

Representations of orthogonal and symplectic Yangians¹

D. Karakhanyan^{a,2}, R. Kirschner^{b,3}

^a *Yerevan Physics Institute, 2 Alikhanyan br., 0036 Yerevan, Armenia*

^b *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Leipzig,
PF 100 920, D-04009 Leipzig, Germany*

Abstract

Extended Yangian algebras of orthogonal and symplectic types are defined by the Yang-Baxter RLL relation involving the fundamental R-matrix with $so(n)$ or $sp(2m)$ symmetry. We study representations of highest weight characterized by weight function ratios. We consider the algebra relations for the linear and the quadratic evaluations and the resulting conditions imposed on the representation weights. We present expressions of L-operators constructed on underlying Clifford and Heisenberg algebras and characterize their representations.

1 Introduction

The treatment of integrable quantum systems is based on the Yang-Baxter equations [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The cases of symmetry with respect to the Lie algebras $\mathcal{G} = sp(2m), so(2m), so(2m + 1)$ are known to be more involved compared to the cases $\mathcal{G} = gl(n)$. The fundamental R matrix, on which the formulation of the Yangian algebra can be based, is linear in the spectral parameter in the $gl(n)$ cases but quadratic in the former ones [5, 8]. In the $gl(n)$ case linear evaluation L operators exist without restrictions on the Lie algebra representations, whereas in the orthogonal and symplectic cases linear L exist in distinguished cases only [9]. Monodromy matrices are L operators composed by products of simple L operators and play a central role in the treatment of quantum spin chains. Simple L operators are of interest as factors in the monodromy matrices.

The Yang-Baxter formulation of Yangians of the orthogonal and symplectic types has been studied in [10, 11]. Independent proofs of the equivalence of this formulation to the formulations by Drinfeld [6, 7] have been given in [12] and [13]. The successful applications of results and methods of integrable quantum systems to questions of gauge field theories, e.g. [14, 15, 16, 17], motivated recent studies of Yangians with symmetries related to conformal transformations in Quantum field theory [18, 19, 20, 21].

The similarities of the orthogonal and symplectic Lie algebras can be traced back to the existence of an invariant metric in the spaces of their fundamental representations. The similarities extend to the Yangians of the corresponding types and allow a uniform treatment of the Yang-Baxter relations as proposed e.g. in [19] and generalized to the ortho-symplectic super-algebra case in [20].

Representations of the Yangians of orthogonal and symplectic types have been studied in [11]. Examples of L operators linear or quadratic in the spectral parameter have been considered in [19]. The algebra relations of the corresponding Yangians with the expansion of the L operators truncated at the second non-trivial term have been formulated in [21].

¹*Dedicated to Harald Sorg.*

²E-MAIL: KARAKHAN@YERPHI.AM

³E-MAIL: ROLAND.KIRSCHNER@ITP.UNI-LEIPZIG.DE

In the present paper we consider representations of Yangian algebras the generators of which arise from L operators linear or quadratic in the spectral parameter. We derive the conditions on the representation weights implied by the algebra relations of the second order evaluation of Yangians of the orthogonal and symplectic types. In this way we describe the situations of simple L operators. We give explicit constructions of L operators based on underlying algebras of Clifford and Heisenberg type. Some results appeared in the conference contributions [30].

In Section 2 we formulate the Yang-Baxter equations used to define the extended Yangian algebra of orthogonal and symplectic types in terms of the expansion of L operators. We formulate also the cases of linear and quadratic evaluations and the highest weight conditions.

In Section 3 we summarize the results of the representation weights for linear and quadratic evaluations and of explicit L operators before entering the detailed discussions of the following sections.

In Section 4 we investigate the conditions of highest weight and consider the relations of the weight functions as derived from the center generating function. We define the simple weight function ratios, associate with them $gl(2)$ type Yangian algebra representations and consider the conditions for finite-dimensional representations.

In Section 5 the algebra relations of the quadratic evaluation are given. The resulting conditions on the representation weights are studied in detail. Examples of admitted weights and weight function ratios are presented.

We construct explicit linear L operators in Section 6 and quadratic in Section 7.

The results are discussed in Section 8.

2 Orthogonal and symplectic Yangians

The formulation of the Yangian algebra of type \mathcal{G} can be based on the fundamental Yang-Baxter R matrix. In the case of symmetry with respect to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} = so(n)$ $n = 2m$ or $n = 2m + 1$ or $\mathcal{G} = sp(n)$, $n = 2m$, it has the form [5, 8, 9, 24]

$$R_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2}(u) = u(u + \beta) I_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2} + (u + \beta) P_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2} - \epsilon u K_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$I_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2} = \delta_{b_1}^{a_1} \delta_{b_2}^{a_2}, \quad P_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2} = \delta_{b_2}^{a_1} \delta_{b_1}^{a_2}, \quad K_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2} = \epsilon^{a_1 a_2} \varepsilon_{b_1 b_2}, \quad \beta = \frac{n}{2} - \epsilon. \quad (2.2)$$

The sign factor ϵ distinguishes the orthogonal ($\epsilon = +1$) and the symplectic ($\epsilon = -1$) cases.

Here ε_{ab} is a non-degenerate invariant metric in the space V_f of the fundamental representation. It has the ε symmetry property

$$\varepsilon_{ab} = \epsilon \varepsilon_{ba}, \quad (2.3)$$

and allows to lower and rise indices by the rules (sum over repeated indices)

$$x_a = \varepsilon_{ab} x^b, \quad x^a = \varepsilon^{ab} x_b, \quad \varepsilon_{ac} \varepsilon^{cb} = \delta_a^b.$$

The generators $(L^{(k)})_b^a$ of the extended Yangian algebra $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{G})$ appear in the expansion of the L operator

$$L_b^a(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(L^{(k)})_b^a}{u^k}, \quad L^{(0)} = I, \quad (2.4)$$

which satisfies the Yang-Baxter RLL-relations

$$R_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2}(u-v)L_{c_1}^{b_1}(u)L_{c_2}^{b_2}(v) = L_{b_2}^{a_2}(v)L_{b_1}^{a_1}(u)R_{c_1 c_2}^{b_1 b_2}(u-v). \quad (2.5)$$

Here $L(u)$ is an algebra valued matrix depending on the spectral parameter u and plays the role of the generating function of the extended Yangian algebra generators, $L \in (\text{End } V_f) \otimes \mathcal{Y}$. Related to the co-multiplication and the applications to quantum spin chains it is also called (matrix of) monodromy.

The algebra \mathcal{Y} defined by (2.5), (2.1) does not depend on the choice of the non-degenerate metric with the ϵ -symmetry (2.3). The metric may be specified in particular studies for convenience. For descending to particular real forms of the Lie algebra particular metric signatures may be preferable.

Factorizing the central elements leads to the Yangian algebra definition equivalent to the original definitions by Drinfeld [6, 7]. Independent proofs of the equivalence are given in [12] and [13].

We write the RLL relation (2.5) in the commutator form lowering all indices,

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)(u-v+\beta)[L_{a_1, b_1}(u), L_{a_2, b_2}(v)] = & \quad (2.6) \\ (u-v+\beta) & \left[L_{a_2, b_1}(v)L_{a_1, b_2}(u) - L_{a_2, b_1}(u)L_{a_1, b_2}(v) \right] + \\ (u-v) & \left[\epsilon \varepsilon_{a_1 a_2} (L^t(u)L(v))_{b_1, b_2} - \varepsilon_{b_1 b_2} (L(v)L^t(u))_{a_2, a_1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The algebra relations in (2.6) involving the first term in the expansion (2.4) only, which will be denoted in the following by $G, L^{(1)} = G$, are easily seen to coincide with the commutation relations characterizing the underlying Lie algebra \mathcal{G} ,

$$[G_{ab}, G_{cd}] = -\varepsilon_{cb}G_{ad} + \varepsilon_{ad}G_{cb} + \varepsilon_{ac}G_{bd} - \varepsilon_{db}G_{ca}. \quad (2.7)$$

Further, the generators appearing in the expansion (2.4) at higher order $k > 1$ obey the relations of the adjoint action,

$$[G_{ab}, L_{c,d}^{(k)}] = -\varepsilon_{cb}L_{a,d}^{(k)} + \varepsilon_{ad}L_{c,b}^{(k)} + \varepsilon_{ac}L_{b,d}^{(k)} - \varepsilon_{d,b}L_{c,a}^{(k)}. \quad (2.8)$$

The center of the extended Yangian algebra of the orthogonal and symplectic types has been analysed in [11] and formulated in terms of the generating function $C(u)$, defined as

$$C(u) = L^t(u-\beta)L(u) = c(u)I. \quad (2.9)$$

The statement that $C(u)$ is central implies that the ϵ symmetric part of $L^{(p)}$, $L_{ab}^{(p)} + \varepsilon L_{ba}^{(p)}$, is expressed in terms of products of lower degree generators and central elements. The ϵ antisymmetric part of $L^{(p)}$ can be considered as the independent generators of the Yangian algebra. A proof will be given below ...

We choose the index range $a, b, = -m, \dots, -1, (0), +1, \dots, +m$, where the index value 0 appears in the $so(2m+1)$ case only, and denote the indices also by $\pm i, \pm j, i, j = 1, \dots, m$ (and 0 in the $so(2m+1)$ case).

In the following we compare also with the extended Yangian of the $gl(n)$ type, defined by the RLL relation of the form (2.5) with the R matrix substituted as $R = I + P$, where the matrix elements of I and P are as in (2.2). We shall use the notation $L^{gl}(u)$ for the corresponding L operators. The resulting commutation relation of the latter differs in form from (2.6) by deleting the terms of the last line.

$$(u-v)[L_{a_1,b_1}^{g^\ell}, L_{a_2,b_2}^{g^\ell}] = L_{a_2,b_1}^{g^\ell}(v)L_{a_1,b_2}^{g^\ell}(u) - L_{a_2,b_1}^{g^\ell}(u)L_{a_1,b_2}^{g^\ell}(v). \quad (2.10)$$

We specify the metric as

$$\varepsilon_{ab} = \varepsilon_a \delta_{a,-b}, \quad \varepsilon_i = 1, \quad \varepsilon_{-i} = \epsilon, \quad (2.11)$$

Comparing (2.10) and (2.6), we find $\mathcal{Y}(g^\ell(m+1))$ algebras in $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{G} = so(2m), sp(2m), so(2m+1)$. $\mathcal{Y}^{\sigma_a, \sigma_b}$ are generated by the subsets of $L_{a,b}$ with all indices a of the same sign σ_a and all indices b also of the same sign σ_b and no index value equal to 0 (for the $so(2m+1)$ case). Also the co-product is modified by the restriction to the corresponding index range.

The conditions on the vector of the highest weight $|0\rangle$ are $(i, j = 1, \dots, m)$

$$L_{-i,j}(u)|0\rangle = 0, \quad i < j, \quad L_{-i,-j}(u)|0\rangle = 0, \quad L_{-i,i}(u)|0\rangle = \lambda_i(-u)|0\rangle, \quad (2.12)$$

In the case of $so(2m+1)$ we add the condition

$$L_{-i,0}(u)|0\rangle = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

The statement about the dependence of the ϵ symmetric parts of the generators implies further

$$\begin{aligned} L_{j,-i}(u)|0\rangle = 0, \quad i > j, \quad L_{i,-i}(u)|0\rangle = \lambda_{-i}(-u)|0\rangle, \quad (2.14) \\ L_{0,-i}(u)|0\rangle = 0, \quad L_{0,0}(u)|0\rangle = \lambda_0(-u)|0\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where these additional weight functions are dependent on the components of the basic weight functions $\lambda_i(-u)$. This dependence will be written explicitly in the case of quadratic evaluation below.

We may rewrite the highest weight conditions in terms of $L_b^a(u)$. Then the weight functions appear as eigenvalues of the diagonal matrix elements. By a similarity transformation a formulation with a matrix $\tilde{L}(u)$ can be found, such that the elements annihilating $|0\rangle$ are all above or all below the diagonal.

We formulate the highest weight condition for the case of $g^\ell(n)$ as $(\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n)$

$$L_{\alpha\beta}^{g^\ell}(u)|0\rangle = 0, \quad \alpha < \beta, \quad L_{\alpha\alpha}^{g^\ell}(u)|0\rangle = \lambda_\alpha^{g^\ell}(-u)|0\rangle. \quad (2.15)$$

The mentioned statement about the dependence of the ε -symmetric part of the generators $L^{(p)}$ implies that we have only m independent weight functions, e.g. $\lambda_i(u), i = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover, equivalent representations appear with sets of weight functions differing by a common factor,

$$\tilde{\lambda}_a(u) = \phi(u)\lambda_a(u), \quad a = -m, \dots, -1, (0), +1, \dots, m.$$

To characterize a representation one should give m different weight function ratios.

The set of m ratios including the $m-1$

$$\frac{\lambda_i(u)}{\lambda_{i+1}(u)} = f_i(u), \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad (2.16)$$

and, specific for the particular cases,

$$\frac{\lambda_m(u)}{\lambda_{1-m}(u)} = f_m(u), \quad \text{for } so(2m),$$

$$-\frac{\lambda_m(u)}{\lambda_{-m}(u)} = f_m(u), \quad \text{for } sp(2m),$$

$$\frac{\lambda_m(u)}{\lambda_0(u)} = f_m(u), \quad \text{for } so(2m+1),$$

is chosen related to the simple roots in our form of the highest weight conditions. We are interested in the case of finite order evaluation, where the expansion in (2.4) is truncated, $L_{ab}^{(k)} = 0$, $k > s$. In this case $f_i(u)$ are ratios of polynomials and thus they are given by s m -tuples of zeros and poles

$$u_{i,k}^+, u_{i,k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \quad k = 1, \dots, s.$$

Each of the basic weight function ratios of the considered Yangian representations can be associated with a $g\ell(2)$ type Yangian representation. This will be discussed in detail in Section 4.

In this paper we study representations of linear and quadratic evaluations of Yangian algebras of the orthogonal and symplectic types. In the quadratic evaluation case the expansion of $L(u)$ (2.4) is truncated after the second non-trivial term, $L_{ab}^{(k)} = 0$ for $k > 2$. Multiplying $L(u)$ by u^2 we put

$$L(u) = u^2 I + uG + H, \quad (2.17)$$

changing the notations as $L^{(1)} = G$ and $L^{(2)} = H$. In the case of linear evaluation (2.4) is truncated after the first non-trivial term. Multiplying $L(u)$ by u we put in this case

$$L(u) = uI + G. \quad (2.18)$$

The algebra relations have been formulated in [21] and their connection to the center generating function $C(u)$ will be explained below in Section 5.

In the quadratic case the weight functions can be written as

$$\lambda_a(-u) = u^2 I + u\lambda_a^{[1]} + \lambda_a^{[2]}. \quad (2.19)$$

We decompose the last contribution $\lambda_a^{[2]} = \tilde{\lambda}_a^{[2]} + \bar{\lambda}_a^{[2]}$, where $\tilde{\lambda}_a^{[2]} = \varepsilon \tilde{\lambda}_{-a}^{[2]}$, $\bar{\lambda}_a^{[2]} = -\varepsilon \bar{\lambda}_{-a}^{[2]}$. The algebra relations imply

$$\lambda_i^{[1]} = -\varepsilon \lambda_{-i}^{[1]}, \quad \lambda_0^{[1]} = 0.$$

$\lambda_i^{[1]}$ is the eigenvalue of G_{-i} in action on the highest weight vector $|0\rangle$. $\tilde{\lambda}_a^{[2]}$ depends on the components $\tilde{\lambda}_a^{[1]}$, it turns out to be the eigenvalue of $\frac{1}{2}(G^2 + \beta G + kI)_{-a,a}$ in action on $|0\rangle$. k denotes a central element.

3 Results on representations and L operators

We present a summary of the results on the representation weights allowed by the conditions derived from the linear and quadratic evaluation Yangian algebra relations. Detailed discussions and proofs will be given in the next sections. We present also examples of explicit L operators and weights of corresponding representations. These examples do not cover all cases of solutions of the weight conditions. However they include cases with the maximal allowed number of continuous parameters in the weights.

The conditions on the weight functions can be formulated in terms of

$$\Lambda_i(u, \alpha, \gamma) = \lambda_i(-u + \alpha)\lambda_{-i}(-u + \gamma) \quad (3.1)$$

as the $m - 1$ conditions, $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$,

$$\Lambda_i(u, \beta - i + 1, 1) = \Lambda_{i+1}(u, \beta - i + 1, 1) \quad (3.2)$$

and, in the case of $so(2m + 1)$ the additional condition

$$\Lambda_m(u, \frac{1}{2}, 1) = \Lambda_0(u, \frac{1}{2}, 1). \quad (3.3)$$

These conditions hold in general for representations built on the highest weight vector $|0\rangle$ obeying (2.12) (2.13). They are derived from the action of the center generating function $C(u)$ on $|0\rangle$. They correspond to the statement of the Proposition 5.14 in [11].

3.1 The case of linear evaluation

From [2.18) and (2.19) we see that the weight functions are to be substituted as

$$\lambda_i(-u) = u\varepsilon + \lambda_i, \quad (3.4)$$

with $\lambda_a^{[2]} = 0$, $\lambda_i^{[1]} = \lambda_i$, and obtain the $m - 1$ conditions (3.2) in the two-factor form

$$(\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i)(\lambda_{i+1} + \lambda_i - \varepsilon(\beta - i)) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

In the case $so(2m + 1)$ the additional relation (3.3) reduces in the linear evaluation case with $\lambda_0^{[1]} = 0$, $\varepsilon = +1$ to

$$\lambda_m(\lambda_m + \frac{1}{2}) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

The case of all weights $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, m$, being equal is a solution of the $m - 1$ conditions,

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = (\lambda, \dots, \lambda). \quad (3.7)$$

In the cases of $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ the parameter λ can take any value. In the case of $so(2m + 1)$ the additional condition restricts the value of λ to 0 or $-\frac{1}{2}$. The sequence of weight function ratios (2.16), $f_1(u), \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m(u)$ has in the equal weight examples the form $1, \dots, 1, f_m(u)$ with $f_m(u) = \frac{u-\varepsilon\lambda}{u+\varepsilon\lambda}$ in the cases of $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ and $f_m(u) = 1$ or $\frac{u+\frac{1}{2}}{u}$ in the case of $so(2m + 1)$.

The general solution for the sequence of weights can be described as consisting of segments of equal values, e.g.

$$\dots, \lambda, \dots, \lambda, \mu, \dots, \mu, \dots, \quad (3.8)$$

or in other terms, $\lambda_{i_1+1} = \lambda_{i_1+2} = \dots = \lambda_{i_2} = \lambda, \lambda_{i_2+1} = \lambda_{i_2+2} = \dots = \lambda_{i_3} = \mu$, where the two values are related by the condition of vanishing of the second bracket in (3.5) at $i = i_2$, $\mu = -\lambda + \varepsilon(\beta - i_2)$.

In the cases of $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ there is one free parameter in the solutions. In the case of $so(2m + 1)$ the additional condition (3.6) restricts the value in the last segment containing λ_m to 0 or $-\frac{1}{2}$. Thus in this case there is no free parameter in the solutions.

We consider two constructions of L operators $L(u) = Iu + G$. The first construction is well known [9] and has been considered in many papers. The second example appeared recently in [28] for the case $so(2m)$ with a different way of construction.

1. Presentations on Clifford algebras

The L operator can be constructed explicitly in terms of the underlying quantum oscillator or Clifford algebra, generated by $c^a, a = -m, \dots, (0), \dots + m$, obeying

$$c^a c^b + \epsilon c^b c^a = \epsilon^{ba} \quad (3.9)$$

as

$$L_{ab}(u) = u\varepsilon_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}(c_a c_b - c_b c_a).$$

A Yangian representation of the types $so(2m)$ or $sp(2m)$ of highest weight can be built on the vector $|0\rangle$ obeying

$$c_{-i}|0\rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

or on the vector $|\tilde{0}\rangle = c_m|0\rangle$. In the case $so(2m+1)$ the second opportunity is excluded.

Of course, the resulting sequences of weights are solutions of the two-factor conditions (3.5), (3.6). However here is no free parameter involved.

2. Presentations on Heisenberg algebras

This example results in representations of the linear evaluation of the Yangians of types $so(2m), sp(2m)$ depending on one parameter. The matrix of generators G_b^a is constructed in $m \times m$ block form

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{I} & 0 \\ -\hat{x} & \hat{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\ell\hat{I} - \beta\hat{I} & \hat{\partial} \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{I} & 0 \\ \hat{x} & \hat{I} \end{pmatrix}.$$

where \hat{I} is the unit matrix and \hat{x} and $\hat{\partial}$ are ε -antisymmetric matrices the entries of which obey

$$[\partial_j^i, x_l^k] = \delta_j^k \delta_l^i - \epsilon \delta_k^i \delta_l^j. \quad (3.10)$$

This construction involves ℓ as a parameter. It applies for $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ and does not work for $so(2m+1)$. The representation is spanned by polynomials in x_k^i and the role of the highest weight vector is played by the constant function 1. We obtain a realization of the particular solution (3.7) with $\lambda = -\varepsilon\ell$.

3.2 The case of quadratic evaluation

We consider the conditions on the weight components resulting from (3.2) in the case of the quadratic evaluation, where the weight functions are to be substituted as (2.19). They depend on two components, $\lambda_i^{[1]} = \lambda_i$ and $\bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]} = \bar{\lambda}_i, i = 1, \dots, m$. A particular solution is the case of equal values,

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = (\lambda, \dots, \lambda); \quad (\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m) = (\bar{\lambda}, \dots, \bar{\lambda}).$$

In the cases of $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ the parameters λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ can take any value. In the case of $so(2m+1)$ the additional condition (3.3) restricts the values and only one parameter is free.

In general, λ_i and $\bar{\lambda}_j$ are related by the $m - 1$ conditions

$$\bar{\lambda}_i(\lambda_i - \epsilon(\beta - i)) = \bar{\lambda}_{i+1}(\lambda_{i+1} - \epsilon(\beta - i)). \quad (3.11)$$

They imply that for generic values of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ the values $\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m$ are fixed up to one parameter. A further parameter, the value k of a central element, enters the expression of $\tilde{\lambda}_a^{[2]}$ in terms of λ_j .

In the case of $so(2m + 1)$ we have the m th condition

$$\bar{\lambda}_m(\lambda_m + \frac{1}{2}) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

It fixes $\lambda_m = -\frac{1}{2}$ unless $\bar{\lambda}_m$ vanishes.

For the case $\bar{\lambda}_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ we have the following result. The sequence of weights $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ obeys the $m - 1$ three-factor relations

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1})(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} - 2\epsilon(\beta - i))$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_{i+1}^2) - \epsilon(\beta - i + 1)(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}) + \epsilon\lambda_{i+1} + k - \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \lambda_j + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i)^2\right) = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$. In the case of $so(2m + 1)$ we have the m th three-factor relation

$$\lambda_m(\lambda_m + 1) \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_m(\lambda_m - 1) - \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j + k + \frac{1}{8}\right) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

The structure of a general sequence of allowed weights $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ can be described similar to the linear case as consisting of segments of equal values (5.18). Now the relation of the values λ, μ of two adjacent segments can be determined either by the vanishing of the second bracket, then $\mu = -\lambda + 2\epsilon(\beta - i_2)$, or by the vanishing of the third bracket. In the latter case the value of the central element k enters the relation.

In general the solutions of the weight conditions can have three continuous parameters in the cases $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$, but only two in the case of $so(2m + 1)$.

We present two typical solutions of (3.13) encountered in the examples below. The first is given by the weight sequence

$$(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (3.15)$$

with the ratio sequence $f_1(u), \dots, f_m(u)$,

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i_0)}{u + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i_0)}, 1, \dots, 1.$$

i_0 is the position of the last entry λ in (3.15) and of the non-trivial entry in the ratio sequence.

The second is given by the weight sequence

$$(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, \mu, \lambda - \epsilon, \dots, \lambda - \epsilon) \quad (3.16)$$

with the ratio sequence

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\mu - \lambda) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i_0 + 1)}{u - \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\mu - \lambda) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i_0 + 1)}, 1, \dots, 1.$$

Here the entry μ stands at the position $i_0 + 1$ and the non-trivial ratio at the position i_0 .

We present three explicit examples. The first is simply obtained from the above linear evaluation examples. The second is well known [9], corresponding in the case $so(3)$ to the Quantum angular momentum. The third is not fully explicit and generalizes the second one.

1. Product of linear L

We consider L operators constructed by the product of two L operators of the linear evaluation, $L_1(u) = I_1u + G_1$, $L_2(u) = uI_2 + G_2$,

$$L_{12}(u) = L_1(u)L_2(u + \delta).$$

The resulting L_{12} depends on δ and on the parameters in the factors. In the cases $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ we have L operators depending on one parameter. If we choose as L_1, L_2 such expressions the resulting $L_{12}(u)$ depends on up to three parameters. The weight function ratios multiply, $f_{12, i}(u) = f_{1, i}(u)f_{2, i}(u + \delta)$.

2. Jordan-Schwinger presentations

In this example \vec{H} , the ε -antisymmetric part of H , vanishes. Then

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(G^2 + \beta G + kI). \quad (3.17)$$

The generators G are built from the canonical pairs

$$[\partial_a, x_b]_{-\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_{ab}, \quad (3.18)$$

in the following way

$$G_{ab} = -\varepsilon(x_a\partial_b - \varepsilon x_b\partial_a). \quad (3.19)$$

We consider representations on polynomial functions of x_a on which ∂_b act as differentiations. The highest weight conditions are fulfilled by

$$\psi(x) = (x_{-1})^{2\ell}.$$

The resulting weight sequence has the form

$$(-\varepsilon 2\ell, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all cases. It compares with (3.15) for $i_0 = 1$ and $\lambda = -2\ell\varepsilon$. In the case of $sp(2m)$ the parameter 2ℓ is restricted to the values 0 or 1. In the other cases it is a free parameter.

3. Spinorial type

The second order evaluation Yangian algebra conditions are fulfilled, if as in the previous example $H = \frac{1}{2}(G^2 + \beta G + kI)$ and the matrix elements of G are constrained to obey

$$W_{ab,cd} = G_{ab}G_{cd} + G_{ac}G_{db} + G_{ad}G_{bc} + G_{cd}G_{ab} + G_{db}G_{ac} + G_{bc}G_{ad} = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

The condition is fulfilled for G constructed as in the previous example.

The weight sequences have the form

$$(1, \dots, 1, \mu, 0, \dots, 0)$$

In the cases $so(2m)$ and $so(2m+1)$ we have μ as a free parameter. In the symplectic case the values of μ are restricted to 0 or 1.

For $so(2m)$ and $so(2m+1)$ the weight sequence and the corresponding ratio sequence are a particular case of (3.16) with $\lambda = 1$. In the case of $sp(2m)$ we have a particular case of (3.15) with $\lambda = 1$.

4 Representations of the highest weight

For the study of the representations and in particular of the relations between their weights the statements about the dependence of the ϵ symmetric part of $L^{(k)}$ in (2.4) is important. We include a corresponding proposition.

Proposition 1. *The ϵ symmetric part of $L^{(k)}$ (2.4) is expressed in terms of products of lower degree generators and central elements. The ϵ antisymmetric part of $L^{(k)}$ can be considered as the independent generators of the Yangian algebra.*

Proof

Consider the RLL-relation (2.5) with the fundamental R -matrix given by (2.1) at $u = v - \beta$. We obtain

$$K_{12}L_1(v - \beta)L_2(v) = L_2(v)L_1(v - \beta)K_{12}. \quad (4.1)$$

In the index notation this reads

$$\varepsilon^{a_1 a_2} \varepsilon_{b_1 b_2} L^{b_1}_{c_1}(u - \beta) L^{b_2}_{c_2}(u) = L^{a_2}_{b_2}(u) L^{a_1}_{b_1}(u - \beta) \varepsilon^{b_1 b_2} \varepsilon_{c_1 c_2}. \quad (4.2)$$

After multiplication by $\varepsilon_{a_2 a_1}$ we obtain

$$C_{ab}(u) \equiv L_{ca}(u - \beta) L^c_b(u) = \frac{1}{n} \varepsilon_{ab} L_c^d(u) L^c_d(u - \beta) \equiv \varepsilon_{ab} c(u), \quad (4.3)$$

One proves that $C(u)$ contains central elements by showing that it commutes with $L(v)$,

$$\begin{aligned} C(u)L_2(v) &= L_1^t(u - \beta)L_1(u)L_2(v) = L_1^t(u - \beta)R_{12}^{-1}(u - v)L_2(v)L_1(u)R_{12}(u - v) = \\ &L_2(v)R_{12}^{-1}(u - v)L_1^t(u - \beta)L_1(u)R_{12}(u - v) = L_2(v)R_{12}^{-1}(u - v)C(u)R_{12}(u - v) = L_2(v)C(u), \end{aligned}$$

here in the last step we have used (2.9).

We substitute the expansion (2.4) in the spectral parameter

$$C(u) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} u^{-p} \sum_{s=0}^p \sum_{i=0}^{p-s} \beta^i \frac{1}{B(s, i+1)} (L^{(s)} \text{ } {}^t L^{(p-s-i)}).$$

We consider the terms at u^{-p} . The one with the generators of highest degree p is proportional to the ϵ symmetric part of $L^{(p)}$, $L_{ab}^{(p)} + \epsilon L_{ba}^{(p)}$, and the remaining terms are products of generators of lower degree. □

The conditions on the vector of the highest weight $|0\rangle$ have been formulated above (2.12), (2.13). The weight functions $\lambda_i(-u)$ have been introduced as eigenvalues of $L_{-i,i}(u)$ in action on $|0\rangle$ and also the dependent weight functions $\lambda_a(-u)$ with $a = -i, 0$ (2.14). We shall compare also with the highest weight conditions and the involved weight functions of the $gl(n)$ type Yangians (2.15).

We consider the representation space V of the Yangian built on the highest weight vector $|0\rangle$ obeying the conditions (2.12), (2.13). Now we define the space V_1 of vectors obeying a subset of the highest weight conditions with one of the indices equal to -1 ,

$$V_1 = \left\{ |\alpha\rangle \in V \mid L_{-1,j}(u)|\alpha\rangle = 0, \quad j \neq 1, \quad L_{-1,-1}(u)|\alpha\rangle = 0 \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

In the case $so(2m+1)$ the condition

$$L_{-1,0}(u)|\alpha\rangle = 0,$$

is to be added. In analogy to Lemma 5.13 in [11] we have

Proposition 2. V_1 is stable under the action of the subset of generators $L_{ab}(u)$, $a, b \neq \pm 1$ and the action by these generators forms a representation of the Yangian of the corresponding type of the reduced rank $m-1$.

Proof

For the proof we follow [11], but notice that due to the difference in the highest weight conditions the index value 1 plays here the role of the index value m there.

(2.6) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)(u-v+\beta)[L_{-1,a}(u), L_{b,c}(v)] &= (u-v+\beta)(L_{b,a}(v)L_{-1,c}(u) - L_{b,a}(u)L_{-1,c}(v)) + \\ &+ (u-v)(\epsilon\delta_{b,1}(L_{k,a}(u)L_{-k,c}(v) + \epsilon L_{-k,a}(u)L_{k,c}(v) + L_{0,a}(u)L_{0,c}(v)) \\ &- \epsilon\epsilon_{ac}(L_{b,k}(v)L_{-1,-k}(u) + \epsilon L_{b,-k}(v)L_{-1,k}(u) + L_{b,0}(v)L_{-1,0}(u))). \end{aligned}$$

In the case $c \neq \pm 1, b \neq 1$ the first two terms on r.h.s vanish in action on $|\alpha\rangle \in V_1$ and the last term in action on $|\alpha\rangle$ is proportional to

$$(L_{b1}(v)L_{-1-1}(u) + \epsilon L_{b,-1}(v)L_{-1,1}(u))|\alpha\rangle$$

The action of the first of the latter terms vanishes by the condition on $|\alpha\rangle$. For the second term we show that $L_{-1,1}(u)|\alpha\rangle \in V_1$, and therefore it vanishes too.

Indeed, for $a \neq \pm 1$ (2.6) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)(u-v+\beta)[L_{-1,+1}(u), L_{-1,a}(v)]|\alpha\rangle &= \\ (u-v+\beta)(L_{-1,+1}(v)L_{-1,a}(u) - L_{-1,+1}(u)L_{-1,a}(v))\alpha &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $L_{-1-1}(v)L_{-1,a}(u)|\alpha\rangle = 0$. If $a = -1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)(u-v+\beta)[L_{-1,+1}(u), L_{-1,-1}(v)]|\alpha\rangle &= \\ (u-v)1(L_{-1+1}(v)L_{-1-1}(u) + \epsilon L_{-1-1}(v)L_{-1+1}(u))\alpha &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and this implies $L_{-1-1}(v)L_{-1+1}(u)|\alpha\rangle = 0$. In a similar way we show that $L_{1,-1}(u)|\alpha\rangle \in V_1$. □

4.1 Relations between weight functions from $C(u)$

Consider the center generating function (2.9), write the matrix product using the metric in the form (2.11)

$$C_{ab}(u) = \sum_k \left(L_{-k,a}(u - \beta) L_{+k,b}(u) + \epsilon L_{+k,a}(u - \beta) L_{-k,b}(u) \right) + \eta L_{0,a}(u - \beta) L_{+0,b}(u),$$

and consider the action of both sides on $|0\rangle$ using the highest weight conditions (2.12), (2.13) and the RLL relation in commutator form (2.6). In the $so(2m+1)$ case the additional term is included with $\eta = 1$ and the other cases correspond to $\eta = 0$. Relations for the weight functions are obtained with $a = i$ and $b = -i$. Let us introduce the notations

$$c_{-k,i}(u, \gamma)|0\rangle = [L_{-k,i}(u - \beta), L_{+k,-i}(u - \gamma)]|0\rangle, \quad \Lambda_i(u, \alpha, \gamma) = \lambda_i(-u + \alpha)\lambda_{-i}(-u + \gamma). \quad (4.5)$$

The first argument u will be suppressed.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i,-i}(u) &= \sum L_{-k,i}(u - \beta) L_{+k,-i}(u) + \epsilon \sum L_{+k,i}(u - \beta) L_{-k,-i}(u) + \eta L_{0,i}(u - \beta) L_{0,-i}(u), \\ C_{i,-i}(u)|0\rangle &= \sum_{k < i} [L_{-k,i}(u - \beta) L_{+k,-i}(u)]|0\rangle + \lambda_i(-u + \beta)\lambda_{-i}(u)|0\rangle \\ &= \Lambda_i(\beta, 0)|0\rangle + \sum_{k < i} c_{-k,i}(0)|0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The special case of $C_{0,0}$ is obtained by substituting the index value $i \rightarrow 0$ but the upper limit of the sum as $i - 1 \rightarrow m$,

$$C_{0,0}(u)|0\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m c_{-k,0}(0)|0\rangle + \Lambda_0(\beta, 0)|0\rangle.$$

The commutator at $u - v + \beta \rightarrow 0$ is to be calculated. We write (2.6) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma - \beta)\gamma[L_{a,b}(u - \beta), L_{c,d}(u - \gamma)] &= \gamma(L_{c,b}(u - \gamma)L_{a,d}(u - \beta) - L_{c,b}(u - \beta)L_{a,d}(u - \gamma)) + \\ &(\gamma - \beta)\{\epsilon_{ac} \sum L_{k,b}(u - \beta)L_{-k,d}(u - \gamma) + \epsilon L_{-k,b}(u - \beta)L_{+k,d}(u - \gamma) - \\ &\epsilon_{db} \sum L_{c,k}(u - \gamma)L_{a,-k}(u - \beta) + \epsilon L_{c,-k}(u - \gamma)L_{a,+k}(u - \beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

With the notations (4.5) the commutation relation implies

$$\gamma c_{-k_1,k}(\gamma) = \sum_{k_2 < k} c_{-k_2,k} + \sum_{k_2 < k_1} c_{-k_1,k_2} + \Lambda_k(\beta, \gamma) - \Lambda_{k_1}(\beta, \gamma).$$

We need also the special case with $c_{-k_1,0}$. It is obtained by the substitution of k as the index value $k \rightarrow 0$ but as the upper limit of the sum $k \rightarrow m + 1$. The r.h.s. will be abbreviated by $B_{k_1,k}(\gamma)$.

Expanding in γ around $\gamma = 0$ we obtain

$$B_{k_1,k}(0) = 0, \quad c_{-k_1,k}(0) = B_{k_1,k}^{(1)}, \quad c_{-k_1,k}^{(s-1)} = \frac{1}{s} B_{k_1,k}^{(s)}. \quad (4.7)$$

The superscript (s) denotes the s -fold derivative with respect to γ at $\gamma = 0$.

Let us consider the case of evaluation of order s , where the expansion (2.4) is truncated at s , i.e. $L^{(p)} = 0, p > s$. In this case we redefine $L(u)$ multiplying it by u^s . Then $c_{-k_1,k}^{(p)} = 0$ for $p \geq s$, thus the last of the equations (4.7) imply relations for Λ_i . In the case of quadratic evaluation we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *In the quadratic evaluation the weight functions obey $m - 1$ relations, which for the index pair $i, i + 1$ have the form*

$$\Lambda_i(u, \beta - i + 1, 1) = \Lambda_{i+1}(u, \beta - i + 1, 1), \quad (4.8)$$

In the case $so(2m + 1)$ there is the m th relation

$$\Lambda_m(u, \frac{1}{2}, 1) = \Lambda_0(u, \frac{1}{2}, 1), \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\Lambda_a(u, \alpha, \gamma) = \lambda_a(-u + \alpha)\lambda_{-a}(-u + \gamma).$$

Proof

Consider (4.7) and recall that $B^{(s)}$ is an expression in terms of $c^{(s)}$ and $\Lambda^{(s)}$. The latter abbreviates the s -fold γ derivative of $\Lambda(u, \beta, \gamma)$ at $\gamma = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} c_{-k_1, k}^{(s-1)} &= \frac{1}{s} \left\{ \Lambda_k^{(s)} - \Lambda_{k_1}^{(s)} + \sum_{k_2 < k} c_{-k_2, k}^{(s)} + \sum_{k_2 < k_1} c_{-k_1, k_2}^{(s)} \right\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \left\{ \Lambda_k^{(s)} - \Lambda_{k_1}^{(s)} \right\} + \frac{1}{s(s+1)} \sum_{k_2 < k} \left\{ \sum_{k_3 < k} c_{-k_3, k}^{(s+1)} + \sum_{k_3 < k_2} c_{-k_1, k_3}^{(s+1)} + \Lambda_k^{(s+1)} - \Lambda_{k_2}^{(s+1)} \right\} + \\ &= \frac{1}{s(s+1)} \sum_{k_2 < k_1} \left\{ \sum_{k_3 < k_2} c_{-k_3, k_2}^{(s+1)} + \sum_{k_3 < k_1} c_{-k_2, k_3}^{(s+1)} + \Lambda_{k_2}^{(s+1)} - \Lambda_{k_1}^{(s+1)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This may be continued with higher derivatives and more extended sums. In the quadratic evaluation case the expressions with second derivatives are sufficient, because in this case $c_{-k_1, k}^{(2)} = 0$.

The sums simplify for low values of the indices at $c_{-k_1, k}^{(s-1)}$, e.g.

$$c_{-1, 2}^{(s-1)} = \frac{1}{s} \{ \Lambda_2^{(s)} - \Lambda_1^{(s)} \} + c_{-1, 2}^{(s)}, \quad (4.10)$$

We obtain

$$C_{-1, 1}(u)|0\rangle = \Lambda_1(\beta, 0)|0\rangle = \lambda_1(-u + \beta)\lambda_1(-u)|0\rangle, \quad C_{-2, 2}(u)|0\rangle = (\Lambda_2(\beta, 0) + c_{-1, 2}(0))|0\rangle.$$

In the case of evaluation of order s we have $c_{-i, k}^{(s)} = 0$ and the last term can be calculated iteratively by (4.10).

$$\begin{aligned} C_{-2, 2}(u)|0\rangle &= (\Lambda_2(\beta, 0) + \Lambda_2^{(1)}(\beta, 0) - \Lambda_1^{(1)}(\beta, 0) + c_{-1, 2}^{(1)}(0))|0\rangle = \\ &= (\Lambda_2(\beta, 0) + \Lambda_2^{(1)}(\beta, 0) - \Lambda_1^{(1)}(\beta, 0))|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda_2^{(2)}(\beta, 0) - \Lambda_1^{(2)}(\beta, 0) + c_{-1, 2}^{(2)}(0))|0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(4.3) implies $C_{-1, 1}(u)|0\rangle = C_{-2, 2}(u)|0\rangle$. Therefore in the case of quadratic evaluation we obtain

$$\Lambda_1(\beta, 0) + \Lambda_1^{(1)}(\beta, 0) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1^{(2)}(\beta, 0) = \Lambda_2(\beta, 0) + \Lambda_2^{(1)}(\beta, 0) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2^{(2)}(\beta, 0),$$

Thus we have proven (4.8) for the index pairs 1, 2. Relying on Proposition 2 the analogous relation can be written for the the index pairs $i, i + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$. The value of β

changes in reducing to the lower rank as $\beta \rightarrow \beta - (i - 1)$. In this way we have proven (4.8).

In the case of $so(2m + 1)$ the iterative reduction according to Proposition 2 results in the last step in the Yangian of $so(3)$ type. In order to proof the additional condition (4.9) it is sufficient to consider the $so(3)$ case.

The above sums simplify also in the low rank cases, because the sum indices do not exceed m . Let us consider the case $so(3)$, where $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$. We abbreviate $\Lambda_a(u, \frac{1}{2}, \gamma)$ by $\Lambda_a(\gamma)$.

$$C_{1,-1}|0\rangle = \lambda_1(-u + \frac{1}{2})\lambda_{-1}(u)|0\rangle = C_{0,0}|0\rangle = (\lambda_1(-u + \frac{1}{2})\lambda_{-1}(u) + c_{-1,0})|0\rangle.$$

In the finite order evaluation the last term can be calculated by

$$c_{-1,0}^{(s-1)} = \frac{1}{s}(\Lambda_0^{(s)} - \Lambda_1^{(s)} + c_{-1,0}^{(s)}).$$

In the case of quadratic evaluation we have $c_{-1,0}^{(2)} = 0$ and obtain a relation analogous to (4.8)

$$\Lambda_1(\beta, 0) + \Lambda_1^{(1)}(\beta, 0) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1^{(2)}(\beta, 0) = \Lambda_0(\beta, 0) + \Lambda_0^{(1)}(\beta, 0) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0^{(2)}(\beta, 0),$$

This result for the particular case $so(3)$ leads to the wanted condition (4.9) in the $so(2m+1)$ case by replacing the index values ± 1 by $\pm m$ referring to Proposition 2. □

We add the remark that instead of referring to Proposition 2 the proof can be completed also by analyzing (4.6), (4.7) for general index values. We abbreviate $\Lambda_k(u, \beta, \gamma)$ by $\Lambda_k(\gamma)$.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k,-k}|0\rangle &= \Lambda_k(0)|0\rangle + \sum_{k_1 < k} c_{-k_1, k}(0)|0\rangle = \Lambda_k(0)|0\rangle + \sum_{k_1 < k} \left(\Lambda_k^{(1)}(0) - \Lambda_{k_1}^{(1)}(0) \right) |0\rangle + \\ &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_1 < k} \sum_{k_2 < k} \left(\Lambda_k^{(2)}(0) - \Lambda_{k_2}^{(2)}(0) \right) |0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_1 < k} \sum_{k_2 < k_1} \left(\Lambda_{k_2}^{(2)}(0) - \Lambda_{k_1}^{(2)}(0) \right) |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $c_{-k_1, k}^{(2)} = 0$ which holds in the second order evaluation.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k,-k}|0\rangle &= (\Lambda_k(0) + (k-1)\Lambda_k^{(1)}(0) + \frac{1}{2}(k-1)^2\Lambda_k^{(2)}(0))|0\rangle - \\ &\sum_{k_1 < k} \Lambda_{k_1}^{(1)}(0)|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2}(k-1) \sum_{k_1 < k} \Lambda_{k_1}^{(2)}(0)|0\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_2 < k_1 < k} \Lambda_{k_2}^{(2)}(0)|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_1 < k} (k_1 - 1)\Lambda_{k_1}^{(2)}(0)|0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We use the fact that here the Taylor expansion in γ terminates here at the second order.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k,-k}|0\rangle &= \Lambda_k(k-1)|0\rangle + \sum_{k_1 < k} (\Lambda_{k_1}(0)|0\rangle - \Lambda_{k_1}(1)|0\rangle) - \frac{1}{2}(k-2) \sum_{k_1 < k} \Lambda_{k_1}^{(2)}(0)|0\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(k-2)\Lambda_1^{(2)}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{2}(k-2)\Lambda_{k-1}^{(2)}(0)|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_1=2}^{k-2} (k-2k_1)\Lambda_{k_1}^{(2)}(0)|0\rangle = \end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda_k(k-1)|0\rangle + \sum_{k_1 < k} (\Lambda_{k_1}(0) - \Lambda_{k_1}(1))|0\rangle - (k-2)\Lambda_{k-1}^{(2)}(0)|0\rangle - \sum_{k_1=2}^{k-2} \Lambda_{k_1}^{(2)}(0)|0\rangle.$$

At $k = 2$ the last two terms vanish, at $k = 3$ the last term vanishes.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{3,-3}|0\rangle &= (\Lambda_3(2) + \Lambda_2(0) - \Lambda_2(1) + \Lambda_1(0) - \Lambda_1(1) - \Lambda_2^{(2)}(0))|0\rangle = \\ &= (\Lambda_3(2) - \Lambda_2(2) + \Lambda_2(1) - \Lambda_1(1) + \Lambda_1(0))|0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that the equations $C_{1,-1}|0\rangle = C_{2,-2}|0\rangle = C_{3,-3}|0\rangle$ can be written as

$$\Lambda_1(u, \beta, 1) = \Lambda_2(u, \beta, 1), \quad \Lambda_2(u, \beta, 2) = \Lambda_3(u, \beta, 2).$$

We have restored the suppressed first two arguments. Now we use the fact that a shift in the spectral parameter u in $L(u)$ results in the equivalent Yangian algebra. With the shift $u \rightarrow u - 1$ owing to the definition of $\lambda(u, \alpha, \beta)$ we obtain from the second equation $\Lambda_2(u, \beta - 1, 1) = \Lambda_3(u, \beta - 1, 1)$. This confirms that (4.8) generalizes from the index pairs 1, 2 to 2, 3 as described above according to Proposition 2. This shows another way to prove the proposition.

The relations (4.8), (4.9) generalize easily for higher order evaluations. The involved γ derivatives at $\gamma = 0$ constitute the Taylor expansion with $\gamma = 1$. In this way we obtain for arbitrary order s evaluations the analog of the Proposition 5.14 in [11].

Proposition 4. *In the general case of highest weight representations of the orthogonal and symplectic Yangians the weight functions (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) obey*

$$\frac{\lambda_i(u)}{\lambda_{i+1}(u)} = \frac{\lambda_{-i-1}(u - \beta + i)}{\lambda_{-i}(u - \beta + i)}. \quad (4.11)$$

Here $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ in the cases of $so(2m)$, $sp(2m)$ and $so(2m+1)$. But in the latter case we obtain the m th relation

$$\frac{\lambda_0(u)}{\lambda_m(u)} = \frac{\lambda_{-m}(u + \frac{1}{2})}{\lambda_0(u + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (4.12)$$

Our analysis above was started from the center generating function $C(u)$ and has used (2.6) expanded at $u - v + \beta = 0$. It allows to prove this proposition for arbitrary finite order evaluations. Following [11], by considering (2.6) at $u - v + \beta = 1$ a proof avoiding the Taylor expansion can be done. The index value 1 plays here the analogous role of the value m in [11].

Proof

We start with the commutator $[L_{-1,2}, L_{1,-2}]$ in action on $|0\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)(u-v+\beta)L_{-1,2}(u)L_{1,-2}(v)|0\rangle &= 0 + (u-v)\{\varepsilon(L_{k,2}(u)L_{-k,-2}(v) + \\ &\varepsilon L_{-k,2}(u)L_{k,-2}(v)) - \varepsilon(L_{+1,k}(v)L_{-1,-k}(u) + \varepsilon L_{+1,-k}(v)L_{-1,k}(u))\}|0\rangle = \\ (u-v)\{\varepsilon L_{-1,2}(u)L_{+1,-2}(v) + \varepsilon L_{-2,2}(u)L_{+2,-2}(v) - L_{+1,-1}(v)L_{-1,1}(u)\}|0\rangle & \end{aligned}$$

In the $so(2m+1)$ case the terms in the sums with sum index 0 do not give extra contributions. We obtain

$$(u-v+\beta-1)L_{-1,2}(u)L_{1,-2}(v)|0\rangle = \lambda_2(u)\lambda_{-2}(v) - \lambda_{-1}(v)\lambda_{-1}(u)$$

Choosing $v = u + \beta - 1$ we find

$$\frac{\lambda_1(-u)}{\lambda_2(-u)} = \frac{\lambda_{-2}(-u - \beta + 1)}{\lambda_{-1}(-u - \beta + 1)}$$

According to Proposition 2 we consider the subspace V_1 obeying (4.4). The action of $L_{ab}, a, b \neq \pm 1$ forms a representation of the rank $m - 1$ Yangian with the same weights besides $\lambda_{\pm 1}(u)$. By the same argument the analogous relation involving $\lambda_{\pm 2}, \lambda_{\pm 3}$ is obtained. Proceeding this way we obtain (4.11).

To derive (4.12) first for the case $so(3)$ consider the commutator $[L_{-1,0}(u), L_{1,0}(v)]$. The range in the sum over k is merely $k = 1$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$.

$$(u-v)(u-v+\beta)[L_{-1,0}(u), L_{1,0}(v)]|0 \rangle = (u-v)(L_{-1,0}(u)L_{1,0}(v)|0 \rangle + \lambda_0(u)\lambda_0(v) - \lambda_{-1}(v)\lambda_1(u))$$

We obtain

$$\frac{\lambda_0(-u)}{\lambda_1(-u)} = \frac{\lambda_{-1}(-u + \frac{1}{2})}{\lambda_0(-u + \frac{1}{2})}$$

In the case $so(2m + 1)$ this situation appears in the last step of the reductive iteration according to Proposition 2. This means, for $so(2m + 1)$ the same results holds with the index value 1 replaced by m . □

4.2 The simple weight function ratios

In (2.16) we have defined weight function ratios characterizing the highest weight representations. We are going to explain how each of these m ratios can be related to the weight function ratio of an involved $g\ell(2)$ type Yangian representation.

We consider the algebra $\mathcal{Y}^{-i,i+1}$ generated by

$$L_{-i,i+1}(u), \quad L_{-i-1,i}(u), \quad L_{-i-1,i+1}(u), \quad L_{-i,i}(u),$$

corresponding term by term to the basic $\mathcal{Y}(g\ell(2))$ generator functions

$$L_{1,2}^{g\ell}(u), \quad L_{2,1}^{g\ell}(u), \quad L_{2,2}^{g\ell}(u), \quad L_{1,1}^{g\ell}(u), \quad (4.13)$$

ordered with the one appearing in the highest weight condition (2.15) first, the excitation operator second and further as they appear in the commutation relations. Normalization ambiguities are avoided by observing that the weight functions of the trivial representation coincide being equal to u . Representations of $\mathcal{Y}(g\ell(2))$ on the highest weight vector obeying (2.15) are characterized by the ratio of the weight functions

$$f(u) = \frac{\lambda_1^{g\ell}(u)}{\lambda_2^{g\ell}(u)}. \quad (4.14)$$

In the case of evaluation of order s this function is a ratio of polynomials and equal 1 at infinity. If such a function is given then $L^{g\ell}(u)$ and a highest weight representation can be constructed such that it is the weight function ratio (compare [11], Lemma 5.5). Indeed, let $u_k^+, u_k, k = 1, \dots, s$ be the zeros and poles of $f(u)$. We choose $2s$ Heisenberg canonical pairs,

$$x_\alpha^{(k)}, \quad \partial_\alpha^{(k)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, s, \quad \alpha = 1, 2; \quad [\partial_\alpha^{(k)}, x_\beta^{(l)}] = \delta^{k,l} \delta_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

The linear L operators obeying the RLL relation (2.5) with fundamental R matrix substituted as $R(u) = Iu + P$ can be constructed in the Jordan-Schwinger form as the 2×2 matrix with the elements

$$(L_k^{JS}(u))_{\alpha,\beta} = u\delta_{\alpha,\beta} - x_\alpha^{(k)}\partial_\beta^{(k)}.$$

Compared to the general expansion of $L(u)$ in inverse powers of u as in (2.4) we prefer the form multiplied by the order of evaluation, here by u .

The wanted highest weight representation is spanned by the monomials of $x_\alpha^{(k)}$ and created on the highest weight vector

$$|0\rangle = \prod_{k=1}^s (x_1^{(k)})^{u_k^+ - u_k}$$

by the generators of the L operator obtained by the matrix product

$$L^{gl}(u) = \prod_{k=1}^s L_k^{JS}(u - u_k).$$

We consider the representation of $\mathcal{Y}^{-i,i+1}$ on the highest weight vector $|0\rangle$ of the considered $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{G})$ representation.

We see that $f_i(u)$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, coincide with the ratio $f(u)$ characterizing the corresponding representation of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}^{-i,i+1}$ of $gl(2)$ type.

$$f_i(u) = f(u), \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1 \quad (4.15)$$

After having considered the ratio $f_1(u)$ in this way we may reduce to the subspace V_1 as described in Proposition 2 and turn to $f_2(u)$ as the first weight function ratio of the reduced rank $m-1$ representation. The reduction proceeds in this way down to f_{m-1} . The ratio $f_m(u)$ and its relation to a $gl(2)$ type Yangian representation is to be considered in more detail.

Considering other contained $gl(2)$ type Yangians we find other weight function ratios. They are related to the ones corresponding to the simple roots by multiplication. For example the $gl(2)$ type Yangian representation $\mathcal{Y}^{-1,3}$ corresponds to the ratio

$$\frac{\lambda_1(u)}{\lambda_3(u)} = f_1(u)f_2(u).$$

Thus we have associated a $gl(2)$ type Yangian representation to each of the ratios $f_i(u)$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. How to associate a $gl(2)$ type Yangian representation to $f_m(u)$ will be considered in the following.

4.2.1 The even-dimensional orthogonal case

Proposition 5. *The weight function ratio $f_m(u)$ (2.16) coincides with the one of the $gl(2)$ type Yangian representation,*

$$f_m(u) = f(u),$$

generated by the matrix elements of $L(u)$

$$L_{-m,1-m}(u), \quad L_{m-1,m}(u), \quad L_{m-1,1-m}(u), \quad L_{-m,m}(u),$$

with the ordering of the generators as in (4.13).

Proof

In the $so(4)$ case we see the following two $gl(2)$ Yangian subalgebras. The first one generated by

$$L_{-1,2}(u), \quad L_{-2,1}(u), \quad L_{-2,2}(u), \quad L_{-1,1}(u),$$

is of the type $\mathcal{Y}^{-,+}$ and implies the particular case $i = 1$ of (2.16). The second is generated by

$$L_{-2,-1}(u), \quad L_{1,2}(u), \quad L_{1,-1}(u), \quad L_{-2,2}(u).$$

Note that we have observed the ordering of the generators as in (4.13). The latter $gl(2)$ Yangian subalgebra leads to the relation

$$\frac{\lambda_2(u)}{\lambda_{-1}(u)} = f_2(u) = f(u).$$

This is the m -th relation (2.16) in the particular case of rank $m = 2$.

We complete the proof of the assertion by referring to Proposition 2. □

4.2.2 The symplectic case

Proposition 6. $L_{a,b}(2u)$ with $a, b = \pm m$ generate on $|0\rangle$ a $gl(2)$ type Yangian representation. Relating the matrix indices a, b to the indices α, β of $gl(2)$ as

$$a = -m \rightarrow \alpha = 1, \quad a = +m \rightarrow \alpha = 2, \quad b = -m \rightarrow \beta = 2, \quad b = +m \rightarrow \beta = 1,$$

the weight function ratio $f_m(u)$ (2.16) is related to that $gl(2)$ weight function ratio $f(u)$ as

$$f_m(u) = f\left(\frac{1}{2}u\right).$$

Proof

In the case of $sp(2)$ we show that the commutation relation (2.6) can be rewritten in the form (2.10).

The index range is here $a, b = -1, +1$, we abbreviate the indices as $+, -$ and write the commutation relations in detail. The sum over k in (2.6) consists of one term $k = 1$. For example:

$$(u-v)(u-v+\beta)[L_{-+}(u), L_{++}(v)] = (u-v+\beta)(L_{++}(v)L_{-+}(u) - (L_{++}(u)L_{-+}(v))) + \\ (u-v)\epsilon[\epsilon^2 L_{++}(u)L_{-+}(v) + \epsilon L_{-+}(u)L_{++}(v)]$$

results in

$$(u-v)(u-v+\beta-1)L_{-+}(u)L_{++}(v) = (u-v+1)(u-v+\beta)L_{++}(v)L_{-+}(u) \\ - [(u-v)(1-\epsilon) + \beta]L_{++}(u)L_{-+}(v).$$

In the $sp(2)$ case we have $\epsilon = -1, \beta = 2$, and thus $[(u-v)(1-\epsilon) + \beta] = 2(u-v+1)$.

$$[L_{++}(u), L_{--}(v)] = \frac{2}{u-v}(L_{-+}(v)L_{+-}(u) - L_{-+}(u)L_{+-}(v)), \\ [L_{-+}(u), L_{+-}(v)] = \frac{2}{u-v}(L_{++}(v)L_{--}(u) - L_{++}(u)L_{--}(v)),$$

$$L_{-+}(u)L_{++}(v) = \frac{u-v+2}{u-v}L_{++}(v)L_{-+}(u) - \frac{2}{u-v}L_{++}(u)L_{-+}(v),$$

$$L_{+-}(v)L_{++}(u) = \frac{u-v+2}{u-v}L_{++}(u)L_{+-}(v) - \frac{2}{u-v}L_{++}(v)L_{+-}(u).$$

We write also the last two of these relations as commutators

$$[L_{-+}(u), L_{++}(v)] = \frac{2}{u-v}(L_{++}(v)L_{-+}(u) - L_{++}(u)L_{-+}(v)),$$

$$[L_{++}(u), L_{+-}(v)] = \frac{2}{u-v}(L_{++}(v)L_{+-}(u) - L_{++}(u)L_{+-}(v)),$$

and observe coincidence with the relations for the $g\ell(2)$ case after substituting the spectral parameter as $u \rightarrow 2u$.

$$L^{sp(2)}(2u) = L^{g\ell(2)}(u).$$

The comparison of the fundamental R matrices reads correspondingly

$$R^{sp(2)}(u) = R^{g\ell(2)}\left(\frac{u}{2}\right),$$

which has been noticed e.g. in [11] and [25].

Thus we have the generators

$$L_{-1,-1}(u), \quad L_{+1,+1}(u), \quad L_{+1,-1}(u), \quad L_{-1,+1}(u), \quad (4.16)$$

ordered as in (4.13), and the algebra coincides with the one of $g\ell(2)$ for $u \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}u$. In the trivial representation the weight functions are $\lambda_1(u) = \lambda_2(u) = u$ in the $g\ell(2)$ case but $\lambda_1(u) = -u, \lambda_{-1} = u$ in the $sp(2)$ case.

This implies

$$\lambda_{-1}^{sp(2)}(u) = \lambda_2^{g\ell(2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}u\right), \quad \lambda_1^{sp(2)}(u) = -\lambda_1^{g\ell(2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}u\right). \quad (4.17)$$

In the case of $sp(2m)$ in the last step of the iterative reduction in the sense of Proposition 2 we have the $sp(2)$ type Yangian algebra with generators like (4.16) but with the indices ± 1 replaced by $\pm m$. Therefore we have to substitute also in (4.17) $\lambda_{\pm 1}^{sp(2)}(u)$ by $\lambda_{\pm m}^{sp(2m)}(u)$ and thus

$$f_m(u) = -\frac{\lambda_m^{sp(2m)}(u)}{\lambda_{-m}^{sp(2m)}(u)} = \frac{\lambda_1^{g\ell(2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}u\right)}{\lambda_2^{g\ell(2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}u\right)} = f\left(\frac{1}{2}u\right).$$

□

4.2.3 The odd-dimensional orthogonal case

Proposition 7. *A $g\ell(2)$ type Yangian representation generated by $L^{g\ell}(2u)$ results in a representation of the $so(3)$ type Yangian generated by $L_{ab}(u), a, b = \pm 1, 0$ obtained from the fusion relation*

$$L_{ab}(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_a)_\delta^\alpha (L^{g\ell})^\alpha_\beta(2u)(\sigma_b)_\gamma^\beta ((L^{g\ell})^{-1})^\gamma_\delta(2u+2). \quad (4.18)$$

Any weight function ratio $f_m(u)$ (2.16) of the $so(3)$ type Yangian representation generated by $L_{ab}(u), a, b = \pm m, 0$ in action on the same highest weight vector $|0\rangle$ can be obtained from a $g\ell(2)$ type weight function ratio as

$$f_m(u) = f(2u).$$

Proof

A general L operator of the orthogonal type Yangians can be obtained from the spinorial monodromy T by fusion, where $T(u)$ obeys the analog of the RLL relation (2.5) with the fundamental R matrix replaced by the spinorial one $\mathcal{R}(u)$ [25] and L replaced by T . The indices $a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2$ in (2.5) labelling a basis of the fundamental representation V_f have to be replaced by $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ labeling a basis of the spinor representation. In the case $so(3)$ the fundamental representation is 3 dimensional and the spinor representation 2 dimensional. In this case the spinorial \mathcal{R} matrix is simply related to the fundamental R matrix of $gl(2)$ [23]

$$\mathcal{R}^{so(3)}(u) = R^{gl(2)}(2u) = 2uI + P,$$

here the P denotes the permutation operator, its matrix elements are as in (2.2).

The relation between the R matrices implies for the monodromies a similar relation,

$$T(u) = L^{gl(2)}(2u).$$

Further, we have the fusion relation between the spinorial T_β^α and fundamental L_b^a monodromies,

$$T_\beta^\alpha(u + \beta - \frac{1}{2})(\gamma_b)^\beta_\gamma (T^{-1})^\gamma_\delta (u + \beta + \frac{1}{2}) = L_b^a(u)(\gamma_a)^\alpha_\delta, \quad (4.19)$$

where in the $so(3)$ case $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, the vector indices run over 3 values, $a, b = -1, 0+1$ and the spinor indices over 2 values, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$. The gamma matrices are just the Pauli matrices. In the standard form σ_\pm have just one non-vanishing component, and $\sigma_0 = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ has two.

We obtain the fusion relation between the $gl(2)$ monodromies $L^{gl}(u)$ and the fundamental $so(3)$ monodromies $L(u)$ (4.18). We have e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1,-1}(u) &= (L^{gl})^1_2(2u)((L^{gl})^{-1})^1_2(2u+2), \\ L_{0,-1}(u) &= (L^{gl})^1_2(2u)((L^{gl})^{-1})^1_1(2u+2) - (L^{gl})^2_2(2u)((L^{gl})^{-1})^1_2(2u+2), \\ L_{+1,-1}(u) &= (L^{gl})^2_2(2u)((L^{gl})^{-1})^1_1(2u+2), \\ L_{-1,+1}(u) &= (L^{gl})^1_1(2u)((L^{gl})^{-1})^2_2(2u+2), \\ L_{0,0}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \left((L^{gl})^1_1(2u)((L^{gl})^{-1})^1_1(2u+2) + (L^{gl})^2_2(2u)((L^{gl})^{-1})^2_2(2u+2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (L^{gl})^2_1(2u)((L^{gl})^{-1})^1_2(2u+2) - (L^{gl})^1_2(2u)((L^{gl})^{-1})^2_1(2u+2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$(L^{gl})^{-1}$, the inverse matrix of (L^{gl}) , is calculated by

$$(L^{gl})^{-1} = (\text{qdet} L^{gl}(u-1))^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} L^{gl}_{22}(u-1) & -L^{gl}_{12}(u-1) \\ -L^{gl}_{21}(u-1) & L^{gl}_{11}(u-1) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{qdet} L^{gl}(u-1) = L^{gl}_{11}(u)L^{gl}_{22}(u-1) - L^{gl}_{21}(u)L^{gl}_{12}(u-1).$$

We check that the highest weight conditions for $gl(2)$ (2.15) imply the highest weight conditions (2.12), (2.13) for the resulting $L(u)$. In particular we obtain the weights

$$L_{-1,+1}(u)|0\rangle = \lambda_1(-u)|0\rangle = \text{qdet}^{-1} \lambda_1^{gl}(-2u)\lambda_1^{gl}(-2u-1)|0\rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{-1}(-u) &= qdet^{-1} \lambda_2^{g\ell}(-2u)\lambda_2^{g\ell}(-2u-2), \\ \lambda_0(-u) &= qdet^{-1} \lambda_2^{g\ell}(-2u)\lambda_1^{g\ell}(-2u-1).\end{aligned}$$

$qdet$ stands for the value of the quantum determinant. In order to obtain the result for λ_0 the commutator $[L^{g\ell^1}_2(2u), L^{g\ell^2}_1(2u+1)]$ is calculated according to (2.10). In the weight function ratios the value of the quantum determinant cancels.

In this way we obtain the weight function ratio of the $so(3)$ type Yangian representation in relation to the $gl(2)$ type Yangian representation ratio

$$f_1(u) = \frac{\lambda_1(u)}{\lambda_0(u)} = \frac{\lambda_1^{g\ell}(2u)}{\lambda_2^{g\ell}(2u)} = f(2u).$$

The reductive iteration according to Proposition 2 leads to a $so(3)$ type Yangian in the last step with the generators $L_{a,b}, a, b = \pm m, 0$. We obtain the wanted relation between the weight function ratios,

$$f_m(u) = \frac{\lambda_m(u)}{\lambda_0(u)} = \frac{\lambda_1^{g\ell}(2u)}{\lambda_2^{g\ell}(2u)} = f(2u). \quad (4.20)$$

We have shown above that for any representation with the ratio $f_m(u)$ the corresponding $gl(2)$ type Yangian representation can be constructed. □

4.3 Finite-dimensional representations

We rely on the **Criterion** [26, 27] :

An irreducible representation of highest weight of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(gl(2))$ is finite-dimensional if and only if weight function ratio can be written as

$$f(u) = \frac{\lambda_1^{g\ell}(u)}{\lambda_2^{g\ell}(u)} = \frac{P(u+1)}{P(u)}. \quad (4.21)$$

$P(u)$ is a polynomial in u with the coefficient at the leading term equal to 1. It characterizes this representation uniquely.

We obtain the finiteness conditions for the considered Yangian representations of orthogonal and symplectic types in terms of the weight function ratios (2.16)

$$f_i(u) = \frac{\lambda_i(u)}{\lambda_{i+1}(u)} = \frac{P_i(u+1)}{P_i(u)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad f_m(u) = \frac{P_m(u+\Delta)}{P_m(u)}, \quad (4.22)$$

where the definition of the latter ratio (2.16) and the shift Δ are specific for the cases: $\Delta = 1$ for $so(2m)$, $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ for $so(2m+1)$ and $\Delta = 2$ for $sp(2m)$.

We have shown that the m conditions (4.22) are necessary for a representation module to contain a finite-dimensional sub-module. We have obtained the set of conditions in the form corresponding to our formulation of the highest weight relations, modifying in details the form obtained in [11]. There it is proven that the set of conditions is also sufficient for finding a finite-dimensional representation. This proof consists basically in constructing finite-dimensional representations for all the weight function sets obeying the conditions.

The finiteness conditions can be formulated in terms of the positions of zeros and poles of the ratio functions. In the case of evaluation of order s finite-dimensional representations

are encountered if these positions obey the conditions that, after appropriate ordering, the differences $u_{i,k}^+ - u_{i,k}$ are all real, and for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ non-negative integer and for $i = m$, specific to the cases, non-negative integer for $so(2m)$, non-negative half-integer for $so(2m+1)$, non-negative even-integer for $sp(2m)$. Then each of the ratios can be written in terms of a polynomial as above (4.22).

5 Representations of the quadratic evaluation

In the case of quadratic evaluation, where the expansion in (2.4) is truncated after the second non-trivial term, L can be written as (2.17).

5.1 Algebra relations

The algebra relations are obtained from (2.5) or from (2.6). The commutation relations of the matrix elements of G are written above in (2.7) and the commutation relations between the matrix elements of G and H are as in (2.8). The commutation relation of the matrix elements of H can be derived from (2.6) in the limit $u \rightarrow 0, v \rightarrow 0$.

$$[H_{a_1 b_1}, H_{a_2, b_2}] = H_{a_2 b_1} G_{a_1 b_2} - G_{a_2 b_1} H_{a_1 b_2} + \beta^{-1} (\epsilon \epsilon_{a_1 a_2} (H^t H)_{b_1 b_2} - \epsilon_{b_1 b_2} (H H^t)_{a_2 a_1}). \quad (5.1)$$

Further constraints resulting from the truncation are contained in the generating function of the center (2.9).

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ab}(u) &= L_{da}(u-\beta) \epsilon^{ed} L_{eb}(u) = \left((u-\beta)^2 \epsilon_{da} + (u-\beta) G_{da} + H_{da} \right) \epsilon^{ed} \left(u^2 \epsilon_{eb} + u G_{eb} + H_{eb} \right) = \\ &= u^2 (u-\beta)^2 \epsilon_{ab} + u^2 (u-\beta) \left(G_{ab} + \epsilon G_{ba} \right) + u (u-\beta) \left(H_{ab} + \epsilon H_{ba} + G_{da} \epsilon^{ed} G_{eb} - \beta G_{ab} \right) + \\ &+ u \left(H_{da} \epsilon^{ed} G_{eb} + G_{da} \epsilon^{ed} H_{eb} - \beta (H_{ab} - \epsilon H_{ba}) \right) + \left(H_{da} \epsilon^{ed} H_{eb} - \beta G_{da} \epsilon^{ed} H_{eb} + \beta^2 H_{ab} \right) = \\ &= u^2 (u-\beta)^2 \epsilon_{ab} + u^2 (u-\beta) \mathbf{C}_{ab}^{(2.1)} + u (u-\beta) \mathbf{C}_{ab}^{(2.3)} + u \mathbf{C}_{ab}^{(2.6)} + \mathbf{C}_{ab}^{(2.8)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

We recognize in $\mathbf{C}_{ab}^{(2.1)} = \mathbf{c}^{(2.1)} \epsilon_{ab}$, $\mathbf{C}_{ab}^{(2.3)} = \mathbf{c}^{(2.3)} \epsilon_{ab}$, $\mathbf{C}_{ab}^{(2.6)} = \mathbf{c}^{(2.6)} \epsilon_{ab}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{ab}^{(2.8)} = \mathbf{c}^{(2.8)} \epsilon_{ab}$ the symmetric constraints deduced in [21] from the RLL Yang-Baxter relation and labelled there as the constraints no. 1, 3, 6, 8.

$$\begin{aligned} G + \epsilon G^t &= c^{(2.1)} I, \\ H + \epsilon H^t + \epsilon G^t G - \beta G &= c^{(2.3)} I, \\ \epsilon H^t G + \epsilon G^t H - \beta (H - \epsilon H^t) &= c^{(2.6)} I, \\ \epsilon (H - \beta G)^t H + \beta^2 H &= c^{(2.8)} I. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

A shift in the argument of $L(u)$ results in the equivalent algebra and can be applied to obtain a vanishing $c^{(2.1)}$. Then the first condition in (5.3) reduces to the statement that the matrix G is ϵ -antisymmetric.

The relations of the case of the linear evaluation are obtained from the above by the substitution $H = 0$. The first relation remains unchanged. The second relation results in the second order polynomial condition on the matrix G ,

$$G^2 + \beta G = c_2 I, \quad n c_2 = \text{tr} G^2. \quad (5.4)$$

5.2 The conditions on the representation weights

We have considered relations of the weight functions following from the generating function of the center $C(u)$. They are appropriate to formulate compactly the restrictions on the weight functions implied by truncation of (2.4).

We have (4.8) and the analogous relations for the index values 1, 2 replaced by $i, i + 1$, $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ as formulated in Proposition 3.

We write the weight functions decomposed in powers of u in analogy to (2.17), $\lambda_i^{[1]}$ and $\lambda_i^{[2]}$ are the eigenvalues of $G_{-i,i}$ and $H_{-i,i}$ in action on the highest weight vector $|0\rangle$. Thus weight functions have the form (2.19) and (3.1) reads

$$\Lambda_i(u, \gamma) = \left((u - \beta)^2 \varepsilon + (u - \beta) \lambda_i^{[1]} + \lambda_i^{[2]} \right) \left((u - \gamma)^2 + (u - \gamma) \lambda_{-i}^{[1]} + \lambda_{-i}^{[2]} \right).$$

We substitute to (4.8),

$$\left((u - \beta + i - 1)^2 \varepsilon + (u - \beta + i - 1) \lambda_i^{[1]} + \lambda_i^{[2]} \right) \left((u - 1)^2 + (u - 1) \lambda_{-i}^{[1]} + \lambda_{-i}^{[2]} \right) = \quad (5.5)$$

$$\left((u - \beta + i - 1)^2 \varepsilon + (u - \beta + i - 1) \lambda_{i+1}^{[1]} + \lambda_{i+1}^{[2]} \right) \left((u - 1)^2 + (u - 1) \lambda_{-i-1}^{[1]} + \lambda_{-i-1}^{[2]} \right).$$

We have $m - 1$ relations of this type restricting the components of the weight functions. In the case $so(2m + 1)$ we have the additional m th relation

$$\left(\left(u - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \varepsilon + \left(u - \frac{1}{2} \right) \lambda_m^{[1]} + \lambda_m^{[2]} \right) \left((u - 1)^2 + (u - 1) \lambda_{-m}^{[1]} + \lambda_{-m}^{[2]} \right) = \quad (5.6)$$

$$\left(\left(u - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tilde{\lambda}_0^{[2]} \right) \left((u - 1)^2 + \tilde{\lambda}_0^{[2]} \right).$$

We have used $\lambda_0^{[1]} = 0$, $\tilde{\lambda}_0^{[2]} = 0$.

5.2.1 The linear evaluation

Proposition 8. *The representations of the linear evaluations of the Yangian algebra are characterized by the weights λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$ obeying for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$*

$$(\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i)(\lambda_{i+1} + \lambda_i - \varepsilon(\beta - i)) = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

and in the case $so(2m + 1)$ the additional m th relation

$$\lambda_m \left(\lambda_m + \frac{1}{2} \right) = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof

In the linear evaluation case we have to substitute in (5.5) and (5.6) $\lambda_a^{[2]} = 0$. We abbreviate $\lambda_i^{[1]} = \lambda_i$. A factor $(u - 1)(u - \beta + i - 1)$ can be cancelled. We expand in powers of u and observe that only the terms independent of u result in conditions on λ_i , which can be written in the above form. \square

In the case $so(2m + 1)$ we obtain two solutions for λ_m . Choosing one of them the relation (5.7) at $i = m - 1$ has two solutions for $\lambda_{m-1}^{[1]}$. Continuing we get a set of 2^m m -tuples of allowed weights.

For example, the sequence of allowed weights

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

corresponds to the sequence of weight function ratios

$$f_1(u), \dots, f_{m-1}, f_m(u) = 1, \dots, 1, \frac{u + \frac{1}{2}}{u} \quad (5.9)$$

and the further example of a weight sequence solving (5.7) and (5.8),

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}, 0\right),$$

corresponds to

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u + \frac{1}{2}}{u}, 1.$$

Thus in the case $so(2m + 1)$ there is no continuous parameter in the allowed sequences of weights.

This is different in the other cases, the linear evaluations of $so(2m)$ or $sp(2m)$ type Yangians, where the allowed representations have one continuous parameter in their weights. In particular allowed weights may be

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m) = (\lambda, \dots, \lambda, \lambda),$$

where λ can take any complex value. The corresponding sequence of weight function ratios is

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u - \epsilon\lambda}{u + \epsilon\lambda}. \quad (5.10)$$

Let us consider another example of a weight sequence solving (5.7), in the $so(2m)$ case

$$(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, -\lambda) \quad (5.11)$$

and the corresponding weight function ratios,

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u - \lambda}{u + \lambda}, 1.$$

In the $sp(2m)$ case we have for example the allowed weight sequence

$$(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, -\lambda - 2) \quad (5.12)$$

and the corresponding weight function ratios,

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u + \lambda}{u - \lambda - 2}, \frac{u - \lambda - 2}{u + \lambda + 2}.$$

5.2.2 The quadratic evaluation

We analyse (5.5). First we observe the particular solution $\lambda_i^{[1]} = \lambda_{i+1}^{[1]} = \lambda$, $\bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]} = \bar{\lambda}_{i+1}^{[2]} = \bar{\lambda}$. In the cases $so(2m)$, $sp(2m)$ we have just the $m - 1$ conditions (5.5), and this implies the allowed sequences of weights $\lambda_i^{[1]}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]}$ of the form

$$(\lambda, \dots, \lambda); (\bar{\lambda}, \dots, \bar{\lambda}) \quad (5.13)$$

In the case $so(2m + 1)$ we have the m th condition (5.6) restricting the values of the parameters $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$. A particular solution is $\lambda = 0, \bar{\lambda} = 0$ involving the trivial representation. Other allowed sequences of weights are obtained from the following analysis.

We separate even and odd parts in $\lambda_i^{[2]}$, $\lambda_i^{[2]} = \tilde{\lambda}_i^{[2]} + \bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{-i}^{[2]} = \epsilon \tilde{\lambda}_i^{[2]}$, $\bar{\lambda}_{-i}^{[2]} = -\epsilon \bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]}$. Let us abbreviate the notation as $\lambda_i^{[1]} = -\epsilon \lambda_{-i}^{[1]} \rightarrow \lambda_i$, $\bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]} = -\epsilon \bar{\lambda}_{-i}^{[2]} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_i$.

We calculate $\tilde{\lambda}_i^{[2]}$. The second of the constraints (5.3) implies that it is the eigenvalue of $\frac{1}{2}(G^2 + \beta G + k)_{-i,i}$ in action on $|0\rangle$. Here $k = c^{(2,3)}$. Using the commutation relations (2.7) and the conditions of highest weight (2.12), (2.13) we obtain

$$2\tilde{\lambda}_{-i}^{[2]} = 2\epsilon \tilde{\lambda}_i^{[2]} = \lambda_i(\lambda_i - \epsilon(\beta - i + 1)) - \epsilon \sum_{j < i} \lambda_j + k. \quad (5.14)$$

In the case $i = 1$ we substitute in (5.5)

$$2\tilde{\lambda}_{-1}^{[2]} = \lambda_1^2 - \beta\epsilon\lambda_1 + k, \quad 2\tilde{\lambda}_{-2}^{[2]} = \lambda_2^2 - \beta\epsilon\lambda_2 + k + \epsilon(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1).$$

We decompose (5.5) for $i = 1$ in powers of u and find that the partial conditions at u^4 , u^3 and u^2 are fulfilled and do not imply restrictions on $\lambda_2, \lambda_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \bar{\lambda}_1$. This is expected because by the substitutions (2.19), (5.14) we have introduced the solutions of the first two of the symmetric constraints (5.3). At u^1 we have

$$\bar{\lambda}_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon(\beta - 1)) = \bar{\lambda}_2(\lambda_2 - \epsilon(\beta - 1)).$$

A particular solution is $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2, \bar{\lambda}_1 = \bar{\lambda}_2$. We see that $\bar{\lambda}_1 = \bar{\lambda}_2$ implies $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ unless $\bar{\lambda}_1 = \bar{\lambda}_2 = 0$.

For generic values of i (5.5) implies the $m - 1$ conditions ($i = 1, \dots, m - 1$)

$$\bar{\lambda}_i(\lambda_i - \epsilon(\beta - i)) = \bar{\lambda}_{i+1}(\lambda_{i+1} - \epsilon(\beta - i)). \quad (5.15)$$

In the case of $so(2m + 1)$ we have the m th condition

$$\bar{\lambda}_m(\lambda_m + \frac{1}{2}) = 0.$$

It fixes $\lambda_m = -\frac{1}{2}$ unless $\bar{\lambda}_m$ vanishes.

Let us analyse in detail the particular case $\bar{\lambda}_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proposition 9. *In the case where in the weight functions of quadratic evaluation representations all the odd parts in the last term $\lambda_i^{[2]} = \tilde{\lambda}_i^{[2]} + \bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]}$ vanish, i.e. $\bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]} = 0$, the conditions on the weights $\lambda_i^{[1]} = \lambda_i$ have the factorized form*

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1})(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} - 2\epsilon(\beta - i))$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_{i+1}^2) - \epsilon(\beta - i + 1)(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1}) + \epsilon\lambda_{i+1} + k - \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \lambda_j + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i)^2\right) = 0, \quad (5.16)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$. In the case of $so(2m + 1)$ we have the m th relation

$$\lambda_m(\lambda_m + 1) \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_m(\lambda_m - 1) - \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j + k + \frac{1}{8} \right) = 0.$$

Proof

The relevant contributions in (5.5) are the ones independent of u . Consider this relation for $i = 1$. We cancel $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(k + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta)^2\right) \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + 1 - \beta\right) = \frac{\epsilon}{4}((\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) - \left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) + \right. \\ & \left. (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right)\right) \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right)\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_2\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right)\right) \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\lambda_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now one can show that the r.h.s. can be divided by the second factor of the l.h.s. This is expected because k is the value of one of the central elements. In this way we obtain the factorization for the case $i = 1$. We use Proposition 2 and (5.14) to obtain the result for generic i . Note that it takes to substitute $\beta \rightarrow \beta - i + 1$, $k \rightarrow k - \sum_{j < i} \lambda_j$. (5.6) implies the particular form of the m th relation in the case $so(2m + 1)$. \square

Let us discuss typical sequences of weights $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ solving (5.16) in the cases $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$.

The condition (5.16) is fulfilled in a simple way if the first factor vanishes for all i . This results in the allowed sequence of weights (5.13) with $\bar{\lambda} = 0$. It corresponds to the sequence of weight function ratios

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u^2 - u\epsilon\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 - \beta\epsilon\lambda + k)}{u^2 + u\epsilon\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 - \beta\epsilon\lambda + k)}. \quad (5.17)$$

Both λ and k can take arbitrary values. We notice that at the special value of k , $k = -\lambda^2 + \epsilon\beta\lambda$, the weight functions (5.17) coincide with the ones of the corresponding case of linear evaluation (5.10).

The vanishing of the second factor at some i_0 changes the values for the next λ_{i_0+1} and the following weights. For example the sequence

$$(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, \mu, \dots, \mu), \quad (5.18)$$

where the first i_0 weights have the equal value λ and the remaining $m - i_0$ weights have all the value $\mu = -\lambda + 2\epsilon(\beta - i_0)$ is a solution. The change in the sequence is determined by β , i.e. is fixed by the rank. The corresponding sequence of weight function ratios is for $m > i_0 + 1$

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u^2 - u\epsilon\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 - \beta\epsilon\lambda + k)}{u^2 - u\epsilon\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 - \beta\epsilon\mu + \epsilon i_0(\mu - \lambda) + k)}, 1, \dots, 1. \quad (5.19)$$

The non-trivial ratio stands at the position i_0 .

If in the case $so(2m + 1)$ the conditions (3.13) and (3.14) are fulfilled by the vanishing of the first two factors we obtain weight sequences with no free parameter, but the central element value k is free. For example if we choose $\lambda_m = 0$ as solution of (3.14) and the

vanishing of the second bracket appears at i_0 only then we obtain the solution of the form (5.18) with $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda = 2(\beta - i_0)$. The weight function ratios have the form (5.19) with these values and $\varepsilon = +1$.

By the vanishing of the third factor in (5.16) the amount of change in the weight sequence is also determined by the value of the central element $k = c^{(2,3)}$. In this way the sequence

$$(\lambda, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{5.20}$$

solves the condition with the value of k fixed at

$$k = -\frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \varepsilon\beta\lambda.$$

The corresponding weight function ratios $f_1(u), f_2(u), \dots, f_m(u)$ are

$$\frac{u - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)}{u + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)}, 1, \dots, 1, \tag{5.21}$$

if the rank $m > 2$. It holds also for $so(3)$ and $sp(4)$. In the case $so(4)$ we have $f_1(u) = f_2(u)$ with the above expression for $f_1(u)$. In the case $sp(2)$ we have

$$f_1(u) = \frac{(2u + \lambda - 1)(2u + \lambda + 1)}{(2u - \lambda - 1)(2u - \lambda + 1)}.$$

The finiteness conditions are fulfilled if $-\varepsilon\lambda$ is a non-negative integer, besides of the case $sp(2)$ where the values of λ should be even non-negative integer or $\lambda = 1$, corresponding to the fundamental representation in this case. In the case $so(3)$ also half-integer values of $-\lambda$ fulfil the finiteness conditions. The example of the Jordan-Schwinger L operator considered below has just this form of weights.

In analogous way considering the case of the vanishing of the third factor for other values of $i = i_0$ we obtain the solution with the sequence of weights $\lambda_i^{[1]}$ of the form

$$(\lambda, \dots, \lambda, 0, \dots, 0). \tag{5.22}$$

The first entry 0 stands at the position $i_0 + 1$. Now the value of k is fixed at

$$k = -\frac{1}{2}(\beta - i_0)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \varepsilon(\beta - i_0 + 1)\lambda.$$

If the rank m exceeds $i_0 + 1$ then the weight function ratios are

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i_0)}{u + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i_0)}, 1, \dots, 1$$

with the non-trivial entry at the position i_0 . The modifications for the ranks $m = i_0$ and $m = i_0 + 1$ are analogous to the ones at $i_0 = 1$.

Let us consider the case that the third factor in (5.16) vanishes for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, and solve for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$.

$$k + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) - \varepsilon\beta\lambda_1 - \varepsilon(\beta - 1)\lambda_2 = 0,$$

$$k + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2) - \varepsilon\lambda_1 - \varepsilon(\beta - 1)\lambda_2 - \varepsilon(\beta - 2)\lambda_3 = 0.$$

If we do not fix the value of k beforehand, it will be fixed by choosing two of the three weights. The first condition is fulfilled by fixing k ,

$$k = -\frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^2 + \epsilon\beta\lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2^2 + \epsilon(\beta - 1)\lambda_2, \quad (5.23)$$

but the consistency of both conditions implies the following restriction on λ_1 and λ_3

$$(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)(\lambda_1 + \epsilon - 2\epsilon(\beta - 1)) = \lambda_3(\lambda_3 - 2\epsilon(\beta - 2)), \quad (5.24)$$

with arbitrary values of λ_2 . We calculate the first weight function ratio using (5.23),

$$f_1(u) = \frac{u + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)}{u - \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)},$$

and the second using (5.23), (5.24),

$$f_2(u) = \frac{(u + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_2 + \lambda_1) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1))(u - \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1))}{(u + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_3 + \lambda_2) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 2))(u - \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 2))}.$$

Here λ_1 and λ_3 are related by (5.24). Let us proceed with its particular solution $\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 = \epsilon$, then we obtain $f_2(u) = 1$. In this case, for $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ $m > 2$, we have the sequence of allowed weights

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1 - \epsilon, \dots, \lambda_1 - \epsilon)$$

and the sequence of weight function ratios

$$\frac{u + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)}{u - \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1)}, 1, \dots, 1. \quad (5.25)$$

The straightforward generalization of this solution is given by the sequence of allowed weights

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1 - \epsilon, \dots, \lambda_1 - \epsilon)$$

and the sequence of weight function ratios

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u + \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i_0 + 1)}{u - \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta - i_0 + 1)}, 1, \dots, 1, \quad (5.26)$$

where λ_2 and the non-trivial ratio are standing at the position i_0 and $m > i_0 + 2$ is assumed.

In the case $so(2m + 1)$, $m > 2$ the forms (5.22) of the weight sequences are allowed too. (5.26) holds for the particular value $\lambda_1 = \epsilon = 1$.

6 Examples of the linear evaluation

6.1 Presentations based on Clifford algebras

For the spinorial representations [9, 22, 18, 19] let us consider the case that the generators are composed in terms of an underlying algebra, which in turn is generated by c^a , obeying the commutation relations of quantum oscillators or of the Clifford algebra

$$c^a c^b + \epsilon c^b c^a = \epsilon^{ba}, \quad c_a = \epsilon_{ab} c^b, \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_a c^b + \epsilon c^b c_a = \delta_a^b, \quad (6.1)$$

$$c_a c_b + \epsilon c_b c_a = \epsilon \varepsilon_{ab}, \quad c_a c^a = \frac{n}{2} = \epsilon c^b c_b,$$

We recall that n is the dimension of the fundamental representation space V_f . We may consider c^a as operators in the spinor space (of dimension 2^m) or in the Fock space of fermions or bosons.

Let us compose the Lie algebra generators as

$$G_{ab} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} - c_a c_b, \quad (6.2)$$

and $L(u)$ as

$$L_{ab}(u) = u \varepsilon_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} (c_a c_b - c_b c_a) = (u + \frac{1}{2}) \varepsilon_{ab} - c_a c_b.$$

We check that the Lie algebra relations are fulfilled. Further,

$$(G^2 + \beta G)_{ab} = \frac{\epsilon}{4} (n - \epsilon) \varepsilon_{ab} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} (\beta + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \varepsilon_{ab}.$$

In this way the composite generators (6.2) fulfil the additional condition of the linear evaluation. Thus the spinor representation (6.1) provides an example of the linear evaluation of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}(\mathcal{G})$.

The particular metric choice (2.11) and the index range separation divides also the set c^a into rising and lowering operators. In the case of $so(2m+1)$ we have additionally the idempotent operator c_0 , $2c_0^2 = 1$. A vector $|0\rangle$ obeys the highest weight conditions (2.12), (2.13) if

$$c_{-i}|0\rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

We calculate the weights from

$$G_{-ii}|0\rangle = \lambda_i^{[1]}|0\rangle, \quad G_{-ii} = \frac{1}{2} - c_{-i}c_i.$$

The weights of the representation built on this vector are

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = (-\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}). \quad (6.3)$$

We have additionally the highest weight vector obeying in the $so(2m)$ case

$$c_{-i}|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad c_m|\tilde{0}\rangle = 0,$$

with the weights

$$(-\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}).$$

We notice that $|\tilde{0}\rangle = c_m|0\rangle$ and find that also in the symplectic case the analogous vector obeys the highest weight conditions. The weights are

$$(-\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}).$$

We check that the weight conditions (5.7) are fulfilled. In the case of $|0\rangle$ the condition is fulfilled because the first factor in (5.7) vanishes. In the case of $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ the change in the value of the weight λ_m is related to the second factor (5.7), vanishing with this value at $i = m-1$.

The ratios of the weight functions defined as (3.4), $\lambda_i(u) = -u\epsilon + \lambda_i$, $\lambda_{-i}(u) = -u - \epsilon\lambda_i$, are in the $so(2m)$ representation built on $|0\rangle$ a particular case of (5.10)

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u + \frac{1}{2}}{u - \frac{1}{2}},$$

and in the $so(2m)$ representation built on $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ a particular case of (5.11)

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u + \frac{1}{2}}{u - \frac{1}{2}}, 1.$$

The finiteness criterion is fulfilled in both cases. The sequence of Drinfeld polynomials $P_1(u), \dots, P_m(u)$ is in the case $|0\rangle$ $1, \dots, 1, u - \frac{1}{2}$, and in the case $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ $1, \dots, 1, u - \frac{1}{2}, 1$. The ratios of the weight functions are in the $sp(2m)$ representation built on $|0\rangle$ a particular case of (5.10)

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u - \frac{1}{2}}{u + \frac{1}{2}},$$

and in the $sp(2m)$ representation built on $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ a particular case of (5.12)

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u - \frac{1}{2}}{u - \frac{3}{2}}, \frac{u - \frac{3}{2}}{u + \frac{3}{2}}.$$

The finiteness criterion is not fulfilled.

In the case of $so(2m + 1)$ we have additionally the highest weight condition (2.13), which is fulfilled by $|0\rangle$ but not by $|\tilde{0}\rangle$. (6.3) is the only weight sequence here. The weight function ratios in the $so(2m + 1)$ representation built on $|0\rangle$ are a particular case of (5.9)

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u + \frac{1}{2}}{u}.$$

The finiteness criterion is fulfilled and the Drinfeld polynomials are $1, \dots, 1, u$.

In sect. 5.2 we have seen that there is no continuous parameter in the allowed sequence of weights for the linear evaluation of $so(2m + 1)$ type Yangian representations. The described spinorial representation and a finite number of related representations are the only ones in the linear evaluation.

In the cases $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ there are other representations of the linear evaluation depending on one continuous parameter.

6.2 Presentations based on Heisenberg algebras

In the cases $so(2m), sp(2m)$ there are examples of linear evaluation representations constructed in [28] for the case $so(2m)$.

The matrix of generators $G = (G_b^a)$ results in the L operator of the linear evaluation $L(u) = Iu + G$ if besides of the Lie algebra relations (2.7) the constraint

$$G^2 + \beta G = c_2 I, \tag{6.4}$$

is fulfilled (compare 5.3 for $H = 0$).

A similar relation holds for the matrix of generators G^{sl} of the Jordan-Schwinger presentation of $sl(2)$,

$$(G^{sl})^2 + G^{sl} = \ell(\ell + 1)I.$$

It is connected with the property of factorization [29]

$$G^{sl} = \begin{pmatrix} -\ell - 1 + \partial x & \partial \\ x(2\ell + 1 - \partial x) & \ell - x\partial \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\ell - 1 & \partial \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The L operator $L^{sl}(u) = Iu + G^{sl}$ obeys the RLL equation (2.5) with Yang's R matrix $R(u) = Iu + P$, where the matrix elements of I and P are as in (2.2) with the indices taking the values 1, 2 only.

For the cases of $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ we construct the generator matrix G of size $2m \times 2m$ by replacing the single Heisenberg pair x, ∂ by $\frac{1}{2}m(m \mp 1)$ pairs x_j^i, ∂_l^k , antisymmetric or symmetric in the indices. In order to obey (6.4) we copy the above form with x, ∂ replaced by $m \times m$ matrices $\hat{x}, \hat{\partial}$ with matrix elements x_j^i, ∂_l^k . They are assumed to be antisymmetric in the orthogonal and symmetric in the symplectic case,

$$x_j^i = -\epsilon x_i^j, \quad \partial_l^k = -\epsilon \partial_k^l.$$

The entries without x or ∂ are replaced as proportional to the $m \times m$ unit matrix \hat{I} . The constraint on G^2 is fulfilled by the factorized form if we modify the first block on the diagonal by replacing $-\ell - 1$ by $-\ell - \beta$

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{I} & 0 \\ -\hat{x} & \hat{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\ell \hat{I} - \beta \hat{I} & \hat{\partial} \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{I} & 0 \\ \hat{x} & \hat{I} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(6.4) holds with $c_2 = \ell(\ell + \beta)$.

We assume the commutation relations of Heisenberg type,

$$[\partial_j^i, x_l^k] = \delta_j^k \delta_l^i - \epsilon \delta_k^i \delta_l^j, \quad (6.5)$$

compatible with the ϵ anti-symmetry of the matrices.

The reason for the replacement $1 \rightarrow \beta$ is seen from the commutation relations,

$$\partial_k^i x_j^k = \delta_k^k \delta_j^i - \epsilon \delta_k^i \delta_j^k + x_k^j \partial_i^k = \beta \delta_j^i + x_k^j \partial_i^k.$$

The matrix of generators appears in a 2×2 block form with $m \times m$ matrices in the blocks. The matrix elements are labelled by signs $\sigma, \rho = \pm$ referring to the blocks and by $i, j = 1, \dots, m$.

$$\begin{aligned} G_{+j}^{+i} &= -(\ell + \beta) \delta_j^i + \partial_k^i x_j^k, \\ G_{-j}^{+i} &= \partial_j^i, \\ G_{+j}^{-i} &= x_k^i ((2\ell + \beta) \delta_j^k - \partial_l^k x_j^l), \\ G_{-j}^{-i} &= \ell \delta_j^i - x_k^i \partial_j^k. \end{aligned}$$

We adopt the metric (2.11) and use it to lower indices.

$$\begin{aligned} G_{-i,+j} &= -\epsilon(\ell + \beta) \delta_j^i + \epsilon \partial_k^i x_j^k, \\ G_{-i,-j} &= \epsilon \partial_j^i, \\ G_{+i,+j} &= x_k^i ((2\ell + \beta) \delta_j^k - \partial_l^k x_j^l), \\ G_{+i,-j} &= \ell \delta_j^i - x_k^i \partial_j^k. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

The ϵ anti-symmetry of G , $G_{ab} = -\epsilon G_{ba}$ holds.

As the main point the commutation relations (2.7) have to be checked.

$$\begin{aligned} [G_{-i_1, +j_1}, G_{-i_2, j_2}] &= [(\partial x)_{i_1, j_1}, (\partial x)_{i_2, j_2}] = -\delta_{j_2}^{i_1} (\partial x)_{j_2}^{i_1} + \delta_{j_2}^{i_1} (\partial x)_{j_1}^{i_2} + \epsilon \delta_{i_2}^{i_1} x_{j_2}^{j_1} - \epsilon \delta_{i_1}^{i_2} x_{j_1}^{j_2} = \\ &= -\epsilon \delta_{j_2}^{i_1} G_{-i_1, +j_2} + \epsilon \delta_{j_2}^{i_1} G_{-i_2, +j_1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$[G_{-i_1, +j_1}, G_{-i_2, -j_2}] = [(\partial x)_{i_1, j_1}, \partial_{j_2}^{i_2}] = -\partial_{j_2}^{i_1} \delta_{j_1}^{i_2} + \epsilon \delta_{i_2}^{i_1} \delta_{j_2}^{j_1} = -\epsilon G_{-i_1, -j_2} \delta_{j_1}^{i_2} - \epsilon G_{-i_2, -i_1} \delta_{j_2}^{j_1}.$$

Here the ϵ anti-symmetry has been used.

$$\begin{aligned} [G_{+i_1, +j_1}, G_{-i_2, -j_2}] &= (2\ell + \beta) \epsilon [x_{j_1}^{i_1}, \partial_{j_2}^{i_2}] - \epsilon [(x \partial x)_{j_1}^{i_1}, \partial_{j_2}^{i_2}] = (2\ell + \beta) \epsilon (-\delta_{j_2}^{i_1} \delta_{j_1}^{i_2} + \delta_{i_2}^{i_1} \delta_{j_1}^{j_2}) + \\ &+ \epsilon \{ (x \partial)_{j_2}^{i_1} \delta_{j_1}^{i_2} - \epsilon (x \partial)_{i_2}^{i_1} \delta_{j_2}^{j_1} + \delta_{j_2}^{i_1} (\partial x)_{j_1}^{i_2} - \epsilon (\partial x)_{j_1}^{j_2} \delta_{i_2}^{i_1} \} = \\ &= -\epsilon \delta_{i_2}^{j_1} G_{+i_1, -j_2} + \delta_{j_2}^{i_1} G_{-i_2, j_1} + \delta_{i_2}^{i_1} G_{+j_1, -j_2} - \epsilon \delta_{j_1}^{j_2} G_{-i_2, i_1}. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the weight functions of the representations by polynomials of the matrix elements x_k^i and the highest weight vector 1.

$$L_{ab} = u \varepsilon_{ab} + G_{ab},$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-i, +i}(u) \cdot 1 &= \lambda_i(-u) \cdot 1, & L_{+i, -i}(u) \cdot 1 &= \lambda_{-i}(-u) \cdot 1, \\ \lambda_i(u) &= -\epsilon(u + \ell), & \lambda_{-i}(u) &= -u + \ell. \end{aligned}$$

For the weight function ratios we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda_i(u)}{\lambda_{i+1}(u)} = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad \frac{\lambda_m(u)}{\lambda_{-m}(u)} = \frac{\lambda_m(u)}{\lambda_{1-m}(u)} = \epsilon \frac{u + \ell}{u - \ell}. \quad (6.7)$$

The sequence of weight function ratios is in both $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ cases

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{u + \ell}{u - \ell}.$$

It coincides with (5.10) for $\lambda = -\epsilon \ell$.

For non-negative integer values of 2ℓ the only non-trivial ratio $f_m(u)$ can be written in terms of the polynomial $P(u) = (u - \ell)(u - \ell + 1) \dots (u + \ell - 1)$ as $\frac{P(u+1)}{P(u)}$. In the orthogonal case this is the condition for the representation module to have a finite-dimensional submodule (4.22).

In the symplectic case the finiteness condition (4.22) is however

$$\frac{\lambda_m(u)}{\lambda_{-m}(u)} = -\frac{P(u+2)}{P(u)}$$

We see that 2ℓ should be an even non-negative integer to obey this condition with the polynomial $P(u) = (u - \ell)(u - \ell + 2) \dots (u + \ell - 2)$.

By comparing the particular case of $sp(2)$ with $sl(2)$ we understand why the condition for terminating the sequence of excitations by action by $(G_{+1, +1})^r$ on $|0\rangle = 1$ can be fulfilled by some value of r only if 2ℓ is an even integer. Indeed, whereas in the case of $sl(2)$ the commutation relation of the basic Heisenberg pair is $[\partial, x] = 1$, the modified commutation relation (6.5) used in the construction implies in the case $sp(2)$, where the indices i, j take only a single value, $[\partial, x] = 1 + 1$.

7 Examples of the quadratic evaluation

7.1 Product of linear L

We consider L operators constructed by product of two L operators of the linear evaluation.

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(u) &= I_1u + G_1, & L_2(u) &= uI_2 + G_2, & G_i^2 + \beta G_i &= c_2^{(i)} I_i, \\ L_{12}(u) &= L_1(u)L_2(u + \delta). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$L_{12}(u) = Iu^2 + u(G_1 + G_2 + \delta I) + \delta G_1 + G_1G_2 = Iu'^2 + u'G + H, \quad u' = u - \delta'.$$

We choose $\delta' = -\frac{1}{2}\delta$, such that

$$G = G_1 + G_2.$$

We obtain for the ϵ -antisymmetric parts of H , $H = \frac{1}{2}(G^2 + \beta G + k) + \bar{H}$,

$$\bar{H} = \frac{1}{2}(G_1G_2 - G_2G_1) + \frac{1}{2}\delta(G_1 - G_2), \quad k = -\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 - c_2^{(1)} - c_2^{(2)}.$$

The resulting L_{12} depends on δ and on the parameters in the factors. In the cases $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ we have L operators depending on one parameter (6.4), (6.6). If we choose as L_1, L_2 such expressions the resulting $L_{12}(u)$ depends on three parameters ℓ_1, ℓ_2, δ .

Let the representations of the factors be built on the corresponding highest weight vectors $|0\rangle_1, |0\rangle_2$ and consider the representation of $L_{12}(u)$ built on $|0\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2$.

The weight function ratios multiply, $f_{12,i}(u) = f_{1,i}(u)f_{2,i}(u + \delta)$, the resulting sequence of ratios is

$$1, \dots, 1, \frac{(u + \ell_1)(u + \delta + \ell_2)}{(u - \ell_1)(u + \delta - \ell_2)}.$$

The parameters enter the weight functions in the following way.

$$\lambda_i = -\epsilon(\ell_1 + \ell_2), \quad \bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]} = -\frac{\epsilon\delta}{2}(\ell_1 - \ell_2),$$

$$k = -\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 - \ell_1(\ell_1 + \beta) - \ell_2(\ell_2 + \beta) = -\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 2\beta)(\ell_1 + \ell_2) - \frac{1}{2}(\ell_1 - \ell_2)^2.$$

We have the case of non-vanishing $\bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]}$. These weight components as well as $\lambda_i^{[1]}$ are independent of i and therefore (5.15) holds. We have a particular case of (5.13).

With the L operators constructed on Clifford algebras (6.2) we obtain other cases of non-vanishing $\bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]} = \bar{\lambda}_i$. Let us choose both L_1 and L_2 in the form (6.2) and consider the representation built on $|0\rangle \otimes |\tilde{0}\rangle$. Actually, only the m th component of $\bar{\lambda}_i$ is non-zero, $\bar{\lambda}_m \neq 0$. (5.15) is fulfilled for $i = 1, \dots, m - 2$ because here the weight components do not depend on i . (5.15) for $i = m - 1$ holds in the way that the expressions on both sides vanish in both the $so(2m)$ and $sp(2m)$ cases. We obtain for the weight sequences $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m); (\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m)$ in the orthogonal case

$$(-1, \dots, -1, 0); (0, \dots, 0, \frac{\delta}{2})$$

and in the symplectic case

$$(-1, \dots, -1, -2); (0, \dots, 0, \frac{\delta}{2}).$$

The weight function ratios are calculated as above by multiplication.

7.2 Jordan-Schwinger presentations

We consider the quadratic evaluation (2.17) in the case where the ε anti-symmetric part of H vanishes, then by (5.3)

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(G^2 + \beta G + kI). \quad (7.1)$$

k is the value of $c^{(2,3)}$ (5.3) in the present case.

In the prominent example of Jordan-Schwinger the generators G are built from the canonical pairs

$$[\partial_a, x_b]_{-\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_{ab}, \quad (7.2)$$

in the following way

$$G_{ab} = -\varepsilon(x_a \partial_b - \varepsilon x_b \partial_a). \quad (7.3)$$

The index range includes n values, as above we choose $a, b = -m, \dots, -1, (0), +1, \dots, m$ with the value 0 included in the case of $so(2m+1)$.

The matrix elements of G obey (2.7). For the matrix elements of H we obtain

$$2H_{ab} = ((x\partial) + (\beta - 1))\varepsilon(x_a \partial_b + \varepsilon x_b \partial_a) + ((x\partial) + k)\varepsilon_{ab} - x^2 \partial_a \partial_b - x_a x_b x^2. \quad (7.4)$$

$(x\partial)$ denotes the scalar product, $(x\partial) = x_a \partial^a$.

The quadratic Casimir operator is obtained as

$$nc_2 = SpG^2 = (G^2)^a_a = 2\varepsilon\{(x\partial)^2 - x^2 \partial^2 + (1 + \varepsilon)\beta(x\partial)\}. \quad (7.5)$$

Here $x^2 = x_a x^a$, $\partial^2 = \partial_a \partial^a$.

We consider representations by functions of x_a . The highest weight conditions in the form (2.12),(2.13) are fulfilled with the highest weight vector represented by

$$\psi(x) = (x_{-1})^{2\ell}. \quad (7.6)$$

The representation of functions of x_a built on ψ is spanned by the homogeneous functions of degree 2ℓ . Therefore in the above expression the dilatation operator $(x\partial)$ is replaced by 2ℓ . Studying the action of the excitation elements in G we see that for integer values of 2ℓ the representation space has a finite-dimensional subspace invariant under the Lie algebra action.

We notice that in the symplectic case, where the canonical pairs are of Grassmann type, the value of the representation parameter is restricted to $2\ell = 1$ or $2\ell = 0$. The latter results in the trivial and the former in the fundamental representations. It results also in an apparent ambiguity in the ε dependence of the above expressions (7.4), (7.5) due to relations like $(1 - \varepsilon)((x\partial) - 1)x_a \partial_b = 0$.

We investigate the $gl(2)$ Yangian subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}^{-1,2}$ with index values $a = -i = -1, -2$, $b = j = 1, 2$ and consider the representations D on functions of x_{-1}, x_{-2} .

$$(L_{-i,j})|_D = u^2 \varepsilon_{-i,j} + u(G_{-i,j})|_D + (H_{-i,j})|_D,$$

$$(G_{-i,j})|_D = -\varepsilon x_{-i} \partial_j, \quad 2(H_{-i,j})|_D = ((x\partial) - 1 + \beta)\varepsilon x_{-i} \partial_j + ((x\partial) + k)\varepsilon_{-i,j} - 0.$$

On functions homogeneous of degree 2ℓ we substitute $(x\partial) \rightarrow 2\ell$ and obtain

$$L_{-i,j}(u)|_{D,\lambda} = (u^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2\ell + k))\varepsilon_{-i,j} + \frac{1}{2}(2\ell - 1 + \beta - 2u)\varepsilon x_{-i} \partial_j.$$

The reduced monodromy should be transformed to the standard JS form of $g\ell(2)$ L operators, $L^{g\ell}(u) = Iu - x_a \partial_b$, by allowed equivalence operations. This is possible if the first coefficient, quadratic in u , can be divided by the second, linear in u . k is fixed by this condition

$$k = -(x\partial) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta + (x\partial) - 1)^2 \quad (7.7)$$

up to contributions vanishing in the considered representation.

Representations built on the highest weight vector $\psi = x_{-1}^{2\ell}$ result in the weight function ratio $f_1(u)$. For other ratios of weight functions we have to go beyond the subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}^{-1,2}$ of $g\ell(2)$ type. We calculate the weight functions from

$$G_{-1,1}\psi = -\epsilon 2\ell\psi, \quad G_{+1,-1}\psi = 2\ell\psi, \quad G_{-i,i}\psi = 0, \quad G_{i,-i}\psi = 0, \quad i > 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2H_{-1,1}\psi &= \epsilon 2H_{+1,-1}\psi = [(2\ell + k)\epsilon + (2\ell - 1 + \beta)\epsilon 2\ell]\psi, \\ 2H_{-i,i}\psi &= \epsilon 2H_{+i,-i}\psi = (2\ell + k)\epsilon\psi, \quad i > 1. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence of weights coincides with (5.20) with the substitution $\lambda = -\epsilon 2\ell$. We check now by direct calculation that also the weight function ratios coincide.

We substitute (7.7), $2\ell + k = -\frac{1}{2}(\beta - 1 + 2\ell)^2$, and obtain the weight functions

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i(-u) &= u^2\epsilon - \frac{1}{4}(\beta\epsilon - 1 + 2\ell)^2, \quad i \neq 1 \\ \lambda_1(-u) &= u^2\epsilon - u 2\ell\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\{2\ell(2\ell - 1 + \beta)\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon(2\ell - 1 + \beta)^2\} = \\ &= \epsilon(u - \frac{1}{2}(2\ell + \beta - 1))(u - 2\ell + \frac{1}{2}(2\ell + \beta - 1)) \end{aligned}$$

We obtain also

$$\lambda_{-1}(-u) = \epsilon\lambda_1(+u).$$

We obtain the sequence of weight function ratios

$$\begin{aligned} &f_1(u), 1, \dots, 1, \\ f_1(u) &= \frac{u + 2\ell - \frac{1}{2}(2\ell + \beta - 1)}{u - \frac{1}{2}(2\ell + \beta - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

This applies for $so(2m+1)$ for all ranks m and for $so(2m)$ for $m > 2$ with arbitrary values of 2ℓ . It applies for $sp(2m)$ where by construction 2ℓ is restricted to the values 0 and 1. In the case $so(4)$ we have the two weight function ratios coinciding $f_1(u) = f_2(u)$ with $f_1(u)$ given in (7.8) and $\beta = 1$.

In this way we have confirmed that the the representations resulting from the Jordan-Schwinger L operator constructed with G as in (7.3) on the highest weight vector represented by (7.6) covers the the quadratic evaluation representations (5.20), (5.21) for all values of λ in the orthogonal cases and for the distinguished values $\lambda = 0, 1$ for the symplectic case.

7.3 L operators of spinorial type

We consider further cases of the quadratic evaluation (2.17) where the ϵ -antisymmetric part of H vanishes (7.1). Now G is not constructed like in (7.3). We consider the elements of $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ab,cd} &= G_{ab}G_{cd} + G_{ac}G_{db} + G_{ad}G_{bc} + G_{cd}G_{ab} + G_{db}G_{ac} + G_{bc}G_{ad} \\ &= 2(G_{ab} + \epsilon\epsilon_{ab})G_{cd} + 2(G_{ac} + \epsilon\epsilon_{ac})G_{db} + 2(G_{ad} + \epsilon\epsilon_{ad})G_{bc} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

and impose the condition of vanishing for all index values [21]. This condition implies in particular the vanishing of the third order polynomial in G ,

$$W_{ab,cd}G^{bc} = 4\chi_{ad}^{(3)} = 0, \quad \chi^{(3)}(G) = G^3 + (\epsilon + 2\beta)G^2 + (2\epsilon\beta - \epsilon nc_2)G - nc_2. \quad (7.10)$$

We stress that the particular representation for G is defined by the algebraic restriction (7.10).

The condition is fulfilled for the Jordan-Schwinger form (7.3) of the generators and in particular for the fundamental representation.

The vanishing condition for W (7.9) is connected to the linear spinorial evaluation,

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = Iu + \hat{G}, \quad \hat{G} = -\frac{1}{2}c^a c^b G_{ab}.$$

c^a are the generators of the Clifford algebra (6.1). If (7.9) vanish for G_{ab} then $\mathcal{L}(u)$ obeys the modification of the Yang-Baxter RLL-relation (2.5) with the fundamental R matrix replaced by the spinorial R matrix [22, 19, 25]. The condition (7.9) can be written in a form analogous to the condition of linear evaluation (6.4),

$$\hat{G}^2 + \beta\hat{G} = \frac{1}{2}c_2 I.$$

Consider the action of W (7.9) on the highest weight vector, in particular of its tensor components $ab, cd = -ii, -jj, \quad i < j$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{-ii,-jj}|0\rangle &= \lambda_i \lambda_j + [G_{-i-j}, G_{ji}]|0\rangle + G_{-ij}, G_{i-j}]|0\rangle + \lambda_i \lambda_j + 0 + 0 = \\ &= 2\lambda_i \lambda_j - \lambda_i - \lambda_j + \lambda_i - \lambda_i = 2(\lambda_i - 1)\lambda_j, \end{aligned}$$

and $ab, cd = -ii, -ii$,

$$W_{-ii,-ii}|0\rangle = 2\lambda_i^2 + [G_{-i-i}, G_{ii}]|0\rangle - 2\epsilon\lambda_i^2 = 2(1 - \epsilon)(\lambda_i - 1)\lambda_i.$$

The condition $W = 0$ implies

$$(\lambda_i - 1)\lambda_j = 0, \quad (i < j), \quad (1 - \epsilon)(\lambda_i - 1)\lambda_i = 0. \quad (7.11)$$

The second relation does not imply any restriction on λ_i in the orthogonal case where $\epsilon = +1$. In the symplectic case it restricts the values of λ_i to be either 0 or 1. The first relation is fulfilled in particular if $\lambda_i = 0, \quad i > 1$ as in the Jordan-Schwinger example and in general by weight sequences of the form

$$(1, \dots, 1, \lambda, 0, \dots, 0).$$

In the orthogonal case this class of representations coincides with (5.26) for the particular values $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda$. In the symplectic case we notice the coincidence with (5.22) with the particular value $\lambda = 1$.

8 Discussion

The extended Yangian algebra of the orthogonal or symplectic types has a non-trivial center. Factorizing the center leads from the extended Yangian algebra as defined by the Yang-Baxter *RLL* relations to the Yangian algebra according to Drinfeld definitions [6, 7, 27, 12, 13].

The algebra relations of the extended Yangian algebra $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{G})$ appear from the *RLL* relations as equalities in $End(V_f)_1 \otimes End(V_f)_2 \otimes \mathcal{Y}$, where V_f is the fundamental representation space. The part of these relations symmetric in the permutation of the first two factors can be obtained more directly from the generating function $C(u)$ of the center.

The highest weight representations can be described by the weight functions $\lambda_a(u)$. In our conventions $\lambda_a(u)$ is the eigenvalue of $L_{-a,a}(-u)$ in action on the highest weight vector $|0\rangle$. A sequence of embedded Yangian representations of the same type but lower rank is a powerful tool in studying representations [11].

Representations can be characterized by m ratios of weight functions chosen as in (2.16) related to the simple roots. Yangian representations of $gl(2)$ type can be associated to each of these ratios. For most ratios $f_i(u), i = 1, \dots, m - 1$, this association can be done in a straightforward way by choosing sets of matrix elements of $L(u)$ as generators of the corresponding $gl(2)$ Yangian. It works less trivially for $f_m(u)$ leading in the cases of $sp(2m)$ and $so(2m + 1)$ to changes in the weight function arguments.

It is known that these ratios turn out to be ratios of polynomials in the spectral parameter with definite shifts of arguments in the case of finite-dimensional representations [26, 27, 11]. The conditions of finiteness can be formulated as relations between the zeros and poles of the ratio functions $f_i(u)$.

Relations between the weight functions and their expansion components are derived from the center generating function $C(u)$. We have recovered in this way the general relations derived in [11]. We have formulated the relations for the cases of linear and quadratic evaluation and have studied their solutions. There are $m - 1$ such relations restricting the weight functions in all cases and an additional m th relation in the $so(2m + 1)$ case.

The conditions on the weight components of the linear evaluation representations factorize in two factors linear in the weights. In the cases $sp(2m)$ and $so(2m)$ there are series of representations with the weights depending on one parameter, which can take arbitrary values. In the case $so(2m + 1)$ the representations admitted by the conditions form a discrete set.

For the quadratic evaluation we have the analogous complete characterization of the representations in the case where the ε -antisymmetric parts of the second weight components vanish, $\bar{\lambda}_i^{[2]} = 0$. The conditions can be written in terms of three factors, two linear in the weights and one bilinear. In the cases $sp(2m)$ and $so(2m)$ there are series of representations with the weights depending on up to three parameters, which can take arbitrary values. In the case $so(2m + 1)$ the representations admitted by the conditions have no more than one continuous parameter.

We have presented examples of L operators constructed explicitly on the basis of an underlying algebra of Clifford or Heisenberg types and an example related to the spinorial R operator. We have compared the weights of the related representations to the ones obtained as solutions of the weight conditions for the linear and quadratic evaluation.

Acknowledgments

We thank A. Molev for useful discussions.

The work of D.K. was partially supported by the Armenian State Committee of Science grant 18T-132 and by the Regional Training Network on Theoretical Physics sponsored by Volkswagenstiftung Contract nr. 86 260.

References.

- [1] L.D. Faddeev, E.K. Sklyanin and L.A. Takhtajan, “The Quantum Inverse Problem Method. 1,” *Theor. Math. Phys.* **40** (1980) 688 [*Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **40** (1979) 194]
- [2] V.O. Tarasov, L.A. Takhtajan and L.D. Faddeev, “Local Hamiltonians for integrable quantum models on a lattice,” *Theor. Math. Phys.* **57** (1983) 163
- [3] P.P. Kulish and E.K. Sklyanin , “Quantum spectral transform method. Recent developments,” *Lect. Notes in Physics*, **v151**, (1982) , 61-119.
- [4] L.D. Faddeev, “ How Algebraic Bethe Ansatz works for integrable model,” In: *Quantum Symmetries/Symetries Quantiques, Proc.Les-Houches summer school, LXIV*. Eds. A.Connes,K.Kawedzki, J.Zinn-Justin. North-Holland, 1998, 149-211, [hep-th/9605187]
- [5] A.B. Zamolodchikov and Al.B. Zamolodchikov, “Factorized S Matrices in Two-Dimensions as the Exact Solutions of Certain Relativistic Quantum Field Models,” *Annals Phys.* **120** (1979) 253. Al.B. Zamolodchikov, “Factorizable Scattering in Assymptotically Free 2-dimensional Models of Quantum Field Theory,” PhD Thesis, Dubna (1979), unpublished
- [6] V.G. Drinfeld, “Hopf algebras and quantum Yang-Baxter equations,” *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **32** (1985) 254-258.
- [7] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum Groups*, in *Proceedings of the Intern. Congress of Mathematics, Vol. 1* (Berkeley, 1986), p. 798.
- [8] B. Berg, M. Karowski, P. Weisz and V. Kurak, “Factorized U(n) Symmetric s Matrices in Two-Dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **134** (1978) 125.
- [9] N.Yu. Reshetikhin, “Integrable models of quantum one-dimensional models with $O(n)$ and $Sp(2k)$ symmetry,” *Theor. Math. Fiz.* **63** (1985) 347-366.
- [10] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N: Crampe, L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, “R-Matrix presentation for super-Yangians $Y(\text{osp}(m-2n))$,” *J. Math.Phys.* **44** (2003), 302-208, math.QA/0111325.
- [11] D. Arnaudon, A. Molev, E. Ragoucy, “On the R-matrix realization of Yangians and their representations”, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **7** (2006), no. 7-8, 1269-1325. arXiv:math/0511481.
- [12] N. Jing, M. Liu and A. Molev “Isomorphism between the R-Matrix and Drinfeld presentations of Yangian in types B,C and D,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **361**, 827-872 (2018), arXiv:1705.08166.
- [13] N. Guay, V. Regelskis and C. Wendlandt, “Equivalences between three presentations of orthogonal and symplectic Yangians,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **109** (2019) no.2, 327-379 doi:10.1007/s11005-018-1108-6 [arXiv:1706.05176 [math.RT]].
- [14] L. N. Lipatov, “High-energy asymptotics of muticolor QCD and exactly solvable lattice models”, *JETP Lett.* **59**(1994) 596, Padua preprint DFPD/93/TH/70, hep-th/9311037.
- [15] N. Beisert and M. Staudacher, “The N=4 SYM integrable super spin chain,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **670** (2003) 439 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.08.015 [hep-th/0307042]
- [16] J. M. Drummond, J. M. Henn and J. Plefka, “Yangian symmetry of scattering amplitudes in N=4 super Yang-Mills theory,” *JHEP* **0905** (2009) 046 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/05/046 [arXiv:0902.2987 [hep-th]].
- [17] D. Chicherin, S. Derkachov and R. Kirschner, “Yang-Baxter operators and scattering amplitudes in N=4 super-Yang-Mills theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **881** (2014) 467 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.02.016 [arXiv:1309.5748 [hep-th]].

- [18] D. Chicherin, S. Derkachov and A. P. Isaev, “Conformal group: R-matrix and star-triangle relation,” JHEP **04**(2013), 020 arXiv:1206.4150 [math-ph]; “The spinorial R-matrix,” J. Phys. A **46** (2013) 485201. arXiv:1303.4929 [math-ph].
- [19] A. P. Isaev, D. Karakhanyan, R. Kirschner, “Orthogonal and symplectic Yangians and Yang-Baxter R operators,” Nucl. Phys. **B904** (2016) 124147, arXiv:1511.06152
- [20] J. Fuksa, A. P. Isaev, D. Karakhanyan and R. Kirschner, “Yangians and Yang-Baxter R-operators for ortho-symplectic superalgebras,” Nucl. Phys. B **917** (2017), 44-85 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2017.01.029 [arXiv:1612.04713 [math-ph]].
- [21] D. R. Karakhanyan and R. Kirschner, “Orthogonal and symplectic Yangians - linear and quadratic evaluations,” Nucl. Phys. B **933** (2018), 14-39 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2018.05.022 [arXiv:1712.06849 [math-ph]];
 “Second-order evaluations of orthogonal and symplectic Yangians,” Theor. Math. Phys. **192** (2017) no.2, 1154. doi:10.1134/S0040577917080062
- [22] R. Shankar and E. Witten, “The S Matrix of the Kinks of the $(\psi^- \text{bar } \psi)^{**2}$ Model,” Nucl. Phys. B **141** (1978) 349 [Erratum-ibid. B **148** (1979) 538].
- [23] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, “Algebraic Bethe ansatz for $SO(N)$ -invariant transfer matrices.” J. Sov. Math. **54** (1991), 940.
- [24] A.P. Isaev, Quantum groups and Yang-Baxter equations, preprint MPIM (Bonn), MPI 2004-132, (http://webdoc.sub.gwdg.de/ebook/serien/e/mpi_mathematik/2004/132.pdf).
- [25] D. Karakhanyan and R. Kirschner, “Spinorial R operator and Algebraic Bethe Ansatz,” Nucl. Phys. B **951** (2020) 114905 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2019.114905 [arXiv:1911.08385 [math-ph]].
- [26] V. Tarasov, “Structure of quantum L-operators for the R-matrix of XXZ-model,” Theor. Math. Phys. **61** (1984), 1065-1071;
 “Irreducible Monodromy Matrices for the R Matrix of the Xxz Model and Local Lattice Quantum Hamiltonians,” Theor. Math. Phys. **63** (1985), 440-454 doi:10.1007/BF01017900
- [27] V.G. Drinfeld, “A New realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras,” Sov. Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 212-216
- [28] R. Frassek, “Oscillator realisations associated to the D-type Yangian: Towards the operatorial Q-system of orthogonal spin chains,” Nucl. Phys. B **956** (2020), 115063 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.115063 [arXiv:2001.06825 [math-ph]].
- [29] S. Derkachov, “Factorization of the R-matrix. I.,” Zapiski nauchnykh seminarov POMI, v 335 , pp 134-163(2006)[arXiv: math.qa/0503396].
- [30] D. Karakhanyan and R. Kirschner, “Orthogonal and symplectic Yangians - representations of the quadratic evaluation,” J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **1194** (2019) no.1, 012058 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1194/1/012058;
 D. R. Karakhanyan and R. Kirschner, “Orthogonal and symplectic Yangians and Lie algebra representations,” Theor. Math. Phys. **198** (2019) no.2, 239-248 doi:10.1134/S0040577919020053