of graphs, we refer to [1, 3, 5, 6, 10, 11]. In [4], Caro and Yuster showed that the bound of the second result is sharp, and they studied wheel graphs, outerplanar graphs and planar graphs with minimum degree three.

Let \mathcal{S} be a set of trees. Then we use $V(\mathcal{S})$ to denote $\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{S}} V(T)$, and $|\mathcal{S}|$ to denote the number of trees in \mathcal{S} . Suppose G is a k -connected graph and Γ is an MC-coloring of G . Let $S = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be a vertex-cut of G and A_1, \dots, A_t be the components of $G - S$. For a vertex $x \in V(A_i)$, we always use \mathcal{T}_x to denote the set of nontrivial trees connecting x and a vertex in $\bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j$ in this paper. Since x connects every vertex of $\bigcup_{j \neq i} A_j$ by a nontrivial tree, we have $\bigcup_{j \neq i} V(A_j) \subseteq V(\mathcal{T}_x)$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we depict all graphs with $mc(G) = m - n + \kappa(G) + 1$ and $mc(G) = m - n + \kappa(G)$, respectively. In Section 3, we classify all planar graphs by their monochromatic connection numbers.

2 Extremal graphs of k -connected graphs

For a k -connected graph G , we know that $mc(G) \leq m - n + k + 1$. In this section, we depict all graphs with $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$ and $mc(G) = m - n + k$, respectively. These results will be used in the next section for classification of planar graphs.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$ be the set of graphs $K_{k-1} \vee H$, where H is a connected graph with $|H| = n - k + 1$ and H has a cut-vertex.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $k \geq 2$ and G is a k -connected but not $(k+1)$ -connected graph. Then $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$ if and only if either $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,k}$ or G is a k -perfectly-connected graph.*

Proof. If G is a k -perfectly-connected graph, then by Proposition 1.3, $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$. If $G = K_{k-1} \vee H$ is a graph in $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$, then let Γ be an edge-coloring of G such that a spanning tree of H is the only nontrivial tree. Then Γ is an MC-coloring of G and Γ wastes $n - k - 1$ colors. Thus, $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$.

Next, we prove that either $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,k}$ or G is a k -perfectly-connected graph if $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$. Let Γ be an extremal MC-coloring of G and \mathcal{S} be the set of all nontrivial trees. Let $S = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be a vertex-cut and A_1, \dots, A_t be the components of $G - S$.

Case 1. There is a component, say A_1 , and a vertex u of A_1 , such that $V(A_1) \subseteq V(\mathcal{T}_u)$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_u = \{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$. Since u connects every vertex of $\bigcup_{i=2}^t V(A_i)$ by a nontrivial tree in $\{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$, we have $\bigcup_{i \in [t]} V(A_i) \subseteq V(\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i)$. Since any two trees of $\{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$ share a common vertex u and Γ is simple, we have $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i$ is a tree. Moreover, $|V(\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i) \cap S| \geq r$. Therefore, $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i$ wastes at least $n - (k - r) - 1 - r = n - k - 1$ colors. Since $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$, we have $\mathcal{S} = \{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$ and $|V(\bigcup_{i \in [r]} T_i) \cap S| = r$. Thus, $|V(T_i) \cap S| = 1$, say $V(T_i) \cap S = \{w_i\}$.

If $A_1 = \{u\}$, then $N(u) = S$. Since G is a k -connected graph, we have $\delta(G) = k$. By Proposition 1.3, $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$ implies that G is a k -perfectly-connected graph.

If $|A_1| \geq 2$, then $r = 1$. Otherwise, there are at least two nontrivial trees in \mathcal{S} . Suppose $v \in V(A_1) - u$ and $v \in V(T_1)$. Let $w \in (\bigcup_{i=2}^t V(A_i)) \cap V(T_2)$. Then there is a nontrivial tree T_j connecting w and v . Since $v \in V(T_j)$ and $v \notin V(T_2)$, $T_j \neq T_2$. However, $\{u, w\} \subseteq V(T_j) \cap V(T_2)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{S} = \{T_1\}$. Since $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$, we have $|T_1| = n - k + 1$. Recall that $V(T_1) \cap S = \{w_1\}$. Let $S' = S - w_1$. Then T_1 is a spanning tree of $G - S'$. Thus, $G - S'$ is connected and w_1 is a cut-vertex of $G - S'$. Since T_1 is the unique nontrivial tree of G , we have $G[S'] = K_{k-1}$ and $G = G[S'] \vee (G - S')$. Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,k}$.

Case 2. For each component A_i of $G - S$ and each vertex $u \in V(A_i)$, $V(A_i) - V(\mathcal{T}_u) \neq \emptyset$.

For a vertex u of A_1 , let $A = V(A_1) - V(\mathcal{T}_u)$ and $v \in A$. Let $w \in V(A_2)$, and let \mathcal{F} be the set of nontrivial trees connecting w and a vertex of A . Since Γ is simple, we have $|V(\mathcal{T}_u) \cap S| \geq |\mathcal{T}_u|$ and $|V(\mathcal{F}) \cap S| \geq |\mathcal{F}|$. So, \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least $n - k - |A| - 1$ colors and \mathcal{F} wastes at least $|A|$ colors. Since $mc(G) = m - n + k + 1$, \mathcal{T}_u wastes precisely $n - k - |A| - 1$ colors, \mathcal{F} wastes precisely $|A|$ colors and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_u \cup \mathcal{F}$. That \mathcal{F} wastes precisely $|A|$ colors implies that $V(A_2) \cap V(T) = \{w\}$ for each $T \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $V(A_2) \not\subseteq V(\mathcal{T}_w)$, there is at least one vertex in $V(A_2) - V(\mathcal{T}_w)$, say $w' \in V(A_2) - V(\mathcal{T}_w)$. Then there is no tree of $\mathcal{T}_u \cup \mathcal{F}$ that contains both v and w' , which contradicts that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_u \cup \mathcal{F}$. \blacksquare

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$ be the set of graphs G satisfying the following conditions:

- G is k -connected.
- $V(G)$ can be partitioned into k nonempty sets $\{u\}, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1}$ such that each $G[U_i \cup u]$ is connected and U_1, \dots, U_{k-1} form a complete $(k-1)$ -partite graph.
- There is an integer $t \in [k-1]$, such that u has precisely two neighbors in U_t and u has precisely one neighbor in U_i for $i \neq t$.
- G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2$ be the set of graphs G satisfying the following conditions:

- G is a k -connected graph, $V(G)$ can be partitioned into two parts U, V such that $G[U] = K_{k-2}$, $G[V]$ is a 2-connected but not a 3-connected graph and $G = G[U] \vee G[V]$;
- G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$ be the set of graphs G satisfying the following conditions:

- G is a k -connected graph, $V(G)$ can be partitioned into two parts U, V such that $G[U] = K_{k-1}^-$, $G[V]$ is a connected graph with a cut-vertex and $G = G[U] \vee G[V]$;
- G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$, then $mc(G) = m - n + k$.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$. It is easy to verify that G is k -connected but not $(k+1)$ -connected. Since G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$, we have $mc(G) < m - n + k + 1$.

If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$, then let T_i be a spanning tree of $G[U_i \cup \{u\}]$ for $i \in [k-1]$. We color the edges of T_i with i and color any other edges with trivial colors. Then the edge-coloring is an MC-coloring of G , which uses $m - n + k$ colors. Thus, $mc(G) = m - n + k$.

If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2$, then we color the edges of G such that a spanning tree of $G[V]$ is the unique nontrivial color-induced subgraph. The edge-coloring is obviously an MC-coloring of G , which uses $m - n + k$ colors. Thus, $mc(G) = m - n + k$.

If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$, then let T be a spanning tree of $G[V]$ and let F be a 2-path obtained by connecting one vertex of $G[V]$ and two nonadjacent vertices of $G[U]$. We color

the edges of G such that $\{T, F\}$ is the set of nontrivial color-induced subgraphs. The edge-coloring is obviously an MC-coloring of G , which uses $m - n + k$ colors. Thus, $mc(G) = m - n + k$. \blacksquare

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose $k \geq 3$, and G is a k -connected but not $(k+1)$ -connected graph. Then $mc(G) = m - n + k$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$.*

Proof. If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$, then by Lemma 2.2, $mc(G) = m - n + k$.

Suppose $mc(G) = m - n + k$. Next we prove $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$. Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ be a vertex-cut of G and $G - S$ have r components A_1, \dots, A_r . Let Γ be an extremal MC-coloring of G and $u \in V(A_i)$. Then Γ wastes $n - k$ colors. Since Γ is simple, any two trees of \mathcal{T}_u intersect only at u . Thus, \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least

$$\left| \bigcup_{l \neq i} A_l \right| + |V(\mathcal{T}_u) \cap V(A_i)| + |V(\mathcal{T}_u) \cap S| - 1 - |\mathcal{T}_u| \quad (1)$$

$$= n - k - |V(A_i) - V(\mathcal{T}_u)| + (|V(\mathcal{T}_u) \cap S| - |\mathcal{T}_u|) - 1 \quad (2)$$

colors.

Claim 2.4. *Suppose $U \subseteq V(A_1)$. Then $\bigcup_{w \in U} \mathcal{T}_w$ wastes at least $|U| + |\bigcup_{l=2}^r A_l| - 1$ colors.*

Proof. Let $U = \{a_1, \dots, a_q\}$ and let $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{T}_{a_i} - \bigcup_{l=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{T}_{a_l}$. Suppose \mathcal{F}_i contains c_i vertices of U . Then $\sum_{i \in [q]} c_i \geq q = |U|$. Since each tree of \mathcal{F}_i connects one vertex of S and one vertex of $\bigcup_{l=2}^r A_l$, \mathcal{F}_i wastes at least c_i colors if $c_i \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{T}_{a_1}$ wastes at least $|\bigcup_{l=2}^r A_l| + c_1 - 1$ colors by equality (1), $\bigcup_{w \in U} \mathcal{T}_w$ wastes at least

$$\sum_{i \in [q]} w_i \geq \left| \bigcup_{l=2}^r A_l \right| + c_1 - 1 + \sum_{i=2}^q c_i = \left| \bigcup_{l=2}^r A_l \right| - 1 + \sum_{i \in [q]} c_i \geq \left| \bigcup_{l=2}^r A_l \right| + |U| - 1$$

colors. \blacksquare

Claim 2.5. *If T is a 2-path of G , then the two leaves of T are nonadjacent.*

Proof. Suppose the two leaves of T are adjacent. Then recolor every edge of T by a trivial color. It is easy to verify that the new coloring is an MC-coloring of G . However, the new coloring wastes less colors, a contradiction to the assumption that Γ is extremal. \blacksquare

Case 1. There is a component, say A_1 , and a vertex u of A_1 such that $A_1 \subseteq V(\mathcal{T}_u)$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_u = \{T_1, \dots, T_t\}$ and $B = \bigcup_{l=2}^r V(A_l)$. Here T_i is a tree colored i . Each T_i contains at least one vertex of S .

Case 1.1. $V(A_1) = \{u\}$.

Since S is a vertex-cut of order k and G is a k -connected graph, u connects every vertex of S , i.e., $S = N(u)$.

If there is a tree of \mathcal{T}_u , say T_t , which contains at least two vertices of S , then by (2), \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least $n - k$ colors. Since $mc(G) = m - n + k$, \mathcal{T}_u wastes precisely $n - k$ colors. Thus, T_t contains precisely two vertices of S (say v_t, v_{t+1}), and T_l contains precisely one vertex of S for $l \in [t - 1]$ (say v_l). Therefore, \mathcal{T}_u is the set of all nontrivial trees of G . Since Γ is simple, any two trees of \mathcal{T}_u share a common vertex u . Let $U_i = V(T_i) - \{u\}$ for $i \in [t]$ and $U_i = \{v_{i+1}\}$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Then $\{u, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1}\}$ is a partition of $V(G)$ and each $G[U_i \cup \{u\}]$ is connected. Moreover, $|U_i \cap N(u)| = 1$ for $i \neq t$ and $|U_t \cap N(u)| = 2$. Since there is no nontrivial tree connecting a vertex of U_i and a vertex of U_j if $i \neq j$, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1} form a complete multipartite graph. Since $mc(G) \neq m - n + k + 1$, by Theorem 2.1, G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$. Thus, $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$.

If every tree of \mathcal{T}_u contains precisely one vertex of S , say $V(T_i) \cap S = \{v_i\}$ for $i \in [t]$. Then \mathcal{T}_u wastes $n - k - 1$ colors. Thus, there is a nontrivial tree T that wastes one color, i.e., T is a 2-path. So, $\mathcal{T}_u \cup \{T\}$ is the set of all nontrivial trees of G . Since T is a 2-path, by Claim 2.5, the two leaves of T are nonadjacent. Let $U_i = V(T_i) - \{u\}$ for $i \in [t]$ and $U_i = \{v_i\}$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq k$. Since Γ is simple, the two leaves of T can not appear in the same set U_i . Thus, there are two different integers i, j of $[k]$ such that one leaf of T is in U_i and the other leaf is in U_j . Then $U_1, \dots, U_i \cup U_j, \dots, U_k$ form a complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph. Since $mc(G) \neq m - n + k + 1$, by Theorem 2.1, G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$. Recalling the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$, we get $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^1$.

Case 1.2. $t = 1$.

From the assumption, $\bigcup_{i \in [r]} V(A_i) \subseteq V(T_1)$. Then T_1 wastes $n - k + |V(T_1) \cap S| - 2$ colors. Since Γ wastes $n - k$ colors, either T_1 is the only nontrivial tree and $|V(T_1) \cap S| = 2$, or $|V(T_1) \cap S| = 1$ and there is a 2-path F such that $\{F, T_1\}$ is the set of all nontrivial trees. Let $V = V(T_1)$ and $U = V(G) - V$.

If $|V(T_1) \cap S| = 2$, then since T_1 is the unique nontrivial tree of Γ , we have $G[U] = K_{k-2}$ and $G = G[U] \vee G[V]$. Since S is a vertex-cut with $|S| = k$, $V(T_1) \cap S$ is a vertex-cut of $G - U$, i.e., $G[V]$ is a 2-connected but not 3-connected graph. Since G is neither a k -perfectly-connected graph nor a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}$, we have $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^2$.

If $|V(T_1) \cap S| = 1$, then suppose $F = x_1 e_1 y e_2 x_2$ and $V(T_1) \cap S = \{w\}$. If, by symmetry, $x_1 \in V(T_1)$, then $V(F) \cap V(T_1) = \{x_1\}$. Let $w' \in V(T_1) - \{x_1\}$. Then $w'x_2$ is a trivial edge of G . Let $T = T_1 \cup w'x_2$ and let Γ' be an edge-coloring of G such that T is the only nontrivial tree of G . Then Γ' is an extremal MC-coloring of G with $|V(T) \cap S| = 2$, this case has been discussed above. If $\{x_1, x_2\} \cap V(T_1) = \emptyset$, then $G[U] = K_{k-1}^-$ and $G = G[U] \vee G[V]$. Moreover, $G[V]$ is a connected graph with a vertex-cut w . Thus, $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^3$.

Case 1.3. $|A_1| \geq 2$ and $t \geq 2$.

If $|A_1| \geq 3$, then there are two trees of \mathcal{T}_u , say T_1, T_2 , such that either $|V(T_1) \cap V(A_1)| \geq 3$ or $|V(T_1) \cap V(A_1)| = |V(T_2) \cap V(A_1)| = 2$. Let $w_i \in V(T_i) \cap B$ for $i \in [2]$. If $|V(T_1) \cap V(A_1)| \geq 3$, then there are trees of $\mathcal{T}_{w_2} - \mathcal{T}_u$ connecting w_2 and $V(T_1) \cap V(A_1) - \{u\}$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{T}_{w_2} - \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes at least two colors. Since \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least $n - k - 1$ colors, $\mathcal{T}_{w_2} \cup \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes at least $n - k - 1 + 2 = n - k + 1$ colors, which contradicts that Γ is an extremal MC-coloring of G . If $|V(T_1) \cap V(A_1)| = |V(T_2) \cap V(A_1)| = 2$, say $\{z_i\} = V(T_i) \cap V(A_1) - \{u\}$ for $i \in [2]$. Then there is a nontrivial tree F_1 connecting w_1, z_2 , and a nontrivial tree F_2 connecting w_2, z_1 . Since Γ is simple, we have $F_1 \neq F_2$. Since $\{F_1, F_2\} \cap \mathcal{T}_u = \emptyset$, $\{F_1, F_2\} \cup \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes at least $n - k + 1$ colors, a contradiction. Therefore, $|A_1| = 2$. Let $V(A_1) = \{z, u\}$ and let T_1 contain z, u . Then $V(T_i) \cap S = \{u\}$ for $i \geq 2$.

Since $t \geq 2$, we have $B - V(T_1) \neq \emptyset$. Then z connects every vertex of $B - V(T_1)$ by a nontrivial tree, $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u$ is not an empty set. It is obvious that \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least $n - k - 1$ colors and $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes at least one color. Since $mc(G) = m - n + k$, \mathcal{T}_u wastes precisely $n - k - 1$ colors and $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u$ wastes precisely one color. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u$ just has one member, and the member is a 2-path (call the 2-path F , then $\mathcal{T}_z - \mathcal{T}_u = \{F\}$). So, $|B - V(T_1)| = 1$ and $t = 2$. Then $\mathcal{T}_u = \{T_1, T_2\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{F, T_1, T_2\}$ is the set of all nontrivial trees. We can also get that each tree of \mathcal{S} intersects S at only one vertex. So, F and T_2 are 2-paths.

Let Γ' be an edge-coloring of G obtained from Γ by recoloring $T' = T_1 \cup F$ with 1 and recoloring any other edges with trivial colors. Then the new coloring is also an MC-coloring of G . Since Γ' wastes $n - k$ colors, Γ' is an extremal MC-coloring of G . Then T' is the unique nontrivial tree of Γ' and $|V(T') \cap S| = 2$, this case has been discussed in Case 1.2.

Case 2. For each $i \in [r]$ and each $u \in A_i$, $V(A_i) - V(\mathcal{T}_u) \neq \emptyset$ (then each A_i has order at least two).

If there is an integer $i \in [r]$ such that $|\bigcup_{l \neq i} A_l| \geq 3$, then let $u \in V(A_i)$ and let $A' = V(A_i) - V(\mathcal{T}_u)$. Then \mathcal{T}_u wastes at least $n - |A'| - k - 1$ colors. By Claim 2.4, $\bigcup_{w \in V(A')} \mathcal{T}_w$ wastes at least $|A'| + |\bigcup_{l \neq i} A_l| - 1$ colors. Since $(\bigcup_{w \in V(A')} \mathcal{T}_w) \cap \mathcal{T}_u = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{T}_u \cup (\bigcup_{w \in V(A')} \mathcal{T}_w)$ wastes at least $n - k + 1$ colors, a contradiction. Therefore, $|\bigcup_{l \neq i} A_l| \geq 3$ for each $i \in [r]$, i.e., $|A_i| = 2$ for $i \in [r]$ and $r = 2$. Let $V(A_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $V(A_2) = \{y_1, y_2\}$. Then each nontrivial tree contains at most two of $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$. Therefore, there is a nontrivial tree $T_{i,j}$ connecting x_i, y_j for $i, j \in [2]$, and the four nontrivial trees are pairwise different. Since $n = k + 4$ in this case and Γ wastes $n - k = 4$ colors, each $T_{i,j}$ is a 2-path and there is no other nontrivial tree. By Claim 2.5, the two leaves of each $T_{i,j}$ are nonadjacent. Thus, $\overline{G} = \{x_1y_1, x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_2y_2\}$ is a 4-cycle. Choose a vertex of S , say v_1 . Let $T = \bigcup_{i \in [2]} (v_1x_i \cup v_1y_i)$. Then T is a tree of G . Let Γ' be an edge-coloring of G such that T is the only nontrivial tree. Then Γ' is an MC-coloring of G and it wastes three colors, which contradicts that Γ is an

3 Classification of planar graphs

In this section, we consider the monochromatic connection numbers of all planar graphs. Since the connectivity of a planar graph is at most five, its monochromatic connection number is less than or equal to $m - n + 6$. In fact, $m - n + 2 \leq mc(G) \leq m - n + 4$ if G is a planar graph. We depict all k -connected but not $(k + 1)$ -connected planar graphs G with $mc(G) = m - n + r$, where $1 \leq k \leq 5$ and $2 \leq r \leq 4$.

It is well-known that a graph is outerplanar if and only if it does not contain a K_4 -minor or a $K_{2,3}$ -minor, and a 2-connected outerplanar graph contains a 2-degree vertex. Moreover, the exterior face of an outerplanar graph G is a Hamiltonian cycle (called the *boundary* of G).

Lemma 3.1. *Let H be a simple graph and v an additional vertex. Then*

1. $v \vee H$ is a planar graph if and only if H is an outerplanar graph.
2. $2K_1 \vee H$ is a planar graph if and only if H is either a cycle or linear forest.
3. $K_2 \vee H$ is a planar graph if and only if H is a linear forest.
4. if H is a 2-connected outerplanar graph with $|H| \geq 4$, then H contains two nonadjacent 2-degree vertices.

Proof. Notice that $v \vee H$ is a planar graph if H is an outerplanar graph. On the other hand, if $v \vee H$ is a planar graph but H is not an outerplanar graph, then H contains either a K_4 -minor or a $K_{2,3}$ -minor. Therefore, $v \vee H$ contains either a K_5 -minor or a $K_{3,3}$ -minor, a contradiction.

It is obvious that $2K_1 \vee S_3$ contains a $K_{3,3}$ as its subgraph, and $2K_1 \vee (K_3 + K_1)$ contains a K_5 -minor. Therefore, H does not have vertices of degree greater than or equal to three when $2K_1 \vee H$ is a planar graph, i.e., each component of H is either a cycle or a path. If H has two components H_1, H_2 such that H_1 is a cycle, then H has a $(K_3 + K_1)$ -minor. Thus, $2K_1 \vee H$ has a K_5 -minor, a contradiction. Therefore, H is either a cycle or a linear forest if $2K_1 \vee H$ is a planar graph. On the other hand, if each component of H is either a cycle or a linear forest, then $2K_1 \vee H$ is clearly a planar graph.

If H is a linear forest, then $K_2 \vee H$ is obviously a planar graph. If $K_2 \vee H$ is a planar graph, then H is either a cycle or a linear forest, since $2K_1 \vee H$ is a subgraph of $K_2 \vee H$. Since $K_2 \vee H$ contains a K_5 -minor if one component of H is a cycle, H is a linear forest.

If H is a 2-connected outerplanar graph with $|H| = 4$, then H has two nonadjacent 2-degree vertices. If $|H| \geq 5$ and H does not have chord, then H has two nonadjacent 2-degree vertices. If $|H| \geq 5$ and H has a chord $e = xy$, then the two $\{x, y\}$ -components, say H_1 and H_2 , are 2-connected outerplanar graphs. For $i \in [2]$, if $|H_i| \geq 4$, then by induction, H_i has a vertex $z_i \notin \{x, y\}$ such that $d_{H_i}(z_i) = 2$; if $H_i = K_3$, let $\{z_i\} = V(H_i) - \{x, y\}$. Then z_1, z_2 are two nonadjacent 2-degree vertices of H . ■

Let \mathcal{P}_1 denote the set of graphs $G = v \vee H$, where H is a connected outerplanar graph with a cut-vertex.

Lemma 3.2. *If G is a 2-connected but not 3-connected planar graph, then $mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 (1) and Theorem 2.1, G is a planar graph and $mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$. Suppose $mc(G) = m - n + 3$. Then by Theorem 2.1, G is either a 2-perfectly-connected graph or a graph in $\mathcal{A}_{n,2}$. If $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,2}$, then $G = v \vee H$ and H is a connected graph with a cut-vertex. Then by Lemma 3.1 (1), H is a connected outerplanar graph with a cut-vertex. If G is a 2-perfectly-connected graph, then $V(G)$ can be partitioned into three nonempty sets $\{v\}, A, B$ such that A, B form a complete bipartite graph. Let $|A| \leq |B|$. Then $1 \leq |A| \leq 2$ (otherwise G contains a $K_{3,3}$ as its subgraph). If $|A| = 1$ (say $A = \{x\}$), then by Lemma 3.1 (1), $G[B]$ is a connected outerplanar graph. Let $H = G[B \cup v]$. Then H is a connected outerplanar graph with a cut-vertex and $G = x \vee H$, i.e., $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$. If $|A| = 2$, i.e., $G[A] = K_2$, then $G[B]$ is a path by Lemma 3.1 (3). Let $A = \{x, y\}$ and $N(v) = \{x, z\}$, Then $G - x = (y \vee G[B]) \cup vz$. Since $G[B]$ is a path, $G - x$ is an outerplanar graph with a cut-vertex z . Since $G = x \vee (G - x)$, we get $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$. ■

Let $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{v \vee H : H \text{ is a 2-connected outerplanar graph and } H \neq u \vee P_{n-2}\}$.

Lemma 3.3. *If G is a 3-connected but not 4-connected planar graph, then $mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if either $G = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-2}$ or $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$, and $mc(G) = m - n + 4$ if and only if $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 (3) and Theorem 2.1, $K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$ is a planar graph with $mc(K_2 \vee P_{n-2}) = m - n + 4$. Next, we prove that $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$ if $mc(G) = m - n + 4$. Suppose $mc(G) = m - n + 4$. Then either $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,3}$ or G is a 3-perfectly-connected graph. If G is the latter, then $V(G)$ can be partitioned into four parts v, V_1, V_2, V_3 , such that each V_i induces a connected subgraph, V_1, V_2, V_3 form a complete 3-partite graph, and v has precisely one neighbor in each V_i . Let $|V_1| \leq |V_2| \leq |V_3|$. If $|V_1| = |V_2| = 1$, then $G[V_1 \cup V_2]$ is an edge, say e . Thus, $G = e \vee G[V_3 \cup v]$. By Lemma 3.1 (3), since G is a 3-connected graph, $G[V_3 \cup v]$ is a path of order $n - 2$. Therefore, $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$. If $|V_2| \geq 2$, then $G[V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3]$ contains a K_5 -minor, a contradiction. If G is the former,

i.e., $G \in \mathcal{A}_{n,3}$, then $G = K_2 \vee H$. By Lemma 3.1 (3), since G is a 3-connected graph, $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$. Therefore, $mc(G) = m - n + 4$ if and only if $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$.

If $mc(G) = m - n + 3$, then $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^3$. If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^3$, then $V(G)$ can be partitioned into two parts U, V such that $G[U] = K_2^- = 2K_1$, $G[V]$ is a connected graph and $G = G[U] \vee G[V]$. By Lemma 3.1 (2), since G is a 3-connected graph, $G[V]$ is either a cycle or a path. Since G is not a 4-connected planar graph, $G[V]$ is a path, i.e., $G = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-2}$. If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^2$, then $G = K_1 \vee H$, where H is a 2-connected but not 3-connected graph. Since G is planar, by Lemma 3.1 (1), H is a 2-connected outerplanar graph (recall that the outerplanar graph is not 3-connected). Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$. If $G \in \mathcal{B}_{n,3}^1$, then $V(G)$ can be partitioned into three parts v, A, B , such that v has two neighbors in A and one neighbor in B , and A, B form a complete bipartite graph.

If $G[A] = K_2$, then by Lemma 3.1 (3), $G[B]$ is a path P_{n-3} . Thus, $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$, a contradiction to the assumption that $mc(G) = m - n + 3$. If $G[A] = 2K_1$, then $G = G[A] \vee G[B \cup v]$. By Lemma 3.1 (2), $G[B \cup v]$ is either a path P_{n-3} or a cycle C_{n-3} . Since v has precisely one neighbor in B , $G[B \cup v]$ is a path. Thus, $G = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-2}$.

If $|A| \geq 3$, then $|B| \leq 2$. Let x be the neighbor of v in B . Since $mc(G) = m - n + 3$, we have $G \neq K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$. If $|B| = 2$, i.e., $G[B] = K_2$, then $G = x \vee (G - x)$. Thus, $G - x$ is a 2-connected outerplanar graph. If $|B| = 1$, then $V(B) = \{x\}$ and $G = x \vee (G - x)$, and thus $G - x$ is a 2-connected outerplanar graph. Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$. ■

Claim 3.4. *Suppose G is a k -connected planar graph and S is a vertex-cut with $|S| = k$. If $k \geq 4$, then $G[S]$ does not contain the vertices of degree greater than two.*

Proof. Let u, v be two vertices in different components of $G - S$. Since G is a k -connected graph, there are k internally disjoint uv -paths L_1, \dots, L_k . Let H be a graph obtained from $\bigcup_{i \in [k]} L_i$ by contracting all edges but those incident with u and v . Then $H = K_{2,k}$ is a minor of G with one part S . Thus, by Lemma 3.1 (2), $G[S]$ does not contain the vertices of degree greater than two. ■

Claim 3.5. *Let G be a k -connected planar graph and S be a vertex-cut with $|S| = k$. Suppose Γ is an extremal MC-coloring of G such that $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges. Then*

1. *if $k = 4$ and $G[S]$ is not a 4-cycle, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$;*
2. *if $k = 5$, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.*

In addition, if $k = 4$ and $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges under any extremal MC-colorings, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.

Proof. We first prove (1) and (2). By Claim 3.4, G has a $K_{2,k}$ -minor with one part S . Since G is a planar graph, by Lemma 3.1 (2), $G[S]$ is either a cycle or a linear forest.

Thus, $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a 5-cycle if $k = 5$. For $k = 4$, $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a P_4 if $G[S] \neq C_4$. Suppose A_1, \dots, A_r are the components of $G - S$.

Let Γ be an extremal MC-coloring of G . We use \mathcal{S} to denote the set of all nontrivial trees of G . Choose two vertices u, v from A_1, A_2 , respectively. Let $U = V(A_1) - V(\mathcal{T}_u)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T}_v - \mathcal{T}_u$. Assume $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_u \cup \mathcal{F}$. For each $T \in \mathcal{S}$, let $x_T = |V(T) \cap S|$ when $|V(T) \cap S| \geq 2$ and let $x_T = 1$ when $|V(T) \cap S| \leq 1$. Since $V(G) - S \subseteq V(\mathcal{T})$ and each tree of \mathcal{T} contains at least one vertex of S , \mathcal{T} wastes at least $n - k - 1 + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} (x_T - 1)$ colors. Since $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges, if $x_T \geq 2$, then T wastes at least $x_T - 1$ colors. Then Γ wastes $w_\Gamma \geq n - k - 1 + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}} (x_T - 1)$ colors. Let T be a tree of \mathcal{S} such that x_T is maximum.

Suppose $x_T \geq 4$. If $k = 4$, then $w_\Gamma \geq n - 2$. If $k = 5$ and $x_T \geq 5$, then $w_\Gamma \geq n - 2$. If $k = 5$ and $x_T = 4$, then let $S - V(T) = \{a\}$. Since $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a 5-cycle, a does connect a vertex of $S - a$ in $G[S]$. Therefore, a connects this vertex by a nontrivial tree different from T . Thus, $w_\Gamma \geq n - 2$.

Suppose $x_T = 3$. If $k = 4$, then let $S - V(T) = \{a\}$. Since $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a P_4 , a connects a vertex of $S - a$ by a nontrivial tree. Thus, $w_\Gamma \geq n - 2$. If $k = 5$, then let $\{a, b\} = S - V(T)$. Since $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a 5-cycle, a connects a vertex of $S - a$ by a nontrivial tree T_1 , and b connects a vertex of $S - a$ by a nontrivial tree T_2 . Whenever $T_1 = T_2$ or not, Γ wastes at least $n - 2$ colors.

Suppose $x_T = 2$. Since T is a tree of \mathcal{S} such that x_T is maximum, for any two different nonadjacent vertex pairs of S , there are two different nontrivial trees connecting them, respectively. If $k = 4$, then since $\overline{G[S]}$ contains a P_4 , Γ wastes at least $n - k - 1 + 3 = n - 2$ colors. If $k = 5$, then since $\overline{G[S]}$ is a 5-cycle, Γ wastes at least $n - k - 1 + 5 = n - 1$ colors, which contradicts that Γ is extremal.

Now we prove that if $k = 4$ and $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges under any extremal MC-colorings, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$. Suppose Γ is an extremal MC-coloring of G and T is a nontrivial tree with $x_T = |V(T) \cap S|$ maximum. Similar to the above proof for $k = 4$, we can obtain that $mc(G) = m - n + 2$ except for the case that $G[S]$ is a 4-cycle and $x_T = 2$. For the case that $G[S]$ is a 4-cycle and $x_T = 2$, let $E(\overline{G[S]}) = \{v_1v_2, v_3v_4\}$. Then there is a nontrivial tree T_1 connecting v_1, v_2 , and a nontrivial tree T_2 connecting v_3, v_4 . Suppose $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$. Since Γ wastes $n - k - 1 + (|T_1| - 2) + (|T_2| - 2) \leq n - 3$, T_1 and T_2 are 2-paths. Let Γ' be an edge-coloring of G obtained from Γ by recoloring $E(T_1 \cup T_2)$ by trivial colors and recoloring a 3-path of $G[S]$ by a new nontrivial color. Then Γ' is an extremal MC-coloring of G and $G[S]$ contains nontrivial edges under Γ' , a contradiction. \blacksquare

Claim 3.6. *Let Γ be a simple extremal MC-coloring of G and $e = xy$ be a nontrivial edge in G . Suppose $mc(G) = e(G) - |G| + x$ and H is the underlying graph of G/e . Then $mc(H) \geq e(H) - |H| + x$.*

Proof. Since Γ is a simple extremal MC-coloring of G and $mc(G) = e(G) - |G| + x$,

Γ wastes $|G| - x$ colors. Suppose z is the new vertex of $V(G/e)$. Then any parallel edges are incident with z , and at most two parallel edges between two vertices. Since e is a nontrivial edge, Γ is simple and every color-induced subgraph in G is a tree, any color-induced subgraph of G/e is a tree. It is obvious that any two vertices of G/e are connected by a monochromatic tree under $\Gamma|_{G/e}$. Moreover, $\Gamma|_{G/e}$ wastes $|G| - 1 - x = |G/e| - x$ colors.

Suppose there are parallel edges e_1, e_2 between u and z . If there is a trivial and parallel edge between u and z , say e_1 , then we delete e_1 . Then the resulting graph is also monochromatic connected, and the edge-coloring wastes $|G/e| - x$ colors. If the two parallel edges are nontrivial, then suppose the e_1, e_2 are edges of two nontrivial trees T_1, T_2 , respectively. Let T be a spanning tree of $T_1 \cup T_2$ containing e_1 . Let Γ' be an edge-coloring of $G/e - e_2$ obtained from Γ by recoloring T with a new nontrivial color, and then recoloring any other edges of $E(T_1 \cup T_2) - E(T) - e_2$ with trivial colors. Then Γ' is an MC-coloring of $G/e - e_2$ and Γ' wastes at most $|G/e - e_2| - x = |G/e| - x$ colors. By the above operation, we obtain an underlying graph H of G/e , and a simple MC-coloring Γ'' of H , which wastes at most $|H| - x$ colors. Thus, $mc(H) \geq e(H) - |H| + x$. ■

Claim 3.7. *Let G be a planar graph and $e = ab$ be an edge of G . If the underlying graph of G/e contains $\{u, v\} \vee P_t$ as its subgraph, u is the new vertices and a (and also b) connects two leaves of P_t , then either $N_G(a) \cap I = \emptyset$ and $I \subseteq N_G(b)$, or $N_G(b) \cap I = \emptyset$ and $I \subseteq N_G(a)$, where I is the set of internal vertices of P_t .*

Proof. If $N_G(a) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(b) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then let G' be a graph obtained from G by contracting all but two pendent edges of P_t . Then G' has a $K_{3,3}$ with one part $\{a, b, v\}$, i.e., G has a $K_{3,3}$ -minor, a contradiction. ■

Lemma 3.8. *If G is a 4-connected but not 5-connected planar graph, then $mc(G) \leq m - n + 3$, and $mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$.*

Proof. Suppose $G = \{u, v\} \vee H$, where H is a $(n - 2)$ -cycle and uv is not an edge of G . Then there is a 2-path P connecting u and v . Let L be a spanning tree of H . Let Γ be an edge-coloring such that P and L are all nontrivial trees of G . Then Γ is an MC-coloring of G , which wastes $n - 3$ colors. Thus, $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$. It is easy to verify that G is neither a graph of $\mathcal{A}_{n,4} \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,4}^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,4}^2 \cup \mathcal{B}_{n,4}^3$, nor a 4-perfectly-connected graph. Therefore, $mc(G) = m - n + 3$.

Suppose $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$. We prove that $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$ below. Suppose $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ is a vertex-cut of G . If $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges under any extremal MC-colorings of G , then by Claim 3.5, $mc(G) = m - n + 2$. If there is an extremal MC-coloring Γ of G such that $G[S]$ has a nontrivial edge, say $e = x_1x_2$, then by Lemma 3.6 the underlying graph H of G/e satisfies that $mc(H) \geq e(H) - |H| + 3$. Since H is a 3-connected but not 4-connected graph, H is either $2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$ or $K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$,

or a graph of \mathcal{P}_2 . Since G is a 4-connected graph, if there is a vertex x of H with $d_H(x) = 3$, then x is incident with the new vertex.

Case 1. Either $H = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$ or $H = K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$.

From the assumption, $V(H)$ can be partitioned into two parts $A = \{u, v\}$ and B , such that $H[B] = P_{n-3}$ and $H = H[A] \vee H[B]$. Here, uv is an edge of H if $H = K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$, and uv is not an edge of H if $H = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$. Let $H[B] = v_1e_1v_2e_2 \cdots e_{n-4}v_{n-3}$. If $|B| = 3$, then $H = K_1 \vee C_4$. Since each vertex of $V(H) - \{v_2\}$ has degree three in H , v_2 is the new vertex and $G = K_2 \vee C_4$, a contradiction to the choice of G as a planar graph. Thus, $|B| \geq 4$ and v_1, v_{n-3} are the only two vertices with degree 3 in H . Therefore, the new vertex is either u or v (by symmetry, say u). Since G is a 4-connected graph, v_1 (and also v_{n-3}) connects x_1, x_2 in G . Then by Claim 3.7, suppose x_1 does not connect any vertices of $\{v_2, \dots, v_{n-4}\}$ and x_2 connects every vertex of $\{v_2, \dots, v_{n-4}\}$. Since G is a 4-connected graph, x_1 connects v . Then $G[B \cup x_1]$ is a cycle and thus $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$.

Case 2. $H \in \mathcal{P}_2$.

From the definition of \mathcal{P}_2 , $H = v \vee R$, where R is a 2-connected outerplanar graph. If $R = K_3$, then $|G| = 5$. Since G is a 4-connected graph, $G = K_5$, a contradiction. Thus, $|R| \geq 4$. Since R is a 2-connected outerplanar graph, by Lemma 3.1 (4), R has two nonadjacent 2-degree vertices. Moreover, the boundary C of R is its Hamiltonian cycle.

Case 2.1. R has at least three vertices of degree two, say u_1, u_2, u_3 .

Note that every 2-degree vertex of R is incident with the new vertex in H . Thus, v is the new vertex and each u_i connects both x_1 and x_2 in G . Note that u_1, u_2 and u_3 divide C into three paths. Let H' be a graph obtained from H by contracting all but one edge of each such path. Then the underlying graph of H' is K_5 , i.e., G has a K_5 -minor, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. R has exactly two vertices of degree two and v is not the new vertex.

Suppose w_1, w_2 are 2-degree vertices of R . Since v is not the new vertex, w_1, w_2 have a common neighbor z in R , and z is the new vertex.

Let $P = R - z$. We prove that $H = vz \vee P$ and P is a path. We first prove that $R = z \vee P$, i.e., each chord of R is incident with z . Suppose to the contrary, there is a chord $f = z_1z_2$ of R such that $z \notin \{z_1, z_2\}$. Then z_1, z_2 divide C into two paths L_1 and L_2 , say z is an internal vertex of L_1 . Since R is an outerplanar graph, z does not connect any internal vertices of L_2 in H . Furthermore, since z is the new vertex, neither x_1 nor x_2 connects internal vertices of L_2 in G . Thus, $\{v, z_1, z_2\}$ is a vertex-cut of G , a contradiction to the assumption that G is a 4-connected graph. So, $R = z \vee P$ and P is a path. Since v connects every vertex of R , we have $H = vz \vee P$.

Consider the graph G below. Since w_1, w_2 are 3-degree vertices and z is the new vertex of H , w_1 (and also w_2) connects x_1 and x_2 in G . Let $I = V(P) - \{w_1, w_2\}$.

Since $H = vz \vee P$, by Claim 3.7, suppose x_1 does not connect any vertices of I and x_2 connects every vertex of I . Then $D = G[V(P) \cup x_1]$ is a C_{n-2} and $G - v = x_2 \vee D$. Since $\{v, x_2\} \vee D$ is a spanning subgraph of G , v does not connect x_2 by Lemma 3.1 (3). This implies $G = \{x_2, v\} \vee D$, i.e., $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$.

Case 2.3. R has exactly two vertices of degree two and v is the new vertex.

Suppose a, b are nonadjacent 2-degree vertices of R . Then a, b divide C into two paths, say L_1 and L_2 . Let $L_1 = ae_1z_1e_2, \dots, z_s e_{s+1}b$ and $L_2 = af_1w_1f_2, \dots, w_t f_{t+1}b$.

If $N_G(x_1) \cap (V(L_1) - \{a, b\}) \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(x_2) \cap (V(L_1) - \{a, b\}) \neq \emptyset$, then let H' be a graph obtained from H by contracting all edges of C but e_1, e_{s+1} and f_1 . Then the underlying graph of H' is K_5 , i.e., G has a K_5 -minor, a contradiction. Thus, by symmetry, suppose $V(L_1) - \{a, b\} \subseteq N_G(x_1)$ and $N_G(x_2) \cap (V(L_1) - \{a, b\}) = \emptyset$. By the same reason, $N_G(x_1) \cap (V(L_2) - \{a, b\}) \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(x_2) \cap (V(L_2) - \{a, b\}) = \emptyset$ will not happen. Since H is a 3-connected graph, $V(L_2) - \{a, b\} \subseteq N_G(x_2)$ and $N_G(x_1) \cap (V(L_2) - \{a, b\}) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $N_G(a) \cap V(R) = V(L_1)$ and $N_G(b) \cap V(R) = V(L_2)$.

If $R = K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$, then $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$. Thus, we only need to prove that $R = K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$ below.

Claim 3.9. *Suppose $l = n_1n_2$ is a chord of R . Then one end of l is contained in $V(L_1) - \{a, b\}$ and the other end of l is contained in $V(L_2) - \{a, b\}$.*

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, $\{n_1, n_2\} \subseteq V(L_1)$. Then $S' = \{x_1, x_2, n_1, n_2\}$ is a vertex-cut of G with $|S'| = 4$. However, $d_{G[S']}(x_1) = 3$, a contradiction to Claim 3.4. ■

If, by symmetry, $|L_1| = 3$, i.e., $L_1 = ae_1z_1e_2b$, then by Claim 3.9, z_1 connects every vertex of L_2 . Thus, $R = K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$.

If $|L_1|, |L_2| \geq 4$. Recall that $e = x_1x_2$ is a nontrivial edge under Γ . Suppose e is an edge of a nontrivial tree T . Then there is a nontrivial edge f of T between $\{x_1, x_2\}$ and R . By symmetry, suppose one end of f is x_1 and the other end of f is contained in $V(L_1)$. Suppose H' is the underlying graph of G/f . Then $mc(H') \geq e(H') - |H'| + 3$. Since H' is a 3-connected planar graph, H' is either $2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$ or $K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$, or a graph of \mathcal{P}_2 .

Suppose H' is either $2K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$ or $K_2 \vee P_{n-3}$. Let $H' = A \vee P_{n-3}$, where $V(A) = \{y_1, y_2\}$. If $x_2 \in \{y_1, y_2\}$, say $x_2 = y_2$, then y_1 connects every vertex of $V(R) - \{y_1\}$. Thus, either $|L_1| = 3$ or $|L_2| = 3$, a contradiction. If $x_2 \notin \{y_1, y_2\}$, then $R = \{y_1, y_2\} \vee (R - y_1 - y_2)$. Since $|R| \geq 6$, we have $|R - y_1 - y_2| \geq 4$. Thus, R has a $K_{2,3}$ -minor, which contradicts that R is an outerplanar graph.

Suppose H' is a graph of \mathcal{P}_2 . Then $H' = y \vee H''$, where H'' is a 2-connected outerplanar graph. If $y = x_2$, then x_2 connects every vertex of R . However, since $|L_1| \geq 4$ and x_2 does not connect any internal vertex of L_1 in G , there is an internal vertex of L_1 does not connect x_2 in H' , a contradiction to the fact that $H' = x_2 \vee H''$.

If $y \neq x_2$, then $y \in V(R)$ and thus $R = K_1 \vee P_{n-3}$, a contradiction to the assumption that $|L_1|, |L_2| \geq 4$. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.10. *If G is a 5-connected planar graph, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.*

Proof. Suppose $mc(G) \geq m - n + 3$. Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$ be a vertex-cut of G . If $G[S]$ does not contain nontrivial edges under any extremal MC-colorings of G , then by Claim 3.5, $mc(G) = m - n + 2$, a contradiction. Otherwise, there is a nontrivial edge in $G[S]$, say $e = v_1v_2$. Let H be the underlying graph of G/e . Then by Claim 3.6, $mc(H) \geq e(H) - |H| + 3$. Since H is a 4-connected but not 5-connected graph, we have $mc(H) = e(H) - |H| + 3$. Thus, $H = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$, say $H = \{u, v\} \vee C$, where $C = C_{n-2}$. Since each vertex of C has degree 4 in H , either u or v is the new vertex. By symmetry, let u be the new vertex. Thus, v_1, v_2 connect every vertex of C , i.e., $e \vee C$ is a subgraph of G , a contradiction to the choice that G is planar. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.11. *Suppose G is a connected planar graph. Then $mc(G) \leq m - n + 4$ and the following results hold.*

1. *If G is not a 2-connected graph, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$;*
2. *if G is a 2-connected but not 3-connected graph, then $m - n + 2 \leq mc(G) \leq m - n + 3$ and $mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$;*
3. *if G is a 3-connected but not 4-connected graph, then $m - n + 2 \leq mc(G) \leq m - n + 4$. Moreover, $mc(G) = m - n + 4$ if and only if $G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$, and $mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if either $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$, or $G = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-2}$;*
4. *if G is a 4-connected but not 5-connected graph, then $m - n + 2 \leq mc(G) \leq m - n + 3$, and $mc(G) = m - n + 3$ if and only if $G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$;*
5. *if G is a 5-connected graph, then $mc(G) = m - n + 2$.*

For ease of reading, the classification of planar graphs are summarized in the following table (remember that the connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a planar graph G is at most 5).

$\kappa(G) \backslash mc(G)$	1	2	3	4	5
$m - n + 4$	\emptyset	\emptyset	$G = K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$	\emptyset	\emptyset
$m - n + 3$	\emptyset	$G \in \mathcal{P}_1$	either $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$, or $G = 2K_1 \vee P_{n-2}$	$G = 2K_1 \vee C_{n-2}$	\emptyset
$m - n + 2$	all	all but the above	all but the above	all but the above	all

Table 1: The classification of planar graphs.

References

- [1] X. Bai, X. Li, Graph colorings under global structural conditions, arXiv:2008.07163 [math.CO].
- [2] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, GTM 244, Springer, 2008.
- [3] Q. Cai, X. Li, D. Wu, Some extremal results on the colorful monochromatic vertex-connectivity of a graph, J. Comb. Optim. 35(2018), 1300–1311.
- [4] Y. Caro, R. Yuster, Colorful monochromatic connectivity, Discrete Math. 311(2011), 1786–1792.
- [5] R. Gu, X. Li, Z. Qin, Y. Zhao, More on the colorful monochromatic connectivity, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 40(4)(2017), 1769–1779.
- [6] Z. Jin, X. Li, K. Wang, The monochromatic connectivity of graphs, Taiwanese J. Math. 24(4)(2020), 785–815.
- [7] Z. Jin, X. Li, Y. Yang, Extremal graphs with maximum monochromatic connectivity, Discrete Math. 343(9)(2020), 111968.
- [8] P. Li, X. Li, Monochromatic k -edge-connection colorings of graphs, Discrete Math. 343(2)(2019), 111679.
- [9] P. Li, X. Li, Rainbow monochromatic k -edge-connection colorings of graphs, arXiv:2001.01419 [math.CO].
- [10] X. Li, D. Wu, A survey on monochromatic connections of graphs, Theory & Appl. Graphs 0(1)(2018), Art.4.
- [11] Y. Mao, Z. Wang, F. Yanling, C. Ye, Monochromatic connectivity and graph products, Discrete Math, Algorithm. Appl. 8(01)(2016), 1650011.