

# Powers of paths in tournaments

Nemanja Draganić\*    François Dross†    Jacob Fox‡    António Girão§  
 Frédéric Havet¶    Dániel Korándi\*\*    William Lochet††    David Munhá Correia\*  
 Alex Scott‖    Benny Sudakov\*

## Abstract

In this short note we prove that every tournament contains the  $k$ -th power of a directed path of linear length. This improves upon recent results of Yuster and of Girão. We also give a complete solution for this problem when  $k = 2$ , showing that there is always a square of a directed path of length  $\lceil 2n/3 \rceil - 1$ , which is best possible.

## 1 Introduction

One of the main themes in extremal graph theory is the study of embedding long paths and cycles in graphs. Some of the classical examples include the Erdős–Gallai theorem [3] that every  $n$ -vertex graph with average degree  $d$  contains a path of length  $d$ , and Dirac’s theorem [2] that every graph with minimum degree  $n/2$  contains a Hamilton cycle. A famous generalization of this, conjectured by Pósa and Seymour, and proved for large  $n$  by Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [5], asserts that if the minimum degree is at least  $kn/(k + 1)$ , then the graph contains the  $k$ -th power of a Hamilton cycle.

In this note, we are interested in embedding directed graphs in a tournament. A tournament is an oriented complete graph. The  $k$ -th power of the directed path  $\vec{P}_\ell = v_0 \dots v_\ell$  of length  $\ell$  is the graph  $\vec{P}_\ell^k$  on the same vertex set containing a directed edge  $v_i v_j$  if and only if  $i < j \leq i + k$ . The  $k$ -th power of a directed cycle is defined analogously. An old result of Bollobás and Häggkvist [1] says that, for large  $n$ , every  $n$ -vertex tournament with all indegrees and outdegrees at least  $(1/4 + \varepsilon)n$  contains the  $k$ -th power of a Hamilton cycle

---

\*Department of Mathematics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. Emails: {nemanja.draganic, david.munhacanascorreia, benjamin.sudakov}@math.ethz.ch. Research supported in part by SNSF grant 200021\_196965

†Université de Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux INP, LaBRI, UMR 5800, F-33400, Talence, France. Email: francois.dross@u-bordeaux.fr. Research supported in part by ERC grant No 714704.

‡Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. Email: jacobfox@stanford.edu. Research supported by a Packard Fellowship and by NSF award DMS-185563.

§Institut für Informatik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany. E-mail: tzigirao@gmail.com.

¶CNRS, Université Côte d’Azur, I3S, INRIA, Sophia Antipolis, France. Email: frederic.havet@inria.fr. Research supported in part by Agence Nationale de la Recherche under contract Digraphs ANR-19-CE48-0013-01.

‖Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Andrew Wiles Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford, United Kingdom. Emails: {korandi, scott}@maths.ox.ac.uk.

\*\*Supported by SNSF Postdoc.Mobility Fellowship P400P2\_186686.

††Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, Norway. Email: william.lochet@uib.no. Research supported by ERC grant No 819416.

(the constant  $1/4$  is optimal). However, we cannot expect to find powers of directed cycles in general, as the transitive tournament contains no cycles at all.

What about powers of directed paths? A classical result, which appears in every graph theory book (see, e.g., [7]), says that every tournament contains a directed Hamilton path. On the other hand, Yuster [6] recently observed that some tournaments are quite far from containing the square of a Hamilton path. In particular, there is an  $n$ -vertex tournament that does not even contain the square of  $\vec{P}_{2n/3}$ , and more generally, for every  $k \geq 2$ , there are tournaments with  $n$  vertices and no  $k$ -th power of a path with more than  $nk/2^{k/2}$  vertices. In the other direction, Yuster proved that every tournament with  $n$  vertices contains the square of a path of length  $n^{0.295}$ . This was improved very recently by Girão [4], who showed that for fixed  $k$ , every tournament on  $n$  vertices contains the  $k$ -th power of a path of length  $n^{1-o(1)}$ . Both papers noted that no sublinear upper bound is known. Our main result shows that the maximum length is in fact linear in  $n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , every  $n$ -vertex tournament contains the  $k$ -th power of a directed path of length  $n/2^{4k+6k}$ .*

The proof of this theorem combines Kővári–Sós–Turán style arguments, used for the bipartite Turán problem, and median orderings of tournaments. A median ordering is a vertex ordering that maximizes the number of forward edges. Theorem 1 and Yuster’s construction show that an optimal bound on the length has the form  $n/2^{\Theta(k)}$ . It would be interesting to find the exact value of the constant factor in the exponent. Optimizing our proof can yield a lower bound of  $n/2^{ck+o(k)}$  with  $c \approx 3.9$ , but is unlikely to give the correct bound.

We also improve the exponential constant in the upper bound from  $1/2$  to  $1$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k \geq 5$  and  $n \geq k(k+1)2^k$ . There is an  $n$ -vertex tournament that does not contain the  $k$ -th power of a directed path of length  $k(k+1)n/2^k$ .*

Note that this theorem also holds trivially for  $k \leq 4$ , when  $k(k+1)n/2^k > n$ .

Finally, we can solve the problem completely in the special case of  $k = 2$ . Once again, the proof uses certain properties of median orderings.

**Theorem 3.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , every  $n$ -vertex tournament contains the square of a directed path of length  $\ell = \lceil 2n/3 \rceil - 1$ , but not necessarily of length  $\ell + 1$ .*

Theorems 1, 2 and 3 are proved in Sections 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

## 2 Lower bound

We will need the following Kővári–Sós–Turán style lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G$  be a directed graph with disjoint vertex subsets  $A$  and  $B$  with  $|A| = 2k + 1$ ,  $|B| \geq 2^{4k+4}k$ , and every vertex in  $A$  has at least  $(1 - \frac{1}{2k+1})|B|/2$  outneighbours in  $B$ . Then  $A$  contains a subset  $A'$  of size  $k$  that has at least  $(2k + 1)2^{2k}$  common outneighbours in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose there is no such set  $A'$ . Then every  $k$ -subset of  $A$  appears in the in-neighbourhood of less than  $(2k+1)2^{2k}$  vertices in  $B$ . So if  $d^-(v)$  denotes the number of in-neighbours a vertex  $v \in B$  has in  $A$ , then we have

$$\binom{2k+1}{k} \cdot (2k+1)2^{2k} = \binom{|A|}{k} \cdot (2k+1)2^{2k} > \sum_{v \in B} \binom{d^-(v)}{k}. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand,  $\sum_{v \in B} d^-(v) \geq |A|(1 - \frac{1}{2k+1})|B|/2 = k|B|$ . By Jensen's inequality,  $\sum_{v \in B} \binom{d^-(v)}{k} \geq |B| \cdot \left(\frac{\sum_{v \in B} d^-(v)}{|B|}\right)^k = |B| \geq 2^{4k+4}k$ . This contradicts (1).  $\square$

One more ingredient we need for the proof of Theorem 1 is the folklore fact that every tournament on  $2^m$  vertices contains a transitive subtournament of size  $m+1$ . This is easily seen by taking a vertex of outdegree at least  $2^{m-1}$  as the first vertex of the subtournament, and then recursing on the out-neighbourhood.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Order the vertices as  $0, 1, \dots, n-1$  to maximize the number of forward edges, i.e., the number of edges  $ij$  such that  $i < j$ . As was mentioned in the introduction, we will refer to such a sequence as a *median ordering* of the vertices. We denote an ‘‘interval’’ of vertices with respect to this ordering by  $[i, j) = \{i, \dots, j-1\}$ , where  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ .

We will embed  $\vec{P}_\ell^k$  inductively using the following claim.

**Claim.** Let  $t = 2^{4k+4}k$  and  $t \leq i \leq n - (2k+1)t$ . For every subset  $A^* \subseteq [i-t, i)$  of size  $2^{2k}$ , there is an index  $i+t \leq j \leq i+(2k+1)t$  and a set  $A' \subseteq A^*$  of size  $k$  such that  $A'$  induces a transitive tournament and its vertices have at least  $2^{2k}$  common out-neighbours in  $[j-t, j)$ .

*Proof.* There is a subset  $A \subseteq A^*$  of size  $2k+1$  that induces a transitive tournament. Let  $B = [i, i+(2k+1)t)$ . Then every vertex  $v \in A$  has at least  $kt = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k+1}\right)|B|/2$  out-neighbours in  $B$ . Indeed, otherwise  $v$  would have more than  $(k+1)t$  in-neighbours in the interval  $B$ , so moving  $v$  to the end of this interval would increase the number of forward edges in the ordering, contradicting our choice of the vertex ordering.

We can thus apply Lemma 4 to find a  $k$ -subset  $A' \subseteq A$  with least  $(2k+1)2^{2k}$  common out-neighbours in  $B$ . Partition  $B$  into  $2k+1$  intervals of size  $t$ , and we can choose  $j$  accordingly so that  $A'$  has at least  $2^{2k}$  common out-neighbours in the interval  $[j-t, j)$ .  $\square$

The theorem trivially holds for  $n < 2^{2k}$ , so assume  $n \geq 2^{2k}$ . Let  $i_0 = 2^{2k}$  and  $A_0 = [0, 2^{2k})$ , and apply the Claim with  $i = i_0$  and  $A^* = A_0$ . We get a set  $A' \subset A_0$  of size  $k$  that induces a transitive tournament, i.e., the  $k$ -th power of some path  $v_0 \dots v_{k-1}$ . Moreover, this  $A'$  has at least  $2^{2k}$  common out-neighbours in some interval  $[j-t, j)$  with  $i_0 + t \leq j \leq i_0 + (2k+1)t$ . Let us define  $i_1 = j$ , and choose  $A_1$  to be any  $2^{2k}$  of the common out-neighbours.

At step  $s$ , we apply the Claim again with  $i = i_s$  and  $A^* = A_s$  to find the  $k$ -th power of some path  $v_{sk} \dots v_{(s+1)k-1}$  in  $A_s$  with  $2^{2k}$  common out-neighbours in some  $[i_{s+1}-t, i_{s+1})$  with  $i_s + t \leq i_{s+1} \leq i_s + (2k+1)t$ , and repeat this process until some step  $\ell$  with  $i_\ell > n - (2k+1)t$ . Note that intervals  $[i_s - t, i_s)$  and  $[i_{s+1} - t, i_{s+1})$  are always disjoint. Finally,  $A_\ell$  must also contain a transitive tournament of size  $2k+1$ . Call these vertices  $v_{\ell k}, \dots, v_{(\ell+2)k}$ . Observe that  $n - (2k+1)t < i_\ell \leq 2^{2k} + \ell(2k+1)t$ , so  $n < (\ell+2)(2k+1)t$ .

Then  $v_0 \dots v_{(\ell+2)k}$  is a directed path of length  $(\ell+2)k \geq kn/(2k+1)t \geq n/(2^{4k+6}k)$  whose  $k$ -th power is contained in the tournament. In fact, we proved a bit more: the tournament contains all edges of the form  $v_a v_b$  with  $a < b$  and  $\lfloor a/k \rfloor + 1 \geq \lfloor b/k \rfloor$ .  $\square$

### 3 Upper bound

Let  $\ell_k(n)$  denote the smallest integer  $\ell$  such that there is an  $n$ -vertex tournament that does not contain  $\vec{P}_\ell^k$ , or in other words, the largest integer such that every  $n$ -vertex tournament contains the  $k$ -th power of a directed path on  $\ell$  vertices.

To prove Theorem 2, we first note that  $\ell_k(n)$  is subadditive.

**Lemma 5.** *For any  $k, n, m \geq 1$ , we have  $\ell_k(n+m) \leq \ell_k(n) + \ell_k(m)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be extremal tournaments on  $n$  and  $m$  vertices, respectively, not containing the  $k$ -th power of any directed path of length  $\ell_k(n)$  and  $\ell_k(m)$ . Let  $T$  be the tournament on  $n+m$  vertices, obtained from the disjoint union of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  by adding all remaining edges directed from  $T_1$  to  $T_2$ . Then any  $k$ -th power of a path in  $T$  must be the concatenation of the  $k$ -th power of a path in  $T_1$  and the  $k$ -th power of a path in  $T_2$ , and hence it must have length at most  $(\ell_k(n) - 1) + (\ell_k(m) - 1) + 1 < \ell_k(n) + \ell_k(m)$ .  $\square$

Our improved upper bound is based on the following construction.

**Lemma 6.** *For every  $k \geq 5$ , we have  $\ell_k(2^{k-1}) < \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = 2^{k-1}$  and  $\ell = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ , and note that  $\vec{P}_{\ell-1}^k$  has  $k\ell - \ell$  edges.

Let  $T$  be a random  $n$ -vertex tournament obtained by orienting the edges of  $K_n$  independently and uniformly at random. The probability that a fixed sequence of  $\ell$  vertices  $v_0 \dots v_{\ell-1}$  forms a copy of  $\vec{P}_{\ell-1}^k$  is  $2^{-(k-1)\ell}$ . There are  $\binom{n}{\ell} \cdot \ell!$  such sequences, so the probability that  $T$  contains the  $k$ -th power of a path of length  $\ell - 1$  is at most  $\binom{n}{\ell} \cdot \ell! \cdot 2^{-(k-1)\ell} < n^\ell \cdot 2^{-(k-1)\ell} = 1$ . So with positive probability  $T$  does not contain  $\vec{P}_{\ell-1}^k$ , therefore  $\ell_k(2^{k-1}) \leq \ell - 1$ .  $\square$

Combining Lemmas 5 and 6 and using the monotonicity of  $\ell_k(n)$ , we get

$$\ell_k(n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2^{k-1}} \right\rceil \cdot \ell_k(2^{k-1}) \leq \left( \frac{n}{2^{k-1}} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{k(k+1)}{2} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k(k+1)n}{2^k}$$

for  $n \geq k(k+1)2^k$ , establishing Theorem 2.

### 4 The square of a path

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Recall that  $\ell_2(n)$  is the largest integer such that every  $n$ -vertex tournament contains the square of a path on  $\ell$  vertices. Proving Theorem 3 is therefore equivalent to showing  $\ell_2(n) = \lceil 2n/3 \rceil$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

It is easy to check that  $\ell_2(1) = 1$  and  $\ell_2(2) = \ell_2(3) = 2$ , so  $\ell_2(n) \leq \lceil 2n/3 \rceil$  follows from Lemma 5 by induction, as  $\ell_2(n) \leq \ell_2(n-3) + \ell_2(3) = \ell_2(n-3) + 2$  holds for every  $n > 3$ . For the lower bound we need to take a closer look at median orderings.

**Claim.** Every median ordering  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of a tournament has the following properties:

- (a) All edges of the form  $x_i x_{i+1}$  are in the tournament.
- (b) If  $x_i x_{i-2}$  is an edge of the tournament, then “rotating”  $x_{i-2} x_{i-1} x_i$  gives two other median orderings  $x_1, \dots, x_{i-3}, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i-2}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_{i-3}, x_i, x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$ .
- (c) If  $x_i x_{i-2}$  is an edge of the tournament, then each of  $x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_i$  is an inneighbour of  $x_{i+1}$ , and at most one of them is an outneighbour of  $x_{i+2}$ .

*Proof.* Property (a) holds, as otherwise we could swap  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$  to get an ordering with more forward edges, contradicting our assumption. Property (b) holds because rotating  $x_{i-2} x_{i-1} x_i$  has no effect on the number of forward edges.

These two properties together imply that each of  $x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_i$  is an inneighbour of  $x_{i+1}$ . Suppose, to the contrary of (c), that two of them are outneighbours of  $x_{i+2}$ . By rotating  $x_{i-2} x_{i-1} x_i$  if needed, we may assume that these are  $x_{i-1}$  and  $x_i$ . But then we can also rotate  $x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+2}$  so that  $x_{i+2}$  comes right after  $x_{i-1}$  in a median ordering. This contradicts (a).  $\square$

Let us now say that  $i$  is a *bad index* in a median ordering  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  if  $x_i x_{i-2}$  is an edge, and at least one of  $x_{i+2} x_i$  and  $x_{i+2} x_{i-1}$  is also an edge.

**Lemma 7.** *Every tournament has a median ordering without any bad indices.*

*Proof.* Suppose this fails to hold for some tournament, and take a median ordering  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  that minimizes the largest bad index  $i$ . As  $i$  is a bad index,  $x_i x_{i-2}$  is an edge, and  $x_i$  or  $x_{i-1}$  is an outneighbour of  $x_{i+2}$ . By (b),  $x_{i-2} x_{i-1} x_i$  can be rotated so that  $x_{i+2} x'_{i-2}$  is an edge in the new median ordering  $x_1, \dots, x_{i-3}, x'_{i-2}, x'_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$ . Then neither  $x_{i+2} x'_i$  nor  $x_{i+2} x'_{i-1}$  is an edge, since by (c), only one of  $x'_{i-2}, x'_{i-1}, x'_i$  is an outneighbour of  $x_{i+2}$ . Also by (c),  $x'_{i-1} x_{i+1}$  and  $x'_i x_{i+1}$  are edges, so both of  $x_{i+1}$  and  $x_{i+2}$  are outneighbours of  $x'_{i-1}$  and  $x'_i$ . This means that none of  $i, i+1, i+2$  is a bad index in this new ordering, and hence the largest bad index is smaller than  $i$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove  $\ell_2(n) \geq \lceil 2n/3 \rceil$ . Take an  $n$ -vertex tournament with median ordering  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  as in Lemma 7, and let  $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\}$  be the set of indices  $i$  such that  $x_i x_{i-2}$  is not an edge (in particular,  $i_1 = 1$  and  $i_2 = 2$ ). We claim that  $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}$  is a directed path on  $k \geq \lceil 2n/3 \rceil$  vertices whose square is contained in the tournament.

To see this, first observe that if the index  $i+2$  is not in  $I$ , then both  $i$  and  $i+1$  are in  $I$ . Indeed, if  $x_{i+2} x_i$  is an edge, then  $x_{i+1} x_{i-1}$  cannot be one because of (c), and  $x_i x_{i-2}$  cannot be one because  $i$  is not a bad index. This immediately implies  $k \geq \lceil 2n/3 \rceil$ .

It remains to check that  $x_{i_j-2} x_{i_j}$  and  $x_{i_j-1} x_{i_j}$  are all edges in the tournament. By the above observation, we know that  $i_j - 3 \leq i_{j-2} < i_{j-1} < i_j$ . Here  $x_{i_j-1} x_{i_j}$  is an edge by (a), and  $x_{i_j-2} x_{i_j}$  is an edge by the definition of  $I$ . So the only case left is to show that  $x_{i_j-2} x_{i_j}$  is an edge when  $i_{j-2} = i_j - 3$ .

In this case there is an index  $i_j - 3 < i < i_j$  that is not in  $I$ , i.e.,  $x_i x_{i-2}$  is an edge in the tournament. But then if  $i = i_j - 1$ , then  $x_{i_j-2} x_{i_j}$  is an edge because of (c), while otherwise  $i = i_j - 2$ , and  $x_{i_j-2} x_{i_j}$  is an edge because  $i$  is not a bad index. This concludes our proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás and R. Häggkvist, Powers of Hamilton cycles in tournaments, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 50 (1990), 309–318.
- [2] G. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 2 (1952), 69–81.
- [3] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 10 (1959), 337–356.
- [4] A. Girão, A note on long powers of paths in tournaments, *arXiv:2010.02875* preprint.
- [5] J. Komlós, G.N. Sárközy and E. Szemerédi, Proof of the Seymour conjecture for large graphs, *Ann. Comb.* 2 (1998), 43–60.
- [6] R. Yuster, Paths with many shortcuts in tournaments, *Discrete Math.* 334 (2021), 112168.
- [7] D. West, **Introduction to Graph Theory**, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, 2001.